# Tattvaratnāvaloka and its Vivaraņa

Vāgīśvarakīrti

February 18, 2025

# Some Conventions/Policies

- Tibetan translations are included in the apparatus when they indicate variant Sanskrit readings.
- Sanskrit renderings suggested by Tibetan appear in brackets after the translation's siglum. These renderings are hypothetical and cannot be provide in all cases.
- When two Tibetan translations differ slightly, they are separated by a semicolon. Only the second translation includes a Sanskrit rendering.
- A Tibetan translation's siglum is included when it appears to support one of multiple Sanskrit readings, based on the editors' judgment.
- Tibetan is not included in the apparatus when it offers no clear support for or against a reading.
- When both Tibetan translations of the commentary agree, they are given the siglum TV.

# Sigla and Abbreviations

TaRaa Tattvaratnāvaloka

TaRaa-Vi Tattvaratnāvalokavivaraņa E<sub>DH</sub> Dhīḥ vol. 21, pp. 129–149. K NAK 5–252 = NGMPP A 915/4

TM<sub>D</sub> De kho na nyid rin po che snang ba. Tōhoku no.

1889. sDe dge bstan 'gyur, vol. Pi, fols. 203r3-

204r5. Tr. by 'Gos Lhas btsas

TVA<sub>D</sub> De kho na nyid rin po che snang ba'i rnam par

bshad pa. Tōh. 1890. sDe dge bsTan 'gyur, vol. 44 (rGyud 'grel, Pi), fols. 204r5–214v4. Tr. by

'Gos Lhas btsas.

TVB<sub>G</sub> De kho na nyid rin po che snang ba'i rnam par

bshad pa. Ōtani no. 4793. bsTan 'gyur gSer bris ma, vol. 84 (83 in BDRC outline(?)), (rGyud 'brel,

Zhu), fols. 70v–85v. translator given.

TV Both Tibetan translations of the comme-

ntary (differences, if any, indicated in a mini-

aparatus)

ac ante correctionem

deest omitted in

diag. conj. diagnostic conjecture [e.g. 'reconstructed' from

Tibetan]

conj. conjecture

em. emendation [an emendation is made with a

high degree of confidence, whereas a conjecture proposes a correction while acknowle-

dging a greater possibility for alternatives]

fol./fols. folio/folios

pc post correctionem

 $egin{array}{ll} r & ext{recto} \ v & ext{verso} \end{array}$ 

| $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}$ | Reading shared in all witnesses but X          |
|-----------------------|--|
| ((kiṃcit))            | Reading uncertain-either illegible or othe-    |
|                       | rwise in doubt                                 |
| <kimcit></kimcit>     | Reading cancelled                              |
| †kiṃcit†              | Reading does not make sense to the editor and  |
|                       | an adequate conjecture was not able to be cho- |
|                       | sen.   |
| [kiṃcit]              | Indication of a diagnostic conjecture          |
|                       | Damaged akṣara (one . per half akṣara)         |
|                       | Lacunae of an unknown quanity of akṣaras       |
| 0                     | Mark of abbreviation                           |

# **Text**

#### mangalācaraņam 1

```
[K fol. 1r] [siddham]<sup>1</sup> namah śrīsadgurupādebhyah |<sup>i</sup>
anupamasukharūpī śrīnivāso 'nivāso
nirupamadaśadevīrūpavidyah² savidyah |
tribhuvanahitasaukhyaprāptikāro 'vikāro
jayati kamalapānir yāvad āśāvikāśāh || 1 || ii
```

[K fol. 2r3] namah samantakāyavākcittavajrāya. iii

anupametyādi. kamalam padmam pānau yasya sa kamalapānir avalokiteśvaro bhagavāñ jayatīti sambandhah. kimviśistah? anupa-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> Scribal homage

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>ii</sup> This verse is in Mālinī metre.

iii Scribal homage

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> [siddhaṃ]] K; oṁ E<sub>DH</sub> <sup>2</sup> nirupama°] E<sub>DH</sub>; nirūpama° K

mam ity³,iv atipraṇītatvamahattvāsaṃsārasthāyitvalakṣaṇair⁴ dharmair yuktasyānyasyābhāvād upamārahitaṃ sukham eva rūpaṃ svabhāvo yasya sa tathoktaḥ. punar api kiṃviśiṣṭaḥ? śrīḥ puṇyajñānasambhāralakṣaṇā, tasyā nivāsa āśrayo yaḥ sa tathā. dharmakāyarūpatvena⁵,v sarvagatatvāt [ $E_{DH}$  p. 132] pratiniyatanivāsābhāvād anivāsaḥ.

punaḥ kīdṛśaḥ? nirupamāḥ paramarūpayauvanaśṛṅgārādirasa-mahākaruṇādiyuktatvenopamātikrāntā rūpavajrāditārāparyantada-śadevīrūpā vidyāḥ paricārakatvena<sup>6</sup> yasya sa tathā. saha svābhārū-payā vidyayā<sup>7</sup> vartata iti savidyaḥ. tribhuvanasya tribhuvanavartino janasya yad dhitam āyatipathyaṇ<sup>8,vi</sup> buddhatvādikaṃ, sau-

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{iv}}$  Here one may wish to conjecture a reading such as, anumapetyādi. anupamam ity ...This reading is partially suggested by TV: khyad par ji lta bu zhig dang ldan zhe na | dpe med ces bya ba la sogs pa smos te | dpe med pa ni (ni] TVAD; dang TVBG). The corruption, if there is one, can be seen as a kind of haplography. The text nonetheless reads acceptably well with the transmitted reading (although perhaps less smootly); thus we feel that while a conjecture is possible it is not strongly compelling.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>v</sup> The manuscript's *dharmakāyarūpitvena* is theoretically acceptable and nearly synonymous; however, *dharmakāyarūpatvena* is more expected, with forms in "rūpatvena being vastly more frequent in Classical Sanskrit. TV's reading *chos kyi sku'i ngo bo nyid kyis* does not clearly confirm either variant, as *rūpin* in the root verse is also translated as *ngo bo*. We provisionally adopt *dharmakāyarūpatvena*, but cannot fully discount the transmitted reading.

vi We need not necessarily read a compound for *āyatipathyaṃ*, treating instead *āyati* as a locative of *āyat*. The expression appears as a gloss for *hita* in several Buddhists texts, such as in Vilāsavajra's *Mantrārthāvalokinī*: *mahyaṃ hitaṃ maddhitaṃ hitam āyatipathyam āgāmipariṇāmatvāt* (p. 233). Similarly, Durvekamiśra writes in his *Hetubinduṭīkāloka*: *parasmai hitam āyati pathyaṃ* (p. 212). In

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  kiṃviśiṣṭaḥ? anupamam ity] K  $E_{DH}$ ; khyad par ji lta bu zhig dang ldan zhe na | dpe med ces bya ba la sogs pa smos te | dpe med pa ni  $TVA_D$ ; khyad par ji lta bu zhig dang ldan zhe na | dpe med ces bya ba la sogs pa smos te | dpe med pa dang  $TVB_G$  (kiṃviśiṣṭa ity āha anumapam ityādi. anupamam)

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  °saṃsārasthāyitva°] K; °saṃsārasthāyisva°  $E_{\rm DH}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> dharmakāyarūpatvena] *em.*; dharmakāyarūpitvena K E<sub>DH</sub>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> paricārakatvena] *em.*; sapari((c))ārakatvena K; saparivārakatvena E<sub>DH</sub>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> vidyayā] K E<sub>DH</sub>; rig pa ste | shes rab TV (vidyayā prajñayā)

 $<sup>^8</sup>$ āyatipathyam] variant word division in  $E_{DH}\!\!:\!$ āyati pathyam; and in K: āyati | pathyam

both cases, the construction is ambiguous, but in the latter case, the editors of Durvekamiśra's text have not taken it as a compound.

The word *āyatipathya* is used less ambiguously in compound by Śākyaraksita, quoted in the following note. Similarly, the roughly parallel expression ayatisukha is evidnetly treated as a compound by Yasomitra in his Abhidharmakośavyākhyā: aihikasukhārtham apunyam iti. ihasukhāpeksayā tat krtam nāyatisukhāpekṣayety arthaḥ (vol. 1 p. 299). Note also the contrast made with aihikasukha. Likewise, we can find a compounded form of *āyatiduhkha* in a verse attributed to Naradatta in the Subhāsitaratnakośa: mundāpriyād āyatiduhkhadāyino vasantam utsārya vijrmbhitaśriyah | na kah khalāt tāpitamitramandalād upaiti pāpam tapavāsarād iva || 'Who does not become miserable because of a rogue who, like a hot day, is hated by widows (? mundā) (the hot day being hated by bald men), who leads to future pain, whose wealth expands after he expels those living with him (like the hot day manifests its richness having dismissed the spring), and who annoys his circle of friends (like on a hot day the orb of the sun is heated) (cf. INGALLS 1965: 553).

vii The text is insecure here but perhaps not far from the author's intention. Where the manuscript reads tad dāpayati pathyam (word division unclear, pa and ya touching), we conjecture tadātve pathyam, following only partially the lead of TVAD. The Tibetan translations read as follows: gang la phan pa ni ma 'ongs pa'i phan pa ste | sangs rgyas nyid la sogs pa'o || de la bde ba ni 'phral gyi phan pa ste | (TVA<sub>D</sub>); gang la phan pa ni ma 'ongs pa'i phan pa ste | sangs rgyas nyid la sogs pa dang | de la bde ba ni bde ba ste | 'khor lo bsgyur ba nyid la sogs pa'o || (TVB<sub>G</sub>). It appears that TVB<sub>G</sub> also transmits a corrupt reading with de la bde ba nit bde ba ste. TVA<sub>D</sub> suggests reading something that contrasts with ayatipathyam, for which tadatve pathyam fits. Another possibility is āpātapathyam, but tadātva is more often used in contrast with āyati. See, for example, Śākyaraksita's Vrttamālāstutivrtti: prthagjanatve 'pi āyatipathyadarśinas tadātve ca nispāpāh (p. 299); 'Although ordinary people, they see the future welfare and are without sin in the present moment.'

The Tibetan translations also suggest that hita and saukhya are linked with relative and corelative pronouns: gang la and de la, or yasya and tasya in Sanskrit. This does not yield good sense. It is possible that tadātve was misread by the translator as a corelative pronoun, while it is also possible that a second relative pronoun (yat) or a conjunction (ca) was found in the original text near saukhvam. Here hitasaukhya within the larger compound is only really viable as a dvandva: Avalokiteśvara causes the attainment of (ultimate) welfare and (temporary) happiness for all beings. Given that, tayor yā prāptiḥ might be preferable to tasya yā prāptiḥ, but the singular is also probably acceptable in place of the dual.

6

 $<sup>^9</sup>$  saukhyam tadātve pathyam] conj.; tad dāpayati pathyam K  $E_{DH}$  ( $word\ division$ unclear); de la bde ba ni 'phral gyi phan pa TVAD; de la bde ba ni bde ba ste TVBG <sup>10</sup> prāptih] K E<sub>DH</sub>; thob pa ni rnyed pa ste TV (prāptir lābhah)

[K fol. 2v] sākṣātkriyā, tasyāḥ karaṇaṃ kāro yasya sa tathā. viii aparinirvāṇadharmakatvenāpratiṣṭhitanirvāṇarūpatvenā 11 nyathātvalakṣaṇasya vikārasyābhāvād avikāraḥ. evaṃviśiṣṭo bhagavāñ jayati.

kiyantam kālam ity āha—yāvad āśāvikāśāḥ. āśā daśa diśo gaganasvarūpāḥ. yadvā āśāḥ sarvasattvānām bhavabhogatṛṣṇāḥ. 12 tāsām vikāśā avakāśāḥ pravartanāni, prādurbhāvā iti yāvat. te yāvat tāvad bhagavāñ jayati, sarvahariharahiraṇyagarbhādibhyaḥ prakṛṣṭo bhavatīty arthaḥ.

atrānupamasukharūpīty anena svārthasaṃpattiḥ kathitā. śrīnivāsa ity anena tadupāyaḥ, puṇyajñānasambhārayoḥ śrīśadbenābhihitatvāt. tribhuvanahitasaukhyaprāptikāra ity anena parārthasaṃpattir uktā. nirupamadaśadevīrūpavidyaḥ savidya ity anena tadupāyaḥ, <sup>14</sup>tathābhūtadaśadevīdvātriṃśallakṣaṇāśītyanuvyañjanakāyākāraśūnyena<sup>15</sup> sarvākāraparārthasaṃpatteḥ kartum aśakyatvād iti.

TV does not clearly reflect a *bahuvrīhi* analysis, nor does it very clearly point to another reading: *de dag sgrub par mdzad po gang yin pa de la de skad ces bya'o* (TVA<sub>D</sub>); *de dag gi rgyu mdzad pa gang yin pa de la de skad ces bya'o* (TVB<sub>G</sub>).

viii It is notable that Vāgīśvakīrti evidently understands °prāptikāra as a bahuvrīhi, whereas other commentators may prefer to treat it akin to kumbhakāra and therefore as an upapadasamāsa as per Aṣṭādhyāyī 2.2.19 (upapadam atiṅ). Given the latter understanding, the expected gloss for prāptikāra would be prāptim karoti. Compounds ending in kāra are occasionally analysed as ṣaṣṭhītatpuruṣas: see, for examples, Vijñāneśvara's Mitākṣarā ad Yājñavalkya-dharmaśāstra 2.61 on satyaṃkārakṛta, here referring roughly to a thing 'acquired with a pledge', i.e., acquired as earnest money: karaṇaṃ kāraḥ, bhāve ghañ. satyaṣya kāraḥ satyaṃkāraḥ—kāre satyāgadasya (Aṣṭādhyāyī 6.3.70) iti mum. satyaṃkāreṇa kṛtaṃ satyaṃkārakṛtam (p. 275). We are unable to provide another example of a compound ending in kāra analysed as a bahuvrīhi, but we should also note that the compound prāptikāra is itself rare.

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>$  °rūpatvenā°] K  $E_{DH};$ ngo bo rnyed pas TVA $_{\!D};$ ngo bo brnyed pas TVB $_{\!G}$  (°rūpaprāptyā°)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> °tṛṣṇāḥ] E<sub>DH</sub> (°tṛṣṇās); tṛṣṇā K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> te yāvat tāvad] *em.*; tā yāvat tāvad K E<sub>DH</sub>; de srid du TV (tāvad)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> tathābhūta°] K E<sub>DH</sub> TVB<sub>G</sub> (de lta bu); no reflex in TVA<sub>D</sub>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> °kāyā°] K E<sub>DH</sub>; dam pa'i sku TV (°satkāyā°)

# 2 prayojanādi

śrīmantranītigatacārucaturthasekarūpam vidanti na hi ye sphuṭaśabdaśūnyam | nānopadeśagaṇasaṃkulasaptabhedais teṣāṃ sphuṭāvagataye kriyate prayatnaḥ  $\parallel 2 \parallel^{\mathrm{ix}}$ 

śrīmantranītiśabdena<sup>16</sup> sāmānyayogatantravācakenāpi śrīsamājaḥ<sup>17</sup> parigṛhyate, caturthārthakasyānyatrāsambhavāt. śeṣaṃ subodham. nānācāryopadeśagaṇasaṃkulai $[E_{DH}\ p.\ 133]$ r vyākulaiḥ<sup>18</sup> saptabhir bhedaiḥ prakārair<sup>19</sup> atītānāgatavartamānācārya<sup>20</sup>gatopadeśarāśisaṃgrāhakaiḥ.<sup>21,x</sup> sphuṭāvagataye sukhena sphuṭapratītyartham<sup>22</sup> iti.

ix This verse is in Vasantatilakā.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>x</sup> In this case  $TVB_G$  resembles closely the Sanskrit text transmitted in K, apart from the addition of a further gloss after  $vy\bar{a}kula$ . The reading rnam par bkab pa ('covered') doesn't yield much sense, but it could be a mistake for rnam par bkang ba ('filled'), which is perfectly fitting and synonymous with  $TVA_D$ 's rnam par khyab pa (Negi records the latter as rendering  $vip\bar{u}rna$  in some texts). One may wish to conjecture such a reading.  $TVA_D$  is significantly different here, even though most of the words of the transmitted Sanskrit text are still reflected: du ma'i man ngag ces bya ba la sogs pa la | 'das pa dang ma 'ongs pa dang | da ltar gyi slob dpon du ma'i man ngag gi tshogs yang dag par bsdus pa'i mdun gyi dbye bas yongs su dkrugs pa ni | rnam par dkrugs pas rnam pa thams cad la rnam par khyab pa ste | des bsgrub par bya ba dkrugs pa'o || The text is dubious but reflects a Sanskrit text along the following lines:  $n\bar{a}nopade\acute{s}ety\bar{a}di$ .  $at\bar{i}t\bar{a}n\bar{a}gatavartam\bar{a}n\bar{a}c\bar{a}ryopade\acute{s}ara\acute{s}isamgrāhakaiḥ$  saptabhir bhedaih samkulair  $vy\bar{a}kulaih$   $sarvatrav\bar{i}p\bar{u}rnaih$  taih  $s\bar{a}dhyasamkulaih$ .

