#### **Risk Budgeting**

Eric Zivot

3/28/2021

## Portfolio Risk Budgeting

Idea: Additively decompose a measure of portfolio risk into contributions from the individual assets in the portfolio.

- Show which assets are most responsible for portfolio risk
- Help make decisions about rebalancing the portfolio to alter the risk
- Construct "risk parity" portfolios where assets have equal risk contributions

$$R_{p} = x_{1}R_{1} + x_{2}R_{2}$$

$$\sigma_{p}^{2} = x_{1}^{2}\sigma_{1}^{2} + x_{2}^{2}\sigma_{2}^{2} + 2x_{1}x_{2}\sigma_{12}$$

$$\sigma_{p} = \left(x_{1}^{2}\sigma_{1}^{2} + x_{2}^{2}\sigma_{2}^{2} + 2x_{1}x_{2}\sigma_{12}\right)^{1/2}$$

Q: How much of  $\sigma_p$  is attributable to each asset?

**Case 1**: 
$$\sigma_{12} = 0$$

$$\sigma_p^2 = x_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + x_2^2 \sigma_2^2 = \text{additive decomposition}$$
 $x_1^2 \sigma_1^2 = \text{portfolio variance contribution of asset 1}$ 
 $x_2^2 \sigma_2^2 = \text{portfolio variance contribution of asset 2}$ 
 $\frac{x_1^2 \sigma_1^2}{\sigma_p^2} = \text{percent variance contribution of asset 1}$ 
 $\frac{x_2^2 \sigma_2^2}{\sigma_p^2} = \text{percent variance contribution of asset 2}$ 

Note:

$$\sigma_p = \sqrt{x_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + x_2^2 \sigma_2^2} \neq x_1 \sigma_1 + x_2 \sigma_2.$$

To get an additive decomposition we use

$$\begin{split} \frac{x_1^2\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_p} + \frac{x_2^2\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_p} &= \frac{\sigma_p^2}{\sigma_p} = \sigma_p \\ \frac{x_1^2\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_p} &= \text{portfolio sdcontribution of asset 1} \\ \frac{x_2^2\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_p} &= \text{portfolio sdcontribution of asset 2} \end{split}$$

Notice that percent sd contributions are the same as percent variance contributions.

Eric Zivot Risk Budgeting 3/28/2021

**Case 2**:  $\sigma_{12} \neq 0$ 

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{p}^{2} &= x_{1}^{2}\sigma_{1}^{2} + x_{2}^{2}\sigma_{2}^{2} + 2x_{1}x_{2}\sigma_{12} \\ &= \left(x_{1}^{2}\sigma_{1}^{2} + x_{1}x_{2}\sigma_{12}\right) + \left(x_{2}^{2}\sigma_{2}^{2} + x_{1}x_{2}\sigma_{12}\right). \end{split}$$

Here we split the covariance contribution  $2x_1x_2\sigma_{12}$  to portfolio variance evenly between the two assets and define

$$x_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + x_1 x_2 \sigma_{12} =$$
 variance contribution of asset 1  
 $x_2^2 \sigma_2^2 + x_1 x_2 \sigma_{12} =$  variance contribution of asset 2

We can also define an additive decomposition for  $\sigma_p$ 

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \sigma_{\rho} & = & \frac{x_1^2\sigma_1^2 + x_1x_2\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_{\rho}} + \frac{x_2^2\sigma_2^2 + x_1x_2\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_{\rho}} \\ \\ \frac{x_1^2\sigma_1^2 + x_1x_2\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_{\rho}} & = & \text{sd contribution of asset 1} \\ \\ \frac{x_2^2\sigma_2^2 + x_1x_2\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_{\rho}} & = & \text{sd contribution of asset 2} \end{array}$$

Eric Zivot Risk Budgeting 3/28/2021 7/52

## **Euler's Theorem and Risk Decompositions**

- When we used  $\sigma_p^2$  or  $\sigma_p$  to measure portfolio risk, we were able to easily derive sensible risk decompositions.
- If we measure portfolio risk by value-at-risk or some other risk measure it is not so obvious how to define individual asset risk contributions.
- For portfolio risk measures that are homogenous functions of degree one in the portfolio weights, *Euler's theorem* provides a general method for additively decomposing risk into asset specific contributions.

