

Tattvaratnāvaloka and its Vivaraṇa

Vāgīśvarakīrti

February 21, 2025

Some Conventions/Policies

- Tibetan translations are included in the apparatus when they indicate variant Sanskrit readings.
- Sanskrit renderings suggested by Tibetan appear in brackets after the translation's siglum. These renderings are hypothetical and cannot be provided in all cases.
- When two Tibetan translations differ slightly, they are separated by a semicolon. Only the second translation includes a Sanskrit rendering.
- A Tibetan translation's siglum is included when it appears to support one of multiple Sanskrit readings, based on the editors' judgment.
- Tibetan is not included in the apparatus when it offers no clear support for or against a reading.
- When both Tibetan translations of the commentary agree, they are given the siglum TV.

Sigla and Abbreviations

TaRaa	Tattvaratnāvaloka
TaRaa-Vi	Tattvaratnāvalokavivaraṇa
E _{DH}	Dhīḥ vol. 21, pp. 129–149.
K	NAK 5–252 = NGMPP A 915/4
TM _D	<i>De kho na nyid rin po che snang ba</i> . Tōhoku no. 1889. sDe dge bstan 'gyur, vol. Pi, fols. 203r3–204r5. Tr. by 'Gos Lhas btsas
TVA _D	<i>De kho na nyid rin po che snang ba'i rnam par bshad pa</i> . Tōh. 1890. sDe dge bsTan 'gyur, vol. 44 (rGyud 'grel, Pi), fols. 204r5–214v4. Tr. by 'Gos Lhas btsas.
TVB _G	<i>De kho na nyid rin po che snang ba'i rnam par bshad pa</i> . Ōtani no. 4793. bsTan 'gyur gSer bris ma, vol. 84 (83 in BDRC outline(?)), (rGyud 'brel, Zhu), fols. 70v–85v. translator given.
TV	Both Tibetan translations of the commentary (differences, if any, indicated in a mini-aparatus)
<i>ac</i>	<i>ante correctionem</i>
<i>deest</i>	omitted in
<i>diag. conj.</i>	diagnostic conjecture [e.g. 'reconstructed' from Tibetan]
<i>conj.</i>	conjecture
<i>em.</i>	emendation [an emendation is made with a high degree of confidence, whereas a conjecture proposes a correction while acknowledging a greater possibility for alternatives]
fol./fols.	folio/folios
<i>pc</i>	<i>post correctionem</i>
<i>r</i>	recto
<i>v</i>	verso

Σ_X	Reading shared in all witnesses but X
((kiṃcit))	Reading uncertain—either illegible or otherwise in doubt
<kiṃcit>	Reading cancelled
†kiṃcit†	Reading does not make sense to the editor and an adequate conjecture was not able to be chosen.
[kiṃcit]	Indication of a diagnostic conjecture
..	Damaged <i>akṣara</i> (one . per half <i>akṣara</i>)
...	Lacunae of an unknown quantity of <i>akṣaras</i>
°	Mark of abbreviation

Text

1 maṅgalācaraṇam

[K fol. 1r] [siddham]¹ namaḥ śrīsadgurupādebhyaḥ |ⁱ
 anupamasukharūpī śrīnivāso 'nivāso
 nirupamadaśadevīrūpavidyaḥ² savidyah |
 tribhuvanahitasaukhyaprāptikāro 'vikāro
 jayati kamalapāṇir yāvad āśāvikāśāḥ || 1 ||ⁱⁱⁱ

[K fol. 2r3] namaḥ samantakāyavākcittavajrāya.ⁱⁱⁱ

anupametyādi. kamalaṃ padmaṃ pāṇau yasya sa kamalapāṇir a-
 valokiteśvaro bhagavāñ jayatīti sambandhaḥ. kiṃviśiṣṭaḥ? anupa-

ⁱ Scribal homage

ⁱⁱ This verse is in Mālinī metre.