 $<sup>^{16}</sup>$ śrīmantranītiśabdena] K $E_{\rm DH}$  TVB $_{\rm G}$  (dpal ldan sngags kyi gzhung lugs zhes bya ba'i sgras); dpal ldan sngags kyi gzhung lugs shes  $\parallel$  zhes bya ba la sogs pa la  $\mid$  sngags kyi gzhung lugs zhes bya ba'i sgras ni  $\mid$  TVA $_{\rm D}$  (śrīmantranītigatetyādi. mantranītiśabdena)

 $<sup>^{17}</sup>$  śrīsamājaḥ] K $\mathrm{E_{DH}};$ shugs ky<br/>is dpal gsang ba 'dus pa TV (sāmarthyāt śrīsamājaḥ)

 $<sup>^{18}</sup>$ vyākulaiḥ] K $E_{\rm DH};$ rnam par dkrugs pas rnam pa thams cad la rnam par khyab pa TVA $_{\rm D};$ rnam par 'khrugs pa rnam par bkab pa ste TVB $_{\rm G};$ vyākulair vipūrṇaiḥ possible conj. (see notes)

 $<sup>^{19}</sup>$  prakārair] K  $E_{DH}$ ; no reflex in TV

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> °vartamānā°] E<sub>DH</sub>; °pravartamānā° K

 $<sup>^{21}</sup>$  °gato°] K  $E_{DH}\ TVB_{G}$  (gtogs pa); no reflex in  $TVA_{D}$ 

 $<sup>^{22}</sup>$ sukhena sphuṭapratītyartham] K $\rm E_{DH}$ ; b<br/>de bar gnas par khong du chud par bya ba'i phyir TVA $_{\rm D}$ ; b<br/>de bar gsal bar khong du chud par bya'o TVB $_{\rm G}$ 

# 3 tīrthikānām tattvam sādhyam ca

sambhrāntabodhā nikhilā hi tīrthyās tattvasya sādhyasya ca rūpavittau | tebhyaḥ prakṛṣṭaḥ kila tattvavettā vedāntavādīti janapravādaḥ || 3 ||<sup>xi</sup>

sambhrāntetyādi. sambhrānto vibhrānto bodhaḥ prajñāviśeṣo yeṣāṃ tīrthikānāṃ te tatho[K fol. 3r]ktāḥ.<sup>23</sup> sarva eva tīrthyā ātmātmīyagrahatimiropahatabuddhinayanāḥ. tattvam idam iti sādhyam idam<sup>24</sup> iti ca tattvasya sādhyasya yat<sup>25</sup> svarūpam, tasya yā vittiḥ pratītiḥ, tasyāṃ bhrāntāḥ. śeṣaṃ subodham.

nanu tattvasādhyayor upādeyatvenaikarūpatvāt kathaṃ tattvasya sādhyasya ceti<sup>26,xii</sup> bhedena nirdeśa iti cet. asad etat. tattvaṃ hy upādeyatve 'pi<sup>27</sup> sukhaduḥkhopekṣādisakalapratibhāsasaṃdohavyāpakam.<sup>28</sup> sādhyaṃ cānabhimataparihāreṇecchālakṣaṇaṃ phalam upādeyatve 'pi sakalaprāṇibhir avaśyam evāsādhyavyāvṛttyā sādhayitavyatvenābhimatam ity adoṣaḥ.

# 4 vedāntavādinām śrāvakapratyekabuddhānām ca sādhyāni

tatra tāvad $^{29}$ vedāntavādyabhimatam sādhyam āha—ānandarūpam ityādi.

xi This verse is in Indravajrā.

 $<sup>^{</sup>xii}$  E<sub>DH</sub> misreads the manuscript as *tattvasya sādhyasya ceti* and supplies *kathaṃ* after *ceti*. There is in fact a *kathaṃ* before *tattvasya* in the manuscript, but the *tat* preceding that *kathaṃ* is evidently a corruption.

 $<sup>^{23}</sup>$  te tathoktāḥ] K $^{pc}$ ; te thoktāḥ K $^{ac}$ ; tathoktāḥ E $_{\rm DH}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> sādhyam idam] *em.*; sādhyam cedam K E<sub>DH</sub>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> yat] E<sub>DH</sub> (em.); tat K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> katham tattvasya sādhyasya ceti] em.; tat katham tatvasya sādhyasya ceti K; tattvasya sādhyasya ceti katham  $E_{\rm DH}$  (em.)

 $<sup>^{27}</sup>$ upādeyatve 'pi]  $\mathit{conj}.$  (TV: blang bar by<br/>a ba nyid yin yang); upādeyatvenāpi K $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$ 

 $<sup>^{28}</sup>$ °vyāpakam] K (°kaṃ)  $E_{DH}$  TVB $_{G}$  (khyab par byed pa yin la); shes bya tsam du khyab par byed pa yin la TVA $_{D}$  (°vyāpakaṃ jñeyamātratvena)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> tāvad] K E<sub>DH</sub> TVA<sub>D</sub> (re zhig); no reflex in TVB<sub>G</sub>

ānandarūpaṃ svavid $^{xiii}$  aprakampyaṃ vedāntinaḥ sādhyam uṣanti śāntam $^{30}$  | saśrāvakāḥ $^{31}$  khaḍgajināś ca sādhyam icchanti rūpādyupadher virāmam || 4 ||

ānandarūpam iti sadāsukhamayatvāt. svavid iti jyotīrūpatvena<sup>32</sup> svayam prakāśamānatvāt.<sup>33</sup> aprakampyam iti nityatayā<sup>34</sup> kampayitum aśakyatvāt. śāntam<sup>35</sup> iti kleśopakleśaśūnyatvena parikalpitatvāt. evamvidham sādhyam uṣanti kāmayante.

saha śrāvakair vartante ye khaḍgajināḥ khaḍgaviṣāṇakalpā e-kacāriṇo vargacāriṇaś³⁶ ca pratyekabuddhās te sādhyam icchanti. kīdṛśam? rūpādyupadher virāmaṃ rūpavedanāsaṃjñāsaṃskāravijñānalakṣaṇānām upadhīnāṃ skandhānāṃ virāmaṃ vicchedam, nirodham iti yāvat. [ $E_{DH}$  p. 134] etad uktaṃ bhavati—sarvaśrāvakapratyekabuddhāḥ sopadhiśeṣanirupadhiśeṣabhedena bhinne 'pi nirvāṇe³⁶ nirupadhiśeṣam eva nirvāṇaṃ sā[K fol. 3v]kṣātkartavyatvena sādhyaṃ pratipannāḥ.

# 5 pāramitānayavādinām caturvidham sādhyam

idānīm pāramitānayavādinām abhimatam $^{38}$  caturvidham sādhyam āha—ākāraśūnyam ityādi.

ākāraśūnyaṃ gaganendurūpaṃ pratyātmavedyaṃ karuṇārasaṃ ca | sallaksanair bhūsitam<sup>39</sup> arthakāri

xiii From the commentary it is clear that *svavid* is not in compound; thus, being an accusative form of a feminine noun, we expect *svavidam*. The form may be grammatically justifiable if it is treated as neuter adjective, akin to *vedavid*.

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{^{30}}$  śantam] corr.; santam K  $E_{DH}$ ; no reflex in  $TM_D$ 

 $<sup>^{31}</sup>$ saśrāvakā<br/>ḥ]  $\mathit{em.};$ saśrāvakā K $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> jyotīrūpatvena] K; jyotirūpatvena E<sub>DH</sub>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> prakāśamānatvāt] E<sub>DH</sub> (*em.*); prakāśamānāt K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> nityatayā] E<sub>DH</sub>; anityatayā K TV (mi rtag pa nyid kyis)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> śāntam] *corr.*; sāntam K E<sub>DH</sub>

 $<sup>^{36}</sup>$  vargacāriņaš] K TV (tshogs kyi spyod pa) ; vanacāriņaš  $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{DH}}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> nirvāņe] E<sub>DH</sub>; nirvāņa° K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> abhimatam] E<sub>DH</sub>; abhimata K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> bhūsitam] E<sub>DH</sub>; bhusitam K

dānādiniṣyandam apetasaukhyam || 5 || sānandasallakṣaṇamaṇḍitāṅgaṃ sambhujyamānaṃ daśabhūmisaṃsthaiḥ | sattvārthakāri pravadanti sādhyaṃ dānādiṣaṭpāramitānayasthāḥ || 6 ||<sup>xiv</sup>

#### 5.1 pāramitānaye pratham sādhyam

ākārair nīlapītasukhaduḥkhādibhiś citrarūpaiḥ śūnyaṃ nirākāram. ata eva gaganasyeva nirākāratvenendor iva prabhāsvaratvena rūpaṃ svabhāvo yasya tat tathā. pratyātmavedyam iti svasaṃvedanaikavedyam. Avaruṇā duḥkhād duḥkhahetor vā sakalajagadabhyuddharaṇakāmatā. Saiva rasaḥ svabhāvo yasya tat tathoktam. etad uktaṃ bhavati—nīlapītādicitrākāraśūnyaṃ nirābhāsaṃ nirañjanaṃ gaganopamaṃ svacchaṃ sakalajagadarthakāri mahākaruṇāyuktaṃ pratyātmavedyaṃ pāramitopadeśaśabdābhidheyaṃ sādhyam iti pāramitānaye prathamaṃ sādhyam.

xiv These two verses are in Indravajrā.

xv An alternative to °abhyuddharaṇakāmatā is to read °samuddharaṇakāmatā. This definition of karuṇā, in various forms, is well known in Buddhist texts. See, for instance, Durvekamiśra's Hetubinduṭīkāloka: ... duḥkhāt duḥkhahetor vā samuddharaṇakāmatā nāma yā karuṇā ... (p. 234); or Manorathānandin's Pramāṇavārttikavṛtti: duḥkhād duḥkhahetośca samuddharaṇakāmatā karuṇā (edition reads dukhā°; p. 21).

xvi One may instead wish to accept the manuscript reading  $nir\bar{a}bh\bar{a}sanira\tilde{n}janam$ , which is understandable as a  $vi\acute{s}e$ sa $nasam\bar{a}sa$ . The combination of  $nir\bar{a}bh\bar{a}sam$   $nira\tilde{n}janam$  occurrs in a verse from an untracted source cited in Raviśrījñāna's  $Amrtakanik\bar{a}$ : yat  $k\bar{a}yam$   $sarvabuddh\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$   $nira\bar{b}h\bar{a}sam$   $nira\tilde{n}janam$  |  $aj\tilde{n}\bar{a}tam$  akrtam suddham sabhavadivivarjitam | (p. 19)

xvii sakalajagadarthakāri can also be read in compound with mahākaruṇā°. This is reflected in both Tibetan translations: 'gro ba ma lus pa'i don byed pa'i snying rje chen po. Regardless, the two are evidenly closely related.

 $<sup>^{40}</sup>$  svasamvedanaikavedyam]  $E_{\mathrm{DH}}$  (em.) (°vedyam); svasamvedyanaikavedyam K

 $<sup>^{41}</sup>$  karuņā duḥkhād] K; karuņāduḥkhā°  $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> °abhyuddharanakāmatā] em.; °atyuddharanakāmatā K E<sub>DH</sub>

 $<sup>^{43}</sup>$  nirābhāsaṃ] em.; nirābhāsa° K  $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$ 

### 5.2 pāramitānaye dvitīyam sādhyam

śobhanāni ca tāni lakṣaṇāni ca dvātriṃśallakṣaṇasaṃjñakāni,<sup>44,xviii</sup> tair bhūṣitam. arthaṃ janānāṃ prayojanaṃ kartuṃ śīlaṃ svabhāvo yasya tad arthakāri.<sup>45</sup> dānādīnāṃ daśapāramitānāṃ niṣyandaṃ<sup>xix</sup> tatprakarṣaprabhavatvena sadṛśaṃ phalam.<sup>xx</sup> duḥkhasya pūrvam eva prahīṇatvāt sākṣātkaraṇāvasthāyāṃ<sup>46,xxi</sup> saukhyasyāpy abhāvād<sup>47</sup> upekṣārūpatvenāpetasaukhyam apagatasaukhyam. etad uktaṃ bhavati—dvātriṃśallakṣaṇadharāśītyanuvyañjanavirājitaśarīraṃ sakalajagadarthakāri dānādipāramitābhyāsa<sup>†</sup>balenātmānaṃ<sup>48</sup>

xviii The manuscript reading ceti after dvātriṃśallakṣaṇasaṃjñakāni appear superfluous. The commentary analyses sallakṣaṇa as a karmadhāraya, glossing sat with śobhana; dvātriṃśallakṣaṇa serves as a clarification of that, requiring no further conjunction. Likewise, the words iti and saṃjñaka together are redundant. In TV, the zhes bya following the phrase may either render iti or saṃjñaka—we find this rendering for the latter in the commentary on verse 9 for mahāsukhasaṃjñaka. We cannot fully discount that Vāgīśvarakīrti wrote the transmitted reading, nor can we give a clear explanation for the corruption, if it is one. Nonetheless, given that this appears to be genuine redundancy rather than simply a stylistic oddity, we provisionally conjecture a slightly smoother reading.

xix Here *niṣyandaṃ* should be understood either as an accusative form (as it is in the verse) or (less likely) anomalously as a neuter noun.

xx cf. *Abhidharmakośa* 2.57c: *niṣyando hetusadṛśaḥ*. Vāgīśvarakīrti perhaps also alludes to Dharmakīrti's definition of yogic perception in *Nyāyabindu* 11: *bhūtā-rthabhāvanāprakarṣaparyantajaṃ yogijñānaṃ ceti*.

xxi ISAACSON (personal communication) proposes sākṣātkaraṇāvasthāyāṃ or sākṣātkṛtyāvasthāyāṃ as potentially supperior readings to the manuscript's sākṣātkṛtāvatāsthāyāṃ or the previous edition's sākṣātkṛtāvasthāyāṃ.

In support of the former, see Vāgīśvarakīrti's Saṃkṣiptābhiṣekavidhi: tadanantaram ekatathatāmatena tayaiva bhinnamate tv ānayā svasaṃviditajñānasākṣātkaraṇāvasthāyāṃ pūrvoktagāthayā adhyeṣitavate śiṣyāya tatpāṇau tasyāḥ pāṇiṃ pratisthāpya | (p. 417)

 $<sup>^{44}</sup>$ dvātriṃśallakṣaṇasaṃjñakāni]  $\mathit{conj}$ ; dvātriṃśallakṣaṇasaṃjñakāni ceti K $E_{DH}$ ; mdzes pa'i mtshan sum cu rtsa gnyis zhes bya ste TV (dvātriṃśatsallakṣaṇanīti / dvātriṃśatsallakṣaṇasaṃjñakāni)

 $<sup>^{45}</sup>$  tad arthakāri] K  $E_{DH}$  TVA $_{D}$  (de ni don mdzad pa'o); de ni de'i don mdzad pa'o TVB $_{G}$  (tad tadarthakāri)

 $<sup>^{46}</sup>$ sākṣātkaraṇāvasthāyāṃ]  $\mathit{conj.}$  (Isaacson); sākṣātkṛtāvasthāyāṃ $E_{DH};$ sākṣātkrtāvatāsthāyām K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> abhāvāt] *em.* (Isaacson); abhāvatvāt K E<sub>DH</sub>

 $<sup>^{48}</sup>$  °balenātmānaṃ] K  $E_{DH}$ ; stobs kyis bdag nyid TV $A_{D}$ ; stobs kyis byung ba TV $B_{G}$ 

† samyaksaṃbuddharūpaṃ sukhaduḥkharahitatvenopekṣārūpaṃ dvitīyaṃ sādhyam.

# 5.3 pāramitānaye tṛtīyaṃ sādhyam

 $[E_{DH}\ p.\ 135]$  sānandetyādi. sahānandena vartata iti sā $[K\ fol.\ 4r]$ nandam. sānandam ca tat sallakṣaṇamaṇḍitāṅgaṃ ca<sup>49</sup> sambhujyamānam dharmadeśanādvāreṇopajīvyamānam.  $^{50,xxii}$  kaiḥ? daśabhūmīśvaraiḥ, pariśiṣṭabhūmisthitānām $^{51}$  agocaratvāt. daśabhūmiprāptair avalokiteśvaramañjuśrīprabhṛtibhir upabhujyamānam iti yāvat. etad uktaṃ bhavati—śuddhāvāsopari ghanavyūhasaṃjñake $^{52}$  samyaksaṃbuddhabhuvane yathā bhagavān ānandarūpaḥ sambhogakāyātmā nirmāṇadvāreṇa $^{53}$  sakalajagadarthasaṃpādakaḥ śrāvakapratyekabuddhanavabhūmīśvarair apy adṛśyaśarīro daśabhūmīśvarair eva paraṃ bodhisattvair $^{54}$  dharmaśravaṇadvāreṇopabhujyamāna $^{55}$ āsaṃsāraṃ cakāsti, tathaiva tat sādhyam iti tṛtīyam.

