

# The Power of Paranoia: Why Conspiracy Theories Persist

Luca Di Bartolomeo      Florian Hofhammer      Philipp Mao

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Obtaining the Dataset</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Designing the Visualization</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Implementing the Visualization</b>	<b>3</b>
4.1	Data Preprocessing . . . . .	3
4.2	Data Analysis . . . . .	4
4.3	Visualizations . . . . .	5
<b>5</b>	<b>Peer Assessment</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>7</b>

## 1 Introduction

Conspiracy theories have for a long time already played an important role in the history of mankind. Oftentimes, xenophobic and religious motives played an important role in their spread. For example, the big outbreak of the plague in the 14th century caused serious persecutions of Jews due to conspiracy theories that Jews poisoned wells in order to extinguish the Christian population in Europe.

While a multitude of conspiracy theories kept getting invented throughout the centuries, we focus on conspiracy theories with a significant spread since the turn of the millenia. More specifically, we focus on conspiracy theories playing an important role in modern populist politics in the United States of America.

To this extent, we gather and analyze the dataset of Tweets of the former US President Donald Trump as an important populist politician as well as the

transcriptions of the Infowars podcast published by Alex Jones, an US-American right-wing populist political activist.

## 2 Obtaining the Dataset

Due to Twitter’s API access being severely restricted, we had to rely on previous dumps of Trump’s Tweets. Luckily, the full set of Donald Trump’s Tweets from 2009 until the suspension of his account in early 2021 is readily available on GitHub [1].

The dataset of Trump Tweets has already been the basis of research publications [2]. As such, we consider this dataset not novel enough to constitute the sole source of data for our visualization.

Consequently, we obtained the full dataset of transcripts of Alex Jones’ Infowars podcast only recently published [3]. This dataset has been created by transcribing the podcast episodes with OpenAI’s Whisper speech-to-text engine [4]. The dataset consists of 187’748’262 words of text, adding up to 1.2 GB of textual data. To the best of our knowledge, we are the first project to conduct extensive analysis on this dataset due to its recency.

During the implementation phase of our project, we found the Trump Tweet dataset to be too sparse for meaningful analysis and comparisons with relation to conspiracy theories. As a result, we shifted the focus of our visualization from a comparison of Alex Jones’ and Donald Trump’s views on conspiracy theories to a comparison between Alex Jones’ views reported in the Infowars podcast and news covered in different US-American media outlets.

This latter dataset provides us with 2.7 million news articles from the years 2016-2020, amounting to roughly 8.8 GB of textual data [5].

In order to prepare the textual data for further analysis, we trained a Word2Vec model [6], [7] on the datasets. This preprocessing step allows us to extract connections between conspiracy theories in our visualization.

A copy of our underlying dataset including the Word2Vec model we trained can be obtained at <https://go.epfl.ch/com480-conspiracies>.

## 3 Designing the Visualization

Our visualization focuses on how conspiracy theories evolve over time and how the views of different sources on the theories differ. For the former purpose, we highlight semantic connections between conspiracy theories and their development over time. Thus, a consumer of our visualization can for each conspiracy theory we focus on deduce what other conspiracy theories developed in parallel and what causal relationships between conspiracy theories may exist.

To achieve visualizing these relationships, a timeline which shows the frequency of specific terms in our dataset per conspiracy theory is combined with a chord chart highlighting the connections between theories. The width of the chords in the graph determines the contextual closeness of two conspiracy theories. This contextual closeness implies a correlation: either the conspiracy theories exhibit an overlap in affected topics and likely stem from the same motives behind them (xenophobia, homophobia, antisemitism, etc.) or that one of them developed into the other over time. The type of this correlation can be determined by observing the development over time of the theories in the aforementioned timeline.

Given that we cannot assume that every page visitor is familiar with the conspiracy theories presented, hovering over a conspiracy theory also provides the reader with contextual information.

In order to visualize how different sources (i.e., Alex Jones and different classic US-American media outlets and news agencies report about conspiracy theories, we once again leverage a timeline. In this graph, a page visitor can select and deselect data sources for a given conspiracy theory to plot the development over time across sources. This provides the viewer with an insight into the temporal relationship of references to a conspiracy theory across classic media outlets and Alex Jones' Infowars podcast.

