

1. (January 2015 Problem 3) Let V be a subspace of \mathbb{C}^n spanned by the basis vectors e_1, \dots, e_m , and let E be the set of matrices A in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $AV \subseteq V$.

(a) Show that E is an algebra.

$M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a \mathbb{C} -algebra, so we will show that E is a \mathbb{C} -algebra.

Let $A, B \in E$.

Then $(A-B)V \subseteq AV + BV \subseteq V + V = V$, so $A - B \in E$. $\leftarrow E$ is closed under addition and negation

Also $(AB)V = A(BV) \subseteq AV \subseteq V$, so $AB \in E$. $\leftarrow E$ is closed under multiplication

If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then $(\lambda A)V = \lambda(AV) \subseteq \lambda V = V$ $\leftarrow E$ is closed under scalar multiplication

Therefore E is a \mathbb{C} -algebra.

(b) Compute all the two sided ideals of E .

First, observe that elements of E must have the form $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$ where A is $m \times m$, B is $m \times (n-m)$, C is $(n-m) \times (n-m)$.

Let I be a 2-sided ideal, and let $M \in I$, $M \neq 0$. Then there is a nonzero coordinate of M , say $m = M_{ij}$.

Let E_{rs} denote the matrix with 1 in the (r,s) coordinate and zeros elsewhere.

Then $E_{ii} M E_{jj} = m E_{ij} \in I$ \leftarrow The matrix with m in the (ij) coordinate and zeros elsewhere
Thus $E_{ij} = \frac{1}{m} \cdot m E_{ij} \in I$.

Now suppose (i,j) is in block A . Then if (r,s) is in block A , then $E_{ri} E_{ij} E_{js} = E_{rs} \in I$.
Thus we have shown that if $M \in I$ has a nonzero entry in block A , then I contains all matrices of the form $\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ for any $n \times n$ matrix A . \nearrow Same argument

A similar argument works for block B and for block C .

Now again, assume (i,j) is in block A . If (r,s) is in block B , then we get $E_{rs} \in I$.
Thus we have shown that if $M \in I$ has a nonzero entry in block A , then I also contains matrices with any content in blocks A or B , i.e. of the form $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

The same argument works for matrices of the form $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix}$.

Thus the two-sided ideals are $\left\{ 0, E, \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$

Note: The reason we can't use the same reasoning for $A \rightarrow C$, $B \rightarrow A$, etc. is because the argument $E_{ri} E_{ij} E_{js} = E_{rs} \in I$ requires E_{ri} and E_{js} to be in E , which will not be the case, since (r,i) or (j,s) will occur in the bottom left zero-block.

2. (August 1997 Problem 5) Let R be a ring with 1, and let V be a left R -module. Suppose X and Y are R -submodules of V such that $V = X \oplus Y$. If θ is any R -homomorphism from X to Y , define $W_\theta \subseteq V$ to be the set of elements $x - \theta(x) \in V$ for all x .

- (a) Show that W_θ is an R -submodule of V and that $V = W_\theta \oplus Y$.

Since θ is an R -homomorphism, we have

$$(x - \theta(x)) - (y - \theta(y)) = (x-y) - \theta(x-y) \in W_\theta$$

$$r(x - \theta(x)) = rx - \theta(rx) \in W_\theta$$

So W_θ is an R -module. It is contained in V since it is contained in $X-Y$.

Define $\Psi: X \oplus Y \rightarrow W_\theta \oplus Y$ by $\Psi(x, y) = (x - \theta(x), \theta(x) + y)$.

$\Psi(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow x - \theta(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = \theta(x)$. Since $X \cap Y = \{0\}$, we have $x = \theta(x) = 0$.

$$\theta(x) + y = 0 \Rightarrow y = 0.$$

So Ψ is injective.

Now let $(w, y) \in W_\theta \oplus Y$. Then $w = x - \theta(x)$ for some $x \in X$, and $\Psi(x, y - \theta(x)) = (w, y)$. So Ψ is surjective and therefore an isomorphism.

- (b) Conversely, suppose U is an R -submodule of V such that $V = U \oplus Y$. Prove that $U = W_\theta$ for some R -homomorphism $\theta: X \rightarrow Y$.

Let $x \in X$. Since $x \in V = U \oplus Y$, x can be uniquely written $x = u + y$ for $u \in U$, $y \in Y$.

Thus there is a well-defined map $\theta: X \rightarrow Y$ which sends x to its projection to Y in $U \oplus Y$.

This is clearly an R -homomorphism.

Now let $u = x + y$. Then $x = u - y$, so $\theta(x) = -y$, and we get $u = x - \theta(x)$.

3. (August 2014 Problem 1) For $n \geq 1$ consider the ring $R = M_n(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$ of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in the ring $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$. It is naturally a $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra.

