Vessel Calibration Coefficient Discussion

Sara Miller, Rich Brenner, Jim Murphy

October 29, 2020–draft

Contents

| L | Calibration Coefficient Discussion 1 |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| | .1 Background |
| | .2 Conclusion |
| 2 | References 4 |

1 Calibration Coefficient Discussion

1.1 Background

Excerpted from Wertheimer et al. 2010:

"From 1997 to 2007, SECM used the NOAA ship John N. Cobb to accrue an 11 year time series of catches with a Nordic 264 rope trawl fished at the surface... (Orsi et al. 2000, 2008)... In 2007, in anticipation of the decommissioning of the John N. Cobb, the Medeia and the John N. Cobb fished synoptically for 28 pairs of trawl hauls to develop calibration factors in the event of differential catch rates between the two vessels (Wertheimer et al. 2008). In 2008, the Medeia fished synoptically with the chartered research vessel Steller to determine relative fishing efficiency so that Steller catches could then be compared and calibrated to the SECM data series from the John N. Cobb (Wertheimer et al. 2009). In 2009, the commercial trawler Chellissa was chartered to fish the SECM transects in the northern and southern regions of Southeast Alaska. The Medeia was again fished synoptically in the northern region transects to determine relative fishing efficiency (Table 1)."

Table 1: Estimated fishing power coefficients for juvenile salmon catches by the different vessels used during the Southeast Alaska Coastal Monitoring survey (Wertheimer et al. 2008, 2009, and 2010). Chellissa: Cobb was calculated from pink salmon estimates for Chellisa: Medeia and Medeia: Cobb. Mixed Chellissa: Cobb was a mixture of species estimates for Chellisa: Medeia and a pooled species estimate for Medeia: Cobb. One of the primary trawl vessels, F/V Northwest Explorer, has not been calibrated and it is assumed to have the same fishing power as the Chellissa.

| Species | Medeia:Cobb | Chellissa:Cobb | Steller:Cobb | Medeia:Steller | Chellissa:Medeia | mixed Chellissa:Cobb |
|--------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Pink | 1.13 | 1.44 | 0.96 | 1.18 | 1.27 | 1.51 |
| Chum | 1.21 | 1.44 | 1.16 | 1.04 | 1.19 | 1.42 |
| Sockeye | 1.19 | 1.18 | 1.05 | 1.13 | 0.99 | 1.18 |
| Coho | 1.26 | 1.32 | 0.85 | 1.48 | 1.05 | 1.25 |
| Total Salmon | 1.19 | 1.36 | 1.05 | 1.13 | 1.14 | 1.36 |

For the 2021 SEAK pink salmon forecast, there was a discussion as to which vessel calibration coefficient to use going forward. Using the four potential vessel calibration coefficients (pink_cal_mixspecies, pink_cal_mixpool, pink_cal_species, pink_cal_pool; Table 2), the corresponding index of juvenile abundance was slightly different (i.e., CPUE; standardized pink salmon catch based on a 20 minute trawl set by year; Table 3). Performance metrics (Akaike Information Criterion corrected for small sample sizes; AICc values; Burnham and Anderson 2004; mean and median absolute percentage error (MAPE, MEAPE); mean absolute scaled error (MASE) (Hyndman and Kohler 2006)) were used to evaluate forecast accuracy of alternative vessel calibration coefficients (Table 4) using the same model. Statistical analyses were performed with the R Project for Statistical computing version 3.6.3 (R Core Team 2020). The model used for the comparison of the vessel calibration coefficient model was:

$$E(y) = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2,$$

where X_1 is CPUE, juvenile pink salmon abundance index based on the different vessel calibration coefficients, and X_2 is the average temperature in Icy Strait in May, June, and July at eight stations in Icy Strait. CPUE data are log-transformed catches that are standardized to an effort of a 20 minute trawl set. The four potential vessel calibration coefficients are defined as:

pink_cal_mixspecies is a mixture of pink-specific (Chellisa:Medeia) and pooled-species (Medeia:Cobb) coefficients for the Chellissa and the N/W Explorer, and a pink salmon coefficient for the Medeia. This ends up with a time series that is based on coefficients that vary with species, but are partially derived from a mixture of species-specific and pooled-species coefficients.

pink_cal_mixpool is a mixture of pink-specific (Chellissa:Medeia) and pooled-species (Medeia:Cobb) coefficients for the Chellissa and the N/W Explorer, and a pooled-species coefficient for the Medeia.

pink cal species...

pink_cal_pool...

Table 2: Calibration coefficients used to convert vessel-specific catches to *Cobb* units. Direct calibrations with the *Cobb* are estimated for the *Steller* and *Medeia*, therefore mixed coefficients are only applied to the *Chellissa* and *NW Explorer*. Species-specific or pooled-species coefficients could be used as the mixed coefficients for the *Steller* and *Medeia*.

| Vessel | pink_cal_mixspecies | pink_cal_mixpool | pink_cal_species | pink_cal_pool |
|-------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|
| Cobb | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Chellissa | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.70 | 0.74 |
| NW Explorer | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.70 | 0.74 |
| Steller | 1.04 | 0.95 | 1.04 | 0.95 |
| Medeia | 0.88 | 0.84 | 0.88 | 0.84 |

Table 3: The data for the variable CPUE (index of juvenile pink salmon abundance based on log-transformed catches that are standardized to an effort of a 20 minute trawl set) using different vessel calibration coefficients.