On the other hand, we refrain from providing a wordcloud with conspiracy theories as we had originally intended. During the implementation of our visualization, we encountered issues with the sparsity of the wordcloud. We consequently decided to remove the wordcloud from our final visualization and focus on the timelines and chord charts instead.

## 4 Implementing the Visualization

As we already referred to in the previous sections, we encountered multiple difficulties during the implementation of our visualization. In this section, we describe the steps we took, what difficulties we encountered, as well as how we have overcome those difficulties and what the effect on our implementation is.

As already mentioned above, the Trump Tweets dataset was too sparse for our visualization which is why we will not extensively cover the processing steps for this dataset in the following.

### 4.1 Data Preprocessing

The different datasets require different preprocessing steps, depending on their format.

The Infowars dataset consists of raw text files with one file per episode. The filename encodes the date of the podcast episode, the file itself contains the raw transcription of the corresponding episode.

For training the Word2Vec model which we use for establishing links between the conspiracy theories, we simply concatenate the full transcription and train the model on this full text dataset.

The news dataset contains news articles for 27 US-American media outlets and news agencies in the CSV format. Each row in the corresponding CSV file contains the publication date of the article, the article itself, and the publisher, among other metadata such as a link to the online article. This dataset required preprocessing due to formatting errors in the CSV. In some but not all cases, newlines in the article contents caused newlines in the CSV, breaking the format and preventing successful parsing. In one of our preprocessing steps, we automatically fix those formatting errors for further processing.

## 4.2 Data Analysis

After training a Word2Vec model and fixing formatting issues in our dataset, we analyze the data and extract the information for our visualization.

In order to show the connection between certain conspiracy theories in a chord chart, we calculate the cosine similarity between terms identifying the theories based on our previously trained Word2Vec model. As an example, the conspiracy theory around the *New World Order*<sup>1</sup> shows strong links to the *Illuminati*<sup>2</sup> and *George Soros*<sup>3</sup> in our analysis, which is in line with the conspiracy theory’s main message that a secret society (here: the Illuminati) lead by rich and influential people (here: George Soros) are controlling the world via a secret world government.

For showing the development over time in popularity, we count the occurrences of terms related to the conspiracy theories over time per media outlet (including Alex Jones’ Infowars podcast) and plot them in a line graph per conspiracy theory. This allows us to have a direct comparison by how different topics are treated in different media outlets, especially with reference to the Infowars podcast.

For the implementation of those line charts, we had to make certain compromises regarding our datasets. First, the news articles dataset spans from January 2016 to April 2020, whereas the Infowars dataset reaches back to 2001 and contains episode transcriptions up until the present days. In order to have a meaningful comparison between news reports and the Infowars dataset, we needed to restrict the latter to the same timespan as the former in the aforementioned line graphs.

Second, the news dataset was too sparse for certain media outlets, which is why we needed to exclude those from the final plots. As an example, out of the 27 million news articles in the dataset, only about 27’000 were from Fox News and these articles were not uniformly distributed over time but strongly concentrated

---

<sup>1</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/New\\_World\\_Order\\_\(conspiracy\\_theory\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/New_World_Order_(conspiracy_theory))

<sup>2</sup><https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Illuminati>

<sup>3</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George\\_Soros\\_conspiracy\\_theories](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George_Soros_conspiracy_theories)

around a few dates. We can therefore not achieve enough statistical significance over the full time span to meaningfully show data for Fox News. Especially for this media outlet, the corresponding graphs would have been very interesting to analyze due to its known closeness to right-wing politicians and conspiracy theorists.

## 4.3 Visualizations

### 4.3.1 Line Plots (Popularity of Conspiracy Theories Over Time)

The line plots are created using D3.js' line plotting functionality, with the x-axis being a time scale and the y-axis being a linear scale. We create one line plot per conspiracy theory with a line per media outlet. The lines can be shown or hidden per media outlet via checkboxes below the graph. This allows easier comparison of selected data sources in case the graph is too cluttered when all sources are selected.