ⁱⁱⁱ Scribal homage

¹ [siddham]] K; om E_{DH}

² nirupama° E_{DH}; nirūpama° K

mam ity^{3,iv} atipraṇītatvamahattvāsaṃsārasthāyitvalakṣaṇair⁴ dharmair yuktasyānyasyābhāvād upamārahitam sukham eva rūpaṃ svabhāvo yasya sa tathoktaḥ. punar api kiṃviśiṣṭaḥ? śrīḥ puṇyajñānasambhāralakṣaṇā, tasyā nivāsa āśrayo yaḥ sa tathā. dharmakāyarūpatvena^{5,v} sarvagatatvāt [E_{DH} p. 132] pratiniyatanivāsābhāvād anivāsaḥ.

punaḥ kīdṛśaḥ? nirupamāḥ paramarūpayauvanaśṛṅgārādirasamahākaraṇādiyuktatvenopamātikrāntā rūpavajrādītārāparyantadaśadevīrūpā vidyāḥ paricāraakatvena⁶ yasya sa tathā. saha svābhārūpayā vidyayā⁷ vartata iti savidyāḥ. tribhuvanasya tribhuvanavartino janasya yad dhitam āyatipathyam^{8,vi} buddhatvādikaṃ, sau-

^{iv} Here one may wish to conjecture a reading such as, *anumapetyādi. anupamam ity* ... This reading is partially suggested by TV: *khyad par ji lta bu zhig dang ldan zhe na | dpe med ces bya ba la sogs pa smos te | dpe med pa ni (ni)* TV_{AD}; *dang* TV_{BG}). The corruption, if there is one, can be seen as a kind of haplography. The text nonetheless reads acceptably well with the transmitted reading (although perhaps less smoothly); thus we feel that while a conjecture is possible it is not strongly compelling.

^v The manuscript's *dharmakāyarūpitvena* is theoretically acceptable and nearly synonymous; however, *dharmakāyarūpatvena* is more expected, with forms in *rūpatvena* being vastly more frequent in Classical Sanskrit. TV's reading *chos kyi sku'i ngo bo nyid kyi* does not clearly confirm either variant, as *rūpin* in the root verse is also translated as *ngo bo*. We provisionally adopt *dharmakāyarūpatvena*, but cannot fully discount the transmitted reading.

^{vi} We need not necessarily read a compound for *āyatipathyam*, treating instead *āyati* as a locative of *āyat*. The expression appears as a gloss for *hita* in several Buddhists texts, such as in Vilāsavajra's *Nāmamantrārthāvalokinī*: *mahyam hitam maddhitam hitam āyatipathyam āgāmipariṇāmatvāt* (p. 233). Similarly, Durvekamiśra writes in his *Hetubinduṭīkāloka*: *parasmai hitam āyati pathyam* (p. 212). In

³ kiṃviśiṣṭaḥ? anupamam ity] K E_{DH}; *khyad par ji lta bu zhig dang ldan zhe na | dpe med ces bya ba la sogs pa smos te | dpe med pa ni* TV_{AD}; *khyad par ji lta bu zhig dang ldan zhe na | dpe med ces bya ba la sogs pa smos te | dpe med pa dang* TV_{BG} (kiṃviśiṣṭa ity āha anupamam ityādi. anupamam)

⁴ °saṃsārasthāyitva°] K; °saṃsārasthāyisva° E_{DH}

⁵ dharmakāyarūpatvena] *em.*; dharmakāyarūpitvena K E_{DH}

⁶ paricāraakatvena] *em.*; sapari(c)āraakatvena K; saparivāraakatvena E_{DH}

⁷ vidyayā] K E_{DH}; *rig pa ste | shes rab* TV (vidyayā prajñayā)

⁸ āyatipathyam] *variant word division in* E_{DH}: *āyati pathyam*; *and in* K: *āyati | pathyam*

khyam tadātve pathyam⁹ cakravartitvādikam,^{vii} tasya yā prāptiḥ¹⁰

both cases, the construction is ambiguous, but in the latter case, the editors of Durvekamiśra's text have not taken it as a compound.

The word *āyatipathya* is used less ambiguously in compound by Śākyarakṣita, quoted in the following note. Similarly, the roughly parallel expression *āyatisukha* is evidently treated as a compound by Yaśomitra in his *Abhidharmakośavyākhyā*: *aihikasukhārtham apuṇyam iti. ihasukhāpekṣayā tat kṛtaṃ nāyatisukhāpekṣayety arthaḥ* (vol. 1 p. 299). Note also the contrast made with *aihikasukha*. Likewise, we can find a compounded form of *āyatiduhkha* in a verse attributed to Naradatta in the *Subhāṣitaratnakośa*: *muṇḍāpriyād āyatiduhkhadāyino vasantam utsārya vijrmbhitaśriyaḥ | na kaḥ khalāt tāpitamitramanḍalād upaiti pāpaṃ tapavāsarād iva ||* 'Who does not become miserable because of a rogue who, like a hot day, is hated by widows (? *muṇḍā*) (the hot day being hated by bald men), who leads to future pain, whose wealth expands after he expels those living with him (like the hot day manifests its richness having dismissed the spring), and who annoys his circle of friends (like on a hot day the orb of the sun is heated) (cf. *ingalls1965*).

