

# Not Closed Sets and Continuous Functions

Colton Grainger  
MAT-441 Topology

February 22, 2016

**Postulate** (Archimedes). For any  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $x < n$ .

**Definition 17** (convergent sequence). If  $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ , then we say *converges to  $x$* , written as  $x_i \rightarrow x$ , if and only if for every open set  $U$  containing  $x$ , there is an  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $x_i \in U$  for all  $i > N$ .

**Definition 18** (limit point in  $\mathbf{R}$ ). Let  $A$  be a subset of  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $x$  be a point in  $\mathbf{R}$ . Then  $x$  is a *limit point* of  $A$  if and only if for every open set  $U$  containing  $x$ , the intersection  $(U \setminus \{x\}) \cap A$  is not empty.

**Lemma.** A real number  $x$  is a limit point of the set  $A \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  if and only if  $x = \lim a_i$  for some sequence of real numbers  $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$  contained in a set  $A$  satisfying  $a_i \neq x$  for all  $i \in \mathbf{N}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a subset of the real numbers.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose a real number  $x$  is a limit point of  $A$ . Let us construct a sequence: for each  $i \in \mathbf{N}$ , consider the radius  $1/i > 0$ ; by definition 18 there exists an real number not  $x$  (call it  $a_i$ ) such that

$$a_i \in B(x, 1/i) \cap A.$$

We have defined each term  $a_i \neq x$  in the sequence of real numbers  $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \subseteq A$ . To show  $\{a_i\}$  converges to  $x$ , consider any open set of real numbers  $U$  which contains  $x$ . By the definition of an open set in  $\mathbf{R}$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$B(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq U.$$

We desire to find an index  $N$  after which all terms of the sequence  $\{a_i\}$  lie within the open ball  $B(x, \varepsilon)$ . To do this, choose<sup>1</sup>  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that

$$N > 1/\varepsilon.$$

Consider the index  $j > N$ . It is clear

$$j > \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \text{ if and only if } \varepsilon > \frac{1}{j}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>We know  $N$  exists by Archimedes' postulate.

By construction, the term  $a_j$  is contained in  $B(x, 1/j)$ . Further, the radius  $1/j$  is less than  $\varepsilon$ . Taking both these facts in stride, we know

$$a_j \in B(x, 1/j) \subseteq B(x, \varepsilon).$$

Knowing  $B(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq U$ , we conclude

$$a_j \in U$$

which is precisely the condition (elaborated in definition 17) to be met for us to say that  $\{a_i\}$  converges to  $x$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $A$  contains the sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$  where  $a_i \neq x$  for all  $i \in \mathbf{N}$ . Further suppose  $\{a_i\}$  converges to  $x$ . Let  $U$  be an open set containing  $x$ . As a consequence of definition 17, we know: there exists  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $i > N$  implies  $a_i \in U$ . Choose the least index<sup>2</sup>  $j$  greater than  $N$ . Because  $a_j \neq x$  is an element of both  $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$  and  $U$ , we know

$$a_j \in (U \setminus \{x\}) \cap \{a_i\}.$$

Because  $\{a_i\} \subseteq A$ , we know

$$a_j \in (U \setminus \{x\}) \cap A.$$

It follows that

$$(U \setminus \{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$$

which is exactly the condition (elaborated in definition 18) to be must be met for  $x$  to be a limit point of  $A$ .  $\square$

**Definition 19** (closed set in  $\mathbf{R}$ ). A set in  $\mathbf{R}$  is *closed* if and only if it contains all of its limit points.

**Example 40.** If  $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  is finite, then  $X$  is closed.

**Example 47.** Each singleton set  $\{1/n\}$  (for all natural numbers  $n$ ) is closed, but their union (the set of harmonic numbers) is not closed.

*Tedious Proof.* For all natural numbers  $n$ , let  $\{1/n\}$  be the singleton set containing the multiplicative inverse of  $n$ . Because each set  $\{1/n\}$  is finite, each set is closed (see example 40). Let us denote the union

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \right\} &= \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots \right\} \\ &:= \mathcal{H}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{H}$  represents the set of harmonic numbers.

