Tattvaratnāvaloka and Vivaraņa

Vāgīśvarakīrti

February 11, 2025

Sigla and Abbreviations

TaRaA Tattvaratnāvaloka

TaRaA-Vi Tattvaratnāvalokavivaraņa E_{DH} Dhīḥ vol. 21, pp. 129–149. K NAK 5–252 = NGMPP A 915/4

TM_D De kho na nyid rin po che snang ba. Tōhoku no. 1889. sDe dge bstan

'gyur, vol. Pi, fols. 203r3-204r5. Tr. by 'Gos Lhas btsas

TVA_D De kho na nyid rin po che snang ba'i rnam par bshad pa. Tōh. 1890. sDe

dge bsTan 'gyur, vol. 44 (rGyud 'grel, Pi), fols. 204r5–214v4. Tr. by 'Gos

Lhas btsas.

TVB_G De kho na nyid rin po che snang ba'i rnam par bshad pa. Ōtani no. 4793.

bsTan 'gyur gSer bris ma, vol. 84 (83 in BDRC outline(?)), (rGyud 'brel,

Zhu), fols. 70v-85v. translator given.

TIB Both Tibetan translations (differences, if any, indicated in a mini-

aparatus)

ac ante correctionem

deest omitted in

diag. conj. diagnostic conjecture [e.g. 'reconstructed' from Tibetan]

conj. conjecture

em. emendation [an emendation is made with a high degree of confidence,

whereas a conjecture proposes a correction while acknowledging a gre-

ater possibility for alternatives]

fol./fols. folio/folios

pc post correctionem

 $egin{array}{ll} r & {
m recto} \\ v & {
m verso} \\ \Sigma_{
m X} & {
m Readi} \end{array}$

 Σ_{X} Reading shared in all witnesses but X

((kimcit)) Reading uncertain—either illegible or otherwise in doubt

<kimcit> Reading cancelled

†kiṃcit[†] Reading does not make sense to the editor and an adequate conjecture

was not able to be chosen.

[kiṃcit] Indication of a diagnostic conjecture
 ... Damaged akṣara (one . per half akṣara)
 ... Lacunae of an unknown quanity of akṣaras

° Mark of abbreviation

Text

1 Mangalācaraņa

[K fol. 1r] [siddhaṃ]¹ namaḥ śrīsadgurupādebhyaḥ |ʿanupamasukharūpī śrīnivāso 'nivāso nirupamadaśadevīrūpavidyaḥ² savidyaḥ | tribhuvanahitasaukhyaprāptikāro 'vikāro jayati kamalapāṇir yāvad āśāvikāśāḥ³ \parallel 1 \parallel ⁴

[K fol. 2r3] namaḥ samantakāyavākcittavajrāya. ii

anupametyādi. kamalam padmam pāṇau yasya sa kamalapāṇir avalokiteśvaro bhagavāñ⁵ jayatīti sambandhaḥ. kiṃviśiṣṭaḥ? anupamam ity^{6,iii} atipraṇītatvama-

ⁱ Scribal homage

ii Scribal homage

iii Here one may wish to conjecture a reading such as, anumapetyādi. anupamam ity ...This rea-

¹ [siddham]] K; om E_{DH}

² nirupama°] E_{DH} ; nirūpama° K

³ āśāvikāśāh] corr.; āśāvikāsāh K E_{DH}

⁴ This verse is in Mālinī metre.

 $^{^5}$ avalokiteśvaro bhagavāñ jayatīti] K E_{DH} (bhagavān); bcom ldan 'das spyan ras gzigs dbang phyug ste (ste] TVB_G ; la TVA_D) | de rgyal gyur cig ces TIB (avalokiteśvaro bhagavān | saḥ jayatīti)

⁶ kiṃviśiṣṭaḥ? anupamam ity] K E_{DH}; khyad par ji lta bu zhig dang ldan zhe na | dpe med ces

hattvāsaṃsārasthāyitvalakṣaṇair⁷ dharmair yuktasyānyasyābhāvād upamārahitaṃ sukham eva rūpaṃ svabhāvo yasya sa tathoktaḥ. punar api kiṃviśiṣṭaḥ? śrīḥ puṇyajñānasambhāralakṣaṇā, tasyā nivāsa āśrayo yaḥ sa tathā. dharmakāyarūpatvena^{8,iv} sarvagatatvāt [E_{DH} p. 132] pratiniyatanivāsābhāvād anivāsah.

punaḥ kīdṛśaḥ? nirupamāḥ paramarūpayauvanaśṛṅgārādirasamahākaruṇādi-yuktatvenopamātikrāntā rūpavajrāditārāparyantadaśadevīrūpā vidyāḥ paricāra-katvena⁹ yasya sa tathā. saha svābhārūpayā vidyayā¹⁰ vartata iti savidyaḥ. tri-bhuvanasya tribhuvanavartino janasya yaddhitam āyatipathyaṃ^{11,v} buddhatvā-

ding is partially suggested by TIB: $khyad\ par\ ji\ lta\ bu\ zhig\ dang\ ldan\ zhe\ na\ |\ dpe\ med\ ces\ bya\ ba\ la\ sogs\ pa\ smos\ te\ |\ dpe\ med\ pa\ ni\ (ni]\ TVA_D;\ dang\ TVB_G).$ The corruption, if there is one, can be seen as a kind of haplography. The text nonetheless reads acceptably well with the transmitted reading (although perhaps less smootly); thus we feel that while a conjecture is possible it is not strongly compelling.

iv The manuscript's *dharmakāyarūpitvena* is theoretically acceptable and nearly synonymous; however, *dharmakāyarūpatvena* is more expected, with forms in "rūpatvena being vastly more frequent in Classical Sanskrit. TIB's reading *chos kyi sku'i ngo bo nyid kyis* does not clearly confirm either variant, as rūpin in the root verse is also translated as *ngo bo*. We provisionally adopt *dharmakāyarūpatvena*, but cannot fully discount the transmitted reading.

^v We need not necessarily read a compound for *āyatipathyaṃ*, treating instead *āyati* as a locative of *āyat*. The expression appears as a gloss for *hita* in several Buddhists texts, such as in Vilāsavajra's *Mantrārthāvalokinī*: *mahyaṃ hitaṃ maddhitaṃ hitam āyatipathyam āgāmipariṇā-matvāt* (p. 233). Similarly, Durvekamiśra writes in his *Hetubinduṭīkāloka*: *parasmai hitamāyati pathyaṃ* (p. 212). In both cases, the construction is ambiguous, but in the latter case, the editors of Durvekamiśra's text have not taken it as a compound.

The roughly parallel expression āyatisukha is evidnetly treated as a compound by Yaśomitra in his Abhidharmakośavyākhyā: aihikasukhārtham apuņyam iti. ihasukhāpekṣayā tat kṛtaṃ nāyatisukhāpekṣayety arthaḥ (vol. 1 p. 299). Note also the contrast made with aihikasukha. Likewise, we can find a compounded form of āyatiduḥkha in a verse attributed to Naradatta in the Subhāṣitaratnakośa: muṇḍāpriyād āyatiduḥkhadāyino vasantam utsārya vijṛmbhitaśriyaḥ | na kaḥ khalāt tāpitamitramaṇḍalād upaiti pāpaṃ tapavāsarād iva || 'Who does not become miserable because of a rogue who, like a hot day, is hated by widows (? muṇḍā) (the hot day being hated by bald men), who leads to future pain, whose wealth expands after he expels those living with him (like the hot day manifests its richness having dismissed the spring), and who annoys his circle of

bya ba la sogs pa smos te | dpe med pa ni TVA $_D$; khyad par ji lta bu zhig dang ldan zhe na | dpe med ces bya ba la sogs pa smos te | dpe med pa dang TVB $_G$ (kiṃviśiṣṭa ity āha anumapam ityādi. anupamam)

⁷ °samsārasthāyitva°] K; °samsārasthāyisva° E_{DH}

⁸ dharmakāyarūpatvena] *em.*; dharmakāyarūpitvena K E_{DH}

⁹ paricārakatvena] *em.*; sapari((c))ārakatvena K; saparivārakatvena E_{DH}

¹⁰ vidyayā] K E_{DH}; rig pa ste | shes rab TIB (vidyayā prajñayā)

¹¹ āyatipathyam] variant word division in E_{DH}: āyati pathyam; and in K: āyati | pathyam

dikam, saukhyam tad āpātapathyam 12 cakravartitvādikam, vi tasya yā prāpti h^{13} [K fol. 2v] sākṣāt kriyā, tasyāh karaṇam kāro yasya sa tathā. vii aparinirvāṇadharma-

friends (like on a hot day the orb of the sun is heated) (cf. INGALLS 1965: 553).

Assuming the conjecture in the following clause, $\bar{a}p\bar{a}tapathya$, here it seems most fitting to take $\bar{a}yatipathya$ as a compound.

The text is not very secure here and as constituted remains awkward, albeit not implausible. The Tibetan translations read as follows: gang la phan pa ni ma 'ongs pa'i phan pa ste | sangs rgyas nyid la sogs pa'o || de la bde ba ni 'phral gyi phan pa ste | (TVAD); gang la phan pa ni ma 'ongs pa'i phan pa ste | sangs rgyas nyid la sogs pa dang | de la bde ba ni bde ba ste | 'khor lo bsgyur ba nyid la sogs pa'o || (TVBG). TVAD suggests conjecturing $\bar{a}p\bar{a}tapathyam$ in place of the manuscript's $d\bar{a}payati$ pathyam, whereas TVBG does not read smoothly and itself may have suffered corruption. At presnt we can find no other attestation of the compound $\bar{a}p\bar{a}tapathya$, but it is well formed and makes good sense. The similar compounds $\bar{a}p\bar{a}tasukha$ and $\bar{a}p\bar{a}taduhkha$ are better attested, carrying the maning of 'temporary pleasure' or 'temporary pain'. Here the contrast between ultimate welface ($\bar{a}yatipathya$) and temporary welfare ($\bar{a}p\bar{a}tapathya$) is fitting, and it is understandable that the scribe may have accidently combined $\bar{a}p\bar{a}ta$ and $\bar{a}yati$ when writing the second word, then leading to further corruption following tad.

More troublesome, however, is the relationship between *hita* and *saukhya*. Both Tibetan translations support relative and co-relative pronouns connecting the two, but they do not clearly indicate how these pronouns should be understood. Given the larger compound, one might expect a *dvandva* relationship between *hita* and *saukha*: Avalokiteśvara brings about the attainment of the (ultimate) welfare and (temporary) happiness for all beings. But the singular *tasya* following the analsysis of *hita* and *saukhya* doesn't point in this direction, nor does what can be gathered from the Tibetan translation. At present the text is constitued with somewhat minimal intervention. If we assume the present constitution, one option is to take *yad* outside of compound and thus *saukha* and *hita* as appositional: i.e., the temporary happiness *which is* ultimate welfare. But this seems to defeat the point of the contrast the author is trying to achieve. The alternative interpretation, which is what we provisionally adopt, is to take *tribhuvanahita* is a *bahuvrīhi* qualifying *saukhya*: the temporary happiness of which there is [i.e., of which there eventually derrives] the ultimate welfare of sentient beings. This seems to be a rather forced understanding, but perhaps, given the many idiosyncrasies in Vāgīśvarakīrti's way of expressing himself, is not beyond plausibility.

vii It is notable that Vāgīśvakīrti evidently understands °prāptikāra as a bahuvrīhi, whereas other commentators may prefer to treat it akin to kumbhakāra and therefore as an upapadasamāsa as per Aṣṭādhyāyī 3.1.18. Given the latter understanding, the expected gloss for prāptikāṛa would be prāptiṃ karoti. Compounds ending in kāra are occasionally analysed as ṣaṣṭhītatpuruṣas: see, for examples, Vijñāneśvara's Mitākṣarā ad Yājñavalkya-dharmaśāstra 2.61 on satyaṃkārakṛta, here referring roughly to a thing 'acquired with a pledge', i.e., acquired as earnest money: karaṇaṃ kāraḥ, bhāve ghañ. satyaṣya kāraḥ satyaṃkāraḥ—kāre satyāgadasya (Aṣṭādhyāyī 6.3.70) iti mum. satyaṃkāreṇa kṛtaṃ satyaṃkārakṛtam) (p. 275). We are unable to provide another example of a

 $^{^{12}}$ tad āpātapathyaṃ] $\it conj.$ (TVA $_{\rm D}$: 'phral gyi phan pa); tad dāpayati pathyaṃ K $\rm E_{DH}$; de la bde ba ni bde ba ste TVB $_{\rm G}$

¹³ prāptih] K E_{DH}; thob pa ni rnyed pa ste TVA_D TVB_G (prāptir lābhaḥ)

katvenāpratiṣṭhitanirvāṇarūpatvenā¹⁴nyathātvalakṣaṇasya vikārasyābhāvād avikāraḥ. evaṃviśiṣṭo bhagavāñ jayati.

kiyantam kālam ity āha—yāvad āśāvikāśāh.¹⁵ āśā daśa diśo gaganasvarūpāḥ. yadvā āśāḥ sarvasattvānām bhavabhogatṛṣṇāh.¹⁶ tāsām vikāśā¹⁷ avakāśāḥ pravartanāni, prādurbhāvā iti yāvat. te yāvat¹⁸ tāvad bhagavāñ jayati, sarvahariharahiranyagarbhādibhyah prakrsto bhavatīty arthah.

atrānupamasukharūpīty anena svārthasaṃpattiḥ kathitā. śrīnivāsa ity anena tadupāyaḥ, puṇyajñānasambhārayoḥ śrīśabenābhihitatvāt. tribhuvanahitasaukhya-prāptikāra ity anena parārthasaṃpattir uktā. nirupamadaśadevīrūpavidyaḥ savidya ity anena tadupāyaḥ, ¹⁹tathābhūtadaśadevīdvātriṃśallakṣaṇāśītyanuvyañjanakāyākāraśūnyena²⁰ sarvākāraparārthasampatteh kartum aśakyatvād iti.