^{vii} The text is insecure here but perhaps not far from the author's intention. Where the manuscript reads *tad dāpayati pathyam* (word division unclear, *pa* and *ya* touching), we conjecture *tadātve pathyam*, following only partially the lead of TV_{AD}. The Tibetan translations read as follows: *gang la phan pa ni ma 'ongs pa'i phan pa ste | sangs rgyas nyid la sogs pa'o || de la bde ba ni 'phral gyi phan pa ste |* (TV_{AD}); *gang la phan pa ni ma 'ongs pa'i phan pa ste | sangs rgyas nyid la sogs pa dang | de la bde ba ni bde ba ste | 'khor lo bsgyur ba nyid la sogs pa'o ||* (TV_{BG}). It appears that TV_{BG} also transmits a corrupt reading with *de la bde ba nit bde ba ste*. TV_{AD} suggests reading something that contrasts with *āyatipathyam*, for which *tadātve pathyam* fits. Another possibility is *āpātathyam*, but *tadātva* is more often used in contrast with *āyati*. See, for example, Śākyarakṣita's *Vṛttamālāstutivṛtti*: *prthagjanatve 'pi āyatipathyadarśinas tadātve ca niṣpāpāḥ* (p. 299); 'Although ordinary people, they see the future welfare and are without sin in the present moment.'

The Tibetan translations also suggest that *hita* and *saukhya* are linked with relative and correlative pronouns: *gang la* and *de la*, or *yasya* and *tasya* in Sanskrit. This does not yield good sense. It is possible that *tadātve* was misread by the translator as a correlative pronoun, while it is also possible that a second relative pronoun (*yat*) or a conjunction (*ca*) was found in the original text near *saukhyaṃ*. Here *hitasaukhya* within the larger compound is only really viable as a *dvandva*: Avalokiteśvara causes the attainment of (ultimate) welfare and (temporary) happiness for all beings. Given that, *tayor yā prāptiḥ* might be preferable to *tasya yā prāptiḥ*, but the singular is also probably acceptable in place of the dual.

⁹ *saukhyaṃ tadātve pathyam*] *conj.*; *tad dāpayati pathyam* K E_{DH} (word division unclear); *de la bde ba ni 'phral gyi phan pa* TV_{AD}; *de la bde ba ni bde ba ste* TV_{BG}

¹⁰ *prāptiḥ*] K E_{DH}; *thob pa ni rnyed pa ste* TV (*prāptir lābhaḥ*)

[K fol. 2v] sāksātkriyā, tasyāḥ karaṇaṃ kāro yasya sa tathā.^{viii} apari-nirvāṇadharmakatvenāpratiṣṭhitanirvāṇarūpatvenā¹¹ nyathātvala-kṣaṇasya vikāsyābhāvād avikāraḥ. evaṃviśiṣṭo bhagavān jayati.

kiyantaṃ kālam ity āha—yāvad āśāvikaśāḥ. āśa daśa diśo ga-ganasvarūpāḥ. yadvā āśāḥ sarvasattvānāṃ bhavabhogatrṣṇāḥ.¹² tā-sāṃ vikāśā avakāśāḥ pravartanāni, prādurbhāvā iti yāvat. te yāvat tāvad¹³ bhagavān jayati, sarvahariharaḥ hiranyagarbhādibhyaḥ pra-kṛṣṭo bhavatīty arthaḥ.

atrānupamasukharūpīty anena svārthasāmpattiḥ kathitā. śrīni-vāsa ity anena tadupāyaḥ, puṇyajñānasambhārayoḥ śrīśadbenābhi-hitatvāt. tribhuvanahitasaukhyaprāptikāra ity anena parārthasāmpattir uktā. nirupamadaśadevīrūpavidyaḥ savidya ity anena tadupāyaḥ, ¹⁴tathābhūtadaśadevīdvātriṃśallakṣaṇāśītyanuvyañjanakā-yākāraśūnyena¹⁵ sarvākāraparārthasāmpatteḥ kartum āśakyatvād iti.

