Tattvaratnāvaloka and Vivaraņa

Vāgīśvarakīrti

February 14, 2025

Some Conventions/Policies

- Tibetan translations are included in the apparatus when they indicate variant Sanskrit readings.
- Sanskrit renderings suggested by Tibetan appear in brackets after the translation's siglum. These renderings are hypothetical and cannot be provide in all cases.
- When two Tibetan translations differ slightly, they are separated by a semicolon. Only the second translation includes a Sanskrit rendering.
- A Tibetan translation's siglum is included when it appears to support one of multiple Sanskrit readings, based on the editors' judgment.
- Tibetan is not included in the apparatus when it offers no clear support for or against a reading.
- When both Tibetan translation agree, they are given the siglum TIB.

Sigla and Abbreviations

TaRaA Tattvaratnāvaloka

TaRaA-Vi Tattvaratnāvalokavivaraņa E_{DH} Dhīḥ vol. 21, pp. 129–149. K NAK 5–252 = NGMPP A 915/4 TM_D De kho na nyid rin po che snang ba. Tōhoku no. 1889. sDe dge bstan

'gyur, vol. Pi, fols. 203r3-204r5. Tr. by 'Gos Lhas btsas

TVA_D De kho na nyid rin po che snang ba'i rnam par bshad pa. Tōh. 1890. sDe

dge bsTan 'gyur, vol. 44 (rGyud 'grel, Pi), fols. 204r5–214v4. Tr. by 'Gos

Lhas btsas.

TVB_G De kho na nyid rin po che snang ba'i rnam par bshad pa. Ōtani no. 4793.

bsTan 'gyur gSer bris ma, vol. 84 (83 in BDRC outline(?)), (rGyud 'brel,

Zhu), fols. 70v-85v. translator given.

TIB Both Tibetan translations (differences, if any, indicated in a mini-

aparatus)

ac ante correctionem

deest omitted in

diag. conj. diagnostic conjecture [e.g. 'reconstructed' from Tibetan]

conj. conjecture

em. emendation [an emendation is made with a high degree of confidence,

whereas a conjecture proposes a correction while acknowledging a gre-

ater possibility for alternatives]

fol./fols. folio/folios

pc post correctionem

 $\begin{array}{cc} r & \text{recto} \\ v & \text{verso} \end{array}$

 $\Sigma_{\rm X}$ Reading shared in all witnesses but X

((kimcit)) Reading uncertain—either illegible or otherwise in doubt

<kimcit> Reading cancelled

†kimcit[†] Reading does not make sense to the editor and an adequate conjecture

was not able to be chosen.

[kimcit] Indication of a diagnostic conjecture

Damaged akṣara (one . per half akṣara)

... Lacunae of an unknown quanity of *aksaras*

° Mark of abbreviation

Text

1 mangalacaranam

```
[K fol. 1r] [siddhaṃ]¹ namaḥ śrīsadgurupādebhyaḥ |i anupamasukharūpī śrīnivāso 'nivāso nirupamadaśadevīrūpavidyaḥ² savidyaḥ | tribhuvanahitasaukhyaprāptikāro 'vikāro jayati kamalapāṇir yāvad āśāvikāśāḥ || 1 ||ii
```

[K fol. 2r3] namaḥ samantakāyavākcittavajrāya. iii

anupametyādi. kamalam padmam pāṇau yasya sa kamalapāṇir avalokiteśvaro bhagavāñ jayatīti sambandhaḥ. kiṃviśiṣṭaḥ? anupamam ity^{3,iv} atipraṇītatvamahattvāsaṃsārasthāyitvalakṣaṇair⁴ dharmair yuktasyānyasyābhāvād upamārahitaṃ sukham eva rūpaṃ svabhāvo yasya sa tathoktaḥ. punar api kiṃviśiṣṭaḥ? śrīḥ puṇyajñānasambhāralakṣaṇā, tasyā nivāsa āśrayo yaḥ sa tathā. dharmakāyarūpatvena^{5,v} sarvagatatvāt [E_{DH} p. 132] pratiniyatanivāsābhāvād anivāsah.

ⁱ Scribal homage

ii This verse is in Mālinī metre.

iii Scribal homage

iv Here one may wish to conjecture a reading such as, anumapetyādi. anupamam ity ...This reading is partially suggested by TIB: khyad par ji lta bu zhig dang ldan zhe na | dpe med ces bya ba la sogs pa smos te | dpe med pa ni (ni] TVA_D ; dang TVB_G). The corruption, if there is one, can be seen as a kind of haplography. The text nonetheless reads acceptably well with the transmitted reading (although perhaps less smootly); thus we feel that while a conjecture is possible it is not strongly compelling.

^v The manuscript's *dharmakāyarūpitvena* is theoretically acceptable and nearly synonymous; however, *dharmakāyarūpatvena* is more expected, with forms in *"rūpatvena* being vastly more frequent in Classical Sanskrit. TIB's reading *chos kyi sku'i ngo bo nyid kyis* does not clearly confirm either variant, as *rūpin* in the root verse is also translated as *ngo bo*. We provisionally adopt *dharmakāyarūpatvena*, but cannot fully discount the transmitted reading.

¹ [siddham]] K; om E_{DH}

² nirupama°] E_{DH}; nirūpama° K

 $^{^3}$ kiṃviśiṣṭaḥ? anupamam ity] K E_{DH} ; khyad par ji lta bu zhig dang ldan zhe na | dpe med ces bya ba la sogs pa smos te | dpe med pa ni TVA_D ; khyad par ji lta bu zhig dang ldan zhe na | dpe med ces bya ba la sogs pa smos te | dpe med pa dang TVB_G (kiṃviśiṣṭa ity āha anumapam ityādi. anupamam)

⁴ °samsārasthāvitva°] K; °samsārasthāvisva° E_{DH}

⁵ dharmakāyarūpatvena] *em.*; dharmakāyarūpitvena K E_{DH}

punaḥ kīdṛśaḥ? nirupamāḥ paramarūpayauvanaśṛṅgārādirasamahākaruṇādi-yuktatvenopamātikrāntā rūpavajrāditārāparyantadaśadevīrūpā vidyāḥ paricāra-katvena⁶ yasya sa tathā. saha svābhārūpayā vidyayā⁷ vartata iti savidyaḥ. tribhu-vanasya tribhuvanavartino janasya yad dhitam āyatipathyaṃ^{8,vi} buddhatvādi-kaṃ, saukhyaṃ tadātve pathyaṃ⁹ cakravartitvādikam, vii tasya yā prāptiḥ¹⁰ [K fol.

The word āyatipathya is used less ambiguously in compound by Śākyarakṣita, quoted in the following note. Similarly, the roughly parallel expression āyatisukha is evidnetly treated as a compound by Yaśomitra in his Abhidharmakośavyākhyā: aihikasukhārtham apuṇyam iti. ihasukhāpekṣayā tat kṛtaṃ nāyatisukhāpekṣayety arthaḥ (vol. 1 p. 299). Note also the contrast made with aihikasukha. Likewise, we can find a compounded form of āyatiduḥkha in a verse attributed to Naradatta in the Subhāṣitaratnakośa: muṇḍāpriyād āyatiduḥkhadāyino vasantam utsārya vijṛmbhitaśriyaḥ | na kaḥ khalāt tāpitamitramaṇḍalād upaiti pāpaṃ tapavāsarād iva || 'Who does not become miserable because of a rogue who, like a hot day, is hated by widows (? muṇḍā) (the hot day being hated by bald men), who leads to future pain, whose wealth expands after he expels those living with him (like the hot day manifests its richness having dismissed the spring), and who annoys his circle of friends (like on a hot day the orb of the sun is heated) (cf. INGALLS 1965: 553).

vii The text is insecure here but perhaps not far from the author's intention. Where the manuscript reads $tad\ d\bar{a}payati\ pathyam$ (word division unclear, pa and ya touching), we conjecture $tad\bar{a}tve\ pathyam$, following only partially the lead of TVA_D . The Tibetan translations read as follows: $gang\ la\ phan\ pa\ ni\ ma$ 'ongs pa'i $phan\ pa\ ste\ |\ sangs\ rgyas\ nyid\ la\ sogs\ pa$ 'o $||\ de\ la\ bde\ ba\ ni\ phan\ pa\ ni\ ma$ 'ongs pa'i $phan\ pa\ ste\ |\ sangs\ rgyas\ nyid\ la\ sogs\ pa$ $ang\ ||\ de\ la\ bde\ ba\ ni\ bde\ ba\ ste\ |$ 'khor lo bsgyur ba\ nyid\ la\ sogs\ pa'o $||\ (TVB_G)$. It appears that TVB_G also transmits a corrupt reading. TVA_D suggests reading something that contrasts with $ayati\ pathyam$, for which $tad\bar{a}tve\ pathyam$ fits. Another possibility is apatapathyam, but $tad\bar{a}tva$ is more often used in contrast with ayati. See, for example, Śakyaraksita's $Vrttam\bar{a}l\bar{a}stutivrtti:\ prthagjanatve$ 'pi $ayatipathyadarsinas\ tad\bar{a}tve\ ca\ nispapa\bar{a}h$ (p. 299); 'Although ordinary people, they see the future welfare and are without sin in the present moment.'

The Tibetan translations also suggest that *hita* and *saukhya* are linked with relative and corelative pronouns: *gang la* and *de la*, or *yasya* and *tasya* in Sanskrit. This does not yield good sense. It is possible that *tadātve* was misread by the translator as a corelative pronoun, while it is also

vi We need not necessarily read a compound for *āyatipathyaṃ*, treating instead *āyati* as a locative of *āyat*. The expression appears as a gloss for *hita* in several Buddhists texts, such as in Vilāsavajra's *Mantrārthāvalokinī*: *mahyaṃ hitaṃ maddhitaṃ hitam āyatipathyam āgāmipariṇā-matvāt* (p. 233). Similarly, Durvekamiśra writes in his *Hetubinduṭīkāloka*: *parasmai hitamāyati pathyaṃ* (p. 212). In both cases, the construction is ambiguous, but in the latter case, the editors of Durvekamiśra's text have not taken it as a compound.

 $^{^6}$ paricārakatvena] em.; sapari((c))ārakatvena K; saparivārakatvena E_{DH}

⁷ vidyayā] K E_{DH}; rig pa ste | shes rab TIB (vidyayā prajñayā)

⁸ āyatipathyam] variant word division in E_{DH}: āyati pathyam; and in K: āyati | pathyam

⁹ saukhyam tadātve pathyam] *conj.*; tad dāpayati pathyam K E_{DH} (*word division unclear*); de la bde ba ni 'phral gyi phan pa TVA_D; de la bde ba ni bde ba ste TVB_G

¹⁰ prāptih] K E_{DH}; thob pa ni rnyed pa ste TIB (prāptir lābhah)

2v] sākṣātkriyā, tasyāḥ karaṇaṃ kāro yasya sa tathā.^{viii} aparinirvāṇadharmakatvenāpratiṣṭhitanirvāṇarūpatvenā¹¹nyathātvalakṣaṇasya vikārasyābhāvād avikāraḥ. evaṃviśiṣṭo bhagavāñ jayati.

kiyantam kālam ity āha—yāvad āśāvikāśāḥ. āśā daśa diśo gaganasvarūpāḥ. yadvā āśāḥ sarvasattvānām bhavabhogatṛṣṇāḥ. 12 tāsām vikāśā avakāśāḥ pravartanāni, prādurbhāvā iti yāvat. te yāvat tāvad 13 bhagavāñ jayati, sarvahariharahiranyagarbhādibhyah prakrsto bhavatīty arthah.

atrānupamasukharūpīty anena svārthasaṃpattiḥ kathitā. śrīnivāsa ity anena tadupāyaḥ, puṇyajñānasambhārayoḥ śrīśabenābhihitatvāt. tribhuvanahitasaukhyaprāptikāra ity anena parārthasaṃpattir uktā. nirupamadaśadevīrūpavidyaḥ savidya ity anena tadupāyaḥ, ¹⁴tathābhūtadaśadevīdvātriṃśallakṣaṇāśītyanuvyañjanakāyākāraśūnyena¹⁵ sarvākāraparārthasaṃpatteḥ kartum aśakyatvād iti.

2 prayojanādi

śrīmantranītigatacārucaturthasekarūpam vidanti na hi ye sphutaśabdaśūnyam

possible that a second relative pronoun (yat) or a conjunction (ca) was found in the original text near saukhyam. Here hitasaukhya within the larger compound is only really viable as a dvandva: Avalokiteśvara causes the attainment of (ultimate) welfare and (temporary) happiness for all beings. Given that, tayor yā prāptiḥ might be preferable to tasya yā prāptiḥ, but the singular is also probably acceptable in place of the dual.

viii It is notable that Vāgīśvakīrti evidently understands °prāptikāra as a bahuvrīhi, whereas other commentators may prefer to treat it akin to kumbhakāra and therefore as an upapadasamāsa as per Aṣṭādhyāyī 3.1.18. Given the latter understanding, the expected gloss for prāptikāra would be prāptim karoti. Compounds ending in kāra are occasionally analysed as ṣaṣṭhītatpuruṣas: see, for examples, Vijñāneśvara's Mitākṣarā ad Yājñavalkya-dharmaśāstra 2.61 on satyamkārakṛta, here referring roughly to a thing 'acquired with a pledge', i.e., acquired as earnest money: karaṇam kāraḥ, bhāve ghañ. satyasya kāraḥ satyamkāraḥ—kāre satyāgadasya (Aṣṭādhyāyī 6.3.70) iti mum. satyaṃkāreṇa kṛtaṃ satyaṃkārakṛtam) (p. 275). We are unable to provide another example of a compound ending in kāra analysed as a bahuvrīhi, but we should also note that the compound prāptikāra is itself rare.