We will show that  $\mathcal{H}$  does not contain its limit point 0. First, let's verify 0 is a limit point of  $\mathcal{H}$ . To do this, assume  $U$  is an open set which contains 0. By definition of an open set in  $\mathbf{R}$ , there is radius  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the open ball  $B(0, \varepsilon)$  is contained in  $U$ . We now

---

<sup>2</sup>The set  $\{i \in \mathbf{N} : i > N\}$  is a nonempty subset of the natural numbers, so it has a least element  $j$ .

desire a harmonic number which is less than  $\varepsilon$ . By Archimedes' postulate, we can find a natural number  $N > 1/\varepsilon$  such that the harmonic number

$$\frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon.$$

So follows

$$\frac{1}{N} \in B(0, \varepsilon) \subseteq U.$$

Because  $\frac{1}{N} \neq 0$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{N} \in (U \setminus \{0\}) \cap \mathcal{H},$$

which is exactly the condition which must be met for 0 to be a limit point of  $\mathcal{H}$  (see definition 18). As the harmonic numbers are the multiplicative inverses of the natural numbers (each of which have finite magnitude), 0 is not contained in  $\mathcal{H}$ . We conclude  $\mathcal{H}$  is not closed (see definition 19).  $\square$

*Fancy Proof.* For each natural number  $n$ , consider the set  $\{1/n\}$ . Because the set  $\{1/n\}$  is finite, it is closed. Now see the union

$$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \right\} = \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots \right\}$$

is identically the sequence  $\{1/n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  which converges<sup>3</sup> to 0. Because there does not exist a natural number  $n$  such that  $1/n = 0$ , we know the union does not contain 0. But, by the lemma, we know 0 is a limit point of the union. So the union is not closed.  $\square$

**Example 6 (b).** The set  $\mathbf{Q}$  of rational numbers is neither open nor closed in  $\mathbf{R}$ .

*Proof.* This is a proof by two contradictions—demonstrating first that  $\mathbf{Q}$  cannot be open and second that  $\mathbf{Q}$  cannot be closed.

First, suppose that  $\mathbf{Q}$  is open. Then there exists an open ball  $B(0, \varepsilon)$  containing 0 such that  $B(0, \varepsilon) \subseteq \mathbf{Q}$ . Because  $\mathbf{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbf{R}$ , there exists a rational number  $r$  such that  $0 < r < \varepsilon$ . As  $0 < r/\sqrt{2} < \varepsilon$ , we know the point  $r/\sqrt{2}$  is an element of  $B(0, \varepsilon)$ . With  $r \in \mathbf{Q}$ , are we to accept that  $r/\sqrt{2} \in \mathbf{Q}$ ? Certainly not. It follows that  $\mathbf{Q}$  cannot be open.

Second, suppose that  $\mathbf{Q}$  is closed. Then  $\mathbf{Q}$  contains all of its limit points. Consider the sequence of rational<sup>4</sup> numbers

$$\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}} = \left\{ 1, 1 + \frac{1}{2}, 1 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{2}}, 1 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{2}}}, \dots \right\}.$$

This proof would be rigorous if we knew the  $\{a_i\}$  converge to some real number, call it  $x$ . For the sake of example, let's suppose this is a fact. As  $a_i \rightarrow x$ , we have

$$x = 1 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{2 + \dots}}}.$$

<sup>3</sup>For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N > 1/\varepsilon$  such that  $n > N$  implies  $|1/n - 0| < \varepsilon$ .

<sup>4</sup>Because  $\mathbf{Q}$  is an ordered field, i.e., closed under the usual addition and multiplication as well as containing inverses, each term in the sequence is indeed a rational number.

If  $\mathbf{Q}$  is closed (and we are supposing it is closed), then (by the lemma)  $x \in \mathbf{Q}$ . We will see that  $x \in \mathbf{Q}$  produces contradiction. Rearranging,

$$x = 1 + \frac{1}{1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{2 + \dots}}}}.$$

Since  $x$  is defined as the limit of the  $a_i$ , we may substitute

$$x = 1 + \frac{1}{1 + x}.$$

We proceed to find

$$\begin{aligned} x - 1 &= \frac{1}{1 + x}, \\ (x - 1)(x + 1) &= 1, \\ x^2 - 2 &= 0, \\ x &= \sqrt{2} \end{aligned}$$

(taking the positive root as  $x$  in accordance with the increasing, positive, sequence). Are we to accept that  $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbf{Q}$ ? Certainly not. It follows that  $\mathbf{Q}$  cannot be closed.  $\square$

**Definition 20** (continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}$ ). A function  $f: D \subseteq \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is *continuous at  $x$*  if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $z \in D$  and  $|x - z| < \delta$  then  $|f(x) - f(z)| < \varepsilon$ . We say that  $f$  is *continuous* if it is continuous for every point  $x$  in its domain  $D$ .