- (a) Prove that $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to $M_n(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. (Make sure to provide a careful description of the isomorphism you construct.) Use general properties of tensor products to argue that the natural map

$$M_n(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) = R \rightarrow R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = M_n(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

is surjective.

Define $\Psi: R \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ by $(A, x) \mapsto \overline{xA}$ (where $\overline{xA} = xA \bmod 2$)

Now Ψ is $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear, since for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $A, B \in R$, and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\Psi(aA + bB, x) = \overline{x(aA + bB)} = \overline{axA + bxB} = a\Psi(A, x) + b\Psi(B, x)$$

$$\Psi(A, ax+by) = \overline{(ax+by)A} = \overline{axA + byA} = a\Psi(A, x) + b\Psi(A, y)$$

Thus by the Universal Property of Tensor Products, Ψ induces a map $\tilde{\Psi}: R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

$\tilde{\Psi}$ is surjective, since $\tilde{\Psi}(E_{ij} \otimes 1) = \overline{E_{ij}}$, and so we can map to any matrix $\overline{M} \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ by summing the appropriate E_{ij} with $\sum E_{ij} = M \in R$.

To show that $\tilde{\Psi}$ is injective, we construct an inverse $\Psi: M_n(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$

For $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, define $\Psi(A) = \sum_{ij} E_{ij} \otimes a_{ij}$

Ψ is clearly $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ -linear. It remains to show that $\Psi \circ \tilde{\Psi} = \text{id}$.

Since both maps are linear, it is enough to show $\Psi \circ \tilde{\Psi}(x) = x$ for pure tensors x .

Let $a_{ij} = A_{ij}$. We have

$$\Psi \circ \tilde{\Psi}(A \otimes b) = \Psi(\overline{bA}) = \sum_{ij} E_{ij} \otimes \overline{a_{ij}b} = \sum_{ij} a_{ij} E_{ij} \otimes b = A \otimes b$$

Thus we have shown that $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong M_n(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$

Now we want to show that $R \rightarrow R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is surjective.

Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

The tensor product is right exact.

This gives an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}} M_n(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}} M_n(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}} M_n(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\underbrace{M_n(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})}_{\longrightarrow} \longrightarrow \underbrace{M_n(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})}_{\longrightarrow}$$

- (b) Describe the kernel K of the above surjective map

$$M_n(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

The map is reduction mod 2, so the Kernel is the set of matrices with every entry divisible by 2, so $M_n(2\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$

- (c) Find all two-sided ideals of the ring R . (Hint: Use the computations above.)

Let $I \subseteq R$ be a 2-sided ideal. If $M \in I$ and $M \neq 0$, then M has a nonzero entry m .

Say m is in the (i,j) -coordinate.

Now $E_{ii}, E_{jj} \in R$, so $E_{ii} M E_{jj} = m E_{ij} \in I$.

Also, for any coordinates (r,s) , $E_{ri}, E_{js} \in R$, so $E_{ri} (m E_{ij}) E_{js} = m E_{rs} \in I$.

Thus $I = M_n(J)$ for an ideal $J \subseteq R$.

$$\text{So } I = \{0, R, M_n(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\}$$

The two-sided ideals of $M_n(R)$ are just $\{M_n(J) : J \text{ a two-sided ideal of } R\}$

4. (January 2000 Problem 2) Let R be a (not necessarily commutative) ring with 1 and suppose that R can be written as the sum $R = \sum_{i=1}^m I_i$, where the I_i are finitely many (two-sided) ideals of R satisfying $I_i \cap I_j = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$.

- (a) Prove that, for every simple R -module M , there exists a unique subscript k such that $MI_k \neq 0$.

If $MI_i = 0$ for every $i=1,\dots,m$, then $MR = \sum_{i=1}^m MI_i = 0$, and so $M = 0$.

Thus every simple module M has $MI_k \neq 0$ for some subscript k .

Now since $MI_k \leq M$ is a submodule, and M is simple, we must have $M = MI_k$.

Now for $i \neq k$, we have

$$MI_i = (MI_k)I_i = M(I_k I_i) \leq M(I_k \cap I_i) = 0.$$

- (b) Show that if $i \neq j$, then every left R -module homomorphism $\theta : I_i \rightarrow I_j$ is the zero map.

Let $\theta : I_i \rightarrow I_j$. Then $\theta(I_i)I_i \leq \theta(I_i) \cap I_i = 0$.

Since θ is a left R -module homomorphism, and $I_i \leq R$, we get

$$\theta(I_i) = \theta(I_i I_i) = \theta(I_i)I_i = 0.$$

1. (January 2014 Problem 1) If R is a commutative ring and I is an ideal, we denote by \sqrt{I} the *radical* of I , which is by definition the set of $r \in R$ such that $r^n \in I$ for some natural number n .