#### 5.4 pāramitānaye caturtham sādhyam

saṃpūrya dānādiguṇān aśeṣān saṃbuddhakṛtyaṃ<sup>56</sup> sakalaṃ ca kṛtvā | yad bhūtakoṭeḥ karaṇaṃ ca sākṣāt sādhyaṃ tad apy asti nirodharūpam || 7 ||<sup>xxiii</sup>

xxiii This verse is in Indravajrā metre.

xxii For *upajīvyamāna* we might expect *nye bar 'tsho ba* in Tibetan. Below *upabu-jyamāna* is translated as *longs spyod par bya ba* and then *nye bar longs spyod par bya ba*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> sallakṣaṇamaṇḍitāṅgaṃ ca] *em.* (Isaacson); sallakṣaṇamaṇḍitāṅgaṃ K E<sub>DH</sub>

 $<sup>^{50}</sup>$ °opajīvyamānam] K  $E_{\rm DH};$  nye bar longs spyod par gyur pa'o TV (°opabhujyamānam)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> parisistabhūmi°] *corr.*; parisista bhumi° E<sub>DH</sub>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> °samjñake] *em.*; °samjñako K; °samjñakah E<sub>DH</sub> (*em.*)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> nirmāṇadvāreṇa] K E<sub>DH</sub>; sprul pa'i sku'i sgo nas TV (nirmāṇakāyadvāreṇa)

 $<sup>^{54}</sup>$  param bodhisatvair] K $E_{\rm DH}$  (°sattvair); mchog tu gyur pa'i byang chub sems dpa' TV (paramabodhisattvair)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> °bhujyamāna] *em.*; °bhujyamānam K E<sub>DH</sub>

 $<sup>^{56}</sup>$  saṃbuddhakṛtyaṃ]  $\it em.$  (cf. TaRaA-V: saṃbuddhānāṃ ... avaśyakartavyaṃ kṛtsnaṃ); saṃbuddhya kṛtyaṃ K $\rm E_{DH}$ 

saṃpūryetyādi. dānādipāramitā eva guṇā, guṇyante<sup>xxiv</sup> 'bhyasyanta iti kṛtvā. tān saṃpūrya paripūrṇān<sup>57</sup> kṛtvā, yat saṃbuddhānāṃ kṛtyaṃ sakalam<sup>58,xxv</sup> avaśyakartavyaṃ kṛtsnaṃ tad api kṛtvā, bhūtakoṭeḥ śūnyatālakṣaṇāyāś cittacaittanirodhātmikāyā<sup>59</sup> yat sākṣāt karaṇaṃ tad api sādhyam astīti pāramitānayasthā evaṃ bruvate caturthaṃ sādhyam iti.

# 6 mantranaye saptavidham sādhyam

#### 6.1 mantranaye prathamam sādhyam

idānīm mantranayopadiṣṭam saptavidham<sup>60</sup> sādhyam kathayitum āha—svābhāṅganetyādi.

svābhāṅganāśleṣi<sup>61</sup> janārthakāri<sup>62</sup> duḥkhaiḥ sukhaiś caiva vimuktirūpam | aśītyanuvyañjanabhūṣitāṅgam apetakalpaṃ pravadanti sādhyam || 8 || xxvi

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>xxiv</sup> In the *Dhātupāṭha*, the tenth class verbal root  $\sqrt{guṇ a}$  is said to express  $\bar{a}ma-ntraṇ a$ . Here, however, this is a denominative verb with the sense of  $\bar{a}mredaṇ a$  (multiplication/repetition) formed from the noun guna.

xxv The manuscript's reading of simply sakalam instead of kṛtyam sakalam is asymmetrical given the following gloss, avaśyakartavyam kṛtsnam. Here TV reads simply nges par mdzad par bya ba ma lus pa, reflecting only the gloss and neither sakalam of the K nor the conjecture kṛtyam sakalam. It is also possible that sakalam is a mistaken scribal addition, but it's also possible that even if the Tibetan translators saw kṛtyam sakalam, they chose not to render this because of the superfluous sounding result in Tibetan. We believe the manuscript's transmitted reading is improbable.

xxvi This verse is in Upajāti.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> paripūrnān] *em.*; paripūrnam K E<sub>DH</sub>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> kṛtyam sakalam] conj.; sakalam K E<sub>DH</sub>; no reflex in TV

 $<sup>^{59}</sup>$  cittacaitta°]  $E_{DH}$  (em.); cittacaitya° K

 $<sup>^{60}</sup>$  saptavidham]  $E_{DH}$  (TV rnam pa bdun); caturtham K

 $<sup>^{61}</sup>$ svābhāṅganāśleṣi]  $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{DH}}$  (corr.); svābhāṅgaṇāśleṣi K

 $<sup>^{62}</sup>$ janārthakāri] conj. (TM $_{\rm D}$ 'gro ba yi don mdzad; TaRaA-V: jagadarthakāri); ta..rthakāri K (<code>akṣara uncertain, perhaps</code> gna <code>or mva</code>); tadarthakāri E $_{\rm DH}$ 

svābhāṅganām<sup>63</sup> āśleṣituṃ śīlaṃ svabhāvo yasya tat svābhāṅganā-śleṣi. [ $E_{DH}$  p. 136] apetakalpaṃ vyapagatakalpam, kalpanārahitam iti yāvat. anyat subodham. ayam arthaḥ—samāliṅgitasvābhāṅganā-śleṣi jagadarthakāri dvātriṃśallakṣaṇavibhūṣitaśarīram upekṣārūpaṃ  $^{67,xxvii}$  prathamaṃ sādhyam.

#### 6.2 mantranaye dvitīyam sādhyam

svadevatākāraviśeṣaśūnyaṃ prāg eva sambhāvya sukhaṃ sphuṭaṃ sat | mahāsukhākhyaṃ jagadarthakāri cintāmaṇiprakhyam uvāca kaścit || 9 || xxix

svadevatetyādi. svadevatākāraviśeṣeṇa $^{68}$  sveṣṭadevatākāreṇa śūnyam, nirākāram iti yāvat. prāg eva prathamataram $^{69}$  upadeśāna-

xxvii The compound \*svābhāṅganāśleṣajagadarthakāri is strinckly speaking not impossible, and could perhaps be interpreted as an instrumental *tatpuruṣa*; however, given that this is a prose explanation of the verse, there is no need for the author to use such a compound and it seems more likely that the scribe left off the *ikāra*.

xxviii Something along the lines of āsaṃsārasthāyi sākṣāt kriyata iti may have dropped out of the text here given TV, but there is no very compelling reason to think that it did. The addition words certainly relevant, given that it is a pertinent feature of the first sādhya that it remains active for as long as saṃsāra continues to exist. We can be reasonably sure that TV reflects āsaṃsārasthāyi with 'khor ba ji srid du bzhugs pa, as this is the Tibetan rendering of this word in the next section.

xxix The metre of this verse is Viparītākhyānikī

 $<sup>^{63}</sup>$ svābhāṅganām]  $\rm E_{DH}$  (corr.); svābhāṅganām K

 $<sup>^{64}</sup>$ svābhāṅganāśleṣi]  $\it corr.;$ svābhāṅgaṇāśleṣi K $\rm E_{DH}$ 

 $<sup>^{65}</sup>$  °svābhānganāśleṣi jagadarthakāri] conj. (TVB $_{\rm G}$ : nyid dang mtshungs pa'i lha mos 'khyud pa can 'gro ba'i don mdzad pa); °svābhānganāśleṣajagadarthakāri K  ${\rm E}_{\rm DH}$ ; nyid dang mtshungs pa'i lha mos 'khyud pa can | 'gro ba ma lus pa'i don mdzad pa TVA $_{\rm D}$  (°svābhānganāślesy aśesajagadarthakāri)

<sup>66</sup> śarīram] E<sub>DH</sub>; śarīra K

 $<sup>^{67}</sup>$ upekṣārūpaṃ] K $E_{\rm DH}$ ; b<br/>tang snyoms kyi ngo bo du 'khor ba ji srid du bzhugs pa m<br/>ngon du bya ba yin no zhe bya ba TVA $_{\rm D}$ ; b<br/>tang snyoms kyi ngo bo nyid du 'khor ba ji bzhugs pa m<br/>ngon sum du bya ba yin zhes bya ba TVB $_{\rm G}$  (upekṣārūpaṃ āsaṃsāras<br/>thāyi sākṣāt kriyata iti)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> svadevatā°] K E<sub>DH</sub> TVB<sub>G</sub> (rang lha'i); lha TVA<sub>D</sub> (devatā°)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> prathamataram] K; prathamataro° E<sub>DH</sub>

ntaram eva<sup>70,xxx</sup> devatākāranirapekṣaṃ sukhaṃ sambhāvya, bhāvanayā sākṣāt kṛtvā, sphuṭaṃ<sup>71,xxxi</sup> sphu[K fol. 4v]ṭīkṛtaṃ san mahāsukhasaṃjñakaṃ bhavati. tac ca jagadarthakāri cintāmaṇisamānarūpam. etad uktaṃ bhavati—upadeśānantaram eva mantramudrādevatākārarahitaṃ<sup>72</sup> bhāvanayā sphuṭīkṛtaṃ mahāsukhasaṃjñakaṃ cintāmaṇivaj jagadarthakāri<sup>73</sup> māyopamam āsaṃsārasthāyi dvitīyaṃ sādhyam.

#### 6.3 mantranaye tṛtīyam sādhyam

kṛtvā sākṣāt svādhipaṃ [K fol. 1v] sātarūpaṃ paścāt tyaktvā sātamātraṃ phalaṃ syāt | śuddhaṃ sākṣāc chakyate naiva kartuṃ tenākāro bhāvitaḥ svādhipasya || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 10 || 1

kṛtvetyādi. svādhipaṃ sveṣṭadaivataṃ sākṣāt kṛtvāmukhīkṛtya sātarūpaṃ sukhaikasvabhāvam, paścād devatākāraṃ parityajya, sukhamātraṃ<sup>74</sup> phalaṃ sādhyaṃ vyavasthitaṃ syāt.

nanu yadi $^{75}$  sākṣāt kṛtvāpi devatākāras tyaktavyaḥ, tarhi prathamam eva kasmād [ $\rm E_{DH}$  p. 137] vibhāvitaḥ? sukhamātram eva dvitī-

 $\overline{^{70}}$  upadeśānantaram eva]  $\overline{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{DH}}}$  (*em.*); upadeśāntaram eva K; bshad ma thag pa'i TV

xxx Normally *bshad ma thag pa* in Tibetan has the sense of *anantarokta*, but here the translator probably did intend it to render *upadeśānantaram eva* as we find the same rendering later in the paragraph.

xxxi The understanding offered by TV, which reflects asphuṭaṃ instead of sphuṭaṃ, appears to indicate a misunderstanding on the translator's part, confusing the word division of kṛtvā sphuṭaṃ. It is not possible for sphuṭīkṛtaṃ to take an accusitve object, nor is a form such as sphuṭīkṛtya possible without larger changes to the text.

xxxii This verse is in Śālinī metre.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> sphuṭaṃ] K; deest in E<sub>DH</sub>; ma gsal ba TV

 $<sup>^{72}</sup>$  °rahitaṃ] K $E_{DH}$  TVB $_{G}$  (spangs ste); spangs te | bde ba 'ba' zhig tsam TVA $_{D}$  (°rahitaṃ sukhamātra°)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> jagadarthakāri] K E<sub>DH</sub> TVB<sub>G</sub> ('gro ba'i don mdzad pa); 'gro ba ma lus pa'i don mdzad pa TVA<sub>D</sub> (sakalajagadarthakāri)

 $<sup>^{74}</sup>$  sukhamātraṃ] em.; sukhamātra° K  $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$ 

 $<sup>^{75}</sup>$ nanu yadi]  $\emph{conj.};$ nanu K $E_{DH};$ gal te $TVA_{D}$  ([nanu] yadi);  $\emph{no clear reflex}$   $TVB_{G}$ 

yasādhyavat kim na vibhāvitam?<sup>76</sup> kim vṛthāprayāsenety<sup>77</sup> āha—śuddham ityādi. śuddham kevalam devatākāravirahitam sukhamātram naiva sākṣāt kartum śakyate, ākārarahitasya sukhasyānupalambhāt.<sup>78</sup> tasmāt tena kāraṇenākāro bhāvitaḥ svādhipasyeti tṛtīyam.<sup>79</sup> ayam arthaḥ<sup>80</sup>—devatākārasaṃvalitam eva sukham vibhāvya, sākṣādbhūte devatākāram tyaktvā, sukhamātram eva sādhyam uktaguṇam.<sup>xxxiii</sup>

### 6.4 mantranaye caturtham sādhyam

gagaṇasamaśarīraṃ lakṣaṇair bhūṣitāṅgaṃ nirupamasukhapūrṇaṃ<sup>81</sup> svābhayā saṃgataṃ ca | sphuradamitamunīndraiḥ<sup>82</sup> sarvasattvārthakāri pravadati punar anyaḥ sādhyam ucchedaśūnyam || 11 || xxxiv

gagaṇetyādi. gagaṇasamaṃ māyopamaṃ vicārāsahaṃ<sup>83</sup> śarīraṃ yasya. lakṣaṇair dvātriṃśadbhir<sup>84</sup> aśītibhiś cānuvyañjanair maṇḍitāny aṅgāni yasya. nirupamaiḥ sthaulya<sup>85</sup>-nairantarya<sup>86</sup>-āsaṃsārapravā-

xxxiii Here TV reads yon tan du 'chad do, whereas K transmits the reading uktagunam. It is difficult to say if the Tibetan rendering represents a different underlying Sanskrit reading, but it does convey a different sense. Whereas the Tibetan seems to say that the sādhya 'is taught to be a good quality', the Sanskrit suggests the meaning 'which has the previously mentioned qualities'.

xxxiv This verse is in Mālinī metre.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> vibhāvitam] *em.*; vibhāvitah E<sub>DH</sub> (*em.*); vibhāgato K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> vṛthāprayāsenety] E<sub>DH</sub>; vyathāprayāsenety K

 $<sup>^{78}</sup>$ sukhasyā°] K $\rm E_{DH}$  TVB $_{\rm G}$  (bde ba); bde ba 'ba' zhig TVA $_{\rm D}$  (kevalasukhasyā°)

 $<sup>^{79}</sup>$ °eti tṛtīyam]  $\it em.~TVB_G$  (zhes by<br/>a ba gsum pa yin no); °eti tṛtīyaḥ K $E_{\rm DH};$  ste bsgrub par by<br/>a ba gsum pa yin no  $TVA_{\rm D}$  (tṛtīyaṃ sādhyam)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> arthah] E<sub>DH</sub>; artha K

 $<sup>^{81}</sup>$ nirupama°]  $E_{DH};$ nirūpama° K

<sup>82 °</sup>munīndraiḥ] *em.*; °munīndraḥ K E<sub>DH</sub>

 $<sup>^{83}</sup>$ māyopamam vicārāsaham] K (slightly unclrear); māyopamavicārasaha  $\rm E_{DH}$ 

 $<sup>^{84}</sup>$ lakṣaṇair dvātriṃśadbhir] K $E_{DH};$ mtshan gyi ste | mtshan sum cu rtsa gnyis TVA $_{\!\!\!D};$ mtshan gyis te | mtshan sum cu rtsa gnyis TVB $_{\!\!\!G}$  (lakṣaṇair [iti] dvātriṃśadbhir lakṣaṇair)

 $<sup>^{85}</sup>$ sthaulya°] K $E_{DH}$ ; rgya nom pa nyid dang | rgya che ba nyid dang TVA $_D$  (praṇītatvasthaulya°); lhun che ba nyid dang | TVB $_G$  (sthaulya°)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> °nairantarya°] E<sub>DH</sub> (*em.*) (TV: bar med pa nyid dang); °nairuttaryā° K

hitva<sup>87</sup>-nirāsravatvādibhir upamābhāvād upamātikrāntaiḥ sukhaiḥ pūrṇaṃ romāgraparyantaṃ<sup>88,xxxv</sup> saṃpūrṇam.<sup>xxxvi</sup> svābhayā ca tathābhūtayā saṃgataṃ samāliṅgitam. sphuradbhir<sup>89</sup> anantanirmitair munīndrais tathābhūtair eva sarvasattvārthakāri.<sup>90</sup> ucchedeneti nirodhena śūnyam tucchaṃ riktam.<sup>91</sup>

etad uktaṃ bhavati—gagana-māyā-marīci<sup>92</sup>-gandharvanagara-udakacandra-pratibimba-svapnopamam<sup>93,94</sup> [K fol. 5r] ekānekabhāvābhāvagrāhyagrāhakasvabhāvarahitam anādyantam aśeṣavastu-saṃdohasvabhāvam<sup>95,xxxvii</sup> anābhāsaṃ nirañjanaṃ sarvopamātikrāntaṃ paramasūkṣmātigambhīraprajñārūpatayā dharmakāyasvabhā-

xxxv This conjecture follows the Tibetan translation, with the reading in the K being difficult to account for. See, for instance, a similar expression in *Siddhaika-vīrasādhana* (author unknown): tato niḥsṛtaraśmibhir ā pādatalād vālāgraparyā-ntaprāptaṃ bhāvyate (Sādhanamālā no. 67, vol. 1, p. 67); de las byung ba'i 'od zer gyis rkang pa'i mthil nas skra'i rtse mo'i mthar thug pa khyab par bsgoms te (Tōh. 3461 fol. 116r). ADD REFERENCE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>xxxvi</sup> TO CHECK: TVA<sub>D</sub> appears to be defective here, with different readings in Derge Koyosan and Delhi. TVA<sub>D</sub>: ba spu'i rtse mo'i mthar thug par gyur pa'o || (gyur pa'o || ] Koyosan; gyur ba'i Delhi [MW23703]). TVB<sub>G</sub>: ba spu'i rtse mo'i mthar thug par yang dag par gang bar gyur pa'o ||

xxxvii TV is perhaps ambiguous and may not reflect a different reading of the Sanskrit if *thog ma dang tha ma med pa'i* is understood to qualify *rang bzhin* instead of *dngos po*.