**Definition 21** (continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}$ ). A function  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is *continuous* if and only if<sup>5</sup> for every open set  $U \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $f^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $\mathbf{R}$ .

**Theorem 48.** *The two definitions of continuity for real-valued functions on  $\mathbf{R}$  are equivalent.*

**Example 6** (c). Every linear polynomial gives a continuous function (from  $\mathbf{R}$  to  $\mathbf{R}$ ).

*Distance Proof.* Suppose we have a linear polynomial  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  defined by

$$f(x) = kx, \text{ for some real } k.$$

Consider a point  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  and a real radius  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Given any point  $z \in \mathbf{R}$  within a distance of  $\varepsilon$  to  $x$ , we will demonstrate the existence of a real radius  $\delta > 0$  that bounds the the image  $f(z)$  within a distance of  $\delta$  to the image  $f(x)$ . To do this, let<sup>6</sup>  $\varepsilon = |k|\delta$ . Then

$$|x - z| < \delta$$

---

<sup>5</sup>Metonym: “Given open  $U$ ,  $f$ -inverse  $U$  is open too.”

<sup>6</sup>If  $k = 0$ , the image of  $\mathbf{R}$  is 0, which is bounded by *any*  $\delta > 0$ .

implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |x - z| &< \frac{\varepsilon}{|k|} \\ |k||x - z| &< \varepsilon \\ |kx - kz| &< \varepsilon \\ |f(x) - f(z)| &< \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly the condition which must be met (see definition 20) for  $f$  to be continuous at  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ . Because we have only required  $x$  to be real, we may generalize:  $f$  is continuous (at all points in  $\mathbf{R}$ ).  $\square$

*Open Set Proof.* Suppose we have a linear polynomial  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  defined by

$$f(x) = kx, \text{ for some real } k.$$

We desire proof ‘for every open subset  $U$  of the real numbers, the inverse image  $f^{-1}(U)$  is an open subset of the real numbers too.’ Let us assume we have an open<sup>7</sup> set  $U$  that is a subset of the co-domain ( $\mathbf{R}$ ) of  $f$ . Consider a real point<sup>8</sup>

$$x_0 \in f^{-1}(U).$$

Its image is

$$f(x_0) \in U.$$

Because  $U$  is open, a real radius  $\delta > 0$  exists such that  $U$  contains the open ball  $B(f(x_0), \delta)$ . Choose  $\varepsilon = \frac{\delta}{k}$ . Suppose  $x$  is a real number. The following statements are equivalent:<sup>9</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} x &\in B(x_0, \varepsilon), \\ x_0 - \varepsilon &< x < x_0 + \varepsilon \\ x_0 - \frac{\delta}{k} &< x < x_0 + \frac{\delta}{k}, \\ kx_0 - \delta &< kx < kx_0 + \delta, \\ kx &\in B(kx_0, \delta), \\ f(x) &\in B(f(x_0), \delta). \end{aligned}$$

So, whenever  $x$  is in  $B(x_0, \varepsilon)$ ,  $f(x)$  is in  $B(f(x_0), \delta)$ . Excellent. Because  $U$  contains  $B(f(x_0), \delta)$ , whenever  $x$  is in  $B(x_0, \varepsilon)$ , we know  $f(x)$  is in  $U$ . It follows that, whenever  $x$  is in  $B(x_0, \varepsilon)$ ,  $x$  is in  $f^{-1}(U)$ . This is exactly the condition which must be met for  $f^{-1}(U)$  to be open. Examining definition 21, we conclude that  $f$  is continuous on  $\mathbf{R}$ .  $\square$

---

<sup>7</sup>If  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , then an open set  $U \subseteq$  in the co-domain of  $f$  does not exist. In which case  $f$  is continuous (if only vacuously.)

<sup>8</sup>Does one always exist? Given  $f(x) = kx$ , yes, but for general function  $f: R \rightarrow R$ , I cannot say.

<sup>9</sup>We are assuming  $k \neq 0$ .