

A Security Benchmark Suite Exploring the Existing Vulnerabilities of a Computer System

Version: 0.1.0

Jiameng Ying, Boya Li, Sihao Shen, and Wei Song*
Institute of Information Engineering at the Chinese Academy of Sciences
89 Minzhuang Road, Haidian, Beijing 100093, P. R. China
*songwei@iie.ac.cn

April 15, 2019

Contributors

Boya Li (11.2017 – 01.2019, IIE-CAS) Participated in the initial vulnerability analyses. Provided the initial test cases for the buffer over/underflow write tests. Provided the initial ideas for testing use-after-free vulnerabilities.

Sihao Shen (02.2019 – 09.2019, IIE-CAS) Added documentation of BOF and CFI. Added the initial support for the RISC-V RV64G ISA.

Wei Song (11.2017 – 09.2019, IIE-CAS) The organizer of the project.

Jiameng Yin (11.2017 – 01.2019, IIE-CAS) Participated in the initial vulnerability analyses. Provided the initial test cases for numerous CFI and CPI tests.

Yuhui Zhang (11.2017 – 04.2018, IIE-CAS) Participated in the initial vulnerability analyses.

Contents

1	Introduction	5
2	Overview of the Security Benchmark Suite	7
3	Description of Test Cases	9
3.1	Buffer Overflow (BOF)	9
3.1.1	overflow-write-index-data	10
3.1.2	overflow-write-index-heap	11
3.1.3	overflow-write-index-stack	12
3.1.4	overflow-write-ptr-data	13
3.1.5	overflow-write-ptr-heap	14
3.1.6	overflow-write-ptr-stack	15
3.1.7	underflow-write-index-data	16
3.1.8	underflow-write-index-heap	17
3.1.9	underflow-write-index-stack	18
3.1.10	underflow-write-ptr-data	19
3.1.11	underflow-write-ptr-heap	20
3.1.12	underflow-write-ptr-stack	21
3.2	Control Flow Integrity (CFI)	22
3.2.1	call-instruction-in-data	23
3.2.2	call-instruction-in-heap	24
3.2.3	call-instruction-in-rodata	25
3.2.4	call-instruction-in-stack	26
3.2.5	call-mid-func	27
3.2.6	call-mid-instruction	28
3.2.7	call-wrong-func-offset-vtable	29
3.2.8	call-wrong-func-poly-vtable	30
3.2.9	call-wrong-func-vtable	31
3.2.10	call-wrong-func-within-static-analysis	32
3.2.11	call-wrong-func	33
3.2.12	call-wrong-num-arg-func	34
3.2.13	call-wrong-num-arg-vtable-heap	35
3.2.14	call-wrong-num-arg-vtable	36
3.2.15	call-wrong-num-func-vtable-heap	37
3.2.16	call-wrong-num-func-vtable	38
3.2.17	call-wrong-type-arg-dp2fp-func-data	39
3.2.18	call-wrong-type-arg-dp2fp-func-heap	40
3.2.19	call-wrong-type-arg-dp2fp-func-rodata	41

3.2.20	call-wrong-type-arg-dp2fp-func-stack	42
3.2.21	call-wrong-type-arg-fp2dp-func	43
3.2.22	call-wrong-type-arg-int2double-func	44
3.2.23	call-wrong-type-arg-vtable-heap	45
3.2.24	call-wrong-type-arg-vtable	46
3.2.25	call-wrong-type-arg-func	47
3.2.26	call-wrong-vtable-heap	48
3.2.27	jump-instruction-in-bss	49
3.2.28	jump-instruction-in-heap	50
3.2.29	jump-instruction-in-rodata	51
3.2.30	jump-instruction-in-stack	52
3.2.31	jump-mid-func	53
3.2.32	jump-mid-instruction	54
3.2.33	return-to-func	55
3.2.34	return-to-instruction-in-data	56
3.2.35	return-to-instruction-in-heap	57
3.2.36	return-to-instruction-in-rodata	58
3.2.37	return-to-instruction-in-stack	59
3.2.38	return-to-libc	60
3.2.39	return-to-mid-instruction	61
3.2.40	return-to-non-call-site	62
3.2.41	return-to-wrong-call-site	63
3.2.42	return-without-call	64

