C			
Group):		

Category	Topic	Points	Poor	Acceptable	Excellent
			$(score \le 60\%)$	$(60\% < score \le 90\%)$	(score > 90%)
Code	Clarity and	7	- Not readable - No citation	- Operable - Minor issues	- Clean structure - Clear purpose
	organization		- Poor structure	- Lacks descriptive	- Efficiently coded
			- Inoperable	comments to document	- Efficiently coded
			- moperable	code	
				Code	
Code	Documentation of	2	- Not cited	- Cited but missing	- Well cited, all necessary
	data source			necessary information	info (organization
				- No download link	collecting data, web
					address for data access,
					any borrowed code
Code	Efficiency				chunks cited)
Code	Efficiency	3	- Unnecessary	- Uses aggregation to	- Uses aggregation when
			redundancy or processing in app	reduce data size <i>before</i> loading	applicable - Avoids unnecessary
			code	- Avoids <i>most</i> unnecessary	computation within app
				computation within app	code
				code	
Code	App description	2	- No App		- One paragraph
			Description		description of app given
					as comment at top of
					app.R file
Functionality	App runs properly as	5	- Does not load	- Loads, but slowly or with	- Loads fully in timely
	submitted			parts unrendered	manner
Functionality	Controls and plots	5	- Does not load or	- Most controls linked to	- All controls work well
	are functional		controls not active	intended app display	
				features	
Graphical	Plot originality,	8	- Poor aesthetic	- Most choices	- Well designed plots and
Quality	aesthetic appeal, and		design choices	appropriate for data type	controls
·	interface design		- No control over	- Minor issues with scaling	
			plot displays in	- Little control over plot	
			арр	displays in app	
Graphical	Plots reveal	8	- Univariate plots	- Low dimensionality	- Multivariate displays
Insights	interesting data		- Boring or no	- Mediocre insights	with lots of interesting
]	characteristics		insight		adaptations and insights
Total		40			
	1	1		<u> </u>	