Code snippet lst. 1 shows how each line is plotted. Note that by default each line has an opacity of 0 and is consequently hidden unless selected by the corresponding checkbox and that each line is assigned a custom class for styling and for simple selection in the code to hide or unhide a line.

Listing 1: Code for creating the lines in the timeline graphs

```

1  publications.forEach((label, i) => {
2    svg.append("path")
3      .datum(timedata)
4      .attr("fill", "none")
5      .attr("stroke", color(label))
6      .attr("stroke-width", 1.5)
7      .attr("class", "lineplot_pub_"+label.join("_"))
8      .attr("opacity", "0")
9      .attr("d", d3.line()
10         .x(function(d) { return x(d["date"]) })
11         .y(function(d) {
12             return y(d[label.join(" ")])
13         })
14     )
15 })
```

### 4.3.2 Chord Chart (Links Between Conspiracy Theories)

For our chord chart, we again leverage functionality built into D3.js. In this case, we use a directed chord graph via `d3.chordDirected`. The ribbon color in the chord chart depends on the selected conspiracy theory. The ribbon width encodes the cosine similarity determined in our data analysis step.

By default, all ribbons are greyed out. Hovering over one of the conspiracy

theories highlights the corresponding ribbons and shows a brief description of the corresponding conspiracy theory. Clicking on the theory makes this selection permanent and the permanent selection can be disabled again by clicking outside of the chord chart.

Fig. 1 provides an example for this chord chart with the coronavirus complex highlighted. As expected, the chord chart exhibits a strong link towards the China complex, with an interesting relations to chemtrails as well.



Figure 1: The chord chart with the *coronavirus* complex highlighted

## 5 Peer Assessment

## 6 Conclusion

At the beginning of the semester, we chose a very ambitious dataset. The dataset does not contain numerical data that can easily be visualized, consequently requiring extensive preprocessing and information extraction. During this process, we encountered multiple issues with our dataset and our originally intended visualizations, requiring us to pivot both to a different dataset than originally intended as well as different visualization methods.

Consequently, the final implementation differs from the previously submitted milestones in multiple regards. Nevertheless, we are convinced that our visualization sheds light on the prevalence of conspiracy theories in the US-American media landscape and allows a visitor to gain insights into the train of thought of Alex Jones in his Infowars podcast through connections in between conspiracy theories and their development over time.

## References

- [1] M. Hershey, “Complete Donald Trump Tweets Archive.” Jan. 10, 2021. Available: <https://github.com/MarkHershey/CompleteTrumpTweetsArchive>
- [2] E. A. Morales, C. J. P. Schultz, and K. D. Landreville, “The Impact of 280 Characters: An Analysis of Trump’s Tweets and Television News Through the Lens of Agenda Building,” *Electronic News*, vol. 15, no. 1–2, pp. 21–37, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.1177/19312431211028610.
- [3] E. Simonsen, “Infowars.” Apr. 18, 2023. Available: <https://github.com/Fudge/infowars>
- [4] E. Simonsen, “4682 episodes of The Alex Jones Show (15875 hours) transcribed,” Mar. 22, 2023. [https://www.reddit.com/r/datasets/comments/11yyoth/4682\\_episodes\\_of\\_the\\_alex\\_jones\\_show\\_15875\\_hours/](https://www.reddit.com/r/datasets/comments/11yyoth/4682_episodes_of_the_alex_jones_show_15875_hours/)
- [5] A. Thompson, “All the News 2.0 – 2.7 million news articles and essays from 27 American publications.” Jul. 09, 2022. Available: <https://components.one/datasets/all-the-news-2-news-articles-dataset/>
- [6] T. Mikolov, K. Chen, G. Corrado, and J. Dean, “Efficient Estimation of Word Representations in Vector Space,” Sep. 06, 2013. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1301.3781> (accessed Jun. 02, 2023).
- [7] T. Mikolov, I. Sutskever, K. Chen, G. Corrado, and J. Dean, “Distributed Representations of Words and Phrases and their Compositionality,” Oct. 16, 2013. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.4546> (accessed Jun. 02, 2023).