Category	Topic	Points	Poor	Acceptable	Excellent
Code	Clarity and organization	7	(score ≤ 60%) - Not readable - No citation	(60% < score ≤ 90%) - Operable - Minor issues	(score > 90%) - Clean structure - Clear purpose
			- Poor structure - Inoperable	- Lacks descriptive comments to document code	- Efficiently coded
Code	Documentation of data source	2	- Not cited	- Cited but missing necessary information - No download link	- Well cited, all necessary info (organization collecting data, web address for data access, any borrowed code chunks cited)
Code	Efficiency	3	- Unnecessary redundancy or processing in app code	- Uses aggregation to reduce data size <i>before</i> loading - Avoids <i>most</i> unnecessary computation within app code	Uses aggregation when applicableAvoids unnecessary computation within app code
Code	App description	2	- No App Description		- One paragraph description of app given as comment at top of app.R file
Functionality	App runs properly as submitted	5	- Does not load	- Loads, but slowly or with parts unrendered	- Loads fully in timely manner
Functionality	Controls and plots are functional	5	- Does not load or controls not active	- Most controls linked to intended app display features	- All controls work well
Graphical Quality	Plot originality, aesthetic appeal, and interface design	8	- Poor aesthetic design choices - No control over plot displays in app	- Most choices appropriate for data type - Minor issues with scaling - Little control over plot displays in app	- Well designed plots and controls
Graphical Insights	Plots reveal interesting data characteristics	8	- Univariate plots - Boring or no insight	- Low dimensionality - Mediocre insights	- Multivariate displays with lots of interesting adaptations and insights
Total		40			