- (a) Prove that \sqrt{I} is an ideal of R .

Let $a, b \in \sqrt{I}$, $r \in R$. We want to show $a-b \in I$, and $ra \in I$.

Since, $a, b \in I$, there are n, m with $a^n \in I$, $b^m \in I$.

$$\text{We have } (a-b)^{n+m} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} c_i a^{m+n-i} b^i$$

Since $\max(m+n-i, i) \geq m, n$ for each i , each term in the sum is in \sqrt{I} , and so $a-b \in \sqrt{I}$.

Also, $(ra)^n = r^n a^n \in I$, so $ra \in \sqrt{I}$. Thus \sqrt{I} is an ideal.

- (b) Give an example of an ideal in $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ such that I is non-principal but \sqrt{I} is principal.

$I = (x^2, xy)$ is not principal. For if $I = (f)$, then $f|x^2$ and $f|xy$, so $f = 1$ or $f = x$.

Any $g \in I$ can be written as $g = p_1 x^2 + p_2 xy$ and so every $g \in I$ has degree ≥ 2 , which eliminates the possibility of $f = 1, x$.

Now $\sqrt{I} = (x)$. Since $x^2 \in I$, $x \in \sqrt{I}$, and thus $(x) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$.
 Also, if $r \in \sqrt{I}$, then $r^n = p_1 x^2 + p_2 xy$ for some $n \Rightarrow x|r^n \Rightarrow x|r$.

$\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ is a UFD
 x is irreducible.

- (c) This notation of radical doesn't work so well for noncommutative rings, even for two sided ideals. For instance, we might define $\sqrt{0}$ in the ring $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ of 2×2 matrices to be the set of all elements r such that $r^n = 0$ for some natural number n . Show that, with this definition, $\sqrt{0}$ is *not* an ideal.

E_{12} and E_{21} are nilpotent, but $E_{12} E_{21} = E_{11}$ is not ($E_{11}^2 = E_{11}$).

We could also show that $E_{12} + E_{21}$ has nonzero determinant, and thus is not nilpotent.

2. (August 2013 Problem 4) Recall that a left module P for a ring R is said to be *projective* if, for every surjection of left R -modules $f : N \rightarrow P$, there is a map $g : P \rightarrow N$ such that g followed by f is the identity on P .

(a) Prove that a free R -module is projective.

Let $F = R^k$ be a free R -module, and let $f : M \rightarrow F$ be a surjection of R -modules.

We want to find $g : F \rightarrow M$ such that $f \circ g = \text{id}_F$.

Fix a basis $\{b_\alpha\}$ for F .

For each b_α , there is $a_\alpha \in M$ with $f(a_\alpha) = b_\alpha$.

Define $g(b_\alpha) = a_\alpha$. Then since F is a free R -module, g extends to a homomorphism on F . Clearly $f \circ g = \text{id}_F$, so F is projective.

- (b) Prove that a left R -module M is projective if and only if there is another left module N such that $M \oplus N$ is a free R -module.

(\Rightarrow) First assume M is projective.

Every module is surjected onto by a free module (a presentation)

Choose a presentation $F \xrightarrow{f} M$ where F is free.

Since M is projective, there is $g : M \rightarrow F$ such that $f \circ g = \text{id}_M$.

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(f) \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{\begin{matrix} f \\ \downarrow g \end{matrix}} M \rightarrow 0$$

By the splitting lemma, $F = \ker(f) \oplus M$.

Alternatively (without citing splitting lemma), let $K = \ker(f)$. We want to show $K \cap M = 0$, and $F = K + M$.

Since $f \circ g = \text{id}_M$, $g : M \rightarrow F$ is injective, so we are actually thinking about M as $g(M) \subseteq F$.

If $a \in K \cap M$, then $a = g(b)$ for some $b \in F$, and $f(a) = 0$. We have $0 = f(a) = f(g(b)) = b \Rightarrow a = g(b) = g(0) = 0$. So $K \cap M = 0$.

Now let $a \in F$. We want to show $a \in K + M$. Write $a = (a - g(f(a))) + g(f(a))$.

Now $f(a - g(f(a))) = f(a) - f \circ g(f(a)) = f(a) - f(a) = 0$, so $(a - g(f(a))) \in K$.

Clearly $g(f(a)) \in M$ ← Remember that M denotes $g(M) \subseteq F$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $F = M \oplus N$ is free, and let $f : L \rightarrow M$ be a surjection of R -modules.

Define $f' : L \oplus N \rightarrow M \oplus N$ by $f'(l, n) = (f(l), n)$, i.e. $f' = f \oplus \text{id}_N$. Then f' is a surjection.

By part (a), since $M \oplus N$ is free, there is $g' : M \oplus N \rightarrow L \oplus N$ such that $f' \circ g' = \text{id}_{M \oplus N}$.