^{viii} It is notable that Vāgīśvarakīrti evidently understands °*prāptikāra* as a *bahuvrīhi*, whereas other commentators may prefer to treat it akin to *kumbhakāra* and therefore as an *upapadasamāsa* as per *Aṣṭādhyāyī* 2.2.19 (*upapadam atīṇ*). Given the latter understanding, the expected gloss for *prāptikāra* would be *prāptim karoti*. Compounds ending in *kāra* are occasionally analysed as *ṣaṣṭhītatpuruṣas*: see, for examples, Vijñāneśvara's *Mitākṣarā* ad *Yājñavalkya-dharmaśāstra* 2.61 on *satyaṃkārakṛta*, here referring roughly to a thing 'acquired with a pledge', i.e., acquired as earnest money: *karaṇaṃ kāraḥ, bhāve ghañ. satyasya kāraḥ satyaṃkārakṛta—kāre satyāgadasya (Aṣṭādhyāyī 6.3.70) iti mum. satyaṃkāreṇa kṛtaṃ satyaṃkārakṛtam* (p. 275). We are unable to provide another example of a compound ending in *kāra* analysed as a *bahuvrīhi*, but we should also note that the compound *prāptikāra* is itself rare.

TV does not clearly reflect a *ṣaṣṭhībahuvrīhi* analysis, nor does it very clearly point to another reading: *de dag sgrub par mdzad po gang yin pa de la de skad ces bya'o* (TVA_D); *de dag gi rgyu mdzad pa gang yin pa de la de skad ces bya'o* (TVB_G).

¹¹ °rūpatvenā°] K E_{DH}; ngo bo rnyed pas TVA_D; ngo bo brnyed pas TVB_G (°rūpa-prāptyā°)

¹² °trṣṇāḥ] E_{DH} (°trṣṇās); trṣṇā K

¹³ te yāvat tāvad] *em.*; tā yāvat tāvad K E_{DH}; de srid du TV (tāvad)

¹⁴ tathābhūta°] K E_{DH} TVB_G (*de lta bu*); *no reflex in* TVA_D

¹⁵ °kāyā°] K E_{DH}; dam pa'i sku TV (°satkāyā°)

2 prajoyanādi

śrīmantranītigatacārucaturthaseka-
rūpaṃ vidanti na hi ye sphuṭaśabdaśūnyam |
nānopadeśagaṇasaṃkulasaptabhedais
teṣaṃ sphuṭāvagataye kriyate prayatnaḥ || 2 ||^{ix}

śrīmantranītiśabdena¹⁶ sāmānyayogatantravācakenāpi śrīsamājah¹⁷
parigr̥hyate, caturthārthakasyānyatrāsambhavāt. śeṣaṃ subodham.
nānācāryopadeśagaṇasaṃkulai[E_{DH} p. 133]r vyākulaiḥ¹⁸ saptabhir
bhedaḥ prakāraiḥ¹⁹ atītānāgatavartamānācārya²⁰ gatopadeśarāśisaṃ-
grāhakaiḥ.^{21,x} sphuṭāvagataye sukhena sphuṭapratītyartham²² iti.

^{ix} This verse is in Vasantatilakā.

^x In this case TVB_G resembles closely the Sanskrit text transmitted in K, apart from the addition of a further gloss after *vyākula*. The reading *nam par bkab pa* ('covered') doesn't yield much sense, but it could be a mistake for *nam par bkang ba* ('filled'), which is perfectly fitting and synonymous with TVA_D's *nam par khyab pa* (Negi records the latter as rendering *vipūrṇa* in some texts). One may wish to conjecture such a reading. TVA_D is significantly different here, even though most of the words of the transmitted Sanskrit text are still reflected: *du ma'i man ngag ces bya ba la sogs pa la | 'das pa dang ma 'ongs pa dang | da ltar gyi slob dpon du ma'i man ngag gi tshogs yang dag par bsdu pa'i mdun gyi dbye bas yongs su dkrugs pa ni | nam par dkrugs pas nam pa thams cad la nam par khyab pa ste | des bsgrub par bya ba dkrugs pa'o ||* The text is dubious but reflects a Sanskrit text along the following lines: *nānopadeśetyādi. atītānāgata-vartamānācāryopadeśarāśisaṃgrāhakaiḥ saptabhir bhedaḥ saṃkulair vyākulaiḥ sarvatravīpūrṇaiḥ taiḥ sādhyasaṃkulaiḥ.*