4 Remaining Issues

65

Chapter 1

Introduction

Chapter 2

Overview of the Security Benchmark Suite

Chapter 3

Description of Test Cases

3.1 Buffer Overflow (BOF)

- Overflow

- 3.1.1 overflow-write-index-data
- 3.1.2 overflow-write-index-heap
- 3.1.3 overflow-write-index-stack
- 3.1.4 overflow-write-ptr-data
- 3.1.5 overflow-write-ptr-heap
- 3.1.6 overflow-write-ptr-stack

- Underflow

- 3.1.7 underflow-write-index-data
- 3.1.8 underflow-write-index-heap
- 3.1.9 underflow-write-index-stack
- 3.1.10 underflow-write-ptr-data
- 3.1.11 underflow-write-ptr-heap
- 3.1.12 underflow-write-ptr-stack

3.1.1 overflow-write-index-data

Description

Overflow by illegally using the buffer **index**. The index exceeds the expected buffer length, leading to illegal write accesses to the data outside the buffer. The overwrite occurs in the **data** section.

Vulnerability

Generic data overwrite and loss.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

None.

3.1.2 overflow-write-index-heap

Description

Overflow by illegally using the buffer **index**. The index exceeds the expected buffer length, leading to illegal write accesses to the data outside the buffer. The overwrite occurs in the **heap** (dynamically allocated data).

Vulnerability

Generic data overwrite and loss.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

None.

3.1.3 overflow-write-index-stack

Description

Overflow by illegally using the buffer **index**. The index exceeds the expected buffer length, leading to illegal write accesses to the data outside the buffer. The overwrite occurs in the **stack**.

Vulnerability

Generic data overwrite and loss.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

None.

3.1.4 overflow-write-ptr-data

Description

Overflow by illegally using a buffer **pointer**. The pointer is modified to pointing a location outside the buffer, leading to illegal write accesses to the data outside the buffer. The overwrite occurs in the **data** section.

Vulnerability

Generic data overwrite and loss.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

None.

3.1.5 overflow-write-ptr-heap

Description

Overflow by illegally using a buffer **pointer**. The pointer is modified to pointing a location outside the buffer, leading to illegal write accesses to the data outside the buffer. The overwrite occurs in the **heap** (dynamically allocated data).

Vulnerability

Generic data overwrite and loss.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

None.

3.1.6 overflow-write-ptr-stack

Description

Overflow by illegally using a buffer **pointer**. The pointer is modified to pointing a location outside the buffer, leading to illegal write accesses to the data outside the buffer. The overwrite occurs in the **stack**.

Vulnerability

Generic data overwrite and loss.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

None.

3.1.7 underflow-write-index-data

Description

Underflow by illegally using the buffer **index**. The index exceeds the expected buffer length, leading to illegal write accesses to the data outside the buffer. The overwrite occurs in the **data** section.

Vulnerability

Generic data overwrite and loss.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

None.

3.1.8 underflow-write-index-heap

Description

Underflow by illegally using the buffer **index**. The index exceeds the expected buffer length, leading to illegal write accesses to the data outside the buffer. The overwrite occurs in the **heap** (dynamically allocated data).

Vulnerability

Generic data overwrite and loss.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

None.

3.1.9 underflow-write-index-stack

Description

Underflow by illegally using the buffer **index**. The index exceeds the expected buffer length, leading to illegal write accesses to the data outside the buffer. The overwrite occurs in the **stack**.

Vulnerability

Generic data overwrite and loss.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

None.

3.1.10 underflow-write-ptr-data

Description

Underflow by illegally using a buffer **pointer**. The pointer is modified to pointing a location outside the buffer, leading to illegal write accesses to the data outside the buffer. The overwrite occurs in the **data** section.

Vulnerability

Generic data overwrite and loss.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

None.

3.1.11 underflow-write-ptr-heap

Description

Underflow by illegally using a buffer **pointer**. The pointer is modified to pointing a location outside the buffer, leading to illegal write accesses to the data outside the buffer. The overwrite occurs in the **heap** (dynamically allocated data).

Vulnerability

Generic data overwrite and loss.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

None.

3.1.12 underflow-write-ptr-stack

Description

Underflow by illegally using a buffer **pointer**. The pointer is modified to pointing a location outside the buffer, leading to illegal write accesses to the data outside the buffer. The overwrite occurs in the **stack**.