TIB does not clearly reflect a bahuvrīhi analysis, nor does it very clearly point to another reading: de dag sgrub par mdzad po gang yin pa de la de skad ces bya'o (TVA_D); de dag gi rgyu mdzad pa gang yin pa de la de skad ces bya'o (TVB_G).

¹¹ °rūpatvenā°] K E_{DH}; ngo bo rnyed pas TVA_D; ngo bo brnyed pas TVB_G (°rūpaprāptyā°)

 $^{^{12}}$ °tṛṣṇāḥ] E_{DH} (°tṛṣṇās); tṛṣṇā K

¹³ te yāvat tāvad] em.; tā yāvat tāvad K E_{DH}; de srid du TIB (tāvad)

¹⁴ tathābhūta°] K E_{DH} TVB_G (de lta bu); no reflex in TVA_D

^{15 °}kāyā°] K E_{DH}; dam pa'i sku TIB (°satkāyā°)

nānopadešagaṇasaṃkulasaptabhedais tesāṃ sphutāvagataye kriyate prayatnah $\parallel 2 \parallel^{ix}$

śrīmantranītiśabdena for sāmānyayogatantravācakenāpi śrīsamāja parigrhyate, caturthārthakasyānyatrāsambhavāt. Śeṣaṃ subodham. nānācāryopadeśagaṇasaṃkulai [$E_{\rm DH}$ p. 133]r vyākulai parabhir bhedai prakārair atītānāgatavartamānācārya gatopadeśarāśisaṃgrāhakai parabhir sphuṭāvagataye sukhena sphuṭapratītyartham tit.

3 tīrthikānām tattvam sādhyam ca

sambhrāntabodhā nikhilā hi tīrthyās tattvasya sādhyasya ca rūpavittau | tebhyaḥ prakṛṣṭaḥ kila tattvavettā vedāntavādīti janapravādaḥ || 3 ||^{xi}

ix This verse is in Vasantatilakā.

xi This verse is in Indravajrā.

 $^{^{16}}$ śrīmantranītiśabdena] K E_{DH} TVB $_{G}$ (dpal ldan sngags kyi gzhung lugs zhes bya ba'i sgras); dpal ldan sngags kyi gzhung lugs shes || zhes bya ba la sogs pa la | sngags kyi gzhung lugs zhes bya ba'i sgras ni | TVA $_{D}$ (śrīmantranītigatetyādi. mantranītiśabdena)

¹⁷ śrīsamājaḥ] K E_{DH}; shugs kyis dpal gsang ba 'dus pa TIB (sāmarthyāt śrīsamājaḥ)

 $^{^{18}}$ vyākulaiḥ] K $E_{\rm DH}$; rnam par dkrugs pas rnam pa
 thams cad la rnam par khyab pa ${\rm TVA_D}$; rnam par 'khrugs pa
 rnam par bkab pa ste ${\rm TVB_G}$; vyākulair vipūrņai
ḥ possible conj. (see notes)

¹⁹ prakārair] K E_{DH}; no reflex in TIB

²⁰ °vartamānā°] E_{DH}; °pravartamānā° K

²¹ °gato°] K E_{DH} TVB_G (gtogs pa); no reflex in TVA_D

 $^{^{22}}$ sukhena sphuṭapratītyartham] K $E_{\rm DH}$; bde bar gnas par khong du chud par bya ba'i phyir TVAD; bde bar gsal bar khong du chud par bya'o TVB $_{\rm G}$

sambhrāntetyādi. sambhrānto vibhrānto bodhaḥ prajñāviśeṣo yeṣāṃ tīrthikānāṃ te tatho[K fol. 3r]ktāḥ. 23 sarva eva tīrthyā ātmātmīyagrahatimiropahatabuddhinayanāḥ. tattvam idam iti sādhyam idam 24 iti ca tattvasya sādhyasya yat 25 svarūpaṃ tasya yā vittih pratītih, tasyāṃ bhrāntāh. śesaṃ subodham.

nanu tattvasādhyayor upādeyatvenaikarūpatvāt kathaṃ tattvasya sādhyasya ceti^{26,xii} bhedena nirdeśa iti cet. asad etat. tattvaṃ hy upādeyatve 'pi²⁷ sukhaduḥ-khopekṣādisakalapratibhāsasaṃdohavyāpakam.²⁸ sādhyaṃ cānabhimataparihā-reṇecchālakṣaṇaṃ phalam upādeyatve 'pi sakalaprāṇibhir avaśyam evāsādhya-vyāvṛttyā sādhayitavyatvenābhimatam ity adoṣaḥ.

4 vedāntavādinām śrāvakapratyekabuddhānām ca sādhyāni

tatra tāvad²⁹ vedāntavādyabhimatam sādhyam āha—ānandarūpam ityādi.

```
ānandarūpam svavid<sup>xiii</sup> aprakampyam vedāntinah sādhyam uṣanti śāntam<sup>30</sup> | saśrāvakāḥ<sup>31</sup> khaḍgajināś ca sādhyam icchanti rūpādyupadher virāmam || 4 ||
```

ānandarūpam iti sadāsukhamayatvāt. svavid iti jyotīrūpatvena 32 svayaṃ prakā-śamānatvāt. 33 aprakampyam iti nityatayā 34 kampayitum aśakyatvāt. śāntam 35 iti

 $^{^{}xii}$ E_{DH} misreads the manuscript as tattvasya $s\bar{a}dhyasya$ ceti and supplies katham after ceti. There is in fact a katham before tattvasya in the manuscript, but the tat preceding that katham is evidently a corruption.

xiii From the commentary it is clear that *svavid* is not in compound; thus, being an accusative form of a feminine noun, we expect *svavidam*. The form may be grammatically justifiable if it is treated as neuter adjective, akin to *vedavid*.

²³ te tathoktāh] K^{pc} ; te thoktāh K^{ac} ; tathoktāh E_{DH}

²⁴ sādhyam idam] *em.*; sādhyam cedam K E_{DH}

²⁵ yat] E_{DH} (em.); tat K

²⁶ kathaṃ tattvasya sādhyasya ceti] em.; tat kathaṃ tatvasya sādhyasya ceti K; tattvasya sādhyasya ceti kathaṃ E_{DH} (em.)

²⁷ upādeyatve 'pi] *conj.* (TIB: blang bar bya ba nyid yin yang); upādeyatvenāpi K E_{DH}

 $^{^{28}}$ °vyāpakam] K (°kaṃ) E_{DH} TVB_G (khyab par byed pa yin la); shes bya tsam du khyab par byed pa yin la TVA_D (°vyāpakaṃ jñeyamātratvena)

 $^{^{29}}$ tāvad] K E_{DH} TVA $_{D}$ (re zhig); no reflex in TVB $_{G}$

³⁰ śāntam] corr.; sāntam K E_{DH}; no reflex in TIB

 $^{^{31}}$ saśrāvakāh] em.; saśrāvakā K $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$

³² jyotīrūpatvena] K; jyotirūpatvena E_{DH}

³³ prakāśamānatvāt] E_{DH} (*em.*); prakāśamānāt K

³⁴ nityatayā] E_{DH}; anityatayā K TIB (mi rtag pa nyid kyis)

³⁵ śāntam] *corr.*; sāntam K E_{DH}

kleśopakleśaśūnyatvena parikalpitatvāt. evaṃvidhaṃ sādhyam uṣanti kāmayante.

saha śrāvakair vartante ye khaḍgajināḥ khaḍgaviṣāṇakalpā ekacāriṇo vargacāriṇaś³⁶ ca pratyekabuddhās te sādhyam icchanti. kīdṛśam? rūpādyupadher virāmaṃ rūpavedanāsaṃjñāsaṃskāravijñānalakṣaṇānām upadhīnāṃ skandhānāṃ virāmaṃ vicchedam, nirodham iti yāvat. [E_{DH} p. 134] etad uktaṃ bhavati—sarvaśrāvakapratyekabuddhāḥ sopadhiśeṣanirupadhiśeṣabhedena bhinne 'pi nirvāṇe³¹ nirupadhiśeṣam eva nirvāṇaṃ sā[K fol. 3v]kṣātkartavyatvena sādhyaṃ pratipannāh.

5 pāramitānayavādinām caturvidham sādhyam

idānīṃ pāramitānayavādinām abhimataṃ 38 caturvidhaṃ sādhyam āha—ākāraśūnyam ityādi.

ākāraśūnyaṃ gaganendurūpaṃ pratyātmavedyaṃ karuṇārasaṃ ca | sallakṣaṇair bhūṣitam³ arthakāri dānādiniṣyandam apetasaukhyam || 5 || sānandasallakṣaṇamaṇḍitāṅgaṃ sambhujyamānaṃ daśabhūmisaṃsthaiḥ | sattvārthakāri pravadanti sādhyaṃ dānādisatpāramitānayasthāh || 6 ||xiv

5.1 pāramitānaye pratham sādhyam

ākārair nīlapītasukhaduḥkhādibhiś citrarūpaiḥ śūnyaṃ nirākāram. ata eva gaganasyeva nirākāratvenendor iva prabhāsvaratvena rūpaṃ svabhāvo yasya tat tathā. pratyātmavedyam iti svasaṃvedanaikavedyam. 40 karunā duhkhād duhkha-

xiv These two verses are in Indravajrā.

³⁶ vargacārinaś] K TIB (tshogs kyi spyod pa); vanacārinaś E_{DH}

³⁷ nirvāne] E_{DH}; nirvāṇa° K

³⁸ abhimatam] E_{DH}; abhimata K

³⁹ bhūsitam] E_{DH}; bhusitam K

 $^{^{40}}$ svasamvedanaikavedyam] E_{DH} (em.) (°vedyam); svasamvedyanaikavedyam K

⁴¹ karunā duhkhād] K; karunāduhkhā° E_{DH}

hetor vā sakalajagadabhyuddharaṇakāmatā. 42,xv saiva rasaḥ svabhāvo yasya tat tathoktam. etad uktaṃ bhavati—nīlapītādicitrākāraśūnyaṃ nirābhāsaṃ nirañjanaṃ svacchaṃ sakalajagadarthakāri nahākaruṇāyuktaṃ pratyātmavedyaṃ pāramitopadeśaśabdābhidheyaṃ sādhyam iti pāramitānaye prathamaṃ sādhyam.

5.2 pāramitānaye dvitīyam sādhyam

śobhanāni ca tāni lakṣaṇāni ca dvātriṃśallakṣaṇasaṃjñakāni, 44,xviii tair bhūṣitam. arthaṃ janānāṃ prayojanaṃ kartuṃ śīlaṃ svabhāvo yasya tad arthakāri. 45 dānādīnāṃ daśapāramitānāṃ niṣyandaṃ tatprakarṣaprabhavatvena sadṛśaṃ

xv An alternative to °abhyuddharaṇakāmatā is to read °samuddharaṇakāmatā. This definition of karuṇā, in various forms, is well known in Buddhist texts. See, for instance, Durvekamiśra's Hetubinduṭīkāloka: ... duḥkhāt duḥkhahetor vā samuddharaṇakāmatā nāma yā karuṇā ... (p. 234); or Manorathānandin's Pramāṇavārttikavṛtti: duḥkhād duḥkhahetośca samuddharaṇakāmatā karuṇā (edition reads dukhā°; p. 21).

xvi One may instead wish to accept the manuscript reading nirābhāsanirañjanam, which is understandable as a viśeṣaṇasamāsa. The combination of nirābhāsam nirañjanam occurrs in a verse from an untracted source cited in Raviśrījñāna's Amṛtakaṇikā: yat kāyam sarvabuddhānām nirābhāsam nirañjanam | ajñātam akṛtam śuddham abhāvādivivarjitam || (p. 19)

 x^{vii} sakalajagadarthakāri can also be read in compound with $mah\bar{a}karun\bar{a}^{\circ}$. This is reflected in both Tibetan translations: 'gro ba ma lus pa'i don byed pa'i snying rje chen po. Regardless, the two are evidenly closely related.

xviii The manuscript reading *ceti* after *dvātriṃśallakṣaṇasaṃjñakāni* appear superfluous. The commentary analyses *sallakṣaṇa* as a *karmadhāraya*, glossing *sat* with *śobhana*; *dvātriṃśallakṣaṇa* serves as a further clarification, requiring no further conjunction. Likewise, the words *iti* and *saṃ-jñaka* together are redundant. We cannot fully discount that Vāgīśvarakīrti wrote the transmitted reading, nor can we give a clear explanation for the corruption, if it is one. Nonetheless, given that this appears to be genuine redundancy rather than simply a stylistic oddity, we provisionally conjecture a slightly smoother reading.

xix Here *nisyandaṃ* should be understood either as an accusative form (as it is in the verse) or (less likely) anomalously as a neuter noun.

 $^{^{42}}$ °abhyuddharaṇakāmatā] $\mathit{em.};$ °atyuddharaṇakāmatā K $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$

⁴³ nirābhāsam] *em.*; nirābhāsa° K E_{DH}

⁴⁴ dvātriṃśallakṣaṇasaṃjñakāni] conj.; dvātriṃśallakṣaṇasaṃjñakāni ceti K E_{DH}; mdzes pa'i mtshan sum cu rtsa gnyis zhes bya ste TIB (dvātrimśatsallaksanānīti)

 $^{^{45}}$ tad arthakāri] K $E_{DH}\ TVA_D$ (de ni don mdzad pa'o); de ni de'i don mdzad pa'o TVB_G (tad tadarthakāri)

phalam. xx duḥkhasya pūrvam eva prahīṇatvāt sākṣātkaraṇāvasthāyāṃ 46, xxi saukhyasyāpy abhāvād tupekṣārūpatvenāpetasaukhyam apagatasaukhyam. etad uktaṃ bhavati—dvātriṃśallakṣaṇadharāśītyanuvyañjanavirājitaśarīraṃ sakalajagadarthakāri dānādipāramitābhyāsa balenātmānaṃ sakalajasukhaduḥkharahitatvenopekṣārūpaṃ dvitīyaṃ sādhyam.

