

...oh, yes, we are all political in any of our (non)activities – *about the contradiction in open-source criteria leading our development into all kind of discriminations*

warning: This might be to radical for you just because it is about solving problem rooted in the open-source universe in its 3 main aspects: distribution, use and modification.

Under the Humanitarian Agpl [License](#)

Introduction:

At list we are political in the discrimination made by the technology we are making based on our misunderstanding of the 10 open-source criteria, of which [the 5th](#) and the 6th are in contradiction:

- since this criteria defines globally huge and essential part of all technical development in its use or modification on any distribution and is about all, and not about any each of, the necessary steps of development; and*
- as the contradiction can be seen when that Discrimination Against Persons or Groups is made when using and developing in open-source **fields** such as of monotoning, killing or torturing.*
- **As an Example:** A 3d preprinted open-sourced gun used for mass killing to terrorize communities by white, or not white, extremists, where that gun is not made with restrictions other than of the open-source licensing making only the open-source criteria be the responsible governing one.*

Generally speaking, the solutions I here try to convince you with, are made for *making technology to be less of abusing (groups of) people due to their misunderstanding*:

- I believe that abusing (throw) technological misunderstanding is wrong that much as it leads to the darkest fascism, since it gives only to those few who could buy such technologies, the power to govern most of the others being used and abused only for the needs of such (neoliberal) owners or (political) tyrants.
- Explicitly I attribute the problem to the misunderstanding of the open-source's 10 criteria in fields such as:
 - biometric, robotics, machine-learning, social-media, when are combined with trading bots while misgoverning or staging attacks on democracies by means that are learned developed and used to act on social, political and community behaviors.
- Where is it urgent? Against the global warming strategies of the super rich to enslave their surrounding for their needs.
- Points of discussion:
 - 1) Distribution platform of the developers and artists, a CreatorsHub – lets be peer owners in [Scomcomized](#) owning our git providers,
 - 2) [The Humanitarian AGPL License](#),
 - 3) [The Qdolphin qml browser providing progressive web app user experience \(pwa ux\) while putting the web browser as a node and not a root](#),
 - 4) The d++devices and
 - 5) Replacing rms by woman in fsf and mit to show how decisive is the shift we are now to take from the neighborhood with those who (are) like Jeffrey Epstein.

Issue: 1. Distribution by CreatorsHub - lets Scomcomized the owners of our git providers

Problem: The biggest of its kind open-source distributor github is owned by microsoft and restricts, as it is made also by gitlab, its global users by usa embargo dictated by "leaders" as trump to block developers by their origins.

- Those platforms are responsible for the distribution part of the open-source and such are based on its 10 criteria, of which the 5th contradict the 6th.
- Developers publishing in those platform are yet not ready to work together as in startup, only to introduce each other's works, and hence are only able to earn reputation from their contribution on this platform.
- Hence, most ethical issues are then pushed away, while the big companies are easily collecting the sources (gpl) and close them in their services (non agpl-ed), for later abusing the developers and the users.

Solution: I propose a new type of company owning such platform where that company is owned only by Static Common Companies (Scomcom), of which equal owners are developers or artists and of which the non equal owners are its investors,

- when the ratio between both parts is static and
- as that platform has also projects for incubating groups of developers or artists with assistance, including by lawyer, for finding their investors until becoming a startup or production.

Issue: 2. The [Humanitarian AGPL License](#).

Problem: additional to above let me here add this: if we could we should stop hacking people, just for not being like Josef Mengele.

Solution: One additional advantage:

as developers we can publish our development as is and call others to join us in hagpl license just for finding our collage, while some time later collectively change that license, since only *aurthurs can change licenses*.

Issue: 3. The Qdolphin browser.

Problem: the progressive web up trends pushed by big browser players making the most vulnerable part being the browser be the acting natively and also in offline mode and out of the sandbox gate for all operations in the device. Would you trust the crypto js run in your browse?

Solution: A qml browser for smart people developed in qt framework providing progressive web app user experience (pwa ux) configured by qml.

Encryption feature: 3fish1kbit in ctr mode by contextual kdf2 key, producing 4k files, each having different strong key strengthening the weakest ring of any security chain being the human passcode.

Issue: 4. The d++devices.

Problem: privacy issues of Gdpr as a Client Data Protraction (Cdp) solution for (home/moving) workers in small to big organizations.

Solution: D++devices are external devices used as intimal servers providing local assistance to our daily used devices by dedicating each D++device to one specific process, and then, as in sandwich, combining them, to solve some fundamental vulnerabilities, such as the meltdown and spectre proven to remove the independence of processes in a singular device, a known weakness proven by attacks, such as of the nsoGroup and facebook.