

## ASSIGNMENT 8

COLTON GRAINGER (MATH 6140 ALGEBRA 2)

ASSIGNMENT DUE 2019-03-11

**Notation.** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix and let  $F$  be a field containing the eigenvalues of  $A$ . Then  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(F)$ .

**[1, No. 12.3.3].** *Given.* Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(F)$  have eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ .

*To prove.* For each integer  $k \geq 1$ , the eigenvalues of  $A^k$  are  $\lambda_1^k, \dots, \lambda_n^k$ .

*Proof.* Similar matrices have the same characteristic polynomial, and therefore the eigenvalues of  $A$  are the same as the eigenvalues of the Jordan canonical form  $J = PAP^{-1}$ . Now because  $J$  is a direct sum of its Jordan blocks, it suffices to prove:

If an arbitrary Jordan block  $J_\lambda$  has eigenvalue  $\lambda$  with multiplicity  $\ell$ , then  $J_\lambda^k$  has eigenvalue  $\lambda^k$  with multiplicity  $\ell$ .

Consider such a Jordan block  $J_\lambda$ , with eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of multiplicity  $\ell$ . It is an upper triangular  $\ell \times \ell$  matrix. Recall that the  $\ell \times \ell$  upper triangular matrices form a subring of  $\mathcal{M}_\ell(F)$ , and that the diagonal of a product of two upper triangular matrices is the entry-wise product of the two diagonals:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & a_{\ell\ell} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & b_{\ell\ell} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}b_{11} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & a_{\ell\ell}b_{\ell\ell} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, because  $J_\lambda^k$  is a finite product of upper triangular matrices, each entry of along the diagonal of  $J_\lambda^k$  is  $\lambda^k$ . Moreover, the matrix  $xI - J_\lambda^k$  is upper triangular, and because the determinant of an upper triangular matrix is the product of its diagonal entries,  $J_\lambda^k$  has characteristic polynomial  $\prod_{i=1}^\ell (x - \lambda^k)$ . Therefore  $J_\lambda^k$  has eigenvalue  $\lambda^k$  with multiplicity  $\ell$ . Applying this argument to each block of the Jordan canonical form  $J = PAP^{-1}$ , it is apparent that  $J^k = PA^kP^{-1}$  has eigenvalues  $\lambda_1^k, \dots, \lambda_n^k$ .  $\square$

**[1, No. 12.3.17].** *Given.* Let  $A^t$  be the transpose of  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(F)$ .

*To prove.*  $A$  is similar to  $A^t$ .

*Proof.* Say  $J = PAP^{-1}$  is the JCF of  $A$ . Because  $P$  is invertible, the transpose  $P^t$  is also invertible. Therefore  $A^t$  is similar to  $J^t = (P^{-1})^t A^t P^t$ . Because similarity is an equivalence relation,  $A$  is similar to  $A^t$  if and only if  $J$  is similar to  $J^t$ .

As  $J$  and  $J^t$  are block diagonal matrices, they are similar if and only if their blocks are similar up to some permutation. Thus, to show  $J$  is similar to  $J^t$ , it suffices to demonstrate that an arbitrary Jordan block  $J_\lambda$  is similar to its transpose  $J_\lambda^t$ . In particular, appealing to Theorem 12.15 and the Chinese remainder theorem,  $J_\lambda$  and  $J_\lambda^t$  are similar if they have the same *single* elementary divisor.

Let  $c(x)$ ,  $m(x)$ ,  $c_t(x)$ , and  $m_t(x)$  be the characteristic and minimal polynomials for  $J_\lambda$  and  $J_\lambda^t$  respectively. We need to show

$$c(x) = m(x) = m_t(x) = c_t(x).$$

Because  $J_\lambda$  is a Jordan block, it has a single elementary divisor. Therefore

$$(1) \quad m(x) = c(x).$$

As the determinant of a matrix is invariant under transposition, the characteristic polynomial  $c_t(x)$  of  $J_\lambda^t$  is

$$(2) \quad c_t(x) = \det(xI - J_\lambda^t) = \det((xI - J_\lambda)^t) = \det(xI - J_\lambda) = c(x).$$

Now suppose  $m_t(x) = \sum_k a_k x^k$  is the minimal polynomial of  $J_\lambda^t$ . Then

$$(3) \quad \sum_k a_k (J_\lambda^t)^k = m_t(J_\lambda^t) = 0.$$

Because  $(J_\lambda^t)^k = (J_\lambda^k)^t$ , transposing each term in equation (3) implies

$$(4) \quad \sum_k a_k (J_\lambda)^k = m_t(J_\lambda) = 0.$$

Because  $m(x)$  is minimal among monic polynomials that  $J_\lambda$  satisfies, equation (4) implies

$$m(x) \mid m_t(x).$$

By Cayley-Hamilton,  $m_t(x) \mid c_t(x)$ . So by (2),

$$m_t(x) \mid c(x),$$

and this with (1) implies

$$m_t(x) \mid m(x).$$

Therefore, by divisibility considerations,  $m(x) = m_t(x)$ . (And so by degree considerations,  $m_t(x) = c_t(x)$ .)