2 prayojanādyabhidhānam

śrīmantranītigatacārucaturthasekarūpaṃ vidanti na hi ye sphuṭaśabdaśūnyam | nānopadeśagaṇasaṃkulasaptabhedais teṣāṃ sphuṭāvagataye kriyate prayatnaḥ || 2 ||

śrīmantranītiśabdena sāmānyayogatantravācakenāpi śrīsamājaḥ parigṛhyate, caturthārthakasyānyatrāsambhavāt. śeṣaṃ subodham. nānācāryopadeśagaṇasaṃkulai $[E_{DH}\ p.\ 133]$ r vyākulaiḥ saptabhir bhedaiḥ prakārair atītānāgatavartamānācārya²¹gatopadeśarāśisaṃgrāhakaiḥ. sphuṭāvagataye sukhena sphuṭapratītyartham iti.

compound ending in $k\bar{a}ra$ analysed as a $bahuvr\bar{i}hi$, but we should also note that the compound $pr\bar{a}ptik\bar{a}ra$ is itself rare.

TIB does not clearly reflect a bahuvrīhi analysis, nor does it very clearly point to another reading: de dag sgrub par mdzad po gang yin pa de la de skad ces bya'o (TVA_D); de dag gi rgyu mdzad pa gang yin pa de la de skad ces bya'o (TVB_G).

 $^{^{14}}$ °rūpatvenā°] K $E_{DH};$ ngo bo rnyed pas TVA $_{D};$ ngo bo brnyed pas TVB $_{G}$ (°rūpaprāptyā°)

¹⁵ āśāvikāsāh] corr.; āśāvikāśāh E_{DH} K

^{16 °}trsnāh] E_{DH} (°trsnās); trsnā K

¹⁷ vikāśā] corr.; vikāsā K E_{DH}

¹⁸ te yāvat] em.; tā yāvat K E_{DH}; deest in TIB

 $^{^{19}}$ tathābhūta°] K E_{DH} ; no reflect in TIB

²⁰ °kāyā°] K E_{DH}; dam pa'i sku TIB (satkāya)

²¹ °vartamānā°] E_{DH}; °pravartamānā° K

3 tīrthikānām tattvasādhyayor prastāvaḥ

sambhrāntabodhā nikhilā hi tīrthyās tattvasya sādhyasya ca rūpavittau | tebhyaḥ prakṛṣṭaḥ kila tattvavettā vedāntavādīti janapravādah || 3 ||

sambhrāntetyādi. sambhrānto vibhrānto bodhaḥ prajñāviśeṣo yeṣāṃ tīrthikānāṃ te tatho[K fol. 3r]ktāḥ.²² sarva eva tīrthyā ātmātmīyagrahatimiropahatabuddhinayanāḥ. tattvam idam iti sādhyam idam²³ iti ca tattvasya sādhyasya yat²⁴ svarūpaṃ tasya yā vittiḥ pratītiḥ. tasyāṃ bhrāntāḥ. śeṣaṃ subodham.

nanu tattvasādhyayor upādeyatvenaikarūpatvāt tattvasya sādhyasya ceti kathaṃ²⁵ bhedena nirdeśa iti cet. asad etat. tattvaṃ hy upādeyatve 'pi²⁶ sukhaduḥkhopekṣādisakalapratibhāsasaṃdohavyāpakam. sādhyaṃ cānabhimataparihāreṇecchālakṣaṇaṃ phalam upādeyatve 'pi sakalaprāṇibhir avaśyam evāsādhyavyāvṛttyā sādhayitavyatvenābhimatam ity adoṣaḥ.

4 vedāntavādinām śrāvakapratyekabuddhānām ca sādhyāni

tatra tāvad 27 vedāntavādyabhimatam sādhyam āha—ānandarūpam ityādi.

ānandarūpaṃ svavid aprakampyaṃ vedāntinaḥ sādhyam uṣanti śāntam²⁸ | saśrāvakāḥ²⁹ khaḍgajināś ca sādhyam icchanti rūpādyupadher virāmam || 4 ||

ānandarūpam iti sadāsukhamayatvāt. svavid iti jyotīrūpatvena 30 svayaṃ prakā-śamānatvāt. 31 aprakampyam iti nityatayā 32 kampayitum aśakyatvāt. śāntam 33 iti

```
^{22}te tathoktāḥ]; \mathbf{K}^{pc}; te thoktāḥ \mathbf{K}^{ac}; tathoktāḥ \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{DH}}
```

 $^{^{23}}$ sādhyam idam] $\it em.$; sādhyam cedam K $\rm E_{DH}$

²⁴ yat] E_{DH} (*em.*); tat K

²⁵ tattvasya sādhyasya ceti katham] E_{DH} (*em.*); tat katham tatvasya sādhyasya ceti K

²⁶ upādeyatve 'pi] *conj.* (TIB: blang bar bya ba nyid yin); upādeyatvenāpi K E_{DH}

²⁷ tāvad] K E_{DH} TVA_D (re zhig); no reflex in TVB_G

²⁸ śāntam] corr.; sāntam K E_{DH}; no reflex in TIB

 $^{^{29}}$ saśrāvakā
ḥ] em.; saśrāvakā K E_{DH}

 $^{^{30}}$ jyotīrūpatvena] K; jyotirūpatvena E_{DH}

³¹ prakāśamānatvāt] E_{DH} (em.); prakāśamānāt K

³² nityatayā] E_{DH}; anityatayā K TIB (mi rtag pa nyid kyis)

³³ śāntam] corr.; sāntam K E_{DH}

kleśopakleśaśūnyatvena parikalpitatvāt. evaṃvidhaṃ sādhyam uṣanti kāmayante.

saha śrāvakair vartante ye khaḍgajināḥ khaḍgaviṣāṇakalpā ekacāriṇo vargacāriṇaś³⁴ ca pratyekabuddhās te sādhyam icchanti. kīdṛśam? rūpādyupadher virāmaṃ rūpavedanāsaṃjñāsaṃskāravijñānalakṣaṇānām upadhīnāṃ skandhānāṃ virāmaṃ vicchedam, nirodham iti yāvat. [E_{DH} p. 134] etad uktaṃ bhavati—sarvaśrāvakapratyekabuddhāḥ sopadhiśeṣanirupadhiśeṣabhedena bhinne 'pi nirvāṇe³⁵ nirupadhiśeṣam eva nirvāṇaṃ sā[K fol. 3v]kṣātkartavyatvena sādhyaṃ pratipannāh.

5 pāramitānayavādinām caturvidham sādhyam

idānīṃ pāramitānayavādinām abhimataṃ 36 caturvidhaṃ sādhyam āha—ākāraśūnyam ityādi.

ākāraśūnyaṃ gaganendurūpaṃ pratyātmavedyaṃ karuṇārasaṃ ca | sallakṣaṇair bhūṣitam³ arthakāri dānādiniṣyandam apetasaukhyam || 5 || sānandasallakṣaṇamaṇḍitāṅgaṃ sambhujyamānaṃ daśabhūmisaṃsthaiḥ | sattvārthakāri pravadanti sādhyaṃ dānādiṣaṭpāramitānayasthāḥ || 6 ||

5.1 pāramitānaye pratham sādhyam

ākārair nīlapītasukhaduḥkhādibhiś citrarūpaiḥ śūnyaṃ nirākāram. ata eva gaganasyeva nirākāratvenendor iva prabhāsvaratvena rūpaṃ svabhāvo yasya tat tathā. pratyātmavedyam iti svasaṃvedanaikavedyam.³⁸ karuṇā duḥkhād³⁹ duḥkhahetor vā sakalajagadabhyuddharaṇakāmatā.^{40,viii} saiva rasaḥ svabhāvo yasya

viii This definition can be found in various older sources, such as the *Pramāṇavārttikavṛtti*. Possibly in the Sāramañjarī?

³⁴ vargacārinaś] K (cf. Abhidharmakośabhāsya); vanacārinaś E_{DH}

³⁵ nirvāņe] E_{DH}; nirvāņa° K

 $^{^{36}}$ abhimataṃ] $\rm E_{DH};$ abhimata K

 $^{^{37}}$ bhūṣitam] E_{DH} ; bhuṣitam K

³⁸ svasamvedanaikavedyam] E_{DH} (em.) (°vedyam); svasamvedyanaikavedyam K

³⁹ karunā duhkhād] K; karunāduhkhā° E_{DH}

⁴⁰ abhyuddharanakāmatā] em.; °atyuddharanakāmatā K E_{DH}

tat tathoktam. etad uktam bhavati—nīlapītādicitrākāraśūnyam nirābhāsam 41 nirañjanam ix gaganopamam svaccham sakalajagadarthakāri x mahākarunāyuktam pratyātmavedyam pāramitopadeśaśabdābhidheyam sādhyam iti pāramitānaye prathamam sādhyam.

5.2 pāramitānaye dvitīyam sādhyam

śobhanāni ca tāni lakṣaṇāni ca dvātriṃśallakṣaṇasaṃjñakānīti. ⁴² tair bhūṣitam. arthaṃ janānāṃ prayojanaṃ kartuṃ śīlaṃ svabhāvo yasya tad arthakāri. ⁴³ dānādīnāṃ daśapāramitānāṃ niṣyandaṃ tatprakarṣaprabhavatvena sadṛśaṃ phalam. duḥkhasya pūrvam eva prahīṇatvāt sākṣātkaraṇāvasthāyāṃ ^{44,xi} saukhyasyāpy abhāvād ⁴⁵ upekṣārūpatvenāpetasaukhyam apagatasaukhyam. etad uktaṃ bhavati —dvātriṃśallakṣaṇadharāśītyanuvyañjanavirājitaśarīraṃ sakalajagadarthakāri dānādipāramitābhyāsa †balenātmānaṃ ⁴⁶† samyaksaṃbuddharūpaṃ sukhaduḥkharahitatvenopekṣārūpaṃ dvitīyaṃ sādhyam.

5.3 pāramitānaye trtīyam sādhyam

 $[E_{DH}\ p.\ 135]$ sānandetyādi. sahānandena vartata iti sā[K fol. 4r]nandam. sānandam ca tat sallakṣaṇamaṇḍitāṅgaṃ ca⁴⁷ sambhujyamānaṃ dharmadeśanādvāreṇopajīvyamānam. kaiḥ? daśabhūmīśvaraiḥ, pariśiṣṭabhūmisthitānām go-

In support of the former, see Saṃkṣipābhiṣekavidhi: tadanantaram ekatathatāmatena tayaiva bhinnamate tv ānayā svasaṃviditajñānasākṣātkaraṇāvasthāyāṃ pūrvoktagāthayā adhyeṣitavate śiṣyāya tatpāṇau tasyāḥ pāṇiṃ pratisthāpya |

^x sakalajagadarthakāri can also be read in compound with mahākaruṇā°. This is reflected in both Tibetan translations: *'gro ba ma lus pa'i don byed pa'i snying rje chen po*

 x^{i} Isaacson (personal communication) proposes $s\bar{a}k\bar{s}atkaran\bar{a}vasth\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ or $s\bar{a}k\bar{s}atkrty\bar{a}vasth\bar{a}-y\bar{a}m$ as potentially supperior readings.

 $^{^{41}}$ nirābhāsaṃ] em.; nirābhāsa K E_{DH}

 $^{^{42}}$ °samjñakānīti] $\it{conj.}$ (Isaacson); °samjñakāni ceti K $\rm E_{DH}$; mdzes pa'i mtshan sum cu rtsa gnyis zhes bya ste TIB

⁴³ tad arthakāri] K E_{DH}; de ni de'i don mdzad pa'o TIB (tad tadarthakāri)

 $^{^{44}}$ sākṣātkaraṇāvasthāyāṃ] conj. (Isaacson); sākṣātkṛtāvasthāyāṃ $E_{\rm DH};$ sākṣātkṛtāvatāsthāyāṃ κ

 $^{^{45}}$ abhāvāt] em. (Isaacson); abhāvatvāt K E_{DH}

⁴⁶ °balenātmānam] K E_{DH}; stobs kyis bdag nyid TVA_D; stobs kyis byung ba TVB_G

⁴⁷ sallaksanamanditāṅgam ca] *em.* (Isaacson); sallaksanamanditāṅgam K E_{DH}

⁴⁸ °opajīvyamānam] K E_{DH}; nye bar longs spyod par gyur pa'o TIB (°opabhujyamānam)

⁴⁹ parisistabhūmi°] *corr.*; parisista bhumi° E_{DH}

caratvāt. daśabhūmiprāptair avalokiteśvaramañjuśrīprabhṛtibhir upabhujyamānam iti yāvat. etad uktaṃ bhavati—śuddhāvāsopari ghanavyūhasaṃjñake⁵⁰ samyaksaṃbuddhabhuvane yathā bhagavān ānandarūpaḥ sambhogakāyātmā nirmāṇadvāreṇa⁵¹ sakalajagadarthasampādakaḥ śrāvakapratyekabuddhanavabhūmīśvarair apy adṛśyaśarīro daśabhūmīśvarair eva paraṃ bodhisattvair⁵² dharmaśravaṇadvāreṇopabhujyamāna⁵³ āsaṃsāraṃ cakāsti, tathaiva tat sādhyam iti tṛtīyam.