 $<sup>^{87}</sup>$ °āsaṃsārapravāhitva°]  $\mathit{em.};$ °āsaṃsāraṃpravāhitva° $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$  K

 $<sup>^{88}</sup>$ pūrņam romāgraparyantam]  $\it{conj.}$  (TV: gang ba ni | ba spu rtse mo'i mthar thug pa); pūrņnam masimāgrapayantam K; pūrņatām samāśrayantam  $\rm E_{DH}$ 

 $<sup>^{89}</sup>$  sphuradbhir] K  $E_{\rm DH};$  'phro bar gyur pa de yang TVA $_{\rm D}$  (sphuradbhir tair api) (other syntactic placement possible); 'phro ba yang TVB $_{\rm G}$  (sphurdbhair api)

 $<sup>^{90}</sup>$  sarvasattvārtha°] K  $E_{DH}$  (TVB $_{G}$ : sems can thams cad kyi don); sems can gyi don TVA $_{D}$  (sattvārtha°)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> tuccham riktam] K; bhūstham riktam E<sub>DH</sub>; spangs pa'o TV (tuccham / riktam) <sup>92</sup> māyāmarīci°] K E<sub>DH</sub> (TVB<sub>G</sub>: sgyu ma dang | smig rgyu dang |); sgyu ma dang

<sup>&</sup>quot; māyāmarīcī" K E<sub>DH</sub> (TVB<sub>G</sub>: sgyu ma dang | smīg rgyu dang |); sgyu ma dang | smīg rgyu dang | smīg rgyu dang | TVA<sub>D</sub> (māyāmarīcīndrajāla° / māyendrajāla-marīcī°)

 $<sup>^{93}</sup>$  °svapnopamam]  $\rm E_{DH};$ svapnāpayam K

 $<sup>^{94}</sup>$  TVA<sub>D</sub> adds an element to the list, perhaps *indrajāla* in Sanskrit. The reading has the advantage of form a list of eight, but this precise list is otherwise unattested as a list of eight illusions.

 $<sup>^{95}</sup>$ anādyantam ašeṣavastusaṃdohasvabhāvam] K $\rm E_{DH}$ ; thog ma dang tha ma med pa'i dngos po ma lus pa'i rang bzhin TV (anādyantāśeṣavastusvabhāvam)

vam, dvātrimśallaksanavibhūsitaśarīram aśītvanuvvañjanavirājitagātram<sup>96</sup> paramaśringārayauvanādyupetam svābhānganālingitāngam rūpavajrāditārāparyantadevīganair anantaprabhedānimittarati<sup>97</sup>svarūpaparamānandopabhogadvārena<sup>xxxviii</sup> pratibimbavat [E<sub>DH</sub> p. 138] sambhujyamānam karunāsamvalitodārarūpatayā sambhogakāyarūpam, nānādhimuktivinevajanaparipācanārtham<sup>xxxix</sup> anekavidhaprātihārvadvārena<sup>98</sup> nirmitānantakulāntarbhūtasambuddhabodhi-

xxxviii The compound beginning anantaprabheda° is challenging to unpack and not entirely secure in its reading. The K transmits the compound in a way that inclues either the word arati or ārati, neither of which can reject prima facia. TVAD suggests reading rati, while TVBG has no reflex of the word but may be corrupt, given that it sounds rather incomplete. TVBG is also missing a reflex of bheda, although it does have one of pra from prabheda, also indicating corruption. The term aniittarati or mtshan ma med pa'i dga' ba does occurr in Jñānapāda's Samantabhadrasādhana: animittarativiśuddheḥ samastadevīgaṇasvabhāvaṃ tat | (122ab; reconstructed in SACCONE and SZÁNTÓ 2023: 261); mtshan ma med pa'i dga' ba rnam dag pa | ma lus lha mo'i tshogs kyi ngo bo nyid || (Tōh. 1855 fol. 34r5; the translation in Tōh. 1856 by Smrtijñānakīrti poses some problems and need not be dealt with here); 'Because of purification by signless pleasure, that [awareness] has as its nature the group of all goddesses.' This parralel does lend support to reading animittarati, but the context is technical and esoteric, so some caution is due.

As for the analysis of the compound, while various possibilities may be entertained, the main ambiguity is whether anantaprabheda qualifies animittarati or paramānanda. An analysis on the basis of the former could read: anantāh prabhedāh yasya sānantaprabhedānimittaratih, tatsvarūpasya paramānandasyopabhogah, taddvārena. Of the Tibetan translation, while TVAD renders all words found in the Sanskrit text as constitued in some form, it is hard to intrepret if one does not remove or modify various instrumental and genitive particles. From *rūpavajrā*° up to sambhujyamānaṃ, TVAD reads: gzugs rdo rje la sogs pa nas | sgrol ma'i mthar thug pa'i lha mo'i tshogs kyis mtshan ma med pa'i dga' ba'i rang gi ngo bo'i rab tu dbye ba dpag tu med pas mchog tu dga' ba la nye bar longs spyod pa'i sgo nas | gzugs brnyan dang 'dra bas yang dag par longs spyod pa. TVBG has the same readings, apart from the two suspected lacunae mentioned above.

xxxix E<sub>DH</sub> misreports K as reading paripāvanārtha.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> °gātram] K E<sub>DH</sub>; no reflext in TV

<sup>97</sup> anantaprabhedānimittarati°] conj. (TVA<sub>D</sub>: mtshan ma med pa'i dga' ba'i rang gi ngo bo'i rab tu dbye ba dpag tu med pas); anantaprabhedānimittārati° KE<sub>DH</sub>; mtshan ma med pa'i rang gi ngo bo'i rab tu dpag tu med pas TVB<sub>G</sub>

<sup>98</sup> anekavidhaprātihārya°] K E<sub>DH</sub>; rdzu 'phrul dang cho 'phrul rnam pa du ma TVA<sub>D</sub> TVB<sub>G</sub> (anekarddhiprātihārya°)

sattvaspharaṇasaṃhārakāritvena 99 nirmāṇakāyātmakam, śūnyatā-karuṇābhinnabodhicitta 100 svabhāvāmalaprajñopāyasamādhisambhūtasatsukhāpūrṇam 1 āsaṃsārasthitidharmaṃ 101 apratiṣṭhitanirvāṇarūpaṃ nirmalanivātaniścalapradīpaśikhāprabandhanityatayā nirodhaśūnyaṃ caturthaṃ 102 sādhyam.

#### 6.5 mantranaye pañcamam sādhyam

kṛtvā sākṣāt svādhipaṃ sātarūpaṃ tyaktvopekṣājñānamātraṃ $^{103}$  phalaṃ syāt | āsaṃsārasthāyi sattvārthakāri cintā $^{104}$ ratnaprakhyam $^{105}$  ekāntaśāntam || 12 || $^{xli}$ 

kṛtvetyādi. sākṣāt svādhipaṃ kṛtvā, paścāt<sup>106</sup> tyaktvā, upekṣārūpaṃ yaj jñānaṃ tanmātraṃ sādhyaṃ syāt. anyat sugamam.<sup>107</sup> etad u-ktaṃ bhavati—maṇḍalacakrarūpaṃ sākṣāt kṛtvā, paścāt tan nirodhya, upekṣājñānamātraṃ sādhyaṃ syāt pañcamam.

#### 6.6 mantranaye şaştham sādhyam

kṛtvā sākṣān maṇḍalaṃ sātarūpaṃ paścāt tasya svecchayā nirvṛtiś 108,xlii ca |

xl See Sahajavilāsa, Svādhiṣṭhānakurukullāsādhana (SāMā no. 183, p. 383): tataḥ prajñopāyāmalasamādhisambhūtasatsukhāpūrṇam iva svadehaṃ trailokya ca paśvet.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>xli</sup> This verse is in Śālinī metre.

xlii For the intended meaning of nirodha, learned authors may prefer the word

 $<sup>^{99}</sup>$  °bodhisattva°] conj. (TVB $_{\rm G}$ : by<br/>ang chub sems dpa'i); °bodhi° K $\rm E_{DH}$ ; by<br/>ang chub sems dpa' la sogs pa'i TVA $_{\rm D}$  (°bodhisattvādi°)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>100</sup> °bodhicitta°] E<sub>DH</sub>; °bodhicittā° K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> °dharmam] *conj.* (TV: chos can); dharmānām K E<sub>DH</sub>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup> caturtham] E<sub>DH</sub>; caturtha K

 $<sup>^{103}</sup>$ tyaktvopekṣā°] K (E $_{\rm DH}$  incorrectly reports as tyajyo°) (TaRaa-Vi: tyaktvā, upekṣārūpaṃ yaj jñānaṃ); bhāvopekṣā° E $_{\rm DH}$  (em.); no reflex in TM $_{\rm D}$ 

 $<sup>^{104}</sup>$  cintā°] K $^{pc}$  E $_{
m DH}$ ; cittā° K $^{ac}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>105</sup> °prakhyam] E<sub>DH</sub>; °prakhyamm K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>106</sup> paścāt] E<sub>DH</sub>; paścāta K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>107</sup> sugamam] E<sub>DH</sub>; sūgamam K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>108</sup> nirvrtiś] K; nirvrtim E<sub>DH</sub>

sattvārthasyāpy asty abhāvo na vāsmin prādurbhāvo nirvrtād<sup>109</sup> asti yasmāt || 13 ||<sup>xliii</sup>

kṛtvetyādi. kṛtvā sākṣān maṇḍalaṃ sātasaṃvalitam, 110 tasya svechayā nirvṛtir nirodhaḥ.

nanu yadi sākṣāt kṛtvāpi paścāt svecchayā nirodhayita[K fol. 5v]vyam, $^{111}$  tadā karuṇāyā anekakālābhyastāyā abhāvaḥ syāt. tasyāś cābhāvāt sattvārthābhāvaḥ [ $E_{DH}$  p. 139] syād ity āśaṅkyāha—sattvārthasyāpy asty abhāvo na vetyādi. asmin pakṣe sattvārthābhāvo nāsti, yasmān nirvṛtāc cakrāt karuṇāsaṃvalitāt sattvārthasya prādurbhāvo 'sti. xliv'

etenaitad evāha—sātasaṃpūrṇacakraṃ<sup>xlv</sup> sākṣāt kṛtvā, yāvad iṣṭaṃ kālaṃ vyavasthāpya, paścāt tasya sarvathaiva pradīpavan nirodhaṃ kṛtvā sthātavyam.<sup>112,xlvi</sup> yadā punaḥ sattvārthābhilāṣo bha-

*nirvṛtti* (derrived from  $nir-\sqrt{vr}$ ) to nirvṛti (derrived from  $nir-\sqrt{vr}$ ), but here metre prevents the former. There is in any case overlap between the two words and they are not always strictly differentiated.

xliii This verse is in Śālinī metre.

xliv The syntax of TV suggests reading karuṇāsaṃvalitasya: 'gags pa'i 'khor lo las snying rje'i rang bzhin can sems can gyi don ('gags pa'i] TVB<sub>G</sub>; 'gog pa'i TVA<sub>D</sub>) However, karuṇāsaṃvalita naturally qualifies cakra and not sattvārtha.

xlv Here one may wish to emend to sātasaṃpūrṇaṃ cakraṃ to avoid the karma-dhāraya, given that the author did not previously use a karmadhāraya when referring to this. Nevertheless, such a karmadhāraya is in any obvious way out of the normal scope of Vāgīśvarakīrti's usage.

xlvi It is possible that  $krtv\bar{a}$   $sth\bar{a}tavyam$  was missing from the original text or from the version of it consulted by the Tibetan translators; alternatively, it is possible that the translators simply didn't feel it was necessary to explicitly render. Here the agent of  $sth\bar{a}tavya$  can be understood to be the unspecified  $s\bar{a}dhaka$  who is also the agent of the gerunds earlier in the sentence. Although a genudive of the causitive of  $\sqrt{sth\bar{a}}$ ,  $sth\bar{a}tavya$  here has no object that is specified apart from the  $s\bar{a}dhaka$  himsef: i.e., he should make himself rest or establish himself in a state by doing what is described. The construction is frequently used in the Hevajratantra, such as in 2.3.44:  $satatam devat\bar{a}m\bar{u}rty\bar{a}$   $sth\bar{a}tavyam$   $yogin\bar{a}$  yatah; '... for the yogin should always establish himself/remain with the form of the deity.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>109</sup> nirvrtād] E<sub>DH</sub>; nivrtād K

 $<sup>^{110}</sup>$  sātasaṃvalitam] *em.* (TV: bde ba'i rang bzhin can); sātaṃ saṃvalitaṃ K  $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>111</sup> nirodhayitavyam] *em.*; nirodhayitavyah K E<sub>DH</sub>

 $<sup>^{112}</sup>$ nirodham kṛtvā sthātavyam] K $\rm E_{DH};$ 'gog pa yin no TV (nirodham)

vati, tadā<sup>113</sup> niruddhād eva cakrāntaram utpādya sattvārthaḥ kartavyaḥ. cakrāntarotpāde<sup>114</sup> 'pi ciraniruddhād<sup>115</sup> eva cakrād yathābhavyatayā<sup>116</sup> vineyānāṃ yathābhilaṣitaprāptir bhavatīti ṣaṣṭham.<sup>117</sup>

#### 6.7 mantranaye saptamam sādhyam

kṛtvā sphuṭaṃ rūpam abhīṣṭam eṣāṃ paścān nirodhaṃ phalam āha kaścit | abhinnarūpaś ca yato nirodho na pakṣabhede 'pi tato 'sti bhedaḥ || 14 || slviii

kṛtvetyādi. ṣaṇṇāṃ pakṣāṇām anyatamasya phalasya<sup>119</sup> sādhyatvād yad yad evābhiṣṭaṃ<sup>120</sup> tad<sup>121</sup> eva sākṣāt kṛtvā, paścāt sarvathaiva pradīpavan nirodha uttarakālaṃ sattvārthādiśūnyaḥ sākṣāt kartavyaḥ.

nanu ṣaṭpakṣabhedena ṣaḍ eva<sup>122</sup> nirodhāḥ syuḥ. tat katham eka eva nirodha ity āśaṅkyāha—abhinnetyādi. abhinnaṃ<sup>123</sup> rūpaṃ yasya sa tathā.<sup>124</sup> na hi nirodhānāṃ ṣaṭpakṣalakṣaṇabhede 'pi bhedo

xlvii If *phala* is understood as the object of  $\sqrt{ah}$ , then the reading *nirodhaṃ* is an acceptable reading, but the agent of  $krtv\bar{a}$  has to be understood as separate from that of  $\sqrt{ah}$ , perhaps depending on an implicit verbal form such as  $s\bar{a}dhya$ . Alternatively, one can supply an *iti* after *phalam* and understand the preceding as direct speech, thus avoiding any confusion about the agents of  $krtv\bar{a}$  and  $\sqrt{ah}$ . xlviii This verse is in Rāmā metre.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>113</sup> tadā] K E<sub>DH</sub> TVB<sub>G</sub> (de'i tshe); de'i TVA<sub>D</sub> (tad°?)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>114</sup> cakrāntarotpāde] E<sub>DH</sub>; cakrāntaropāde K

 $<sup>^{115}</sup>$  ciraniruddhād]  $\emph{em}.$  (TV: rin du 'gags pa'i); citaniruddhād K; cittaniruddhād  $\rm E_{DH}$ 

 $<sup>^{116}</sup>$  yathābhavyatayā] variant word division in  $E_{\mathrm{DH}}$ : yathā bhavyatayā

 $<sup>^{117}</sup>$ ṣaṣṭham] K (ṣaṣṭhaṃ)  $\rm E_{DH}$  TVB $_{\rm G}$  (drug pa'o); bsgrub par bya ba drug pa'o TVA $_{\rm D}$  (sādhyaṃ ṣaṣṭham)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>118</sup> nirodham] E<sub>DH</sub>; nirodha(m) K (fort. corr. h); nirodhah possible em.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>119</sup> anyatamasya phalasya] *conj.*; arthaphalasya K E<sub>DH</sub>; nang nas 'bras bu TV

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>120</sup> phalasya sādhyatvād yad yad evābhiṣṭam] K E<sub>DH</sub>; 'bras bu bsgrub bya gang kho na TVA<sub>D</sub> (phalam sādhyam yad eva); 'bras bu bsgrub bya gang kho na mngon par 'dod pa TVB<sub>G</sub> (phalam sādhyam yad evābhistam);

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>121</sup> tad] E<sub>DH</sub> TVB<sub>G</sub> (de); sad K; no reflex in TVA<sub>D</sub>

<sup>122</sup> sad eva] E<sub>DH</sub>; satreva K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>123</sup> abhinnam] E<sub>DH</sub>; abhinna K

 $<sup>^{124}</sup>$  sa tathā] em.; tat tathā K  $E_{DH}$ 

'sti, abhāvaikarūpatayā nirodhasya samānatvāt. ayam arthaḥ—anyatamapakṣaṃ sākṣāt kṛtvā paścāt tasya santānocchedarūpo nirodha iti saptamaṃ sādhyam.

