Tattvaratnāvaloka and its Vivaraņa

Vāgīśvarakīrti

February 16, 2025

Some Conventions/Policies

- Tibetan translations are included in the apparatus when they indicate variant Sanskrit readings.
- Sanskrit renderings suggested by Tibetan appear in brackets after the translation's siglum. These renderings are hypothetical and cannot be provide in all cases.
- When two Tibetan translations differ slightly, they are separated by a semicolon. Only the second translation includes a Sanskrit rendering.
- A Tibetan translation's siglum is included when it appears to support one of multiple Sanskrit readings, based on the editors' judgment.
- Tibetan is not included in the apparatus when it offers no clear support for or against a reading.
- When both Tibetan translations of the commentary agree, they are given the siglum TV.

Sigla and Abbreviations

TaRaĀ Tattvaratnāvaloka

TaRa $\bar{\text{A}}$ -Vi Tattvaratn $\bar{\text{a}}$ valokavivara $\bar{\text{n}}$ a E_{DH} Dh $\bar{\text{i}}$ $\bar{\text{h}}$ vol. 21, pp. 129–149. K NAK 5–252 = NGMPP A 915/4

TM_D De kho na nyid rin po che snang ba. Tōhoku no.

1889. sDe dge bstan 'gyur, vol. Pi, fols. 203r3-

204r5. Tr. by 'Gos Lhas btsas

TVA_D De kho na nyid rin po che snang ba'i rnam par

bshad pa. Tōh. 1890. sDe dge bsTan 'gyur, vol. 44 (rGyud 'grel, Pi), fols. 204r5-214v4. Tr. by

'Gos Lhas btsas.

TVB_G De kho na nyid rin po che snang ba'i rnam par

bshad pa. Ōtani no. 4793. bsTan 'gyur gSer bris ma, vol. 84 (83 in BDRC outline(?)), (rGyud 'brel,

Zhu), fols. 70v–85v. translator given.

TV Both Tibetan translations of the comme-

ntary (differences, if any, indicated in a mini-

aparatus)

ac ante correctionem

deest omitted in

diag. conj. diagnostic conjecture [e.g. 'reconstructed' from

Tibetan]

conj. conjecture

em. emendation [an emendation is made with a

high degree of confidence, whereas a conjecture proposes a correction while acknowle-

dging a greater possibility for alternatives]

fol./fols. folio/folios

pc post correctionem

 $egin{array}{ll} r & ext{recto} \ v & ext{verso} \end{array}$

Σ_{X}	Reading shared in all witnesses but X
((kiṃcit))	Reading uncertain-either illegible or othe-
	rwise in doubt
<kimcit></kimcit>	Reading cancelled
†kiṃcit†	Reading does not make sense to the editor and
	an adequate conjecture was not able to be cho-
	sen.
[kiṃcit]	Indication of a diagnostic conjecture
	Damaged akṣara (one . per half akṣara)
	Lacunae of an unknown quanity of akṣaras
0	Mark of abbreviation

Text

mangalācaraņam 1

```
[K fol. 1r] [siddham]<sup>1</sup> namah śrīsadgurupādebhyah |<sup>i</sup>
anupamasukharūpī śrīnivāso 'nivāso
nirupamadaśadevīrūpavidyah² savidyah |
tribhuvanahitasaukhyaprāptikāro 'vikāro
jayati kamalapānir yāvad āśāvikāśāh || 1 || ii
```

[K fol. 2r3] namah samantakāyavākcittavajrāya. iii

anupametyādi. kamalam padmam pānau yasya sa kamalapānir avalokiteśvaro bhagavāñ jayatīti sambandhah. kimviśistah? anupa-

ⁱ Scribal homage

ⁱⁱ This verse is in Mālinī metre.

iii Scribal homage

¹ [siddhaṃ]] K; oṁ E_{DH} ² nirupama°] E_{DH}; nirūpama° K

mam ity³,iv atipraṇītatvamahattvāsaṃsārasthāyitvalakṣaṇair⁴ dharmair yuktasyānyasyābhāvād upamārahitaṃ sukham eva rūpaṃ svabhāvo yasya sa tathoktaḥ. punar api kiṃviśiṣṭaḥ? śrīḥ puṇyajñānasambhāralakṣaṇā, tasyā nivāsa āśrayo yaḥ sa tathā. dharmakāyarūpatvena⁵,v sarvagatatvāt [E_{DH} p. 132] pratiniyatanivāsābhāvād anivāsaḥ.

punaḥ kīdṛśaḥ? nirupamāḥ paramarūpayauvanaśṛṅgārādirasa-mahākaruṇādiyuktatvenopamātikrāntā rūpavajrāditārāparyantada-śadevīrūpā vidyāḥ paricārakatvena⁶ yasya sa tathā. saha svābhārū-payā vidyayā⁷ vartata iti savidyaḥ. tribhuvanasya tribhuvanavartino janasya yad dhitam āyatipathyaṇ^{8,vi} buddhatvādikaṃ, sau-

 $^{^{\}mathrm{iv}}$ Here one may wish to conjecture a reading such as, anumapetyādi. anupamam ity ...This reading is partially suggested by TV: khyad par ji lta bu zhig dang ldan zhe na | dpe med ces bya ba la sogs pa smos te | dpe med pa ni (ni] TVAD; dang TVBG). The corruption, if there is one, can be seen as a kind of haplography. The text nonetheless reads acceptably well with the transmitted reading (although perhaps less smootly); thus we feel that while a conjecture is possible it is not strongly compelling.

Y The manuscript's *dharmakāyarūpitvena* is theoretically acceptable and nearly synonymous; however, *dharmakāyarūpatvena* is more expected, with forms in "rūpatvena being vastly more frequent in Classical Sanskrit. TV's reading *chos kyi sku'i ngo bo nyid kyis* does not clearly confirm either variant, as *rūpin* in the root verse is also translated as *ngo bo*. We provisionally adopt *dharmakāyarūpatvena*, but cannot fully discount the transmitted reading.

vi We need not necessarily read a compound for *āyatipathyam*, treating instead *āyati* as a locative of *āyat*. The expression appears as a gloss for *hita* in several Buddhists texts, such as in Vilāsavajra's *Mantrārthāvalokinī*: *mahyaṃ hitaṃ maddhitaṃ hitam āyatipathyam āgāmipariṇāmatvāt* (p. 233). Similarly, Durvekamiśra writes in his *Hetubinduṭīkāloka*: *parasmai hitamāyati pathyaṃ* (p. 212). In

 $^{^3}$ kiṃviśiṣṭaḥ? anupamam ity] K E_{DH} ; khyad par ji lta bu zhig dang ldan zhe na | dpe med ces bya ba la sogs pa smos te | dpe med pa ni TVA_D ; khyad par ji lta bu zhig dang ldan zhe na | dpe med ces bya ba la sogs pa smos te | dpe med pa dang TVB_G (kiṃviśiṣṭa ity āha anumapam ityādi. anupamam)

⁴ °samsārasthāyitva°] K; °samsārasthāyisva° E_{DH}

⁵ dharmakāyarūpatvena] em.; dharmakāyarūpitvena K E_{DH}

 $^{^6}$ paricārakatvena] em.; sapari((c))ārakatvena K; saparivārakatvena $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$

⁷ vidyayā] K E_{DH}; rig pa ste | shes rab TV (vidyayā prajñayā)

 $^{^8}$ āyati
pathyaṃ] variant word division in $E_{DH}\colon$ āyati pathyaṃ;
and in K: āyati | pathyam

both cases, the construction is ambiguous, but in the latter case, the editors of Durvekamiśra's text have not taken it as a compound.

The word *āyatipathya* is used less ambiguously in compound by Śākyaraksita, quoted in the following note. Similarly, the roughly parallel expression ayatisukha is evidnetly treated as a compound by Yasomitra in his Abhidharmakośavyākhyā: aihikasukhārtham apunyam iti. ihasukhāpeksayā tat krtam nāyatisukhāpeksayety arthah (vol. 1 p. 299). Note also the contrast made with aihikasukha. Likewise, we can find a compounded form of *āyatiduhkha* in a verse attributed to Naradatta in the Subhāsitaratnakośa: mundāpriyād āyatiduhkhadāyino vasantam utsārya vijrmbhitaśriyah | na kah khalāt tāpitamitramandalād upaiti pāpam tapavāsarād iva || 'Who does not become miserable because of a rogue who, like a hot day, is hated by widows (? mundā) (the hot day being hated by bald men), who leads to future pain, whose wealth expands after he expels those living with him (like the hot day manifests its richness having dismissed the spring), and who annoys his circle of friends (like on a hot day the orb of the sun is heated) (cf. INGALLS 1965: 553).

vii The text is insecure here but perhaps not far from the author's intention. Where the manuscript reads tad dāpayati pathyam (word division unclear, pa and ya touching), we conjecture tadātve pathyam, following only partially the lead of TVAD. The Tibetan translations read as follows: gang la phan pa ni ma 'ongs pa'i phan pa ste | sangs rgyas nyid la sogs pa'o || de la bde ba ni 'phral gyi phan pa ste | (TVA_D); gang la phan pa ni ma'ongs pa'i phan pa ste | sangs rgyas nyid la sogs pa dang | de la bde ba ni bde ba ste | 'khor lo bsgyur ba nyid la sogs pa'o || (TVB_G). It appears that TVB_G also transmits a corrupt reading. TVA_D suggests reading something that contrasts with ayati pathyam, for which tadatve pathyam fits. Another possibility is āpātapathyam, but tadātva is more often used in contrast with āyati. See, for example, Śākyaraksita's Vrttamālāstutivrtti: prthagjanatve 'pi āyatipathyadarśinas tadātve ca nispāpāh (p. 299); 'Although ordinary people, they see the future welfare and are without sin in the present moment.'

The Tibetan translations also suggest that hita and saukhya are linked with relative and corelative pronouns: gang la and de la, or yasya and tasya in Sanskrit. This does not yield good sense. It is possible that tadātve was misread by the translator as a corelative pronoun, while it is also possible that a second relative pronoun (yat) or a conjunction (ca) was found in the original text near saukhyam. Here hitasaukhya within the larger compound is only really viable as a dvandva: Avalokiteśvara causes the attainment of (ultimate) welfare and (temporary) happiness for all beings. Given that, tayor yā prāptih might be preferable to tasya yā prāptiḥ, but the singular is also probably acceptable in place of the dual.

6

⁹ saukhyam tadātve pathyam] conj.; tad dāpayati pathyam K E_{DH} (word division unclear); de la bde ba ni 'phral gyi phan pa TVAD; de la bde ba ni bde ba ste TVBG ¹⁰ prāptih] K E_{DH}; thob pa ni rnyed pa ste TV (prāptir lābhah)

[K fol. 2v] sākṣātkriyā, tasyāḥ karaṇaṃ kāro yasya sa tathā. viii aparinirvāṇadharmakatvenāpratiṣṭhitanirvāṇarūpatvenā 11 nyathātvalakṣaṇasya vikārasyābhāvād avikāraḥ. evaṃviśiṣṭo bhagavāñ jayati.

kiyantam kālam ity āha—yāvad āśāvikāśāḥ. āśā daśa diśo gaganasvarūpāḥ. yadvā āśāḥ sarvasattvānām bhavabhogatṛṣṇāḥ. 12 tāsām vikāśā avakāśāḥ pravartanāni, prādurbhāvā iti yāvat. te yāvat tāvad bhagavāñ jayati, sarvahariharahiraṇyagarbhādibhyaḥ prakrsto bhavatīty arthah.

atrānupamasukharūpīty anena svārthasaṃpattiḥ kathitā. śrīnivāsa ity anena tadupāyaḥ, puṇyajñānasambhārayoḥ śrīśabenābhihitatvāt. tribhuvanahitasaukhyaprāptikāra ity anena parārthasaṃpattir uktā. nirupamadaśadevīrūpavidyaḥ savidya ity anena tadupāyaḥ, ¹⁴tathābhūtadaśadevīdvātriṃśallakṣaṇāśītyanuvyañjanakāyākāraśūnyena¹⁵ sarvākāraparārthasaṃpatteḥ kartum aśakyatvād iti.

TV does not clearly reflect a $bahuvr\bar{i}hi$ analysis, nor does it very clearly point to another reading: de dag sgrub par mdzad po gang yin pa de la de skad ces bya'o (TVA_D) ; de dag gi rgyu mdzad pa gang yin pa de la de skad ces bya'o (TVB_G) .

viii It is notable that Vāgīśvakīrti evidently understands °prāptikāra as a bahuvrīhi, whereas other commentators may prefer to treat it akin to kumbhakāra and therefore as an upapadasamāsa as per Aṣṭādhyāyī 3.1.18. Given the latter understanding, the expected gloss for prāptikāra would be prāptim karoti. Compounds ending in kāra are occasionally analysed as ṣaṣṭhītatpuruṣas: see, for examples, Vijñāneśvara's Mitākṣarā ad Yājñavalkya-dharmaśāstra 2.61 on satyaṃkārakṛta, here referring roughly to a thing 'acquired with a pledge', i.e., acquired as earnest money: karaṇaṃ kāraḥ, bhāve ghañ. satyaṣya kāraḥ satyaṃkāraḥ—kāre satyāgadasya (Aṣṭādhyāyī 6.3.70) iti mum. satyaṃkāreṇa kṛtaṃ satyaṃkārakṛtam) (p. 275). We are unable to provide another example of a compound ending in kāra analysed as a bahuvrīhi, but we should also note that the compound prāptikāra is itself rare.

 $^{^{11}}$ °rūpatvenā°] K $E_{DH};$ ngo bo rnyed pas TVA $_{\!D};$ ngo bo brnyed pas TVB $_{\!G}$ (°rūpaprāptyā°)

¹² °tṛṣṇāḥ] E_{DH} (°tṛṣṇās); tṛṣṇā K

¹³ te yāvat tāvad] *em.*; tā yāvat tāvad K E_{DH}; de srid du TV (tāvad)

¹⁴ tathābhūta°] K E_{DH} TVB_G (de lta bu); no reflex in TVA_D

^{15 °}kāyā°] K E_{DH}; dam pa'i sku TV (°satkāyā°)

2 prayojanādi

śrīmantranītigatacārucaturthasekarūpam vidanti na hi ye sphuṭaśabdaśūnyam | nānopadeśagaṇasaṃkulasaptabhedais teṣāṃ sphuṭāvagataye kriyate prayatnaḥ $\parallel 2 \parallel^{\mathrm{ix}}$

śrīmantranītiśabdena¹⁶ sāmānyayogatantravācakenāpi śrīsamājaḥ¹⁷ parigṛhyate, caturthārthakasyānyatrāsambhavāt. śeṣaṃ subodham. nānācāryopadeśagaṇasaṃkulai $[E_{DH}\ p.\ 133]$ r vyākulaiḥ¹⁸ saptabhir bhedaiḥ prakārair¹⁹ atītānāgatavartamānācārya²⁰gatopadeśarāśisaṃgrāhakaiḥ.^{21,x} sphuṭāvagataye sukhena sphuṭapratītyartham²² iti.

ix This verse is in Vasantatilakā.

^x In this case TVB_G resembles closely the Sanskrit text transmitted in K, apart from the addition of a further gloss after $vy\bar{a}kula$. The reading rnam par bkab pa ('covered') doesn't yield much sense, but it could be a mistake for rnam par bkang ba ('filled'), which is perfectly fitting and synonymous with TVA_D 's rnam par khyab pa (Negi records the latter as rendering $vip\bar{u}rna$ in some texts). One may wish to conjecture such a reading. TVA_D is significantly different here, even though most of the words of the transmitted Sanskrit text are still reflected: du ma'i man ngag ces bya ba la sogs pa la | 'das pa dang ma 'ongs pa dang | da ltar gyi slob dpon du ma'i man ngag gi tshogs yang dag par bsdus pa'i mdun gyi dbye bas yongs su dkrugs pa ni | rnam par dkrugs pas rnam pa thams cad la rnam par khyab pa ste | des bsgrub par bya ba dkrugs pa'o || The text is dubious but reflects a Sanskrit text along the following lines: $n\bar{a}nopade\acute{s}ety\bar{a}di$. $at\bar{i}t\bar{a}n\bar{a}gatavartam\bar{a}n\bar{a}c\bar{a}ryopade\acute{s}ara\acute{s}isamgrāhakaiḥ$ saptabhir bhedaih samkulair $vy\bar{a}kulaih$ $sarvatrav\bar{i}p\bar{u}rnaih$ taih $s\bar{a}dhyasamkulaih$.