5.4 pāramitānaye caturtham sādhyam

saṃpūrya dānādiguṇān aśeṣān saṃbuddhakṛtyaṃ⁵⁴ sakalaṃ ca kṛtvā | yad bhūtakoṭeḥ karaṇaṃ ca sākṣāt sādhyaṃ tad apy asti nirodharūpam || 7 ||

saṃpūryetyādi. dānādipāramitā eva guṇā, guṇyante 'bhyasyanta iti kṛtvā. tān saṃpūrya paripūrṇān⁵⁵ kṛtvā, yat saṃbuddhānāṃ kṛtyaṃ sakalam^{56,xii} avaśya-kartavyaṃ kṛtsnaṃ tad api kṛtvā, bhūtakoṭeḥ śūnyatālakṣaṇāyāś cittacaittaniro-dhātmikāyā⁵⁷ yat sākṣāt karaṇaṃ tad api sādhyam astīti pāramitānayasthā evaṃ bruvate caturthaṃ sādhyam iti.

xii The manuscript reading of simply <code>sakalam</code> instead of <code>kṛtyam</code> <code>sakalam</code> is asymmetrical given the following gloss, <code>avaśyakartavyam</code> <code>kṛtsnam</code>. Here Tib. reads simply <code>nges</code> <code>par</code> <code>mdzad</code> <code>par</code> <code>bya</code> <code>ba</code> <code>ma</code> <code>lus</code> <code>pa</code>, reflecting only the gloss and neither <code>sakalam</code> of the Sanskrit nor the conjecture <code>kṛtyam</code> <code>sakalam</code>. It is also possible that <code>sakalam</code> is a mistaken scribal addition, but it's also possible that even if the Tibetan translators <code>saw</code> <code>kṛtyam</code> <code>sakalam</code>, they chose not to render this because of the superfluous sounding result in Tibetan.

⁵⁰ °samjñake] *em.*; °samjñako K; °samjñakah E_{DH} (*em.*)

⁵¹ nirmānadvārena] K E_{DH}; sprul pa'i sku'i sgo nas TIB (nirmānakāyadvārena)

 $^{^{52}}$ param bodhisatvair] K $E_{\rm DH}$ (°sattvair); mchog tu gyur pa'i byang chub sems dpa' TIB (paramabodhisatvair)

 $^{^{53}}$ bhujyamānal em.; bhujyamānam K E_{DH}

 $^{^{54}}$ saṃbuddhakṛtyaṃ] $\it em.$ (cf. TaRaA-V: saṃbuddhānāṃ ... avaśyakartavyaṃ kṛtsnaṃ); saṃbuddhya krtyam K $\rm E_{DH}$

⁵⁵ paripūrņān] *em.*; paripūrņam K E_{DH}

 $^{^{56}}$ krtyam sakalam] conj.; sakalam K E_{DH}

⁵⁷ cittacaitta°] E_{DH} (*em.*); cittacaitya° K

6 mantranaye saptavidham sādhyam

6.1 mantranaye prathamam sādhyam

idānīṃ mantranayopadiṣṭaṃ saptavidhaṃ
 58 sādhyaṃ kathayitum āha—svābhā-ṅganetyādi.

```
svābhāṅganāśleṣi<sup>59</sup> janārthakāri<sup>60</sup> duḥkhaiḥ sukhaiś caiva vimuktirūpam | aśītyanuvyañjanabhūṣitāṅgam apetakalpam pravadanti sādhyam || 8 ||
```

svābhāṅganām⁶¹ āśleṣituṃ śīlaṃ svabhāvo yasya tat svābhāṅganāśleṣi. ⁶² [E_{DH} p. 136] apetakalpaṃ vyapagatakalpam, kalpanārahitam iti yāvat. anyat subodham. ayam arthaḥ—samāliṅgitasvābhāṅganāśleṣi jagadarthakāri dvātriṃśallakṣaṇavibhūṣitaśarīram dupekṣārūpaṃ prathamaṃ sādhyam.

6.2 mantranaye dvitīyam sādhyam

```
svadevatākāraviśeṣaśūnyaṃ
prāg eva sambhāvya sukhaṃ sphuṭaṃ sat |
mahāsukhākhyaṃ jagadarthakāri
cintāmaṇiprakhyam uvāca kaścit || 9 ||
```

xiii The compound "svābhāṅganāśleṣajagadarthakāri is strinckly speaking not impossible, and could be read as a kind of instrumental *tatpuruṣa*, for example; however, given that this is a prose explanation of the verse, there is no need for the author to use such a compound and it seems mostly likely that the scribe left off the *ikāra*.

⁵⁸ saptavidham] E_{DH} (Tib: rnam pa bdun); caturtham K

 $^{^{59}}$ svābhānganāśleşi $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$ (corr.); svābhāngaṇāśleşi K

 $^{^{60}}$ janārthakāri] conj. (Tib: 'gro ba yi don mdzad; TaRaA-V: jagadarthakāri); ta..rthakāri K (ak, ak, ak,

⁶¹ svābhānganām] E_{DH} (corr.); svābhānganām K

⁶² svābhānganāślesi] corr.; svābhānganāślesi K EDH

 $^{^{63}}$ °svābhānganāśleṣi jagadarthakāri] conj. (TVB $_{\rm G}$: nyid dang mtshungs pa'i lha mos 'khyud pa can 'gro ba'i don mdzad pa); °svābhānganāśleṣajagadarthakāri K $E_{\rm DH}$; nyid dang mtshungs pa'i lha mos 'khyud pa can | 'gro ba ma lus pa'i don mdzad pa TVA $_{\rm D}$ (°svābhānganāśleṣy aśeṣajagadarthakāri)

⁶⁴ śarīram] E_{DH}; śarīra K

 $^{^{65}}$ upekṣārūpaṃ] K E_{DH} ; b
tang snyoms kyi ngo bo du 'khor ba ji srid du bzhugs pa (ji srid bzhugs pa
] TVA $_{\rm D}$; ju bzhugs pa TVB $_{\rm G}$) m
ngon du bya ba yin no zhe bya ba TIB (upekṣārūpaṃ āsaṃsārasthāyi sāksātkriyata iti)

svadevatetyādi. svadevatākāraviśeṣeṇa⁶⁶ sveṣṭadevatākāreṇa śūnyam, nirākāram iti yāvat. prāg eva prathamataram⁶⁷ upadeśānantaram eva⁶⁸ devatākāranirape-kṣaṃ sukhaṃ sambhāvya, bhāvanayā sākṣāt kṛtvā, sphuṭaṃ^{69,xiv} sphu[K fol. 4v] ṭīkṛtaṃ san mahāsukhasaṃjñakaṃ bhavati. tac ca jagadarthakāri cintāmaṇisa-mānarūpam. etad uktaṃ bhavati—upadeśānantaram eva mantramudrādevatākā-rarahitaṃ⁷⁰ bhāvanayā sphuṭīkṛtaṃ mahāsukhasaṃjñakaṃ cintāmaṇivaj jagadarthakāri māyopamam āsaṃsārasthāyi dvitīyaṃ sādhyam.

6.3 mantranaye tṛtīyam sādhyam

kṛtvā sākṣāt svādhipaṃ [K fol. 1v] sātarūpaṃ paścāt tyaktvā sātamātraṃ phalaṃ syāt | śuddhaṃ sākṣāc chakyate naiva kartuṃ tenākāro bhāvitaḥ svādhipasya || 10 ||

kṛtvetyādi. svādhipaṃ sveṣṭadaivataṃ sākṣāt kṛtvāmukhīkṛtya sātarūpaṃ sukhai-kasvabhāvam, paścād devatākāraṃ parityajya, sukhamātraṃ⁷¹ phalaṃ sādhyaṃ vyavasthitam syāt.

nanu yadi 72 sākṣāt kṛtvāpi devatākāras tyaktavyaḥ, tarhi prathamam eva kasmād [E_{DH} p. 137] vibhāvitaḥ? sukhamātram eva dvitīyasādhyavat kiṃ na vibhāvitam? 73 kiṃ vṛthāprayāsenety 74 āha—śuddham ityādi. śuddhaṃ kevalaṃ devatākāravirahitaṃ sukhamātraṃ naiva sākṣāt kartuṃ śakyate, ākārarahitasya sukhasyānupalambhāt. tasmāt tena kāraṇenākāro bhāvitaḥ svādhipasyeti tṛtīyam. 75 a-

xiv The understanding reflected in TIB, namely *asphuṭaṃ* instead of *sphuṭaṃ*, is an alternative word division and also yields sense. It seems more likely, however, that the author is glossing *sphuṭaṃ*.

 $^{^{66}}$ svadevatā°] $\Sigma_{\rm TVA_D};$ lha TVA $_{\rm D}$ (devatā°)

⁶⁷ prathamataram] K; prathamataro° E_{DH}

⁶⁸ upadeśānantaram eva] E_{DH} (*em.*); upadeśāntaram eva K; bshad ma thag pa'i TIB (anantarokta')

⁶⁹ sphutam] K; deest in E_{DH}; ma gsal ba TIB

 $^{^{70}}$ °rahitaṃ] $\Sigma_{\text{TVA}_{\text{D}}}$; spangs te | bde ba 'ba' zhig tsam TVA_D (°rahitaṃ sukhamātraṃ)

⁷¹ sukhamātraṃ] *em.*; sukhamātra° K E_{DH}

 $^{^{72}}$ nanu yadi] conj.; nanu K $\rm E_{DH}$; gal te TVA $_{\rm D}$ ([nanu] yadi); TVB $_{\rm G}$: not clearly rendered

⁷³ vibhāvitam] *em.*; vibhāvitah E_{DH} (*em.*); vibhāgato K

⁷⁴ vṛthāprayāsenety] E_{DH}; vyathāprayāsenety K

⁷⁵ tṛtīyam] *em.* TVB_G (gsum pa yin no); tṛtīyaḥ K E_{DH}; bsgrub par bya ba gsum pa yin no TVA_D (tṛtīyaṃ sādhyam)

yam arthaḥ⁷⁶—devatākārasaṃvalitam eva sukhaṃ vibhāvya, sākṣādbhūte devatākāraṃ tyaktvā, sukhamātram eva sādhyam uktaguṇam.

6.4 mantranaye caturtham sādhyam

gagaṇasamaśarīraṃ lakṣaṇair bhūṣitāṅgaṃ nirupamasukhapūrṇaṃ⁷⁷ svābhayā saṃgataṃ ca | sphuradamitamunīndraiḥ⁷⁸ sarvasattvārthakāri pravadati punar anyah sādhyam ucchedaśūnyam || 11 ||

gagaṇetyādi. gagaṇasamaṃ māyopamaṃ vicārāsahaṃ⁷⁹ śarīraṃ yasya. lakṣaṇair dvātriṃśadbhir aśītibhiś cānuvyañjanair maṇḍitāny aṅgāni yasya. nirupamaiḥ sthaulya⁸⁰nairantaryā⁸¹saṃsāra⁸²pravāhitvanirāsravatvādibhir upamābhāvād upamātikrāntaiḥ sukhaiḥ pūrṇaṃ romāgraparyantaṃ^{83,xv} saṃpūrṇam. svābhayā ca tathābhūtayā saṃgataṃ samāliṅgitam. sphuradbhir anantanirmitair munīndrais tathābhūtair eva sarvasattvārthakāri.⁸⁴ ucchedeneti nirodhena śūnyam tucchaṃ riktam.⁸⁵

etad uktam bhavati—gaganamāyāmarīci⁸⁶gandharvanagarodakacandrapratibimbasvapnopamam⁸⁷ [K fol. 5r] ekānekabhāvābhāvagrāhyagrāhakasvabhāvara-

xv See a similar expression in Siddhaikavīrasādhana (author unknown): tato niḥṣṛtaraśmibhir ā-pādatalād vālāgraparyāntaprāptaṃ bhāvyate (Sādhanamālā no. 67, p. 67); de las byung ba'i 'od zer gyis rkang pa'i mthil nas skra'i rtse mo'i mthar thug pa khyab par bsgoms te (Tōh. 3461 fol. 116r)

 $[\]overline{^{76}}$ arthaḥ] E_{DH} ; artha K

⁷⁷ nirupama°] E_{DH}; nirupama° K

⁷⁸ °munīndraiḥ] *em.*; °munīndraḥ K E_{DH}

 $^{^{79}}$ māyopamam vicārāsaham] K (reading slightly unclrear); māyopamavicārasaha $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$

 $^{^{80}}$ sthaulya°] K E_{DH} ; rgya nom pa nyid dang | rgya che ba nyid dang TVA $_{D}$ (praṇītatvasthaulya°); lhun che ba nyid dang | TVB $_{G}$ (sthaulya°)