# 7 caturthaseke vipratipattayah

#### 7.1 caturthaseke vipratipattih prathamā

prajñājñānād uttaraṃ bodhicittāsvādas turyaṃ sekam $^{125}$  āhāvaraṃ tat | yasmāt $^{126}$  sarvo bhāvanāsu prayāso vyarthah prāptas tatphalasya prasiddheh $^{127,\mathrm{xlix}}$  || 15 ||

 $[E_{DH} p. 140]$  prajñājñānetyādi. prajñājñānopadeśād uttarakālaṃ<sup>128,li</sup> yat bodhicittasyāmṛtarūpasya<sup>129</sup> rasanayā grahaṇam, tat turyaṃ caturthaṃ [K fol. 6r] sekam āha kaścit. tac cāvaraṃ hīnam, vinikṛṣṭam

xlix TM<sub>D</sub>'s reading *rab tu mi rung phyir* is suprising, given the commentary, presumably executed by the same tranlator, reads *rab tu grub pa nyid* [*kyi phyia*].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This verse is in Śālinī metre.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm li}$  TV indicates that the text may have included a compound analysis of  $praj\bar{n}\bar{a}-j\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ , but if so, it is unclear what kind of compound this analysis is signifies. If it is for a  $karmadh\bar{a}raya$ , we would expect the TV to read as it does elsewhere for such analyses, with something like  $shes\ rab\ kyang\ de\ nyid\ yin\ la\ |\ ye\ shes\ kang\ de\ nyid\ yin\ (cf.\ the\ commentary\ on\ 5cd).$  The reading in  $TVB_G$  is probably corrupt after  $phyis\ te:\ byang\ chub$  appears to have been moved from the following clause with bodhicitta to this clause. Perhaps the text should read  $phyis\ te\ rdzogs\ pa'i\ dus\ so\ ||\ gang\ zhe\ na\ |.$  Taken altogether, TV suggests the translator may have had a different reading here, but no compelling emendation is indicated.

<sup>125</sup> sekam] E<sub>DH</sub>; sesam K

 $<sup>^{126}</sup>$ yasmāt]  $\rm E_{DH}$  (TV: gang phyir) (TaRaA-Vi: yasmāt); paścāt K

 $<sup>^{127}</sup>$  prasiddheḥ] K $\rm E_{DH};$  rab tu mi rung phyir  $\rm TM_D$  (aprasiddheḥ?)

 $<sup>^{128}</sup>$  prajñājñānopadeśād uttarakālaṃ] K $E_{\rm DH}$ ; shes rab dang ye shes ni shes rab ye shes te | dbang bskur ba'i bye brag go || phyis ni 'das pa'i 'og tu'o || gang zhe na | TVA\_D (prajñājñānetyādi. prajñā ca jñānaṃ prajñājñānaṃ sekaviśeṣaḥ. uttaram paścāt. kim iti); shes rab dang ye shes te | dbang bskur ba'i bye brag go || phyis te rdzogs pa'i dus kyi byang chub gang zhe na | TVB\_G

 $<sup>^{129}</sup>$ bodhicittasyāmṛtarūpasya]  $\it em.$  (TVA $_{\rm D}$ : byang chub kyi sems te); saṃ bodhicittasyāmṛtarūpasya K $E_{\rm DH}$ ; sems te TVB $_{\rm G}$  (cittasya)

iti yāvat. kasmād avaram? yasmāt sarvaprayāso mantramudrādevatādyākārabhāvanāsu punaḥ punar anuṣṭhānalakṣaṇas tathāgatokto<sup>130</sup> vyarthaḥ prāptaḥ. lii kutaḥ? tatphalasya bhāvanāsādhyasya phalasya bodhicittāsvādakāla eva prasiddhatvāt prāptatvāt, <sup>131</sup> anyasya viśiṣṭasya phalasyābhāvād iti yāvat.

#### 7.2 caturthaseke vipratipattir dvitīyā

prajñājñānād uttaraṃ prāptarāmāsvādas turyaṃ sekam āhādhamaṃ tat | yasmāt sarvo bhāvanādau prayatno buddhoddiṣṭo niṣphalaḥ saṃprasaktaḥ || 16 || 16 ||

prajñetyādi. prajñājñānād uttarakālam yāḥ prāptā yathāmilitā rāmāḥ striyas tāsām samāpattidvāreṇa<sup>132</sup> ya āsvādaḥ, tat turyam sekam. tad apy adhamam. śesam gatārtham.

10

lii TV reflects basically the same words as transmitted in K but with an understnading that may reflect a different underlying reading. Whereas the Sanskrit text as transmitted in K appears to suggest primarily one thing that would be *vyartha* on this position—namely, *sarvaprayāsa* taught by the *tathāgatas* that is characterised by repeated *anuṣṭhāna* directed at meditations on mantras and so forth. TV, on the other hand, seems to understand two items that would be *vyartha*: namely, *sarvaprayāsa* and *sgrub pa'i mtshan nyid*, probably *anuṣṭhānalakṣaṇa*: *gang gi phyir sngags dang phyag rgya dang* | *lha nyid la sogs pa'i rnam pa bsgom pa la yang dang yang du 'bad pa dang* | *gzhan yang de bzhin gshegs pas gsungs pa'i sgrub pa'i mtshan nyid don med pa thob par 'gyur ro* || 'Because it would follow that repeated effort in meditation ... and, what's more (*gzhan yang*; Skt. *ca*?), what is characterised as practice taught by the *tathāgatas* would be useless'. This understanding is made more noteworthy by the manuscripts reading the dual *tathāgatoktau*, but may be just conicidental, given that the understnading reflected in TV is not very compelling on the level of overall sense.

liii This verse is in Śālinī metre.

 $<sup>^{130}</sup>$  tathāgatokto]  $E_{\mathrm{DH}}$ ; tathāgatoktau K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>131</sup> prasiddhatvāt prāptatvāt] K E<sub>DH</sub> TVB<sub>G</sub> (grub pa nyid dang | thob pa nyid dang |); rab tu grub pa nyid dang | TVA<sub>D</sub> (prasiddhatvāt)

 $<sup>^{132}</sup>$  samāpattidvāreņa]  $E_{DH}$ ; rig pa'i sgo nas  $TVA_D$  (rig *fort. pro* reg); reg pa'i sgo nas  $TVB_G$  (sparsadvārena)

#### 7.3 āgamasya vyākhyānam

atha<sup>133</sup> caturtham tat punas tatheti<sup>134,liv</sup> vyākhyāyate. caturtham iti<sup>135</sup> prajñājñānam trtīyam apekṣya caturtham ity ucyate. tad iti tacchabdena tad eva prajñājñānam tadrūpam<sup>136</sup> parāmṛṣyate.<sup>lv</sup> punar iti punaḥṣ́abdena tasmād viṣ́eṣaḥ. viṣ́eṣaṣ́ cātra<sup>137</sup> nirāsravaniruttarātyantasphītāvicchinnaprabandhapravāhitva<sup>138</sup>lakṣaṇaḥ.<sup>139,lvi</sup> tatheti tathāṣ́abdena tādṛṣ́atvam abhidhīyate. tādṛṣ́atvam ca yādṛṣ́yā prajñādiyuktayā<sup>140</sup> sāmagryā yādṛṣ́aṃ prajñājñānam utpannam, paṣ́cād api tādṛṣ́yaiva sāmagryā tathaiva cotpadyate, nānyatheti tathāṣ́abdārthah.

atra ca lakṣyalakṣaṇabhāvenārtho<sup>141,lvii</sup> boddhavyaḥ. lakṣyate 'neneti lakṣaṇam anubhūyamānaṃ prajñājñānam, apratīyamāna-

liv Samājottara 113f

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>lv</sup> The referent of tat in  $tadr\bar{u}pam$  is evidently caturtham. TVB<sub>G</sub> essentially reflects the transmitted Sanskrit reading but eva, if it is rendered by nyid, is slightly out of place.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{lvi}}$   $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{DH}}$  emends niruttara to nirantara stating that this reading is bhotanusari, but the situation in TV is slightly more complex. The transmitted Sanskrit suggests reading a string of adjectives starting with  $an\bar{a}srava$  that qualify pravahitva. Here reading nirantara and avicchinaprabandha would lead to redundancy. TV instead renders a series of abstract nouns before pravahitva, with TVAD including something reflective of nairantarya (bar ma chad pa nyid). Both TVs lack a reflex of niruttara.

lvii For laksyalaksanabhāva, TVAD reads mtshon par bya ba'i don mtshan par byed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>133</sup> atha] K E<sub>DH</sub> TVB<sub>G</sub> (de la); no reflex in TV

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>134</sup> punas tatheti] E<sub>DH</sub> (em.); punar iti K

<sup>135</sup> caturtham iti] K E<sub>DH</sub> TVA<sub>D</sub> (bzhi pa ni); no reflex in TVB<sub>G</sub>

 $<sup>^{136}</sup>$ tad eva prajñājñānam tadrūpam] K $E_{DH}\ TVB_G$  (shes rab ye shes de nyid kyi ngo bo de); shes rab ye shes kyi ngo bo de  $TVA_D$ 

 $<sup>^{137}</sup>$  °ātra]  $E_{DH}$ ; no reflex in TV

 $<sup>^{138}</sup>$  °niruttarātyantasphītāvicchinnaprabandhapravāhitva°] K; °nirantarātyantasphītāvicchinnaprabandhapravāhitva°  $E_{\rm DH}$  (em.); shin tu rgyas pa nyid dang | bar chad med pa nyid dang | rgyun mi 'chad par skye ba nyid kyi TVAD (°ātyantasphītatvanairantaryāvicchinnaprabandhapravāhitva°); shin tu rgyas pa nyid rgyun mi chad par skye ba nyid kyi TVBG (°ātyantasphītatvāvichinnaprabandhapravāhitva°)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>139</sup> 'laksanah] E<sub>DH</sub>; 'laksanam K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>140</sup> °yuktayā] *conj.* (TV: dang ldan pa'i); °yuktyā K E<sub>DH</sub>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>141</sup> °ārtho] K E<sub>DH</sub> TVB<sub>G</sub> (don); no reflex in TVA<sub>D</sub>

sya lakṣaṇatvāyogāt, nāgṛhītaviśeṣaṇā  $[E_{DH} \ p. \ 141]$ viśeṣyabuddhir iti nyāyāt. lakṣyate jñāyate pratipādyate $^{142}$  'neneti $^{143,lviii}$  lakṣyaṃ sākṣāt kariṣyamāṇaṃ caturtham.

#### 7.4 caruthaseke vipratipattis tṛtīyā

atra caturthaṃ<sup>144</sup> nāstīty eke.<sup>lix</sup> nanu caturtham ity etad asti tatpadam.<sup>145,lx</sup> tat kathaṃ nāstīty ucyate? satyam, upadeśasaṃrakṣārthaṃ<sup>lxi</sup> sattvavyāmohanāya ca tṛtīyam eva caturthaśabde[K fol.

*pa'i dngos po*, which looks like a corruption for TVB<sub>G</sub>'s *mtshon par bya ba dang* rather than anything indicative of a variant reading in the Sanskrit.

lviii By normal conventions the *anena* here would indicate that the word being glossed, lakşyam in this case, denotes the agent of  $\sqrt{lak}$ . That is clearly not the case, so *anena*, if it is not a corruption (it is not reflected in TVA<sub>D</sub>), must refer back to the laksana.

 $^{
m lix}$  TVA $_{
m D}$  adds near the beginning of this sentence  $Sam\bar{a}jottara$  113ab: abhiṣekam  $tridh\bar{a}$  bhedam asmin tantre prakalpitam |.

lx There is little doubt about the meaning of the text here, but its constitution is not very secure. Both Tibetan translation suggest that the whole of *Samājottara* missing 113f was cited. While TVA<sub>D</sub> offers a somewhat cleaner text, TVB<sub>G</sub> again may reflect something closer to K, with a pronoun immediately following *iti* and the word '*caturtha*' marked off by an *iti* on its own. Various proposals could be entertained for a smoother Sanskrit text, but what the K transmits can be understood: '[Objections]: But there exists (*asti*) a word (*pada*) for that (*tat*) [fourth initiation]—namely, this (*etat*): "'the fourth [is that again like that]".'

 $^{
m lxi}$  After rendering upadeśasamrakṣārtham (man ngag bsrung bar bya ba'i phyir dang), TVA $_{
m D}$  has apparently suffered from an eyeskip and resumes with its translation of pratipādayiṣyamāṇatvāc ceti.

 $<sup>^{142}</sup>$  pratipādyate] K  $E_{\rm DH};$ go bar by<br/>a zhing bsgrub par bya bas na TVA $_{\rm D};$  khong du chud par by<br/>ed bsgrub par byed pas na TVB $_{\rm G}$  (pratīyate pratipādyate)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>143</sup> 'neneti] K E<sub>DH</sub> TVB<sub>G</sub> ('dis); no reflex in TVA<sub>D</sub>

 $<sup>^{144}</sup>$  caturthaṃ] K $E_{DH}$  TVB $_{G}$  (bzhi pa ni); dbang ni rnam pa gsum dag tu | <lb n="6"/>gyud 'di las ni rab tu grags || zhes gsungs pas na | bzhi pa TVA $_{D}$  (abhiṣekaṃ tridhā bhedam asmin tantre prakalpitam | iti vacanāc caturthaṃ)

 $<sup>^{145}</sup>$ nanu caturtham ity etad asti tatpadam] K (nanu caturtham ity etad asti | tat padan)  $E_{\rm DH}$ ; de ltar de bzhin bzhi pa yang || zhes bya ba'i tshig bcom ldan 'das kyis gsungs pa yod pa ma yin nam | TVA $_{\rm D}$  (nanu caturtham tat punas tatheti padam bhagavatoktam); de lta na de ma yin pa gzhan de ltar de bzhin bzhi pa yang zhes bya ba der bzhi pa zhes bya ba'i tshig bcom ldan 'das kyis gsungs pa yod pa ma yin nam | TVB $_{\rm G}$  (nanu anyatra [? - de ma yin pa gzhan] caturtham tat punas tathety asmin [? - der] caturtham iti padam bhagavatoktam)

6v]noktam bhagavatā. anyathā tat punar<sup>146,lxii</sup> iti noktam syāt.

tad atyantāsaṃgatam, caturthasya pramāṇasiddhasya pratipāditatvāt pratipādayisyamāṇatvāc ceti. lxiii

#### 7.5 lakşyasya vicāraņam

atra lakṣaṇaṃ prajñājñānaṃ pratītam eva sarvaiḥ. lakṣye¹⁴² paraṃ vyāmohaḥ. tad vicāryate. lakṣyaṃ hi bhaved¹⁴³ artharūpaṃ vā syāt jñānarūpaṃ vā. na tāvad¹⁴³ artharūpam, arthasyaivābhāvāt,¹⁵⁰,lxiv ekānekaviyogitvena pramāṇena tasya nirākṛtatvāt. mantranaye ca vijñānavādamadhyamakamatayor¹⁵¹ eva pradhānatvādlxv jñānarū-

 $^{lxv}$  TVA $_D$  finishes the clause ending  $pradh\bar{a}natv\bar{a}t$  with a rdzogs tshig: gtso bo nyid yin pa'i phyir ro. This creates an incomplete sentence with the clause pointing neither forwards or backwards, since the clause ending in  $nir\bar{a}krtatv\bar{a}t$  also ends with phyir ro. The translation may either be corrupt, or perhaps the translator was uncertain about how to construe the clause. Here the reason should probably point forward: although it does support the non-primary of external objects,

lxii Here TVB<sub>G</sub> quotes again the entire  $p\bar{a}da$  of  $Sam\bar{a}jottara$  113f. This is an undesirable reading: It is specifically the words  $tat\ puna\dot{p}$  that indicate the Buddha real intention of speaking of caturtha, not the entire  $p\bar{a}da$ .

lxiii Tib. discusses two further *pakṣas* here: that the fourth referred to in *Samājo-ttara* 113f is the four *aṅga* of *sevā* and so forth; and what appears to be the idea that the fourth initiation consists in the third accompanied by its fruits (*'bras bu dang bcas pa*). Of these the first is rejected on account of its rendering cultivation meaningless, and the latter is rejected as *siddhasādhana*.

lxiv The K's reading *arthasyaikasya* is problematic. At face value, it would mean 'a single external object', whereas the argument is clearly concerning all external objects. Even if the meaning of *eka* were strained and taken in the sense of 'unitary', the following reason would become tautological. Emending *ekasya* to *eva* is also compelling based on the TV, which clearly renders the *eva* with *nyid*.

 $<sup>^{146}</sup>$ tat punar] K $E_{DH};$  TVA $_{\!D}:$  not available; de ltar de bzhin bzhi pa yang TVB $_{\!G}$  (caturtham tat punas tathā)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>147</sup> lakṣye] E<sub>DH</sub> (*em.*) TV (mtshon par bya ba la); lakṣyā K

 $<sup>^{148}</sup>$ lakṣyaṃ hi bhavet] conj. (TV: mtshon par bya ba yang srid na); lakṣyaṃ hi bhagavat K $\rm E_{DH}$  (°gavad)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>149</sup> tāvad] K E<sub>DH</sub>; no reflex in TV

 $<sup>^{150}</sup>$ arthasyaivābhāvāt]  $\it{conj.}$  (TV: don nyid med pa'i phyir); arthasyaikasyābhāvāt K $\rm E_{DH}$ 

<sup>151 °</sup>matayor] E<sub>DH</sub> TV ('dod gzhung); °tamayor K

pam vā syāt. jñānam ca sākāram vā nirākāram vā. sākāram api citrādvaitarūpam vā syād anekarūpam vā syād iti vikalpāḥ.