 $^{^{16}}$ śrīmantranītiśabdena] K $E_{\rm DH}$ TVB $_{\rm G}$ (dpal ldan sngags kyi gzhung lugs zhes bya ba'i sgras); dpal ldan sngags kyi gzhung lugs shes \parallel zhes bya ba la sogs pa la \mid sngags kyi gzhung lugs zhes bya ba'i sgras ni \mid TVA $_{\rm D}$ (śrīmantranītigatetyādi. mantranītiśabdena)

 $^{^{17}}$ śrīsamājaḥ] K $\mathrm{E_{DH}};$ shugs ky
is dpal gsang ba 'dus pa TV (sāmarthyāt śrīsamājaḥ)

 $^{^{18}}$ vyākulaiḥ] K $E_{\rm DH};$ rnam par dkrugs pas rnam pa thams cad la rnam par khyab pa TVA $_{\rm D};$ rnam par 'khrugs pa rnam par bkab pa ste TVB $_{\rm G};$ vyākulair vipūrṇaiḥ possible conj. (see notes)

 $^{^{19}}$ prakārair] K E_{DH} ; no reflex in TV

²⁰ °vartamānā°] E_{DH}; °pravartamānā° K

 $^{^{21}}$ °gato°] K $E_{DH}\ TVB_{G}$ (gtogs pa); no reflex in TVA_{D}

 $^{^{22}}$ sukhena sphuṭapratītyartham] K $\rm E_{DH}$; b
de bar gnas par khong du chud par bya ba'i phyir TVA $_{\rm D}$; b
de bar gsal bar khong du chud par bya'o TVB $_{\rm G}$

3 tīrthikānām tattvam sādhyam ca

sambhrāntabodhā nikhilā hi tīrthyās tattvasya sādhyasya ca rūpavittau | tebhyaḥ prakṛṣṭaḥ kila tattvavettā vedāntavādīti janapravādaḥ || 3 ||^{xi}

sambhrāntetyādi. sambhrānto vibhrānto bodhaḥ prajñāviśeṣo yeṣāṃ tīrthikānāṃ te tatho[K fol. 3r]ktāḥ.²³ sarva eva tīrthyā ātmātmīyagrahatimiropahatabuddhinayanāḥ. tattvam idam iti sādhyam idam²⁴ iti ca tattvasya sādhyasya yat²⁵ svarūpaṃ tasya yā vittiḥ pratītiḥ, tasyāṃ bhrāntāḥ. śeṣaṃ subodham.

nanu tattvasādhyayor upādeyatvenaikarūpatvāt kathaṃ tattvasya sādhyasya ceti^{26,xii} bhedena nirdeśa iti cet. asad etat. tattvaṃ hy upādeyatve 'pi²⁷ sukhaduḥkhopekṣādisakalapratibhāsasaṃdohavyāpakam.²⁸ sādhyaṃ cānabhimataparihāreṇecchālakṣaṇaṃ phalam upādeyatve 'pi sakalaprāṇibhir avaśyam evāsādhyavyāvṛttyā sādhayitavyatvenābhimatam ity adoṣaḥ.

4 vedāntavādināṃ śrāvakapratyekabuddhānāṃ ca sādhyāni

tatra tāvad 29 vedāntavādyabhimatam sādhyam āha—ānandarūpam ityādi.

xi This verse is in Indravajrā.

 $^{^{}xii}$ E_{DH} misreads the manuscript as *tattvasya sādhyasya ceti* and supplies *kathaṃ* after *ceti*. There is in fact a *kathaṃ* before *tattvasya* in the manuscript, but the *tat* preceding that *kathaṃ* is evidently a corruption.

 $^{^{23}}$ te tathoktāḥ] K pc ; te thoktāḥ K ac ; tathoktāḥ E $_{\rm DH}$

²⁴ sādhyam idam] *em.*; sādhyam cedam K E_{DH}

²⁵ yat] E_{DH} (em.); tat K

²⁶ katham tattvasya sādhyasya ceti] em.; tat katham tatvasya sādhyasya ceti K; tattvasya sādhyasya ceti katham $E_{\rm DH}$ (em.)

 $^{^{27}}$ upādeyatve 'pi] $\mathit{conj.}$ (TV: blang bar by
a ba nyid yin yang); upādeyatvenāpi K $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$

 $^{^{28}}$ °vyāpakam] K (°kaṃ) E_{DH} TVB $_{\!G}$ (khyab par byed pa yin la); shes bya tsam du khyab par byed pa yin la TVA $_{\!D}$ (°vyāpakaṃ jñeyamātratvena)

²⁹ tāvad] K E_{DH} TVA_D (re zhig); no reflex in TVB_G

ānandarūpaṃ svavid^{xiii} aprakampyaṃ vedāntinaḥ sādhyam uṣanti śāntam³⁰ | saśrāvakāḥ³¹ khaḍgajināś ca sādhyam icchanti rūpādyupadher virāmam || 4 ||

ānandarūpam iti sadāsukhamayatvāt. svavid iti jyotīrūpatvena³² svayam prakāśamānatvāt.³³ aprakampyam iti nityatayā³⁴ kampayitum aśakyatvāt. śāntam³⁵ iti kleśopakleśaśūnyatvena parikalpitatvāt. evamvidham sādhyam uṣanti kāmayante.

saha śrāvakair vartante ye khaḍgajināḥ khaḍgaviṣāṇakalpā e-kacāriṇo vargacāriṇaś³⁶ ca pratyekabuddhās te sādhyam icchanti. kīdṛśam? rūpādyupadher virāmaṃ rūpavedanāsaṃjñāsaṃskāravijñānalakṣaṇānām upadhīnāṃ skandhānāṃ virāmaṃ vicchedam, nirodham iti yāvat. [E_{DH} p. 134] etad uktaṃ bhavati—sarvaśrāvakapratyekabuddhāḥ sopadhiśeṣanirupadhiśeṣabhedena bhinne 'pi nirvāṇe³⁶ nirupadhiśeṣam eva nirvāṇaṃ sā[K fol. 3v]kṣātkartavyatvena sādhyaṃ pratipannāḥ.

5 pāramitānayavādinām caturvidham sādhyam

idānīm pāramitānayavādinām abhimatam 38 caturvidham sādhyam āha—ākāraśūnyam ityādi.

ākāraśūnyaṃ gaganendurūpaṃ pratyātmavedyaṃ karuṇārasaṃ ca | sallaksanair bhūsitam³⁹ arthakāri

xiii From the commentary it is clear that *svavid* is not in compound; thus, being an accusative form of a feminine noun, we expect *svavidam*. The form may be grammatically justifiable if it is treated as neuter adjective, akin to *vedavid*.

 $[\]overline{^{30}}$ śantam] corr.; santam K E_{DH} ; no reflex in TM_D

 $^{^{31}}$ saśrāvakā
ḥ] $\mathit{em.};$ saśrāvakā K $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$

³² jyotīrūpatvena] K; jyotirūpatvena E_{DH}

³³ prakāśamānatvāt] E_{DH} (*em.*); prakāśamānāt K

³⁴ nityatayā] E_{DH}; anityatayā K TV (mi rtag pa nyid kyis)

³⁵ śāntam] *corr.*; sāntam K E_{DH}

 $^{^{36}}$ vargacāriņaš] K TV (tshogs kyi spyod pa) ; vanacāriņaš E_{DH}

³⁷ nirvāņe] E_{DH}; nirvāņa° K

³⁸ abhimatam] E_{DH}; abhimata K

³⁹ bhūsitam] E_{DH}; bhusitam K

dānādiniṣyandam apetasaukhyam || 5 || sānandasallakṣaṇamaṇḍitāṅgaṃ sambhujyamānaṃ daśabhūmisaṃsthaiḥ | sattvārthakāri pravadanti sādhyaṃ dānādiṣaṭpāramitānayasthāḥ || 6 ||^{xiv}

5.1 pāramitānaye pratham sādhyam

ākārair nīlapītasukhaduḥkhādibhiś citrarūpaiḥ śūnyaṃ nirākāram. ata eva gaganasyeva nirākāratvenendor iva prabhāsvaratvena rūpaṃ svabhāvo yasya tat tathā. pratyātmavedyam iti svasaṃvedanaikavedyam. Avaruṇā duḥkhād duḥkhahetor vā sakalajagadabhyuddharaṇakāmatā. Saiva rasaḥ svabhāvo yasya tat tathoktam. etad uktaṃ bhavati—nīlapītādicitrākāraśūnyaṃ nirābhāsaṃ nirañjanaṃ gaganopamaṃ svacchaṃ sakalajagadarthakāri mahākaruṇāyuktaṃ pratyātmavedyaṃ pāramitopadeśaśabdābhidheyaṃ sādhyam iti pāramitānaye prathamaṃ sādhyam.

xiv These two verses are in Indravajrā.

xv An alternative to °abhyuddharaṇakāmatā is to read °samuddharaṇakāmatā. This definition of karuṇā, in various forms, is well known in Buddhist texts. See, for instance, Durvekamiśra's Hetubinduṭīkāloka: ... duḥkhāt duḥkhahetor vā samuddharaṇakāmatā nāma yā karuṇā ... (p. 234); or Manorathānandin's Pramāṇavārttikavṛtti: duḥkhād duḥkhahetośca samuddharaṇakāmatā karuṇā (edition reads dukhā°; p. 21).

xvi One may instead wish to accept the manuscript reading $nir\bar{a}bh\bar{a}sanira\tilde{n}janam$, which is understandable as a $vi\acute{s}e_{s}anasam\bar{a}sa$. The combination of $nir\bar{a}bh\bar{a}sam$ $nira\tilde{n}janam$ occurrs in a verse from an untracted source cited in Raviśrījñāna's $Amrtakanik\bar{a}$: $yat k\bar{a}yam sarvabuddh\bar{a}n\bar{a}m nira\bar{b}h\bar{a}sam niranjanam | ajnatam a-krtam śuddham abhāvādivivarjitam || (p. 19)$

xvii sakalajagadarthakāri can also be read in compound with mahākaruṇā°. This is reflected in both Tibetan translations: 'gro ba ma lus pa'i don byed pa'i snying rje chen po. Regardless, the two are evidenly closely related.

 $^{^{40}}$ svasamvedanaikavedyam] E_{DH} (em.) (°vedyam); svasamvedyanaikavedyam K

 $^{^{41}}$ karuņā duḥkhād] K; karuņāduḥkhā° $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$

⁴² °abhyuddharanakāmatā] em.; °atyuddharanakāmatā K E_{DH}

 $^{^{43}}$ nirābhāsaṃ] em.; nirābhāsa° K $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$

5.2 pāramitānaye dvitīyam sādhyam

śobhanāni ca tāni lakṣaṇāni ca dvātriṃśallakṣaṇasaṃjñakāni,^{44,xviii} tair bhūṣitam. arthaṃ janānāṃ prayojanaṃ kartuṃ śīlaṃ svabhāvo yasya tad arthakāri.⁴⁵ dānādīnāṃ daśapāramitānāṃ niṣyandaṃ^{xix} tatprakarṣaprabhavatvena sadṛśaṃ phalam.^{xx} duḥkhasya pūrvam eva prahīṇatvāt sākṣātkaraṇāvasthāyāṃ^{46,xxi} saukhyasyāpy abhāvād⁴⁷ upekṣārūpatvenāpetasaukhyam apagatasaukhyam. etad uktaṃ bhavati—dvātriṃśallakṣaṇadharāśītyanuvyañjanavirājitaśarīraṃ sakalajagadarthakāri dānādipāramitābhyāsa[†]balenātmānaṃ⁴⁸

xviii The manuscript reading ceti after dvātriṃśallakṣaṇasaṃjñakāni appear superfluous. The commentary analyses sallakṣaṇa as a karmadhāraya, glossing sat with śobhana; dvātriṃśallakṣaṇa serves as a clarification of that, requiring no further conjunction. Likewise, the words iti and saṃjñaka together are redundant. In TV, the zhes bya following the phrase may either render iti or saṃjñaka—we find this rendering for the latter in the commentary on verse 9 for mahāsukhasaṃjñaka. We cannot fully discount that Vāgīśvarakīrti wrote the transmitted reading, nor can we give a clear explanation for the corruption, if it is one. Nonetheless, given that this appears to be genuine redundancy rather than simply a stylistic oddity, we provisionally conjecture a slightly smoother reading.

xix Here *niṣyandaṃ* should be understood either as an accusative form (as it is in the verse) or (less likely) anomalously as a neuter noun.

xx cf. *Abhidharmakośa* 2.57c: *niṣyando hetusadṛśaḥ*. Vāgīśvarakīrti perhaps also alludes to Dharmakīrti's definition of yogic perception in *Nyāyabindu* 11: *bhūtā-rthabhāvanāprakarṣaparyantajaṃ yogijñānaṃ ceti*.

xxi ISAACSON (personal communication) proposes sākṣātkaraṇāvasthāyāṃ or sākṣātkṛtyāvasthāyāṃ as potentially supperior readings to the manuscript's sākṣātkṛtāvatāsthāyāṃ or the previous edition's sākṣātkṛtāvasthāyāṃ.

In support of the former, see Vāgīśvarakīrti's Saṃkṣiptābhiṣekavidhi: tadanantaram ekatathatāmatena tayaiva bhinnamate tv ānayā svasaṃviditajñānasākṣātkaraṇāvasthāyāṃ pūrvoktagāthayā adhyeṣitavate śiṣyāya tatpāṇau tasyāḥ pāṇiṃ pratisthāpya | (p. 417)

 $^{^{44}}$ dvātriṃśallakṣaṇasaṃjñakāni] conj ; dvātriṃśallakṣaṇasaṃjñakāni ceti K E_{DH} ; mdzes pa'i mtshan sum cu rtsa gnyis zhes bya ste TV (dvātriṃśatsallakṣaṇanīti / dvātriṃśatsallakṣaṇasaṃjñakāni)

 $^{^{45}}$ tad arthakāri] K E_{DH} TVA $_{D}$ (de ni don mdzad pa'o); de ni de'i don mdzad pa'o TVB $_{G}$ (tad tadarthakāri)

 $^{^{46}}$ sākṣātkaraṇāvasthāyāṃ] $\mathit{conj.}$ (Isaacson); sākṣātkṛtāvasthāyāṃ $E_{DH};$ sākṣātkrtāvatāsthāyām K

⁴⁷ abhāvāt] *em.* (Isaacson); abhāvatvāt K E_{DH}

 $^{^{48}}$ °balenātmānaṃ] K E_{DH} ; stobs kyis bdag nyid TV A_{D} ; stobs kyis byung ba TV B_{G}

† samyaksaṃbuddharūpaṃ sukhaduḥkharahitatvenopekṣārūpaṃ dvitīyaṃ sādhyam.