Define $g : M \rightarrow L$ by $g = g'|_M$, i.e. $g(m) = l$, where $g'(m, 0) = (l, 0)$.

Then $f \circ g = \text{id}_M$ since

$$f(g(m)) \stackrel{\text{we are just considering these equivalent}}{=} f'(g'(m, 0)) = (m, 0) = m.$$

Splitting Lemma:

Given a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{r} C \rightarrow 0$$

The following are equivalent:

1. Left Split: There exists a map $t : B \rightarrow A$ such that $t \circ r = \text{id}_A$

2. Right Split: There exists a map $u : C \rightarrow B$ such that $r \circ u = \text{id}_C$

3. Direct Sum: $B = A \oplus C$, with $q : A \rightarrow B$ the natural injection, and $r : B \rightarrow C$ the natural projection.

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} A \oplus C \xrightarrow{r} C \rightarrow 0$$

proof of splitting lemma

- (c) In linear algebra, a “projection” is a matrix A such that $A^2 = A$. More generally, if R is a commutative ring, we might say that an R -projection is an R -module homomorphism $A : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ such that $A^2 = A$. For R a commutative ring, prove that a finitely generated R -module M is projective if and only if it is the image of some projection.

\Leftrightarrow Let $A : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ be a projection. We claim that $R^n = \text{img}(A) \oplus \text{ker}(A)$.

If $a \in \text{img}(A) \cap \text{ker}(A)$, then $a = A(b)$ for some b , and we have $0 = A(a) = A^2(b) = A(b) = a$. So $\text{img}(A) \cap \text{ker}(A) = 0$.

Also, if $a \in R^n$, then $a = (a - A(a)) + A(a)$, where $a - A(a) \in \text{ker}(A)$, and $A(a) \in \text{img}(A)$, so $R^n = \text{img}(A) + \text{ker}(A)$. Thus we conclude by part (b) that $\text{img}(A)$ is projective.

\Rightarrow Suppose M is projective. By part (b), we know $M \oplus N = R^n$. Take $A : M \oplus N \rightarrow M \oplus N$ as $A(m, n) = A(m, 0)$.

We can assume finitely generated because M is f.g., so $R^k \rightarrow M$ for finite $k \Rightarrow R^n = M \oplus N$ as proven in part (b).

3. (January 2013 Problem 3) We say that a ring R is *Von Neumann regular* if, for every $a \in R$ there exists an $x \in R$ such that $a = axa$. The element x is called a weak inverse of a . In particular, every division algebra is von Neumann regular (just take $x = 0$ if $a = 0$ and $x = a^{-1}$ otherwise).

- (a) Give an example of a commutative von Neumann regular ring which is not a field.

In a commutative ring, the condition is equivalent to $a=a^2x$. In $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$, we have $0=0^2 \cdot x$, $1=1^2 \cdot 1$, $2=2^2 \cdot 2$, $3=3^2 \cdot 1$, $4=4^2 \cdot 1$, $5=5^2 \cdot 5$. Thus $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ is von Neumann regular.

- (b) Let R be $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and let a be the nilpotent matrix e_{12} which sends e_1 to 0 and e_2 to e_1 . Give a weak inverse for a .

We are solving $E_{12} = E_{12}XE_{12}$. If $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{bmatrix}$, we compute

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ so } x_2 = 1, \text{ so let } X = \begin{bmatrix} * & 1 \\ * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

More complicated way
to think about it, but
also more general
(like part c)

Alternatively, we can think more abstractly:

We have $a: e_1 \mapsto 0$, $e_2 \mapsto e_1$. We want $a \alpha a: e_1 \xrightarrow{a} 0 \xrightarrow{\alpha} ? \xrightarrow{a} 0$, $e_2 \xrightarrow{a} e_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} ? \xrightarrow{a} e_1$. Since a kills e_1 , X can send e_1 anywhere.

We need X to send e_1 to a vector which a sends to e_1 . This vector could be e_2 . So let X be the matrix sending $e_1 \mapsto e_2$, and e_2 anywhere.

- (c) Prove that if V is a vector space over a field k , the ring of endomorphisms $\text{End}_k V$ is von Neumann Regular.

Let $A \in \text{End}_k(V)$. We want to solve $AXA = A$.

Let $\{b_\alpha\}$ be a basis for $\ker(A)$. This completes to a basis for V , $\{b_\alpha\} \cup \{c_\beta\}$. By the Rank-Nullity Theorem, $\{b_\alpha\} \cup \{A(c_\beta)\}$ is also a basis of V .

To define $X: V \rightarrow V$, we only need to define it on the basis. For each b_α , we want

$AXA(b_\alpha) = A(b_\alpha) = 0$, and this will be true for any endomorphism X . For each c_β , we want $AXA(c_\beta) = A(c_\beta)$, so define $X(A(c_\beta)) = c_\beta$. Thus we have defined X on the basis $\{b_\alpha\} \cup \{A(c_\beta)\}$, and this extends to a map $X: V \rightarrow V$ with $AXA = A$.

