

# METRICS, ALGEBRAS, AND MEASURES

COLTON GRAINGER (MATH 6130 ANALYSIS)

## 1. ASSIGNMENT DUE 2018-09-12

**1.1. Open balls in metric spaces.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space. We will show that balls in the metric space are open.

Take an arbitrary point in the space  $x \in X$  and a radius  $\varepsilon > 0$ . I claim

$$B_\rho(\varepsilon, x) := \{a \in X : \rho(a, x) < \varepsilon\}$$

is open in  $X$ .

Indeed, take a point  $a \in B_\rho(\varepsilon, x)$  (note that  $\rho(a, x) < \varepsilon$ ). Now consider the radius  $\delta = \varepsilon - \rho(a, x) > 0$ . We need to show the open ball  $B_\rho(\delta, a)$  containing the point  $a$ , is nested in within a radius  $\varepsilon$  of  $x$ , i.e., we need to show<sup>1</sup>  $B_\rho(\delta, a) \subset B_\rho(\varepsilon, x)$ . Well, if  $b \in B_\rho(\delta, a)$ , then  $\rho(a, b) < \delta = \varepsilon - \rho(a, x)$ , with the triangle inequality we have  $\rho(b, x) \leq \rho(a, b) + \rho(a, x) < \varepsilon$ , hence  $b \in B_\rho(\varepsilon, x)$ .

So for every point  $a$  in the open ball  $B_\rho(\varepsilon, x)$  there's a nested open ball  $B_\rho(\delta, a)$  such that  $a \in B_\rho(\delta, a) \subset B_\rho(\varepsilon, x)$ . By definition,  $B_\rho(\varepsilon, x)$  is open.

**1.2. New metric spaces from old.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Define  $\rho: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\rho(x, y) = \frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)}.$$

We'll show that  $\rho$  is a metric on  $X$ .

*Key idea.* We have some transformation  $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  of the metric  $d$  given by  $f(d) = \frac{d}{1+d}$ . Now  $f$  is strictly monotone, so order preserving, and concave, so subadditive. We'll make repeated use of the property that

$$\text{if } c, k \in [0, \infty) \text{ and } c \leq k, \text{ we have } c(1+k) = c + ck \leq k + ck = k(1+c) \text{ whence } \frac{c}{1+c} \leq \frac{k}{1+k}.$$

We have equality iff  $c = k$ .

Now to show that  $\rho$  is a metric, take three arbitrary points  $x, y, z \in X$ .

- We have  $y = x$  iff  $d(x, y) = 0$  iff  $\rho(x, y) = 0$ , since for the metric transformation defined above  $f(d(x, y)) = \rho(x, y)$  and  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f^{-1}(0) = 0$ .
- Symmetry of  $\rho$  is clear from the symmetry of  $d$ ; consider  $\frac{d(x, y)}{1+d(x, y)} = \frac{d(y, x)}{1+d(y, x)}$ .
- To verify the triangle inequality holds in the metric space  $(X, \rho)$ , let  $a = d(y, x)$ ,  $b = d(z, y)$  and  $c = d(z, x)$ . We need to show

$$\frac{c}{1+c} \leq \frac{a}{1+a} + \frac{b}{1+b}.$$

Note  $a, b, c \in [0, \infty)$ . Since the triangle inequality holds in the space  $(X, d)$ , we have  $c \leq a + b$ . Consider  $k = a + b$ . It follows that  $c \leq k$  and thus  $\frac{c}{1+c} \leq \frac{k}{1+k}$ . That is,  $\frac{c}{1+c} \leq \frac{a+b}{1+a+b}$  hence, with  $a, b \geq 0$ ,

$$\frac{c}{1+c} \leq \frac{a+b}{1+a+b} = \frac{a}{1+a+b} + \frac{b}{1+a+b} \leq \frac{a}{1+a} + \frac{b}{1+b},$$

as desired.

---

*Date:* 2018-09-01.

*Compiled:* 2018-09-12.

<sup>1</sup>We denote “ $X$  is a subset of  $Y$ ” by  $X \subset Y$  and “ $Y$  is a proper subset of  $X$ ” by  $X \subsetneq Y$ .

We conclude that  $\rho$  is a metric on  $X$  because we've demonstrated

- $\rho$  is nonnegative in general and zero only between identical points,
- $\rho$  is symmetric between points, and
- $\rho$  has the triangle inequality for distances between any three points.

(a) Now, for any point  $x \in X$  and radius  $r > 0$ ,

$$B_d(r, x) = B_\rho\left(\frac{r}{1+r}, x\right).$$

We have set equality for points within a radius  $r$  of  $x$  in  $(X, d)$  and points within radius  $f(r) = \frac{r}{1+r}$  of  $x$  in  $(X, \rho)$  where  $f$  is the above defined metric transformation.