5.3 pāramitānaye tṛtīyaṃ sādhyam

 $[E_{DH}\ p.\ 135]$ sānandetyādi. sahānandena vartata iti sā $[K\ fol.\ 4r]$ nandam. sānandam ca tat sallakṣaṇamaṇḍitāṅgaṃ ca⁴⁹ sambhujyamānam dharmadeśanādvāreṇopajīvyamānam. 50,xxii kaiḥ? daśabhūmīśvaraiḥ, pariśiṣṭabhūmisthitānām 51 agocaratvāt. daśabhūmiprāptair avalokiteśvaramañjuśrīprabhṛtibhir upabhujyamānam iti yāvat. etad uktaṃ bhavati—śuddhāvāsopari ghanavyūhasaṃjñake 52 samyaksaṃbuddhabhuvane yathā bhagavān ānandarūpaḥ sambhogakāyātmā nirmāṇadvāreṇa 53 sakalajagadarthasaṃpādakaḥ śrāvakapratyekabuddhanavabhūmīśvarair apy adṛśyaśarīro daśabhūmīśvarair eva paraṃ bodhisattvair 54 dharmaśravaṇadvāreṇopabhujyamāna 55 āsaṃsāraṃ cakāsti, tathaiva tat sādhyam iti tṛtīyam.

5.4 pāramitānaye caturtham sādhyam

saṃpūrya dānādiguṇān aśeṣān saṃbuddhakṛtyaṃ⁵⁶ sakalaṃ ca kṛtvā | yad bhūtakoṭeḥ karaṇaṃ ca sākṣāt sādhyaṃ tad apy asti nirodharūpam || 7 ||^{xxiii}

xxiii This verse is in Indravajrā metre.

xxii For *upajīvyamāna* we might expect *nye bar 'tsho ba* in Tibetan. Below *upabu-jyamāna* is translated as *longs spyod par bya ba* and then *nye bar longs spyod par bya ba*.

⁴⁹ sallakṣaṇamaṇḍitāṅgaṃ ca] *em.* (Isaacson); sallakṣaṇamaṇḍitāṅgaṃ K E_{DH}

 $^{^{50}}$ °opajīvyamānam] K $E_{\rm DH};$ nye bar longs spyod par gyur pa'o TV (°opabhujyamānam)

⁵¹ parisistabhūmi°] *corr.*; parisista bhumi° E_{DH}

⁵² °samjñake] *em.*; °samjñako K; °samjñakah E_{DH} (*em.*)

⁵³ nirmāṇadvāreṇa] K E_{DH}; sprul pa'i sku'i sgo nas TV (nirmāṇakāyadvāreṇa)

 $^{^{54}}$ param bodhisatvair] K $E_{\rm DH}$ (°sattvair); mchog tu gyur pa'i byang chub sems dpa' TV (paramabodhisattvair)

⁵⁵ °bhujyamāna] *em.*; °bhujyamānam K E_{DH}

 $^{^{56}}$ saṃbuddhakṛtyaṃ] $\it em.$ (cf. TaRaA-V: saṃbuddhānāṃ ... avaśyakartavyaṃ kṛtsnaṃ); saṃbuddhya kṛtyaṃ K $\rm E_{DH}$

saṃpūryetyādi. dānādipāramitā eva guṇā, guṇyante^{xxiv} 'bhyasyanta iti kṛtvā. tān saṃpūrya paripūrṇān⁵⁷ kṛtvā, yat saṃbuddhānāṃ kṛtyaṃ sakalam^{58,xxv} avaśyakartavyaṃ kṛtsnaṃ tad api kṛtvā, bhūtakoṭeḥ śūnyatālakṣaṇāyāś cittacaittanirodhātmikāyā⁵⁹ yat sākṣāt karaṇaṃ tad api sādhyam astīti pāramitānayasthā evaṃ bruvate caturthaṃ sādhyam iti.

6 mantranaye saptavidham sādhyam

6.1 mantranaye prathamam sādhyam

idānīm mantranayopadiṣṭam saptavidham⁶⁰ sādhyam kathayitum āha—svābhāṅganetyādi.

svābhāṅganāśleṣi⁶¹ janārthakāri⁶² duḥkhaiḥ sukhaiś caiva vimuktirūpam | aśītyanuvyañjanabhūṣitāṅgam apetakalpaṃ pravadanti sādhyam || 8 || xxvi

^{xxiv} In the *Dhātupāṭha*, the tenth class verbal root $\sqrt{guṇ a}$ is said to express $\bar{a}ma-ntraṇ a$. Here, however, this is a denominative verb with the sense of $\bar{a}mredaṇ a$ (multiplication/repetition) formed from the noun guna.

xxv The manuscript's reading of simply sakalam instead of kṛtyam sakalam is asymmetrical given the following gloss, avaśyakartavyam kṛtsnam. Here TV reads simply nges par mdzad par bya ba ma lus pa, reflecting only the gloss and neither sakalam of the K nor the conjecture kṛtyam sakalam. It is also possible that sakalam is a mistaken scribal addition, but it's also possible that even if the Tibetan translators saw kṛtyam sakalam, they chose not to render this because of the superfluous sounding result in Tibetan. We believe the manuscript's transmitted reading is improbable.

xxvi This verse is in Upajāti.

⁵⁷ paripūrnān] *em.*; paripūrnam K E_{DH}

⁵⁸ kṛtyam sakalam] conj.; sakalam K E_{DH}; no reflex in TV

 $^{^{59}}$ cittacaitta°] E_{DH} (em.); cittacaitya° K

 $^{^{60}}$ saptavidham] E_{DH} (TV rnam pa bdun); caturtham K

 $^{^{61}}$ svābhāṅganāśleṣi] E_{DH} (corr.); svābhāṅgaṇāśleṣi K

 $^{^{62}}$ janārthakāri] conj. (TM $_{\rm D}$ 'gro ba yi don mdzad; TaRaA-V: jagadarthakāri); ta..rthakāri K (<code>akṣara uncertain, perhaps</code> gna <code>or mva</code>); tadarthakāri E $_{\rm DH}$

svābhāṅganām⁶³ āśleṣituṃ śīlaṃ svabhāvo yasya tat svābhāṅganā-śleṣi. [E_{DH} p. 136] apetakalpaṃ vyapagatakalpam, kalpanārahitam iti yāvat. anyat subodham. ayam arthaḥ—samāliṅgitasvābhāṅganā-śleṣi jagadarthakāri dvātriṃśallakṣaṇavibhūṣitaśarīram upekṣārūpaṃ 67,xxviii prathamaṃ sādhyam.

6.2 mantranaye dvitīyam sādhyam

svadevatākāraviśeṣaśūnyaṃ prāg eva sambhāvya sukhaṃ sphuṭaṃ sat | mahāsukhākhyaṃ jagadarthakāri cintāmaṇiprakhyam uvāca kaścit || 9 || xxix

svadevatetyādi. svadevatākāraviśeṣeṇa 68 sveṣṭadevatākāreṇa śūnyam, nirākāram iti yāvat. prāg eva prathamataram 69 upadeśāna-

xxvii The compound *svābhāṅganāśleṣajagadarthakāri is strinckly speaking not impossible, and could perhaps be interpreted as an instrumental *tatpuruṣa*; however, given that this is a prose explanation of the verse, there is no need for the author to use such a compound and it seems more likely that the scribe left off the *ikāra*.

xxviii Something along the lines of āsaṃsārasthāyi sākṣāt kriyata iti may have dropped out of the text here given TV, but there is no very compelling reason to think that it did. The addition words certainly relevant, given that it is a pertinent feature of the first sādhya that it remains active for as long as saṃsāra continues to exist. We can be reasonably sure that TV reflects āsaṃsārasthāyi with 'khor ba ji srid du bzhugs pa, as this is the Tibetan rendering of this word in the next section.

xxix The metre of this verse is Viparītākhyānikī

 $^{^{63}}$ svābhāṅganām] $\rm E_{DH}$ (corr.); svābhāṅganām K

 $^{^{64}}$ svābhāṅganāśleṣi] $\it corr.;$ svābhāṅgaṇāśleṣi K $\rm E_{DH}$

 $^{^{65}}$ °svābhānganāśleṣi jagadarthakāri] conj. (TVB $_{\rm G}$: nyid dang mtshungs pa'i lha mos 'khyud pa can 'gro ba'i don mdzad pa); °svābhānganāśleṣajagadarthakāri K ${\rm E}_{\rm DH}$; nyid dang mtshungs pa'i lha mos 'khyud pa can | 'gro ba ma lus pa'i don mdzad pa TVA $_{\rm D}$ (°svābhānganāślesy aśesajagadarthakāri)

⁶⁶ śarīram] E_{DH}; śarīra K

 $^{^{67}}$ upekṣārūpaṃ] K $E_{\rm DH}$; b
tang snyoms kyi ngo bo du 'khor ba ji srid du bzhugs pa m
ngon du bya ba yin no zhe bya ba TVA $_{\rm D}$; b
tang snyoms kyi ngo bo nyid du 'khor ba ji bzhugs pa m
ngon sum du bya ba yin zhes bya ba TVB $_{\rm G}$ (upekṣārūpaṃ āsaṃsāras
thāyi sākṣāt kriyata iti)

⁶⁸ svadevatā°] K E_{DH} TVB_G (rang lha'i); lha TVA_D (devatā°)

⁶⁹ prathamataram] K; prathamataro° E_{DH}

ntaram eva^{70,xxx} devatākāranirapekṣaṃ sukhaṃ sambhāvya, bhāvanayā sākṣāt kṛtvā, sphuṭaṃ^{71,xxxi} sphu[K fol. 4v]ṭīkṛtaṃ san mahāsukhasaṃjñakaṃ bhavati. tac ca jagadarthakāri cintāmaṇisamānarūpam. etad uktaṃ bhavati—upadeśānantaram eva mantramudrādevatākārarahitaṃ⁷² bhāvanayā sphuṭīkṛtaṃ mahāsukhasaṃjñakaṃ cintāmaṇivaj jagadarthakāri⁷³ māyopamam āsaṃsārasthāyi dvitīyaṃ sādhyam.

6.3 mantranaye tṛtīyam sādhyam

kṛtvā sākṣāt svādhipaṃ [K fol. 1v] sātarūpaṃ paścāt tyaktvā sātamātraṃ phalaṃ syāt | śuddhaṃ sākṣāc chakyate naiva kartuṃ tenākāro bhāvitaḥ svādhipasya || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 1

kṛtvetyādi. svādhipaṃ sveṣṭadaivataṃ sākṣāt kṛtvāmukhīkṛtya sātarūpaṃ sukhaikasvabhāvam, paścād devatākāraṃ parityajya, sukhamātraṃ⁷⁴ phalaṃ sādhyaṃ vyavasthitaṃ syāt.

nanu yadi 75 sākṣāt kṛtvāpi devatākāras tyaktavyaḥ, tarhi prathamam eva kasmād [$\rm E_{DH}$ p. 137] vibhāvitaḥ? sukhamātram eva dvitī-

 $\overline{^{70}}$ upadeśānantaram eva] $\overline{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{DH}}}$ (*em.*); upadeśāntaram eva K; bshad ma thag pa'i TV

xxx Normally *bshad ma thag pa* in Tibetan has the sense of *anantarokta*, but here the translator probably did intend it to render *upadeśānantaram eva* as we find the same rendering later in the paragraph.

xxxi The understanding offered by TV, which reflects asphuṭaṃ instead of sphuṭaṃ, appears to indicate a misunderstanding on the translator's part, confusing the word division of kṛtvā sphuṭaṃ. It is not possible for sphuṭīkṛtaṃ to take an accusitve object, nor is a form such as sphuṭīkṛtya possible without larger changes to the text.

xxxii This verse is in Śālinī metre.

⁷¹ sphuṭaṃ] K; deest in E_{DH}; ma gsal ba TV

 $^{^{72}}$ °rahitaṃ] K E_{DH} TVB $_{G}$ (spangs ste); spangs te | bde ba 'ba' zhig tsam TVA $_{D}$ (°rahitaṃ sukhamātra°)

⁷³ jagadarthakāri] K E_{DH} TVB_G ('gro ba'i don mdzad pa); 'gro ba ma lus pa'i don mdzad pa TVA_D (sakalajagadarthakāri)

 $^{^{74}}$ sukhamātraṃ] em.; sukhamātra° K $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$

 $^{^{75}}$ nanu yadi] $\emph{conj.};$ nanu K $E_{DH};$ gal te TVA_{D} ([nanu] yadi); $\emph{no clear reflex}$ TVB_{G}

yasādhyavat kim na vibhāvitam?⁷⁶ kim vṛthāprayāsenety⁷⁷ āha—śuddham ityādi. śuddham kevalam devatākāravirahitam sukhamātram naiva sākṣāt kartum śakyate, ākārarahitasya sukhasyānupalambhāt.⁷⁸ tasmāt tena kāraṇenākāro bhāvitaḥ svādhipasyeti tṛtīyam.⁷⁹ ayam arthaḥ⁸⁰—devatākārasaṃvalitam eva sukham vibhāvya, sākṣādbhūte devatākāram tyaktvā, sukhamātram eva sādhyam uktaguṇam.^{xxxiii}

6.4 mantranaye caturtham sādhyam

gagaṇasamaśarīraṃ lakṣaṇair bhūṣitāṅgaṃ nirupamasukhapūrṇaṃ⁸¹ svābhayā saṃgataṃ ca | sphuradamitamunīndraiḥ⁸² sarvasattvārthakāri pravadati punar anyaḥ sādhyam ucchedaśūnyam || 11 || xxxiv

gagaṇetyādi. gagaṇasamaṃ māyopamaṃ vicārāsahaṃ⁸³ śarīraṃ yasya. lakṣaṇair dvātriṃśadbhir⁸⁴ aśītibhiś cānuvyañjanair maṇḍitāny aṅgāni yasya. nirupamaiḥ sthaulya⁸⁵-nairantarya⁸⁶-āsaṃsārapravā-

xxxiii Here TV reads yon tan du 'chad do, whereas K transmits the reading uktagunam. It is difficult to say if the Tibetan rendering represents a different underlying Sanskrit reading, but it does convey a different sense. Whereas the Tibetan seems to say that the sādhya 'is taught to be a good quality', the Sanskrit suggests the meaning 'which has the previously mentioned qualities'.

xxxiv This verse is in Mālinī metre.