Rank-Nullity Theorem:

If $T: V \rightarrow W$ is a linear map of vector spaces, then $\dim(\text{im}(T)) + \dim(\ker(T)) = \dim V$ and $V/\ker T \cong \text{im}(T)$

Rank-Nullity for Endomorphisms:

If $T: V \rightarrow V$, then $V = \ker(T) \oplus \text{im}(T)$.

4. (January 2004 Problem 5) Let R be a ring with 1 and let V be a left R -module. Suppose that $V = X \oplus Y$ is the internal direct sum of the two non-zero submodules X and Y .

- (a) Show that $0, X, Y$, and V are the only R -submodules of V if and only if X and Y are non-isomorphic simple R -modules.

\Leftarrow Assume X and Y are non-isomorphic simple submodules of V , and let U be any other submodule of V . Since X is simple, $U \cap X = 0$.

Intersection of submodules is a submodule

We also know that $U + X = V$, since $Y \leftarrow$ is simple and therefore cyclic.

An element of U has the form (x, y) for $y \neq 0$, and any such y generates Y

Thus we have

$$Y = V/X = (U+X)/X = U/(U \cap X) = U/0 = U$$

The same argument shows that $X \cong U$, which contradicts the assumption that $X \not\cong Y$.

\Rightarrow Assume $0, X, Y$, and V are the only submodules of V . Then X and Y must be simple, since any submodule of X or Y is a submodule of V .

Suppose $X \cong Y$, and let $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ be an isomorphism. Define $U = \{x - \varphi(x)\}$.

U is a nonzero submodule of V which is not X, Y , or V . This is a contradiction, so we conclude that $X \not\cong Y$.

$$X \cap U = 0, \text{ since } x - \varphi(x) \in X \Rightarrow \varphi(x) \in X \Rightarrow x - \varphi(x) = 0$$

- (b) If X and Y are non-isomorphic simple R -modules, prove that $\text{End}_R(V)$, the ring of R -endomorphisms of V , is isomorphic to the direct sum of two division rings.

Since X and Y are simple, Schur's Lemma tells us that any nonzero map $X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism. But $X \not\cong Y$, so we have $\text{Hom}_R(X, Y) = 0$, and similarly $\text{Hom}_R(Y, X) = 0$.

If $V = X \oplus Y$ for R -modules V, X, Y , then

$$\text{End}_R(V) = \text{End}_R(X) \oplus \text{End}_R(Y) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(X, Y) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(Y, X)$$

Thus we have $\text{End}_R(V) = \text{End}_R(X) \oplus \text{End}_R(Y)$.

Again by Schur's Lemma, any nonzero endomorphism of X must be an isomorphism, and is therefore invertible.

Thus $\text{End}_R(X)$ and similarly $\text{End}_R(Y)$ are both division rings.

The endomorphism ring of a simple module is a division ring.

sometimes this is the statement of Schur's

Simple Modules:

The simple modules over a ring R are the modules over R that have no nonzero proper submodules.

Equivalently, a module M is simple if and only if every cyclic submodule generated by a nonzero element of M equals M .

Second Isomorphism Theorem for Modules

Let M be a module, and let S and T be submodules of M . Then

$$S+T \cong S/S \cap T$$

Schur's Lemma (for Modules):

If M and N are two simple modules over a ring R , then any homomorphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ of R -modules is either invertible or zero.

Division Ring:

A division ring, also called a skew field, is a nonzero ring in which every nonzero element has a multiplicative inverse. They differ from fields only in that they are not required to be commutative.

5. (August 2008 Problem 5) Let R be a subring of the ring $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ of all complex $n \times n$ matrices, and suppose that R is finitely generated as a module over the integers \mathbb{Z} . Let $M \in R$.

(a) Show that M is contained in a commutative subring S of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ that is finitely generated as a \mathbb{Z} -module.

Noetherian Module:

A module is Noetherian if one of the following equivalent conditions hold:

- Every submodule is finitely generated
- Every ascending chain of submodules is eventually constant
- Every nonempty set of submodules contains a maximal element.

Noetherian Ring:

A ring is said to be Noetherian if it is a Noetherian module over itself. Note the submodules in this case are just the ideals.

Let $S = \mathbb{Z}[M] = \{a_0 + a_1 M + \dots + a_n M^n \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Clearly S is commutative.

Since R is finitely generated over \mathbb{Z} , and \mathbb{Z} is Noetherian, S must be finitely generated.

If R is a Noetherian ring, then every finitely generated R -module is Noetherian.

(b) Deduce that there is a monic polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $f(M) = 0$.