We have demonstrated that  $J_\lambda$  and  $J_\lambda^t$  have the same elementary divisor  $m(x)$ . Repeating this argument for each block of  $J$  and  $J^t$ , one may show  $J$  is similar to  $J^t$ . We conclude  $A$  is similar to  $A^t$ .  $\square$

**[1, No. 12.3.18].** *Given.* Suppose  $T$  is a linear transformation with characteristic polynomial  $c(x) = (x - 2)^2(x - 3)^2$ .

*To exhibit.* A Jordan canonical form for each possible similarity class of  $T$ .

*Exhibition.* There are 3 integer partitions of 3 and 2 integers partitions of 2, corresponding to the possible elementary divisors of  $c(x)$ . The transformation  $T$  belongs to one of the following 6 similarity classes, represented by Jordan canonical form.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & & & \\ & 2 & & \\ & & 2 & \\ & & & 3 \\ & & & & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & & \\ & 2 & & \\ & & 2 & \\ & & & 3 \\ & & & & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & & \\ & 2 & 1 & \\ & & 2 & \\ & & & 3 \\ & & & & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & & & \\ & 2 & & \\ & & 2 & \\ & & & 3 & 1 \\ & & & & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & & \\ & 2 & & \\ & & 2 & \\ & & & 3 & 1 \\ & & & & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & & \\ & 2 & 1 & \\ & & 2 & \\ & & & 3 & 1 \\ & & & & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\square$

[1, No. 12.3.20]. *Given.* Let  $p$  be a prime and consider the following matrices in  $\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbf{F}_p)$ .

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ & 1 & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 0 \\ & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ 1 & 1 & & \\ & 1 & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

*To prove.*  $R$  and  $J$  are similar in  $\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbf{F}_p)$ .

*Proof.* Notice  $R$  is in RCF and  $J$  is in JCF, each with one block corresponding to a single invariant factor. Computing  $\det(xI - R)$  and  $\det(xI - J)$ , we find

- $R$  has invariant factor  $x^p - 1$ , and
- $J$  has invariant factor  $(x - 1)^p$ .

Because  $\mathbf{F}_p$  is a field of characteristic  $p$ , the binomial  $(x - 1)^p$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[x]$  expands to

$$\sum_{k=1}^p \binom{p}{k} x^{p-k} (-1)^k \quad \text{with} \quad \binom{p}{k} = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad 0 < k < p.$$

Then  $x^p - 1 = (x - 1)^p$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[x]$ . So  $R$  and  $J$  have the same invariant factor. Therefore  $R$  and  $J$  are similar in  $\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbf{F}_p)$ .  $\square$

[1, No. 12.3.21]. *Given.* Let  $A^2 = A$  be an idempotent  $n \times n$  matrix over  $F$ .

*To prove.*  $A$  is diagonalizable and is similar to a matrix with only 1s and 0s along the diagonal.

*Proof.* The minimal polynomial  $m(x)$  divides  $x^2 - x = x(x - 1)$ , as our hypothesis is that  $A^2 - A = 0$ . So  $m(x)$  is one of the following:  $x$ ,  $x - 1$ , or  $x(x - 1)$ . If  $m(x) = x$ , then *all* of the elementary divisors of  $A$  are  $x$ . In this case,  $A = 0$  is diagonalizable. In the case that  $m(x) = x - 1$ , then *all* of the elementary divisors of  $A$  are  $x - 1$ , and  $A = I$  is diagonalizable. Lastly, in the case that  $m(x) = x(x - 1)$ , the invariant factors are either

$$x \mid \dots \mid x(x - 1) \quad \text{or} \quad x - 1 \mid \dots \mid x(x - 1).$$

We deduce the elementary divisors are *all* either  $x$  or  $x - 1$ . Thus  $A$  has a JCF consisting of  $n$  Jordan blocks, each block associated to either the eigenvalue 0 or the eigenvalue 1, with multiplicity 1.  $\square$

[1, No. 12.3.22]. *Given.* Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(F)$  and require  $A^3 = A$ .

*To prove.* If  $F$  is any field *not* of characteristic 2, then it is possible that  $A$  is diagonalizable.

*Proof.* The minimal polynomial  $m(x)$  of  $A$  divides  $x^3 - x = x(x - 1)(x + 1)$ . Suppose the characteristic of  $F$  is not 2. Then  $m(x)$  is the product of distinct linear factors. Because  $m(x)$  has no repeated roots, by Corollary 25,  $A$  is diagonalizable.

Suppose on the other hand that  $F$  has characteristic 2. Then perhaps  $m(x)$  has  $(x + 1)^2$  as a factor. If so, because  $m(x)$  has repeated roots,  $A$  is *not* diagonalizable.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

[1] D. Dummit and R. Foote, *Abstract algebra*. Prentice Hall, 2004.