⁷⁶ vibhāvitam] *em.*; vibhāvitah E_{DH} (*em.*); vibhāgato K

⁷⁷ vṛthāprayāsenety] E_{DH}; vyathāprayāsenety K

 $^{^{78}}$ sukhasyā°] K $\rm E_{DH}$ TVB $_{\rm G}$ (bde ba); bde ba 'ba' zhig TVA $_{\rm D}$ (kevalasukhasyā°)

 $^{^{79}}$ °eti tṛtīyam] $\it em.~TVB_G$ (zhes by
a ba gsum pa yin no); °eti tṛtīyaḥ K $E_{\rm DH};$ ste bsgrub par by
a ba gsum pa yin no $TVA_{\rm D}$ (tṛtīyaṃ sādhyam)

⁸⁰ arthah] E_{DH}; artha K

 $^{^{81}}$ nirupama°] $E_{DH};$ nirūpama° K

 $^{^{82}}$ °munīndraiḥ] $\it em.$; °munīndraḥ K $\it E_{DH}$

 $^{^{83}}$ māyopamam vicārāsaham] K (slightly unclrear); māyopamavicārasaha $\rm E_{DH}$

 $^{^{84}}$ lakṣaṇair dvātriṃśadbhir] K $E_{DH};$ mtshan gyi ste | mtshan sum cu rtsa gnyis TVA $_{\!\!\!D};$ mtshan gyis te | mtshan sum cu rtsa gnyis TVB $_{\!\!\!G}$ (lakṣaṇair [iti] dvātriṃśadbhir lakṣaṇair)

 $^{^{85}}$ sthaulya°] K E_{DH} ; rgya nom pa nyid dang | rgya che ba nyid dang TVA $_D$ (praṇītatvasthaulya°); lhun che ba nyid dang | TVB $_G$ (sthaulya°)

⁸⁶ °nairantarya°] E_{DH} (*em.*) (TV: bar med pa nyid dang); °nairuttaryā° K

hitva⁸⁷-nirāsravatvādibhir upamābhāvād upamātikrāntaiḥ sukhaiḥ pūrṇaṃ romāgraparyantaṃ^{88,xxxv} saṃpūrṇam.^{xxxvi} svābhayā ca tathābhūtayā saṃgataṃ samāliṅgitam. sphuradbhir⁸⁹ anantanirmitair munīndrais tathābhūtair eva sarvasattvārthakāri.⁹⁰ ucchedeneti nirodhena śūnyam tucchaṃ riktam.⁹¹

etad uktaṃ bhavati—gagana-māyā-marīci⁹²-gandharvanagara-udakacandra-pratibimba-svapnopamam^{93,94} [K fol. 5r] ekānekabhāvābhāvagrāhyagrāhakasvabhāvarahitam anādyantam aśeṣavastu-saṃdohasvabhāvam^{95,xxxvii} anābhāsaṃ nirañjanaṃ sarvopamātikrāntaṃ paramasūkṣmātigambhīraprajñārūpatayā dharmakāyasvabhā-

xxxv This conjecture follows the Tibetan translation, with the reading in the K being difficult to account for. See, for instance, a similar expression in *Siddhaika-vīrasādhana* (author unknown): tato niḥsṛtaraśmibhir ā pādatalād vālāgraparyā-ntaprāptaṃ bhāvyate (Sādhanamālā no. 67, vol. 1, p. 67); de las byung ba'i 'od zer gyis rkang pa'i mthil nas skra'i rtse mo'i mthar thug pa khyab par bsgoms te (Tōh. 3461 fol. 116r). ADD REFERENCE

^{xxxvi} TO CHECK: TVA_D appears to be defective here, with different readings in Derge Koyosan and Delhi. TVA_D: ba spu'i rtse mo'i mthar thug par gyur pa'o || (gyur pa'o ||] Koyosan; gyur ba'i Delhi [MW23703]). TVB_G: ba spu'i rtse mo'i mthar thug par yang dag par gang bar gyur pa'o ||

xxxvii TV is perhaps ambiguous and may not reflect a different reading of the Sanskrit if *thog ma dang tha ma med pa'i* is understood to qualify *rang bzhin* instead of *dngos po*.

 $^{^{87}}$ °āsaṃsārapravāhitva°] $\it em.;$ °āsaṃsāraṃpravāhitva° $\rm E_{DH}~K$

 $^{^{88}}$ pūrņam romāgraparyantam] $\it{conj.}$ (TV: gang ba ni | ba spu rtse mo'i mthar thug pa); pūrņnam masimāgrapayantam K; pūrņatām samāśrayantam $\rm E_{DH}$

 $^{^{89}}$ sphuradbhir] K $E_{\rm DH};$ 'phro bar gyur pa de yang TVA $_{\rm D}$ (sphuradbhir tair api) (other syntactic placement possible); 'phro ba yang TVB $_{\rm G}$ (sphurdbhair api)

 $^{^{90}}$ sarvasattvārtha°] K E_{DH} (TVB $_{G}$: sems can thams cad kyi don); sems can gyi don TVA $_{D}$ (sattvārtha°)

⁹¹ tucchaṃ riktaṃ] K; bhūsthaṃ riktam E_{DH}; spangs pa'o TV (tucchaṃ / riktaṃ) ⁹² māyāmarīci°] K E_{DH} (TVB_G: sgyu ma dang | smig rgyu dang |); sgyu ma dang

[&]quot; māyāmarīcī" K E_{DH} (TVB_G: sgyu ma dang | smīg rgyu dang |); sgyu ma dang | smīg rgyu dang | smīg rgyu dang | TVA_D (māyāmarīcīndrajāla° / māyendrajāla-marīcī°)

 $^{^{93}}$ °svapnopamam] $\rm E_{DH};$ svapnāpayam K

 $^{^{94}}$ TVA_D adds an element to the list, perhaps *indrajāla* in Sanskrit. The reading has the advantage of form a list of eight, but this precise list is otherwise unattested as a list of eight illusions.

 $^{^{95}}$ anādyantam ašeṣavastusaṃdohasvabhāvam] K $\rm E_{DH}$; thog ma dang tha ma med pa'i dngos po ma lus pa'i rang bzhin TV (anādyantāśeṣavastusvabhāvam)

vam, dvātrimśallaksanavibhūsitaśarīram aśītvanuvvañjanavirājitagātram⁹⁶ paramaśringārayauvanādyupetam svābhānganālingitāngam rūpavajrāditārāparyantadevīganair anantaprabhedānimittarati⁹⁷svarūpaparamānandopabhogadvārena^{xxxviii} pratibimbavat [E_{DH} p. 138] sambhujyamānam karunāsamvalitodārarūpatayā sambhogakāyarūpam, nānādhimuktivinevajanaparipācanārtham^{xxxix} anekavidhaprātihārvadvārena⁹⁸ nirmitānantakulāntarbhūtasambuddhabodhi-

xxxviii The compound beginning anantaprabheda° is challenging to unpack and not entirely secure in its reading. The K transmits the compound in a way that inclues either the word arati or ārati, neither of which can reject prima facia. TVAD suggests reading rati, while TVBG has no reflex of the word but may be corrupt, given that it sounds rather incomplete. TVBG is also missing a reflex of bheda, although it does have one of pra from prabheda, also indicating corruption. The term aniittarati or mtshan ma med pa'i dga' ba does occurr in Jñānapāda's Samantabhadrasādhana: animittarativiśuddheḥ samastadevīgaṇasvabhāvaṃ tat | (122ab; reconstructed in SACCONE and SZÁNTÓ 2023: 261); mtshan ma med pa'i dga' ba rnam dag pa | ma lus lha mo'i tshogs kyi ngo bo nyid || (Tōh. 1855 fol. 34r5; the translation in Tōh. 1856 by Smrtijñānakīrti poses some problems and need not be dealt with here); 'Because of purification by signless pleasure, that [awareness] has as its nature the group of all goddesses.' This parralel does lend support to reading animittarati, but the context is technical and esoteric, so some caution is due.

As for the analysis of the compound, while various possibilities may be entertained, the main ambiguity is whether anantaprabheda qualifies animittarati or paramānanda. An analysis on the basis of the former could read: anantāh prabhedāh yasya sānantaprabhedānimittaratih, tatsvarūpasya paramānandasyopabhogah, taddvārena. Of the Tibetan translation, while TVAD renders all words found in the Sanskrit text as constitued in some form, it is hard to intrepret if one does not remove or modify various instrumental and genitive particles. From *rūpavajrā*° up to sambhujyamānaṃ, TVAD reads: gzugs rdo rje la sogs pa nas | sgrol ma'i mthar thug pa'i lha mo'i tshogs kyis mtshan ma med pa'i dga' ba'i rang gi ngo bo'i rab tu dbye ba dpag tu med pas mchog tu dga' ba la nye bar longs spyod pa'i sgo nas | gzugs brnyan dang 'dra bas yang dag par longs spyod pa. TVBG has the same readings, apart from the two suspected lacunae mentioned above.

xxxix E_{DH} misreports K as reading paripāvanārtha.

⁹⁶ °gātram] K E_{DH}; no reflext in TV

⁹⁷ anantaprabhedānimittarati°] conj. (TVA_D: mtshan ma med pa'i dga' ba'i rang gi ngo bo'i rab tu dbye ba dpag tu med pas); anantaprabhedānimittārati° KE_{DH}; mtshan ma med pa'i rang gi ngo bo'i rab tu dpag tu med pas TVB_G

⁹⁸ anekavidhaprātihārya°] K E_{DH}; rdzu 'phrul dang cho 'phrul rnam pa du ma TVA_D TVB_G (anekarddhiprātihārya°)

sattvaspharaṇasaṃhārakāritvena⁹⁹ nirmāṇakāyātmakam, śūnyatā-karuṇābhinnabodhicitta¹⁰⁰svabhāvāmalaprajñopāyasamādhisambhūtasatsukhāpūrṇam^{xl} āsaṃsārasthitidharmaṃ¹⁰¹ apratiṣṭhitanirvāṇarūpaṃ nirmalanivātaniścalapradīpaśikhāprabandhanityatayā nirodhaśūnyaṃ caturthaṃ¹⁰² sādhyam.

6.5 mantranaye pañcamam sādhyam

kṛtvā sākṣāt svādhipaṃ sātarūpaṃ tyaktvopekṣājñānamātraṃ 103 phalaṃ syāt | āsaṃsārasthāyi sattvārthakāri cintā 104 ratnaprakhyam 105 ekāntaśāntam || 12 || xli

kṛtvetyādi. sākṣāt svādhipaṃ kṛtvā, paścāt¹⁰⁶ tyaktvā, upekṣārūpaṃ yaj jñānaṃ tanmātraṃ sādhyaṃ syāt. anyat sugamam.¹⁰⁷ etad uktaṃ bhavati—maṇḍalacakrarūpaṃ sākṣāt kṛtvā, paścāt tan nirodhya, upekṣājñānamātraṃ sādhyaṃ syāt pañcamam.

6.6 mantranaye şaştham sādhyam

kṛtvā sākṣān maṇḍalaṃ sātarūpaṃ paścāt tasya svecchayā nirvrtiś^{108,xlii} ca |

xl See Sahajavilāsa, Svādhiṣṭhānakurukullāsādhana (SāMā no. 183, p. 383): tataḥ prajñopāyāmalasamādhisambhūtasatsukhāpūrṇam iva svadehaṃ trailokya ca pa-śyet.

^{xli} This verse is in Śālinī metre.

xlii For the intended meaning of nirodha, learned authors may prefer the word

 $^{^{99}}$ °bodhisattva°] conj. (TVB $_{\rm G}$: by
ang chub sems dpa'i); °bodhi° K $\rm E_{DH}$; by
ang chub sems dpa' la sogs pa'i TVA $_{\rm D}$ (°bodhisattvādi°)

¹⁰⁰ °bodhicitta°] E_{DH}; °bodhicittā° K

¹⁰¹ °dharmam] conj. (TV: chos can); dharmānām K E_{DH}

¹⁰² caturtham] E_{DH}; caturtha K

 $^{^{103}}$ tyaktvopekṣā°] K (E $_{\rm DH}$ incorrectly reports as tyajyo°) (TaRaĀ-Vi: tyaktvā, upekṣārūpaṃ yaj jñānaṃ); bhāvopekṣā° E $_{\rm DH}$ (em.); no reflex in TM $_{\rm D}$

 $^{^{104}}$ cintā°] K pc E $_{
m DH}$; cittā° K ac

¹⁰⁵ °prakhyam] E_{DH}; °prakhyamm K

¹⁰⁶ paścāt] E_{DH}; paścāta K

¹⁰⁷ sugamam] E_{DH}; sūgamam K

¹⁰⁸ nirvrtiś] K; nirvrtim E_{DH}

sattvārthasyāpy asty abhāvo na vāsmin prādurbhāvo nirvrtād¹⁰⁹ asti yasmāt || 13 ||^{xliii}

kṛtvetyādi. kṛtvā sākṣān maṇḍalaṃ sātasaṃvalitam, 110 tasya svechayā nirvṛtir nirodhaḥ.

nanu yadi sākṣāt kṛtvāpi paścāt svecchayā nirodhayita[K fol. 5v]vyam, 111 tadā karuṇāyā anekakālābhyastāyā abhāvaḥ syāt. tasyāś cābhāvāt sattvārthābhāvaḥ [E_{DH} p. 139] syād ity āśaṅkyāha—sattvārthasyāpy asty abhāvo na vetyādi. asmin pakṣe sattvārthābhāvo nāsti, yasmān nirvṛtāc cakrāt karuṇāsaṃvalitāt sattvārthasya prādurbhāvo 'sti. xliv'

etenaitad evāha—sātasaṃpūrṇacakraṃ^{xlv} sākṣāt kṛtvā, yāvad iṣṭaṃ kālaṃ vyavasthāpya, paścāt tasya sarvathaiva pradīpavan nirodhaṃ kṛtvā sthātavyam.^{112,xlvi} yadā punaḥ sattvārthābhilāṣo bha-

nirvṛtti (derrived from $nir-\sqrt{vr}$) to nirvṛti (derrived from $nir-\sqrt{vr}$), but here metre prevents the former. There is in any case overlap between the two words and they are not always strictly differentiated.

xliii This verse is in Śālinī metre.

xliv The syntax of TV suggests reading karuṇāsaṃvalitasya: 'gags pa'i 'khor lo las snying rje'i rang bzhin can sems can gyi don ('gags pa'i] TVB_G; 'gog pa'i TVA_D) However, karuṇāsaṃvalita naturally qualifies cakra and not sattvārtha.

xlv Here one may wish to emend to sātasaṃpūrṇaṃ cakraṃ to avoid the karma-dhāraya, given that the author did not previously use a karmadhāraya when referring to this. Nevertheless, such a karmadhāraya is in any obvious way out of the normal scope of Vāgīśvarakīrti's usage.

xlvi It is possible that $krtv\bar{a}$ $sth\bar{a}tavyam$ was missing from the original text or from the version of it consulted by the Tibetan translators; alternatively, it is possible that the translators simply didn't feel it was necessary to explicitly render. Here the agent of $sth\bar{a}tavya$ can be understood to be the unspecified $s\bar{a}dhaka$ who is also the agent of the gerunds earlier in the sentence. Although a genudive of the causitive of $\sqrt{sth\bar{a}}$, $sth\bar{a}tavya$ here has no object that is specified apart from the $s\bar{a}dhaka$ himsef: i.e., he should make himself rest or establish himself in a state by doing what is described. The construction is frequently used in the Hevajratantra, such as in 2.3.44: $satatam devat\bar{a}m\bar{u}rty\bar{a}$ $sth\bar{a}tavyam$ $yogin\bar{a}$ yatah; '... for the yogin should always establish himself/remain with the form of the deity.'

¹⁰⁹ nirvrtād] E_{DH}; nivrtād K

 $^{^{110}}$ sātasaṃvalitam] *em.* (TV: bde ba'i rang bzhin can); sātaṃ saṃvalitaṃ K $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$

¹¹¹ nirodhayitavyam] *em.*; nirodhayitavyah K E_{DH}

 $^{^{112}}$ nirodham kṛtvā sthātavyam] K $\rm E_{DH};$ 'gog pa yin no TV (nirodham)

vati, tadā¹¹³ niruddhād eva cakrāntaram utpādya sattvārthaḥ kartavyaḥ. cakrāntarotpāde¹¹⁴ 'pi ciraniruddhād¹¹⁵ eva cakrād yathābhavyatayā¹¹⁶ vineyānāṃ yathābhilaṣitaprāptir bhavatīti ṣaṣṭham.¹¹⁷

6.7 mantranaye saptamam sādhyam

kṛtvā sphuṭaṃ rūpam abhīṣṭam eṣāṃ paścān nirodhaṃ phalam āha kaścit | abhinnarūpaś ca yato nirodho na pakṣabhede 'pi tato 'sti bhedaḥ || 14 || slviii

kṛtvetyādi. ṣaṇṇāṃ pakṣāṇām anyatamasya phalasya¹¹⁹ sādhyatvād yad yad evābhiṣṭaṃ¹²⁰ tad¹²¹ eva sākṣāt kṛtvā, paścāt sarvathaiva pradīpavan nirodha uttarakālaṃ sattvārthādiśūnyaḥ sākṣāt kartavyaḥ.

nanu ṣaṭpakṣabhedena ṣaḍ eva¹²² nirodhāḥ syuḥ. tat katham eka eva nirodha ity āśaṅkyāha—abhinnetyādi. abhinnaṃ¹²³ rūpaṃ yasya sa tathā.¹²⁴ na hi nirodhānāṃ ṣaṭpakṣalakṣaṇabhede 'pi bhedo

xlvii If *phala* is understood as the object of \sqrt{ah} , then the reading *nirodhaṃ* is an acceptable reading, but the agent of $krtv\bar{a}$ has to be understood as separate from that of \sqrt{ah} , perhaps depending on an implicit verbal form such as $s\bar{a}dhya$. Alternatively, one can supply an *iti* after *phalam* and understand the preceding as direct speech, thus avoiding any confusion about the agents of $krtv\bar{a}$ and \sqrt{ah} . xlviii This verse is in Rāmā metre.