(b) Further, if  $G$  is an  $(X, d)$ -open set, then  $G$  is also  $(X, \rho)$ -open. That is,  $G$  is open in  $(X, d)$  iff there's some ball of radius (in the  $d$  metric)  $\varepsilon$  around each point nested in  $G$  iff there's some ball of radius  $\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}$  (in the  $\rho$  metric) around the same point, also nested in  $G$  iff  $G$  is open in  $(X, \rho)$ .

1.3. **An algebra of sets.** Let  $X$  be an uncountable set. Consider the union

$$\mathcal{A} = \{U \subset X : U \text{ is finite}\} \cup \{V \subset X : X \setminus V \text{ is finite}\}.$$

(a)  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra of sets of  $X$ . Closure under complements is apparent. We have closure under finite unions noting that either

- a union of the  $E_i$  is infinite with a finite complement when one of the  $E_i$  is infinite, or
- a finite union of the  $E_i$  is finite when all of the  $E_i$  are finite.

We have closure under intersections by noting that either

- a finite intersection of the  $E_i$  has with a finite complement when all of the  $E_i$  are infinite, or
- a finite intersection of the  $E_i$  is finite when one of the  $E_i$  are finite.

(b) Indeed  $\mathcal{A}$  is *not* a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$ , for consider that the infinite intersection of sets with a finite complement may no longer have a finite complement. The smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{A}$  is the algebra where countable unions and countable intersections are allowed, and this is given by relaxing the requirements in the set builder notation to " $U$  is countable" or " $X \setminus V$  is countable".

1.4. **Rings and  $\sigma$ -rings [1, No. 1.1].** A family of sets  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  is called a ring if it is closed under finite unions and differences; a ring that is closed under countable unions is called a  $\sigma$ -ring.

a. A ring  $\mathcal{R}$  is closed under finite intersections, for each pair of sets  $E, F$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ , write

$$E \cap F = (E \cup F) \setminus ((E \setminus F) \cup (F \setminus E)).$$

Now a point  $x$  is in both  $E$  and  $F$  if and only if  $x$  is in either  $E$  or  $F$  but not in *only*  $F$  or *only*  $E$ . Respectively, a  $\sigma$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$  is closed under countable intersections, for each countable family of sets  $E_j$  write for the

$$\bigcap E_j = \bigcup_{\forall j} E_j \setminus \left[ \bigcup_{\forall j} (E_j \setminus \bigcup_{k \neq j} E_k) \right]$$

where the unions on the left hand side are countable.

b. A ring (respectively  $\sigma$ -ring)  $\mathcal{R}$  on  $X$  is an algebra (respectively  $\sigma$ -algebra) iff  $X \in \mathcal{R}$ . ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $\mathcal{R}$  is an ( $\sigma$ ) algebra. Then  $X \in \mathcal{R}$  and so too  $A \setminus B = A \cap B^c \in \mathcal{R}$ , whence  $\mathcal{R}$  is closed under differences, and so  $\mathcal{R}$  is a ( $\sigma$ ) ring. ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that  $\mathcal{R}$  is an ( $\sigma$ ) ring and  $X \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then  $E^c = X \setminus E \in \mathcal{R}$ . So  $\mathcal{R}$  is closed under complements, and therefore an ( $\sigma$ ) algebra.

c. Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a  $\sigma$ -ring on  $X$ , and take

$$\mathcal{A} = \{E \subset X : E \in \mathcal{R} \text{ or } E^c \in \mathcal{R}\}.$$

- We assume  $\mathcal{R}$  is nonempty.

- We have that  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under countable unions as  $\mathcal{R}$  is.
  - We have that  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  implies  $E^c \in \mathcal{A}$ ; as when  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  either  $E$  or  $E^c$  are in the ring  $\mathcal{R}$ , whence, by definition of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we conclude  $E^c \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- d. Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a  $\sigma$ -ring. Take  $\mathcal{A} = \{E \subset X : E \cap F \in \mathcal{R} \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{R}\}$ . Note  $\mathcal{A}$  contains  $\mathcal{R}$  (we think of  $\mathcal{A}$  as extending  $\mathcal{R}$ ) and is therefore closed under countable unions. Further note that  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , whence by previous argument can write complements as set differences with the ambient space  $X$ , so  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under complements. We conclude  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**1.5. The Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathbf{R}$  [1, No. 1.2].** To demonstrate the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{R}}$  is generated by the following collections of intervals in  $\mathbf{R}$ , note that we need only obtain an expression (in terms of countable unions, countable intersections, and complements) for the open balls as a basis for the standard topology on the real line. From there, we rely on the fact that every open set in the standard topology is a countable union of open balls.

