# 3<sup>rd</sup> CoLoMoTo Meeting @ Lausanne

17-18 April 2014

### Meeting Report

This report is a synthesis of the 3rd CoLoMoTo meeting, which program is available at http://co.mbine.org/colomoto/meetings/2014

This two days meeting was dedicated to presentations on tools, methods and models and to discussions.

## **Presentations**

All presentation slides are available on the web page indicated above.

The first day was dedicated to tools presentations, which included: **GINsim** by Aurélien Naldi, **Boolnet** by Hans Kestler, **SQUAD** by Luis Mendonza, **the Cell Collective** by Tomas Helikar, **Epilog** by Pedro Varela, **Attractors identification and quantification** by Pedro Monteiro and **CellNopt** by Thomas Cokelaer.

After tools were presented discussion about the SBML qual package and SED-ML started with the presentation of **SBML qual package & SED-ML** by Claudine Chaouiya and Aurélien Naldi and **JSBML** by Andreas Dräger.

The presentations of the second day were dedicated to methods and models and included: On the generalization of fixpoints of Boolean networks, by Hannes Klarner, Model-checking applied to logical models by Pedro Monteiro, A discrete model of Drosophila Eggshell Patterning by Claudine Chaouiya, Continuous time modelling with MaBoSS. Application to bladder tumorigenesis by Laurence Calzone and Gautier Stoll, and LogicalModel library, current status by Aurélien Naldi.

### **Discussions**

Aside from presentations, enough time to let the discussion run over allowed the participants to address a number of points and make some decisions about future actions. This meeting enabled the discussion between all the participants in order to establish the goals and pillars of the CoLoMoTo consortium, as well as funding strategies for its future sustainability. Outcomes of these discussions are synthesised below.

#### What is CoLoMoTo?

CoLoMoTo stands for Common Logical Modelling Toolbox. It is an international open community interested in Logical Modelling, bringing together modellers, developers of methods and tools, and curators.

### What are the goals of CoLoMoTo?

The goals of CoLoMoTo are two-fold.

First, the CoLoMoTo community aims at the definition of standards for model representation and interchange; and at the establishment of criteria for comparison of methods, models and tools.

Secondly, making people outside the community aware of the existing models, methods and tools from which they can build upon their research.

### What are the main pillars of CoLoMoTo consortium?

In this meeting, the CoLoMoTo consortium identified four pillars to stand upon. Each of these pillars is representative in some way of the work done by the community interested in logical modelling.

The <u>first pillar</u> is to create a repository of methods and tools made available by the different research groups working on logical modelling. This repository not only lists the different features and functionalities provided by each of the tools and methods, but also provides a cross reference of tools and methods that better fit typical usecases.

The <u>second pillar</u> is to create an umbrella model repository with links to the existing model repositories that are made available by the different research groups. Authors should be encouraged to make their models available at one of these repositories.

The <u>third pillar</u> relates to standardisation. It aims at creating a controlled vocabulary as a reference for the community. This vocabulary is to list the essential terms related to logical modelling, with verbal definitions and corresponding references.

Additionally, for the reproducibility of results, it defines (or extends) standards for the representation and interchange of models, their simulation parameters.

The <u>fourth pillar</u> is to provide benchmarks for models and tools comparison. Also, to gather success modelling stories resulting from the collaboration between experimentalists and modellers.

#### Task Forces

In order to best achieve the goals mentioned above, task forces were voluntarily created as follows:

- Pillar 1 (Methods & tools): Aurélien Naldi, Gautier Stoll and Pedro Monteiro
- Pillar 2 (Models) Tomas Helikar, Aurélien Naldi, Ioannis Xenarios, also to be contacted BioModels team (Henning Hermjakob)
- Pillar 3 (Standards): Hannes Klarner, Denis Thieffry, Julio Saez-Rodriguez, Claudine Chaouiya
- Pillar 4 (Benchmarking): Hannes Klarner, Pedro Varela, Christoph Müssel,
   Isaac Crespo, Matteo Barberis, Julio Saez-Rodriguez

### Site

An upgrade to the webpage was proposed, independent of Combine. Pedro Monteiro and Aurélien Naldi are working on it. The site (colotomo.org) will include

- the tool repository (pillar 1)
- the models repository (pillar 2)
- adopted formats (link to SBML-qual) and controlled vocabulary (pillar 3)
- benchmarks (pillar 4)

It will also provide outcomes of the CoLoMoTo meetings, groups descriptions accompanied by a "google map" (users and developers, links to short group descriptions). The idea is to let people know about CoLoMoTo and identify who are using and referring our tools.

#### Other outcomes

Besides the achievement of the pillars, other decisions were taken during the meeting:

- Submission of a 2-3 pages position paper, making a statement about the importance of logical models. Aurélien Naldi, Christoph Müssel and Pedro Monteiro will take the lead on this.
- Matteo Barberis and Tomas Helikar will propose a **special issue** to *Frontiers Systems Biology*. This SI would gather papers from each contributing group and a collective paper presenting CoLoMoTo, etc. (could be the aforementioned position paper)
- If appropriate, the **meeting report** will be submitted for publication, possibly in the form of a letter (before summer).
- It was also mentioned that resulting outcomes of the four pillars may result in publications (e.g. a global comparison of tools and methods).

Aurélien Naldi proposed to advance with the conversion of multi-valued to Boolean models (LogicalModel library). This implies that tools using resulting models will handle them appropriately (forbidden states).

A working group (still to be defined!) will advance with a proposal for standardized ways of defining perturbations, probabilistic Boolean models, and delays. In particular, inclusion of delays as well as consideration of several alternate logical functions associated to a model component were discussed as possible extensions to SBML qual.

### **Funding**

Funding was also a topic on this meeting. The creation of standards and achievement of the goals defined in the pillars leads to funding. Creating a brand, located on many sites, avoiding just one group to be represented, making CoLoMoTo an umbrella entry point. Colomoto.org would be the structure where all data is compared.

Several funding options were evoked, such as BD2K (Big data to knowledge), Cost Actions and the Gates foundation. Also ITNs (International training networks), funds PhD students to spend time at labs abroad.

Tomas Helikar will get information about the USA-UK funding opportunity via the NSF/UK partnership (<a href="http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/2014/nsf14034/nsf14034.jsp">http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/2014/nsf14034/nsf14034.jsp</a>), which focus is also on Systems Biomedicine and coordinate future action with Julio Saez-Rodriguez at EBI.

## **ECCB**

We will be present at ECCB'14 (<a href="http://www.eccb14.org/">http://www.eccb14.org/</a>) with a workshop (<a href="https://www.eccb14.org/">W08 - Logical</a>
<a href="https://www.eccb14.org/">Modelling and Analysis of Cellular Networks</a>) and a tutorial (<a href="https://www.eccb14.org/">T02 - Computational Tools to Define</a>
and Analyse Logical Models of Cellular Networks).