Community Life Engagement

State Agency Self-Assessment

This assessment is intended to help your state IDD agency assess the current strengths of its Community Life Engagement (CLE) policies and practices and to identify areas for improvement.

Community Life Engagement refers to how people with intellectual and developmental disabilities (IDD) access and participate in their communities outside of employment as part of a meaningful day. Community Life Engagement supports may be referred to as Community-Based Non-Work, wraparound supports, holistic supports, or community integration services.

The self-assessment has two parts:

Part 1 asks you to reflect on your state agency's goals and activities concerning CLE in general.

Part 2 is divided into four sections representing the project's Guideposts for Community Life Engagement. You will be asked to rate your agreement with several statements related to each Guidepost.

Part 1: Your State Agency's Community Life Engagement Goals

1. What specific outcomes are you hoping to achieve by using the CLE Toolkit?					
. What are the	strengths of you	ur current syste	em related to (CLE?	
. What do you	see as needed i	mprovements i	n CLE policies	or supports?	

. What are the primary barriers to increasing CLE among people with IDD in your state?
. What existing opportunities or resources can be tapped to facilitate efforts to improve CLE supports for people with IDD in your state?
. What other agencies (within or outside your state) are you partnering or working with on CLE issues?

COMMUNITY LIFE ENGAGEMENT | STATE AGENCY SELF-ASSESSMENT

Part 2: Self-Assessment by Guidepost

Please think about how much each of the following statements reflects the policies and practices of your state agency as a whole. Indicate the degree to which you agree or disagree.

If you answer "Strongly Agree" or "Agree" to most of the assessment statements in a guidepost or subcategory, you are likely providing high quality CLE supports in that area. Other answers indicate an area that can be improved upon.

Guidepost 1: Individualize Supports for Each Person

Show Understanding of Personal Preferences, Goals, Interests, and Skills

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
My state agency operates from a values base focused on individualized, personcentered lives		О		О
My state agency requires values-based training for its staff				
My state agency requires values-based training for its service providers				
My state agency requires providers to give individuals a choice of activities within their CLE supports				

Emphasize person-centered planning and discovery

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
My state agency requires providers to develop a person centered plan for every individual receiving CLE supports				
My state agency requires providers to update each individual's person centered plan at least yearly				
My state agency requires providers to include individuals' family, friends, employers, and other relations in developing and revising the person centered plan				
My state agency requires its staff to have training in person-centered planning or thinking				
My state agency requires provider staff to have training in person-centered planning or thinking				

Consider Creative Grouping, Staffing, and Scheduling

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Service definitions allow for flexible scheduling & staffing patterns				
Funding allows for staff to individual ratios of 1:4 or below				

Guidepost 2: Promote community membership and contribution

Start with inclusive settings and activities

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Service definitions for CLE require time spent in community				
Service definitions for CLE emphasize participation in activities in typical community settings alongside community members without disabilities	О			

Ensure staff presence does not limit connections with other community members

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
My agency provides or requires training for direct support staff that emphasizes tailoring supports to the individual				
Provider staff are not required to be with the individual at all times				

Place value on not just presence but membership in the community.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Quality measures include measures of community connections or networks (e.g. size of individual's social network)				
Contributing to the community through volunteer work is encouraged as a preferred CLE activity				

Consider individual preferences.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Quality assurance processes emphasize feedback from individuals				
Quality assurance processes emphasize feedback from families				

Guidepost 3: Use human and social capital to decrease dependence on paid supports

Use social capital to create natural supports

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Funding is flexible enough to allow for more intensive up-front supports followed by fading of supports				О
Funding and reimbursement mechanisms are designed to encourage reducing dependence on staff supports.				П

Teach skills to build human capital

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
My state agency requires providers to include skill building opportunities in CLE supports				
Travel training is a reimbursable activity as part of, or braided with, CLE supports				
CLE staff are required to have training in areas such as task analysis, similar to job developers/job coaches				

Guidepost 4: Ensure that supports are outcome-oriented and regularly monitored

Emphasize goals rather than processes

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
My state agency requires CLE supports to relate to individuals' goals as established through personcentered planning				
My state agency requires providers to measure progress on individuals' goals at least yearly				
My state agency collects data to track degree of individualization of supports				
My state agency collects data to track community relationships or connections				

Hold CLE supports to clear expectations and guidance

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
My state agency believes in community-based services instead of facility-based services for all individuals with IDD				
IF YES above: Services provided reflect this belief in community-based supports	0	0	a	
My state agency has a clear, outcome-focused CLE policy				
My state agency clearly communicates its CLE policies to its providers	О	_	О	
My state agency gives service providers the resources to implement its CLE policies effectively				
My state agency provides guidance and technical assistance to service providers for implementation of its CLE policies	٥			
My state agency has a clear philosophy and/or mission of encouraging individualized, personcentered supports	_	О		
All agency staff are made aware of the agency's CLE policy				
All provider staff are made aware of the agency's CLE policy				
All agency staff are aware of the agency's emphasis on individualized, person-centered supports	0			
All provider staff are aware of the agency's emphasis on individualized, person-centered supports				
Quality measures for CLE require time spent in community				
Quality measures for CLE emphasize participation in activities in typical community settings alongside community members without disabilities				

Expect CLE to lead to or complement employment

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
My state agency has a policy that individuals' CLE goals should relate to employment				
My state agency requires that all individuals receiving CLE supports have an employment goal (unless they are retired)				
There are agreements in place with VR to facilitate blending and/ or braiding of DD and VR funds to support both CLE activities and employment				
Service definitions for employment and CLE are written to encourage combining both in an individual's life				
Service providers are encouraged or required to be both IDD and VR providers				

Use data to guide continuous improvement

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Provider outcome measures are collected at least yearly				
Provider outcome measures are used to improve supports at the service level (e.g. through review of data with provider to identify strengths and areas for improvement)				
Provider outcome measures are used to improve supports at the state level (e.g. through review of aggregated data across the state to identify strengths and areas for improvement)				
Provider outcome data are used to guide decisions about priority areas for training		٥		

Community Life Engagement is a project of:



www.CommunityLifeEngagement.org

For More Information:

Jennifer Sullivan Sulewski
Principal Investigator
Senior Research Associate
Institute for Community Inclusion
University of Massachusetts Boston
jennifer.sulewski@umb.edu
(617) 287-4356

Community Life Engagement is a project of ThinkWork! at the Institute for Community Inclusion at UMass Boston. ThinkWork! is a resource portal offering data, personal stories, and tools related to improving employment outcomes for people with intellectual and developmental disabilities.

Funding Sources

The Administration on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities, Administration for Community Living, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, under cooperative agreement #90DN0295. The National Institute for Disability, Independent Living, and Rehabilitation Research, US Department of Health and Human Services, Field Initiated Program, under grant # 90IF0075.



