# Technical Report: BLIP-2 with LoRA Fine-Tuning for Visual Question Answering

#### 1. Data Curation

#### **Dataset Overview**

For this project, we utilized the Amazon Berkeley Objects (ABO) dataset, which contains high-quality product images with associated metadata. The dataset presents an ideal foundation for visual question answering in the e-commerce domain due to its diverse product categories and detailed metadata.

#### VQA Pair Generation

**Gemini API Integration** We developed a custom VQA generation pipeline using Google's Gemini 1.5 Pro API. The pipeline (abo\_vqa\_generator.py) performs the following steps:

- 1. Image Selection: Samples product images from the ABO dataset
- 2. **Metadata Extraction**: Extracts relevant product attributes from ABO metadata:
  - Product name
  - Color information
  - Material details

0.00

- Product descriptions
- 3. **Prompt Engineering**: We engineered specific prompts to guide Gemini in generating diverse, relevant questions:

```
prompt = f"""
Generate {num_questions} diverse visual question-answer pairs for this product image.

Product information:
    Name: {product_name}
    Color: {color_info}
    Material: {material_info}
    Description: {product_description}

Guidelines:
1. Each question should be answerable by looking at the image.
2. IMPORTANT: Answers MUST be exactly ONE WORD only. No phrases or multiple words allowed.
3. Include a variety of question types: {', '.join(QUESTION_TYPES)}
4. Include different difficulty levels: {', '.join(DIFFICULTY_LEVELS)}
5. Questions should focus on visual attributes like color, shape, material, pattern, count,
```

The prompt design ensures: - Single-word answers: Critical for standardized evaluation - Question diversity: Across predefined categories (color, shape,

6. For numbers, use digits (e.g., "3" instead of "three").

material, etc.) - **Difficulty variation**: Easy, medium, and hard questions - **Visual focus**: Questions answerable from the image alone

**Data Quality Control** The generated VQA pairs underwent multiple quality control steps: 1. **Format validation**: Ensuring strict adherence to single-word answers 2. **Redundancy filtering**: Removing duplicate question patterns 3. **Manual review**: Sample review of generated questions for quality assurance

The final dataset comprised 4,987 unique VQA pairs, stored in a CSV format with three columns: image\_name, question, and answer.

#### 2. Model Choices

#### Selection of BLIP-2

We selected BLIP-2 (Bootstrapping Language-Image Pre-training with Frozen Image Encoders and Large Language Models) as our base model for several reasons:

- 1. **Architecture advantages**: BLIP-2 employs a Querying Transformer (Q-Former) that efficiently connects a frozen vision encoder with a frozen language model, making it particularly well-suited for VQA tasks.
- 2. **Parameter efficiency**: BLIP-2 achieves strong performance while requiring fewer parameters than competing models. The architecture includes:
  - Frozen ViT as the vision encoder
  - Q-Former for cross-modal connections
  - Large Language Model (OPT or FLAN-T5) for text generation
- 3. **Strong zero-shot capabilities**: BLIP-2 demonstrates robust zero-shot performance on various vision-language tasks, providing a solid foundation for fine-tuning.

#### Alternative Models Considered

Before settling on BLIP-2, we evaluated several alternatives:

- 1. BLIP (first generation):
  - Pros: Established performance on VQA benchmarks
  - Cons: Less parameter-efficient than BLIP-2, lacks the Q-Former architecture
- 2. **LLaVA**:
  - Pros: Strong performance with instruction tuning
  - Cons: Higher computational requirements, less targeted for concise answer generation
- 3. VisualBERT:
  - Pros: Simple architecture, well-established in literature
  - Cons: Lower performance ceiling compared to newer models

#### **BLIP-2 Variant Selection**

We primarily worked with the Salesforce/blip2-opt-2.7b variant, which offers a good balance between performance and computational requirements:

BLIP-2 Variant	Parameters	VRAM Required	Relative Performance
blip2-opt-2.7b	2.7B	~5GB	Good
blip2-opt-6.7b	6.7B	~12GB	Better
blip2-flan- $t5$ -xl	-	$\sim 5 \text{GB}$	Comparable to 2.7B
blip2-flan- $t5$ -xxl	-	$\sim 20 \mathrm{GB}$	Best, but most resource-intensive

The 2.7B parameter model was selected for its accessibility on consumer-grade hardware while still delivering strong performance.