5.3 pāramitānaye tṛtīyam sādhyam

[E_{DH} p. 135] sānandetyādi. sahānandena vartata iti sā[K fol. 4r]nandam. sānandam ca tat sallakṣaṇamaṇḍitāṅgaṃ ca⁴⁹ sambhujyamānaṃ dharmadeśanādvāreṇopajīvyamānam.^{50,xxii} kaiḥ? daśabhūmīśvaraiḥ, pariśiṣṭabhūmisthitānām⁵¹ agocaratvāt. daśabhūmiprāptair avalokiteśvaramañjuśrīprabhṛtibhir upabhujyamānam iti yāvat. etad uktaṃ bhavati—śuddhāvāsopari ghanavyūhasaṃjñake⁵² samyaksaṃbuddhabhuvane yathā bhagavān ānandarūpaḥ sambhogakāyātmā nirmāṇadvāreṇa⁵³ sakalajagadarthasaṃpādakaḥ śrāvakapratyekabuddhanavabhūmīśvarair apy adṛśyaśarīro daśabhūmīśvarair eva paraṃ bodhisattvair⁵⁴ dharmaśravaṇadvāreṇopabhujyamāna⁵⁵ āsaṃsāraṃ cakāsti, tathaiva tat sādhyam iti tṛtīyam.

In support of the former, see Vāgīśvarakīrti's Saṃkṣiptābhiṣekavidhi: tadanantaram ekatathatāmatena tayaiva bhinnamate tv ānayā svasaṃviditajñānasākṣātkaraṇāvasthāyāṃ pūrvoktagāthayā adhyeṣitavate śiṣyāya tatpāṇau tasyāḥ pāṇiṃ pratisthāpya | (p. 417)

xxii For upajīvyamāna we might expect nye bar 'tsho ba in Tibetan. Below upabujyamāna is translated as longs spyod par bya ba and then nye bar longs spyod par bya ba.

xx cf. Abhidharmakośa 2.57c: niṣyando hetusadṛśaḥ. Vāgīśvarakīrti perhaps also alludes to Dharmakīrti's definition of yogic perception in Nyāyabindu 11: bhūtārthabhāvanāprakarṣaparyantajaṃ yogijñānaṃ ceti.

xxi Isaacson (personal communication) proposes $s\bar{a}k\bar{s}\bar{a}tkaran\bar{a}vasth\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ or $s\bar{a}k\bar{s}\bar{a}tkrty\bar{a}vasth\bar{a}-y\bar{a}m$ as potentially supperior readings to the manuscript's $s\bar{a}k\bar{s}\bar{a}tkrt\bar{a}vat\bar{a}sth\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ or the previous edition's $s\bar{a}k\bar{s}\bar{a}tkrt\bar{a}vasth\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$.

 $^{^{46}}$ sākṣātkaraṇāvasthāyāṃ] $\mathit{conj.}$ (Isaacson); sākṣātkṛtāvasthāyāṃ E_{DH} ; sākṣātkṛtāvatāsthāyāṃ K

 $^{^{47}}$ abhāvāt] em. (Isaacson); abhāvatvāt K E_{DH}

⁴⁸ °balenātmānam] K E_{DH}; stobs kyis bdag nyid TVA_D; stobs kyis byung ba TVB_G

⁴⁹ sallaksanamanditāngam ca] em. (Isaacson); sallaksanamanditāngam K E_{DH}

⁵⁰ °opajīvyamānam] K E_{DH}; nye bar longs spyod par gyur pa'o TIB (°opabhujyamānam)

⁵¹ parisistabhūmi°] corr.; parisista bhumi° E_{DH}

⁵² samjñake] *em.*; samjñako K; samjñakaḥ E_{DH} (*em.*)

⁵³ nirmāṇadvāreṇa] K E_{DH}; sprul pa'i sku'i sgo nas TIB (nirmāṇakāyadvāreṇa)

 $^{^{54}}$ paraṃ bodhisatvair] K E_{DH} ('sattvair); mchog tu gyur pa'i byang chub sems dpa' TIB (paramabodhisattvair)

⁵⁵ °bhujyamāna] *em.*; °bhujyamānam K E_{DH}

5.4 pāramitānaye caturtham sādhyam

```
saṃpūrya dānādiguṇān aśeṣān
saṃbuddhakṛtyaṃ<sup>56</sup> sakalaṃ ca kṛtvā |
yad bhūtakoṭeḥ karaṇaṃ ca sākṣāt
sādhyaṃ tad apy asti nirodharūpam || 7 ||
```

saṃpūryetyādi. dānādipāramitā eva guṇā, guṇyante 'bhyasyanta iti kṛtvā. tān saṃpūrya paripūrṇān⁵⁷ kṛtvā, yat saṃbuddhānāṃ kṛtyaṃ sakalam^{58,xxiii} avaśyakartavyaṃ kṛtsnaṃ tad api kṛtvā, bhūtakoṭeḥ śūnyatālakṣaṇāyāś cittacaittanirodhātmikāyā⁵⁹ yat sākṣāt karaṇaṃ tad api sādhyam astīti pāramitānayasthā evaṃ bruvate caturthaṃ sādhyam iti.

6 mantranaye saptavidham sādhyam

6.1 mantranaye prathamam sādhyam

idānīm mantranayopadiṣṭam saptavidham 60 sādhyam kathayitum āha—svābhā-nganetyādi.

```
svābhāṅganāśleṣi<sup>61</sup> janārthakāri<sup>62</sup> duḥkhaiḥ sukhaiś caiva vimuktirūpam | aśītyanuvyañjanabhūṣitāṅgam apetakalpaṃ pravadanti sādhyam || 8 ||
```

xxiii The manuscript reading of simply sakalam instead of kṛṭyaṃ sakalam is asymmetrical given the following gloss, avaśyakartavyaṃ kṛṭsnaṃ. Here Tib. reads simply nges par mdzad par bya ba ma lus pa, reflecting only the gloss and neither sakalam of the Sanskrit nor the conjecture kṛṭyaṃ sakalam. It is also possible that sakalam is a mistaken scribal addition, but it's also possible that even if the Tibetan translators saw kṛṭyaṃ sakalam, they chose not to render this because of the superfluous sounding result in Tibetan.

 $^{^{56}}$ saṃbuddhakṛtyaṃ] $\it em.$ (cf. TaRaA-V: saṃbuddhānāṃ ... avaśyakartavyaṃ kṛtsnaṃ); saṃbuddhya kṛtyaṃ K $\rm E_{DH}$

 $^{^{57}}$ paripūrņān] em.; paripūrņam K $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$

⁵⁸ krtyam sakalam] *conj.*; sakalam K E_{DH}

⁵⁹ cittacaitta°] E_{DH} (em.); cittacaitya° K

⁶⁰ saptavidham] E_{DH} (Tib: rnam pa bdun); caturtham K

⁶¹ svābhānganāśleşi E_{DH} (corr.); svābhāngaṇāśleşi K

 $^{^{62}}$ janārthakāri] conj. (Tib: 'gro ba yi don mdzad; TaRaA-V: jagadarthakāri); ta..rthakāri K (ak, ak, ak,

svābhāṅganām⁶³ āśleṣituṃ śīlaṃ svabhāvo yasya tat svābhāṅganāśleṣi. ⁶⁴ [E_{DH} p. 136] apetakalpaṃ vyapagatakalpam, kalpanārahitam iti yāvat. anyat subodham. ayam arthaḥ—samāliṅgitasvābhāṅganāśleṣi jagadarthakāri dvātriṃśallakṣaṇavibhūṣitaśarīram dva upekṣārūpaṃ prathamaṃ sādhyam.

6.2 mantranaye dvitīyam sādhyam

svadevatākāravišeṣaśūnyaṃ prāg eva sambhāvya sukhaṃ sphuṭaṃ sat | mahāsukhākhyaṃ jagadarthakāri cintāmaṇiprakhyam uvāca kaścit || 9 ||

svadevatetyādi. svadevatākāraviśeṣeṇa⁶⁸ sveṣṭadevatākāreṇa śūnyam, nirākāram iti yāvat. prāg eva prathamataram⁶⁹ upadeśānantaram eva⁷⁰ devatākāranirape-kṣaṃ sukhaṃ sambhāvya, bhāvanayā sākṣāt kṛtvā, sphuṭaṃ^{71,xxv} sphu[K fol. 4v] ṭīkṛtaṃ san mahāsukhasaṃjñakaṃ bhavati. tac ca jagadarthakāri cintāmaṇisa-mānarūpam. etad uktaṃ bhavati—upadeśānantaram eva mantramudrādevatākā-rarahitaṃ⁷² bhāvanayā sphuṭīkṛtaṃ mahāsukhasaṃjñakaṃ cintāmaṇivaj jagadarthakāri māyopamam āsaṃsārasthāyi dvitīyaṃ sādhyam.

xxiv The compound svabhanganaśleṣajagadarthakari is strinckly speaking not impossible, and could be read as a kind of instrumental tatpuruṣa, for example; however, given that this is a prose explanation of the verse, there is no need for the author to use such a compound and it seems mostly likely that the scribe left off the $ik\bar{a}ra$.

xxv The understanding reflected in TIB, namely *asphuṭaṃ* instead of *sphuṭaṃ*, is an alternative word division and also yields sense. It seems more likely, however, that the author is glossing *sphuṭaṃ*.

⁶³ svābhāṅganām] E_{DH} (corr.); svābhāṅganām K

⁶⁴ svābhānganāślesi] corr.; svābhānganāślesi K E_{DH}

 $^{^{65}}$ °svābhāṅganāśleṣi jagadarthakāri] $\mathit{conj}.$ (TVB $_{G}:$ nyid dang mtshungs pa'i lha mos 'khyud pa can 'gro ba'i don mdzad pa); °svābhāṅganāśleṣajagadarthakāri K $E_{DH};$ nyid dang mtshungs pa'i lha mos 'khyud pa can | 'gro ba ma lus pa'i don mdzad pa TVA $_{D}$ (°svābhāṅganāśleṣy aśeṣajagadarthakāri)

 $^{^{66}}$ śarīram] E_{DH} ; śarīra K

 $^{^{67}}$ upekṣārūpaṃ] K E_{DH} ; b
tang snyoms kyi ngo bo du 'khor ba ji srid du bzhugs pa (ji srid bzhugs pa
] TVA $_{\rm D}$; ju bzhugs pa TVB $_{\rm G}$) mngon du bya ba yin no zhe bya ba TIB (upekṣārūpaṃ āsaṃsārasthāyi sākṣātkriyata iti)

⁶⁸ svadevatā°] $\Sigma_{\text{TVA}_{\text{D}}}$; lha TVA_D (devatā°)

 $^{^{69}}$ prathamataram] K; prathamataro° $E_{\rm DH}$

 $^{^{70}}$ upadeśānantaram eva] E_{DH} (em.); upadeśāntaram eva K; bshad ma thag pa'i TIB (anantarokta°)

 $^{^{71}}$ sphuṭaṃ] K; deest in E_{DH} ; ma gsal ba TIB

⁷² °rahitaṃ] Σ_{TVA_D} ; spangs te | bde ba 'ba' zhig tsam TVA_D (°rahitaṃ sukhamātraṃ)

6.3 mantranaye tṛtīyam sādhyam

kṛtvā sākṣāt svādhipaṃ [K fol. 1v] sātarūpaṃ paścāt tyaktvā sātamātraṃ phalaṃ syāt | śuddhaṃ sākṣāc chakyate naiva kartuṃ tenākāro bhāvitah svādhipasya || 10 ||

kṛtvetyādi. svādhipaṃ sveṣṭadaivataṃ sākṣāt kṛtvāmukhīkṛtya sātarūpaṃ sukhai-kasvabhāvam, paścād devatākāraṃ parityajya, sukhamātraṃ⁷³ phalaṃ sādhyaṃ vyavasthitam syāt.

nanu yadi⁷⁴ sākṣāt kṛtvāpi devatākāras tyaktavyaḥ, tarhi prathamam eva ka-smād [E_{DH} p. 137] vibhāvitaḥ? sukhamātram eva dvitīyasādhyavat kiṃ na vibhāvitam?⁷⁵ kiṃ vṛthāprayāsenety⁷⁶ āha—śuddham ityādi. śuddhaṃ kevalaṃ devatākāravirahitaṃ sukhamātraṃ naiva sākṣāt kartuṃ śakyate, ākārarahitasya sukhasyānupalambhāt. tasmāt tena kāraṇenākāro bhāvitaḥ svādhipasyeti tṛtīyam.⁷⁷ ayam arthaḥ⁷⁸—devatākārasaṃvalitam eva sukhaṃ vibhāvya, sākṣādbhūte devatākāraṃ tyaktvā, sukhamātram eva sādhyam uktaguṇam.