## Homogenous functions and Euler's theorem

**Definition**. Let  $f(x_1,...,x_n)$  be a continuous and differentiable function of the variables  $x_1,...,x_n$ . f is homogeneous of degree one if for any constant c,  $f(c \cdot x_1,...,c \cdot x_n) = c \cdot f(x_1,...,x_n)$ .

Note: In matrix notation we have  $f(x_1, ..., x_n) = f(\mathbf{x})$  where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, ..., x_n)'$ . Then f is homogeneous of degree one if  $f(c \cdot \mathbf{x}) = c \cdot f(\mathbf{x})$ 

## **Examples**

Let 
$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$$
. Then

$$f(c \cdot x_1, c \cdot x_2) = c \cdot x_1 + c \cdot x_2 = c \cdot (x_1 + x_2) = c \cdot f(x_1, x_2)$$

Let  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2$ . Then

$$f(c \cdot x_1, c \cdot x_2) = c^2 x_1^2 + x_2^2 c^2 = c^2 (x_1^2 + x_2^2) \neq c \cdot f(x_1, x_2)$$

Let 
$$f(x_1, x_2) = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$$
 Then

$$f(c \cdot x_1, c \cdot x_2) = \sqrt{c^2 x_1^2 + c^2 x_2^2} = c\sqrt{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} = c \cdot f(x_1, x_2)$$

Eric Zivot Risk Budgeting 3/28/2021

#### **Examples**

Define 
$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)'$$
 and  $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1)'$ .

Let 
$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2 = \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{1} = f(\mathbf{x})$$
. Then

$$f(c \cdot \mathbf{x}) = (c \cdot \mathbf{x})' \mathbf{1} = c \cdot (\mathbf{x}'1) = c \cdot f(\mathbf{x}).$$

Let 
$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 = \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x} = f(\mathbf{x})$$
. Then

$$f(c \cdot \mathbf{x}) = (c \cdot \mathbf{x})'(c \cdot \mathbf{x}) = c^2 \cdot \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{x} \neq c \cdot f(\mathbf{x}).$$

Let 
$$f(x_1, x_2) = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} = (\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x})^{1/2} = f(\mathbf{x})$$
. Then

$$f(c \cdot \mathbf{x}) = ((c \cdot \mathbf{x})'(c \cdot \mathbf{x}))^{1/2} = c \cdot (\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x})^{1/2} = c \cdot f(\mathbf{x}).$$

Eric Zivot Risk Budgeting 3/28/2021

## Homogeneity of Portfolio Quantities

Consider a portfolio of n assets with weights  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)'$  with

$$\mathbf{R} = (R_1, \dots, R_n)'$$
  
 $E[\mathbf{R}] = \mu, \operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{R}) = \Sigma$ 

Define

$$\begin{aligned} R_p &= R_p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{R}, \\ \mu_p &= \mu_p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}' \mu \\ \sigma_p^2 &= \sigma_p^2(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}' \Sigma \mathbf{x}, \sigma_p = \sigma_p(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}' \Sigma \mathbf{x})^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Result: Portfolio return  $R_p(\mathbf{x})$ , expected return  $\mu_p(\mathbf{x})$  and standard deviation  $\sigma_p(\mathbf{x})$  are homogenous functions of degree one in the portfolio weight vector  $\mathbf{x}$ .

## Homogeneity of Portfolio Quantities

The key result is for volatility  $\sigma_p(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}' \Sigma \mathbf{x})^{1/2}$  :

$$\sigma_{p}(c \cdot \mathbf{x}) = ((c \cdot \mathbf{x})' \Sigma (c \cdot \mathbf{x}))^{1/2}$$
$$= c \cdot (\mathbf{x}' \Sigma \mathbf{x})^{1/2}$$
$$= c \cdot \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})$$

## Homogeneity of Normal Value-at-Risk

Result. Let  $W_0$  denote the initial value of the portfolio and assume  $\mathbf{R} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ . Then the  $\alpha \times 100\%$  Value-at-Risk

$$VaR_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\mu_{p}(\mathbf{x}) + \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \times q_{\alpha}^{Z}\right) \times W_{0}$$

is homogenous of degree one in the portfolio weight vector  ${\bf x}$ 

#### **Euler's theorem**

Let  $f(x_1,...,x_n)=f(\mathbf{x})$  be a continuous, differentiable and homogenous of degree one function of the variables  $\mathbf{x}=(x_1,...,x_n)'$ . Then