Vulnerability

Generic data overwrite and loss.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

None.

3.2 Control Flow Integrity (CFI)

- Forward-edge CFI
 - Call
 - 3.2.1 call-instruction-in-data
 - 3.2.2 call-instruction-in-heap
 - 3.2.3 call-instruction-in-rodata
 - 3.2.4 call-instruction-in-stack
 - 3.2.5 call-mid-func
 - 3.2.6 call-mid-instruction
 - 3.2.7 call-wrong-func-offset-vtable
 - 3.2.8 call-wrong-func-poly-vtable
 - 3.2.9 call-wrong-func-vtable
 - 3.2.10 call-wrong-func-within-static-analysis
 - 3.2.11 call-wrong-func
 - 3.2.12 call-wrong-num-arg-func
 - 3.2.13 call-wrong-num-arg-vtable-heap
 - 3.2.14 call-wrong-num-arg-vtable
 - 3.2.15 call-wrong-num-func-vtable-heap
 - 3.2.16 call-wrong-num-func-vtable
 - 3.2.17 call-wrong-type-arg-dp2fp-func-data
 - 3.2.18 call-call-wrong-type-arg-dp2fp-func-heap
 - 3.2.19 call-wrong-type-arg-dp2fp-func-rodata
 - 3.2.20 call-wrong-type-arg-dp2fp-func-stack
 - 3.2.21 call-wrong-type-arg-fp2dp-func
 - 3.2.22 call-wrong-type-arg-int2double-func
 - 3.2.23 call-wrong-type-arg-func-vtable-heap
 - 3.2.24 call-wrong-type-arg-vtable
 - 3.2.25 call-wrong-type-arg-func
 - 3.2.26 call-wrong-vtable-heap
 - Jump
 - 3.2.27 jump-instruction-in-bss
 - 3.2.28 jump-instruction-in-heap
 - 3.2.29 jump-instruction-in-rodata
 - 3.2.30 jump-instruction-in-stack
 - 3.2.31 jump-mid-func
 - 3.2.32 jump-mid-instruction
- Backward-edge CFI
 - Return
 - 3.2.33 return-to-func
 - 3.2.34 return-to-instruction-in-data
 - 3.2.35 return-to-instruction-in-heap
 - 3.2.36 return-to-instruction-in-rodata
 - 3.2.37 return-to-instruction-in-stack
 - 3.2.38 return-to-libc
 - 3.2.39 return-to-mid-instruction
 - 3.2.40 return-to-non-call-site
 - 3.2.41 return-to-wrong-call-site
 - 3.2.42 return-to-without-call

3.2.1 call-instruction-in-data

Description

Illegally call an instruction constructed in **data**.

Vulnerability

Its executable on writable area (data)

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.2 `call-instruction-in-heap`

Description

Illegally call an instruction constructed in **heap**.

Vulnerability

Its executable on writable area (**heap**)

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.3 call-instruction-in-rodata

Description

Illegally call an instruction constructed in **rodata**.

Vulnerability

Its executable on writable area (**rodata**)

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.4 call-instruction-in-stack

Description

Illegally call an instruction constructed in **stack**.

Vulnerability

Its executable on writable area (**stack**)

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.5 call-mid-func

Description

Call a fake function entry point at the **middle** of a **function**.

Vulnerability

Illegal function entry point.

Remarks

This is a common case for calling (forward-edge) a gadget in a ROP attack.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

None.

3.2.6 call-mid-instruction

Description

Call a fake instruction located at the **middle** of an other legal **instruction**.

Vulnerability

Illegal function entry point; illegal instruction formation.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	might be vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

Currently it is difficult to differentiate the case of a success call to the middle of an instruction and the case of fixed return value through compiler optimization.

3.2.7 call-wrong-func-offset-vtable

Description

Modify the **virtual table** pointer by making it pointing to its own but with an **offset**.
Call a function with the **wrong** virtual table.

Vulnerability

Modifying virtual table pointer; modifying virtual table pointer by adding an offset.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
1	might be blocked by compiler optimization
2–4	partially vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

None.

3.2.8 call-wrong-func-poly-vtable

Description

Illegally call the wrong virtual function by modifying the poly Vtable pointer.