^{81 °}nairantaryā°] E_{DH} (em.) (TIB: bar med pa nyid dang); °nairuttaryā° K

 $^{^{82}}$ °āsaṃsāra
°] em.; °āsaṃsāraṃ $\rm E_{DH}~K$

 $^{^{83}}$ pūrņam romāgraparyantam] $\it{conj.}$ (TIB: gang ba ni | ba spu rtse mo'i mthar thug pa); pūrņṇam masimāgrapayantam K; pūrṇatām samāśrayantam E_DH

 $^{^{84}}$ sarvasattvārtha°] K E_{DH} (TVB $_{\!G}$: sems can thams cad kyi don); sems can gyi don TVA $_{\!D}$ (sattvārtha°)

⁸⁵ tuccham riktam] K; bhūstham riktam E_{DH}; spangs pa'o TIB (tuccham / riktam)

 $^{^{86}}$ māyāmarīci°] K E_{DH} (TVB $_{\!G}$: sgyu ma dang | smig rgyu dang |); sgyu ma dang | smig rgyu dang | smig rgyu dang | TVA $_{\!D}$ (māyāmarīcīndrajāla° / māyendrajālamarīci°)

⁸⁷ °svapnopamam] E_{DH}; svapnāpayam K

hitam anādyantam aśeṣavastusaṃdohasvabhāvam⁸⁸ anābhāsaṃ nirañjanaṃ sarvopamātikrāntaṃ paramasūkṣmātigambhīraprajñārūpatayā dharmakāyasvabhāvam, dvātriṃśallakṣaṇavibhūṣitaśarīram aśītyanuvyañjanavirājitagātraṃ⁸⁹ paramaśṛṅgārayauvanādyupetaṃ svābhāṅganāliṅgitāṅgaṃ rūpavajrāditārāparyantadevīgaṇair anantaprabhedānimittarati⁹⁰svarūpaparamānandopabhogadvāreṇa^{xvi} pratibimbavat [E_{DH} p. 138] sambhujyamānaṃ karuṇāsaṃvalitodārarūpatayā sambhogakāyarūpam, nānādhimuktivineyajanaparipācanārtham anekavidhaprātihāryadvāreṇa⁹¹ nirmitānantakulāntarbhūtasaṃbuddhabodhisattvaspharaṇasaṃhārakāritvena⁹² nirmāṇakāyātmakam, śūnyatākaruṇābhinnabodhicitta⁹³svabhāvāmalaprajñopāyasamādhisambhūtasatsukhāpūrṇam^{xvii} āsaṃsārasthitidharmaṃ⁹⁴ apratiṣṭhitanirvāṇarūpaṃ nirmalanivātaniścalapradīpaśikhāprabandhanityatayā nirodhaśūnyaṃ caturthaṃ⁹⁵ sādhyam.

6.5 mantranaye pañcamam sādhyam

kṛtvā sākṣāt svādhipaṃ sātarūpaṃ tyaktvopeksājñānamātram⁹⁶ phalam syāt |

 $^{^{}xvi}$ See parallels in Samantabhadrasādhana for *animittarati / mtshan ma med pa'i dga' ba. From $r\bar{u}pavajr\bar{a}^\circ$ up to $^\circ dv\bar{a}rena$, TVA_D reads: gzugs rdo rje la sogs pa nas | sgrol ma'i mthar thug pa'i lha mo'i tshogs kyis mtshan ma med pa'i dga' ba'i rang gi ngo bo'i rab tu dbye ba dpag tu med pas mchog tu dga' ba la nye bar longs skyod pa'i sgo nas. TVB_G reads: gzugs rdo rje la sogs pa nas sgrol ma'i mthar thug pa'i lha mo'i tshogs kyis mtshan ma med pa'i rang gi ngo bo'i rab tu dpag tu med pas mchog tu dga' ba la nye bar longs spyod pa'i sgo nas.

xvii See Sahajavilāsa, Svādhiṣṭhānakurukullāsādhana (SāMā no. 183, p. 383): tataḥ prajñopāyāma-lasamādhisambhūtasatsukhāpūrṇam iva svadehaṃ trailokya ca paśyet.

 $^{^{88}}$ anādyantam aśeṣavastusaṃdohasvabhāvam] K E_{DH} ; thog ma dang tha ma med pa'i dngos po ma lus pa'i rang bzhin TVA_D TVB_G (anādyantāśesavastusvabhāvam)

⁸⁹ °gātram] K E_{DH}; no reflext in TIB

 $^{^{90}}$ anantaprabhedānimittarati°] conj. (TVA $_{\rm D}$: mtshan ma med pa'i dga' ba'i rang gi ngo bo'i rab tu dbye ba dpag tu med pas); anantaprabhedānimittārati° KE $_{\rm DH}$; mtshan ma med pa'i rang gi ngo bo'i rab tu dpag tu med pas TVB $_{\rm G}$

 $^{^{91}}$ anekavidhaprātihārya°] K $E_{DH};$ rdzu 'phrul dang cho 'phrul rnam pa du ma TVA $_{\!D}$ TVB $_{\!G}$ (anekarddhiprātihārya°)

 $^{^{92}}$ °bodhisattva°] $\mathit{conj.}$ (TVBG: byang chub sems dpa'i); °bodhi° K EDH; byang chub sems dpa' la sogs pa'i TVAD (°bodhisattvādi°)

^{93 °}bodhicitta°] E_{DH}; °bodhicittā° K

⁹⁴ conj. (cf. Tib: chos can); dharmānām K E_{DH}

⁹⁵ caturtham] E_{DH}; caturtha K

⁹⁶ tyaktvopekṣā°] K (E_{DH} incorrectly reports as tyajyo°) (see commentary); bhāvopekṣā° E_{DH} (em.); no reflex in TM_D

```
āsaṃsārasthāyi sattvārthakāri cintā<sup>97</sup>ratnaprakhyam<sup>98</sup> ekāntaśāntam || 12 ||
```

kṛtvetyādi. sākṣāt svādhipaṃ kṛtvā, paścāt⁹⁹ tyaktvā, upekṣārūpaṃ yaj jñānaṃ tanmātraṃ^{xviii} sādhyaṃ syāt. anyat sugamam.¹⁰⁰ etad uktaṃ bhavati—maṇḍalaca-krarūpaṃ sākṣāt kṛtvā, paścāt tan nirodhya, upekṣājñānamātraṃ sādhyaṃ syāt pañcamam.

6.6 mantranaye şaşthamam sādhyam

```
kṛtvā sākṣān maṇḍalaṃ sātarūpaṃ paścāt tasya svecchayā nirvṛtiś<sup>101</sup> ca| sattvārthasyāpy asty abhāvo na vāsmin prādurbhāvo nirvṛtād<sup>102</sup> asti yasmāt || 13 ||
```

kṛtvetyādi. kṛtvā sākṣān maṇḍalaṃ sātasaṃvalitam, 103 tasya svecchayā nirvṛtir nirodhah.

nanu yadi sākṣāt kṛtvāpi paścāt svecchayā nirodhayita[K fol. 5v]vyam, 104 tadā karuṇāyā anekakālābhyastāyā abhāvaḥ syāt. tasyāś cābhāvāt sattvārthābhāvaḥ [E_DH p. 139] syād ity āśaṅkyāha—sattvārthasyāpy asty abhāvo na vetyādi. asmin pakṣe sattvārthābhāvo nāsti, yasmān nirvṛtāc cakrāt karuṇāsaṃvalitāt sattvārthasya prādurbhāvo 'sti. $^{\rm xix}$

etenaitad evāha—sātasaṃpūrṇacakraṃ sākṣāt kṛtvā, yāvad iṣṭaṃ kālaṃ vyavasthāpya, paścāt tasya sarvathaiva pradīpavan nirodhaṃ kṛtvā sthātavyam. yadā punaḥ sattvārthābhilāṣo bhavati, tadā niruddhād eva cakrāntaram utpādya sattvārthaḥ kartavyaḥ. cakrāntarotpāde¹⁰⁵ 'pi ciraniruddhād¹⁰⁶ eva cakrād yathābhavyatayā¹⁰⁷ vineyānāṃ yathābhilaṣitaprāptir bhavatīti ṣaṣṭham.

```
xviii tanmātram] check: in compound or not
```

xix TIB suggests reading *karuṇāsaṃvalitasya*: 'gags pa'i 'khor lo las snying rje'i rang bzhin can sems can gyi don ('gags pa'i] TVB_G; 'gog pa'i TVA_D)

 $^{^{97}}$ cintā°] K pc E $_{\rm DH}$; cittā° K ac

^{98 °}prakhyam] E_{DH}; °prakhyamm K

⁹⁹ paścāt] E_{DH}; paścāta K

¹⁰⁰ sugamaṃ] E_{DH}; sūgamaṃ K

¹⁰¹ nirvrtiś] K; nirvrtim] E_{DH}

 $^{^{102}}$ nirvṛtād] E_{DH} ; nivṛtād K

 $^{^{103}}$ sātasaṃvalitam] em. (TIB: bde ba'i rang bzhin can); sātaṃ saṃvalitaṃ K $\rm E_{DH}$

 $^{^{104}}$ nirodhayitavyam] em.;nirodhayitavya
h K $\rm E_{DH}$

 $^{^{105}}$ cakrāntarotpāde] $\rm E_{DH};$ cakrāntaropāde K

¹⁰⁶ ciraniruddhād] *em.*(TIB: rin du 'gags pa'i); citaniruddhād K; cittaniruddhād E_{DH}

¹⁰⁷ yathābhavyatayā] variant word division in E_{DH}: yathā bhavyatayā

6.7 mantranaye saptamam sādhyam

kṛtvā sphuṭaṃ rūpam abhīṣṭam eṣāṃ paścān nirodhaḥ 108,xx phalam āha kaścit | abhinnarūpaś ca yato nirodho na paksabhede 'pi tato 'sti bhedaḥ || 14 ||

kṛtvetyādi. ṣaṇṇāṃ pakṣāṇām anyatamasya phalasya¹⁰⁹ sādhyatvād yad yad evābhiṣṭaṃ¹¹⁰ tad¹¹¹ eva sākṣāt kṛtvā, paścāt sarvathaiva pradīpavan nirodha uttarakālaṃ sattvārthādiśūnyaḥ sākṣāt kartavyaḥ.

nanu ṣaṭpakṣabhedena ṣaḍ eva¹¹² nirodhāḥ syuḥ. tat katham eka eva nirodha ity āśaṅkyāha—abhinnetyādi. abhinnaṃ¹¹³ rūpaṃ yasya sa tathā.¹¹⁴ na hi nirodhānāṃ ṣaṭpakṣalakṣaṇabhede 'pi bhedo 'sti, abhāvaikarūpatayā nirodhasya samānatvāt. ayam arthaḥ—anyatamapakṣaṃ sākṣāt kṛtvā paścāt tasya santānocchedarūpo nirodha iti saptamaṃ sādhyam.

7 caturthe 'bhişekase vipratipattih

7.1 caturthaseke vipratipattih prathamā

prajñājñānād uttaram bodhicittāsvādas turyam sekam¹¹⁵ āhāvaram tat | yasmāt¹¹⁶ sarvo bhāvanāsu prayāso vyarthah prāptas tatphalasya prasiddheh || 15 ||

^{xx} It is possible to take *phala* as the direct object of \sqrt{ah} and then read *nirodhaṃ*, construing it as an accusative form; however, the agent of \sqrt{kr} and \sqrt{ah} would have to be the same. Rather, with the reading *nirodhaḥ phalaṃ*, we can avoid this problem and simply supply an *iti*.

 $^{^{108}}$ nirodhaḥ] em.; nirodha(ṃ) K (this may be corrected to ḥ); nirodhaṃ $\rm E_{DH}$

 $^{^{109}}$ anyatamasya phalasya] $\mathit{conj.};$ arthaphalasya K $\mathrm{E_{DH}};$ nang nas 'bras bu TIB

phalasya sādhyatvād yad evābhiṣṭam̄] K E_{DH} ; 'bras bu bsgrub bya gang kho na TVA_D (phalam̄ yad eva); bsgrub bya gang kho na mngon par 'dod pa TVB_G (phalam̄ yad evābhiṣṭam̄);

¹¹¹ tad] E_{DH}; sad K

 $^{^{112}}$ șad eva] E_{DH} ; șatreva K

¹¹³ abhinnam] E_{DH}; abhinna K

 $^{^{114}}$ sa tathā] em.; tat tathā K E_{DH}

¹¹⁵ sekam] E_{DH}; seşam K

¹¹⁶ yasmāt] E_{DH}; paścāt K

 $[E_{DH} \, p. \, 140]$ prajñājñānetyādi. prajñājñānopadeśād uttarakālaṃ¹¹⁷ yat bodhicittasyāmṛtarūpasya¹¹⁸ rasanayā grahaṇam, tat turyaṃ caturthaṃ [K fol. 6r] sekam āha kaścit. tac cāvaraṃ hīnam, vinikṛṣṭam iti yāvat. kasmād avaram? yasmāt sarvaprayāso mantramudrādevatādyākārabhāvanāsu punaḥ punar anuṣṭhānala-kṣaṇas tathāgatokto¹¹⁹ vyarthaḥ prāptaḥ. xxi kutaḥ? tatphalasya bhāvanāsādhyasya phalasya bodhicittāsvādakāla eva prasiddhatvāt prāptatvāt, anyasya viśiṣṭasya phalasyābhāvād iti yāvat.