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 \cdot \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} + x_2 \cdot \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} + \dots + x_n \cdot \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n}$$
$$= \mathbf{x}' \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}},$$

where

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

#### Verifying Euler's theorem

The function  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2 = f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{1}$  is homogenous of degree one, and

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} & = & \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} = 1 \\ \\ \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} & = & \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) = \mathbf{1} \end{array}$$

By Euler's theorem,

$$f(x) = x_1 \cdot 1 + x_2 \cdot 1 = x_1 + x_2$$
  
=  $x'1$ 

#### Verifying Euler's theorem

The function  $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{1/2} = f(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x})^{1/2}$  is homogenous of degree one, and

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} & = & \frac{1}{2} \left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right)^{-1/2} 2 x_1 = x_1 \left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right)^{-1/2}, \\ \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} & = & \frac{1}{2} \left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right)^{-1/2} 2 x_2 = x_2 \left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right)^{-1/2}. \end{array}$$

By Euler's theorem

$$f(x) = x_1 \cdot x_1 \left( x_1^2 + x_1^2 \right)^{-1/2} + x_2 \cdot x_2 \left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right)^{-1/2}$$

$$= \left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right) \left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right)^{-1/2}$$

$$= \left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Eric Zivot Risk Budgeting 3/28/2021

#### Verifying Euler's theorem

Using matrix algebra we have

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial (\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x})^{1/2}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x})^{-1/2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x})^{-1/2} 2\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x})^{-1/2} \cdot \mathbf{x}$$

so by Euler's theorem

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}' \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x}' (\mathbf{x}' \mathbf{x})^{-1/2} \cdot \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}' \mathbf{x})^{-1/2} \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}' \mathbf{x})^{1/2}$$

## Risk decomposition using Euler's theorem

Let  $\mathrm{RM}_p(\mathbf{x})$  denote a portfolio risk measure that is a homogenous function of degree one in the portfolio weight vector  $\mathbf{x}$ . For example,

$$RM_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}'\Sigma\mathbf{x})^{1/2}$$

Euler's theorem gives the additive risk decomposition

$$RM_{p}(\mathbf{x}) = x_{1} \frac{\partial RM_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{1}} + x_{2} \frac{\partial RM_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{2}} + \dots + x_{n} \frac{\partial RM_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{n}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \frac{\partial RM_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{i}}$$

$$= \mathbf{x}' \frac{\partial RM_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$

## Risk decomposition using Euler's theorem

Here,  $\frac{\partial \mathrm{RM}_{\rho}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i}$  are called marginal contributions to risk (MCRs):

$$\mathrm{MCR}_i^{\mathit{RM}} = \frac{\partial \mathrm{RM}_p(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i} = \text{ marginal contribution to risk of asset i,}$$

The *contributions to risk* (CRs) are defined as the weighted marginal contributions:

$$CR_i^{RM} = x_i \cdot MCR_i^{RM} = \text{ contribution to risk of asset i,}$$

Then

$$RM_{p}(\mathbf{x}) = x_{1} \cdot MCR_{1}^{RM} + x_{2} \cdot MCR_{2}^{RM} + \dots + x_{n} \cdot MCR_{n}^{RM}$$
$$= CR_{1}^{RM} + CR_{2}^{RM} + \dots + CR_{n}^{RM}$$

Eric Zivot Risk Budgeting 3/28/2021 20 / 52

## Risk decomposition using Euler's theorem

If we divide the contributions to risk by  $RM_p(\mathbf{x})$  we get the *percent* contributions to risk (PCRs)

$$1 = \frac{\operatorname{CR}_1^{RM}}{\operatorname{RM}_p(\mathbf{x})} + \dots + \frac{\operatorname{CR}_n^{RM}}{\operatorname{RM}_p(\mathbf{x})} = \operatorname{PCR}_1^{RM} + \dots + \operatorname{PCR}_n^{RM},$$

where

$$PCR_i^{RM} = \frac{CR_i^{RM}}{RM_p(\mathbf{x})} = \text{ percent contribution of asset i}$$

Eric Zivot Risk Budgeting 3/28/2021 21/52

## Risk Decomposition for Portfolio SD

$$\mathrm{RM}_p(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma_p(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}' \Sigma \mathbf{x})^{1/2}$$

Because  $\sigma_p(\mathbf{x})$  is homogenous of degree 1 in  $\mathbf{x}$ , by Euler's theorem

$$\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) = x_{1} \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{1}} + x_{2} \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{2}} + \dots + x_{n} \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{n}} = \mathbf{x}' \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$