6.4 mantranaye caturtham sādhyam

```
gagaṇasamaśarīraṃ lakṣaṇair bhūṣitāṅgaṃ
nirupamasukhapūrṇaṃ<sup>79</sup> svābhayā saṃgataṃ ca |
sphuradamitamunīndraiḥ<sup>80</sup> sarvasattvārthakāri
pravadati punar anyaḥ sādhyam ucchedaśūnyam || 11 ||
```

gagaṇetyādi. gagaṇasamaṃ māyopamaṃ vicārāsahaṃ⁸¹ śarīraṃ yasya. lakṣaṇair dvātriṃśadbhir aśītibhiś cānuvyañjanair maṇḍitāny aṅgāni yasya. nirupamaiḥ sthaulya⁸²nairantaryā⁸³saṃsāra⁸⁴pravāhitvanirāsravatvādibhir upamābhāvād u-

⁷³ sukhamātram] *em.*; sukhamātra° K E_{DH}

⁷⁴ nanu yadi] conj.; nanu K E_{DH}; gal te TVA_D ([nanu] yadi); TVB_G: not clearly rendered

⁷⁵ vibhāvitam] *em.*; vibhāvitah E_{DH} (*em.*); vibhāgato K

⁷⁶ vrthāprayāsenety] E_{DH}; vyathāprayāsenety K

⁷⁷ tṛtīyam] *em.* TVB_G (gsum pa yin no); tṛtīyaḥ K E_{DH}; bsgrub par bya ba gsum pa yin no TVA_D (tṛtīyam sādhyam)

⁷⁸ arthah] E_{DH}; artha K

⁷⁹ nirupama°] E_{DH}; nirupama° K

^{80 °}munīndraih] em.; °munīndrah K E_{DH}

⁸¹ māyopamam vicārāsaham] K (reading slightly unclrear); māyopamavicārasaha E_{DH}

 $^{^{82}}$ sthaulya°] K E_{DH} ; rgya nom pa nyid dang | rgya che ba nyid dang TVA $_{D}$ (praṇītatvasthaulya°); lhun che ba nyid dang | TVB $_{G}$ (sthaulya°)

^{83 °}nairantaryā°] E_{DH} (em.) (TIB: bar med pa nyid dang); °nairuttaryā° K

⁸⁴ °āsamsāra°] *em.*; °āsamsāram E_{DH} K

pamātikrāntaiḥ sukhaiḥ pūrṇaṃ romāgraparyantaṃ 85,xxvi saṃpūrṇam. svābhayā ca tathābhūtayā saṃgataṃ samāliṅgitam. sphuradbhir anantanirmitair munīndrais tathābhūtair eva sarvasattvārthakāri. 86 ucchedeneti nirodhena śūnyam tucchaṃ riktam. 87

etad uktaṃ bhavati—gaganamāyāmarīci 88 gandharvanagarodakacandrapratibimbasvapnopamam 89 [K fol. 5r] ekānekabhāvābhāvagrāhyagrāhakasvabhāvarahitam anādyantam aśeṣavastusaṃdohasvabhāvam 90 anābhāsaṃ nirañjanaṃ sarvopamātikrāntaṃ paramasūkṣmātigambhīraprajñārūpatayā dharmakāyasvabhāvam, dvātriṃśallakṣaṇavibhūṣitaśarīram aśītyanuvyañjanavirājitagātraṃ 91 paramaśṛṅgārayauvanādyupetaṃ svābhāṅganāliṅgitāṅgaṃ rūpavajrāditārāparyantadevīgaṇair anantaprabhedānimittarati 92 svarūpaparamānandopabhogadvāreṇa xxvii pratibimbavat [E_{DH} p. 138] sambhujyamānaṃ karuṇāsaṃvalitodārarūpatayā sambhogakāyarūpam, nānādhimuktivineyajanaparipācanārtham anekavidhaprātihāryadvāreṇa 93 nirmitānantakulāntarbhūtasambuddhabodhisattvaspharanasaṃ

xxvi See a similar expression in Siddhaikavīrasādhana (author unknown): tato niḥṣṛtaraśmibhir āpādatalād vālāgraparyāntaprāptam bhāvyate (Sādhanamālā no. 67, p. 67); de las byung ba'i 'od zer gyis rkang pa'i mthil nas skra'i rtse mo'i mthar thug pa khyab par bsgoms te (Tōh. 3461 fol. 116r)

xxvii See parallels in Samantabhadrasādhana for *animittarati / mtshan ma med pa'i dga' ba. From $r\bar{u}pavajr\bar{a}^\circ$ up to odesigned variation variation

 $^{^{85}}$ pūrņaṃ romāgraparyantaṃ] conj. (TIB: gang ba ni | ba spu rtse mo'i mthar thug pa); pūrṇṇaṃ masimāgrapayantam K; pūrnatām samāśrayantam E_{DH}

 $^{^{86}}$ sarvasattvārtha°] K E_{DH} (TVB $_{\!G}$: sems can thams cad kyi don); sems can gyi don TVA $_{\!D}$ (sattvārtha°)

⁸⁷ tuccham riktam] K; bhūstham riktam E_{DH}; spangs pa'o TIB (tuccham / riktam)

 $^{^{88}}$ māyāmarīci°] K E_{DH} (TVB $_{G}$: sgyu ma dang | smig rgyu dang |); sgyu ma dang | smig rgyu dang | smig rgyu dang | TVA $_{D}$ (māyāmarīcīndrajāla° / māyendrajālamarīci°)

⁸⁹ °svapnopamam] E_{DH}; svapnāpayam K

 $^{^{90}}$ anādyantam ašeṣavastusaṃdohasvabhāvam] K $E_{DH};$ thog ma dang tha ma med pa'i dngos po ma lus pa'i rang bzhin TVAD TVB $_{\!\!G}$ (anādyantāśeṣavastusvabhāvam)

⁹¹ °gātram] K E_{DH}; no reflext in TIB

 $^{^{92}}$ anantaprabhedānimittarati°] conj. (TVA $_{\rm D}$: mtshan ma med pa'i dga' ba'i rang gi ngo bo'i rab tu dbye ba dpag tu med pas); anantaprabhedānimittārati° KE $_{\rm DH}$; mtshan ma med pa'i rang gi ngo bo'i rab tu dpag tu med pas TVB $_{\rm G}$

 $^{^{93}}$ anekavidhaprātihārya°] K $E_{\rm DH};$ rdzu 'phrul dang cho 'phrul rnam pa du ma TVA $_{\rm D}$ TVB $_{\rm G}$ (anekaṛddhiprātihārya°)

hārakāritvena par nirmāṇakāyātmakam, śūnyatākaruṇābhinnabodhicitta par svabhāvāmalaprajñopāyasamādhisambhūtasatsukhāpūrṇam sam sārasthitidharmam par apratiṣṭhitanirvāṇarūpaṃ nirmalanivātaniścalapradīpaśikhāprabandhanityatayā nirodhaśūnyaṃ caturthaṃ par sādhyam.

6.5 mantranaye pañcamam sādhyam

kṛtvā sākṣāt svādhipaṃ sātarūpaṃ tyaktvopekṣājñānamātraṃ⁹⁸ phalaṃ syāt | āsaṃsārasthāyi sattvārthakāri cintā⁹⁹ratnaprakhyam¹⁰⁰ ekāntaśāntam || 12 ||

kṛtvetyādi. sākṣāt svādhipaṃ kṛtvā, paścāt

101 tyaktvā, upekṣārūpaṃ yaj jñānaṃ tanmātraṃ

xxix sādhyaṃ syāt. anyat sugamam.

102 etad uktaṃ bhavati—maṇḍalaca-krarūpaṃ sākṣāt kṛtvā, paścāt tan nirodhya, upekṣājñānamātraṃ sādhyaṃ syāt pañcamam.

6.6 mantranaye şaşthamam sādhyam

kṛtvā sākṣān maṇḍalaṃ sātarūpaṃ paścāt tasya svecchayā nirvṛtiś¹⁰³ ca| sattvārthasyāpy asty abhāvo na vāsmin prādurbhāvo nirvṛtād¹⁰⁴ asti yasmāt || 13 ||

krtvetyādi. krtvā sākṣān mandalam sātasamvalitam, 105 tasya svecchayā nirvrtir

xxviii See Sahajavilāsa, Svādhiṣṭhānakurukullāsādhana (SāMā no. 183, p. 383): tataḥ prajñopāyāmalasamādhisambhūtasatsukhāpūrṇam iva svadehaṃ trailokya ca paśyet.

xxix tanmātram] check: in compound or not

 $[\]overline{^{94}}$ °bodhisattva°] conj. (TVB $_G$: byang chub sems dpa'i); °bodhi° K E_{DH} ; byang chub sems dpa' la sogs pa'i TVA $_D$ (°bodhisattvādi°)

^{95 °}bodhicitta°] E_{DH}; °bodhicittā° K

⁹⁶ conj. (cf. Tib: chos can); dharmāṇām K E_{DH}

⁹⁷ caturtham] E_{DH}; caturtha K

 $^{^{98}}$ tyaktvopekṣā°] K (E_{DH} incorrectly reports as tyajyo°) (see commentary); bhāvopekṣā° E_{DH} (em.); no reflex in $TM_{\rm D}$

⁹⁹ cintā°] K^{pc} E_{DH}; cittā° K^{ac}

¹⁰⁰ °prakhyam] E_{DH}; °prakhyamm K

¹⁰¹ paścāt] E_{DH}; paścāta K

¹⁰² sugamam] E_{DH}; sūgamam K

¹⁰³ nirvrtiś] K; nirvrtim] E_{DH}

¹⁰⁴ nirvrtād] E_{DH}; nivrtād K

¹⁰⁵ sātasamvalitam] *em.* (TIB: bde ba'i rang bzhin can); sātam samvalitam K E_{DH}

nirodhah.

nanu yadi sākṣāt kṛtvāpi paścāt svecchayā nirodhayita[K fol. 5v]vyam, 106 tadā karuṇāyā anekakālābhyastāyā abhāvaḥ syāt. tasyāś cābhāvāt sattvārthābhāvaḥ [E_DH p. 139] syād ity āśaṅkyāha—sattvārthasyāpy asty abhāvo na vetyādi. asmin pakṣe sattvārthābhāvo nāsti, yasmān nirvṛtāc cakrāt karuṇāsaṃvalitāt sattvārthasya prādurbhāvo 'sti. $^{\rm xxx}$

etenaitad evāha—sātasaṃpūrṇacakraṃ sākṣāt kṛtvā, yāvad iṣṭaṃ kālaṃ vyavasthāpya, paścāt tasya sarvathaiva pradīpavan nirodhaṃ kṛtvā sthātavyam. yadā punaḥ sattvārthābhilāṣo bhavati, tadā niruddhād eva cakrāntaram utpādya sattvārthaḥ kartavyaḥ. cakrāntarotpāde¹⁰⁷ 'pi ciraniruddhād¹⁰⁸ eva cakrād yathābhavyatayā¹⁰⁹ vineyānāṃ yathābhilaṣitaprāptir bhavatīti ṣaṣṭham.

6.7 mantranaye saptamam sādhyam

kṛtvā sphuṭaṃ rūpam abhīṣṭam eṣāṃ paścān nirodhaḥ^{110,xxxi} phalam āha kaścit | abhinnarūpaś ca yato nirodho na pakṣabhede 'pi tato 'sti bhedaḥ || 14 ||

kṛtvetyādi. ṣaṇṇāṃ pakṣāṇām anyatamasya phalasya¹¹¹ sādhyatvād yad yad evābhiṣṭaṃ¹¹² tad¹¹³ eva sākṣāt kṛtvā, paścāt sarvathaiva pradīpavan nirodha uttarakālaṃ sattvārthādiśūnyaḥ sākṣāt kartavyaḥ.

 $^{^{\}rm xxx}$ TIB suggests reading $karun\bar{a}samvalitasya$: 'gags pa'i 'khor lo las snying rje'i rang bzhin can sems can gyi don ('gags pa'i] TVBG; 'gog pa'i TVAD)

^{xxxi} It is possible to take *phala* as the direct object of \sqrt{ah} and then read *nirodhaṃ*, construing it as an accusative form; however, the agent of \sqrt{kr} and \sqrt{ah} would have to be the same. Rather, with the reading *nirodhaḥ phalaṃ*, we can avoid this problem and simply supply an *iti*.

 $^{^{106}}$ nirodhayitavyam] em.; nirodhayitavyah K E_{DH}

¹⁰⁷ cakrāntarotpāde] E_{DH}; cakrāntaropāde K

 $^{^{108}}$ ciraniruddhād] em.(TIB: rin du 'gags pa'i); citaniruddhād K; cittaniruddhād $\rm E_{DH}$

 $^{^{109}}$ yathābhavyatayā] variant word division in $E_{\rm DH}:$ yathā bhavyatayā

¹¹⁰ nirodhah] em.; nirodha(m) K (this may be corrected to h); nirodham E_{DH}

 $^{^{111}}$ anyatamasya phalasya] $\mathit{conj}.;$ arthaphalasya K $\mathrm{E_{DH}};$ nang nas 'bras bu TIB

phalasya sādhyatvād yad vad evābhiṣṭaṃ] K E_{DH} ; 'bras bu bsgrub bya gang kho na TVA_D (phalaṃ yad eva); bsgrub bya gang kho na mngon par 'dod pa TVB_G (phalaṃ yad evābhiṣṭaṃ); tad] E_{DH} ; sad K

nanu ṣaṭpakṣabhedena ṣaḍ eva¹¹⁴ nirodhāḥ syuḥ. tat katham eka eva nirodha ity āśaṅkyāha—abhinnetyādi. abhinnaṃ¹¹⁵ rūpaṃ yasya sa tathā. ¹¹⁶ na hi nirodhānāṃ ṣaṭpakṣalakṣaṇabhede 'pi bhedo 'sti, abhāvaikarūpatayā nirodhasya samānatvāt. ayam arthaḥ—anyatamapakṣaṃ sākṣāt kṛtvā paścāt tasya santānocchedarūpo nirodha iti saptamaṃ sādhyam.