¹¹³ tadā] K E_{DH} TVB_G (de'i tshe); de'i TVA_D (tad°?)

¹¹⁴ cakrāntarotpāde] E_{DH}; cakrāntaropāde K

 $^{^{115}}$ ciraniruddhād] $\emph{em}.$ (TV: rin du 'gags pa'i); citaniruddhād K; cittaniruddhād $\rm E_{DH}$

 $^{^{116}}$ yathābhavyatayā] variant word division in E_{DH} : yathā bhavyatayā

 $^{^{117}}$ ṣaṣṭham] K (ṣaṣṭhaṃ) $\rm E_{DH}$ TVB $_{\rm G}$ (drug pa'o); bsgrub par bya ba drug pa'o TVA $_{\rm D}$ (sādhyaṃ ṣaṣṭham)

¹¹⁸ nirodham] E_{DH}; nirodha(m) K (fort. corr. h); nirodhah possible em.

¹¹⁹ anyatamasya phalasya] *conj.*; arthaphalasya K E_{DH}; nang nas 'bras bu TV

¹²⁰ phalasya sādhyatvād yad yad evābhiṣṭam] K E_{DH}; 'bras bu bsgrub bya gang kho na TVA_D (phalam sādhyam yad eva); 'bras bu bsgrub bya gang kho na mngon par 'dod pa TVB_G (phalam sādhyam yad evābhistam);

¹²¹ tad] E_{DH} TVB_G (de); sad K; no reflex in TVA_D

¹²² sad eva] E_{DH}; satreva K

¹²³ abhinnam] E_{DH}; abhinna K

 $^{^{124}}$ sa tathā] em.; tat tathā K E_{DH}

'sti, abhāvaikarūpatayā nirodhasya samānatvāt. ayam arthaḥ—anyatamapakṣaṃ sākṣāt kṛtvā paścāt tasya santānocchedarūpo nirodha iti saptamaṃ sādhyam.

7 caturthaseke vipratipattayaḥ

7.1 caturthaseke vipratipattih prathamā

prajñājñānād uttaraṃ bodhicittā-svādas turyaṃ sekam 125 āhāvaraṃ tat | yasmāt 126 sarvo bhāvanāsu prayāso vyarthaḥ prāptas tatphalasya prasiddheḥ 127,xlix || 15 ||

 $[E_{DH} p. 140]$ prajñājñānetyādi. prajñājñānopadeśād uttarakālaṃ^{128,li} yat bodhicittasyāmṛtarūpasya¹²⁹ rasanayā grahaṇam, tat turyaṃ caturthaṃ [K fol. 6r] sekam āha kaścit. tac cāvaraṃ hīnam, vinikṛṣṭam

xlix TM_D's reading *rab tu mi rung phyir* is suprising, given the commentary, presumably executed by the same tranlator, reads *rab tu grub pa nyid* [*kyi phyia*].

¹ This verse is in Śālinī metre.

 $^{^{\}rm li}$ TV indicates that the text may have included a compound analysis of $praj\bar{n}\bar{a}-j\bar{n}\bar{a}na$, but if so, it is unclear what kind of compound this analysis is signifies. If it is for a $karmadh\bar{a}raya$, we would expect the TV to read as it does elsewhere for such analyses, with something like $shes\ rab\ kyang\ de\ nyid\ yin\ la\ |\ ye\ shes\ kang\ de\ nyid\ yin\ (cf.\ the\ commentary\ on\ 5cd).$ The reading in TVB_G is probably corrupt after $phyis\ te:\ byang\ chub$ appears to have been moved from the following clause with bodhicitta to this clause. Perhaps the text should read $phyis\ te\ rdzogs\ pa'i\ dus\ so\ ||\ gang\ zhe\ na\ |.$ Taken altogether, TV suggests the translator may have had a different reading here, but no compelling emendation is indicated.

¹²⁵ sekam] E_{DH}; sesam K

 $^{^{126}}$ yasmāt] E_{DH} (TV: gang phyir) (TaRaĀ-Vi: yasmāt); paścāt K

 $^{^{127}}$ prasiddheh] K $\rm E_{DH};$ rab tu mi rung phyir $\rm TM_{D}$ (aprasiddheh?)

 $^{^{128}}$ prajñājñānopadeśād uttarakālaṃ] K $E_{\rm DH}$; shes rab dang ye shes ni shes rab ye shes te | dbang bskur ba'i bye brag go || phyis ni 'das pa'i 'og tu'o || gang zhe na | TVA_D (prajñājñānetyādi. prajñā ca jñānaṃ prajñājñānaṃ sekaviśeṣaḥ. uttaram paścāt. kim iti); shes rab dang ye shes te | dbang bskur ba'i bye brag go || phyis te rdzogs pa'i dus kyi byang chub gang zhe na | TVB_G

 $^{^{129}}$ bodhicittasyāmṛtarūpasya] *em.* (TVA $_{\! D}$: byang chub kyi sems te); saṃ bodhicittasyāmṛtarūpasya K $E_{\rm DH}$; sems te TVB $_{\! G}$ (cittasya)

iti yāvat. kasmād avaram? yasmāt sarvaprayāso mantramudrādevatādyākārabhāvanāsu punaḥ punar anuṣṭhānalakṣaṇas tathāgatokto¹³⁰ vyarthaḥ prāptaḥ.¹ii kutaḥ? tatphalasya bhāvanāsādhyasya phalasya bodhicittāsvādakāla eva prasiddhatvāt prāptatvāt,¹³¹ anyasya viśiṣṭasya phalasyābhāvād iti yāvat.

7.2 caturthaseke vipratipattir dvitīyā

prajñājñānād uttaraṃ prāptarāmāsvādas turyaṃ sekam āhādhamaṃ tat | yasmāt sarvo bhāvanādau prayatno buddhoddiṣṭo niṣphalaḥ saṃprasaktaḥ || 16 || 16 ||

prajñetyādi. prajñājñānād uttarakālam yāḥ prāptā yathāmilitā rāmāḥ striyas tāsām samāpattidvāreṇa¹³² ya āsvādaḥ, tat turyam sekam. tad apy adhamam. śesam gatārtham.

10

lii TV reflects basically the same words as transmitted in K but with an understnading that may reflect a different underlying reading. Whereas the Sanskrit text as transmitted in K appears to suggest primarily one thing that would be *vyartha* on this position—namely, *sarvaprayāsa* taught by the *tathāgatas* that is characterised by repeated *anuṣṭhāna* directed at meditations on mantras and so forth. TV, on the other hand, seems to understand two items that would be *vyartha*: namely, *sarvaprayāsa* and *sgrub pa'i mtshan nyid*, probably *anuṣṭhānalakṣaṇa*: *gang gi phyir sngags dang phyag rgya dang* | *lha nyid la sogs pa'i rnam pa bsgom pa la yang dang yang du 'bad pa dang* | *gzhan yang de bzhin gshegs pas gsungs pa'i sgrub pa'i mtshan nyid don med pa thob par 'gyur ro* || 'Because it would follow that repeated effort in meditation ... and, what's more (*gzhan yang*; Skt. *ca*?), what is characterised as practice taught by the *tathāgatas* would be useless'. This understanding is made more noteworthy by the manuscripts reading the dual *tathāgatoktau*, but may be just conicidental, given that the understnading reflected in TV is not very compelling on the level of overall sense.

liii This verse is in Śālinī metre.

 $^{^{130}}$ tathāgatokto] E_{DH} ; tathāgatoktau K

¹³¹ prasiddhatvāt prāptatvāt] K E_{DH} TVB_G (grub pa nyid dang | thob pa nyid dang |); rab tu grub pa nyid dang | TVA_D (prasiddhatvāt)

 $^{^{132}}$ samāpattidvāreņa] E_{DH} ; rig pa'i sgo nas TVA_D (rig *fort. pro* reg); reg pa'i sgo nas TVB_G (sparsadvārena)

7.3 āgamasya vyākhyānam

atha¹³³ caturtham tat punas tatheti^{134,liv} vyākhyāyate. caturtham iti¹³⁵ prajñājñānam trtīyam apekṣya caturtham ity ucyate. tad iti tacchabdena tad eva prajñājñānam tadrūpam¹³⁶ parāmṛṣyate.^{lv} punar iti punaḥṣ́abdena tasmād viṣ́eṣaḥ. viṣ́eṣaṣ́ cātra¹³⁷ nirāsravaniruttarātyantasphītāvicchinnaprabandhapravāhitva¹³⁸lakṣaṇaḥ.^{139,lvi} tatheti tathāṣ́abdena tādṛṣ́atvam abhidhīyate. tādṛṣ́atvam ca yādṛṣ́yā prajñādiyuktayā¹⁴⁰ sāmagryā yādṛṣ́aṃ prajñājñānam utpannam, paṣ́cād api tādṛṣ́yaiva sāmagryā tathaiva cotpadyate, nānyatheti tathāṣ́abdārthah.

atra ca lakṣyalakṣaṇabhāvenārtholvii boddhavyaḥ. lakṣyate 'ne-

liv Samājottara 112c

^{lv} The referent of tat in $tadr\bar{u}pam$ is evidently caturtham. TVB_G essentially reflects the transmitted Sanskrit reading but eva, if it is rendered by nyid, is slightly out of place.

 $^{^{\}mathrm{lvi}}$ E_{DH} emends niruttara to nirantara stating that this reading is bhotanusari, but the situation in TV is slightly more complex. The transmitted Sanskrit suggests reading a string of adjectives starting with $an\bar{a}srava$ that qualify pravahitva. Here reading nirantara and avicchinaprabandha would lead to redundancy. TV instead renders a series of abstract nouns before pravahitva, with TVA $_{\mathrm{D}}$ including something reflective of nairantarya ($bar\ ma\ chad\ pa\ nyid$). Both TVs lack a reflex of niruttara

 $^{^{\}mathrm{lvii}}$ For lakṣyalakṣaṇabhāva, $\mathrm{TVA_D}$ reads mtshon par bya ba'i don mtshan par byed pa'i dngos po, which looks like a corruption for $\mathrm{TVB_G}$'s mtshon par bya ba dang rather than anything indicative of a variant reading in the Sanskrit.

 $^{^{133}}$ atha] K E_{DH} TVB $_{G}$ (de la); no reflex in TV

¹³⁴ punas tatheti] E_{DH} (em.); punar iti K

¹³⁵ caturtham iti] K E_{DH} TVA_D (bzhi pa ni); no reflex in TVB_G

 $^{^{136}}$ tad eva prajñāj
ñānaṃ tadrūpaṃ] K $\rm E_{DH}$ TVB $_{\rm G}$ (shes rab ye shes de nyid kyi ngo bo de); shes rab ye shes kyi ngo bo de TVA $_{\rm D}$

 $^{^{137}}$ °ātra] E_{DH} ; no reflex in TV

 $^{^{138}}$ °niruttarātyantasphītāvicchinnaprabandhapravāhitva°] K; °nirantarātyantasphītāvicchinnaprabandhapravāhitva° $E_{\rm DH}$ (em.); shin tu rgyas pa nyid dang | bar chad med pa nyid dang | rgyun mi 'chad par skye ba nyid kyi TVAD (°ātyantasphītatvanairantaryāvicchinnaprabandhapravāhitva°); shin tu rgyas pa nyid rgyun mi chad par skye ba nyid kyi TVBG (°ātyantasphītatvāvichinnaprabandhapravāhitva°)

¹³⁹ °laksanah] E_{DH}; °laksanam K

¹⁴⁰ °yuktayā] *conj.* (TV: dang ldan pa'i); °yuktyā K E_{DH}

neti lakṣaṇam anubhūyamānaṃ prajñājñānam, apratīyamānasya lakṣaṇatvāyogāt, nāgṛhītaviśeṣaṇā [E_{DH} p. 141]viśeṣyabuddhir iti nyāyāt. lakṣyate jñāyate pratipādyate neneti neneti lakṣyaṃ sākṣāt kariṣyamāṇaṃ caturtham.

7.4 caruthaseke vipratipattis tṛtīyā

atra caturtham nāstīty eke. lix nanu caturtham ity etad asti tatpadam. lastīty tat katham nāstīty ucyate? satyam, upadeśasamrakṣārtham sattvavyāmohanāya ca tṛtīyam eva caturthaśabde [K fol. 6v]noktam bhagavatā. anyathā tat punar iti noktam syāt. lx

tad atyantāsaṃgatam, caturthasya pramāṇasiddhasya pratipāditatvāt pratipādayiṣyamāṇatvāc ceti. $^{\rm lxi}$

lviii By normal conventions the *anena* here would indicate that the word being glossed, lak syam in this case, denotes the agent of $\sqrt{lak s}$. That is clearly not the case, so *anena*, if it is not a corruption, must refer back to the lak sama.

 $^{^{}lix}$ TVAD adds near the beginning of this sentence Samājottara 112ab abhiṣekaṃ tridhā bhedam asmin tantre prakalpitam \mid : 'dir 'ga' zhig \mid dbang ni rnam pa gsum dag tu \mid rgyud 'di las ni rab tu grags $\mid\mid$ zhes gsungs pas na \mid bzhi pa ni yang dag pa ma yin no zhe na \mid

 $^{^{\}rm lx}$ A portion seems to have dropped out from TVAD.

 $^{^{}lxi}$ Tib. discusses two further pak; as here: that the fourth referred to in the $Sam\bar{a}$ -jottara is the four anga of $sev\bar{a}$ and so forth; and what appears to be the idea that
the four initiation consists in the third accompanied by its fruits ('bras bu dang $bcas\ pa$).

 $[\]overline{^{141}}$ pratipādyate] K $E_{DH};$ go bar bya zhing bsgrub par bya bas na TVA $_D;$ khong du chud par byed bsgrub par byed pas na TVB $_G$ (pratīyate pratipādyate)

¹⁴² 'neneti] K E_{DH} TVB_G ('dis); no reflex in TVA_D

 $^{^{143}}$ nanu caturtham ity etad asti tatpadam] K (nanu caturtham ity etad asti | tat padan) $E_{\rm DH};$ de ltar de bzhin bzhi pa yang || zhes bya ba'i tshig bcom ldan 'das kyis gsungs pa yod pa ma yin nam | TVAD (caturtham tat punas tatheti padam bhagavatā notkam vā); de lta na de ma yin pa gzhan de ltar de bzhin bzhi pa yang zhes bya ba der bzhi pa zhes bya ba'i tshig bcom ldan 'das kyis gsungs pa yod pa ma yin nam | TVBG (nanu yadi evam na syāt, tadā caturtham tat punas tatheti padam bhagavatā noktam vā)

7.5 laksyasya vicāranam

atra lakṣaṇaṃ prajñājñānaṃ pratītam eva sarvaiḥ. lakṣye¹⁴⁴ paraṃ vyāmohaḥ. tad vicāryate. lakṣyaṃ hi bhaved¹⁴⁵ artharūpaṃ vā syāt jñānarūpaṃ vā. na tāvad artharūpam, arthasyaikasyābhāvāt, ekānekaviyogitvena pramāṇena tasya nirākṛtatvāt. mantranaye ca vijñānavādamadhyamakamatayor¹⁴⁶ eva pradhānatvād jñānarūpaṃ vā syāt. jñānaṃ ca sākāraṃ vā nirākāraṃ vā. sākāram api citrādvaitarūpaṃ vā syād anekarūpaṃ vā syād iti vikalpāḥ.

