

Lecture 5: Turing Machines for Functions

Lecturer: Renjie Yang

5.1 Turing Computability

Definition 5.1 An **expression** is a finite sequence (possibly empty) of symbols chosen from the list: $q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots \in Q$; $S_0, S_1, S_2, S_3, \dots \in \Gamma$; R, L .

Definition 5.2 A **quadruple** is an expression having one of the following forms:

1. $q_i S_j S_k q_l$
2. $q_i S_j R q_l$
3. $q_i S_j L q_l$

Definition 5.3 A **Turing machine** is a finite (nonempty) set of quadruples that contains no two quadruples whose first two symbols are the same.

The q 's and S 's that occur in the quadruples of a Turing machine are called its **internal configurations** and its **alphabet**, respectively.

Definition 5.4 An **instantaneous description** is an expression that contains exactly one q_i , neither R nor L , and is such that q_i is not the rightmost symbol.

If Z is a Turing machine and α is an instantaneous description, then we say that α is an **instantaneous description of Z** if the q_i that occurs in α is an internal configuration of Z and if the S_i 's that occur in α are part of the alphabet of Z .

Definition 5.5 An expression that consists entirely of the letters S ; is called a **tape expression**.

Definition 5.6 Let Z be a Turing machine, and let α be an instantaneous description of Z , where q_i is the internal configuration that occurs in α and where S_j is the symbol immediately to the right of q_i . Then we call q_i the **internal configuration of Z at α** , and we call S_j **the symbol scanned by Z at α** . The tape expression obtained on removing q_i from α is called the **expression on the tape of Z at α** .

Definition 5.7 Let Z be a Turing machine, and let α, β be instantaneous descriptions. Then we write $\alpha \rightarrow \beta (Z)$ to mean that one of the following alternatives holds:

1. There exist expressions P and Q (possibly empty) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\text{ is } P q_i S_j Q, \\ \beta &\text{ is } P q_l S_k Q, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Z \text{ contains } q_i S_j S_k q_l.$$

2. There exist expressions P and Q (possibly empty) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\text{ is } P q_i S_j S_k Q, \\ \beta &\text{ is } P S_j q_l S_k Q, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Z \text{ contains } q_i S_j R q_l.$$

3. There exist expressions P and Q (possibly empty) such that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha & \text{ is } Pq_iS_j, \\ \beta & \text{ is } PS_jq_lS_0,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$Z \text{ contains } q_iS_jRq_l.$$

4. There exist expressions P and Q (possibly empty) such that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha & \text{ is } PS_kq_iS_jQ, \\ \beta & \text{ is } Pq_lS_kS_jQ,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$Z \text{ contains } q_iS_jLq_l.$$

5. There exist expressions P and Q (possibly empty) such that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha & \text{ is } q_iS_jQ, \\ \beta & \text{ is } q_lS_0S_jQ,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$Z \text{ contains } q_iS_jLq_l.$$

Theorem 5.8 If $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ (Z) and $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ (Z), then $\beta = \gamma$.

Theorem 5.9 If $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ (Z) and $Z \subset Z'$, then $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ (Z').

Definition 5.10 An instantaneous description α is called terminal with respect to Z if for no β do we have $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ (Z).

Definition 5.11 By a **computation of a Turing machine** Z is meant a finite sequence $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p$ of instantaneous descriptions such that $\alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha_{i+1}$ Z for $1 \leq i < p$ and such that α_p is terminal with respect to Z . In such a case, we write $\alpha_p = \text{Res}_Z(\alpha_1)$ and we call α_p the **resultant** of α_1 with respect to Z .

Definition 5.12 With each number n we associate the tape expression \bar{n} where $\bar{n} = 1^{n+1}$.

Definition 5.13 With each k -tuple (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) of integers we associate the tape expression $\overline{(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)}$, where

$$\overline{(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)} = \bar{n}_1 B \bar{n}_2 B \cdots B \bar{n}_k$$

Definition 5.14 Let M be any expression. Then $\langle M \rangle$ is the number of occurrences of 1 in M .

Definition 5.15 Let Z be a Turing machine. Then, for each n , we associate with Z an n -ary function

$$\Psi_Z^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

as follows. For each n -tuple (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) , we set $a_1 = q_1 \overline{(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)}$ and we distinguish between two cases:

1. There exists a computation of Z , a_1, \dots, a_p . In this case we set

$$\Psi_Z^{(n)}(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) = \langle a_p \rangle = \langle \text{Res}_Z(\alpha_1) \rangle.$$

2. There exists no computation a_1, \dots, a_p . In this case we leave $\Psi_Z^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ undefined.

For $\Psi_Z^{(1)}(x)$ we write $\Psi_Z(x)$.

Definition 5.16 An n -ary function $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is **partially computable** if there exists a Turing machine Z such that

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \Psi_Z^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

In this case we say that Z computes f . If, in addition, $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a total function, then it is called **computable**.