7.2 caturthaseke vipratipattir dvitīyā

prajñājñānād uttaram prāptarāmāsvādas turyam sekam āhādhamam tat | yasmāt sarvo bhāvanādau prayatno buddhoddisto nisphalah samprasaktah || 16 ||

prajñetyādi. prajñājñānād uttarakālaṃ yāḥ prāptā yathāmilitā rāmāḥ striyas tāsāṃ samāpattidvāreṇa¹²⁰ ya āsvādaḥ, tat turyaṃ sekam. tad apy adhamam. śeṣaṃ gatārtham.

7.3 āgamasya arthavyākhyānam

atha caturtham tat punas tatheti^{121,xxii} vyākhyāyate. caturtham iti¹²² prajñājñānam tṛtīyam apekṣya caturtham ity ucyate. tad iti tacchabdena tad eva prajñājñā-

xxi TIB reflects understanding two items that would be vyartha, perhaps suggesting another reading of the Sanskrit text: gang gi phyir sngags dang phyag rgya dang | lha nyid la sogs pa'i rnam pa bsgom pa la yang dang yang du 'bad pa dang | gzhan yang de bzhin gshegs pas gsungs pa'i sgrub pa'i mtshan nyid don med pa thob par 'gyur ro || 'Because it would follow that repeated effort in meditation ... and, what's more (gzhan yang; Skt. ca?), what is characterised as practice taught by the tathāgatas'.

^{xxii} Samājottara 112c

 $^{^{117}}$ prajñāj
nānopadeśād uttarakālaṃ] K E_{DH} ; shes rab dang ye shes ni shes rab ye shes te
| dbang bskur ba'i bye brag go || phyis ni 'das pa'i 'og tu'o || gang zhe na | TVA
D (prajñājñānetyādi. prajñā ca jñānaṃ prajñājñānaṃ sekaviśeṣaḥ. uttaram paścāt. kim iti); shes rab dang ye shes te | dbang bskur ba'i bye brag go || phyis te rdzogs pa'i dus kyi byang chub gang zhe na | TVB
G (text may have suffered from corruption after phyis)

 $^{^{118}}$ bodhicittasyāmṛtarūpasya] em. (TVA $_{\rm D}$: byang chub kyi sems te); saṃ bodhicittasyāmṛtarūpasya K $\rm E_{\rm DH}$; sems te TVB $_{\rm G}$ (cittasya)

¹¹⁹ tathāgatokto] K; tathāgatoktau E_{DH}

¹²⁰ samāpattidvāreņa] E_{DH}; rig pa'i sgo nas TVA_D; reg pa'i sgo nas TVB_G (sparṣadvāreṇa)

 $^{^{121}}$ punas tatheti] E_{DH} (em.); punar iti K

¹²² caturtham iti] K E_{DH} TVA_D (bzhi pa ni); deest in TVB_G

nam tadrūpam parāmṛśyate. punar iti punaḥśabdena tasmād viśeṣaḥ. viśeṣaś cātra nirāsravaniruttarātyantasphītāvicchinnaprabandha pravāhitvalakṣaṇaḥ. 124 tatheti tathāśabdena tādṛśatvam abhidhīyate. tādṛśatvam ca yādṛśyā prajñādiyuktyā sāmagryā yādṛśaṃ prajñājñānam utpannam, paścād api tādṛśyaiva sāmagryā tathaiva cotpadyate nānyatheti tathāśabdārthaḥ.

atra ca lakṣyalakṣaṇabhāvenārtho boddhavyaḥ. lakṣyate 'neneti lakṣaṇam anubhūyamānam prajñājñānam, apratīyamānasya lakṣaṇatvāyogāt, nāgṛhītaviśeṣaṇā $[E_{DH}$ p. 141]viśeṣyabuddhir iti nyāyāt. lakṣyate jñāyate pratipādyate 'neneti laksyam sāksāt karisyamānam caturtham.

7.4 caruthaseke vipratipattis tṛtīyā

atra caturtham nāstīty eke. xxiii nanu caturtham ity etad asti tatpadam. tat katham nāstīty ucyate? satyam, upadeśasam kṣārtham sattvavyāmohanāya ca tṛtīyam eva caturthaśabde [K fol. 6v] noktam bhagavatā. anyathā tat punar iti noktam syāt. xxiv

tad atyantāsaṃgatam, caturthasya pramāṇasiddhasya pratipāditatvāt pratipādayisyamānatvāc ceti.xxv

 $^{^{}xxiii}$ TVAD adds near the beginning of this sentence Samājottara 112ab abhiṣekaṃ tridhā bhedam asmin tantre prakalpitam \mid : 'dir 'ga' zhig \mid dbang ni rnam pa gsum dag tu \mid rgyud 'di las ni rab tu grags $\mid\mid$ zhes gsungs pas na \mid bzhi pa ni yang dag pa ma yin no zhe na \mid

xxiv A portion seems to have dropped out from TVA_D.

xxv Tib. discusses two further pak; as here: that the fourth referred to in the $Sam\bar{a}jottara$ is the four anga of $sev\bar{a}$ and so forth; and what appears to be the idea that the four initiation consists in the third accompanied by its fruits ('bras bu dang bcas pa).

 $^{^{123}}$ °niruttarātyantasphītāvicchinnaprabandha°] K $E_{\rm DH}$; shin tu rgyas pa nyid rgyun mi chad par TVB $_{\rm G}$ (°ātyantasphītāvicchinnaprabandha°); nirantarātyantasphītāvicchinnaprabandha° $E_{\rm DH}$ (*em.*); shin tu rgyas pa nyid dang | bar chad med pa nyid dang | rgyun mi 'chad par (°ātyantasphītāvicchinnaprabandhanirantara)

¹²⁴ °lakṣaṇaḥ] E_{DH}; °lakṣaṇaṃ K

¹²⁵ °yuktayā] conj. (TIB: dang ldan pa'i); °yuktyā K E_{DH}

 $^{^{126}}$ nanu caturtham ity etad asti tatpadam] K (nanu caturtham ity etad asti | tat padan) $E_{\rm DH}$; de ltar de bzhin bzhi pa yang || zhes bya ba'i tshig bcom ldan 'das kyis gsungs pa yod pa ma yin nam | TVA $_{\rm D}$ (caturtham tat punas tatheti padam bhagavatā notkam vā); de lta na de ma yin pa gzhan de ltar de bzhin bzhi pa yang zhes bya ba der bzhi pa zhes bya ba'i tshig bcom ldan 'das kyis gsungs pa yod pa ma yin nam | TVB $_{\rm G}$ (nanu yadi evam na syāt, tadā carutham tat punas tatheti padam bhagavatā noktam vā)

7.5 lakşyasya vicāraņam

atra lakṣaṇaṃ prajñājñānaṃ pratītam eva sarvaiḥ. lakṣye¹²⁷ paraṃ vyāmohaḥ. tad vicāryate. lakṣyaṃ hi bhaved¹²⁸ artharūpaṃ vā syāt jñānarūpaṃ vā. na tāvad artharūpam, arthasyaikasyābhāvāt, ekānekaviyogitvena pramāṇena tasya nirā-kṛtatvāt. mantranaye ca vijñānavādamadhyamakamatayor¹²⁹ eva pradhānatvād jñānarūpaṃ vā syāt. jñānaṃ ca sākāraṃ vā nirākāraṃ vā. sākāram api citrādvaitarūpaṃ vā syād anekarūpaṃ vā syād iti vikalpāh.

7.5.1 sākārasya vijñānasya nirākaraņam

tatra sākāravijñānam sarvathaiva gagaṇakamalavan nāstīti nirākāravādino bruvate. nanu nīlapītaśuklādighaṭapaṭaśakaṭādi¹³⁰rūpeṇākārāḥ¹³¹ pratibhāsante¹³² pratyakṣataḥ.xxvi te cārthasyābhāvād jñānarūpā eva. tat kathaṃ sākāraṃ nāstīti?xxvii satyam. pratibhāsanta evākārāḥ, param alīkarūpeṇa. alīkarūpatā caikānekaviyogitvena¹³³ pramāṇalakṣaṇena¹³⁴ prasiddhā. tasya ca pramāṇasvarūpasyānyatra¹³⁵ kathitatvān neha¹³⁶ pratanyate. alīkatvaprasiddhā ca māyāmayā ivākārā bhrāntirūpāḥ prakāśante.¹³⁵ bhrāntinivṛttau ca nirākāram eva¹³⁶ śuddhasphaṭikasaṃkā-śaṃ pāramārthikaṃ¹³⁶ siddhaṃ bhavati.¹⁴⁰ ataś citrādvaitarūpam anekarūpaṃ ca

^{xxvi} TIB phrases this sentence as a rhetorical question, as if the Sanskrit started kim na ^{xxvii} TVA_D's expression of the argument runs differently: don $(rnam\ pa)$ $de\ dag\ kyang\ med\ pa'i$ $phyir\ shes\ pa'i\ ngo\ bo\ nyid\ kyang\ med\ yin\ na\ |\ de\ ji\ ltar\ rnam\ pa\ dang\ bcas\ pa\ ma\ yin\ zhe\ na\ |\ 'Because those objects [i.e., <math>\bar{a}k\bar{a}ras$] also do not exist, the nature of cognition too cannot exist. So how can cognition not have $\bar{a}k\bar{a}ras$?'

 $^{^{127}}$ laksye] $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$ (em.); laksyā K

 $^{^{128}}$ lakṣyaṃ hi bhavet] $conj. (TIB: mtshon par bya ba yang srid na); lakṣyaṃ hi bhagavat K<math display="inline">\rm E_{DH}$ (°gavad)

¹²⁹ matayor] E_{DH}; tamayor K

^{130 °}śakatādi°] E_{DH} (em.); °prakaṭādi° K

 $^{^{131}}$ °ākārāh] conj.; ((cā))kārāh] K; vākārāh $\rm E_{DH}$

 $^{^{132}}$ pratibhāsante] E_{DH} ; pratibhāṣante K

 $^{^{133}}$ °viyogitvena] $\mathit{conj.}$ °viyogitva
° K $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$

 $^{^{134}}$ °pramāṇalakṣaṇena] K E_{DH} (TVB $_{G}$: tshad ma'i mtshan nyid kyis); mtshan nyid kyis TVB $_{G}$ (°lakṣaṇena)

¹³⁵ pramānasvarūpasyā°] E_{DH}; pramāna(((pe)))rūpasyā° K

¹³⁶ neha] E_{DH}; eha K

 $^{^{137}}$ prakāśante] K (prakāsante); prakāśyante E_{DH}

 $^{^{138}}$ nirākāram eva] K E_{DH} TVB $_{G}$ (rnam pa med pa kho na); rnam pa med pa de kho na TVB $_{G}$ (nirākāram eva tad)

¹³⁹ pāramārthikam] E_{DH} (*em.*); pārarthikam K

¹⁴⁰ bhavati] K; bhavatīti E_{DH}

sākāram vijnānam astīti vikalpadvayam nirastam bhavatīti.

7.5.2 nirākārasya vijñānasya samarthanam

nanu nirākāram api vijñānam upalabdhilakṣaṇaprāptaṃ svapne 'pi nopalabhyate. tat kathaṃ tad asti paramārthata [K fol. 7r]ty ucyate? ucyate. sukhākāraṃ vijñānam antaḥparisphuradrūpaṃ nirākāraṃ saṃvedyata eva. nīlādyākārāḥ punar alīkāḥ pratibhāsante. anyathā teṣāṃ satyatve sarva evākārāḥ satyāḥ syuḥ. tathā hi grāhyagrāhakabhāvādikam api satyaṃ [E_{DH} p. 142] syāt. tataś ca sarveṣām eva satyapratibhāsatvena muktiprasaṅgaḥ, keṣāñcid api mithyāpratibhāsasya bhrāntirūpasyāpratibhāsanāt. tathā coktam—

drastavyam¹⁴³ bhūtato bhūtam bhūtadarśī vimucyate |xxviii

tasmād akāmakenāpi nīlādyākārāṇām alīkatvam evaiṣṭavyam. sukhādikaṃ nirākāram¹⁴⁴ satyam upalabhyate. tat katham nopalabhyata iti.

nanu sukhādyākāram sākāram eva vijñānam¹⁴⁵ upalabhyate, sukhāder ākārasvabhāvatvāt. na ca sukhādyākāraśūnyam jñānam svapne 'pi samvedyate. sakalabhrāntivigamād aṣṭamyām bhūmāv upalabdhilakṣaṇaprāptir bhavatīty atrāpi kośapānam¹⁴⁶ vinā anyan na¹⁴⁷ pramāṇam asti prasādhakam iti. tad asat,¹⁴⁸ abhiprāyāparijñānāt, sukhādyākārasyaiva nīlādyākārarahitasya vijñānasya nirākāratveneṣṭatvāt. tac cedānīm eva svasaṃvedanapramāṇasiddham sakalaprāṇabhṛtam¹⁴⁹ astīti katham nopalabdhiḥ?

7.5.3 Establishing the Madhyamaka position

nanu tad 150 apy ekānekasvabhāvaviyogād alīkam eva bhrāntimātram, ekānekasvabhāvarahitasya sākāranirākāravijñānavyāpitvāt.

xxviii Abhisamayālankāra 5.21; Ratnagotravighāba 154; Pratītyasamputpādahṛdayakārikā 7; etc.