7.5.1 sākārasya vijñānasya nirākaraņam

tatra sākāravijñānam sarvathaiva gagaņakamalavan nāstīti nirākāravādino bruvate. nanu nīlapītaśuklādighaṭapaṭaśakaṭādi¹⁴⁷rūpeṇākārāḥ¹⁴⁸ pratibhāsante¹⁴⁹ pratyakṣataḥ. lxii te cārthasyābhāvād jñānarūpā eva. tat katham sākāram nāstīti? satyam. pratibhāsanta evākārāḥ, param alīkarūpeṇa. alīkarūpatā caikānekaviyogitvena pramāṇalakṣaṇena pratibhāsanta tasya ca pramāṇasvarūpasyānyatra kathitatvān neha pratanyate. alīkatvaprasiddhā ca māyā-

 $^{^{\}mathrm{lxii}}$ TV phrases this sentence as a rhetorical question, as if the Sanskrit started kim $na\dots$

^{lxiii} TVA_D's expression of the argument runs differently: don (rnam pa) de dag kyang med pa'i phyir shes pa'i ngo bo nyid kyang med yin na | de ji ltar rnam pa dang bcas pa ma yin zhe na | 'Because those objects [i.e., $\bar{a}k\bar{a}ras$] also do not exist, the nature of cognition too cannot exist. So how can cognition not have $\bar{a}k\bar{a}ras$?'

¹⁴⁴ lakşye] E_{DH} (em.); lakşyā K

¹⁴⁵ lakṣyaṃ hi bhavet] *conj.*(TV: mtshon par bya ba yang srid na); lakṣyaṃ hi bhagavat K E_{DH} (°gavad)

¹⁴⁶ matayor] E_{DH}; tamayor K

 $^{^{147}}$ °śakatādi°] $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$ (em.); °prakatādi° K

¹⁴⁸ °ākārāh] conj.; ((cā))kārāḥ] K; vākārāḥ E_{DH}

¹⁴⁹ pratibhāsante] E_{DH}; pratibhāsante K

¹⁵⁰ °viyogitvena] conj. °viyogitva° K E_{DH}

 $^{^{151}}$ °pramāṇalakṣaṇena] K E_{DH} (TVB $_{\!G}$: tshad ma'i mtshan nyid kyis); mtshan nyid kyis TVB $_{\!G}$ (°laksanena)

 $^{^{152}}$ pramāṇasvarūpasyā°] $E_{DH};$ pramāna(((pe)))rūpasyā° K

¹⁵³ neha] E_{DH}; eha K

mayā ivākārā bhrāntirūpāḥ prakāśante.¹⁵⁴ bhrāntinivṛttau ca nirākāram eva¹⁵⁵ śuddhasphaṭikasaṃkāśaṃ pāramārthikaṃ¹⁵⁶ siddhaṃ bhavati.¹⁵⁷ ataś citrādvaitarūpam anekarūpaṃ ca sākāraṃ vijñānam astīti vikalpadvayaṃ nirastaṃ bhavatīti.

7.5.2 nirākārasya vijñānasya samarthanam

nanu nirākāram api vijñānam upalabdhilakṣaṇaprāptaṃ svapne 'pi nopalabhyate. tat kathaṃ tad asti paramārthata i[K fol. 7r]ty ucyate? ucyate. sukhākāraṃ vijñānam antaḥparisphuradrūpaṃ nirākāraṃ saṃvedyata eva. nīlādyākārāḥ punar alīkāḥ pratibhāsante. anyathā teṣāṃ satyatve sarva evākārāḥ satyāḥ syuḥ. tathā hi grāhyagrāhakabhāvādikam api satyaṃ [E_{DH} p. 142] syāt. tataś ca sarveṣām eva satyapratibhāsatvena muktiprasaṅgaḥ, satyāḥ keṣāñcid api mithyāpratibhāsasya bhrāntirūpasyāpratibhāsanāt. tathā coktam—

drasṭavyaṃ 160 bhūtato bhūtaṃ bhūtadarśī vimucyate $^{|\text{lxiv}|}$

tasmād akāmakenāpi nīlādyākārāṇām alīkatvam evaiṣṭavyam. sukhādikam nirākāraṃ¹⁶¹ satyam upalabhyate. tat katham nopalabhyata iti.

nanu sukhādyākāram sākāram eva vijnānam upalabhyate, sukhāder ākārasvabhāvatvāt. na ca sukhādyākārasūnyam jnānam

lxiv Abhisamayālaṅkāra 5.21; Ratnagotravighāba 154; Pratītyasamputpādahṛdaya-kārikā 7; etc.

¹⁵⁴ prakāśante] K (prakāsante); prakāśyante E_{DH}

 $^{^{155}}$ nirākāram eva] K E_{DH} TVB $_{G}$ (rnam pa med pa kho na); rnam pa med pa de kho na TVB $_{G}$ (nirākāram eva tad)

 $^{^{156}}$ pāramārthikam] E_{DH} (em.); pārarthikam K

¹⁵⁷ bhavati] K; bhavatīti E_{DH}

¹⁵⁸ paramārthata] *em.*; paramārtham K E_{DH}

muktiprasangah] conj.; yuktiprasangat K; muktiprasangat E_{DH} (em.)

¹⁶⁰ drastavyam] E_{DH}; drastavya K

¹⁶¹ nirākāram] K E_{DH}; rnam pa brdzun pa TV (alīkākāram)

 $^{^{162}}$ sākāram eva vij
ñānam] $\it conj.$ (TV: rnam pa dang b
cas pa'i kho na shes pa); eva vij
ñānam K $\rm E_{DH}$

svapne 'pi saṃvedyate. sakalabhrāntivigamād aṣṭamyāṃ bhūmāv upalabdhilakṣaṇaprāptir bhavatīty atrāpi kośapānaṃ¹⁶³ vinā anyan na¹⁶⁴ pramāṇam asti prasādhakam iti. tad asat,¹⁶⁵ abhiprāyāparijñānāt, sukhādyākārasyaiva nīlādyākārarahitasya vijñānasya nirākāratveneṣṭatvāt. tac cedānīm eva svasaṃvedanapramāṇasiddhaṃ sakalaprāṇabhṛtam¹⁶⁶ astīti kathaṃ nopalabdhiḥ?

7.5.3 madhyamakamatasya samarthanam

nanu tad
¹⁶⁷ apy ekānekasvabhāvaviyogād alīkam eva bhrāntimātram, ekānekasvabhāvarahitasya sākāranirākāravij
ñānavyāpitvāt.

nanv anena nyāyena sakalasākāranirākāravijñānasyālīkatvaprasādhanān na kiñcid api pāramārthikam vastutattvam asti. 168 tat katham lakṣyasya svarūpam pramāṇata upalakṣayitavyam? naiṣa doṣaḥ, madhyamakamate pramāṇato 'līkatāsiddhāv api māyopamapratibhāsamātrasyaikānekasvabhāvarahitasya dharmirūpasyāpratiṣedhāt. tatraiva cālīke pratibhāsamātre lakṣyalakṣaṇasaṃsāranirvāṇa[K fol. 7v]maṇḍalacakrādibhāvanāsakalajagadarthakriyādīnām 169 avyāhatā vyavasthā 170 sidhyati. 171,lxv tathā coktam—

buddhatvam vajrasattvatvam samvṛtyaiva prasādhayet $_{\rm l^{\rm lxvi}}$

 $^{^{\}rm lxv}$ $E_{\rm DH}$ appears to understand the text as saying that both $bh\bar{a}van\bar{a}$ and $jagadartha-kriy\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}n\bar{a}m$ $vyavasth\bar{a}$ are established. TV suggests that it is $bh\bar{a}van\bar{a}$ which is the instrument by which the $vy\bar{a}vasth\bar{a}$ is established. The manuscript reading suggests taking $^{\circ}bh\bar{a}van\bar{a}$ in compound with the following word—i.e., in the Madhyamaka system, although mere appearance is false, the framework of everything starting with laksyalaksana is established.

lxvi Kurukullākalpa 3.16cd

 $^{^{163}}$ kośapānam] K (kosapānam); śapatholla
nghanam $\rm E_{DH}$ (em.)

¹⁶⁴ anyan na] E_{DH}; anyatra K

tad asat] conj. (TV: de ni bden pa ma yin te); tad K E_{DH} ; asad etat possible conj.

^{166 °}bhrtam] em.; °bhrtām K E_{DH}

¹⁶⁷ nanu tad K E_{DH}; tat possible conj.

¹⁶⁸ asti] *conj.*; astīti K E_{DH} (astīti?) (*iti* has no reflex in TV)

 $^{^{169}}$ °bhāvanā°] K; °bhāvanā E_{DH} (variant word division); bsgoms pas TV (bhāvanayā)

¹⁷⁰ vyavasthā] K; vyavasthā ca E_{DH} (em.)

¹⁷¹ sidhyati] *conj.*; sidhyatīti K E_{DH} (*no reflext of iti in TV*)

iti. 172

nanu sarvam eva vastujātam alīkarūpatayā niḥsāram. tadā kimartham maṇḍalacakrādibhāvanāprayāsaḥ¹⁷³ kriyate? asad etat,

mithyādhyāropahānārtha
ṃ 174 yatno 'saty api 175 [$\rm E_{DH}$ p. 143] bhoktari
| 176,lxvii

iti vacanāt. yady api vicāryamāṇaṃ pāramārthikaṃ vasturūpaṃ nāsti, tathāpy ahaṃ sukhī bhaveyaṃ mā¹⁷⁷ duḥkhy abhūvam iti tṛṣṇā sakalaprāṇabhṛtām asti. yathā tulye 'pi mithyātve śubhāśubhasvapnayoḥ śubhasvapnadarśanāt saumanasyam aśubhasvapnadarśanāc ca daurmanasyam, tadapanayanāya ca saddharmapāṭhamantrajāpādau pravṛttir bhavati, tathā mithyātvāviśeṣe 'pi duḥkhādiprākṛtavikalpahānāya lxviii samyaksaṃbodhilakṣaṇaprāptaye 178 ca prekṣāvatām arthināṃ pravṛttir bhaviṣyatīti.

8 saptavidheşu sādhyeşu sārāsāravicāraņam

nanu yadarthas tavāyam^{179,lxix} ārambhaḥ so 'rthaḥ pralayaṃ gataḥ. tathā hi lakṣyalakṣaṇacintātra prastutā. sā ca vismṛtā, kva gateti na jñāyate.

lxvii Pramānavārttika, Pramānasiddhi 193cd.

lxviii cf. *Samantabhadrasādhana* (as quoted in Kamalanātha's *Ratnāvalī* ad HeTa 2.2.45, fol. 16r6): prākṛtavikalpavṛttair aparaṃ na hi kiñcad asti bhavaduḥkham | tasya viruddham caitat sāksādavagamyate cetah ||

 $^{^{}lxix}$ The manuscript's reading yadarthasvā'yam seems like a plausible corruption of yadarthas tavā'yam, but Tibetan shows no reflex of tava. TVA_D reads: rtsom pa 'di'i don gang yin pa. TVB_G reads: gal te gang gi don du (bzhi pa bshad pa'i bshad pa'i dus) 'di brtsams pa'i.

¹⁷² iti] E_{DH}; deest in K

¹⁷³ maṇḍala°] E_{DH}; bri ba'i 'dkyil 'khor (lekhyamandala°)

 $^{^{174}}$ mithyādhyāropahānārtham] em.; mithyādhyāropanārtham K $\rm E_{DH}$

¹⁷⁵ 'saty api] K; 'styopi E_{DH}

¹⁷⁶ bhoktari] K (bhoktarī°) (the letter no is added abhove bho); muktaye E_{DH} (em.)

¹⁷⁷ mā] E_{DH} (em.); deest in K

 $^{^{178}}$ lakṣaṇaprāptaye] K $E_{\rm DH}$; mtshan nyid kyi 'bras bu thob par bya ba'i phyir $\rm TVA_D$ (lakṣaṇaphalaprāptaye); mtshan nyid 'bras bu thob par bya ba'i phyir $\rm TVB_G$ (lakṣaṇaphalaprāptaye)

 $^{^{179}}$ yadarthas tavāyam] $\mathit{conj.};$ yadarthasvā'yam K; yadarthatvād ayam E_{DH}

na tu¹⁸⁰ kṛtaiva sā saptabhir bhedaiḥ? satyam, kintu guḍagorasanyāyena. tathā hi na jñāyate, kiṃ tat sāram asāraṃ veti. ucyate.

8.1 prathamasyāsāratvam

mantranayavihitakramābhāvāt samāpattibhāvanāvaiyarthyād¹⁸¹ yuktyabhāvāc¹⁸² ca prathamasya niḥsāratā. tathā hi samagrasāmagrīkam yat phalaṃ¹⁸³ tad avaśyam eva bhavati. anyathā samagrasāmagrīkam eva tan na bhavet. sākṣātkaraṇāvasthāyāṃ samagrasāmagrīkaṃ tad vartate. tad avaśyaṃ tena¹⁸⁴ bhavitavyam. sati ca bhavati^{185,lxx} prathamasya hānir iti.

8.2 dvitīyasyāsāratvam

śarīrādyākāraśūnyasya kevalasātarūpasyānupalabdher¹⁸⁶ na dvitīyasya sāratā. tathā hi pramāṇaniścitaṃ prekṣāvatā bhāvanīyam, na yathākathañcit. pramā[K fol. 8r]ṇena saṃvalitarūpam eva sarvadopalabhyate. lxxi tad eva sarvajanānāṃ kamanīyatayā pratibhāsate. tasmāt kevalasya rucyabhāvāc cakrākārasaṃvalitasyopalabdheḥ sāksāt kartum aśakyatvāc^{187,lxxii} ca dvitīyasya kalpanāmātrateti. l88

lxx TV could be rendered as something like *evaṃsati ca prathamasya hānir iti*. Indeed this seems to be the sense, but the manuscript reading of *bhavane na* or *bhavanena* is hard to account for.

lxxi TVAD lacks a reflex of sarvadā, whereas TVBG lacks a reflect of eva.

lxxii TV suggests reading: kevalasyānupalabdheḥ rucyabhāvāc cakrākārasaṃvalita-

 $^{^{180}}$ na tu] conj.; nanu K E_{DH}

 $^{^{181}}$ samāpatti°] K $E_{\rm DH}$ TVB $_{\rm G}$ (snyom par 'jug pa); lha'i rnal 'byor gyi snyoms par 'jug pa'i TVA $_{\rm D}$ (devatāyogasamāpatti°)

¹⁸² yuktyabhāvāc] E_{DH}; yuktābhāvāc K

 $^{^{183}}$ yat phalam] conj. (TV: 'bras bu gang yin pa); yat KE_{DH}

 $^{^{184}}$ tena K $\rm E_{DH}$ TVB $_{\rm G}$ (de); de'i 'bras bu TVA $_{\rm D}$ (tena phalena)

 $^{^{185}}$ conj.; bhavane na K $\rm E_{DH};$ de l
tar gyur pas dang po nyams pa yin no TVA $_{\rm D};$ de l
tar gyur pa dang po nyams pa yin no TVB $_{\rm G}$ (evaṃsati)

¹⁸⁶ °labdher] E_{DH}; °bdher K

¹⁸⁷ aśakyatvāc] E_{DH} (em.); aśakyatāc K

¹⁸⁸ kalpanāmātrateti] E_{DH} (*em.*); kalpanātrateti K

8.3 trtīyasyāsāratvam

nirupadravabhūtārthasvabhāvatvena sātmībhūtasya tyaktum aśakyatvāt, saṃvalitarūpasya [$E_{\rm DH}$ p. 144] bhedābhāvāt, prayojanābhāvāc ca na tṛtīyasya 189 kalyāṇabhāvaḥ. 190 tathā hi sahopalambhena 191 tādātmyasiddhāv ekasya parityāge 'parasyāvaśyaṃ parityāgo na vā kasyacid iti.