Integral Ring Extension:

Let S be a commutative ring with 1, and let R be a subring.

We call $R \subseteq S$ a ring extension.

An element $s \in S$ is called integral over R if there exists a monic polynomial $f \in R[x]$ such that $f(s) = 0$. If every $s \in S$ is integral over R , then S is said to be integral over R .

Since $\mathbb{Z}[M]$ is finitely generated over \mathbb{Z} , s is integral over \mathbb{Z} , and so by definition of integral, there is a monic polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $f(M) = 0$.

Theorem:

Let $R \subseteq S$ be a ring extension, and let $s \in S$. The following are equivalent:

- (a) s is integral over R
- (b) $R[s]$ is finitely generated as an R -module.
- (c) There exists a ring extension $R[s] \subseteq S'$ such that S' is finitely generated as an R -module.

Without applying theorem:

Let $\mathbb{Z}[M]$ be generated by g_1, \dots, g_n . For each g_i , $Mg_i \in \mathbb{Z}[M]$, and so for each i , we are

$Mg_i = a_{1i}g_1 + a_{2i}g_2 + \dots + a_{ni}g_n$ where $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$. This can be written as the matrix equation

$$Mg = Ag, \quad \text{where } A = (a_{ij}), \quad \text{and } g = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ \vdots \\ g_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that the vector space we are considering here is $\mathbb{Z}[M]^n$

Then $(MI_n - A)g = 0$. ← This does not immediately imply $\det(MI_n - A) = 0$ because we are not working over a field.

Let $B = \text{adj}(MI_n - A)$. Then

$$0 = B(MI_n - A)g = \det(MI_n - A)I_n g = \det(MI_n - A)g.$$

This implies that $\det(MI_n - A) \in \mathbb{Z}[M] = 0$, since the entries of g generate $\mathbb{Z}[M]$. Thus $\det(MI_n - A) = 0$. Now $\det(MI_n - A)$ is a monic polynomial in M of degree n with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} .

Laplace's Formula:

If A is an $n \times n$ matrix over a ring R , then we have

$$A \cdot \text{adj}(A) = \text{adj}(A)A = \det(A)I_n,$$

where $\text{adj}(A)$ is the adjugate of A , that is, the transpose of the cofactor matrix of A .

(c) Prove that $\text{tr}(M)$, the matrix trace of M , is an algebraic integer.

The trace induces map of \mathbb{Z} -modules $\text{tr}: \mathbb{Z}[M] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The image must be finitely generated as a \mathbb{Z} -module since $\mathbb{Z}[M]$ is. Thus the ring extension $\mathbb{Z} \leq \text{im}(\text{tr})$ is a finitely generated extension of commutative rings, which implies $\text{im}(\text{tr})$ is integral over \mathbb{Z} .

For each $\alpha \in \text{im}(\text{tr})$, we have the extension $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \leq \text{im}(\text{tr})$, and so we can use $(c) \Rightarrow (a)$ in the theorem to conclude α is integral over \mathbb{Z} .

Since $\alpha \in \text{im}(\text{tr})$ is integral over \mathbb{Z} , in particular $\text{tr}(M)$ is integral over \mathbb{Z} , i.e. $\text{tr}(M)$ is an algebraic integer.

Algebraic Integer:

An algebraic integer is a complex number that is a root of some monic polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} , i.e. an element of \mathbb{C} which is integral over \mathbb{Z} .

Alternatively, by part (b), there is a monic polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $f(M) = 0$. If p is the minimal polynomial of M , then p must divide f .
The minimal polynomial has coefficients in \mathbb{C} .

Thus the roots of p , which are the eigenvalues of M , are also roots of f . So we can say that each eigenvalue λ is algebraic, since $f(\lambda) = 0$. Since $\text{tr}(M)$ is the sum of the eigenvalues of M , we conclude that $\text{tr}(M)$ is algebraic.

2. (January 2014 Problem 4) Recall that a module M for a commutative ring R is called *torsion* if, for each $m \in M$, there exists a non-zero-divisor element of r such that $rm = 0$, and M is called *torsion-free* if $rm = 0$ implies that r is a zero divisor or $m = 0$.

- (a) If M and N are torsion modules, prove that $M \otimes_R N$ is torsion. If M and N are torsion-free modules, on the other hand, $M \otimes_R N$ need not be torsion free. For example, let I be the ideal (x, y) in the ring $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$, which is torsion-free because R is an integral domain. Prove that $I \otimes_R I$ is not torsion free by means of the following two steps:

If N and M are torsion, then any simple tensor $n \otimes m$ is torsion, $rn = 0 \Rightarrow r(n \otimes m) = 0$.