#### 7.5.1 sākārasya vijñānasya nirākaraņam

tatra sākāravijñānam sarvathaiva gagaņakamalavan nāstīti nirākāravādino bruvate. nanu nīlapītaśuklādighaṭapaṭaśakaṭādi<sup>152</sup>rūpeṇākārāḥ<sup>153</sup> pratibhāsante<sup>154</sup> pratyakṣataḥ. te cārthasyābhāvād jñānarūpā eva. tat kathaṃ sākāraṃ nāstīti?<sup>lxvii</sup> satyam. pratibhāsanta evākārāḥ, param alīkarūpeṇa.<sup>155</sup> alīkarūpatā<sup>156</sup> caikānekaviyogitvena pramāṇalakṣaṇena<sup>157</sup> prasiddhā. tasya ca pramāṇasvarūpasyānyatra<sup>158</sup> kathitatvān, neha<sup>159</sup> pratanyate. alīkatvaprasiddhā ca māyāmayā ivākārā bhrāntirūpāḥ prakāśante.<sup>160</sup> bhrāntinivṛttau ca nirā-

enough reasons have been given to support their general non-existence, and the primacy of awareness in the Vijñānavāda and Madhyamaka positions can be seen as a basis on which one might accept the lak sya to be j n ana.

lxvi Here and at the end of the next paragraph, TV renders *citrādvaita* as *shes pa gnyis med*, as if reading *cittādvaita*. The more expected rendering is *sna tshogs gnyis med*.

 $<sup>\</sup>bar{l}$ xvii  $TVB_G$  presents this argument differently than what is transmitted in Sanskrit but maintains lotical flow:  $don(rnam\ pa)de\ dag\ kyang\ med\ pa'i\ phyir\ shes\ pa'i\ ngo\ bo\ nyid\ kyang\ med\ yin\ na\ |\ de\ ji\ ltar\ rnam\ pa\ dang\ bcas\ pa\ ma\ yin\ zhe\ na\ |\ ^{\rm B}$ ecause those objects [i.e.,  $\bar{a}k\bar{a}ras$ ] also do not exist, the nature of cognition too cannot exist. So how can cognition not have  $\bar{a}k\bar{a}ras$ ?'  $TVA_D$ 's forumulation is unclear: don de dag la med pa'i phyir shes pa'i ngo bo\ nyid\ yin\ na\ |\ de\ ji\ ltar\ rnam\ pa\ dang\ bcas\ pa\ ma\ yin\ zhe\ na\ |

 $<sup>^{152}</sup>$  °śakaṭādi°]  $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$  (em.) TV (shing rta); °prakaṭādi° K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>153</sup> °ākārāh] *conj.*; ((cā))kārāh] K; vākārāh E<sub>DH</sub>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>154</sup> pratibhāṣante] E<sub>DH</sub>; pratibhāṣante K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>155</sup> alīkarūpeņa] K E<sub>DH</sub> TVB<sub>G</sub> (brdzun pa'i ngo bor); brdzun pa yin no TVA<sub>D</sub> (alīka)

 $<sup>^{156}</sup>$ alīkarūpatā] K $\,\mathrm{TVB_G}$  (brdzun pa'i ngo bo nyid); brdzun pa nyid $\mathrm{TVA_D}$  (alīkatā)

 $<sup>^{157}</sup>$  °viyogitvena pramāṇalakṣaṇena] em.; °viyogitvapramāṇalakṣaṇena K  $E_{\rm DH}$ ; dang bral ba'i mtshan nyid kyis TVA $_{\rm D}$  (°viyogalakṣaṇena); dang bral ba'i tshad ma'i mtshan nyid kyis TVB $_{\rm G}$  (°viyogapramāṇalakṣaṇena)

 $<sup>^{158}</sup>$  pramāṇasvarūpasyā°]  $E_{DH};$  pramāṇa((pe))rūpasyā° K

<sup>159</sup> neha] E<sub>DH</sub>; eha K

 $<sup>^{160}</sup>$  prakāśante] K (prakāsante); prakāśyante  $\rm E_{DH}$ 

kāram eva $^{161}$  śuddhasphaṭikasaṃkāśaṃ pāramārthikaṃ $^{162}$  siddhaṃ bhavati. $^{163}$  ataś citrādvaitarūpam anekarūpaṃ ca sākāraṃ vijñānam astīti vikalpadvayaṃ nirastaṃ bhavatīti.

#### 7.5.2 nirākārasya vijñānasya samarthanam

nanu nirākāram api vijñānam upalabdhilakṣaṇaprāptaṃ svapne 'pi nopalabhyate. tat kathaṃ tad asti paramārthata i[K fol. 7r]ty ucyate? ucyate. sukhākāraṃ vijñānam antaḥparisphuradrūpaṃ nirākāraṃ saṃvedyata eva. nīlādyākārāḥ punar alīkāḥ pratibhāsante. anyathā teṣāṃ satyatve sarva evākārāḥ satyāḥ syuḥ. tathā hi grāhyagrāhakabhāvādikam api satyaṃ  $[E_{DH} p. 142]$  syāt. tataś ca sarveṣām eva satyapratibhāsatvena muktiprasaṅgaḥ, satyāh keṣāñcid api mithyāpratibhāsasya bhrāntirūpasyāpratibhāsanāt. tathā coktam—

drastavyam $^{166}$ bhūtato bhūtam bhūtadarśī vimu<br/>cyate $_{\mid lxviii}$ 

tasmād akāmakenāpi nīlādyākārāṇām alīkatvam evaiṣṭavyam. sukhādikaṃ nirākāraṃ<sup>167</sup> satyam upalabhyate. tat kathaṃ nopalabhyata iti.

nanu sukhādyākāram sākāram eva vijnānam<sup>168</sup> upalabhyate, sukhāder ākārasvabhāvatvāt. na ca sukhādyākārasūnyam jnānam svapne 'pi saṃvedyate. sakalabhrāntivigamād aṣṭamyām bhūmāv upalabdhilaksanaprāptir bhavatīty atrāpi kośapānam<sup>169</sup> vinā anyan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>lxviii</sup> Abhisamayālaṅkāra 5.21; Ratnagotravighāga 154; Pratītyasamputpādahṛdayakārikā 7: etc.

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{^{161}}$  nirākāram eva] K  $E_{DH}$  TVB $_{G}$  (rnam pa med pa kho na); rnam pa med pa de kho na TVA $_{D}$  (nirākāram eva tad)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>162</sup> pāramārthikam ] E<sub>DH</sub> (em.); pārarthikam K

 $<sup>^{163}</sup>$  bhavati] K; bhavatīti  $E_{DH}$ 

 $<sup>^{164}</sup>$  paramārthata] em.; paramārtham K  $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{DH}}$ 

muktiprasangah] conj.; yuktiprasangāt K; muktiprasangāt  $E_{DH}$  (em.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>166</sup> drastavyam] E<sub>DH</sub>; drastavya K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>167</sup> nirākāram] K E<sub>DH</sub>; rnam pa brdzun pa TV (alīkākāram)

 $<sup>^{168}</sup>$ sākāram eva vij<br/>ñānam]  $\it conj.$  (TV: rnam pa dang b<br/>cas pa'i kho na shes pa); eva vij<br/>ñānam K $\rm E_{DH}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>169</sup> kośapānam] K (kosapānam); śapathollaṅghanam E<sub>DH</sub> (*em.*)

na<sup>170</sup> pramāṇam asti prasādhakam iti. tad asat,<sup>171</sup> abhiprāyāparijñānāt, sukhādyākārasyaiva nīlādyākārarahitasya vijñānasya nirākāratveneṣṭatvāt. tac cedānīm eva svasaṃvedanapramāṇasiddhaṃ sakalaprāṇabhṛtam<sup>172</sup> astīti kathaṃ nopalabdhiḥ?

#### 7.5.3 madhyamakamatasya samarthanam

nanu tad<sup>173</sup> apy ekānekasvabhāvaviyogād alīkam eva bhrāntimātram, ekānekasvabhāvarahitasya sākāranirākāravijñānavyāpitvāt.

nanv anena nyāyena sakalasākāranirākāravijñānasyālīkatvaprasādhanān na kiñcid api pāramārthikam vastutattvam asti. 174 tat katham lakṣyasya svarūpam pramāṇata upalakṣayitavyam? naiṣa doṣaḥ, madhyamakamate pramāṇato 'līkatāsiddhāv api māyopamapratibhāsamātrasyaikānekasvabhāvarahitasya dharmirūpasyāpratiṣedhāt. tatraiva cālīke pratibhāsamātre lakṣyalakṣaṇasaṃsāranirvāṇa[K fol. 7v]maṇḍalacakrādibhāvanāsakalajagadarthakriyādīnām 175 avyāhatā vyavasthā 176 sidhyati. 177, lxix tathā coktam—

buddhatvam vajrasattvatvam samv<br/>rtyaiva prasādhayet  $|^{\rm lxx}$ 

 $<sup>^{</sup>m lxix}$  E<sub>DH</sub> appears to understand the text as saying that both  $bh\bar{a}van\bar{a}$  and  $jagada-rthakriy\bar{a}d\bar{i}n\bar{a}m$   $vyavasth\bar{a}$  are established. TV suggests that it is  $bh\bar{a}van\bar{a}$  which is the instrument by which the  $vy\bar{a}vasth\bar{a}$  is established. The manuscript reading suggests taking  $^{\circ}bh\bar{a}van\bar{a}$  in compound with the following word—i.e., in the Madhyamaka system, although mere appearance is false, the framework of everything starting with laksyalaksana is established.

lxx Kurukullākalpa 3.16cd

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>170</sup> anyan na] E<sub>DH</sub>; anyatra K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>171</sup> tad asat] conj. (TV: de ni bden pa ma yin te); tad K E<sub>DH</sub>; asad etat possible conj.

 $<sup>^{172}</sup>$  °bhṛtam]  $\it em.;$  °bhṛtām K $\rm E_{DH}$ 

 $<sup>^{173}</sup>$ nanu tad K $\rm E_{DH};$  tat possible conj.

asti] conj.; astīti K E<sub>DH</sub> (astīti?) (iti has no reflex in TV)

 $<sup>^{175}</sup>$  °bhāvanā°] K; °bhāvanā  $E_{DH}$  (variant word division); bsgoms pas TV (bhāvanayā)

 $<sup>^{176}</sup>$  vyavasthā] K; vyavasthā ca  $E_{DH}$  (em.)

 $<sup>^{177}</sup>$  sidhyati]  $\mathit{conj.};$  sidhyatīti K $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$  (no reflext of iti in TV)

iti. 178

nanu sarvam eva vastujātam alīkarūpatayā niḥsāram. tadā kimartham maṇḍalacakrādibhāvanāprayāsaḥ<sup>179</sup> kriyate? asad etat,

```
mithyādhyāropahānārthaṃ<br/> ^{180}yatno 'saty api^{181} [E_{DH}p. 143] bhoktari<br/> |^{182,lxxi}
```

iti vacanāt. yady api vicāryamāṇaṃ pāramārthikaṃ vasturūpaṃ nāsti, tathāpy ahaṃ sukhī bhaveyaṃ mā<sup>183</sup> duḥkhy abhūvam iti tṛṣṇā sakalaprāṇabhṛtām asti. yathā tulye 'pi mithyātve śubhāśubhasvapnayoḥ śubhasvapnadarśanāt saumanasyam aśubhasvapnadarśanāc ca daurmanasyam, tadapanayanāya ca saddharmapāṭhamantrajāpādau pravṛttir bhavati, tathā mithyātvāviśeṣe 'pi duḥkhādiprākṛtavikalpahānāya<sup>lxxii</sup> samyaksaṃbodhilakṣaṇaprāptaye<sup>184</sup> ca prekṣāvatām arthinām pravṛttir bhavisyatīti.

# 8 saptavidheşu sādhyeşu sārāsāravicāraņam

nanu yadarthas tavāyam¹85,lxxiii ārambhaḥ so ʾrthaḥ pralayaṃ gataḥ. tathā hi lakṣyalakṣaṇacintātra prastutā. sā ca vismṛtā, kva gateti na jñāyate.

lxxi Pramānavārttika, Pramānasiddhi 193cd.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm lxxii}$ cf.  $Samantabhadras\bar{a}dhana$  (as quoted in Kamalanātha's  $Ratn\bar{a}val\bar{\iota}$  ad HeTa 2.2.45, fol. 16r6): prākṛtavikalpavṛttair aparaṃ na hi kiñcad asti bhavaduḥkham | tasya viruddham caitat sāksādavagamyate cetah ||

lxxiii The manuscript's reading yadarthasvā'yam seems like a plausible corruption of yadarthas tavā'yam, but Tibetan shows no reflex of tava.  $TVA_D$  reads: rtsom pa 'di'i don gang yin pa.  $TVB_G$  reads: gal te gang gi don du (bzhi pa bshad pa'i bshad pa'i dus) 'di brtsams pa'i.

<sup>178</sup> itil E<sub>DH</sub>; deest in K

 $<sup>^{179}</sup>$ maṇḍala°]  $E_{\rm DH};$ bri ba'i 'dkyil 'khor (lekhyamaṇḍala°)

 $<sup>^{180}</sup>$  mithyādhyāropahānārtham] *em.*; mithyādhyāropanārtham K  $E_{DH}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>181</sup> 'saty api] K; 'styopi E<sub>DH</sub>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>182</sup> bhoktari] K (bhoktarī°) (*the letter* no *is added abhove* bho); muktaye E<sub>DH</sub> (*em.*)

 $<sup>^{183}</sup>$  mā]  $E_{DH}$  (em.); deest in K

 $<sup>^{184}</sup>$ lakṣaṇaprāptaye] K $E_{\rm DH}$ ; mtshan nyid kyi 'bras bu thob par bya ba'i phyir  $\rm TVA_D$  (lakṣaṇaphalaprāptaye); mtshan nyid 'bras bu thob par bya ba'i phyir  $\rm TVB_G$  (lakṣaṇaphalaprāptaye)

 $<sup>^{185}</sup>$  yadarthas tavāyam]  $\mathit{conj}$ .; yadarthasvā'yam K; yadarthatvād ayam  $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$ 

na tu<sup>186</sup> kṛtaiva sā saptabhir bhedaiḥ? satyam, kintu guḍagorasanyāyena. tathā hi na jñāyate, kiṃ tat sāram asāraṃ veti.

ucyate.

#### 8.1 prathamasyāsāratvam

mantranayavihitakramābhāvāt samāpattibhāvanāvaiyarthyād<sup>187</sup> yuktyabhāvāc<sup>188</sup> ca prathamasya niḥsāratā. tathā hi samagrasāmagrīkam yat phalaṃ<sup>189</sup> tad avaśyam eva bhavati. anyathā samagrasāmagrīkam eva tan na bhavet. sākṣātkaraṇāvasthāyāṃ samagrasāmagrīkaṃ tad vartate. tad avaśyaṃ tena<sup>190</sup> bhavitavyam. sati ca bhavati<sup>191,lxxiv</sup> prathamasya hānir iti.

#### 8.2 dvitīyasyāsāratvam

śarīrādyākāraśūnyasya kevalasātarūpasyānupalabdher<sup>192</sup> na dvitīyasya sāratā. tathā hi pramāṇaniścitaṃ prekṣāvatā bhāvanīyam, na yathākathañcit. pramā[K fol. 8r]ṇena saṃvalitarūpam eva sarvadopalabhyate. lxxv tad eva sarvajanānāṃ kamanīyatayā pratibhāsate. tasmāt kevalasya rucyabhāvāc cakrākārasaṃvalitasyopalabdheḥ sākṣāt kartum aśakyatvāc cakrākārasaṃvalitasyopalabdheḥ sākat kartum aśakyatvāc cakrākārasaṃvalitasyopalabdheḥ sākat kartum aśakyatvāc cakrākārasaṃvalitasyopalabdheḥ sākatarū cakrākārasaṃvalitasyopalabdheḥ sākatarū cakrākārasaṃvalitasyopalabdheḥ sākatarū cakrākārasama cakr

lxxiv TV could be rendered as something like *evaṃsati ca prathamasya hānir iti*. Indeed this seems to be the sense, but the manuscript reading of *bhavane na* or *bhavanena* is hard to account for.

lxxv TVAD lacks a reflex of sarvadā, whereas TVBG lacks a reflect of eva.

lxxvi TV suggests reading: kevalasyānupalabdheḥ rucyabhāvāc cakrākārasamvali-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>186</sup> na tu] *conj.*; nanu K E<sub>DH</sub>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>187</sup> samāpatti°] K  $E_{DH}$  TVB $_{G}$  (snyom par 'jug pa); lha'i rnal 'byor gyi snyoms par 'jug pa'i TVA $_{D}$  (devatāyogasamāpatti°)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>188</sup> yuktyabhāvāc | E<sub>DH</sub>; yuktābhāvāc K

 $<sup>^{189}</sup>$  yat phalam] conj. (TV: 'bras bu gang yin pa); yat KE<sub>DH</sub>

<sup>190</sup> tena K E<sub>DH</sub> TVB<sub>G</sub> (de); de'i 'bras bu TVA<sub>D</sub> (tena phalena)

 $<sup>^{191}</sup>$  conj.; bhavane na K $\rm E_{DH};$  de l<br/>tar gyur pas dang po nyams pa yin no TVA $_{\rm D};$  de l<br/>tar gyur pa dang po nyams pa yin no TVB $_{\rm G}$  (evaṃsati )

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>192</sup> °labdher] E<sub>DH</sub>; °bdher K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>193</sup> aśakyatvāc] E<sub>DH</sub> (em.); aśakyatāc K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>194</sup> kalpanāmātrateti] E<sub>DH</sub> (em.); kalpanātrateti K

#### 8.3 tṛtīyasyāsāratvam

nirupadravabhūtārthasvabhāvatvena sātmībhūtasya tyaktum aśakyatvāt, saṃvalitarūpasya [ $E_{\rm DH}$  p. 144] bhedābhāvāt, prayojanābhāvāc ca na tṛtīyasya<sup>195</sup> kalyāṇabhāvaḥ. 196</sup> tathā hi sahopalambhena 197 tādātmyasiddhāv ekasya parityāge 'parasyāvaśyaṃ parityāgo na vā kasyacid iti.