Now

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial (\mathbf{x}' \Sigma \mathbf{x})^{1/2}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}' \Sigma \mathbf{x})^{-1/2} 2\Sigma \mathbf{x}$$

$$= \frac{\Sigma \mathbf{x}}{(\mathbf{x}' \Sigma \mathbf{x})^{1/2}} = \frac{\Sigma \mathbf{x}}{\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{i}} = \text{MCR}_{i}^{\sigma} = \text{ith row of } \frac{\Sigma \mathbf{x}}{\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}$$

#### Example: 2 asset portfolio

$$\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}' \Sigma \mathbf{x})^{1/2} = \left( x_{1}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2} + x_{2}^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2} + 2x_{1} x_{2} \sigma_{12} \right)^{1/2} 
\Sigma \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \sigma_{1}^{2} + x_{2} \sigma_{12} \\ x_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2} + x_{1} \sigma_{12} \end{pmatrix} 
\frac{\Sigma \mathbf{x}}{\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})} = \begin{pmatrix} (x_{1} \sigma_{1}^{2} + x_{2} \sigma_{12}) / \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \\ (x_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2} + x_{1} \sigma_{12}) / \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{MCR}_{1}^{\sigma} &= \left(x_{1}\sigma_{1}^{2} + x_{2}\sigma_{12}\right)/\sigma_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &\mathrm{MCR}_{2}^{\sigma} &= \left(x_{2}\sigma_{2}^{2} + x_{1}\sigma_{12}\right)/\sigma_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

#### Example: 2 asset portfolio

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{MCR}_{1}^{\sigma} &= \left(x_{1}\sigma_{1}^{2} + x_{2}\sigma_{12}\right)/\sigma_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &\mathrm{MCR}_{2}^{\sigma} &= \left(x_{2}\sigma_{2}^{2} + x_{1}\sigma_{12}\right)/\sigma_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &\mathrm{CR}_{1}^{\sigma} &= x_{1} \times \left(x_{1}\sigma_{1}^{2} + x_{2}\sigma_{12}\right)/\sigma_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) = \left(x_{1}^{2}\sigma_{1}^{2} + x_{1}x_{2}\sigma_{12}\right)/\sigma_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &\mathrm{CR}_{2}^{\sigma} &= x_{2} \times \left(x_{2}\sigma_{2}^{2} + x_{2}\sigma_{2}\right)/\sigma_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) = \left(x_{2}^{2}\sigma_{2}^{2} + x_{1}x_{2}\sigma_{12}\right)/\sigma_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$PCR_1^{\sigma} = CR_1^{\sigma}/\sigma_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) = \left(x_1^2\sigma_1^2 + x_1x_2\sigma_{12}\right)/\sigma_{\rho}^2(\mathbf{x})$$

$$PCR_2^{\sigma} = CR_2^{\sigma}/\sigma_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) = \left(x_2^2\sigma_2^2 + x_1x_2\sigma_{12}\right)/\sigma_{\rho}^2(\mathbf{x})$$

## How to Interpret and Use $MCR_i^{\sigma}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{MCR}_{i}^{\sigma} &= & \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{i}} \approx \frac{\Delta \sigma_{p}}{\Delta x_{i}} \\ &\Rightarrow & \Delta \sigma_{p} \approx \mathrm{MCR}_{i}^{\sigma} \cdot \Delta x_{i} \end{aligned}$$

However, in a portfolio of n assets

$$x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n = 1$$

so that increasing or decreasing  $x_i$  means that we have to decrease or increase our allocation to one or more other assets. Hence, the formula

$$\Delta \sigma_p \approx \mathrm{MCR}_i^{\sigma} \cdot \Delta x_i$$

ignores this re-allocation effect.

