ACTIONS AND SUBGROUPS

COLTON GRAINGER (MATH 6130 ALGEBRA)

3. Assignment due 2018-09-19

3.1. [1, No. 2.1.8]. Let H and K be subgroups of a group G. $H \cup K$ is a subgroup if and only if either $H \subset K$ or $K \subset H$.

*Proof.*¹ (\Rightarrow) If $H \subset H$ or $K \subset H$ then $H \cup K$ is K or H, hence $H \cup K$ is a subgroup of G.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $H \cup K$ is a subgroup of G. For contradiction, let $H \not\subset K$ and $K \not\subset H$. Then choose $h \in H \setminus K$ and $k \in K \setminus H$. Because $H \cup K$ is closed as a subgroup, we have $hk \in H \cup K$. But in which set H or K is hk an element? Either $hk \in H$, hence $h^{-1}hk \in H$, hence $k \in H$; or $hk \in K$, hence $hkk^{-1} \in K$, hence $h \in K$; which is the desired contradiction. □

3.2. [1, No. 2.1.9]. Let $G = GL_n(\mathbf{F})$ where \mathbf{F} is an field. We define the *special linear group*

$$SL_n(\mathbf{F}) = \{ A \in GL_n(\mathbf{F}) : \det(A) = 1 \}.$$

Then $SL_n(\mathbf{F}) \leq GL_n(\mathbf{F})$.

Proof. Knowing that $GL_n(\mathbf{F})$ is a group of which $SL_n(\mathbf{F})$ is a subset, it suffices to show that $SL_n(\mathbf{F})$ is nonempty and closed under products and taking inverses.

- (Nonempty) The identity $n \times n$ matrix $I \in SL_n(\mathbf{F})$ since $\det(I) = 1^n = 1$.
- (Products) Let $A, B \in SL_n(\mathbf{F})$. Then $\det(A) = \det(B) = 1$. So $\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B) = 1$, thus $AB \in SL_n(\mathbf{F})$. (Inverses) Let $A \in SL_n(\mathbf{F})$. So $\det(A) = 1$, and since $\det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(A)} = 1$, we have $A^{-1} \in SL(\mathbf{F})$.

So $SL_n(\mathbf{F}) \leq GL_n(\mathbf{F})$. \square

3.3. [1, No. 2.1.14]. The set $\{x \in D_{2n} : x^2 = 1\}$ is not a subgroup of D_{2n} (where $n \ge 3$).

Key idea.² The elements of the dihedral group of order 1 or 2 are

- the identity,
- any of the *n* reflections, and
- if *n* is even, the rotation by π .

The set of such elements is not closed under composition.

Proof. Consider the presentation $D_{2n} = \langle r, s : r^n = s^2 = 1, sr^i s = r^{-i} \rangle$. If $x \in D_{2n}$, then x can be written as a product of generators $x = r^i s^j$ where $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1\}$.

What is $\{x \in D_{2n} : x^2 = 1\}$? Or writing the elements of D_{2n} as $r^i s^j$, for which powers i and j is it true that $(r^i s^j)^2 = 1$?

- When j = 0, we have $r^{2i} = 1$. Because i < n and n | 2i, either i = 0 or, if n is even, $i \in \{0, \frac{n}{2}\}$.
- When j = 1, we have $(r^i s)^2 = 1$ for all i, since $r^i (sr^i s) = r^i (r^{-i}) = 1$.

Date: 2018-09-16.

Compiled: 2018-10-05.

¹See https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/334405/, "Suppose both H,K are distinct and proper. Then pick $h \in H \setminus K$ and $k \in K \setminus H$. In which of K or H or both does hk lie?"

²See https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/126639/, "We can think of this geometrically, or use a presentation [...]"; see also https://groupprops.subwiki.org/wiki/Element_structure_of_dihedral_groups.

So let $A = \{x \in D_{2n} : x^2 = 1\}$. We've shown

$$A = \left\{ 1, r^k, r^i s : k = 0 \text{ or, if } n \text{ is even, } k = \frac{n}{2}, i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} \right\}.$$

To see A is not closed, take r^2s , $rs \in A$. But $(r^2s)(rs) = r^2(srs) = r^2r^{-1} = r \notin A$ (when $n \ge 3$). \square

- 3.4. **[1, No. 2.2.6].** Let *H* be a subgroup of the group *G*.
 - (a) $H \leq N_G(H)$. (This is not necessarily true if H is not a subgroup.) Suppose that $H \leq G$, a group, and consider $N_G(H)$. Let $h \in H$. Now the normalizer of H in G is the set of all elements that fix H under the conjugation action. Is it true that $hah^{-1} \in H$ for all $a \in H$? Yes, as H is closed. So $h \in N_G(H)$, hence $H \leq N_G(H)$.