#### 8.4 caturthasya sārāsāratvavicāraņam

prapañcatvena bahuprayāsatvād vicārāsahatvena bhrāntirūpatayāparamārtharūpatayā ca na tṛtīyāntapakṣasya<sup>198</sup> kalyāṇateti.<sup>199</sup> atra kecid yuktiṃ varṇayanti. Prapañcarūpatvābhāve<sup>200</sup> 'pi sūkṣmasya bindvādeḥ punaḥ punar bhāvanayā sākṣātkaraṇaṃ yāvat prayāsas tāvat sarvatraiva bhāvyavastuni sambhavati. tad atra yadi prayāsabhayam, na kiñcid api bhāvanīyam.

prapañcarūpatvād iti cet, prapañcāprapañcayor bhāvanāvasthā-yām ko viśeṣah $^{201}$ ? nanu $^{202}$  aprapañcam śīghram eva sthirībhavatīty

tasyānupalabdheḥ sākṣātkartum aśakyatvāc ca. The addition of anupalabdheḥ after kevalasya renders the flow of argument's logic less smooth. The addition of the same word after cakrākārasaṃvalitasya does not change the argument in its overall sense. Note that the Tibetan 'khor lo'i rang bzhin could instead imply the reading cakrasvabhāva or something similar, but it may simply be a free rendering of cakrākārasaṃvalita.

TV also adds the reason 'bad pa mtshung pa'i phyir ('becaue the effort is equal'). The purport of this is unclear.

 $^{lxxvii}$  TVA $_D$  renders this sentence differently: de la 'ga' zhig las rigs pa cung zhig cig brjod par mi bya ste  $\mid$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>195</sup> tṛtīyasya] *conj.*; tṛtīya K; tṛtīyaḥ E<sub>DH</sub>

 $<sup>^{196}</sup>$ ] conj. (Tib: dge ba [ma] yin); kalyanībhāvaḥ  $\mathbf{K}^{pc}$ ; kalyānībhāvaḥ  $\mathbf{K}^{ac}$ ; dge ba ma yin [na] kalyāṇabhāvaḥ

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>197</sup> sahopalambhena] E<sub>DH</sub>; saholambhena K

 $<sup>^{198}</sup>$ tṛtīyāntapakṣasya]  $\it em.$  (TVA $_{\rm D}$ : gsum pa'i tha' ma'i phyogs TVA $_{\rm D}$ ; TVB $_{\rm G}$ : gsum pa'i mtha' ma'i phyogs); tṛtīyāntaḥ | pakṣasya K; tṛtīyapakṣasya E $_{\rm DH}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>199</sup> kalyāṇateti] E<sub>DH</sub>; kalyānateti K

 $<sup>^{200}</sup>$  prapañcarūpatvābhāve] K $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{DH}};$  spros pa'i ngo bo nyid du gyur TV

 $<sup>^{201}</sup>$ viśe<br/>ṣaḥ] conj.;viśeṣa iti cet K $\rm E_{DH}$ 

 $<sup>^{202}</sup>$  nanu] conj. (TV: 'on te); deest in K and  $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{DH}}$ 

ayam viśeṣaḥ. yatraivālambane<sup>203</sup> cittam punaḥ punaḥ preryate nirantaram<sup>204</sup> dīrghakālam ca tatraiva sthirībhavatīty āgamaḥ. yuktiś cātrāsti. tathā coktam—

tasmād bhūtam abhūtam vā yad yad evābhibhāvyate | bhāvanābalaniṣpattau lxxviii tat sphuṭākalpadhīphalam llxxix

#### punaś coktam-

aho kusīdatvam aho vimūḍhatā aho janasyāsya sadarthavakratā | svacittamātrapratibaddhabuddhatā<sup>206</sup> adūravartiny api yan na sevyate ||<sup>207</sup>

#### iti. tasmān nāyam viśeṣaḥ.

bhrāntirūpatvenāparamārthatvam api sarvatraiva bhāvanāviśeṣe vastuni sambhavatīti na kiñcid api bhāvanīyaṃ syāt. [K fol. 8v] tataś ca sarvatraiva mokṣamārge bhāvanāyā vaiyarthyaṃ syāt. māyopamākārānupraveśena bhrāntirūpam apy aprapañcād [E<sub>DH</sub> p. 145] bhāvyamānam<sup>208</sup> aduṣṭaṃ bhavatīti cet, na tv ayaṃ māyākārānupraveśaḥ prapañce 'pi samāna iti. tatrāpi ko doṣasyāvakāśaḥ? tasmāt prapañcam aprapañcaṃ vā yad eva rocate pramāṇasaṃgatam itarad vā, tad evālasyaṃ vihāya mahāpuruṣārthibhir bhāvayitavyam<sup>209</sup> ity alam atiprasaṅgeneti.

atra ca sāretaravibhāgaḥ paryupāsitagurubhir eva jñātavyaḥ.

lxxviii The reading  $bh\bar{a}van\bar{a}balanispattau$  is supported by the Tibetan translation and occurs in other sources ( $bsgom\ pa'i\ stobs\ ni\ rdzogs\ pa\ na$ ). Another more mainstream reading for this  $p\bar{a}da$  is  $bh\bar{a}van\bar{a}parinispattau$ .

lxxix Pramānavārttika, Pratyaksapramāna 285

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>203</sup> yatraivālambane] *conj.* (*no reflect of nanu in* TV); nanu yatraivālambane

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>204</sup> nirantaram] E<sub>DH</sub> (em.) TV (rgyun mi 'chad par); niruttaram K

 $<sup>^{205}</sup>$ kalpadhī<br/>phalam]  $\mathit{em}.;$ kalpadhīḥ phalam K $\mathrm{E}_\mathrm{DH}$ 

 $<sup>^{206}</sup>$  °pratibaddha°] conj. (TV; 'brel pa); °pratibuddha° K  $E_{\rm DH}$ 

 $<sup>^{207}</sup>$  Untraced. Also cited in \*Saptāṅga fol. 202r7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>208</sup> aprapañcād bhāvyamānam] E<sub>DH</sub>; aprapañcā bhāvyamāṇam

 $<sup>^{209}</sup>$ bhāvayitavyam]  $E_{\rm DH};$ bhaviyitavyam K

#### 8.5 pañcamasyāsāratvam

tṛtīyapakṣoktadoṣatvān<sup>210</sup> nīrasatvena<sup>211</sup> prayojanābhāvān mantranayakramābhāvāc ca na pañcamaḥ parikṣīṇadoṣaḥ.

nanu sākṣātkaraṇāt pūrvaṃ mantranayaprayogo 'sti. tat kathaṃ tasyābhāvaḥ? satyam, sākṣātphalāvasthā sādhyā. tasyāṃ ca nāsty asau kramaḥ. †sākṣātparityāgelxxx† ca na prayojanam utpa-śyāma iti.

#### 8.6 şaşṭhamasyāsāratvam

svecchayā nirvāyayitum<sup>212</sup> aśakyatvāt, prayojanābhāvāt, sattvārthābhāvāc ca na pañcāntaraprabhedakalpanā<sup>213</sup> kalaṅkāśūnyā. tathā hi kasyacin nivṛttiḥ kāraṇanivṛttyā vyāpakanivṛttyā<sup>214</sup> vā bhavati. na cātra sākṣātkṛtamaṇḍalacakrasya nivartakaṃ kāraṇaṃ vyāpakaṃ vā icchākāle drśyate. lxxxi

nanu śūnyataiva nivartikāsti. yathā dārusaṅghātaprajvalito<sup>215</sup> vahnir niḥśeṣam indhanaṃ bhasmīkṛtya paścāt svarasata eva nivartate, tathā maṇḍalacakraprajvalitaḥ śūnyatājñānāgniḥ sākṣāt krtvā<sup>216</sup> mandalacakram nivartayisyatīti cet.<sup>217</sup> tad asat, visamatvād

lxxx Segment instead: kramaḥ sākṣāt. parityāge?

lxxxi TV lacks a reflex of  $icch\bar{a}k\bar{a}le\ drsyate$ . Both translations add an extra sentence to this paragraph:  $rang\ gi\ 'dod\ pas\ ('dos\ pas\ TVB_G;\ 'gog\ par\ TVB_G)'gog\ pa\ yang\ mi$   $nus\ te\ mi\ mthun\ pa\ med\ pa'i\ phyir\ |\ sdug\ bsngal\ la\ sogs\ pa\ 'gog\ pa\ 'dod\ kyang\ sdug\ bsngal\ la\ sogs\ pa\ la\ 'jug\ pa\ mthong\ ba'i\ phyir\ ro\ ||$ 

 $<sup>^{210}</sup>$ tṛtīyapakṣoktaṣatvān conj. (TVB $_{\rm G}$ : gsum pa'i phyogs la bshad pa'i nyes pa yod pa dang); tṛtīyapakṣe ktato K; tṛtīyapakṣe kuto E $_{\rm DH}$ ; no reflex in TVA $_{\rm D}$ 

 $<sup>^{211}</sup>$  nīrasatvena] *conj.*; nīrasatvena te K  $E_{DH}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>212</sup> nirvāyayitum] K; nirvāpayitum E<sub>DH</sub>

 $<sup>^{213}</sup>$ pañcāntara°]  $\it em.$  TV (l<br/>nga pa'i mtha'i rab tu dbye ba); prapañcāntara° K $\rm E_{DH}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>214</sup> vyāpakanivrttyā] E<sub>DH</sub>; vyāpakānivrttyā K

 $<sup>^{215}</sup>$ dārusa<br/>ṅghātaprajvalito]  $\mathit{conj.};$ dārusaṃghāte prajvalit<br/>o $E_{DH};$ dārusaṃghāt pravjalito K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>216</sup> sāksāt krtvā] *conj.*; sāksān K E<sub>DH</sub>

 $<sup>^{217}</sup>$  TV a fuller sentence here. TVB $_{\!G}$  reads: de ltar dkyil 'khor gyi 'khor lo stong pa nyid kyi ye shes kyi me rab tu 'bar bas mngon sum du byas nas kyang | dkyil 'khor gyi 'khor lo ma lus par ldog par byed la | bdag nyid kyang rang gi ngang gis ldog par 'gyur ro zhe na | TVA $_{\!D}$  appears to be slightly more corrupt, but suggests that

dṛṣṭāntasya. tathā hi tatrendhanaṃ kāraṇaṃ<sup>218</sup> vahneḥ. kāraṇasya indhanalakṣaṇasya nivṛttau<sup>219</sup> yuktaiva vahnilakṣaṇasya kāryasya nivṛttiḥ. iha tu na śūnyatā kāraṇaṃ maṇḍalacakrasya. tat ka[K fol. 9r]thaṃ tannivṛttau nivṛttiḥ? na<sup>220</sup> ca śūnyatāyā nivṛttir asti. lxxxii

nanu sā na<sup>221</sup> bhavatu kāraṇaṃ. śūnyatā vyāpakaṃ tu bhaviṣyati. vyāpakasya vṛkṣasya nivṛttau śiṃśapātvasya vyāpyasya nivṛttivan nivṛttir bhaviṣyatīti cet. etad apy asāram. tathā hi śūnyatā sarvadā sarvajñeyamaṇḍalavyāpikā tattvarūpā. 222 na ca tasyā nivṛttiḥ kadācid apy asti. yadi syāt samyaksaṃbodhisākṣātkaraṇāt [ $E_{DH}$  p. 146] pūrvam anantaram eva vā nivṛttiḥ syāt. na ca bhavati, samyaksaṃbuddhībhūyāpi katipayakālāvasthānasya svayam eva svīkrtatvāt.

kintu śūnyatāpi jñānarūpā, cakram api jñānarūpam. śūnyatājñānotpattyā cakrajñānasyānivṛttau<sup>223</sup> śūnyatājñānam kena nivartanīyam. tena nivṛttiś ca virodhino 'bhāvāt kāraṇavyāpakayoś cābhāvān nāsti. tasmāc chūnyatājñānasya na nivṛttiḥ,<sup>224</sup> nāpi maṇḍalacakrasya śūnyatāto nivṛttir iti śūnyatā na nivartikā.

ko brūte śūnyatā nivartikā? kim tarhi yan nivartakam<sup>225</sup> tad gurūpadeśato jñeyam ity apy asāram. gurūpadeśato 'pi na śūnyatāvya-

lxxxii The response the objection is considerably different in Tibetan. It states that while fire is regarded by mundane consensus as having a causal effect on fuel insofar as it transforms it, emptiness has no such effect on the *maṇḍalacakra*. It is also therefore not something that causes it to cease, nor is it known to itself cease of its own accord. Although the Sanskrit MS is very corrupt in this paragraph, it is difficult to see how the text it transmits corresponds to the Tibetan translation.

same readings: de dkyil 'khor gyi 'khor lo stong pa nyid kyi ye shes kyi me rab tu 'bar bas mngon sum du byas nas kyang | dkyil 'khor gyi 'khor lo ma lus par ldog par byed la | de yang rang gi ldog par 'gyur ro zhe na |

 $<sup>^{218}</sup>$  kāranam]  $\mathit{conj}$ .; na kāraṇaṃ K  $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{DH}}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>219</sup> kāryasya indhanalakṣaṇasya nivṛttau] *conj.*; kāryam indhanalakṣaṇanivṛttau

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>220</sup> na] *conj.*; athavā na] K E<sub>DH</sub>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>221</sup> na] E<sub>DH</sub> (em.); deest in K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>222</sup> tattvarūpā] E<sub>DH</sub>; tatvarūpāh K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>223</sup> °ānivrttau] K E<sub>DH</sub>; log na TV (nivrttau)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>224</sup> na nivrttih] *conj.* (TV: ldog pa med do); nivrttih K E<sub>DH</sub>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>225</sup> nivartakam] *em.*; nivartikās K E<sub>DH</sub>

tiriktaṃ<br/><sup>226</sup> pramāṇato 'stīti yatkiñcid etat. lxxxiii pratikṣaṇanivṛttiś ca kṣaṇabhaṅgarūpā sarvapadārthavyāpinī. <br/>na sā santānanivartikā. tasmān na svecchayā nivṛttiḥ. <br/>
<sup>227</sup> na ca nivṛttyā nīrasarūpayā prayojanam asti prekṣāvatām. <br/>tathā coktam—

mucyamāneṣu sattveṣu ye te prāmodyasāgarāḥ | tair eva nanu paryāptam moksenārasikena kim || lxxxiv

iti.

sattvārtho 'pi nivṛttau nāsti. na hi gagane<sup>229</sup> gaganakamale vā kācid arthakriyā sambhavati. ciraniruddhād apy atītād avasturūpāc<sup>230</sup> cakrāt sattvārtho bhaviṣyatīty apy asāram, ciranīrutasyāpi<sup>231</sup> kukku[K fol. 9v]tasya kanthadhvaniprasaṅgāt.

nanu yogyadhiṣṭhānād gaganād apy arthakriyāḥ sambhavantīti cet. $^{232}$  na sambhavanti, yogyadhiṣṭhānād eva cittarūpād arthakriyā, na gaganāt, nīrūpatvāt tasya. $^{lxxxv}$ 

nanu nirodhya maṇḍalacakraṃ sattvārthakāle punar utpādyate. tato 'rthakriyā bhavati. tataḥ punar eva nirodhyate, punar evotpadyata iti cet. asad etat. yathā sattvārthakriyāyās tattvato<sup>233</sup> nāsti prādurbhāvah, tathā cakrasyāpi. tato nārthakriyāyāh sambhavah. na ca

 $<sup>^{</sup>lxxxiii}$  TVB $_{G}$ : bla ma'i man ngag las kyang stong pa nyid kyis ldog par byed pa ma yin ldog pa'i tshad ma cung zhig kyang yod pa ma yin pas. TVA $_{D}$ : bla ma'i man ngag las kyang stong pa nyid dang  $\mid$  de ldog pa las ma gtogs pa'i ldog par byed pa'i tshad ma gzhan cung zad yod pa ma yin no  $\mid\mid$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>Îxxxiv</sup> Bodhicaryāvatāra 8.108

lxxxv TVA<sub>D</sub> varies significantly for this paragraph.