Vulnerability

Modify the Vtable pointer and call the wrong virtual function.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

None.

3.2.9 call-wrong-func-vtable

Description

Illegally call the wrong virtual function by modifying the Vtable pointer.

Vulnerability

Modify the pointer and call the wrong function.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.10 call-wrong-func-within-static-analysis**Description**

Illegally call the wrong function.

Vulnerability

Modify the pointer and call the wrong function.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

None.

3.2.11 call-wrong-func

Description

Illegally call the wrong function.

Vulnerability

Illegally modify the pointer and call the wrong function.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.12 `call-wrong-num-arg-func`

Description

Illegally call a function with mismatched number of arguments.

Vulnerability

Break the function calling convention.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

None.

3.2.13 call-wrong-num-arg-vtable-heap

Description

Replace the Vtable pointer with a fake Vtable constructed in heap and illegally call a virtual function with mismatched number of arguments.

Vulnerability

Break the function calling convention, the data integrity of the Vtable pointer and the Vtable itself.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

x86_64: Currently only works with object allocated on heap.

3.2.14 `call-wrong-num-arg-vtable`

Description

Illegally call a virtual function with mismatched number of arguments by modifying the VTable pointer.

Vulnerability

Break the function calling convention and the data integrity of the Vtable pointer.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

x86_64: Currently only works with object allocated on heap.

3.2.15 call-wrong-num-func-vtable-heap

Description

Replace the Vtable pointer with a fake Vtable constructed in heap with different number of virtual functions and illegally call a fake virtual function.

Vulnerability

Break the data integrity of the Vtable pointer and the Vtable itself.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

x86_64: Currently only works with object allocated on heap.

3.2.16 call-wrong-num-func-vtable

Description

Illegally call a fake virtual function with the VTable being replaced with another one of different number of virtual functions.

Vulnerability

Break the data integrity of the Vtable pointer.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

x86_64: Currently only works with object allocated on heap.

3.2.17 call-wrong-type-arg-dp2fp-func-data**Description**

Illegally call a function with wrong types of arguments constructed in **data**.

Vulnerability

Break the function calling convention and non-execution on writable area (**data**).

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.18 `call-wrong-type-arg-dp2fp-func-heap`

Description

Illegally call a function with wrong types of arguments constructed in **heap**.

Vulnerability

Break the function calling convention and non-execution on writable area (**heap**).

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.19 call-wrong-type-arg-dp2fp-func-rodata**Description**

Illegally call a function with wrong types of arguments constructed in **rodata**.

Vulnerability

Break the function calling convention and its executable on writable area (**rodata**).

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.20 `call-wrong-type-arg-dp2fp-func-stack`

Description

Illegally call a function with wrong types of arguments constructed in **stack**.

Vulnerability

Break the function calling convention and non-execution on writable area (**stack**).

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.21 call-wrong-type-arg-fp2dp-func**Description**

Illegally call a function with wrong types of argument (dp) expected to be fp.

Vulnerability

Break the function calling convention.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.22 call-wrong-type-arg-int2double-func**Description**

Illegally call a function with wrong types of argument (int) expected to be double.

Vulnerability

Break the function calling convention.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.23 call-wrong-type-arg-vtable-heap

Description

Replace the Vtable pointer with a fake Vtable constructed in heap and illegally call a virtual function with wrong types of arguments.

Vulnerability

Break the function calling convention, the data integrity of the Vtable pointer and the Vtable itself.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

x86_64: Currently only works with object allocated on heap.

3.2.24 call-wrong-type-arg-vtable

Description

Illegally call a function with wrong types of arguments by modifying the VTable pointer.

Vulnerability

Break the function calling convention and the data integrity of the Vtable pointer.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

x86_64: Currently only works with object allocated on heap.

3.2.25 call-wrong-type-arg-func**Description**

Illegally call a function with wrong types of arguments.

Vulnerability

Break the function calling convention.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

None.

3.2.26 `call-wrong-vtable-heap`

Description

Replace the Vtable pointer with a fake Vtable constructed in heap.

Vulnerability

Break the data integrity of the Vtable pointer and the Vtable itself.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

x86_64: Currently only works with object allocated on heap.