Eric Zivot Risk Budgeting 3/28/2021

# How to Interpret and Use $\mathrm{MCR}_i^{\sigma}$

If the increase in allocation to asset i is offset by a decrease in allocation to asset j, then

$$\Delta x_j = -\Delta x_i$$

and the change in portfolio volatility is approximately

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Delta \sigma_{p} & \approx & \mathrm{MCR}_{i}^{\sigma} \cdot \Delta x_{i} + \mathrm{MCR}_{j}^{\sigma} \cdot \Delta x_{j} \\ & = & \mathrm{MCR}_{i}^{\sigma} \cdot \Delta x_{i} - \mathrm{MCR}_{j}^{\sigma} \cdot \Delta x_{i} \\ & = & \left( \mathrm{MCR}_{i}^{\sigma} - \mathrm{MCR}_{j}^{\sigma} \right) \cdot \Delta x_{i} \end{array}$$

#### Risk Reports

A common portfolio risk report summarizes asset and portfolio risk measures as well as risk budgets

Asset	<b>\$</b> d <sub>i</sub>	Xi	$RM_i$	$MCR_i^{RM}$	$CR_i^{RM}$	$PCR_i^{RM}$
Asset 1	\$d <sub>1</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	$RM_1$	$MCR^{RM}_1$	$CR_1^{RM}$	$PCR_1^{RM}$
Asset 2	\$d <sub>2</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	$RM_2$	$MCR_2^{RM}$	$CR_2^{ar{R}M}$	$PCR_2^{RM}$
:	:	:	:	<u>:</u>	<u>:</u>	:
Asset N	\$d <sub>N</sub>	ΧN	$RM_N$	$MCR_N^{RM}$	$CR_N^{RM}$	$PCR_N^{RM}$
Portfolio (Sum)	\$ <i>W</i> <sub>0</sub>	1			RM(x)	1

Table 1: Portfolio Risk Report

## **Three Asset Example**

- Consider creating a portfolio volatility and Value-at-Risk report from an equally weighted portfolio of Microsoft, Nordstrom, and Starbucks stock.
- The initial wealth invested in the portfolio is \$100,000. The expected return vector and covariance matrix is based on sample statistics computed over the five-year period January, 1995 through January, 2000.
- We use the same example data that we used for the portfolio theory examples

#### **Three Asset Example**

#### Asset information

## **Three Asset Example**

#### Portfolio information

```
W0 = 100000
x = rep(1/3, 3)
d = x*W0
names(x) = asset.names
mu.px = as.numeric(crossprod(x, mu.vec))
sig.px = as.numeric(sqrt(t(x)%*%sigma.mat%*%x))
```

#### **Example: Risk Budgeting Calculations**

Use matrix algebra to compute  $MCR^{\sigma}$ :

$$MCR^{\sigma} = (\Sigma \mathbf{x})/\sigma_{\rho}(\mathbf{x})$$

The other components follow directly.

```
MCR.vol.x = (sigma.mat%*%x)/sig.px
CR.vol.x = x*MCR.vol.x
PCR.vol.x = CR.vol.x/sig.px
```

#### **Example: Volatility Risk Report**

```
## Dollar Weight Vol MCR CR PCR
## MSFT 33333 0.333 0.100 0.0567 0.0189 0.249
## NORD 33333 0.333 0.104 0.0672 0.0224 0.295
## SBUX 33333 0.333 0.141 0.1037 0.0346 0.456
## PORT 100000 1.000 NA NA 0.0759 1.000
```

## Change in portfolio volatility due to rebalancing

For the equally weighted portfolio, increase  $x_{msft}$  by 0.1 and decrease  $x_{sbux}$  by 0.1. The approximate change in portfolio volatility is given by

```
delta.vol.px = (MCR.vol.x["MSFT",] - MCR.vol.x["SBUX",])*0.1
as.numeric(delta.vol.px)
```

```
## [1] -0.0047
```

The new portfolio volatility is

```
sig.px + delta.vol.px
```

```
## MSFT
## 0.0712
```

## Change in portfolio volatility due to rebalancing

The exact change in volatility from rebalancing is

```
x1 = x + c(0.1, 0, -0.1)
sig.px1 = as.numeric(sqrt(t(x1)%*%sigma.mat%*%x1))
sig.px1 - sig.px
```

```
## [1] -0.00293
```

#### $x - \sigma - \rho$ Decomposition of Portfolio Volatility

Recall,

$$MCR_i^{\sigma} = \frac{\partial \sigma_p(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i} = \text{ith row of } \frac{\Sigma \mathbf{x}}{\sigma_p(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{\text{cov}(R_i, R_p(\mathbf{x}))}{\sigma_p(\mathbf{x})}$$

Using

$$\rho_{i,p} = \operatorname{corr}(R_i, R_p(\mathbf{x})) = \frac{\operatorname{cov}(R_i, R_p(\mathbf{x}))}{\sigma_i \sigma_p(\mathbf{x})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{cov}(R_i, R_p(\mathbf{x})) = \rho_{i,p} \sigma_i \sigma_p(\mathbf{x})$$