5.2 Computable Functions

Examples

- $f(x, y) = x + y$, p12
- $S(x) = x + 1$, p12
- $f(x, y) = x - y$, p12
- $f(x, y) = x \div y$, p15
- $I(x) = x$, p16
- $U_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, p16
- $f(x, y) = (x + 1)(y + 1)$, p17

Definition 5.17 If Z is a Turing machine we let $\theta(Z)$ be the largest number i such that q_i is an internal configuration of Z .

Definition 5.18 A Turing machine Z is called **n -regular** ($n > 0$) if

1. There is an $s > 0$ such that, whenever $\text{Res}_Z[q_1(\overline{m_1, \dots, m_n})]$ is defined, it has the form $q_{\theta(Z)}(\overline{r_1, \dots, r_s})$ for suitable r_1, \dots, r_s , and
2. No quadruple of Z begins with $q_{\theta(Z)}$.

Definition 5.19 Let Z be a Turing machine. Then $Z^{(n)}$ is the Turing machine obtained from Z by replacing each internal configuration q_i , at all of its occurrences in quadruples of Z , by q_{n+i} .

Lemma 5.20 (LEMMA 1 in the book) For every Turing machine Z , we can find a Turing machine Z' such that, for each n , Z' is n -regular, and

$$\text{Res}_{Z'}[q_1(\overline{m_1, \dots, m_n})] = q_{\theta(Z')} \overline{\Psi_Z^{(n)}(m_1, \dots, m_n)}$$

Proof: Page 26. See the demo in class. ■

Lemma 5.21 (LEMMA 2) For each n -regular Turing machine Z and each $p > 0$, there is a $(p + n)$ -regular Turing machine Z_p such that, whenever

$$\text{Res}_Z[q_1(\overline{m_1, \dots, m_n})] = q_{\theta(Z)}(\overline{r_1, \dots, r_s}),$$

it is also the case that

$$\text{Res}_{Z_p}[q_1(\overline{k_1, \dots, k_p, m_1, \dots, m_n})] = q_{\theta(Z)}(\overline{k_1, \dots, k_p, r_1, \dots, r_s}),$$

whereas, whenever $\text{Res}_Z[q_1(\overline{m_1, \dots, m_n})]$ is undefined, so is $\text{Res}_{Z_p}[q_1(\overline{k_1, \dots, k_p, m_1, \dots, m_n})]$.

Page 29. See the demo in class. Same for the following results.

Example The copying machine C_p

For each $n > 0$ and $p \geq 0$, we shall define a $(p + n)$ -regular Turing machine such that

$$Res_{C_p}[q_1(\overline{k_1, \dots, k_p, m_1, \dots, m_n})] = q_{p+16}(\overline{m_1, \dots, m_n, k_1, \dots, k_p, m_1, \dots, m_n}).$$

Example The transfer machine R_p

For each $n > 0$ and $p \geq 0$, we shall define a $(p + n)$ -regular Turing machine such that

$$Res_{R_p}[q_1(\overline{k_1, \dots, k_p, m_1, \dots, m_n})] = q_{p+16}(\overline{m_1, \dots, m_n, k_1, \dots, k_p}).$$

Lemma 5.22 (LEMMA 3) *For each n -regular Turing machine Z , there is an n -regular Turing machine Z' such that, whenever*

$$Res_Z[q_1(\overline{m_1, \dots, m_n})] = q_{\theta(Z)}(\overline{r_1, \dots, r_s}),$$

it is also the case that

$$Res_{Z'}[q_1(\overline{m_1, \dots, m_n})] = q_{\theta(Z')}(\overline{r_1, \dots, r_s, m_1, \dots, m_n}),$$

whereas, whenever $Res_Z[q_1(\overline{m_1, \dots, m_n})]$ is undefined, so is $Res_{Z'}[q_1(\overline{m_1, \dots, m_n})]$.

Proof: By Lemma 2, there is a $2n$ -regular Turing machine U such that

$$Res_Z[q_1(\overline{m_1, \dots, m_n, m_1, \dots, m_n})] = q_{\theta(Z)}(\overline{m_1, \dots, m_n, r_1, \dots, r_s}).$$

Then we can take

$$Z' = C_0 \bigcup U^{(15)} \bigcup R_n^{(14+\theta(U))}.$$

Z' does the following:

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(\overline{m_1, \dots, m_n}) &\rightarrow q_{16}(\overline{m_1, \dots, m_n, m_1, \dots, m_n}) \quad (\text{by } C_0) \\ &\rightarrow q_{\theta(U^{(15)})}(\overline{m_1, \dots, m_n, r_1, \dots, r_s}) \quad (\text{by } U^{(15)}) \\ &\rightarrow q_{\theta(Z')}(\overline{r_1, \dots, r_s, m_1, \dots, m_n}) \quad (\text{by } R_n^{(14+\theta(U))}) \end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 5.23 (LEMMA 4) *Let Z_1, \dots, Z_p be Turing machines. Let $n > 0$. Then, there exists an n -regular Turing machine Z' such that*

$$Res_{Z'}[q_1(\overline{m_1, \dots, m_n})] = q_{\theta(Z')}(\overline{\Psi_{Z_1}^{(n)}(m_1, \dots, m_n), \dots, \Psi_{Z_p}^{(n)}(m_1, \dots, m_n)}).$$

Proof: Prove by induction on p . For $p = 1$, the result is Lemma 1. Assume the result holds for $p = k$; show that it also holds for $p = k + 1$.

Let Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_{k+1} be given Turing machines. Let

$$r_i = \Psi_{Z_i}^{(n)}(m_1, \dots, m_n)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq k + 1$. By the inductive hypothesis, there is an n -regular Turing machine Y_1 such that

$$Res_{Y_1}[q_1(\overline{m_1, \dots, m_n})] = q_{\theta(Y_1)}(\overline{r_1, \dots, r_k}).$$

By Lemma 3, there is an n -regular Turing machine Y_2 such that

$$\text{Res}_{Y_1}[q_1(\overline{m_1, \dots, m_n})] = q_{\theta(Y_2)}(\overline{r_1, \dots, r_k, m_1, \dots, m_n}).$$

By Lemma 1, there is an n -regular Turing machine Y_3 such that

$$\text{Res}_{Y_3}[q_1(\overline{m_1, \dots, m_n})] = q_{\theta(Y_3)}(\overline{r_{k+1}}).$$

By Lemma 2, there is an n -regular Turing machine Y_4 such that

$$\text{Res}_{Y_1}[q_1(\overline{r_1, \dots, r_k, m_1, \dots, m_n})] = q_{\theta(Y_4)}(\overline{r_1, \dots, r_k, r_{k+1}}).$$

We can take $Z' = Y_2 \cup Y_4^{(\theta(Y_2)-1)}$ to obtain the result for $p = k + 1$. ■

5.3 Composition and Minimization

Definition 5.24 *The operation of composition associates with the functions $f(y^{(m)})$, $g_1(x^{(n)})$, $g_2(x^{(n)})$, \dots , $g_m(x^{(n)})$, the function*

$$h(x^{(n)}) = f(g_1(x^{(n)}), g_2(x^{(n)}), \dots, g_m(x^{(n)})).$$

Theorem 5.25 *Let $f(y^{(m)})$, $g_1(x^{(n)})$, $g_2(x^{(n)})$, \dots , $g_m(x^{(n)})$ be (partially) computable. Let*

$$h(x^{(n)}) = f(g_1(x^{(n)}), g_2(x^{(n)}), \dots, g_m(x^{(n)})).$$

Then $h(x^{(n)})$ is (partially) computable.

Proof: By Lemma 4, there is an n -regular Turing machine Z such that

$$\text{Res}_Z[q_1(\overline{x^{(n)}})] = q_{\theta(Z)}(\overline{g_1(x^{(n)}), g_2(x^{(n)}), \dots, g_m(x^{(n)})}).$$

Let Z_1 be chosen so that

$$\Psi_{Z_1}^{(m)}(x^{(m)}) = f(x^{(m)}),$$

let $Z' = Z \cup Z_1^{(\theta(Z)-1)}$. Then

$$\Psi_{Z'}^{(n)}(\overline{x^{(n)}}) = f(g_1(x^{(n)}), g_2(x^{(n)}), \dots, g_m(x^{(n)})) = h(x^{(n)})$$
■

Corollary 5.26 *The class of (partially) computable functions is closed under the operation of composition.*

Corollary 5.27 *xy is computable.*

Proof:

$$xy = (x + 1)(y + 1) \div (y + 1) \div x$$
■

Example $x^k = x^{(k-1)}x$

Example $|x - y| = (x \div y) + (y \div x)$

Definition 5.28 *The operation of minimization associates with each total function $f(y, x^{(n)})$ the function $h(x^{(n)})$ whose value for given $x^{(n)}$ is the least value of y , if one such exists, for which $f(y, x^{(n)}) = 0$, and which is undefined if no such y exists:*

$$h(x^{(n)}) = \min_y [f(y, x^{(n)}) = 0].$$

Definition 5.29 *The total function $f(y, x^{(n)})$ is called regular if*

$$\min_y [f(y, x^{(n)}) = 0]$$

is total.

Theorem 5.30 *If $f(y, x^{(n)})$ is computable, then*

$$h(x^{(n)}) = \min_y [f(y, x^{(n)}) = 0]$$

is partially computable. Moreover, if $f(y, x^{(n)})$ is regular, then $h(x^{(n)})$ is computable.

Page 38.