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{^{226}}$ śūnyatāvyatiriktam] conj. vyatiri((ktiḥ)) K (iinkti lacks~apṛṣṭhamātrā); vyatiriktah  $E_{\rm DH}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>227</sup> nivrttih] K<sup>ac</sup>; nivrrttih K<sup>pc</sup>

 $<sup>^{228}</sup>$ niv<br/>rttyā]  $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{DH}}$  (em.); nivartyā K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>229</sup> gagane] K E<sub>DH</sub> TVB<sub>G</sub>; no reflext in TVA<sub>D</sub>

 $<sup>^{230}</sup>$ avasturūpāc] K $E_{DH}$  TVB $_{G}$  (dngos po med pa'i ngo bo); dngos po'i ngo bo TVA $_{D}$  (vasturūpāc)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>231</sup> ciranīrutasyāpi] *conj.*; cirutasyāpi K; virutasyāpi E<sub>DH</sub>; yun rin por khyim bya shi ba TVA<sub>D</sub>; yun ring por long pa'i khyim bya shi ba TVB<sub>G</sub> (ciramrtasyāpi)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>232</sup> sambhavantīti cet] conj.; sambhavanti K E<sub>DH</sub>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>233</sup> tattvato] K (tatvato) E<sub>DH</sub>; de las TV (tato)

nirodhya<sup>234</sup> punar utpāde kiñcit prayojanam astīty alam atiprapañceneti.

#### 8.7 saptamasyāsāratvam

ṣaṣṭhapakṣoktadoṣasandohasya saptame<sup>235</sup> 'pi bhāvān na piṣṭapeṣaṇaṃ<sup>236</sup> kriyate. nanu ṣaṣṭhena saptamasya samānatvāt kathaṃ saptamasya tato viśeṣaḥ? asti viśeṣaḥ. pūrvāvasthāyāṃ niyatacakrākāratā, punaḥ svecchayā nirvṛtiḥ svecchotpādanaṃ<sup>237</sup> ceti. saptame punar etan nāsti. tato na samānatā. bhinnaś ca nirdiṣṭa iti.<sup>238</sup>

# 9 caturthasya sekasya svarūpam

dambholibījasrutidhautaśuddha-<br/>
pāthojabhūtāṅkurabhūtapuṣṭi²40|<br/>
turīyaśasyaṃ²41 paripākam eti²42<br/>
sphuṭaṃ caturthaṃ viduṣo 'pi gūḍham || 17 ||

[E<sub>DH</sub> p. 147] dambholītyādi. etat sadgurūpadeśato jñeyam.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>234</sup> nirodhya] E<sub>DH</sub>; niro((dhya)) K (some kind of correction is made, but uncertain from what to what); 'gogas pa las (possibly nirodhāt)

 $<sup>^{235}</sup>$  ṣaṣṭhapakṣoktadoṣasandohasya saptame]  $\mathit{conj}.$  (TV: drug pa'i phyogs la bshad pa'i skyon gyi (gyi] TVAD; gyis TVBG) tshogs bdun pa la); ṣaṣṭhapakṣoktaṃ saṃdāhasyāṣṭame K; ṣaṣṭhapakṣoktasaṃdohasyāṣṭame EDH

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>236</sup> pistapeṣaṇaṃ]  $K^{ac}$   $E_{DH}$ ; pistapre | ṣaṇaṃ  $K^{ac}$ 

 $<sup>^{237}</sup>$ nirvṛtiḥ svecchotpādanaṃ]  $\mathit{conj}$ . (TVB $_{\!G}$ : yang rang gi 'dod pas 'gog cing rang gi 'dod pas skyed par byed pa); svecchetpādanaṃ K; svecchotpādanaṃ  $E_{DH}$ ; yang dang yang du rang gi 'dod pas skyed par byed pa nyid TVA $_{\!D}$ 

 $<sup>^{238}</sup>$  K  $E_{DH};$  tha mi dad pa ma yin par bstan to  $TVA_{D};$  tha mi dad pa ma yin par bstan to  $TVB_{G}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>239</sup> °sruti°] *corr.*; śruti K E<sub>DH</sub>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>240</sup> pāthoja°]  $E_{DH}$  ( $E_{DH}$  reports the ms. as reading pāthojña, but this seems to be incorrect); pāthauja° K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>241</sup> turīyaśasyam] E<sub>DH</sub>; tutīyaśasyam K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>242</sup> eti] E<sub>DH</sub> (em.); eta K

# 10 aparāņi mithyāsādhyāni mithyātattvāni ca

pañcapradīpāmṛtabinducandrabhrūmadhyabindūdbhavamaṇḍalāni | vāyoḥ svarūpaṃ galaśuṇḍikādyam atattvarūpaṃ svayam ūhanīyam || 18 ||

pañcapradīpetyādi. pañcapradīpaśabdena gokudahanalakṣaṇasya, amṛtaśabdena vimumāraśulakṣaṇasya satatānuṣṭhānam eva sādhyaṃ manyante. bindur iti hṛccandrasthaṃ binduṃ dedīpyamānaṃ tattvaṃ sādhyaṃ ceti kṛtvā kecid bhāvayanti. candra iti hṛdisthaṃ kalārūpam ardhacandraṃ vā hṛtkamalasthaṃ kecid bhāvayanti.

bhrūmadhyabindūdbhavamaṇḍalānīti bhruvor madhye ūrṇāyāṃ binduṃ vibhāvya tadbindūdbhavāni maṇḍalāni vāyuvāruṇamāhendrāgneyalakṣaṇāni. etad uktaṃ bhavati—mukhaśravaṇanāsikācakṣurghrāṇa hastāṅgulībhiḥ pidhāya bhrūmadhyabindur draṣṭavyaḥ. tasya sphuṭāvasthāyāṃ śubhāśubhani[K fol. 10r]mittasaṃsūcakāni māhendrādimaṇḍalāny upajāyante. taṃ ca binduṃ tattvam iti manyante.

vāyoḥ svarūpam iti pūrakakumbhakarecakapraśāntakalakṣaṇam<sup>244</sup> ānāpānādilakṣaṇaṃ<sup>245</sup> ceti. etad<sup>246</sup> uktaṃ bhavati—śaivasāṃkhyādinirdiṣṭaṃ<sup>247</sup> vāyusvarūpaṃ jñātvā taṃ vāyuṃ nirodhabhāvanayā sthirīkṛtyākā-śenotplutya gamanaṃ parapurapraveśaṃ yāvan muktiṃ ca sākṣā-tkurvanti vāyuvādinah.

galaśuṇḍiketi. galapradeśe jihvāmūlopari hastiśuṇḍikākārā adhaḥpralambamānā upajihvāsaṃjñikā galaśuṇḍikāsti. sā ca śaktirūpā. tadadhaḥ śivarūpam²48 asti tattvam. sā ca [E<sub>DH</sub> p. 148] jihvāgreṇa spṛśyamānā nirantarāmṛtaṃ sravati. tena ca ghargharāmṛtavarṣaṇena santarpyamānam ātmānaṃ dhyāyād iti galaśuṇḍikātattvam. ādiśabdena hrnmadhyasodaśanādikācakramadhyasthajñāna-

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{^{243}}$ mukhaśravaṇanāsikācakṣurghrāṇarasanāni] K $E_{DH};$ kha dang |rna ba dang |sna dang |mig TVA $_D$  TVB $_G$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>244</sup> °recaka°] E<sub>DH</sub>; recakaṃ K

 $<sup>^{245}</sup>$ ānāpānādilakṣaṇaṃ]  $E_{DH}$ ; anāpānā $^{\circ}$  K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>246</sup> etad] E<sub>DH</sub> (em.); tad K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>247</sup> śaivasāṃkhyādi°] E<sub>DH</sub> (*em.*) TVB<sub>G</sub> (shi ba dang grangs can la sogs pas); saivasamkhyādi° K; grangs can la sogs pas TVA<sub>D</sub> (smākhyādi°)

 $<sup>^{248}</sup>$ tadadhaḥ śivarūpam] K $\rm E_{DH}$  TVB $_{\rm G}$  (de'i 'og na zhi ba'i ngo bo); sdig pa'i rang bzhin du yong pa TVA $_{\rm D}$ 

svarūpa<br/>m $^{249}$  śivarūpam tattvam bhāvayitavyam ityādīnām pari<br/>grahah.  $^{\rm lxxxvi}$ 

tatsarvam tīrthikādibhis tattvarūpenābhimatam. atattvam iti svayam evohanīyam vicāranīyam iti yāvat.

# 11 upasamhāraḥ

svapnendrajālapratibimbamāyāmarīcigandharvapurāmbu[K fol. 2r]candraiḥ | anyaiś ca śabdair<sup>250</sup> upamābhidheyair naivāsti sādhyaṃ kathitād ihānyat || 19 ||

svapnendrajāletyādi. svapnendrajālopamam pratibimbamāyāmarīcigandharvanagarodakacandropamam iti śabdair anyaiś ca gagaṇapratiśrutkaphenopamam ityādiśabdair upamābhidheyair upamāvācakair naivāsti sādhyam kathitāt sādhyād anyat. param kathita eva sādhye, ete śabdāḥ pravartanta iti svayam boddhavyam.

gambhīraśūnyapratibhāsamātra-<sup>251</sup> śāntāti<sup>252</sup>sūksmānabhilāpyaśabdaih |

lxxxvi TV continues to describe this practice. TVAD reads: yang smras pa | bcu las drug lhag rtsa dang ldan pa'i 'khor lo yi || dkyil na gnas pa'i snying gar rnam par gnas pa'i bdag | des ni de yi khyad par lta bu'i grub pa ster || de ni mngon par mi g-yo ba yi yid dag gis || rnal 'byor pa yi sems de de ltar mngon par bsam || nub par gyur pa'i mgon po rgyal bar gyur de ni || nus pa dag gis de ni yongs su bskor dang bcas || TVBG reads: de yang smras pa | bcu las drug lhag rtsa dang ldan pa'i 'khor lo'i dkyil na gnas pa snying kar rnam par gnas pa'i bdag |des ni de'i khyad par lta bu yi grub pa ster | de ni mngon par mi g.yo ba'i yid dag gis || rnal 'byor pa yis de ltar mngon par bsam par bya || nus par gyur pa'i mgon po rgyal bar gyur || de ni nus pa dag gis de ni yongs su bskyor dang bcas ||

 $<sup>^{249}</sup>$  hṛnmadhyaṣoḍaśanāḍikācakramadhyasthajñānasvarūpaṃ] K  $E_{DH}$  TVB $_{G}$  (snying ka'i dbus kyi 'khor lo rtsibs bcu drug pa'i dbus na gnas pa ye shes kyi rang bzhin); snying ga'i dbus kyi dkyil 'khor rtsibs bcu drug pa'i dbus na hūm gnas pa ye shes kyi rang bzhin (hṛnmadhyaṣoḍaśanāḍikāmaṇḍalamadhyahūṁsthajñānasvarūpam)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>250</sup> śabdair em. (cf. comm.); sarvair K E<sub>DH</sub>

 $<sup>^{251}</sup>$  °mātra<br/>°]  $E_{DH};$ mātraṃ K $^{252}$  śāntāti]<br/>  $E_{DH};$ sāntādi K

nirlepanīrūpa $^{253}$ nirañjanādyair bhrāntir na kāryāparasādhyasattve  $\parallel$  20  $\parallel$ 

 $[E_{DH}\ p.\ 149]$  gambhīraśūnyam pratibhāsamātram śāntātisūkṣmam anabhilāpyam nirlepam nīrūpam<sup>254</sup> nirañjanādi.<sup>255</sup> ādiśabdāt śivam nirākāram niṣprapañcam anādyantanidhanam i[K fol. 10v]tyādiśabdair bhrāntir na kartavyā. aparasādhyasattve, aparasya sādhyasya sattve sattāyām.<sup>256</sup> ebhiḥ sarvair eva param api kiñcit sādhyam kathitād astīti bhrāntir na kartavyā. atha nātikathitam eva sādhyam ebhiḥ sarvair abhidhīyata iti niścayaḥ.

# 12 pariņāmanā

akhilagagaṇagarbhavyāpisaptaprakāra-<sup>257</sup> grathitavacanarūpād yan mayāsādi puṇyam | anupamasukhavidyāsaktasaddehanirmij-jinajanitajanārthas tena loko 'yam astu || tattvaratnāvalokaḥ samāptaḥ. kṛtir iyaṃ paṇḍitavāgī-śvarakīrtipādānām.

śrīsamāje parā yasya bhaktir niṣṭhā ca nirmalā tasya vāgīśvarasyeyam kṛtir vimatināśinī<sup>258</sup> ||

vikacakumudatārākṣīrakundānukāri<sup>259</sup> pracitam api ca puṇyaṃ yan mayā granthito 'smāt | anupamasukhapūrṇaḥ svābhavidyopagūḍho bhavatu nikhilalokas tena vāgīśvaraśrīḥ ||

 $<sup>^{253}</sup>$ nirlepanīrūpa°]  $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$  (em.); nirlepanīpa K

 $<sup>^{254}</sup>$  nīrūpam]  $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{DH}}$  (em.); nirupamam K

 $<sup>^{255}</sup>$  nirañjanādi] K; nirañjanaṃ  $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$ 

 $<sup>^{256}</sup>$  sattāyām] K; sattvāyā  $E_{DH}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>257</sup> °saptaprakāra°] E<sub>DH</sub>; °sarvaprakāra° K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>258</sup> vimatināśinī] E<sub>DH</sub>; vimatināsanī K

 $<sup>^{259}</sup>$ vikacakumudatārākṣīrakundānukāri $\it em.;$ vikacakumudakṣīratārakundānukāri] $\rm E_{DH};$ vikarektāmudakṣīratārākundānukāri K

tattvaratnāvalokavivaraņam samāptam. kṛtir iyam paṇḍitācāryavā-gīśvarakīrtipādānām.

# References

Abhidharmakośa by Vasubandhu. P. Pradhan, ed. 1975. Abhidharmakośabhāṣyam of Vasubandhu. Tibetan Sanskrit Works Series VIII. Patna

Abhidharmakośavyākhyā by Yośamitra. Wogihara Unrai, ed. n.d. Sphuṭārthā Abhidharmakośavyākhyā by Yaśomitra. 2 vols. Tokyo: The Publishing Association of the Abhidharmakośavyākhyā

Amṛtakaṇikā by Raviśrījñāna. Banarsi Lal, ed. 1994. Āryamañjuśrīnāmasamgīti with Amṛtakaṇikā-ṭippaṇī by Bhikṣu Raviśrījñāna and Amṛtakaṇikodyota-nibandha of Vibhūticandra. Biblioteca Indo-Tibetica XXX. Sarnath: Central Institute of Higher Tibetan Studies

Nyāyabindu by Dharmakīrti. F. I. ŠČERBATSKOJ. 1918. Nyāyabindu: Buddijskij učebnik logiki sočinenie Dharmakīrti i tolkovanie na nego Nyāyabinduṭīkā sočinenie Dharmottara, sanskritskij tekst izdal s vvedeniem i priměčanijami. Bibliotheca Buddhica 7. St. Petersberg: Izdanie Rossijskoj Akademii Nauk

Pramāṇavārttikavṛtti by Manorathānandin. Sāṃkṛtyāyana ed.

Mantrārthāvalokinī by Vilāsavajra. A. TRIBE. 2016. Tantric Buddhist Practice in India: Vilāsavajra's Commentary on the Mañjuśrīnāmasaṃgīti. Routledge Studies in Tantric Traditions. Taylor & Francis

Mitākṣarā of Vijñāneśvara. Narayan Ram Acharya, ed. 1949. Yā-jñavalkyasmṛti of Yogīśvara Yājñavalkya with the Commentary Mitākṣara of Vijñāneśvara, Notes, Varient [sic!] Readings etc. Bombay: Nirnaya Sagar Press

Vṛttamālāvivṛti by Śākyarakṣita. Hahn ed.

Subhāṣitaratnakośa compiled by Vidyākara. D. D. Kosaмвı and V. V. Goкнаle, eds. 1957. *The Subhāṣitaratnakoṣa Compiled by Vidyākara.* Cambridge Massachusetts: Harvard University Press

Hetubinduṭīkāloka by Durvekamiśra. Sukhlalji Sanghavi and Muni Shri Jinavijayaji, eds. 1949. Hetubinduṭīkā of Bhaṭṭa Arcaṭa with the Sub-Commentary Entitled Āloka of Durveka Miśra. Baroda: Oriental Institute

# **Secondary Sources**

- Ingalls, Daniel H. H. 1965. *An Anthology of Sanskrit Court Poetry: Vidyākara's "Subhāṣitaratnakoṣa"*. Vol. 44. Harvard Oriental Series. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- LAL, Banarsi, ed. 1994. Āryamañjuśrīnāmasamgīti with Amṛtakaṇikāțippaṇī by Bhikṣu Raviśrījñāna and Amṛtakaṇikodyota-nibandha
  of Vibhūticandra. Biblioteca Indo-Tibetica XXX. Sarnath: Central Institute of Higher Tibetan Studies.
- SACCONE, Margherita Serena, and Péter-Dániel Szántó. 2023. *Tantra and Pramāṇa: A Study of the Sāramañjarī*. Series Minor XCVIII. Napels: UniorPress.
- SAKURAI, M. 1996. Indo mikkyō girei kenkyū: Kōki Indo mikkyō no kanjō shidai [XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, A study on Indian Buddhist tantric ritual: Late Indian Buddhist tantric initiation sequence]. Kyoto: Hōzōkan.