 $^{^{141}}$ paramārthata] *em.*; paramārtham K E_{DH}

muktiprasangah] conj.; yuktiprasangāt K; muktiprasangāt E_{DH} (em.)

¹⁴³ drastavyam] E_{DH}; drastavya K

¹⁴⁴ nirākāram] K E_{DH}; rnam pa brdzun pa TIB (alīkākāram)

 $^{^{145}}$ sākāram eva vij
ñānam] $\it conj. (TIB: rnam pa dang bcas pa'i kho na shes pa); eva vij
ñānam K<math display="inline">\rm E_{DH}$

¹⁴⁶ kośapānam] K (kosapānam); śapathollanghanam E_{DH} (em.)

¹⁴⁷ anyan na] E_{DH}; anyatra K

 $^{^{148}}$ tad asat] conj. (TIB: de ni bden pa ma yin te); tad K $E_{\rm DH}$; asad etat possible conj.

¹⁴⁹ °bhrtam] *em.*; °bhrtām K E_{DH}

¹⁵⁰ nanu tad K E_{DH}; tat possible conj.

nanv anena nyāyena sakalasākāranirākāravijñānasyālīkatvaprasādhanān na kiñcid api pāramārthikam vastutattvam asti. 151 tat katham lakṣyasya svarūpam pramāṇata upalakṣayitavyam? naiṣa doṣaḥ, madhyamakamate pramāṇato 'līkatāsiddhāv api māyopamapratibhāsamātrasyaikānekasvabhāvarahitasya dharmirūpasyāpratiṣedhāt. tatraiva cālīke pratibhāsamātre lakṣyalakṣaṇasaṃsāranirvāṇa [K fol. 7v]maṇḍalacakrādibhāvanāsakalajagadarthakriyādīnām 252 avyāhatā vyavasthā 253 sidhyati. 254,xxix tathā coktam—

buddhatvam vajrasattvatvam samvrtyaiva prasādhayet |xxx

iti. 155

nanu sarvam eva vastujātam alīkarūpatayā niḥsāram. tadā kimartham manḍalacakrādibhāvanāprayāsaḥ¹⁵⁶ kriyate? asad etat,

```
mithyādhyāropahānārtha<br/>ṃ^{157}yatno 'saty api^{158} [E_{\rm DH}p. 143] bhoktar<br/>i|^{159,xxxi}
```

iti vacanāt. yady api vicāryamāṇaṃ pāramārthikaṃ vasturūpaṃ nāsti, tathāpy ahaṃ sukhī bhaveyaṃ mā¹⁶⁰ duḥkhy abhūvam iti tṛṣṇā sakalaprāṇabhṛtām asti. yathā tulye 'pi mithyātve śubhāśubhasvapnayoḥ śubhasvapnadarśanāt saumanasyam aśubhasvapnadarśanāc ca daurmanasyam, tadapanayanāya ca saddharmapāthamantrajāpādau pravrttir bhavati, tathā mithyātvāviśese 'pi duhkhādi-

 x^{xxix} E_{DH} appears to understand the text as saying that both $bh\bar{a}van\bar{a}$ and $jagadarthakriy\bar{a}d\bar{n}n\bar{a}m$ $vyavasth\bar{a}$ are established. TIB suggests that it is $bh\bar{a}van\bar{a}$ which is the instrument by which the $vy\bar{a}vasth\bar{a}$ is established. The manuscript reading suggests taking $bh\bar{a}van\bar{a}$ in compound with the following word—i.e., in the Madhyamaka system, although mere appearance is false, the framework of everything starting with baksyalaksana is established.

xxx Kurukullākalpa 3.16cd

xxxi Pramānavārttika, Pramānasiddhi 193cd.

 $^{^{151}}$ astī] conj.;astīti K $\rm E_{DH}$ (astīti?) (iti has no reflex in TIB)

¹⁵² °bhāvanā°] K; °bhāvanā E_{DH} (variant word division); bsgoms pas TIB (bhāvanayā)

 $^{^{153}}$ vyavasthā] K; vyavasthā ca E_{DH} (em.)

 $^{^{154}}$ sidhyati] conj.; sidhyatīti K E_{DH} (no reflext of iti in TIB)

¹⁵⁵ iti] E_{DH}; deest in K

¹⁵⁶ maṇḍala°] E_{DH}; bri ba'i 'dkyil 'khor (lekhyamaṇḍala°)

 $^{^{157}}$ mithyādhyāropahānārtham] em.; mithyādhyāropaṇārtham K $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$

 $^{^{158}}$ 'saty api] K; 'styopi E_{DH}

¹⁵⁹ bhoktari] K (bhoktarī°) (the letter no is added abhove bho); muktaye E_{DH} (em.)

 $^{^{160}}$ mā] E_{DH} (em.); deest in K

prākṛtavikalpahānāya^{xxxii} samyaksaṃbodhilakṣaṇaprāptaye¹⁶¹ ca prekṣāvatām a-rthināṃ pravṛttir bhaviṣyatīti.

8 saptavidheşu sādhyeşu sārāsāravicāraņam

nanu yadarthas tavāyam
^{162,xxxiii} ārambhaḥ so 'rthaḥ pralayaṃ gataḥ. tathā hi lakṣyalakṣaṇacintātra prastutā. sā ca vismṛtā, kva gateti na jñāyate.

na tu¹⁶³ kṛtaiva sā saptabhir bhedaiḥ?

satyam, kintu guḍagorasanyāyena. tathā hi na jñāyate, kiṃ tat sāram asāraṃ veti.

ucyate.

8.1 prathamasyāsāratvam

mantranayavihitakramābhāvāt samāpattibhāvanāvaiyarthyād¹⁶⁴ yuktyabhāvāc¹⁶⁵ ca prathamasya niḥsāratā. tathā hi samagrasāmagrīkam yat phalam¹⁶⁶ tad ava-śyam eva bhavati. anyathā samagrasāmagrīkam eva tan na bhavet. sākṣātkara-ṇāvasthāyām samagrasāmagrīkam tad vartate. tad avaśyam tena¹⁶⁷ bhavitavyam. sati ca bhavati¹⁶⁸,xxxiv prathamasya hānir iti.

 $^{^{}xxxii}$ cf. $Samantabhadras\bar{a}dhana$ (as quoted in Kamalanātha's $Ratn\bar{a}val\bar{\iota}$ ad HeTa 2.2.45, fol. 16r6): prākṛtavikalpavṛttair aparaṃ na hi kiñcad asti bhavaduḥkham | tasya viruddhaṃ caitat sākṣādavagamyate cetah ||

xxxiii The manuscript's reading yadarthasvā'yaṃ seems like a plausible corruption of yadarthas tavā'yaṃ, but Tibetan shows no reflex of tava. TVAD reads: rtsom pa 'di'i don gang yin pa. TVBG reads: gal te gang gi don du (bzhi pa bshad pa'i bshad pa'i dus) 'di brtsams pa'i.

xxxiv TIB could be rendered as something like *evaṃsati ca prathamasya hānir iti*. Indeed this seems to be the sense, but the manuscript reading of *bhavane na* or *bhavanena* is hard to account for.

 $^{^{161}}$ lakṣaṇaprāptaye] K E_{DH} ; mtshan nyid kyi 'bras bu thob par bya ba'i phyir TVA_D (lakṣaṇapha-laprāptaye); mtshan nyid 'bras bu thob par bya ba'i phyir TVB_G (lakṣaṇaphalaprāptaye)

 $^{^{162}}$ yadarthas tavāyam] conj.; yadarthasvā'yam K; yadarthatvād ayam E_{DH}

 $^{^{163}}$ na tu] conj.; nanu K E_{DH}

 $^{^{164}}$ samāpatti°] K E_{DH} TVB $_{G}$ (snyom par 'jug pa); lha'i rnal 'byor gyi snyoms par 'jug pa'i TVA $_{D}$ (devatāyogasamāpatti°)

¹⁶⁵ yuktyabhāvāc] E_{DH}; yuktābhāvāc K

¹⁶⁶ yat phalam] conj. (TIB: 'bras bu gang yin pa); yat KE_{DH}

¹⁶⁷ tena K E_{DH} TVB_G (de); de'i 'bras bu TVA_D (tena phalena)

 $^{^{168}}$ conj.; bhavane na K $\rm E_{DH};$ de l
tar gyur pas dang po nyams pa yin no TVA $_{\rm D};$ de l
tar gyur pa dang po nyams pa yin no TVB $_{\rm G}$ (evaṃsati)

8.2 dvitīyasya asāratvam

šarīrādyākāraśūnyasya kevalasātarūpasyānupalabdher¹⁶⁹ na dvitīyasya sāratā. tathā hi pramāṇaniścitaṃ prekṣāvatā bhāvanīyam, na yathākathañcit. pramā[K fol. 8r]ṇena saṃvalitarūpam eva sarvadopalabhyate.^{xxxv} tad eva sarvajanānāṃ kamanīyatayā pratibhāsate. tasmāt kevalasya rucyabhāvāc cakrākārasaṃvalitasyopalabdheh sāksāt kartum aśakyatvāc^{170,xxxvi} ca dvitīyasya kalpanāmātrateti.¹⁷¹

8.3 tṛtīyasya asāratvam

nirupadravabhūtārthasvabhāvatvena sātmībhūtasya tyaktum aśakyatvāt, saṃvalitarūpasya [E_{DH} p. 144] bhedābhāvāt, prayojanābhāvāc ca na tṛtīyasya rabhāvaḥ. Tathā hi sahopalambhena tādātmyasiddhāv ekasya parityāge parasyāvaśyam parityāgo na vā kasyacid iti.

8.4 caturthasya sārāsāratvavicāraņam

prapañcatvena bahuprayāsatvād vicārāsahatvena bhrāntirūpatayāparamārtharūpatayā ca na tṛtīyāntapakṣasya¹⁷⁵ kalyāṇateti.¹⁷⁶ atra kecid yuktiṃ varṇayanti.^{xxxvii} prapañcarūpatvābhāve¹⁷⁷ 'pi sūkṣmasya bindvādeḥ punaḥ punar bhāvanayā sā-

TIB also adds the reason 'bad pa mtshung pa'i phyir ('becaue the effort is equal'). The purport of this is unclear.

 xxxvii TVA $_{D}$ renders this sentence differently: de la 'ga' zhig las rigs pa cung zhig cig brjod par mi bya ste \mid

xxxv TVA_D lacks a reflex of sarvadā, whereas TVB_G lacks a reflect of eva.

xxxvi TIB suggests reading: kevalasyānupalabdheḥ rucyabhāvāc cakrākārasaṃvalitasyānupalabdheḥ sākṣātkartum aśakyatvāc ca. The addition of anupalabdheḥ after kevalasya renders the flow of argument's logic less smooth. The addition of the same word after cakrākārasaṃvalitasya does not change the argument in its overall sense. Note that the Tibetan 'khor lo'i rang bzhin could instead imply the reading cakrasvabhāva or something similar, but it may simply be a free rendering of cakrākārasamvalita.

 $^{^{169}}$ °labdher] $E_{DH};$ °bdher K

 $^{^{170}}$ aśakyatvāc] E_{DH} (em.); aśakyatāc K

¹⁷¹ kalpanāmātrateti] E_{DH} (em.); kalpanātrateti K

¹⁷² trtīyasya] conj.; trtīya K; trtīyah E_{DH}

 $^{^{173}}$] conj. (Tib: dge ba [ma] yin); kalyanībhāvaḥ K pc ; kalyānībhāvaḥ K ac ; dge ba ma yin [na] kalyāṇabhāvaḥ

¹⁷⁴ sahopalambhena] E_{DH}; saholambhena K

¹⁷⁵ tṛtīyāntapakṣasya] em. (TVA_D: gsum pa'i tha' ma'i phyogs TVA_D; TVB_G: gsum pa'i mtha' ma'i phyogs); trtīyāntah | paksasya K; trtīyapaksasya E_{DH}

¹⁷⁶ kalyānateti] E_{DH}; kalyānateti K

¹⁷⁷ prapañcarūpatvābhāve] K E_{DH}; spros pa'i ngo bo nyid du gyur TIB

kṣātkaraṇaṃ yāvat prayāsas tāvat sarvatraiva bhāvyavastuni sambhavati. tad atra yadi prayāsabhayam, na kiñcid api bhāvanīyam.

prapañcarūpatvād iti cet, prapañcāprapañcayor bhāvanāvasthāyām ko viśeṣaḥ¹⁷⁸? nanu¹⁷⁹ aprapañcam śīghram eva sthirībhavatīty ayam viśeṣaḥ. yatraivālambane¹⁸⁰ cittam punaḥ punaḥ preryate nirantaram¹⁸¹ dīrghakālam ca tatraiva sthirībhavatīty āgamah. yuktiś cātrāsti. tathā coktam—

```
tasmād bhūtam abhūtam vā yad yad evābhibhāvyate | bhāvanābalaniṣpattau^{xxxviii} tat sphuṭākalpadhīphalam^{182} ||^{xxxix}
```

punaś coktam-

aho kusīdatvam aho vimūḍhatā aho janasyāsya sadarthavakratā | svacittamātrapratibaddhabuddhatā¹⁸³ adūravartiny api yan na sevyate ||¹⁸⁴

iti. tasmān nāyam viśesah.