## 3. Fine-Tuning Approaches

### LoRA (Low-Rank Adaptation)

**Technique Overview** LoRA is a parameter-efficient fine-tuning method that significantly reduces the number of trainable parameters. It works by:

- 1. Freezing the original model weights
- 2. Injecting trainable rank decomposition matrices into specific layers
- 3. Learning adaptations through these low-rank matrices

The key equation that describes LoRA is:

```
h = Wx + \Delta x = Wx + BAx
```

Where: - W is the original frozen weight matrix - B is a trainable matrix of shape  $(d \times r)$  - A is a trainable matrix of shape  $(r \times k)$  - r is the rank, a hyperparameter much smaller than  $\min(d,k)$ 

**Implementation for BLIP-2** Our implementation applied LoRA to specific parts of the BLIP-2 model:

```
lora config = LoraConfig(
   r=16,
                               # Rank dimension
                               # Scaling factor
    lora alpha=32,
                              # Dropout probability
    lora_dropout=0.05,
    target_modules=[
                              # Target specific layers
        "q_proj", "v_proj", "k_proj", "o_proj", # Attention layers
        "gate_proj", "down_proj", "up_proj"
                                               # MLP layers
    ],
    bias="none",
    task_type=TaskType.CAUSAL_LM
)
```

We targeted: - **Attention mechanisms**: Query, key, value, and output projections - **MLP blocks**: Gate projections, up projections, and down projections

These layers were selected based on prior research showing they are most impactful for adapting language models to new tasks.

**Training Workflow** The fine-tuning process consisted of several key components:

## 1. Dataset Preprocessing:

- Efficient batching with consistent tensor shapes
- Fixed max length tokenization for all examples
- Vision encoder feature caching to accelerate training

## 2. Training Configuration:

- Batch size: 4
- Learning rate: 1e-4 with linear decay
- Training epochs: 3
- Validation split: 20% of the dataset
- Mixed precision (fp16) training

### 3. Checkpointing:

- Models saved based on validation BERT score improvements
- Final model and best checkpoints preserved

The entire fine-tuning process required approximately 2-3 hours on a single NVIDIA RTX 3090 GPU, demonstrating the efficiency of the LoRA approach.

# 4. Evaluation Metrics

#### **BERT Score**

BERT Score was selected as our primary evaluation metric due to its advantages for semantic understanding in VQA:

- 1. **Semantic similarity**: BERT Score leverages contextual embeddings to capture semantic similarity beyond exact word matching
- 2. Robust to synonyms: Can recognize correct answers expressed with different vocabulary
- 3. Correlation with human judgments: Better aligned with human assessment of answer quality than exact match metrics

**Implementation** We used the bert-score library to compute F1 scores between generated and ground truth answers:

```
P, R, F1 = bert_score(
    generated_answers,
    ground_truth_answers,
    lang="en",
```

```
rescale_with_baseline=True
)
```

### Results and Analysis

Model	BERT Score	Inference Time (images/sec)
BLIP-2 Baseline	0.66	9.8
BLIP-2 with LoRA	0.70	9.5

The LoRA fine-tuned model achieved a **6% relative improvement** in BERT Score with minimal impact on inference time.

## Additional Performance Analysis

We conducted further analysis of model performance across different question types:

Question Type	Baseline Score	LoRA Fine-tuned Score	Improvement
Color	0.72	0.78	+0.06
Shape	0.69	0.74	+0.05
Material	0.64	0.70	+0.06
Count	0.58	0.65	+0.07
Pattern	0.62	0.69	+0.07

The most substantial improvements were observed in counting questions and pattern recognition, suggesting the fine-tuning particularly enhanced the model's ability to handle these more challenging visual reasoning tasks.

# 5. Additional Contributions and Novelty

## Efficient Preprocessing Pipeline

We developed a specialized preprocessing pipeline for BLIP-2 that significantly improves training efficiency:

```
class VQADatasetPreprocessor:
```

```
def __init__(self, csv_path, image_dir, processor, model, device, batch_size=8):
    # Initialize components

def process_data(self):
    """Generate text features for all images and questions in the dataset"""
    # Batch processing of vision encoder features
    # Store processed features
```

This preprocessing approach: 1. **Reduces redundant computation**: Processes vision features only once 2. **Minimizes memory footprint**: Uses fixed tensor shapes and efficient padding 3. **Accelerates training**: Achieves up to 3x speedup compared to on-the-fly processing

### Adaptive LoRA for Multimodal Models

Our implementation extends LoRA to effectively work with multimodal architectures:

- 1. **Selective layer targeting**: We identified and targeted only the most relevant layers in BLIP-2's complex architecture
- 2. **Preservation of cross-modal alignment**: Fine-tuning approach maintains the cross-modal connections established during pre-training
- 3. Quantitative analysis of layer impact: Conducted ablation studies to determine optimal LoRA configuration

#### Single-Word Answer Optimization

We specifically optimized the model for generating concise, single-word answers:

- 1. **Prompt engineering**: Crafted prompts that direct the model toward concise answers
- 2. **Generation constraints**: Limited token generation (max new tokens=4)
- 3. **Post-processing**: Implemented extraction of single-word answers from model outputs

```
# Decode the response and extract the first word of the answer
full = processor.decode(generated_ids[0], skip_special_tokens=True)
answer = full.split("Answer in one word:")[-1].strip().split()[0]
```

This approach results in answers that are both more accurate and more aligned with the desired output format, enhancing both human readability and automated evaluation.

## Conclusion

Our work demonstrates that LoRA fine-tuning is an effective approach for adapting BLIP-2 to specialized VQA tasks, achieving meaningful performance improvements with minimal computational overhead. The combination of efficient data generation, targeted model adaptation, and optimized inference creates a practical solution for e-commerce visual question answering that can run on consumer hardware.