8.4 caturthasya sārāsāratvavicāraņam

prapañcatvena bahuprayāsatvād vicārāsahatvena bhrāntirūpatayāparamārtharūpatayā ca na tṛtīyāntapakṣasya¹⁹² kalyāṇateti.¹⁹³ atra kecid yuktiṃ varṇayanti. İxxiii prapañcarūpatvābhāve¹⁹⁴ 'pi sūkṣmasya bindvādeḥ punaḥ punar bhāvanayā sākṣātkaraṇaṃ yāvat prayāsas tāvat sarvatraiva bhāvyavastuni sambhavati. tad atra yadi prayāsabhayam, na kiñcid api bhāvanīyam.

prapañcarūpatvād iti cet, prapañcāprapañcayor bhāvanāvasthāyāṃ ko viśeṣaḥ¹⁹⁵? nanu¹⁹⁶ aprapañcaṃ śīghram eva sthirībhavatīty

syānupalabdheḥ sākṣātkartum aśakyatvāc ca. The addition of anupalabdheḥ after kevalasya renders the flow of argument's logic less smooth. The addition of the same word after cakrākārasaṃvalitasya does not change the argument in its overall sense. Note that the Tibetan 'khor lo'i rang bzhin could instead imply the reading cakrasvabhāva or something similar, but it may simply be a free rendering of cakrākārasaṃvalita.

TV also adds the reason 'bad pa mtshung pa'i phyir ('becaue the effort is equal'). The purport of this is unclear.

 lxxiii TVAD renders this sentence differently: de la 'ga' zhig las rigs pa cung zhig cig brjod par mi bya ste \mid

 $^{^{189}}$ tṛtīyasya] $\mathit{conj.};$ tṛtīya K; tṛtīya
ḥ $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$

 $^{^{190}}$] conj. (Tib: dge ba [ma] yin); kalyanībhāvaḥ K pc ; kalyānībhāvaḥ K ac ; dge ba ma yin [na] kalyāṇabhāvaḥ

¹⁹¹ sahopalambhena] E_{DH}; saholambhena K

 $^{^{192}}$ tṛtīyāntapakṣasya] $\it em.$ (TVA $_{\rm D}$: gsum pa'i tha' ma'i phyogs TVA $_{\rm D}$; TVB $_{\rm G}$: gsum pa'i mtha' ma'i phyogs); tṛtīyāntaḥ | pakṣasya K; tṛtīyapakṣasya E $_{\rm DH}$

¹⁹³ kalyāṇateti] E_{DH}; kalyānateti K

 $^{^{194}}$ prapañcarūpatvābhāve] K $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{DH}};$ spros pa'i ngo bo nyid du gyur TV

¹⁹⁵ viśesah] *conj.*; viśesa iti cet K E_{DH}

 $^{^{196}}$ nanu] conj. (TV: 'on te); deest in K and E_{DH}

ayam viśeṣaḥ. yatraivālambane¹⁹⁷ cittam punaḥ punaḥ preryate nirantaram¹⁹⁸ dīrghakālam ca tatraiva sthirībhavatīty āgamaḥ. yuktiś cātrāsti. tathā coktam—

tasmād bhūtam abhūtam vā yad yad evābhibhāvyate | bhāvanābalaniṣpattau lxxiv tat sphuṭākalpadhīphalam llxv

punaś coktam-

aho kusīdatvam aho vimūḍhatā aho janasyāsya sadarthavakratā | svacittamātrapratibaddhabuddhatā²⁰⁰ adūravartiny api yan na sevyate ||²⁰¹

iti. tasmān nāyam viśesah.

bhrāntirūpatvenāparamārthatvam api sarvatraiva bhāvanāviśeṣe vastuni sambhavatīti na kiñcid api bhāvanīyaṃ syāt. [K fol. 8v] tataś ca sarvatraiva mokṣamārge bhāvanāyā vaiyarthyaṃ syāt. māyopamākārānupraveśena bhrāntirūpam apy aprapañcād [E_{DH} p. 145] bhāvyamānam²⁰² aduṣṭaṃ bhavatīti cet, na tv ayaṃ māyākārānupraveśaḥ prapañce 'pi samāna iti. tatrāpi ko doṣasyāvakāśaḥ? tasmāt prapañcam aprapañcaṃ vā yad eva rocate pramāṇasaṃgatam itarad vā, tad evālasyaṃ vihāya mahāpuruṣārthibhir bhāvayitavyam²⁰³ ity alam atiprasaṅgeneti.

atra ca sāretaravibhāgaḥ paryupāsitagurubhir eva jñātavyaḥ.

lxxiv The reading $bh\bar{a}van\bar{a}balanispattau$ is supported by the Tibetan translation and occurs in other sources ($bsgom\ pa'i\ stobs\ ni\ rdzogs\ pa\ na$). Another more mainstream reading for this $p\bar{a}da$ is $bh\bar{a}van\bar{a}parinispattau$.

lxxv *Pramānavārttika*, Pratyaksapramāna 285

¹⁹⁷ yatraivālambane] conj. (no reflect of nanu in TV); nanu yatraivālambane

 $^{^{198}}$ nirantaram] E_{DH} (em.) TV (rgyun mi 'chad par); niruttaram K

 $^{^{199}}$ kalpadhīphalam] $\it em.$; kalpadhīh phalam K $\rm E_{DH}$

 $^{^{200}}$ °pratibaddha°] $\mathit{conj.}$ (TV; 'brel pa); °pratibuddha° K $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$

²⁰¹ Untraced. Also cited in **Saptāṅga* fol. 202r7.

²⁰² aprapañcād bhāvyamānam] E_{DH}; aprapañcā bhāvyamāṇam

 $^{^{203}}$ bhāvayitavyam] $E_{\rm DH};$ bhaviyitavyam K

8.5 pañcamasyāsāratvam

tṛtīyapakṣoktadoṣatvān²04 nīrasatvena²05 prayojanābhāvān mantranayakramābhāvāc ca na pañcamah pariksīnadoṣah.

nanu sākṣātkaraṇāt pūrvaṃ mantranayaprayogo 'sti. tat kathaṃ tasyābhāvaḥ? satyam, sākṣātphalāvasthā sādhyā. tasyāṃ ca nāsty asau kramaḥ. †sākṣātparityāgelxxvi† ca na prayojanam utpaśyāma iti.

8.6 şaşthamasyāsāratvam

svecchayā nirvāyayitum²⁰⁶ aśakyatvāt, prayojanābhāvāt, sattvārthābhāvāc ca na pañcāntaraprabhedakalpanā²⁰⁷ kalaṅkāśūnyā. tathā hi kasyacin nivṛttiḥ kāraṇanivṛttyā vyāpakanivṛttyā²⁰⁸ vā bhavati. na cātra sākṣātkṛtamaṇḍalacakrasya nivartakaṃ kāraṇaṃ vyāpakaṃ vā icchākāle drśyate. İxxvii

nanu śūnyataiva nivartikāsti. yathā dārusaṅghātaprajvalito²⁰⁹ vahnir niḥśeṣam indhanaṃ bhasmīkṛtya paścāt svarasata eva nivartate, tathā maṇḍalacakraprajvalitaḥ śūnyatājñānāgniḥ sākṣāt krtvā²¹⁰ maṇdalacakram nivartayisyatīti cet.²¹¹ tad asat, visamatvād

Ixxvi Segment instead: kramaḥ sākṣāt. parityāge?

lxxvii TV lacks a reflex of $icch\bar{a}k\bar{a}le\ drsyate$. Both translations add an extra sentence to this paragraph: $rang\ gi\ 'dod\ pas\ ('dos\ pas\ TVB_G;\ 'gog\ par\ TVB_G)'gog\ pa\ yang\ mi$ $nus\ te\ mi\ mthun\ pa\ med\ pa'i\ phyir\ |\ sdug\ bsngal\ la\ sogs\ pa\ 'gog\ pa\ 'dod\ kyang\ sdug\ bsngal\ la\ sogs\ pa\ la\ 'jug\ pa\ mthong\ ba'i\ phyir\ ro\ ||$

 $^{^{204}}$ tṛtīyapakṣoktaṣatvān conj. (TVB $_{\rm G}$: gsum pa'i phyogs la bshad pa'i nyes pa yod pa dang); tṛtīyapakṣe ktato K; tṛtīyapakṣe kuto E $_{\rm DH}$; no reflex in TVA $_{\rm D}$

 $^{^{205}}$ nīrasatvena] conj.; nīrasatvena te K E_{DH}

²⁰⁶ nirvāyayitum] K; nirvāpayitum E_{DH}

 $^{^{207}}$ pañcāntara°] $\it em.$ TV (l
nga pa'i mtha'i rab tu dbye ba); prapañcāntara° K $\rm E_{DH}$

²⁰⁸ vyāpakanivṛttyā] E_{DH}; vyāpakānivṛttyā K

 $^{^{209}}$ dārusa
ṅghātaprajvalito] $\mathit{conj.};$ dārusaṃghāte prajvalit
o $E_{DH};$ dārusaṃghāt pravjalito K

²¹⁰ sāksāt krtvā] conj.; sāksān K E_{DH}

²¹¹ TV a fuller sentence here. TVB_G reads: de ltar dkyil 'khor gyi 'khor lo stong pa nyid kyi ye shes kyi me rab tu 'bar bas mngon sum du byas nas kyang | dkyil 'khor gyi 'khor lo ma lus par ldog par byed la | bdag nyid kyang rang gi ngang gis ldog par 'gyur ro zhe na | TVA_D appears to be slightly more corrupt, but suggests that

dṛṣṭāntasya. tathā hi tatrendhanaṃ kāraṇaṃ²¹² vahneḥ. kāraṇasya indhanalakṣaṇasya nivṛttau²¹³ yuktaiva vahnilakṣaṇasya kāryasya nivṛttiḥ. iha tu na śūnyatā kāraṇaṃ maṇḍalacakrasya. tat ka[K fol. 9r]thaṃ tannivṛttau nivṛttiḥ? na²¹⁴ ca śūnyatāyā nivṛttir asti. lxxviii

nanu sā na²¹⁵ bhavatu kāraṇaṃ. śūnyatā vyāpakaṃ tu bhaviṣyati. vyāpakasya vṛkṣasya nivṛttau śiṃśapātvasya vyāpyasya nivṛttivan nivṛttir bhaviṣyatīti cet. etad apy asāram. tathā hi śūnyatā sarvadā sarvajñeyamaṇḍalavyāpikā tattvarūpā.²¹⁶ na ca tasyā nivṛttiḥ kadācid apy asti. yadi syāt samyaksaṃbodhisākṣātkaraṇāt [E_{DH} p. 146] pūrvam anantaram eva vā nivṛttiḥ syāt. na ca bhavati, samyaksaṃbuddhībhūyāpi katipayakālāvasthānasya svayam eva svīkrtatvāt.

kintu śūnyatāpi jñānarūpā, cakram api jñānarūpam. śūnyatājñānotpattyā cakrajñānasyānivṛttau²¹⁷ śūnyatājñānam kena nivartanīyam. tena nivṛttiś ca virodhino 'bhāvāt kāraṇavyāpakayoś cābhāvān nāsti. tasmāc chūnyatājñānasya na nivṛttiḥ,²¹⁸ nāpi maṇḍalacakrasya śūnyatāto nivṛttir iti śūnyatā na nivartikā.

ko brūte śūnyatā nivartikā? kim tarhi yan nivartakam²¹⁹ tad gurūpadeśato jñeyam ity apy asāram. gurūpadeśato 'pi na śūnyatāvya-

lxxviii The response the objection is considerably different in Tibetan. It states that while fire is regarded by mundane consensus as having a causal effect on fuel insofar as it transforms it, emptiness has no such effect on the *maṇḍalacakra*. It is also therefore not something that causes it to cease, nor is it known to itself cease of its own accord. Although the Sanskrit MS is very corrupt in this paragraph, it is difficult to see how the text it transmits corresponds to the Tibetan translation.

same readings: de dkyil 'khor gyi 'khor lo stong pa nyid kyi ye shes kyi me rab tu 'bar bas mngon sum du byas nas kyang | dkyil 'khor gyi 'khor lo ma lus par ldog par byed la | de yang rang gi ldog par 'gyur ro zhe na |

 $^{^{212}}$ kāranam] conj.; na kāraṇaṃ K $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$

²¹³ kāryasya indhanalaksanasya nivrttau] *conj*.; kāryam indhanalaksananivrttau

²¹⁴ na] *conj.*; athavā na] K E_{DH}

 $^{^{215}}$ na] E_{DH} (em.); deest in K

 $^{^{216}}$ tattvarūpā] E_{DH} ; tatvarūpāh K

²¹⁷ °ānivṛttau] K E_{DH}; log na TV (nivṛttau)

²¹⁸ na nivrttih] conj. (TV: ldog pa med do); nivrttih K E_{DH}

²¹⁹ nivartakam] *em.*; nivartikās K E_{DH}

tiriktam²²⁰ pramāṇato 'stīti yatkiñcid etat. lxxix pratikṣaṇanivṛttiś ca kṣaṇabhaṅgarūpā sarvapadārthavyāpinī. na sā santānanivartikā. tasmān na svecchayā nivṛttiḥ. la ca nivṛttyā nīrasarūpayā prayojanam asti prekṣāvatām. tathā coktam—

mucyamāneṣu sattveṣu ye te prāmodyasāgarāḥ | tair eva nanu paryāptam moksenārasikena kim || lxxx

iti.

sattvārtho 'pi nivṛttau nāsti. na hi gagane²²³ gaganakamale vā kācid arthakriyā sambhavati. ciraniruddhād apy atītād avasturūpāc²²⁴ cakrāt sattvārtho bhaviṣyatīty apy asāram, ciranīrutasyāpi²²⁵ kukku[K fol. 9v]ṭasya kaṇṭhadhvaniprasaṅgāt.

nanu yogyadhiṣṭhānād gaganād apy arthakriyāḥ sambhavantīti cet. 226 na sambhavanti, yogyadhiṣṭhānād eva cittarūpād arthakriyā, na gaganāt, nīrūpatvāt tasya. lxxxi

nanu nirodhya maṇḍalacakraṃ sattvārthakāle punar utpādyate. tato 'rthakriyā bhavati. tataḥ punar eva nirodhyate, punar evotpadyata iti cet. asad etat. yathā sattvārthakriyāyās tattvato²²⁷ nāsti prādurbhāvaḥ, tathā cakrasyāpi. tato nārthakriyāyāḥ sambhavaḥ. na ca

 $^{^{}lxxix}$ TVBG: bla ma'i man ngag las kyang stong pa nyid kyis ldog par byed pa ma yin ldog pa'i tshad ma cung zhig kyang yod pa ma yin pas. TVAD: bla ma'i man ngag las kyang stong pa nyid dang \mid de ldog pa las ma gtogs pa'i ldog par byed pa'i tshad ma gzhan cung zad yod pa ma yin no $\mid\mid$

^{Îxxx} Bodhicaryāvatāra 8.108

lxxxi TVAD varies significantly for this paragraph.

 $[\]overline{^{220}}$ śūnyatāvyatiriktam] $\overline{conj.}$ vyatiri((ktiḥ)) K (i in kti lacks a pṛṣṭhamātrā); vyatiriktah E_{DH}

²²¹ nivrttih] K^{ac}; nivrrttih K^{pc}

²²² nivṛttyā] E_{DH} (*em.*); nivartyā K

²²³ gagane] K E_{DH} TVB_G; no reflext in TVA_D

 $^{^{224}}$ avasturūpāc] K E_{DH} TVB $_{G}$ (dngos po med pa'i ngo bo); dngos po'i ngo bo TVA $_{D}$ (vasturūpāc)

²²⁵ ciranīrutasyāpi] *conj.*; cirutasyāpi K; virutasyāpi E_{DH}; yun rin por khyim bya shi ba TVA_D; yun ring por long pa'i khyim bya shi ba TVB_G (ciramrtasyāpi)

 $^{^{226}}$ sambhavantīti cet] conj.; sambhavanti K E_{DH}

²²⁷ tattvato] K (tatvato) E_{DH}; de las TV (tato)

nirodhya²²⁸ punar utpāde kiñcit prayojanam astīty alam atiprapañceneti.