7 caturthe 'bhişekase vipratipattih

7.1 caturthaseke vipratipattih prathamā

prajñājñānād uttaraṃ bodhicittāsvādas turyaṃ sekam¹¹⁷ āhāvaraṃ tat | yasmāt¹¹⁸ sarvo bhāvanāsu prayāso vyarthaḥ prāptas tatphalasya prasiddheḥ || 15 ||

[E_{DH} p. 140] prajñājñānetyādi. prajñājñānopadeśād uttarakālaṃ¹¹⁹ yat bodhicittasyāmṛtarūpasya¹²⁰ rasanayā grahaṇam, tat turyaṃ caturthaṃ [K fol. 6r] sekam āha kaścit. tac cāvaraṃ hīnam, vinikṛṣṭam iti yāvat. kasmād avaram? yasmāt sarvaprayāso mantramudrādevatādyākārabhāvanāsu punaḥ punar anuṣṭhānalakṣaṇas tathāgatokto¹²¹ vyarthaḥ prāptaḥ. xxxii kutaḥ? tatphalasya bhāvanāsādhyasya phalasya bodhicittāsvādakāla eva prasiddhatvāt prāptatvāt, anyasya viśiṣṭasya phalasyābhāvād iti yāvat.

xxxii TIB reflects understanding two items that would be *vyartha*, perhaps suggesting another reading of the Sanskrit text: *gang gi phyir sngags dang phyag rgya dang* | *lha nyid la sogs pa'i rnam pa bsgom pa la yang dang yang du 'bad pa dang* | *gzhan yang de bzhin gshegs pas gsungs pa'i sgrub pa'i mtshan nyid don med pa thob par 'gyur ro* || 'Because it would follow that repeated effort in meditation ... and, what's more (*gzhan yang*; Skt. *ca*?), what is characterised as practice taught by the *tathāgatas*'.

 $^{^{114}}$ ṣaḍ eva] E_{DH} ; ṣatreva K

¹¹⁵ abhinnam] E_{DH}; abhinna K

 $^{^{116}}$ sa tathā] em.;tat tathā K $\rm E_{DH}$

¹¹⁷ sekam] E_{DH}; seşam K

¹¹⁸ yasmāt] E_{DH}; paścāt K

 $^{^{119}}$ prajñāj
ñānopadeśād uttarakālam] K $E_{\rm DH}$; shes rab dang ye shes ni shes rab ye shes te
| dbang bskur ba'i bye brag go || phyis ni 'das pa'i 'og tu'o || gang zhe na
| TVA_D (prajñājñānetyādi. prajñā ca jñānam prajñājñānam sekaviśeṣaḥ. uttaram paścāt. kim iti); shes rab dang ye shes te | dbang bskur ba'i bye brag go || phyis te rdzogs pa'i dus kyi byang chub gang zhe na | TVB_G (text may have suffered from corruption after phyis)

¹²⁰ bodhicittasyāmṛtarūpasya] *em.* (TVA_D: byang chub kyi sems te); saṃ bodhicittasyāmṛtarūpasya K E_{DH} ; sems te TVB_G (cittasya)

¹²¹ tathāgatokto] K; tathāgatoktau E_{DH}

7.2 caturthaseke vipratipattir dvitīyā

prajñājñānād uttaram prāptarāmāsvādas turyam sekam āhādhamam tat | yasmāt sarvo bhāvanādau prayatno buddhoddisto nisphalah samprasaktah || 16 ||

prajñetyādi. prajñājñānād uttarakālaṃ yāḥ prāptā yathāmilitā rāmāḥ striyas tāsāṃ samāpattidvāreṇa 122 ya āsvādaḥ, tat turyaṃ sekam. tad apy adhamam. śeṣaṃ gatārtham.

atha caturtham tat punas tatheti^{123,xxxiii} vyākhyāyate. caturtham iti¹²⁴ prajñājñānam tṛtīyam apekṣya caturtham ity ucyate. tad iti tacchabdena tad eva prajñājñānam tadrūpam parāmṛśyate. punar iti punaḥśabdena tasmād viśeṣaḥ. viśeṣaś cātra nirāsravaniruttarātyantasphītāvicchinnaprabandha¹²⁵pravāhitvalakṣaṇaḥ. ¹²⁶ tatheti tathāśabdena tādṛśatvam abhidhīyate. tādṛśatvam ca yādṛśyā prajñādiyuktayā¹²⁷ sāmagryā yādṛśam prajñājñānam utpannam, paścād api tādṛśyaiva sāmagryā tathaiva cotpadyate, nānyatheti tathāśabdārthaḥ.

atra ca lakṣyalakṣaṇabhāvenārtho boddhavyaḥ. lakṣyate 'neneti lakṣaṇam anubhūyamānam prajñājñānam, apratīyamānasya lakṣaṇatvāyogāt, nāgṛhītaviśeṣaṇā [E_{DH} p. 141]viśeṣyabuddhir iti nyāyāt. lakṣyate jñāyate pratipādyate 'neneti laksyam sāksāt karisyamānam caturtham.

```
xxxiii Samājottara 112c
```

¹²² samāpattidvāreņa] E_{DH}; rig pa'i sgo nas TVA_D; reg pa'i sgo nas TVB_G (sparṣadvāreṇa)

¹²³ punas tatheti] E_{DH} (em.); punar iti K

¹²⁴ caturtham iti] K E_{DH} TVA_D (bzhi pa ni); deest in TVB_G

 $^{^{125}}$ °niruttarātyantasphītāvicchinnaprabandha°] K; shin tu rgyas pa nyid rgyun mi chad par TVB_G (°ātyantasphītāvichinnaprabandha°); nirantarātyantasphītāvicchinnaprabandha° E_{DH} (em.); shin tu rgyas pa nyid dang | bar chad med pa nyid dang | rgyun mi 'chad par TVA_D (°ātyantasphītanirantarāvicchinnaprabandha°)

¹²⁶ °lakṣaṇaḥ] E_{DH}; °lakṣaṇaṃ K

¹²⁷ °yuktayā] conj. (TIB: dang ldan pa'i); °yuktyā K E_{DH}

7.4 caruthaseke vipratipattis tṛtīyā

atra caturtham nāstīty eke. XXXIV nanu caturtham ity etad asti tatpadam. 128 tat katham nāstīty ucyate? satyam, upadeśasamrakṣārtham sattvavyāmohanāya ca tṛtīyam eva caturthaśabde [K fol. 6v] noktam bhagavatā. anyathā tat punar iti noktam syāt. XXXV

tad atyantāsaṃgatam, caturthasya pramāṇasiddhasya pratipāditatvāt pratipādayisyamāṇatvāc ceti. xxxvi

7.5 lakşyasya vicāraņam

atra lakṣaṇaṃ prajñājñānaṃ pratītam eva sarvaiḥ. lakṣye¹²⁹ paraṃ vyāmohaḥ. tad vicāryate. lakṣyaṃ hi bhaved¹³⁰ artharūpaṃ vā syāt jñānarūpaṃ vā. na tāvad artharūpam, arthasyaikasyābhāvāt, ekānekaviyogitvena pramāṇena tasya nirā-kṛtatvāt. mantranaye ca vijñānavādamadhyamakamatayor¹³¹ eva pradhānatvād jñānarūpaṃ vā syāt. jñānaṃ ca sākāraṃ vā nirākāraṃ vā. sākāram api citrādvaitarūpaṃ vā syād anekarūpaṃ vā syād iti vikalpāh.

<code>xxxiv</code> TVAD adds near the beginning of this sentence Samājottara 112ab abhiṣekaṃ tridhā bhedam asmin tantre prakalpitam \mid : 'dir 'ga' zhig \mid dbang ni rnam pa gsum dag tu \mid rgyud 'di las ni rab tu grags \mid zhes gsungs pas na \mid bzhi pa ni yang dag pa ma yin no zhe na \mid

xxxv A portion seems to have dropped out from TVA_D.

xxxvi Tib. discusses two further pak; as here: that the fourth referred to in the $Sam\bar{a}jottara$ is the four anga of $sev\bar{a}$ and so forth; and what appears to be the idea that the four initiation consists in the third accompanied by its fruits ('bras bu dang bcas pa).

 $^{^{128}}$ nanu caturtham ity etad asti tatpadam] K (nanu caturtham ity etad asti | tat padan) $E_{\rm DH}$; de ltar de bzhin bzhi pa yang || zhes bya ba'i tshig bcom ldan 'das kyis gsungs pa yod pa ma yin nam | $\rm TVA_D$ (caturtham tat punas tatheti padam bhagavatā notkam vā); de lta na de ma yin pa gzhan de ltar de bzhin bzhi pa yang zhes bya ba der bzhi pa zhes bya ba'i tshig bcom ldan 'das kyis gsungs pa yod pa ma yin nam | $\rm TVB_G$ (nanu yadi evam na syāt, tadā caturtham tat punas tatheti padam bhagavatā noktam vā)

¹²⁹ lakşye] E_{DH} (em.); lakşyā K

 $^{^{130}}$ lakṣyaṃ hi bhavet] $\it{conj.}$ (TIB: mtshon par bya ba yang srid na); lakṣyaṃ hi bhagavat K $\rm E_{DH}$ (°gavad)

¹³¹ matayor] E_{DH}; tamayor K

7.5.1 sākārasya vijñānasya nirākaraņam

tatra sākāravijñānam sarvathaiva gagaṇakamalavan nāstīti nirākāravādino bruvate. nanu nīlapītaśuklādighaṭapaṭaśakaṭādi¹³²rūpeṇākārāḥ¹³³ pratibhāsante¹³⁴ pratyakṣataḥ. ^{xxxvii} te cārthasyābhāvād jñānarūpā eva. tat kathaṃ sākāraṃ nāstīti? ^{xxxviii} satyam. pratibhāsanta evākārāḥ, param alīkarūpeṇa. alīkarūpatā caikānekaviyogitvena¹³⁵ pramāṇalakṣaṇena¹³⁶ prasiddhā. tasya ca pramāṇasvarūpasyānyatra¹³⁷ kathitatvān neha¹³⁸ pratanyate. alīkatvaprasiddhā ca māyāmayā ivākārā bhrāntirūpāḥ prakāśante. ¹³⁹ bhrāntinivṛttau ca nirākāram eva¹⁴⁰ śuddhasphaṭikasaṃkāśaṃ pāramārthikaṃ bhavati. ¹⁴² ataś citrādvaitarūpam anekarūpaṃ ca sākāram vijñānam astīti vikalpadvayam nirastam bhavatīti.

7.5.2 nirākārasya vijñānasya samarthanam

nanu nirākāram api vijñānam upalabdhilakṣaṇaprāptaṃ svapne 'pi nopalabhyate. tat kathaṃ tad asti paramārthata 143 i[K fol. 7r]ty ucyate? ucyate. sukhākāraṃ vijñānam antaḥparisphuradrūpaṃ nirākāraṃ saṃvedyata eva. nīlādyākārāḥ punar alīkāḥ pratibhāsante. anyathā teṣāṃ satyatve sarva evākārāḥ satyāḥ syuḥ. tathā hi grāhyagrāhakabhāvādikam api satyaṃ [E_{DH} p. 142] syāt. tataś ca sarveṣām eva satyapratibhāsatvena muktiprasaṅgaḥ, 144 keṣāñcid api mithyāpratibhāsasya bhrāntirūpasyāpratibhāsanāt. tathā coktam—

^{xxxvii} TIB phrases this sentence as a rhetorical question, as if the Sanskrit started kim na ^{xxxviii} TVA_D's expression of the argument runs differently: don (rnam pa) de dag kyang med pa'i phyir shes pa'i ngo bo nyid kyang med yin na | de ji ltar rnam pa dang bcas pa ma yin zhe na | 'Because those objects [i.e., $\bar{a}k\bar{a}ras$] also do not exist, the nature of cognition too cannot exist. So how can cognition not have $\bar{a}k\bar{a}ras$?'

^{132 °}śakatādi°] E_{DH} (*em.*); °prakatādi° K

¹³³ °ākārāh] conj.; ((cā))kārāh] K; vākārāh E_{DH}

¹³⁴ pratibhāsante] E_{DH}; pratibhāsante K

 $^{^{135}}$ °viyogitvena] $\mathit{conj.}$ °viyogitva
° K $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$

 $^{^{136}}$ °pramāṇalakṣaṇena] K E_{DH} (TVB $_{G}$: tshad ma'i mtshan nyid kyis); mtshan nyid kyis TVB $_{G}$ (°laksanena)

¹³⁷ pramānasvarūpasyā°] E_{DH}; pramāna(((pe)))rūpasyā° K

¹³⁸ neha] E_{DH}; eha K

 $^{^{139}}$ prakāśante] K (prakāsante); prakāśyante E_{DH}

 $^{^{140}}$ nirākāram eva] K $E_{\rm DH}$ TVB $_{\rm G}$ (rnam pa med pa kho na); rnam pa med pa de kho na TVB $_{\rm G}$ (nirākāram eva tad)

 $^{^{141}}$ pāramārthikam] E_{DH} (em.); pārarthikam K

¹⁴² bhavati] K; bhavatīti E_{DH}

¹⁴³ paramārthata] *em.*; paramārtham K E_{DH}

¹⁴⁴ muktiprasangah] conj.; yuktiprasangat K; muktiprasangat E_{DH} (em.)

tasmād akāmakenāpi nīlādyākārāṇām alīkatvam evaiṣṭavyam. sukhādikaṃ nirākāram¹⁴⁶ satyam upalabhyate. tat katham nopalabhyata iti.

nanu sukhādyākāram sākāram eva vijñānam¹⁴⁷ upalabhyate, sukhāder ākārasvabhāvatvāt. na ca sukhādyākāraśūnyam jñānam svapne 'pi samvedyate. sakalabhrāntivigamād aṣṭamyām bhūmāv upalabdhilakṣaṇaprāptir bhavatīty atrāpi kośapānam¹⁴⁸ vinā anyan na¹⁴⁹ pramāṇam asti prasādhakam iti. tad asat,¹⁵⁰ abhiprāyāparijñānāt, sukhādyākārasyaiva nīlādyākārarahitasya vijñānasya nirākāratveneṣṭatvāt. tac cedānīm eva svasaṃvedanapramāṇasiddham sakalaprāṇabhṛtam¹⁵¹ astīti katham nopalabdhiḥ?

