New Phytologist Supporting Information

Article title: Empirical evidence and theoretical understanding of ecosystem carbon and nitrogen cycle interactions

Authors: Benjamin D. Stocker, Ning Dong, Evan A. Perkowski, Pascal D. Schneider, Huiying Xu, Hugo de Boer, Karin T. Rebel, Nicholas G. Smith, Kevin Van Sundert,

Han Wang, Sarah E. Jones, I. Colin Prentice and Sandy P. Harrison

Article acceptance date: 6 September 2024

Notes S4 CN-model simulations

The CN-model is used here for two point-scale simulations - one with a step-increase to elevated CO_2 and one with a step-increase in reactive N input. For both simulations, the daily meteorological forcing time series are derived from FLUXNET2015 data for the site FR-Pue (Rambal et al., 2004) - the site of an evergreen forest in southern France. For the demonstration purpose of the simulations here, we forced the model with constant meteorological conditions in each day and simulation year. Constant meteorological conditions were taken as growing-season mean, i.e., the average over all days where air temperature was above 5°C. Effects of limiting root-zone water availability are not considered for the CN-model simulations.

The ambient daily N deposition is set to 0.003 gN m⁻² d⁻¹. These values are chosed such that the annual sum of NO₃ and NH₄ correspond to values for this site location estimated by global atmospheric chemitry and transport modelling (Lamarque et al., 2011).

No biomass harvesting, nor external seed input was considered.

For the CO_2 experiment, the atmospheric concentration was doubled, from 389 ppm to 778 ppm, within one year. The simulated and observed responses to elevated CO_2 were normalised with the change in CO_2 (see Supporting Information Notes S2) for the evaluation against observations from the experiments meta-analysis. For the N-fertilisation experiment, the reactive N input was increased from the ambient level to 12 gN m⁻² d⁻¹. This corresponds to the average rate of fertilisation experiments in (Liang et al., 2020). Simulated response ratios were evaluated as means across ten years (year 5 to 15) after the step-increase, and referenced against three years before the step-increase. The first four years after the step-increase were omitted because of oscillating behaviour of the modelled system, which got attenuated thereafter.

Model simulations were performed with the model as implemented in the rsofun modelling framework available from https://github.com/stineb/rsofun/tree/cnmodel branch cnmodel, commit hash number 66b424142b500e07c41895dbb35d64e5bbdad49e). Model parameters are specified in the scripts available on Github (https://github.com/stineb/lt_cn_review/blob/main/analysis/exp_co2_cnmodel.R and https://github.com/stineb/lt_cn_review/blob/main/analysis/exp_nfert_cnmodel.R).

REFERENCES 2

References

Lamarque, J.-F., Kyle, G. P., Meinshausen, M., Riahi, K., Smith, S. J., van Vuuren, D. P., Conley, A. J., and Vitt, F.: Global and regional evolution of short-lived radiatively-active gases and aerosols in the Representative Concentration Pathways, Climatic Change, 109, 191, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-011-0155-0, URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-011-0155-0, 2011.

- Liang, X., Zhang, T., Lu, X., Ellsworth, D. S., BassiriRad, H., You, C., Wang, D., He, P., Deng, Q., Liu, H., Mo, J., and Ye, Q.: Global response patterns of plant photosynthesis to nitrogen addition: A meta-analysis, Global Change Biology, 26, 3585–3600, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb. 15071, URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/gcb.15071, eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/gcb.15071, 2020.
- Rambal, S., Joffre, R., Ourcival, J. M., Cavender-Bares, J., and Rocheteau, A.: The growth respiration component in eddy CO2 flux from a Quercus ilex mediterranean forest, Global Change Biology, 10, 1460–1469, https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1365-2486.2004.00819.x, URL https://doi.org/10.1111%2Fj.1365-2486.2004. 00819.x, publisher: Wiley-Blackwell, 2004.