Consider $H \subset G$ but not $H \leq G$, for example,

$$H = \{(12), (123)\}$$
 and $G = S_3$.

Now the normalizer of H in S_3 is the set

$$N_{S_3}(H) = \{g \in S_3 : \{g(12)g^{-1}, g(123)g^{-1}\} = \{(12), (123)\}\}$$

but $(12) \notin N_{S_2}(H)$ as $\{(12), (132)\} \neq \{(12), (123)\}.$

- (b) $H \leq C_G(H)$ if and only if H is abelian. (\Rightarrow) Suppose H is abelian, and consider $h \in H$. Since conjugation of $a \in H$ by h fixes a $(hah^{-1} = a \text{ whenever } ha = ah)$, we have $h \in C_G(A)$. So H is a subgroup of the centralizer $C_G(H)$. (\Leftarrow) Now suppose that $H \leq C_G(H)$. Then if $h \in H$, we have h also in the centralizer of H in G. So $hah^{-1} = a$ for all $a \in H$. Hence ha = ah for all $a, h \in H$, and we conclude H is abelian.
- 3.5. **[1, No. 2.2.10].** Let *H* be a subgroup of order 2 in *G*. Then $N_G(H) = C_G(H)$.

Proof by set inclusion. (\subset) Suppose $g \in N_G(H)$. Because H is a group of order 2, it is $\{1, x\}$ where $x^2 = 1$. If conjugation by g fixes H, then $\{g1g^{-1}, gxg^{-1}\} = \{1, x\}$. Whence $\{1, gxg^{-1}\} = \{1, x\}$. For set equality, we must have $gxg^{-1} = x$. So $g \in C_G(H)$. (\supset) By definition, if $g \in C_G(H)$, then g fixes each $h \in H$ by conjugation, so g fixes H by conjugation. □

Also, if $N_G(H) = G$, then $H \le Z(G)$. TODO.

3.6. [1, No. 2.2.12]. Let R be the set of all polynomials with integer coefficients in the independent variables $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^4$. That is, members of R are finite sums of elements of the form $ax_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}x_4^{r_4}$ where $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Each $\sigma \in S_4$ gives a permutation of $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ by defining $\sigma \cdot x_j = x_{\sigma(j)}$. This extends naturally to a map from R to R by defining

$$\sigma \cdot p(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = p(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(3)}, x_{\sigma(4)})$$

for all $p(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in R$ (that is, σ simply permutes the indices of the variables).

(a) Let $p = p(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ be the polynomial

$$12x_1^5x_2^7x_4 - 18x_2^3x_3 + 11x_1^6x_2x_3^3x_4^{23}$$

and consider the permutations $\sigma = (1\,2\,3\,4)$ and $\tau = (1\,2\,3)$. We compute:

- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \ \sigma \cdot p = 12x_2^5x_3^7x_1 18x_3^3x_4 + 11x_2^6x_3x_4^3x_1^{23} \\ \bullet \ \ \tau \cdot (\sigma \cdot p) = 12x_3^5x_1^7x_2 18x_1^3x_4 + 11x_3^6x_1x_4^3x_2^{23} \\ \bullet \ \ (\tau \circ \sigma) \cdot p = 12x_3^5x_1^7x_2 18x_1^3x_4 + 11x_3^6x_1x_4^3x_2^{23} \\ \bullet \ \ (\sigma \circ \tau) \cdot p = 12x_3^5x_4^7x_1 18x_4^3x_2 + 11x_3^6x_4x_2^3x_1^{23} \end{array}$

(b) This definition $(\sigma, p) \mapsto \sigma \cdot p$ gives a left subgroup action of S_4 on R. For clarity denoted $p(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ as $p(x_k)_1^4$. Then for all $\sigma, \tau \in S_4$ and $p \in R$ we have (GA1)

$$\sigma \cdot (\tau \cdot p) = \sigma \cdot (\tau \cdot p(x_k)_1^4)$$

$$= \sigma \cdot p(x_{\tau(k)})_1^4)$$

$$= p(x_{\sigma(\tau(k))})_1^4)$$

$$= p(x_{(\sigma \circ \tau)(k)})_1^4)$$

$$= (\sigma \circ \tau) \cdot (x_k)_1^4.$$

For (GA2) note that id $\cdot p = p(x_{id(k)})_1^4 = p$ for all $p \in R$.