3.2.27 jump-instruction-in-bss**Description**

Illegally jump from a function to an instruction constructed in **bss**.

Vulnerability

Break the execution compartment complied by most C/C++ programs and non-execution on writable area (**bss**).

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.28 jump-instruction-in-heap

Description

Illegally jump from a function to an instruction constructed in **heap**.

Vulnerability

Break the execution compartment complied by most C/C++ programs and non-execution on writable area (**heap**).

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.29 `jump-instruction-in-rodata`

Description

Illegally jump from a function to an instruction constructed in **rodata**.

Vulnerability

Break the execution compartment complied by most C/C++ programs and its excutable on writable area (**rodata**).

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.30 `jump-instruction-in-stack`

Description

Illegally jump from a function to an instruction constructed in **stack**.

Vulnerability

Break the execution compartment complied by most C/C++ programs and non-execution on writable area (**stack**).

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.31 **jump-mid-func**

Description

Jump to the **middle** of another **function**.

Vulnerability

Illegal jump target; breaking the function execution context.

Remarks

This is a common case for jumping to (forward-edge) a gadget in a JOP attack.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

None.

3.2.32 jump-mid-instruction

Description

Jump to a fake instruction located at the **middle** of an other legal **instruction**.

Vulnerability

Illegal instruction formation.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
3	partially vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

None.

3.2.33 return-to-func

Description

Illegally modify the return address stored on the stack and directly return to another function.

Vulnerability

Break the backward CFI and the integrity of the return address.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.34 `return-to-instruction-in-data`

Description

Illegally modify the return address stored on the stack and then return to an instruction constructed in **data**.

Vulnerability

Break the backward CFI and the integrity of the return address and non-execution on writable area (**data**).

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.35 return-to-instruction-in-heap**Description**

Illegally modify the return address stored on the stack and then return to an instruction constructed in **heap**.

Vulnerability

Break the backward CFI and the integrity of the return address and non-execution on writable area (**heap**).

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.36 `return-to-instruction-in-rodata`

Description

Illegally modify the return address stored on the stack and then return to an instruction constructed in **rodata**.

Vulnerability

Break the backward CFI and the integrity of the return address and its executable on writable area (**rodata**).

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.37 return-to-instruction-in-stack**Description**

Illegally modify the return address stored on the stack and then return to an instruction constructed in **stack**.

Vulnerability

Break the backward CFI and the integrity of the return address and non-execution on writable area (**stack**).

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.38 `return-to-libc`

Description

Illegally modify the return address stored on the stack and then return to a libc.

Vulnerability

Break the backward CFI and the integrity of the return address.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.39 return-to-mid-instruction**Description**

Illegally modify the return address stored on the stack and then return to the middle of an instruction.

Vulnerability

Break the backward CFI and the integrity of the return address.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.40 return-to-non-call-site

Description

Illegally modify the return address stored on the stack and then return to a non-call-site position.

Vulnerability

Break the backward CFI and the integrity of the return address.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

x86_64: The `rbp` register might be (with `-g`) or not be (with `-O2`) pushed to the stack. The return address is modified by embedded assembly using `rsp` as the base register. See `STACK_STRUCT` in the `make` file.

3.2.41 return-to-wrong-call-site

Description

Illegally modify the return address stored on the stack and then return to a wrong call-site position.

Vulnerability

Break the backward CFI and the integrity of the return address.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

3.2.42 `return-without-call`

Description

Illegally add a fake function call onto the stack and return to it..

Vulnerability

Break the backward CFI and the integrity of the return address.

Test result

<i>return</i>	<i>description</i>
0	vulnerable
other	might be safe

Known issues

x86_64: The `rbp` register might be (with `-g`) or not be (with `-O2`) pushed to the stack. Currently the test works only with `-O2`.

Chapter 4

Remaining Issues

- `call-wrong-num-arg-func` 3.2.12: test for arguments passed on stack.
- `call-wrong-type-arg-func` 3.2.25: more importantly, test (data/code) pointer to integer.
- `call-wrong-num-arg-vtable` 3.2.14: known issues.
- `call-wrong-num-func-vtable` 3.2.16: known issues.
- `return-without-call` 3.2.42: known issues.
- call a unvisible function (call a local function from outside).
- differentiate between global data, heap and stack.