Since any element of $M \otimes N$ can be written as $\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i$, we can take $r = r_1 \cdots r_n$, where $r_i(a_i \otimes b_i) = 0$, r_i is not a zero-divisor. Then $r \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i = 0$, and r is not a zero-divisor. Thus $M \otimes N$ is torsion.

- (b) Show that $x \otimes y - y \otimes x \in I \otimes_R I$ is a torsion element;

We have $\text{xy}(\text{xy} \otimes \text{y} - \text{y} \otimes \text{xy}) = \text{xy} \otimes \text{xy} - \text{xy} \otimes \text{xy} = 0$.

- (c) Show that $x \otimes y - y \otimes x \neq 0$.

Note that we are considering \mathbb{C} to be an R -module, with $fa = f(0,0)a$ for $f \in R$, at \mathbb{C} .

Define $\Psi: I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\Psi(f, g) = f_x(0,0)g_y(0,0)$.

Clearly $\Psi(f_1 + f_2, g) = \Psi(f_1, g) + \Psi(f_2, g)$, and $\Psi(f, g_1 + g_2) = \Psi(f, g_1) + \Psi(f, g_2)$.

We want to show that $p\Psi(f, g) = \Psi(pf, g) = \Psi(f, pg)$ for $p \in R$.

We have

$$\Psi(pf, g) = [(pf)_x g_y](0,0) = [p f_x g_y + pf_x g_y](0,0) = [pf_x g_y](0,0) = p\Psi(f, g)$$

$$f(0,0) = g(0,0) = 0 \text{ since } f, g \in I = (x, y)$$

$$\Psi(f, pg) = [f_x(pg)_y](0,0) = [f_x p g_y + f_x p g_y](0,0) = [f_x p g_y](0,0) = p\Psi(f, g).$$

So Ψ is R -bilinear, and thus induces a map $I \otimes I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

We have $\Psi(x \otimes y - y \otimes x) = 1 \cdot 1 - 0 \cdot 0 = 1$. This implies that $x \otimes y - y \otimes x \neq 0$.

3. (August 2014 Problem 4) Let R be a commutative ring and M an R -module. Recall that a prime ideal P is an associated prime of M if there exists some $m \in M$ such that P consists of those f such that $fm = 0$; i.e., P is the annihilator of some $m \in M$.

(a) Let

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of R -modules, and let P be an associated prime of M . Prove that P is an associated prime of either M' or M'' .

Let $0 \rightarrow M' \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} M'' \rightarrow 0$ be exact, and let P be an associated prime of M .

Then $P = \text{Ann}(m)$ for some $m \in M$. Suppose P is not associated to M' . We will show P is associated to M'' .

Claim: If $a \in R - P$, then am is not in the image of f . In particular, m is not in the image of f .
proof:

First note that $\text{Ann}(m) = \text{Ann}(am)$ for $a \in R - P$, since

$$b(am) = 0 \Rightarrow (ba)m = 0 \Rightarrow ba \in \text{Ann}(m) = P \Rightarrow b \in P \leftarrow P \text{ is prime, and } a \notin P.$$

$$bm = 0 \Rightarrow bam = 0 \Rightarrow b \in \text{Ann}(am).$$

If $am = f(m')$ for some $m' \in M'$, then $\text{Ann}(m') = \text{Ann}(am) = P$, which contradicts the assumption that P is not associated to M' .

Since f is injective, we can consider $M' \subseteq M$ as a submodule

We claim that $P = \text{Ann}(g(m))$, and hence P is associated to M'' .

First, if $b \in P$, then $bg(m) = g(bm) = g(0) = 0$, so $b \in \text{Ann}(g(m))$.

Also, if $b \in \text{Ann}(g(m))$, then $0 = bg(m) = g(bm)$, which implies $bm \in \ker g = \text{Im } f$ by exactness. The claim implies $b \in P$.

- (b) Is the converse true? That is, if P is an associated prime of either M' or M'' , must it be the case that P is an associated prime of M ?

Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\times 2} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

The only associated prime of \mathbb{Z} is 0 .

The associated prime of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is $\text{Ann}(1) = (2)$

Note that $\text{Ann}(0) = \mathbb{Z}$ is not a prime ideal

Intuition

When you quotient a module, you gain torsion. So the annihilator of a given element has the chance to "get bigger".

1. (January 2015 Problem 3) Let $R = k[x]$, for k an algebraically closed field, and let M be a finitely generated R -module. Define the rank of M to be the dimension of the $k(x)$ -vector space $M_{(0)}$. (Here (0) is the prime ideal in R , and the subscript denotes localization.)

(a) Prove that the rank of M is finite.

Localization of a Module:

The localization of an R -module M is a systematic way to construct a new module $S^{-1}M$ containing algebraic fractions $\frac{m}{s}$, where the denominators s range in a given subset $S \subseteq R$.