- (c) We exhaustively list all permutations in S_4 that stabilize x_4 . They form a subgroup isomorphic to S_3 .
 - 1
 - (1 2 3)
 - (1 3 2)
 - (12)
 - (13)
 - (23)
- (d) We list all permutations in S_4 that stabilize $x_1 + x_2$. They form an abelian subgroup of order 4.
 - 1
 - (12)
 - (34)
 - $(1\ 2)(3\ 4)$
- (e) We list all permutations in S_4 that stabilize $x_1x_2 + x_3x_4$. They form a subgroup isomorphic to the dihedral group of order 8.
 - 1
- (12)
- (34)
- \bullet (1 2)(3 4)
- (1 3 2 4)
- (1 4 2 3)
- (14)(23)
- $(1\ 3)(2\ 4)$
- (f) The permutations in S_4 that stabilize the element $(x_1 + x_2)(x_3 + x_4)$ are the same as those in part (e). In our group action, there are 2^2 permutations that stabilize the sums, and 2 permutations that stabilize the product. In part (e), we had 2 permutations to stabilize the sum, and 2^2 to stabilize the product. Given the pairing of indices 1 with 2 and 3 with 4, however, the same permutations stabilize both elements in R, $x_1x_2 + x_3x_4$ and $(x_1 + x_2)(x_3 + x_4)$.
- 3.7. [1, No. 2.3.25]. Let G be a cyclic group of order n and let k be an integer relatively prime to n. The map $x \mapsto x^k$ is surjective.

Proof. Let $G = \langle x \rangle$ be a cyclic group of finite order n generated by x, let k be an integer relatively prime to n, and let $f: G \to G$ map $g \mapsto g^k$. We will show f is surjective.

As a preliminary, note for all $g \in G$, there's a (unique!) modulo n congruence class $\overline{c} \in \{\overline{0}, \dots, \overline{n-1}\}$ for which $g = x^c$ where c is the least residue of \overline{c} .

Now f is surjective if for each $\overline{c} \in \{\overline{0}, \dots, \overline{n-1}\}$ there's a $\overline{m} \in \{\overline{0}, \dots, \overline{n-1}\}$ such that $km \in \overline{c}$, since then $f(x^m) = (x^m)^k = x^{km} = x^c$. So consider such a class \overline{c} . If $\overline{c} = \overline{0}$ we have $\overline{m} = \overline{0}$ satisfying $f(x^0) = f(1) = 1 = x^0$. Else we have

 $\overline{c} \neq \overline{0}$. Now because gcd(k, n) = 1, there's a **Z**-linear combination of k and n such that $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$ and

$$ak + bn = 1,$$

hence $cak + cbn = c,$
hence $(cb)n = c - (ca)k,$
hence n divides $c - (ca)k$

Let m be the least residue of $ca \pmod{n}$, and we have the desired class \overline{m} . Because for each $g \in G$, we have $x^m \in G$ such that $f(x^m) = (x^m)^k = x^{km} = x^{k(ca)} = x^c = g$, we conclude that f is surjective. \square

Moreover, for any group G of finite order n, the same map $x \mapsto x^k$ is surjective when k and n are relatively prime.

Proof. If *G* is of finite order *n* and $x \in G$, then |x| divides |G| by Lagrange's theorem. So consider each cyclic group $\langle x_i \rangle$ in the domain for all $x_i \in G$. Restrict $f: G \to G$ to $\langle x_i \rangle$ and repeat the previous argument. Indeed, $\gcd(k, n) = 1$ and $|x_i| |n$ implies $\gcd(k, |x_i|) = 1$. Now each $\langle x_i \rangle$ is finite, so each restriction $f|_{\langle x_i \rangle}$ is surjective onto $\langle x_i \rangle$. The function f is given piecewise as finitely many surjective functions on disjoint domains, whence we conclude that f is surjective. \Box

3.8. [1, No. 2.4.3]. If H is an abelian subgroup of a group G then $\langle H, Z(G) \rangle$ is abelian.