#### $x - \sigma - \rho$ Decomposition of Portfolio Volatility

Then

$$MCR_i^{\sigma} = \frac{\rho_{i,p}\sigma_i\sigma_p(\mathbf{x})}{\sigma_p(\mathbf{x})} = \rho_{i,p}\sigma_i$$

and

$$CR_i^{\sigma} = x_i \times MCR_i^{\sigma}$$
$$= x_i \times \sigma_i \times \rho_{i,p}$$

= allocation  $\times$  standalone risk  $\times$  correlation with portfolio

### $x - \sigma - \rho$ Decomposition of Portfolio Volatility

#### Remarks:

- $x_i \times \sigma_i$  = standalone contribution to risk (ignores correlation effects with other assets)
- $CR_i^{\sigma} = x_i \times \sigma_i$  only when  $\rho_{i,p} = 1$
- If  $\rho_{i,p} < 1$  then  $CR_i^{\sigma} < x_i \times \sigma_i$

## **Example:** Add $x - \sigma - \rho$ decomposition to risk report

You can compute each asset's correlation to the portfolio,  $\rho_{i,p}$ , from the information in the risk report:

$$\rho_{i,p} = \mathrm{MCR}_i^{\sigma}/\sigma_i$$

```
rho.x = MCR.vol.x/sig.vec
riskReportVol.px = cbind(riskReportVol.px, c(rho.x, 1))
colnames(riskReportVol.px)[7] = "Rho"
```

 Eric Zivot
 Risk Budgeting
 3/28/2021
 38 / 52

## **Example:** Add $x - \sigma - \rho$ decomposition to risk report

The new risk report for the equally weighted portfolio is

```
riskReportVol.px
```

```
MCR
                                      CR.
                                           PCR.
##
       Dollar Weight Vol
  MSFT
        33333 0.333 0.100 0.0567 0.0189 0.249
  NORD 33333 0.333 0.104 0.0672 0.0224 0.295
## SBUX 33333 0.333 0.141 0.1037 0.0346 0.456
## PORT 100000 1.000 NA
                              NA 0.0759 1.000
         R.ho
##
  MSFT 0.567
  NORD 0.644
## SBUX 0.735
## PORT 1,000
```

## **Example:** Add $x - \sigma - \rho$ decomposition to risk report

#### Comments:

- SBUX has the highest correlation with the portfolio at 0.735. Its MCR is just smaller than its standalone volatility.
- MSFT and NORD have similar correlations with the portfolo. Their MCR values are much smaller than their standalone volatilies.

For a portfolio of n assets with return

$$R_p(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 R_1 + \cdots + x_n R_n = \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{R}$$

we derived the portfolio volatility decomposition

$$\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) = x_{1} \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{1}} + x_{2} \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{2}} + \dots + x_{n} \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{n}} = \mathbf{x}' \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} 
\frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{x}}{\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}, \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{i}} = \text{ith row of } \frac{\mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{x}}{\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}$$

41 / 52

With a little bit of algebra we can derive an alternative expression for

$$\mathrm{MCR}_{i}^{\sigma} = \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{i}} = \mathrm{ith} \ \mathrm{row} \ \mathrm{of} \ \frac{\Sigma \mathbf{x}}{\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}$$

First, define the beta of asset i with respect to the portfolio as

$$\beta_i = \frac{\operatorname{cov}(R_i, R_p(\mathbf{x}))}{\operatorname{var}(R_p(\mathbf{x}))} = \frac{\operatorname{cov}(R_i, R_p(\mathbf{x}))}{\sigma_p^2(\mathbf{x})}$$

**Result**:  $\beta_i$  measures asset contribution to  $\sigma_p(\mathbf{x})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{MCR}_{i}^{\sigma} &= & \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{i}} = \beta_{i} \times \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \mathrm{CR}_{i}^{\sigma} &= & x_{i} \times \beta_{i} \times \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \mathrm{PCR}_{i}^{\sigma} &= & x_{i} \times \beta_{i} \end{aligned}$$

By construction, the beta of the portfolio is 1

$$\beta_p = \frac{\operatorname{cov}(R_p(\mathbf{x}), R_p(\mathbf{x}))}{\operatorname{var}(R_p(\mathbf{x}))} = \frac{\operatorname{var}(R_p(\mathbf{x}))}{\operatorname{var}(R_p(\mathbf{x}))} = 1$$

• When  $\beta_i = 1$  asset i has the same risk as the portfolio:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\operatorname{MCR}_{i}^{\sigma} & = & \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \\
\operatorname{CR}_{i}^{\sigma} & = & x_{i}\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \\
\operatorname{PCR}_{i}^{\sigma} & = & x_{i}
\end{array}$$

• When  $\beta_i > 1$  asset *i* is a portfolio risk enhancer.