7.5.3 Establishing the Madhyamaka position

nanu tad¹⁵² apy ekānekasvabhāvaviyogād alīkam eva bhrāntimātram, ekānekasvabhāvarahitasya sākāranirākāravijñānavyāpitvāt.

nanv anena nyāyena sakalasākāranirākāravijñānasyālīkatvaprasādhanān na kiñcid api pāramārthikam vastutattvam asti. 153 tat katham lakṣyasya svarūpam pramāṇata upalakṣayitavyam? naiṣa doṣaḥ, madhyamakamate pramāṇato 'līkatāsiddhāv api māyopamapratibhāsamātrasyaikānekasvabhāvarahitasya dharmirūpasyāpratiṣedhāt. tatraiva cālīke pratibhāsamātre lakṣyalakṣaṇasaṃsāranirvāṇa [K fol. 7v]maṇḍalacakrādibhāvanāsakalajagadarthakriyādīnām avyāhatā vyavasthā sidhyati. 156,xl tathā coktam—

 $^{^{}m xxxix}$ Abhisamayālaṅkāra 5.21; Ratnagotravighāba 154; Pratītyasamputpādahrdayakārikā 7; etc. $^{
m xl}$ E_{DH} appears to understand the text as saying that both bhāvanā and jagadarthakriyādīnāṃ vyavasthā are established. TIB suggests that it is bhāvanā which is the instrument by which the

 $^{^{145}}$ drastavyam] E_{DH} ; drastavya K

¹⁴⁶ nirākāram] K E_{DH}; rnam pa brdzun pa TIB (alīkākāram)

 $^{^{147}}$ sākāram eva vij
ñānam] $\emph{conj.}$ (TIB: rnam pa dang b
cas pa'i kho na shes pa); eva vijñānam K $\rm E_{DH}$

¹⁴⁸ kośapānam] K (kosapānam); śapathollanghanam E_{DH} (em.)

¹⁴⁹ anyan na] E_{DH}; anyatra K

¹⁵⁰ tad asat] conj. (TIB: de ni bden pa ma yin te); tad K E_{DH}; asad etat possible conj.

¹⁵¹ °bhrtam] *em.*; °bhrtām K E_{DH}

¹⁵² nanu tad K E_{DH}; tat possible conj.

¹⁵³ asti] *conj.*; astīti K E_{DH} (astīti?) (*iti* has no reflex in TIB)

¹⁵⁴ °bhāvanā°] K; °bhāvanā E_{DH} (variant word division); bsgoms pas TIB (bhāvanayā)

¹⁵⁵ vyavasthā] K; vyavasthā ca E_{DH} (*em.*)

 $^{^{156}}$ sidhyati] conj.; sidhyatīti K E_{DH} (no reflext of iti in TIB)

buddhatvam vajrasattvatvam samvrtyaiva prasādhayet |xli

iti. 157

nanu sarvam eva vastujātam alīkarūpatayā niḥsāram. tadā kimartham mandalacakrādibhāvanāprayāsaḥ¹⁵⁸ kriyate? asad etat,

```
mithyādhyāropahānārtha<br/>ṃ^{159}yatno 'saty api^{160} [E_{DH}p. 143] bhoktar<br/>i|^{161,xlii}
```

iti vacanāt. yady api vicāryamāṇaṃ pāramārthikaṃ vasturūpaṃ nāsti, tathāpy ahaṃ sukhī bhaveyaṃ mā¹⁶² duḥkhy abhūvam iti tṛṣṇā sakalaprāṇabhṛtām asti. yathā tulye 'pi mithyātve śubhāśubhasvapnayoḥ śubhasvapnadarśanāt saumanasyam aśubhasvapnadarśanāc ca daurmanasyam, tadapanayanāya ca saddharmapāṭhamantrajāpādau pravṛttir bhavati, tathā mithyātvāviśeṣe 'pi duḥkhādiprākṛtavikalpahānāya^{xliii} samyaksaṃbodhilakṣaṇaprāptaye¹⁶³ ca prekṣāvatām arthināṃ pravṛttir bhaviṣyatīti.

8 saptavidheşu sādhyeşu sārāsāravicāraņam

nanu yadarthas tavāyam^{164,xliv} ārambhaḥ so 'rthaḥ pralayaṃ gataḥ. tathā hi la-ksyalaksanacintātra prastutā. sā ca vismrtā, kva gateti na jñāyate.

vyāvasthā is established. The manuscript reading suggests taking *bhāvanā* in compound with the following word—i.e., in the Madhyamaka system, although mere appearance is false, the framework of everything starting with *lakṣyalakṣaṇa* is established.

xli Kurukullākalpa 3.16cd

xlii Pramānavārttika, Pramānasiddhi 193cd.

xliii cf. Samantabhadrasādhana (as quoted in Kamalanātha's Ratnāvalī ad HeTa 2.2.45, fol. 16r6): prākṛtavikalpavṛttair aparaṃ na hi kiñcad asti bhavaduḥkham | tasya viruddhaṃ caitat sākṣādavagamyate cetah ||

xliv The manuscript's reading yadarthasvā'yaṃ seems like a plausible corruption of yadarthas tavā'yaṃ, but Tibetan shows no reflex of tava. TVA_D reads: rtsom pa 'di'i don gang yin pa. TVB_G reads: gal te gang gi don du (bzhi pa bshad pa'i bshad pa'i dus) 'di brtsams pa'i.

¹⁵⁷ iti] E_{DH}; deest in K

¹⁵⁸ mandala°] E_{DH}; bri ba'i 'dkyil 'khor (lekhyamandala°)

¹⁵⁹ mithyādhyāropahānārtham] *em.*; mithyādhyāropanārtham K E_{DH}

 $^{^{160}}$ 'saty api] K; 'styopi E_{DH}

¹⁶¹ bhoktari] K (bhoktarī°) (the letter no is added abhove bho); muktaye E_{DH} (em.)

 $^{^{162}}$ mā] E_{DH} (em.); deest in K

 $^{^{163}}$ lakṣaṇaprāptaye] K E_{DH} ; mtshan nyid kyi 'bras bu thob par bya ba'i phyir TVA $_{D}$ (lakṣaṇaphalaprāptaye); mtshan nyid 'bras bu thob par bya ba'i phyir TVB $_{G}$ (lakṣaṇaphalaprāptaye)

 $^{^{164}}$ yadarthas tavāyam] conj.; yadarthasvā'yam K; yadarthatvād ayam E_{DH}

na tu¹⁶⁵ kṛtaiva sā saptabhir bhedaiḥ? satyam, kintu guḍagorasanyāyena. tathā hi na jñāyate, kiṃ tat sāram asāraṃ veti.

ucyate.

8.1 prathamasyāsāratvam

mantranayavihitakramābhāvāt samāpattibhāvanāvaiyarthyād¹⁶⁶ yuktyabhāvāc¹⁶⁷ ca prathamasya niḥsāratā. tathā hi samagrasāmagrīkam yat phalam¹⁶⁸ tad avaśyam eva bhavati. anyathā samagrasāmagrīkam eva tan na bhavet. sākṣātkaraṇāvasthāyāṃ samagrasāmagrīkam tad vartate. tad avaśyaṃ tena¹⁶⁹ bhavitavyam. sati ca bhavati^{170,xlv} prathamasya hānir iti.

8.2 dvitīyasya asāratvam

śarīrādyākāraśūnyasya kevalasātarūpasyānupalabdher¹⁷¹ na dvitīyasya sāratā. tathā hi pramāṇaniścitaṃ prekṣāvatā bhāvanīyam, na yathākathañcit. pramā[K fol. 8r]ṇena saṃvalitarūpam eva sarvadopalabhyate.^{xlvi} tad eva sarvajanānāṃ kamanīyatayā pratibhāsate. tasmāt kevalasya rucyabhāvāc cakrākārasamvalitasyopa-

 $^{^{}m xlv}$ TIB could be rendered as something like *evaṃsati ca prathamasya hānir iti*. Indeed this seems to be the sense, but the manuscript reading of *bhavane na* or *bhavanena* is hard to account for. $^{
m xlvi}$ TVAD lacks a reflex of *sarvadā*, whereas TVBG lacks a reflect of *eva*.

¹⁶⁵ na tu] *conj.*; nanu K E_{DH}

 $^{^{166}}$ samāpatti°] K E_{DH} TVB $_{G}$ (snyom par 'jug pa); lha'i rnal 'byor gyi snyoms par 'jug pa'i TVA $_{D}$ (devatāyogasamāpatti°)

¹⁶⁷ yuktyabhāvāc] E_{DH}; yuktābhāvāc K

¹⁶⁸ yat phalam] conj. (TIB: 'bras bu gang yin pa); yat KE_{DH}

¹⁶⁹ tena K E_{DH} TVB_G (de); de'i 'bras bu TVA_D (tena phalena)

 $^{^{170}}$ conj.; bhavane na K E_{DH} ; de ltar gyur pas dang po nyams pa yin no TVA $_{D}$; de ltar gyur pa dang po nyams pa yin no TVB $_{G}$ (evamsati)

¹⁷¹ °labdher] E_{DH}; °bdher K

labdheh sāksāt kartum aśakyatvāc^{172,xlvii} ca dvitīyasya kalpanāmātrateti.¹⁷³

8.3 tṛtīyasya asāratvam

nirupadravabhūtārthasvabhāvatvena sātmībhūtasya tyaktum aśakyatvāt, saṃvalitarūpasya [E_{DH} p. 144] bhedābhāvāt, prayojanābhāvāc ca na tṛtīyasya rabhāvaḥ. 175 tathā hi sahopalambhena 176 tādātmyasiddhāv ekasya parityāge 'parasyāvaśyaṃ parityāgo na vā kasyacid iti.

8.4 caturthasya sārāsāratvavicāraņam

prapañcatvena bahuprayāsatvād vicārāsahatvena bhrāntirūpatayāparamārtharūpatayā ca na tṛtīyāntapakṣasya¹⁷⁷ kalyāṇateti.¹⁷⁸ atra kecid yuktiṃ varṇayanti.^{xlviii} prapañcarūpatvābhāve¹⁷⁹ 'pi sūkṣmasya bindvādeḥ punaḥ punar bhāvanayā sā-kṣātkaraṇaṃ yāvat prayāsas tāvat sarvatraiva bhāvyavastuni sambhavati. tad atra yadi prayāsabhayam, na kiñcid api bhāvanīyam.

prapañcarūpatvād iti cet, prapañcāprapañcayor bhāvanāvasthāyām ko viśeṣaḥ 180? nanu 181 aprapañcam śīghram eva sthirībhavatīty ayam viśeṣaḥ. yatraivā-

xlvii TIB suggests reading: kevalasyānupalabdheḥ rucyabhāvāc cakrākārasaṃvalitasyānupalabdheḥ sākṣātkartum aśakyatvāc ca. The addition of anupalabdheḥ after kevalasya renders the flow of argument's logic less smooth. The addition of the same word after cakrākārasaṃvalitasya does not change the argument in its overall sense. Note that the Tibetan 'khor lo'i rang bzhin could instead imply the reading cakrasvabhāva or something similar, but it may simply be a free rendering of cakrākārasaṃvalita.

TIB also adds the reason 'bad pa mtshung pa'i phyir ('becaue the effort is equal'). The purport of this is unclear.

 $^{^{}m xlviii}$ TVA $_{
m D}$ renders this sentence differently: de la 'ga' zhig las rigs pa cung zhig cig brjod par mi bya ste |

 $^{^{172}}$ aśakyatvāc] E_{DH} (em.); aśakyatāc K

¹⁷³ kalpanāmātrateti] E_{DH} (em.); kalpanātrateti K

¹⁷⁴ trtīyasya] *conj.*; trtīya K; trtīyaḥ E_{DH}

 $^{^{175}}$] conj. (Tib: dge ba [ma] yin); kalyanībhāvaḥ K pc ; kalyānībhāvaḥ K ac ; dge ba ma yin [na] kalyāṇabhāvaḥ

 $^{^{176}}$ sahopalambhena] E_{DH} ; saholambhena K

 $^{^{177}}$ trtīyāntapakṣasya] $\it em.$ (TVA $_{\rm D}$: gsum pa'i tha' ma'i phyogs TVA $_{\rm D}$; TVB $_{\rm G}$: gsum pa'i mtha' ma'i phyogs); trtīyāntah | pakṣasya K; trtīyapakṣasya $\rm E_{DH}$

¹⁷⁸ kalyānateti] E_{DH}; kalyānateti K

¹⁷⁹ prapañcarūpatvābhāve] K E_{DH}; spros pa'i ngo bo nyid du gyur TIB

¹⁸⁰ viśesah] *conj.*; viśesa iti cet K E_{DH}

¹⁸¹ nanu] conj. (TIB: 'on te); deest in K and E_{DH}

lambane 182 cittam punah punah preryate nirantaram 183 dīrghakālam ca tatraiva sthirībhavatīty āgamah. yuktiś cātrāsti. tathā coktam—

```
tasmād bhūtam abhūtam vā yad yad evābhibhāvyate | bhāvanābalaniṣpattau<sup>xlix</sup> tat sphuṭākalpadhīphalam<sup>184</sup> ||<sup>1</sup> punaś coktam—
aho kusīdatvam aho vimūḍhatā aho janasyāsya sadarthavakratā |
```

svacittamātrapratibaddhabuddhatā¹⁸⁵ adūravartiny api yan na sevyate ||¹⁸⁶

iti. tasmān nāyam viśesah.

bhrāntirūpatvenāparamārthatvam api sarvatraiva bhāvanāviśeṣe vastuni sambhavatīti na kiñcid api bhāvanīyaṃ syāt. [K fol. 8v] tataś ca sarvatraiva mokṣamārge bhāvanāyā vaiyarthyaṃ syāt. māyopamākārānupraveśena bhrāntirūpam apy aprapañcād [E_{DH} p. 145] bhāvyamānam¹⁸⁷ aduṣṭaṃ bhavatīti cet, na tv ayaṃ māyākārānupraveśaḥ prapañce 'pi samāna iti. tatrāpi ko doṣasyāvakāśaḥ? tasmāt prapañcam aprapañcaṃ vā yad eva rocate pramāṇasaṃgatam itarad vā, tad evālasyaṃ vihāya mahāpuruṣārthibhir bhāvayitavyam¹⁸⁸ ity alam atiprasaṅgeneti.

atra ca sāretaravibhāgaḥ paryupāsitagurubhir eva jñātavyaḥ.