bhrāntirūpatvenāparamārthatvam api sarvatraiva bhāvanāviśeṣe vastuni sambhavatīti na kiñcid api bhāvanīyaṃ syāt. [K fol. 8v] tataś ca sarvatraiva mokṣamārge bhāvanāyā vaiyarthyaṃ syāt. māyopamākārānupraveśena bhrāntirūpam apy aprapañcād [E_{DH} p. 145] bhāvyamānam¹⁸⁵ aduṣṭaṃ bhavatīti cet, na tv ayaṃ māyākārānupraveśaḥ prapañce 'pi samāna iti. tatrāpi ko doṣasyāvakāśaḥ? tasmāt prapañcam aprapañcaṃ vā yad eva rocate pramāṇasaṃgatam itarad vā, tad evālasyaṃ vihāya mahāpuruṣārthibhir bhāvayitavyam¹⁸⁶ ity alam atiprasaṅgeneti.

atra ca sāretaravibhāgah paryupāsitagurubhir eva jñātavyah.

xxxviii The reading *bhāvanābalaniṣpattau* is supported by the Tibetan translation and occurs in other sources (*bsgom pa'i stobs ni rdzogs pa na*). Another more mainstream reading for this *pāda* is *bhāvanāpariniṣpattau*.

xxxix Pramāṇavārttika, Pratyaksapramāna 285

¹⁷⁸ viśesah] conj.; viśesa iti cet K E_{DH}

¹⁷⁹ nanu] *conj.* (TIB: 'on te); deest *in* K *and* E_{DH}

¹⁸⁰ yatraivālambane] conj. (no reflect of nanu in TIB); nanu yatraivālambane

 $^{^{181}}$ nirantaram] E_{DH} (em.) TIB (rgyun mi 'chad par); niruttaram K

 $^{^{182}}$ kalpadhīphalam] em.; kalpadhīḥ phalam K $\rm E_{DH}$

 $^{^{183}}$ °pratibaddha°] coni. (TIB; 'brel pa); °pratibuddha° K $\rm E_{DH}$

¹⁸⁴ Untraced. Also cited in *Saptāṅga fol. 202r7.

¹⁸⁵ aprapañcād bhāvyamānam] E_{DH}; aprapañcā bhāvyamānam

¹⁸⁶ bhāvayitavyam] E_{DH}; bhaviyitavyam K

8.5 pañcamasya asāratvam

tṛtīyapakṣoktadoṣatvān¹⁸⁷ nīrasatvena¹⁸⁸ prayojanābhāvān mantranayakramābhāvāc ca na pañcamaḥ parikṣīṇadoṣaḥ.

nanu sākṣātkaraṇāt pūrvaṃ mantranayaprayogo 'sti. tat kathaṃ tasyābhāvaḥ? satyam, sākṣātphalāvasthā sādhyā. tasyāṃ ca nāsty asau kramaḥ. †sākṣātparityāge^{xl†} ca na prayojanam utpaśyāma iti.

8.6 şaşthamasya asāratvam

svecchayā nirvāyayitum¹⁸⁹ aśakyatvāt, prayojanābhāvāt, sattvārthābhāvāc ca na pañcāntaraprabhedakalpanā¹⁹⁰ kalaṅkāśūnyā. tathā hi kasyacin nivṛttiḥ kāraṇa-nivṛttyā vyāpakanivṛttyā¹⁹¹ vā bhavati. na cātra sākṣātkṛtamaṇḍalacakrasya nivartakam kāranam vyāpakam vā icchākāle drśyate.^{xli}

nanu śūnyataiva nivartikāsti. yathā dārusaṅghātaprajvalito¹⁹² vahnir niḥśeṣam indhanaṃ bhasmīkṛtya paścāt svarasata eva nivartate, tathā maṇḍalacakra-prajvalitaḥ śūnyatājñānāgniḥ sākṣāt kṛtvā¹⁹³ maṇḍalacakraṃ nivartayiṣyatīti cet.¹⁹⁴ tad asat, viṣamatvād dṛṣṭāntasya. tathā hi tatrendhanaṃ kāraṇaṃ¹⁹⁵ vahneḥ. kāraṇasya indhanalakṣaṇasya nivṛttau¹⁹⁶ yuktaiva vahnilakṣaṇasya kāryasya ni-

xl Segment instead: kramah sāksāt. parityāge?

^{xli} TIB lacks a reflex of $icch\bar{a}k\bar{a}le\ dr$, $ich\bar{a}k\bar{a}le\ dr$, $icch\bar{a}k\bar{a}le\ dr$, $ich\bar{a}k\bar{a}le\ dr$, $ich\bar{a}le\ dr$, $ich\bar{a}le\$

 $^{^{187}}$ tṛtīyapakṣoktaṣatvān conj. (TVB $_{\rm G}$: gsum pa'i phyogs la bshad pa'i nyes pa yod pa dang); tṛtīyapakṣe ktato K; tṛtīyapakṣe kuto E $_{\rm DH}$; no reflex in TVA $_{\rm D}$

¹⁸⁸ nīrasatvena] *conj.*; nīrasatvena te K E_{DH}

¹⁸⁹ nirvāyayitum] K; nirvāpayitum E_{DH}

¹⁹⁰ pañcāntara°] *em.* TIB (lnga pa'i mtha'i rab tu dbye ba); prapañcāntara° K E_{DH}

¹⁹¹ vyāpakanivrttyā] E_{DH}; vyāpakānivrttyā K

¹⁹² dārusanghātaprajvalito] conj.; dārusamghāte prajvalito E_{DH}; dārusamghāt pravjalito K

 $^{^{193}}$ sākṣāt kṛtvā] conj.; sākṣān K E_{DH}

 $^{^{194}}$ TIB a fuller sentence here. TVB_G reads: de ltar dkyil 'khor gyi 'khor lo stong pa nyid kyi ye shes kyi me rab tu 'bar bas mngon sum du byas nas kyang | dkyil 'khor gyi 'khor lo ma lus par ldog par byed la | bdag nyid kyang rang gi ngang gis ldog par 'gyur ro zhe na | TVA_D appears to be slightly more corrupt, but suggests that same readings: de dkyil 'khor gyi 'khor lo stong pa nyid kyi ye shes kyi me rab tu 'bar bas mngon sum du byas nas kyang | dkyil 'khor gyi 'khor lo ma lus par ldog par byed la | de yang rang gi ldog par 'gyur ro zhe na |

 $^{^{195}}$ kāraṇaṃ] $\mathit{conj.};$ na kāraṇaṃ K E_{DH}

¹⁹⁶ kāryasya indhanalakṣaṇasya nivṛttau] *conj.*; kāryam indhanalakṣaṇanivṛttau

vṛttiḥ. iha tu na śūnyatā kāraṇaṃ maṇḍalacakrasya. tat ka[K fol. 9r]thaṃ tannivṛttau nivṛttiḥ? na 197 ca śūnyatāyā nivṛttir asti. xlii

nanu sā na¹⁹⁸ bhavatu kāraṇaṃ. śūnyatā vyāpakaṃ tu bhaviṣyati. vyāpaka-sya vṛkṣasya nivṛttau śiṃśapātvasya vyāpyasya nivṛttivan nivṛttir bhaviṣyatīti cet. etad apy asāram. tathā hi śūnyatā sarvadā sarvajñeyamaṇḍalavyāpikā tattva-rūpā. 199 na ca tasyā nivṛttiḥ kadācid apy asti. yadi syāt samyaksaṃbodhisākṣātka-raṇāt [E_{DH} p. 146] pūrvam anantaram eva vā nivṛttiḥ syāt. na ca bhavati, samyaksaṃbuddhībhūyāpi katipayakālāvasthānasya svayam eva svīkṛtatvāt.

kintu śūnyatāpi jñānarūpā, cakram api jñānarūpam. śūnyatājñānotpattyā cakrajñānasyānivṛttau²⁰⁰ śūnyatājñānaṃ kena nivartanīyam. tena nivṛttiś ca virodhino 'bhāvāt kāraṇavyāpakayoś cābhāvān nāsti. tasmāc chūnyatājñānasya na nivṛttiḥ,²⁰¹ nāpi maṇḍalacakrasya śūnyatāto nivṛttir iti śūnyatā na nivartikā.

ko brūte śūnyatā nivartikā? kim tarhi yan nivartakam²⁰² tad gurūpadeśato jñeyam ity apy asāram. gurūpadeśato 'pi na śūnyatāvyatiriktam²⁰³ pramāṇato 'stīti yatkiñcid etat. xliii pratikṣaṇanivṛttiś ca kṣaṇabhaṅgarūpā sarvapadārthavyāpinī. na sā santānanivartikā. tasmān na svecchayā nivṛttiḥ. 204 na ca nivṛttyā nīrasarūpayā prayojanam asti prekṣāvatām. tathā coktam—

mucyamāneșu sattveșu ye te prāmodyasāgarā
ḥ|tair eva nanu paryāptam mokṣeṇārasikena kim
 $\|^{\rm xliv}$

xlii The response the objection is considerably different in Tibetan. It states that while fire is regarded by mundane consensus as having a causal effect on fuel insofar as it transforms it, emptiness has no such effect on the *maṇḍalacakra*. It is also therefore not something that causes it to cease, nor is it known to itself cease of its own accord. Although the Sanskrit MS is very corrupt in this paragraph, it is difficult to see how the text it transmits corresponds to the Tibetan translation.

 $^{^{}xliii}$ TVB_G : bla ma'i man ngag las kyang stong pa nyid kyis ldog par byed pa ma yin ldog pa'i tshad ma cung zhig kyang yod pa ma yin pas. TVA_D : bla ma'i man ngag las kyang stong pa nyid dang \mid de ldog pa las ma gtogs pa'i ldog par byed pa'i tshad ma gzhan cung zad yod pa ma yin no

xliv Bodhicaryāvatāra 8.108

 $^{^{197}}$ na] conj.; athavā na] K E_{DH}

 $^{^{198}}$ na] $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$ (em.); deest in K

 $^{^{199}}$ tattvarūpā] E_{DH} ; tatvarūpāh K

²⁰⁰ °ānivṛttau] K E_{DH}; log na TIB(nivṛttau)

 $^{^{201}}$ na nivrttih] *conj.* (TIB: ldog pa med do); nivrttih K E_{DH}

 $^{^{202}}$ nivartakam] $\it em.$; nivartikās K $\rm E_{DH}$

²⁰³ śūnyatāvyatiriktam] conj. vyatiri((ktiḥ)) K (i in kti lacks a prsthamātrā); vyatiriktah E_{DH}

²⁰⁴ nivrttih] K^{ac} ; nivrrttih K^{pc}

 $^{^{205}}$ nivṛttyā] E_{DH} (em.); nivartyā K

iti.

sattvārtho 'pi nivṛttau nāsti. na hi gagane²⁰⁶ gaganakamale vā kācid arthakriyā sambhavati. ciraniruddhād apy atītād avasturūpāc²⁰⁷ cakrāt sattvārtho bhaviṣyatīty apy asāram, ciranīrutasyāpi²⁰⁸ kukku[K fol. 9v]ṭasya kaṇṭhadhvaniprasaṅgāt.

nanu yogyadhiṣṭhānād gaganād apy arthakriyāḥ sambhavantīti cet. 209 na sambhavanti, yogyadhiṣṭhānād eva cittarūpād arthakriyā, na gaganāt, nīrūpatvāt tasya. $^{\rm xlv}$

nanu nirodhya maṇḍalacakraṃ sattvārthakāle punar utpādyate. tato 'rthakriyā bhavati. tataḥ punar eva nirodhyate, punar evotpadyata iti cet. asad etat. yathā sattvārthakriyāyās tattvato²¹⁰ nāsti prādurbhāvaḥ, tathā cakrasyāpi. tato nārthakriyāyāḥ sambhavaḥ. na ca nirodhya²¹¹ punar utpāde kiñcit prayojanam astīty alam atiprapañceneti.

8.7 saptamasya asāratvam

ṣaṣṭhapakṣoktadoṣasandohasya saptame²¹² 'pi bhāvān na piṣṭapeṣaṇaṃ²¹³ kriyate. nanu ṣaṣṭhena saptamasya samānatvāt kathaṃ saptamasya tato viśeṣaḥ? asti viśeṣaḥ. pūrvāvasthāyāṃ niyatacakrākāratā, punaḥ svecchayā nirvṛtiḥ svecchotpādanaṃ²¹⁴ ceti. saptame punar etan nāsti. tato na samānatā. bhinnaś ca nirdiṣṭa iti.²¹⁵

 $^{^{\}rm xlv}$ TVA $_{\rm D}$ varies significantly for this paragraph.