- That the open intervals generate  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{R}}$  follows from the argument above.
- A countable union of closed intervals of the form  $[a + \frac{1}{n}, b - \frac{1}{n}]$  is an open ball.
- A countable union of half-open intervals of the form  $[a + \frac{1}{n}, b)$  or  $(a, b - \frac{1}{n}]$  is an open ball.
- The union of an open ray with the complement of a open ray is either empty or an open ball.
- The union of a closed ray with the complement of a closed ray either empty or a closed ball, an infinite union of which form an open ball.

**1.6. Infinite  $\sigma$ -algebras [1, No. 1.3].** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an infinite  $\sigma$ -algebra. Then  $\mathcal{M}$  contains an infinite sequence of disjoint nonempty sets, and  $\text{card}(\mathcal{M}) \geq \mathfrak{c}$ .

*Proof.*

We'll show the existence of a set  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of nonempty disjoint events in the  $\sigma$  algebra. We proceed by induction on the number of such disjoint sets, indexed by some function  $f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ .

As a base for induction, consider two distinct nonempty events  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , which exist since  $\mathcal{M}$  is infinite.

- Case:  $E_2 \setminus E_1$  is empty. Then  $E_2 \subsetneq E_1$  (since  $E_2 \neq E_1$ ).
  - So let  $f(1) = E_1 \setminus E_2$  (nonempty because  $E_2$  is a proper subset) and
  - $f(2) = E_2$  (nonempty by construction).
- Case:  $E_2 \setminus E_1$  is nonempty.
  - Then let  $f(1) = E_1$  (nonempty by construction) and
  - $f(2) = E_2 \setminus E_1$  (nonempty in this case).

For the inductive step, suppose that we have a set of  $n$  disjoint nonempty events  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is infinite,  $\mathcal{M}$  contains an  $E_{n+1}$  distinct from the finite set of all possible unions of the  $E_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  (there are  $2^n$  of such possible unions, given that  $\mathcal{P}(\{E_i\}_{i=1}^n)$  has a natural one-to-one correspondence with the set of such possible unions).

- Case:  $E_{n+1} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\right)$  is empty. Then  $E_{n+1} \subsetneq \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i$  (since  $E_{n+1}$  was chosen distinct from unions of the  $E_i$ ).
  - So let  $f(i) = E_i \setminus E_{n+1}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  (nonempty because  $E_{n+1}$  is distinct from the  $E_i$ , and contained in their union) and
  - $f(n+1) = E_{n+1}$  (nonempty by construction).
- Case:  $E_{n+1} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\right)$  is nonempty.
  - Then let  $f(i) = E_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  (nonempty by construction) and
  - $f(n+1) = E_{n+1} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\right)$  (nonempty in this case).

In either case, the set  $\{f(i)\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$  is a nonempty collection of disjoint events in the  $\sigma$ -algebra, which concludes our induction on  $n$ .

Now suppose we've parameterized the sequence of disjoint events in the  $\sigma$  algebra as  $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ . There's a natural injection from  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  into  $\mathcal{M}$ , namely a bijection between  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  and unions of families in  $\mathcal{P}(\{E_j\}_{j=1}^\infty)$  (consider the possible values of the indicator function which indicates whether some set  $E_j$  is *or is not* included in the union). Whence  $\text{card}(\mathcal{M}) \geq 2^{\aleph_0} = \mathfrak{c}$ .

**1.7. Closure under countable increasing unions [1, No. 1.4].** An algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $\sigma$ -algebra iff  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under countable increasing unions. That is,

$$\text{if } \{E_j\}_1^\infty \subset \mathcal{A} \text{ and } E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \cdots, \text{ then } \cup_1^\infty E_j \in \mathcal{A}.$$

**1.8. Linear combinations of measures [1, No. 1.7].** If  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  are measures on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in [0, \infty)$ , then  $\sum_1^n a_j \mu_j$  is a measure on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ .

**1.9. Summing sets by union and intersection [1, No. 1.9].** If  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  is a measure space and  $E, F \in \mathcal{M}$ , then

$$\mu(E) + \mu(F) = \mu(E \cup F) + \mu(E \cap F).$$

**1.10. A measure relative to set intersection [1, No. 1.10].** If  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  is a measure space and  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , define

$$\mu_E(A) = \mu(A \cap E) \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Then  $\mu_E$  is a measure.

#### REFERENCES

[1] G. B. Folland, *Real analysis*, Second. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1999.