$S^{-1}M$ consists of equivalence classes, where $\frac{m}{s} \equiv \frac{n}{t}$ if there is $u \in S$ with $u(sn-tm)=0$.

The localization of a ring is just the localization of the ring as a module over itself.

If P is a prime ideal of R then we denote $(R-P)^{-1}M$ as M_P

Since M is finitely generated as an R -module, there is a surjection $R^n \rightarrow M$ for some finite n . Thus we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

where K is an R -module. Thus we have the exact sequence

Localization commutes with direct sums

$$0 \rightarrow K_{(0)} \rightarrow R_{(0)}^n \rightarrow M_{(0)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Localization of a module is an exact functor.

Now $R_{(0)}^n = [R_{(0)}]^n = [k[x]_{(0)}]^n = [k(x)]^n$. Thus we have a surjection $k(x)^n \rightarrow M_{(0)}$, which implies $\dim_{k(x)} M_{(0)} < n$.

- (b) If the rank of M is zero, prove that there exists a polynomial $f \in R$ such that $M_f = 0$.

If the rank of M is zero, then $\dim_{k(x)} M_{(0)} = 0$, and thus $M_{(0)} = 0$.

So for each $m \in M$, there is $f \in R - (0)$ such that $fm = 0$.

Let $\{m_i\}$ be a finite set of generators of M , and let $f_i \in R - (0)$ be such that $f_i m_i = 0$.

Let $F = \prod f_i$. Then for any $m = \sum a_i m_i$ in M , we have

$$Fm = \sum_i (a_i \prod f_j) f_i m_i = 0.$$

If we consider m as an element of M_F , we have

$$m = \frac{F}{F}m = \frac{Fm}{F} = 0,$$

which implies $M_F = 0$.

If R is an integral domain, then $\frac{m}{s} = \frac{n}{t}$ iff $sn-tm=0$. In other words, fractions work "as we expect".

(c) Consider the function $f : k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by

$$f(a) = \dim_k M \otimes_R R/(x-a)$$

Prove that for all but finitely many $a \in k$ we have $f(a) = \text{rank } M$.

Since $k[x]$ is a PID, by the structure theorem for finitely generated modules over a PID, we can say

$$M = k[x]^r \oplus k[x]/Q_1 \oplus k[x]/Q_2 \oplus \dots \oplus k[x]/Q_n$$

where each Q_i is a primary ideal.

Since $k[x]$ is a PID, and since k is algebraically closed, every prime ideal of $k[x]$ has the form $(x-a)$ for $a \in k$.

In a PID, the primary ideals coincide with the powers of prime ideals

So each primary ideal Q_i has the form $Q_i = (x-a_i)^{m_i}$

Let $S = k - \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$. We show that for $b \in S$, $\dim_k M \otimes_R R/(x-b) = \text{rank } M = r$

If $b \in S$, then $(x-b)$ and $(x-a_i)$ are relatively prime for each i , and so $R/(x-a_i)^{m_i} \otimes R/(x-b) = 0$

same reasoning as $\mathbb{Z}_m \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$

We conclude that

$$M \otimes_R R/(x-b) = R^r \otimes_R R/(x-b) = (R/(x-b))^r$$

from distributing tensor over direct sum

Thus $f(b) = \dim_k (k[x]/(x-b))^r = r$

Now we calculate $\text{rank } M = \dim_{k(x)} M_{(0)}$.

We have $M_{(0)} = M \otimes_R k(x)$

And so

$$\text{rank } M = \dim_{k(x)} M \otimes_R k(x) = \dim_{k(x)} R^r \otimes k(x) = \dim_{k(x)} k(x)^r = r.$$

Structure Theorem:

Invariant factor decomposition: For every finitely generated module M over a principal ideal domain R , there is a unique decreasing sequence of proper ideals $(d_1) \supseteq (d_2) \supseteq \dots \supseteq (d_n)$ such that M is isomorphic to the sum of cyclic modules:

$$M \cong \bigoplus_i R/(d_i) = R/(d_1) \oplus R/(d_2) \oplus \dots \oplus R/(d_n)$$

The generators d_i are unique up to multiplication by a unit. The inclusion of ideals gives $d_1 | d_2 | \dots | d_n$. The free part corresponds to $d_1 = 0$, and so we may rewrite

$$M \cong R^f \oplus R/(d_1) \oplus \dots \oplus R/(d_{n-f})$$

Primary Decomposition: For every finitely generated module M over a principal ideal domain R , we can write

$$M \cong \bigoplus_i R/(g_i)$$

where $(g_i) \neq R$ and (g_i) are primary ideals. The g_i are unique up to multiplication of units. We may also write

$$M \cong R^f \oplus \left(\bigoplus_i R/(g_i) \right)$$

where each copy of R corresponds to $g_i = 0$.

$$S^{-1}M = M \otimes_R S^{-1}R$$