²⁰⁶ gagane] K E_{DH} TVB_G; no reflext in TVA_D

 $^{^{207}}$ avasturūpāc] K $E_{\rm DH}$ TVB $_{\rm G}$ (d
ngos po med pa'i ngo bo); dngos po'i ngo bo TVA $_{\rm D}$ (vasturūpāc)

 $^{^{208}}$ ciranīrutasyāpi] $\mathit{conj.};$ cirutasyāpi K; virutasyāpi $E_{DH};$ yun rin por khyim bya shi ba TVAD; yun ring por long pa'i khyim bya shi ba TVBG (ciramṛtasyāpi)

 $^{^{209}}$ sambhavantīti cet] conj.; saṃbhavanti K E_{DH}

 $^{^{210}}$ tattvato] K (tatvato) E_{DH} ; de las TIB (tato)

²¹¹ nirodhya] E_{DH} ; niro((dhya)) K (some kind of correction is made, but uncertain from what to what); 'gogas pa las (possibly nirodhāt)

 $^{^{212}}$ ṣaṣṭhapakṣoktadoṣasandohasya saptame] conj . (TIB: drug pa'i phyogs la bshad pa'i skyon gyi (gyi] TVAD; gyis TVBG) tshogs bdun pa la); ṣaṣṭhapakṣoktaṃ saṃdāhasyāṣṭame K; ṣaṣṭhapakṣoktasaṃdohasyāṣṭame E_{DH}

 $^{^{213}}$ pistapesaṇaṃ] K ac $\rm E_{DH};$ pistapre | ṣaṇaṃ K ac

 $^{^{214}}$ nirvṛtiḥ svecchotpādanaṃ] conj. (TVB $_{\rm G}$: yang rang gi 'dod pas 'gog cing rang gi 'dod pas skyed par byed pa); svecchetpādanaṃ K; svecchotpādanaṃ E $_{\rm DH}$; yang dang yang du rang gi 'dod pas skyed par byed pa nyid TVA $_{\rm D}$

 $^{^{215}}$ K E_{DH} ; tha mi dad pa ma yin par bstan to TVA_D ; tha mi dad pa ma yin par bstan to TVB_G

9 caturthasya sekasya svarūpam

```
dambholibījasrutidhautaśuddha-<sup>216</sup> pāthojabhūtāṅkurabhūtapuṣṭi<sup>217</sup>| turīyaśasyaṃ<sup>218</sup> paripākam eti<sup>219</sup> sphutam caturthaṃ viduṣo 'pi gūḍham || 17 ||
```

[E_{DH} p. 147] dambholītyādi. etat sadgurūpadeśato jñeyam.

10 aparam mithyāsādhyam mithyātattvam ca

```
pañcapradīpāmṛtabinducandra-
bhrūmadhyabindūdbhavamaṇḍalāni |
vāyoḥ svarūpaṃ galaśuṇḍikādyam
atattvarūpaṃ svayam ūhanīyam || 18 ||
```

pañcapradīpetyādi. pañcapradīpaśabdena gokudahanalakṣaṇasya, amṛtaśabdena vimumāraśulakṣaṇasya satatānuṣṭhānam eva sādhyaṃ manyante. bindur iti hṛccandrasthaṃ binduṃ dedīpyamānaṃ tattvaṃ sādhyaṃ ceti kṛtvā kecid bhāvayanti. candra iti hṛdisthaṃ kalārūpam ardhacandraṃ vā hṛtkamalasthaṃ kecid bhāvayanti.

bhrūmadhyabindūdbhavamaṇḍalānīti bhruvor madhye ūrṇāyāṃ binduṃ vibhāvya tadbindūdbhavāni maṇḍalāni vāyuvāruṇamāhendrāgneyalakṣaṇāni. etad uktaṃ bhavati—mukhaśravaṇanāsikācakṣurghrāṇarasanāni²²⁰ hastāṅgulībhiḥ pidhāya bhrūmadhyabindur draṣṭavyaḥ. tasya sphuṭāvasthāyāṃ śubhāśubhani[K fol. 10r]mittasaṃsūcakāni māhendrādimaṇḍalāny upajāyante. taṃ ca binduṃ tattvam iti manyante.

vāyoḥ svarūpam iti pūrakakumbhakarecakapraśāntakalakṣaṇam 221 ānāpānādilakṣaṇam 222 ceti. etad 223 uktaṃ bhavati—śaivasāṃkhyādinirdiṣṭaṃ 224 vāyusva-

```
<sup>216</sup> °sruti°] corr.; śruti K E<sub>DH</sub>
<sup>217</sup> pāthoja°] E<sub>DH</sub> (E<sub>DH</sub>reports the ms. as reading pāthojña, but this seems to be incorrect); pāthauja° K
<sup>218</sup> turīyaśasyaṃ] E<sub>DH</sub>; tutīyaśasyaṃ K
<sup>219</sup> eti] E<sub>DH</sub> (em.); eta K
<sup>220</sup> mukhaśravaṇanāsikācakṣurghrāṇarasanāni] K E<sub>DH</sub>; kha dang | rna ba dang | sna dang | mig
TVA<sub>D</sub> TVB<sub>G</sub>
<sup>221</sup> °recaka°] E<sub>DH</sub>; recakaṃ K
<sup>222</sup> ānāpānādilakṣaṇaṃ] E<sub>DH</sub>; anāpānā° K
<sup>223</sup> etad] E<sub>DH</sub> (em.); tad K
<sup>224</sup> śaivasāṃkhyādi°] E<sub>DH</sub> (em.) TVB<sub>G</sub> (shi ba dang grangs can la sogs pas); saivasaṃkhyādi° K; grangs can la sogs pas TVA<sub>D</sub> (smākhyādi°)
```

rūpam jñātvā tam vāyum nirodhabhāvanayā sthirīkṛtyākāśenotplutya gamanam parapurapraveśam yāvan muktim ca sākṣātkurvanti vāyuvādinaḥ.

galaśuṇḍiketi. galapradeśe jihvāmūlopari hastiśuṇḍikākārā adhaḥpralambamānā upajihvāsaṃjñikā galaśuṇḍikāsti. sā ca śaktirūpā. tadadhaḥ śivarūpam 225 asti tattvam. sā ca [E_{DH} p. 148] jihvāgreṇa spṛśyamānā nirantarāmṛtaṃ sravati. tena ca ghargharāmṛtavarṣaṇena santarpyamānam ātmānaṃ dhyāyād iti galaśuṇḍikātattvam. ādiśabdena hṛnmadhyaṣoḍaśanāḍikācakramadhyasthajñānasvarūpaṃ 226 śivarūpaṃ tattvaṃ bhāvayitavyam ityādīnāṃ parigrahaḥ. xlvi

tatsarvam tīrthikādibhis tattvarūpenābhimatam. atattvam iti svayam evohanīyam vicāranīyam iti yāvat.

11 upasamhāra

svapnendrajālapratibimbamāyāmarīcigandharvapurāmbu[K fol. 2r]candraiḥ | anyaiś ca śabdair²²⁷ upamābhidheyair naivāsti sādhyam kathitād ihānyat || 19 ||

svapnendrajāletyādi. svapnendrajālopamam pratibimbamāyāmarīcigandharvanagarodakacandropamam iti śabdair anyaiś ca gagaṇapratiśrutkaphenopamam ityādiśabdair upamābhidheyair upamāvācakair naivāsti sādhyam kathitāt sādhyād anyat. param kathita eva sādhye, ete śabdāḥ pravartanta iti svayam boddhavyam.

xlvi TIB continues to describe this practice. TVA_D reads: yang smras pa | bcu las drug lhag rtsa dang ldan pa'i 'khor lo yi || dkyil na gnas pa'i snying gar rnam par gnas pa'i bdag | des ni de yi khyad par lta bu'i grub pa ster || de ni mngon par mi g-yo ba yi yid dag gis || rnal 'byor pa yi sems de de ltar mngon par bsam || nub par gyur pa'i mgon po rgyal bar gyur de ni || nus pa dag gis de ni yongs su bskor dang bcas || TVB_G reads: de yang smras pa | bcu las drug lhag rtsa dang ldan pa'i 'khor lo'i dkyil na gnas pa snying kar rnam par gnas pa'i bdag |des ni de'i khyad par lta bu yi grub pa ster | de ni mngon par mi g.yo ba'i yid dag gis || rnal 'byor pa yis de ltar mngon par bsam par bya || nus par gyur pa'i mgon po rgyal bar gyur || de ni nus pa dag gis de ni yongs su bskyor dang bcas ||

 $^{^{225}}$ tadadhaḥ śivarūpam] K E_{DH} TVB $_{G}$ (de'i 'og na zhi ba'i ngo bo); sdig pa'i rang bzhin du yong pa TVA $_{\rm D}$

 $^{^{\}bar{2}26}$ hṛnmadhyaṣoḍaśanāḍikācakramadhyasthajñānasvarūpaṃ] K E_{DH} TVB $_{G}$ (snying ka'i dbus kyi 'khor lo rtsibs bcu drug pa'i dbus na gnas pa ye shes kyi rang bzhin); snying ga'i dbus kyi dkyil 'khor rtsibs bcu drug pa'i dbus na hūm gnas pa ye shes kyi rang bzhin (hṛnmadhyaṣoḍaśanāḍikāmaṇḍalamadhyahūmsthajñānasvarūpaṃ)

 $^{^{227}}$ śabdair em. (cf. comm.); sarvair K E_{DH}

gambhīraśūnyapratibhāsamātra-²²⁸ śāntāti²²⁹sūkṣmānabhilāpyaśabdaiḥ | nirlepanīrūpa²³⁰nirañjanādyair bhrāntir na kāryāparasādhyasattve || 20 ||

 $[E_{\mathrm{DH}}$ p. 149] gambhīraśūnyam pratibhāsamātram śāntātisūkṣmam anabhilāpyam nirlepam nīrūpam²³¹ nirañjanādi.²³² ādiśabdāt śivam nirākāram niṣprapañcam anādyantanidhanam i[K fol. 10v]tyādiśabdair bhrāntir na kartavyā. aparasādhyasattve, aparasya sādhyasya sattve sattāyām.²³³ ebhiḥ sarvair eva param api kiñcit sādhyam kathitād astīti bhrāntir na kartavyā. atha nātikathitam eva sādhyam ebhiḥ sarvair abhidhīyata iti niścayaḥ.

12 pariņāmanā

nām.

akhilagagaṇagarbhavyāpisaptaprakāra-²³⁴ grathitavacanarūpād yan mayāsādi puṇyam | anupamasukhavidyāsaktasaddehanirmijjinajanitajanārthas tena loko 'yam astu || tattvaratnāvalokaḥ samāptaḥ. kṛtir iyaṃ paṇḍitavāgīśvarakīrtipādā-

śrīsamāje parā yasya bhaktir niṣṭhā ca nirmalā tasya vāgīśvarasyeyaṃ kṛtir vimatināśinī²³⁵ ||

vikacakumudatārākṣīrakundānukāri²³⁶ pracitam api ca puṇyaṃ yan mayā granthito 'smāt | anupamasukhapūrṇaḥ svābhavidyopagūḍho

```
^{228} °mātra°] E_{DH}; mātraṃ K
```

²²⁹ śāntāti] E_{DH}; sāntādi K

 $^{^{230}}$ nirlepanīrūpa°] $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$ (em.); nirlepanīpa K

 $^{^{231}}$ nīrūpam] $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$ (em.); nirupamam K

 $^{^{232}}$ nirañjanādi] K; nirañjanaṃ $\rm E_{DH}$

 $^{^{233}}$ sattāyām] K; sattvāyā E_{DH}

²³⁴ °saptaprakāra°] E_{DH}; °sarvaprakāra° K

²³⁵ vimatināśinī] E_{DH}; vimatināsanī K

 $^{^{236}}$ vikacakumudatārāk
ṣīrakundānukāri $\it em.$; vikacakumudakṣīratārakundānukāri
] $\rm E_{DH}$; vikarektāmudaksīratārākundānukāri K

bhavatu nikhilalokas tena vāgīśvaraśrīḥ ||

tattvaratnāvalokavivaraņam samāptam. kṛtir iyam paṇḍitācāryavāgīśvarakīrtipādānām.

References

Abhidharmakośavyākhyā by Yośamitra. Wogihara Unrai, ed. n.d. Sphuṭārthā Abhidharmakośavyākhyā by Yaśomitra. 2 vols. Tokyo: The Publishing Association of the Abhidharmakośavyākhyā

Mantrārthāvalokinī by Vilāsavajra. A. TRIBE. 2016. Tantric Buddhist Practice in India: Vilāsavajra's Commentary on the Mañjuśrīnāmasaṃgīti. Routledge Studies in Tantric Traditions. Taylor & Francis

Mitākṣarā of Vijñāneśvara. Narayan Ram Acharya, ed. 1949. Yājñavalkyasmṛti of Yogīśvara Yājñavalkya with the Commentary Mitākṣara of Vijñāneśvara, Notes, Varient [sic!] Readings etc. Bombay: Nirnaya Sagar Press

Subhāṣitaratnakośa compiled by Vidyākara. D. D. Kosambi and V. V. Gokhale, eds. 1957. *The Subhāṣitaratnakoṣa Compiled by Vidyākara*. Cambridge Massachusetts: Harvard University Press

Hetubinduṭīkāloka by Durvekamiśra. Sukhlalji Sanghavi and Muni Shri Jinavi-Jayaji, eds. 1949. Hetubinduṭīkā of Bhaṭṭa Arcaṭa with the Sub-Commentary Entitled Āloka of Durveka Miśra. Baroda: Oriental Institute

Secondary Sources

Ingalls, Daniel H. H. 1965. *An Anthology of Sanskrit Court Poetry: Vidyākara's "Subhāṣitaratnakoṣa"*. Vol. 44. Harvard Oriental Series. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.