8.5 pañcamasya asāratvam

tṛtīyapakṣoktadoṣatvān
¹⁸⁹ nīrasatvena
¹⁹⁰ prayojanābhāvān mantranayakramābhāvāc ca na pañcamaḥ parikṣīṇadoṣaḥ.

 x^{lix} The reading $bh\bar{a}van\bar{a}balanispattau$ is supported by the Tibetan translation and occurs in other sources ($bsgom\ pa'i\ stobs\ ni\ rdzogs\ pa\ na$). Another more mainstream reading for this $p\bar{a}da$ is $bh\bar{a}van\bar{a}parinispattau$.

¹ Pramānavārttika, Pratyaksapramāna 285

¹⁸² yatraivālambane] conj. (no reflect of nanu in TIB); nanu yatraivālambane

 $^{^{183}}$ nirantaram] E_{DH} (
em.) TIB (rgyun mi 'chad par); niruttaram K

 $^{^{184}}$ kalpadhīphalam] em.; kalpadhīḥ phalam K E_{DH}

 $^{^{185}}$ °pratibaddha°] conj. (TIB; 'brel pa); °pratibuddha° K E_{DH}

¹⁸⁶ Untraced. Also cited in *Saptāṅga fol. 202r7.

 $^{^{187}}$ aprapañcād bhāvyamānam] $\rm E_{DH}$; aprapañcā bhāvyamāṇam

¹⁸⁸ bhāvayitavyam] E_{DH}; bhaviyitavyam K

 $^{^{189}}$ tṛtīyapakṣoktaṣatvān *conj.* (TVB_G: gsum pa'i phyogs la bshad pa'i nyes pa yod pa dang); tṛtīyapakse ktato K; tṛtīyapakse kuto E_{DH} ; *no reflex* in TVA_D

 $^{^{190}}$ nīrasatvena] conj.; nīrasatvena te K E_{DH}

nanu sākṣātkaraṇāt pūrvaṃ mantranayaprayogo 'sti. tat kathaṃ tasyābhāvaḥ? satyam, sākṣātphalāvasthā sādhyā. tasyāṃ ca nāsty asau kramaḥ. †sākṣātparityāgeli† ca na prayojanam utpaśyāma iti.

8.6 şaşthamasya asāratvam

svecchayā nirvāyayitum¹⁹¹ aśakyatvāt, prayojanābhāvāt, sattvārthābhāvāc ca na pañcāntaraprabhedakalpanā¹⁹² kalaṅkāśūnyā. tathā hi kasyacin nivṛttiḥ kāraṇa-nivṛttyā vyāpakanivṛttyā¹⁹³ vā bhavati. na cātra sākṣātkṛtamaṇḍalacakrasya nivartakam kāranam vyāpakam vā icchākāle drśyate. lii

nanu śūnyataiva nivartikāsti. yathā dārusaṅghātaprajvalito¹⁹⁴ vahnir niḥśeṣam indhanaṃ bhasmīkṛtya paścāt svarasata eva nivartate, tathā maṇḍalacakraprajvalitaḥ śūnyatājñānāgniḥ sākṣāt kṛtvā¹⁹⁵ maṇḍalacakraṃ nivartayiṣyatīti cet.¹⁹⁶ tad asat, viṣamatvād dṛṣṭāntasya. tathā hi tatrendhanaṃ kāraṇaṃ¹⁹⁷ vahneḥ. kāraṇasya indhanalakṣaṇasya nivṛttau¹⁹⁸ yuktaiva vahnilakṣaṇasya kāryasya nivṛttiḥ. iha tu na śūnyatā kāraṇaṃ maṇḍalacakrasya. tat ka[K fol. 9r]thaṃ tannivrttau nivrttih? na¹⁹⁹ ca śūnyatāyā nivrttir asti. liii

li Segment instead: kramah sāksāt. parityāge?

lii TIB lacks a reflex of $icch\bar{a}k\bar{a}le\ drsyate$. Both translations add an extra sentence to this paragraph: $rang\ gi\ 'dod\ pas\ ('dos\ pas\ TVB_G)$; 'gog $par\ TVB_G$)'gog $pa\ yang\ mi$ nus $te\ mi$ $mthun\ pa\ med\ pa'i\ phyir\ |\ sdug\ bsngal\ la\ sogs\ pa\ la\ 'jug\ pa\ mthong\ ba'i\ phyir\ ro\ |\ liii$ The response the objection is considerably different in Tibetan. It states that while fire is regarded by mundane consensus as having a causal effect on fuel insofar as it transforms it, emptiness has no such effect on the mandalacakra. It is also therefore not something that causes it to cease, nor is it known to itself cease of its own accord. Although the Sanskrit MS is very corruprt in this paragraph, it is difficult to see how the text it transmits corresponds to the Tibetan translation.

¹⁹¹ nirvāyayitum] K; nirvāpayitum E_{DH}

 $^{^{192}}$ pañcāntara°] em. TIB (l
nga pa'i mtha'i rab tu dbye ba); prapañcāntara° K $\rm E_{DH}$

¹⁹³ vyāpakanivṛttyā] E_{DH}; vyāpakānivṛttyā K

 $^{^{194}}$ dārusanghātaprajvalito] conj.; dārusanghāte prajvalito E_{DH} ; dārusanghāt pravjalito K

 $^{^{195}}$ sākṣāt kṛtvā] $\mathit{conj.};$ sākṣān K $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$

 $^{^{196}}$ TIB a fuller sentence here. TVB_G reads: de ltar dkyil 'khor gyi 'khor lo stong pa nyid kyi ye shes kyi me rab tu 'bar bas mngon sum du byas nas kyang | dkyil 'khor gyi 'khor lo ma lus par ldog par byed la | bdag nyid kyang rang gi ngang gis ldog par 'gyur ro zhe na | TVA_D appears to be slightly more corrupt, but suggests that same readings: de dkyil 'khor gyi 'khor lo stong pa nyid kyi ye shes kyi me rab tu 'bar bas mngon sum du byas nas kyang | dkyil 'khor gyi 'khor lo ma lus par ldog par byed la | de yang rang gi ldog par 'gyur ro zhe na |

 $^{^{197}}$ kāraṇaṃ] $\mathit{conj.};$ na kāraṇaṃ K $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$

¹⁹⁸ kārvasya indhanalaksanasya nivrttau] *coni.*; kārvam indhanalaksananivrttau

 $^{^{199}}$ na] conj.; athavā na] K E_{DH}

nanu sā na 200 bhavatu kāraṇaṃ. śūnyatā vyāpakaṃ tu bhaviṣyati. vyāpaka-sya vṛkṣasya nivṛttau śiṃśapātvasya vyāpyasya nivṛttivan nivṛttir bhaviṣyatīti cet. etad apy asāram. tathā hi śūnyatā sarvadā sarvajñeyamaṇḍalavyāpikā tattva-rūpā. 201 na ca tasyā nivṛttiḥ kadācid apy asti. yadi syāt samyaksaṃbodhisākṣātka-raṇāt [E_{DH} p. 146] pūrvam anantaram eva vā nivṛttiḥ syāt. na ca bhavati, samyaksaṃbuddhībhūyāpi katipayakālāvasthānasya svayam eva svīkṛtatvāt.

kintu śūnyatāpi jñānarūpā, cakram api jñānarūpam. śūnyatājñānotpattyā cakrajñānasyānivṛttau²⁰² śūnyatājñānam kena nivartanīyam. tena nivṛttiś ca virodhino 'bhāvāt kāraṇavyāpakayoś cābhāvān nāsti. tasmāc chūnyatājñānasya na nivṛttiḥ,²⁰³ nāpi maṇḍalacakrasya śūnyatāto nivṛttir iti śūnyatā na nivartikā.

ko brūte śūnyatā nivartikā? kim tarhi yan nivartakam²⁰⁴ tad gurūpadeśato jñeyam ity apy asāram. gurūpadeśato 'pi na śūnyatāvyatiriktam²⁰⁵ pramāṇato 'stīti yatkiñcid etat. liv pratikṣaṇanivṛttiś ca kṣaṇabhaṅgarūpā sarvapadārthavyāpinī. na sā santānanivartikā. tasmān na svecchayā nivṛttiḥ. 206 na ca nivṛttyā nīrasarūpayā prayojanam asti prekṣāvatām. tathā coktam—

mucyamāneṣu sattveṣu ye te prāmodyasāgarāḥ | tair eva nanu paryāptaṃ moksenārasikena kim || lv

iti.

sattvārtho 'pi nivṛttau nāsti. na hi gagane²⁰⁸ gaganakamale vā kācid artha-kriyā sambhavati. ciraniruddhād apy atītād avasturūpāc²⁰⁹ cakrāt sattvārtho bha-viṣyatīty apy asāram, ciranīrutasyāpi²¹⁰ kukku[K fol. 9v]ṭasya kaṇṭhadhvanipra-saṅgāt.

 $^{^{\}rm liv}$ TVB $_{\rm G}$: bla ma'i man ngag las kyang stong pa nyid kyis ldog par byed pa ma yin ldog pa'i tshad ma cung zhig kyang yod pa ma yin pas. TVA $_{\rm D}$: bla ma'i man ngag las kyang stong pa nyid dang | de ldog pa las ma gtogs pa'i ldog par byed pa'i tshad ma gzhan cung zad yod pa ma yin no || $^{\rm lv}$ Bodhicarvāvatāra 8.108

 $^{^{200}}$ na] E_{DH} (em.); deest in K

 $^{^{201}}$ tattvarūpā] E_{DH} ; tatvarūpāh K

²⁰² °ānivrttau] K E_{DH}; log na TIB(nivrttau)

 $^{^{203}}$ na nivrttih] conj. (TIB: ldog pa med do); nivrttih K E_{DH}

²⁰⁴ nivartakam] *em.*; nivartikās K E_{DH}

²⁰⁵ śūnyatāvyatiriktam] conj. vyatiri((ktih)) K (i in kti lacks a prsthamātrā); vyatiriktah E_{DH}

²⁰⁶ nivrttih] K^{ac} ; nivrrttih K^{pc}

 $^{^{207}}$ niv
ṛttyā] E_{DH} (em.); nivartyā K

 $^{^{208}}$ gagane] K E_{DH} TVB $_{G}$; no reflext in TVA $_{D}$

 $^{^{209}}$ avasturūpāc] K E_{DH} TVB $_{G}$ (dngos po med pa'i ngo bo); dngos po'i ngo bo TVA $_{D}$ (vasturūpāc) 210 ciranīrutasyāpi] conj.; cirutasyāpi K; virutasyāpi E_{DH} ; yun rin por khyim bya shi ba TVA $_{D}$;

yun ring por long pa'i khyim bya shi ba TVB_G (ciramrtasyāpi)

nanu yogyadhiṣṭhānād gaganād apy arthakriyāḥ sambhavantīti cet. 211 na sambhavanti, yogyadhiṣṭhānād eva cittarūpād arthakriyā, na gaganāt, nīrūpatvāt tasya. $^{\rm lvi}$

nanu nirodhya maṇḍalacakraṃ sattvārthakāle punar utpādyate. tato 'rthakriyā bhavati. tataḥ punar eva nirodhyate, punar evotpadyata iti cet. asad etat. yathā sattvārthakriyāyās tattvato²¹² nāsti prādurbhāvaḥ, tathā cakrasyāpi. tato nārthakriyāyāḥ sambhavaḥ. na ca nirodhya²¹³ punar utpāde kiñcit prayojanam astīty alam atiprapañceneti.

8.7 saptamasya asāratvam

ṣaṣṭhapakṣoktadoṣasandohasya saptame²¹⁴ 'pi bhāvān na piṣṭapeṣaṇaṃ²¹⁵ kriyate. nanu ṣaṣṭhena saptamasya samānatvāt kathaṃ saptamasya tato viśeṣaḥ? asti viśeṣaḥ. pūrvāvasthāyāṃ niyatacakrākāratā, punaḥ svecchayā nirvṛtiḥ svecchotpādanaṃ²¹⁶ ceti. saptame punar etan nāsti. tato na samānatā. bhinnaś ca nirdiṣṭa iti.²¹⁷

9 caturthasya sekasya svarūpam

dambholibījasrutidhautašuddha-²¹⁸ pāthojabhūtāṅkurabhūtapuṣṭi²¹⁹|

lvi TVA_D varies significantly for this paragraph.