| year | $pink_cal_mix species$ | pink_cal_mixpool | pink_cal_species | pink_cal_pool |
|---------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|
| 1997 | 2.477744 | 2.477744 | 2.4777444 | 2.4777444 |
| 1998 | 5.622380 | 5.622380 | 5.6223800 | 5.6223800 |
| 1999 | 1.597723 | 1.597723 | 1.5977233 | 1.5977233 |
| 2000 | 3.729985 | 3.729985 | 3.7299847 | 3.7299847 |
| 2001 | 2.868826 | 2.868826 | 2.8688260 | 2.8688260 |
| 2002 | 2.784664 | 2.784664 | 2.7846641 | 2.7846641 |
| 2003 | 3.077820 | 3.077820 | 3.0778204 | 3.0778204 |
| 2004 | 3.899407 | 3.899407 | 3.8994067 | 3.8994067 |
| 2005 | 2.040345 | 2.040345 | 2.0403454 | 2.0403454 |
| 2006 | 2.572781 | 2.572781 | 2.5727807 | 2.5727807 |
| 2007 | 1.167639 | 1.167639 | 1.1676386 | 1.1676386 |
| 2008 | 2.555111 | 2.323473 | 2.5551107 | 2.3234731 |
| 2009 | 2.094192 | 2.094192 | 2.2053878 | 2.3330031 |
| 2010 | 3.687782 | 3.687782 | 3.8835930 | 4.1083181 |
| 2011 | 1.305918 | 1.305918 | 1.3752584 | 1.4548381 |
| 2012 | 3.161036 | 3.161036 | 3.3288788 | 3.5215052 |
| 2013 | 1.923429 | 1.923429 | 2.0255583 | 2.1427677 |
| 2014 | 3.426619 | 3.426619 | 3.6085629 | 3.8173733 |
| 2015 | 2.201588 | 2.201588 | 2.3184867 | 2.4526465 |
| 2016 | 3.905705 | 3.905705 | 4.1130877 | 4.3510925 |
| 2017 | 0.310436 | 0.310436 | 0.3269194 | 0.3458366 |
| 2018 | 1.233765 | 1.171558 | 1.2337651 | 1.1715584 |
| 2019 | 1.202606 | 1.141971 | 1.2026065 | 1.1419709 |
| 2020 | 2.261529 | 2.147502 | 2.2615289 | 2.1475023 |
| average | 2.546210 | 2.526689 | 2.5949191 | 2.6313000 |

1.2 Conclusion

Based on $x,y,and\ z$???, the discussion was limited to the pink_cal_species and pink_cal_pool vessel calibration coefficients. There is a bit more statistical support for the pink_cal_pool coefficient. As the pool coefficients are currently used for sockeye, coho and Chinook salmon catches, the pink_cal_pool vessel

calibration coefficient will be used moving forward for calculating the juvenile pink salmon abundance index.

Table 4: Comparison of the performance metrics for a model based on calculating a time-series of juvenile pink salmon abundance using different vessel calibration coefficients.

| model | AdjR2 | AICc | MAPE | MEAPE | MASE | forecast | lower_80 | upper_80 | index |
|-----------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|----------|----------|----------|---------------------|
| CPUE+ISTI | 0.811 | 16 | 0.079 | 0.061 | 0.262 | 31.3 | 20.9 | 46.9 | pink_cal_mixspecies |
| CPUE+ISTI | 0.820 | 15 | 0.077 | 0.060 | 0.256 | 29.8 | 20.1 | 44.1 | pink_cal_mixpool |
| CPUE+ISTI | 0.820 | 15 | 0.077 | 0.070 | 0.257 | 30.6 | 20.6 | 45.3 | pink_cal_species |
| CPUE+ISTI | 0.830 | 14 | 0.074 | 0.060 | 0.249 | 28.5 | 19.4 | 41.7 | pink_cal_pool |

2 References

Burnham, K. P., and D. R. Anderson. 2004. Multimodel inference: Understanding AIC and BIC in model selection. Sociological Methods and Research 33: 261-304.

Hyndman, R. J. and A. B. Koehler. 2006. Another look at measures of forecast accuracy. International Journal of Forecasting 22: 679-688.

Orsi, J. A., M. V. Sturdevant, J. M. Murphy, D. G. Mortensen, and B. L. Wing. 2000. Seasonal habitat use and early marine ecology of juvenile Pacific salmon in southeastern Alaska. NPAFC Bull. 2:111-122.

Orsi, J. A., E. A. Fergusson, M. V. Sturdevant, B. L. Wing, A. C. Wertheimer, and W. R. Heard. 2008. Annual survey of juvenile salmon and ecologically related species and environmental factors in the marine waters of southeastern Alaska, May–August 2007. NPAFC Doc. 1110, 82 pp.

R Core Team. 2020. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL: http://www.r-project.org/index.html

Wertheimer, A. C., J. A. Orsi, E. A. Fergusson, and M. V. Sturdevant. 2008. Paired comparisons of juvenile salmon catches between two research vessels fishing Nordic 264 surface trawls in southeastern Alaska, July 2007. NPAFC Doc. 1112.,17 p.

Wertheimer, A. C., J. A. Orsi, E. A. Fergusson, and M. V. Sturdevant. 2009. Calibration of Juvenile Salmon Catches using Paired Comparisons between Two Research Vessels Fishing Nordic 264 Surface Trawls in Southeastern Alaska, July 2008. NPAFC Doc. 1180. 18 pp.

Wertheimer, A. C., J. A. Orsi, E. A. Fergusson, and M. V. Sturdevant. 2010. Calibration of Juvenile Salmon Catches using Paired Comparisons between Two Research Vessels Fishing Nordic 264 Surface Trawls in Southeast Alaska, July 2009. NPAFC Doc. 1177. 19 pp. (Available at http://www.npafc.org).