Proof sketch. Consider $x, y \in \langle H, Z(G) \rangle$. Now write these elements as products of generators $x = \prod_{i=1}^{k} h_i^{\alpha_i}$ (for $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $h_i \in H \cup Z(G)$) and $y = \prod_{i=1}^{l} g_i^{\beta}$ (for $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $g_i \in H \cup Z(G)$). Each h_i and g_i with all elements of H (by hypothesis) and Z(G) (by definition of the center). Whence xy = yx. So $\langle H, Z(G) \rangle$ is abelian.

We exhibit an abelian subgroup of H of G such that $\langle H, C_G(H) \rangle$ is *not* abelian. That is, we want $xy \in C_G(H)$ such that x and y fix each $h \in H$ under the conjugation action, but where $xy \neq yx$. Consider $H = \{e\}$ and $G = S_3$. We have H trivially abelian, so $C_G(H) = G = S_3$, and yet $\langle \{e\}, S_3 \rangle = S_3$ is not abelian, as desired.

3.9. [1, No. 2.4.12]. The subgroup of upper triangular matrices in $GL_3(\mathbf{F}_2)$ is isomorphic to the dihedral group of order 8.

Demonstration. Let H be the subgroup of upper triangular matrices in $GL_3(\mathbf{F}_2)$. Since elements of H must be invertible, they must have full rank. Hence the diagonal of each matrix in H must be filled with 1's. That gives 2^3 distinct matrices in H. To show an isomorphism, we write out an epimorphism $\varphi \colon H \to D_8$ from the generators of H to the generators of H and argue that H0 satisfies the relations on the given generators of H3.

Let φ be defined by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & 1 & 1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto r \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ & 1 & 0 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto s.$$

One may verify that A, B are generators of H. (Note that least integers m, n such that $A^n = B^m = 1$ are m = 2 and n = 4.) One may verify that $AB^kA = B^{-k}$ for k = 1, 2, 3. Having a surjective map from the generators of H to the generators of D_8 , we see that φ is an epimorphism. That $\varphi(H)$ satisfies the relations on the generators of D_8 , we see that φ is an isomorphism.

3.10. [1, No. 2.4.15]. There's a proper subgroup of **Q** which is not cyclic.

Demonstration. Consider the family of cyclic subgroups of Q

$$\left\{ \left\langle \frac{1}{2^n} \right\rangle : n \in \mathbf{N} \right\}.$$

If $n \le m$, then $\left\langle \frac{1}{2^n} \right\rangle \le \left\langle \frac{1}{2^m} \right\rangle$. Then certainly

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \le \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\langle \frac{1}{2^n} \right\rangle = H.$$

Now *H* is the intersection of a family of subgroups, and is therefore a subgroup of **Q**. By construction, *H* is not trivial. Further, $\frac{1}{3} \notin H$, so *H* is not **Q**.

- 3.11. [1, No. 2.4.16]. A subgroup M of a group G is called a *maximal subgroup* if $M \neq G$ and the only subgroups of G which contain M are M itself and G.
 - (a) If H is a proper subgroup of the finite group G, then there is a maximal subgroup of G containing H. Consider the elements in $G \setminus H$. Let $|G \setminus H| = |G| - |H| = m$. There are then $2^m - 1$ proper subsets of G containing H. Either H is it's own maximal group in G, or one of the $2^m - 1$ proper subsets is a maximal
 - (b) The subgroup of all rotations in a dihedral group is a maximal subgroup.
 - The set of rotations in D_8 is a subgroup of order 4. Now every other subgroup of D_8 has an order which divides 8, of which 4 is the largest order strictly less than 8. So the set of rotations is maximal in D_8 , for the only subgroups it is properly contained in are D_8 and itself.
 - (c) If $G = \langle x \rangle$ is a cyclic sugroup of order $n \ge 1$, then a subgroup H is maximal if and only if $H = \langle x^p \rangle$ for some prime p dividing n.

TODO.

group.

3.12. **Maximal subgroups in a finite group.** A finite group with no more that two maximal subgroups is cyclic. TODO.

REFERENCES

[1] D. S. Dummit and R. M. Foote, *Abstract algebra*, 3rd ed. Hardcover; Prentice Hall, 2004 [Online]. Available: http://www.worldcat.org/isbn/0471433349