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\operatorname{MCR}_{i}^{\sigma} & > & \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \\
\operatorname{CR}_{i}^{\sigma} & > & x_{i} \times \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \\
\operatorname{PCR}_{i}^{\sigma} & > & x_{i}
\end{array}$$

• When  $\beta_i < 1$  asset *i* is a portfolio risk reducer:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\operatorname{MCR}_{i}^{\sigma} & < & \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \\
\operatorname{CR}_{i}^{\sigma} & < & x_{i} \times \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \\
\operatorname{PCR}_{i}^{\sigma} & < & x_{i}
\end{array}$$

45 / 52

Recall,

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_p(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{x}}{\sigma_p(\mathbf{x})}$$

Now,

$$\Sigma \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_{12} & \cdots & \sigma_{1n} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_2^2 & \cdots & \sigma_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{1n} & \sigma_{n2} & \cdots & \sigma_n^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

The first row of  $\Sigma x$  is

$$x_1\sigma_1^2 + x_2\sigma_{12} + \cdots + x_n\sigma_{1n}$$

Now consider

$$cov(R_1, R_p) = cov(R_1, x_1R_1 + \dots + x_nR_n)$$

$$= cov(R_1, x_1R_1) + \dots + cov(R_1, x_nR_n)$$

$$= x_1\sigma_1^2 + x_2\sigma_{12} + \dots + x_n\sigma_{1n}$$

Next, note that

$$\beta_1 = \frac{\operatorname{cov}(R_1, R_p)}{\sigma_p^2(\mathbf{x})} \Rightarrow \operatorname{cov}(R_1, R_p) = \beta_1 \sigma_p^2(\mathbf{x})$$

47 / 52

Hence, the first row of  $\Sigma x$  is

$$x_1\sigma_1^2 + x_2\sigma_{12} + \dots + x_n\sigma_{1n} = \beta_1\sigma_p^2(\mathbf{x})$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{MCR}_{1}^{\sigma} &= \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{1}} = \text{first row of } \frac{\Sigma \mathbf{x}}{\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})} \\
&= \frac{\beta_{1} \sigma_{p}^{2}(\mathbf{x})}{\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})} = \beta_{1} \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})
\end{aligned}$$

In a similar fashion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{MCR}_{i}^{\sigma} &= & \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{i}} = \mathrm{i'th \ row \ of } \frac{\Sigma \mathbf{x}}{\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})} \\ &= & \frac{\beta_{i} \sigma_{p}^{2}(\mathbf{x})}{\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})} = \beta_{i} \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

### Example: Add portfolio beta to risk report

You can compute each asset's portfolio beta,  $\beta_i$ , from the information in the risk report:

$$\beta_i = PCR_i/x_i$$

```
beta.x = PCR.vol.x/x
riskReportVol.px = cbind(riskReportVol.px, c(beta.x, 1))
colnames(riskReportVol.px)[8] = "Beta"
```

## Add portfolio beta to risk report

The new risk report for the equally weighted portfolio is riskReportVol.px

```
MCR
                                       CR.
                                            PCR.
##
        Dollar Weight
                        Vol
  MSFT
        33333 0.333 0.100 0.0567 0.0189 0.249
  NORD 33333 0.333 0.104 0.0672 0.0224 0.295
## SBUX 33333 0.333 0.141 0.1037 0.0346 0.456
## PORT 100000 1.000
                         NA
                                NA 0.0759 1.000
          R.ho
##
              Beta
  MSFT 0.567 0.747
  NORD 0.644 0.886
## SBUX 0.735 1.367
## PORT 1.000 1.000
```

## Add portfolio beta to risk report

#### Comments:

- SBUX has a portfolo beta bigger than 1. Its PCR is bigger than its allocation weight in the portfolio and is a risk enhanser.
- MSFT and NORD have a portfolo betas less than 1. Their PCRs are smaller than their allocation weights in the portfolio and they are risk reducers.