²¹¹ sambhavantīti cet] *conj.*; sambhavanti K E_{DH}

²¹² tattvato] K (tatvato) E_{DH}; de las TIB (tato)

²¹³ nirodhya] E_{DH} ; niro((dhya)) K (some kind of correction is made, but uncertain from what to what); 'gogas pa las (possibly nirodhāt)

 $^{^{214}}$ ṣaṣṭhapakṣoktadoṣasandohasya saptame] conj . (TIB: drug pa'i phyogs la bshad pa'i skyon gyi (gyi] TVAD; gyis TVBG) tshogs bdun pa la); ṣaṣṭhapakṣoktaṃ saṃdāhasyāṣṭame K; ṣaṣṭhapakṣoktasamdohasyāstame E_{DH}

 $^{^{215}}$ pistapesanam] K ac E_{DH}; pistapre | sanam K ac

 $^{^{216}}$ nirvṛtiḥ svecchotpādanaṃ] conj. (TVB $_{\rm G}$: yang rang gi 'dod pas 'gog cing rang gi 'dod pas skyed par byed pa); svecchetpādanaṃ K; svecchotpādanaṃ E $_{\rm DH}$; yang dang yang du rang gi 'dod pas skyed par byed pa nyid TVA $_{\rm D}$

 $^{^{217}}$ K $E_{DH};$ tha mi dad pa ma yin par bstan to $TVA_{D};$ tha mi dad pa ma yin par bstan to TVB_{G}

 $^{^{218}}$ °sruti°] corr.; śruti K $\mathrm{E_{DH}}$

²¹⁹ pāthoja°] E_{DH} (E_{DH} reports the ms. as reading pāthojña, but this seems to be incorrect); pāthauja° K

```
turīyaśasyam²²²⁰ paripākam eti²²¹ sphutam caturtham viduso 'pi gūdham || 17 ||
```

[E_{DH} p. 147] dambholītyādi. etat sadgurūpadeśato jñeyam.

10 aparam mithyāsādhyam mithyātattvam ca

```
pañcapradīpāmṛtabinducandra-
bhrūmadhyabindūdbhavamaṇḍalāni |
vāyoḥ svarūpaṃ galaśuṇḍikādyam
atattvarūpaṃ svayam ūhanīyam || 18 ||
```

pañcapradīpetyādi. pañcapradīpaśabdena gokudahanalakṣaṇasya, amṛtaśabdena vimumāraśulakṣaṇasya satatānuṣṭhānam eva sādhyaṃ manyante. bindur iti hṛccandrasthaṃ binduṃ dedīpyamānaṃ tattvaṃ sādhyaṃ ceti kṛtvā kecid bhāvayanti. candra iti hṛdisthaṃ kalārūpam ardhacandraṃ vā hṛtkamalasthaṃ kecid bhāvayanti.

bhrūmadhyabindūdbhavamaṇḍalānīti bhruvor madhye ūrṇāyāṃ binduṃ vibhāvya tadbindūdbhavāni maṇḍalāni vāyuvāruṇamāhendrāgneyalakṣaṇāni. etad uktaṃ bhavati—mukhaśravaṇanāsikācakṣurghrāṇarasanāni²²² hastāṅgulībhiḥ pidhāya bhrūmadhyabindur draṣṭavyaḥ. tasya sphuṭāvasthāyāṃ śubhāśubhani[K fol. 10r]mittasaṃsūcakāni māhendrādimaṇḍalāny upajāyante. taṃ ca binduṃ tattvam iti manyante.

vāyoḥ svarūpam iti pūrakakumbhakarecakapraśāntakalakṣaṇam²²³ ānāpānādilakṣaṇam²²⁴ ceti. etad²²⁵ uktaṃ bhavati—śaivasāṃkhyādinirdiṣṭaṃ²²⁶ vāyusvarūpaṃ jñātvā taṃ vāyuṃ nirodhabhāvanayā sthirīkṛtyākāśenotplutya gamanaṃ parapurapraveśaṃ yāvan muktiṃ ca sākṣātkurvanti vāyuvādinaḥ.

galaśuṇḍiketi. galapradeśe jihvāmūlopari hastiśuṇḍikākārā adhaḥpralambamānā upajihvāsaṃjñikā galaśuṇḍikāsti. sā ca śaktirūpā. tadadhaḥ śivarūpam²²²

 $^{^{220}}$ turīyaśasyam] $E_{\rm DH};$ tutīyaśasyam K

²²¹ eti] E_{DH} (em.); eta K

 $^{^{222}}$ mukhaśravaṇanāsikācakṣurghrāṇarasanāni] K $E_{DH};$ kha dang \mid rna ba dang \mid sna dang \mid mig TVAD TVBG

²²³ °recaka°] E_{DH}; recakaṃ K

²²⁴ ānāpānādilakṣaṇaṃ] E_{DH}; anāpānā° K

²²⁵ etad] E_{DH} (em.); tad K

 $^{^{226}}$ śaivasāṃkhyādi°] E_{DH} (em.) TVB_{G} (shi ba dang grangs can la sogs pas); saivasaṃkhyādi° K; grangs can la sogs pas TVA_{D} (smākhyādi°)

 $^{^{227}}$ tadadhaḥ śivarūpam] K E_{DH} TVB $_{\!G}$ (de'i 'og na zhi ba'i ngo bo); sdig pa'i rang bzhin du yong pa TVA $_{\!D}$

asti tattvam. sā ca $[E_{DH} p. 148]$ jihvāgreņa spṛśyamānā nirantarāmṛtaṃ sravati. tena ca ghargharāmṛtavarṣaṇena santarpyamānam ātmānaṃ dhyāyād iti galaśuṇḍikātattvam. ādiśabdena hṛnmadhyaṣoḍaśanāḍikācakramadhyasthajñānasvarūpaṃ²²⁸ śivarūpaṃ tattvaṃ bhāvayitavyam ityādīnāṃ parigrahaḥ. lvii

tatsarvam tīrthikādibhis tattvarūpenābhimatam. atattvam iti svayam evohanīyam vicāranīyam iti yāvat.

11 upasaṃhāra

svapnendrajālapratibimbamāyāmarīcigandharvapurāmbu[K fol. 2r]candraiḥ | anyaiś ca śabdair²²⁹ upamābhidheyair naivāsti sādhyaṃ kathitād ihānyat || 19 ||

svapnendrajāletyādi. svapnendrajālopamaṃ pratibimbamāyāmarīcigandharvanagarodakacandropamam iti śabdair anyaiś ca gagaṇapratiśrutkaphenopamam ityādiśabdair upamābhidheyair upamāvācakair naivāsti sādhyaṃ kathitāt sādhyād anyat. paraṃ kathita eva sādhye, ete śabdāḥ pravartanta iti svayaṃ boddhavyam.

gambhīraśūnyapratibhāsamātra-²³⁰ śāntāti²³¹sūkṣmānabhilāpyaśabdaiḥ | nirlepanīrūpa²³²nirañjanādyair bhrāntir na kāryāparasādhyasattve || 20 ||

 $^{^{\}rm lvii}$ TIB continues to describe this practice. TVA_D reads: yang smras pa | bcu las drug lhag rtsa dang ldan pa'i 'khor lo yi || dkyil na gnas pa'i snying gar rnam par gnas pa'i bdag | des ni de yi khyad par lta bu'i grub pa ster || de ni mngon par mi g-yo ba yi yid dag gis || rnal 'byor pa yi sems de de ltar mngon par bsam || nub par gyur pa'i mgon po rgyal bar gyur de ni || nus pa dag gis de ni yongs su bskor dang bcas || TVB_G reads: de yang smras pa | bcu las drug lhag rtsa dang ldan pa'i 'khor lo'i dkyil na gnas pa snying kar rnam par gnas pa'i bdag |des ni de'i khyad par lta bu yi grub pa ster | de ni mngon par mi g.yo ba'i yid dag gis || rnal 'byor pa yis de ltar mngon par bsam par bya || nus par gyur pa'i mgon po rgyal bar gyur || de ni nus pa dag gis de ni yongs su bskyor dang bcas ||

²²⁸ hṛnmadhyaṣoḍaśanāḍikācakramadhyasthajñānasvarūpaṃ] K E_{DH} TVB_G (snying ka'i dbus kyi 'khor lo rtsibs bcu drug pa'i dbus na gnas pa ye shes kyi rang bzhin); snying ga'i dbus kyi dkyil 'khor rtsibs bcu drug pa'i dbus na hūm gnas pa ye shes kyi rang bzhin (hṛnmadhyaṣoḍaśanāḍikāmandalamadhyahūmsthajñānasvarūpam)

 $^{^{229}}$ śabdair $\it em.$ (cf. comm.); sarvair K $\rm E_{DH}$

 $^{^{230}}$ °mātra°] E_{DH} ; mātraṃ K

²³¹ śāntāti] E_{DH}; sāntādi K

²³² nirlepanīrūpa°] E_{DH} (em.); nirlepanīpa K

 $[E_{DH} \ p. \ 149]$ gambhīraśūnyam pratibhāsamātram śāntātisūkṣmam anabhilāpyam nirlepam nīrūpam²³³ nirañjanādi. ²³⁴ ādiśabdāt śivam nirākāram niṣprapañcam anādyantanidhanam i[K fol. 10v]tyādiśabdair bhrāntir na kartavyā. aparasādhyasattve, aparasya sādhyasya sattve sattāyām. ²³⁵ ebhiḥ sarvair eva param api kiñcit sādhyam kathitād astīti bhrāntir na kartavyā. atha nātikathitam eva sādhyam ebhiḥ sarvair abhidhīyata iti niścayaḥ.

12 pariņāmanā

akhilagagaṇagarbhavyāpisaptaprakāra-²³⁶ grathitavacanarūpād yan mayāsādi puṇyam | anupamasukhavidyāsaktasaddehanirmij- jinajanitajanārthas tena loko 'yam astu || tattvaratnāvalokaḥ samāptaḥ. kṛtir iyaṃ paṇḍitavāgīśvarakīrtipādānām.

śrīsamāje parā yasya bhaktir niṣṭhā ca nirmalā tasya vāgīśvarasyeyaṃ kṛtir vimatināśinī²³⁷ ||

vikacakumudatārākṣīrakundānukāri²³⁸ pracitam api ca puṇyaṃ yan mayā granthito 'smāt | anupamasukhapūrṇaḥ svābhavidyopagūḍho bhavatu nikhilalokas tena vāgīśvaraśrīḥ ||

tattvaratnāvalokavivaraṇaṃ samāptam. kṛtir iyaṃ paṇḍitācāryavāgīśvarakīrtipādānām.

 $[\]overline{^{233}}$ nīrūpam] E_{DH} (em.); nirupamam K

 $^{^{234}}$ nirañjan
ādi] K; nirañjanaṃ $\rm E_{DH}$

 $^{^{235}}$ sattāyām] K; sattvāyā E_{DH}

 $^{^{236}}$ °saptaprakāra°] $E_{\rm DH};$ °sarvaprakāra° K

²³⁷ vimatināśinī] E_{DH}; vimatināsanī K

 $^{^{238}}$ vikacakumudatārākṣīrakundānukāri
 $\it{em.};$ vikacakumudakṣīratārakundānukāri
] $\rm{E_{DH}};$ vikarektāmudakṣīratārākundānukāri K

References

Abhidharmakośa by Vasubandhu. pradhan1975

Abhidharmakośavyākhyā by Yośamitra. Wogihara Unrai, ed. n.d. Sphuṭārthā Abhidharmakośavyākhyā by Yaśomitra. 2 vols. Tokyo: The Publishing Association of the Abhidharmakośavyākhyā

Amṛtakaṇikā by Raviśrījñāna. Banarsi LAL, ed. 1994. Āryamañjuśrīnāmasaṁgīti with Amṛtakaṇikā-ṭippaṇī by Bhikṣu Raviśrījñāna and Amṛtakaṇikodyota-nibandha of Vibhūticandra. Biblioteca Indo-Tibetica XXX. Sarnath: Central Institute of Higher Tibetan Studies

Nyāyabindu by Dharmakīrti. scerbatskoj1918

Pramāṇavārttikavṛtti by Manorathānandin. Sāmkrtyāyana ed.

Mantrārthāvalokinī by Vilāsavajra. A. Tribe. 2016. Tantric Buddhist Practice in India: Vilāsavajra's Commentary on the Mañjuśrīnāmasaṃgīti. Routledge Studies in Tantric Traditions. Taylor & Francis

Mitākṣarā of Vijñāneśvara. Narayan Ram Acharya, ed. 1949. Yājñavalkyasmṛti of Yogīśvara Yājñavalkya with the Commentary Mitākṣara of Vijñāneśvara, Notes, Varient [sic!] Readings etc. Bombay: Nirnaya Sagar Press

Vṛttamālāvivṛti by Śākyarakṣita. Hahn ed.

Subhāṣitaratnakośa compiled by Vidyākara. D. D. Kosambi and V. V. Gokhale, eds. 1957. The Subhāṣitaratnakoṣa Compiled by Vidyākara. Cambridge Massachusetts: Harvard University Press

Hetubinduṭīkāloka by Durvekamiśra. Sukhlalji Sanghavi and Muni Shri Jinavi-Jayaji, eds. 1949. Hetubinduṭīkā of Bhaṭṭa Arcaṭa with the Sub-Commentary Entitled Āloka of Durveka Miśra. Baroda: Oriental Institute

Secondary Sources

- Ingalls, Daniel H. H. 1965. *An Anthology of Sanskrit Court Poetry: Vidyākara's "Subhāṣitaratnakoṣa"*. Vol. 44. Harvard Oriental Series. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- LAL, Banarsi, ed. 1994. Āryamañjuśrīnāmasamgīti with Amṛtakaṇikā-ṭippaṇī by Bhikṣu Raviśrījñāna and Amṛtakaṇikodyota-nibandha of Vibhūticandra. Biblioteca Indo-Tibetica XXX. Sarnath: Central Institute of Higher Tibetan Studies.