8.7 saptamasyāsāratvam

ṣaṣṭhapakṣoktadoṣasandohasya saptame²²⁹ 'pi bhāvān na piṣṭapeṣaṇaṃ²³⁰ kriyate. nanu ṣaṣṭhena saptamasya samānatvāt kathaṃ saptamasya tato viśeṣaḥ? asti viśeṣaḥ. pūrvāvasthāyāṃ niyatacakrākāratā, punaḥ svecchayā nirvṛtiḥ svecchotpādanaṃ²³¹ ceti. saptame punar etan nāsti. tato na samānatā. bhinnaś ca nirdiṣṭa iti.²³²

9 caturthasya sekasya svarūpam

dambholibījasrutidhautaśuddha-²³³ pāthojabhūtāṅkurabhūtapuṣṭi²³⁴| turīyaśasyaṃ²³⁵ paripākam eti²³⁶ sphuṭaṃ caturthaṃ viduṣo 'pi gūḍham || 17 ||

[E_{DH} p. 147] dambholītyādi. etat sadgurūpadeśato jñeyam.

²²⁸ nirodhya] E_{DH}; niro((dhya)) K (some kind of correction is made, but uncertain from what to what); 'gogas pa las (possibly nirodhāt)

 $^{^{229}}$ ṣaṣṭhapakṣoktadoṣasandohasya saptame] conj . (TV: drug pa'i phyogs la bshad pa'i skyon gyi (gyi] TVAD; gyis TVBG) tshogs bdun pa la); ṣaṣṭhapakṣoktaṃ saṃdāhasyāṣṭame K; ṣaṣṭhapakṣoktasaṃdohasyāṣṭame EDH

 $^{^{230}}$ pistapesaṇaṃ] K^{ac} E_{DH} ; pistapre | ṣaṇaṃ K^{ac}

 $^{^{231}}$ nirvṛtiḥ svecchotpādanaṃ] conj . (TVB $_{\!G}$: yang rang gi 'dod pas 'gog cing rang gi 'dod pas skyed par byed pa); svecchetpādanaṃ K; svecchotpādanaṃ E_{DH} ; yang dang yang du rang gi 'dod pas skyed par byed pa nyid TVA $_{\!D}$

 $^{^{232}}$ K $E_{DH};$ tha mi dad pa ma yin par bstan to $TVA_{D};$ tha mi dad pa ma yin par bstan to TVB_{G}

²³³ °sruti°] *corr*.; śruti K E_{DH}

pāthoja°] E_{DH} (E_{DH} reports the ms. as reading pāthojña, but this seems to be incorrect); pāthauja° K

²³⁵ turīyaśasyam] E_{DH}; tutīyaśasyam K

²³⁶ eti] E_{DH} (em.); eta K

10 aparāņi mithyāsādhyāni mithyātattvāni ca

pañcapradīpāmṛtabinducandrabhrūmadhyabindūdbhavamaṇḍalāni | vāyoḥ svarūpaṃ galaśuṇḍikādyam atattvarūpaṃ svayam ūhanīyam || 18 ||

pañcapradīpetyādi. pañcapradīpaśabdena gokudahanalakṣaṇasya, amṛtaśabdena vimumāraśulakṣaṇasya satatānuṣṭhānam eva sādhyaṃ manyante. bindur iti hṛccandrasthaṃ binduṃ dedīpyamānaṃ tattvaṃ sādhyaṃ ceti kṛtvā kecid bhāvayanti. candra iti hṛdisthaṃ kalārūpam ardhacandram vā hrtkamalastham kecid bhāvayanti.

bhrūmadhyabindūdbhavamaṇḍalānīti bhruvor madhye ūrṇāyāṃ binduṃ vibhāvya tadbindūdbhavāni maṇḍalāni vāyuvāruṇamāhendrāgneyalakṣaṇāni. etad uktaṃ bhavati—mukhaśravaṇanāsikācakṣurghrāṇara hastāṅgulībhiḥ pidhāya bhrūmadhyabindur draṣṭavyaḥ. tasya sphuṭāvasthāyāṃ śubhāśubhani[K fol. 10r]mittasaṃsūcakāni māhendrādimandalāny upajāyante. taṃ ca binduṃ tattvam iti manyante.

vāyoḥ svarūpam iti pūrakakumbhakarecakapraśāntakalakṣaṇam²³⁸ ānāpānādilakṣaṇaṃ²³⁹ ceti. etad²⁴⁰ uktaṃ bhavati—śaivasāṃkhyādinirdiṣṭaṃ²⁴¹ vāyusvarūpaṃ jñātvā taṃ vāyuṃ nirodhabhāvanayā sthirīkṛtyākā-śenotplutya gamanaṃ parapurapraveśaṃ yāvan muktiṃ ca sākṣā-tkurvanti vāyuvādinah.

galaśuṇḍiketi. galapradeśe jihvāmūlopari hastiśuṇḍikākārā adhaḥpralambamānā upajihvāsaṃjñikā galaśuṇḍikāsti. sā ca śaktirūpā. tadadhaḥ śivarūpam²4² asti tattvam. sā ca [E_{DH} p. 148] jihvāgreṇa spṛśyamānā nirantarāmṛtaṃ sravati. tena ca ghargharāmṛtavarṣaṇena santarpyamānam ātmānaṃ dhyāyād iti galaśuṇḍikātattvam. ādiśabdena hrnmadhyasodaśanādikācakramadhyasthajñāna-

 $^{^{237}}$ mukhaśravaṇanāsikācakṣurghrāṇarasanāni] K $E_{DH};$ kha dang \mid rna ba dang \mid sna dang \mid mig TVA $_D$ TVB $_G$

²³⁸ °recaka°] E_{DH}; recakaṃ K

 $^{^{239}}$ ānāpānādilakṣaṇaṃ] $\overset{\cdot}{E}_{DH}$; anāpānā $^{\circ}$ K

 $^{^{240}}$ etad] E_{DH} (em.); tad K

²⁴¹ śaivasāṃkhyādi°] E_{DH} (*em.*) TVB_G (shi ba dang grangs can la sogs pas); saivasamkhyādi° K; grangs can la sogs pas TVA_D (smākhyādi°)

 $^{^{242}}$ tadadhah śivarūpam] K $\rm E_{DH}$ TVB $_{G}$ (de'i 'og na zhi ba'i ngo bo); sdig pa'i rang bzhin du yong pa TVA $_{D}$

svarūpa
ṃ 243 śivarūpaṃ tattvaṃ bhāvayitavyam ityādīnāṃ pari
grahah. $^{\rm lxxxii}$

tatsarvam tīrthikādibhis tattvarūpenābhimatam. atattvam iti svayam evohanīyam vicāranīyam iti yāvat.

11 upasamhārah

svapnendrajālapratibimbamāyāmarīcigandharvapurāmbu[K fol. 2r]candraiḥ | anyaiś ca śabdair²⁴⁴ upamābhidheyair naivāsti sādhyaṃ kathitād ihānyat || 19 ||

svapnendrajāletyādi. svapnendrajālopamam pratibimbamāyāmarīcigandharvanagarodakacandropamam iti śabdair anyaiś ca gagaṇapratiśrutkaphenopamam ityādiśabdair upamābhidheyair upamāvācakair naivāsti sādhyaṃ kathitāt sādhyād anyat. paraṃ kathita eva sādhye, ete śabdāḥ pravartanta iti svayaṃ boddhavyam.

gambhīraśūnyapratibhāsamātra-²⁴⁵ śāntāti²⁴⁶sūksmānabhilāpyaśabdaih |

lxxxii TV continues to describe this practice. TVAD reads: yang smras pa | bcu las drug lhag rtsa dang ldan pa'i 'khor lo yi \parallel dkyil na gnas pa'i snying gar rnam par gnas pa'i bdag | des ni de yi khyad par lta bu'i grub pa ster \parallel de ni mngon par mi g-yo ba yi yid dag gis \parallel rnal 'byor pa yi sems de de ltar mngon par bsam \parallel nub par gyur pa'i mgon po rgyal bar gyur de ni \parallel nus pa dag gis de ni yongs su bskor dang bcas \parallel TVBG reads: de yang smras pa | bcu las drug lhag rtsa dang ldan pa'i 'khor lo'i dkyil na gnas pa snying kar rnam par gnas pa'i bdag |des ni de'i khyad par lta bu yi grub pa ster | de ni mngon par mi g.yo ba'i yid dag gis \parallel rnal 'byor pa yis de ltar mngon par bsam par bya \parallel nus par gyur pa'i mgon po rgyal bar gyur \parallel de ni nus pa dag gis de ni yongs su bskyor dang bcas \parallel

 $^{^{243}}$ hṛnmadhyaṣoḍaśanāḍikācakramadhyasthajñānasvarūpaṃ] K $E_{\rm DH}$ TVB $_{\rm G}$ (snying ka'i dbus kyi 'khor lo rtsibs bcu drug pa'i dbus na gnas pa ye shes kyi rang bzhin); snying ga'i dbus kyi dkyil 'khor rtsibs bcu drug pa'i dbus na hūm gnas pa ye shes kyi rang bzhin (hṛnmadhyaṣoḍaśanāḍikāmaṇḍalamadhyahūṁsthajñānasvarūpam)

²⁴⁴ śabdair em. (cf. comm.); sarvair K E_{DH}

 $^{^{245}}$ °mātra°] $E_{DH};$ mātraṃ K

²⁴⁶ śāntāti] E_{DH}; sāntādi K

nirlepanīrūpa 247 nirañjanādyair bhrāntir na kāryāparasādhyasattve \parallel 20 \parallel

 $[E_{DH}\ p.\ 149]$ gambhīraśūnyaṃ pratibhāsamātraṃ śāntātisūkṣmam anabhilāpyaṃ nirlepaṃ nīrūpam²48 nirañjanādi.²49 ādiśabdāt śivaṃ nirākāraṃ niṣprapañcam anādyantanidhanam i[K fol. 10v]tyādiśabdair bhrāntir na kartavyā. aparasādhyasattve, aparasya sādhyasya sattve sattāyām.²50 ebhiḥ sarvair eva param api kiñcit sādhyaṃ kathitād astīti bhrāntir na kartavyā. atha nātikathitam eva sādhyam ebhiḥ sarvair abhidhīyata iti niścayaḥ.

12 pariņāmanā

akhilagagaṇagarbhavyāpisaptaprakāra-²⁵¹ grathitavacanarūpād yan mayāsādi puṇyam | anupamasukhavidyāsaktasaddehanirmij-jinajanitajanārthas tena loko 'yam astu || tattvaratnāvalokaḥ samāptaḥ. kṛtir iyaṃ paṇḍitavāgī-śvarakīrtipādānām.

śrīsamāje parā yasya bhaktir niṣṭhā ca nirmalā tasya vāgīśvarasyeyam kṛtir vimatināśinī²⁵² ||

vikacakumudatārākṣīrakundānukāri²⁵³ pracitam api ca puṇyaṃ yan mayā granthito 'smāt | anupamasukhapūrṇaḥ svābhavidyopagūḍho bhavatu nikhilalokas tena vāgīśvaraśrīḥ ||

 251 °saptaprakāra°] $E_{\rm DH};$ °sarvaprakāra° K

 $^{^{247}}$ nirlepanīrūpa°] E_{DH} (em.); nirlepanīpa K

²⁴⁸ nīrūpam] E_{DH} (*em.*); nirupamam K

 $^{^{249}}$ nirañjanādi] K; nirañjanaṃ $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$

 $^{^{250}}$ sattāyām] K; sattvāyā E_{DH}

²⁵² vimatināśinī] E_{DH}; vimatināsanī K

 $^{^{253}}$ vikacakumudatārākṣīrakundānukāri $\it em.;$ vikacakumudakṣīratārakundānukāri] $\rm E_{DH};$ vikarektāmudakṣīratārākundānukāri K

tattvaratnāvalokavivaraņam samāptam. kṛtir iyam paṇḍitācāryavāgīśvarakīrtipādānām.

References

Abhidharmakośa by Vasubandhu. P. Pradhan, ed. 1975. Abhidharmakośabhāṣyam of Vasubandhu. Tibetan Sanskrit Works Series VIII. Patna

Abhidharmakośavyākhyā by Yośamitra. Wogihara Unrai, ed. n.d. Sphuṭārthā Abhidharmakośavyākhyā by Yaśomitra. 2 vols. Tokyo: The Publishing Association of the Abhidharmakośavyākhyā

Amṛtakaṇikā by Raviśrījñāna. Banarsi LAL, ed. 1994. Āryamañju-śrīnāmasamgīti with Amṛtakaṇikā-ṭippaṇī by Bhikṣu Raviśrījñāna and Amṛtakaṇikodyota-nibandha of Vibhūticandra. Biblioteca Indo-Tibetica XXX. Sarnath: Central Institute of Higher Tibetan Studies

Nyāyabindu by Dharmakīrti. F. I. ŠČERBATSKOJ. 1918. Nyāyabindu: Buddijskij učebnik logiki sočinenie Dharmakīrti i tolkovanie na nego Nyāyabinduṭīkā sočinenie Dharmottara, sanskritskij tekst izdal s vvedeniem i priměčanijami. Bibliotheca Buddhica 7. St. Petersberg: Izdanie Rossijskoj Akademii Nauk

Pramāṇavārttikavṛtti by Manorathānandin. Sāṃkṛtyāyana ed.

Mantrārthāvalokinī by Vilāsavajra. A. TRIBE. 2016. Tantric Buddhist Practice in India: Vilāsavajra's Commentary on the Mañjuśrīnāmasamgīti. Routledge Studies in Tantric Traditions. Taylor & Francis

Mitākṣarā of Vijñāneśvara. Narayan Ram Acharya, ed. 1949. Yā-jñavalkyasmṛti of Yogīśvara Yājñavalkya with the Commentary Mitākṣara of Vijñāneśvara, Notes, Varient [sic!] Readings etc. Bombay: Nirnaya Sagar Press

Vṛttamālāvivṛti by Śākyarakṣita. Hahn ed.

Subhāṣitaratnakośa compiled by Vidyākara. D. D. Kosambī and V. V. Gokhale, eds. 1957. *The Subhāṣitaratnakoṣa Compiled by Vidyākara.* Cambridge Massachusetts: Harvard University Press

Hetubinduṭīkāloka by Durvekamiśra. Sukhlalji Sanghavi and Muni Shri Jinavijayaji, eds. 1949. Hetubinduṭīkā of Bhaṭṭa Arcaṭa with the Sub-Commentary Entitled Āloka of Durveka Miśra. Baroda: Oriental Institute

Secondary Sources

- Ingalls, Daniel H. H. 1965. *An Anthology of Sanskrit Court Poetry: Vidyākara's "Subhāṣitaratnakoṣa"*. Vol. 44. Harvard Oriental Series. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- LAL, Banarsi, ed. 1994. Āryamañjuśrīnāmasamgīti with Amṛtakaṇikāṭippaṇī by Bhikṣu Raviśrījñāna and Amṛtakaṇikodyota-nibandha
 of Vibhūticandra. Biblioteca Indo-Tibetica XXX. Sarnath: Central Institute of Higher Tibetan Studies.
- SACCONE, Margherita Serena, and Péter-Dániel SZÁNTÓ. 2023. *Tantra and Pramāṇa: A Study of the Sāramañjarī*. Series Minor XCVIII. Napels: UniorPress.
- SAKURAI, M. 1996. Indo mikkyō girei kenkyū: Kōki Indo mikkyō no kanjō shidai [XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, A study on Indian Buddhist tantric ritual: Late Indian Buddhist tantric initiation sequence]. Kyoto: Hōzōkan.