¹⁶ śrīmantranītiśabdena] K E_{DH} TVB_G (dpal ldan sngags kyi gzhung lugs zhes bya ba'i sgras); dpal ldan sngags kyi gzhung lugs shes || zhes bya ba la sogs pa la | sngags kyi gzhung lugs zhes bya ba'i sgras ni | TVA_D (śrīmantranītigatetyādi. mantranītiśabdena)

¹⁷ śrīsamājah] K E_{DH}; shugs kyis dpal gsang ba 'dus pa TV (sāmarthyāt śrīsamājah)

¹⁸ vyākulaiḥ] K E_{DH}; nam par dkrugs pas nam pa thams cad la nam par khyab pa TVA_D; nam par 'khrugs pa nam par bkab pa ste TVB_G; vyākulair vipūrṇaiḥ possible conj. (see notes)

¹⁹ prakāraiḥ] K E_{DH}; no reflex in TV

²⁰ °vartamānā°] E_{DH}; °pravartamānā° K

²¹ °gato°] K E_{DH} TVB_G (gtogs pa); no reflex in TVA_D

²² sukhena sphuṭapratītyartham] K E_{DH}; bde bar gnas par khong du chud par bya ba'i phyir TVA_D; bde bar gsal bar khong du chud par bya'o TVB_G

3 tīrthikānām tattvaṃ sādhyam ca

sambhrāntabodhā nikhilā hi tīrthyās
tattvasya sādhyasya ca rūpavittau |
tebhyaḥ prakṛṣṭaḥ kila tattvavettā
vedāntavāditī janapravādaḥ || 3 ||^{xi}

sambhrāntetyādi. sambhrānto vibhrānto bodhaḥ prajñāviśeṣo ye-
ṣaṃ tīrthikānām te tatho[K fol. 3r]ktāḥ.²³ sarva eva tīrthyā ātmā-
tmīyagrahatimiropahatabuddhinayanāḥ. tattvaṃ idam iti sādhyam
idam²⁴ iti ca tattvasya sādhyasya yat²⁵ svarūpam, tasya yā vittīḥ
pratītiḥ, tasyāṃ bhrāntāḥ. śeṣaṃ subodham.

nanu tattvasādhyayor upādeyatvenaika rūpatvāt katham tattva-
sya sādhyasya ceti^{26,xii} bhedenā nirdeśa iti cet. asad etat. tattvaṃ
hy upādeyatve 'pi²⁷ sukhaduḥkhopekṣādisakalapratibhāsasaṃdoha-
vyāpakam.²⁸ sādhyam cānabhimataparīhāreṇecchālakṣaṇaṃ pha-
lam upādeyatve 'pi sakalaprañibhir avāśyam evāsādhyavyāvṛttyā
sādhayitavyatvenābhimatam ity adōṣaḥ.

4 vedāntavādinām śrāvakapratyekabuddhānām ca sādhyāni

tatra tāvad²⁹ vedāntavādyabhimataṃ sādhyam āha—ānandarūpam
ityādi.

^{xi} This verse is in Indravajrā.

^{xii} E_{DH} misreads the manuscript as *tattvasya sādhyasya ceti* and supplies *katham* after *ceti*. There is in fact a *katham* before *tattvasya* in the manuscript, but the *tat* preceding that *katham* is evidently a corruption.

²³ te tathoktāḥ] K^{pc}; te thoktāḥ K^{ac}; tathoktāḥ E_{DH}

²⁴ sādhyam idam] *em.*; sādhyam cedam K E_{DH}

²⁵ yat] E_{DH} (*em.*); tat K

²⁶ katham tattvasya sādhyasya ceti] *em.*; tat katham tattvasya sādhyasya ceti K;
tattvasya sādhyasya ceti katham E_{DH} (*em.*)

²⁷ upādeyatve 'pi] *conj.* (TV: blang bar bya ba nyid yin yang); upādeyatvenāpi K
E_{DH}

²⁸ 'vyāpakam] K ('kaṃ) E_{DH} TVB_G (khyab par byed pa yin la); shes bya tsam du
khyab par byed pa yin la TVA_D ('vyāpakam jñeyamātratvena)

²⁹ tāvad] K E_{DH} TVA_D (re zhig); *no reflex in* TVB_G

ānandarūpaṃ svavid^{xiii} aprakampyaṃ
vedāntinaḥ sādhyam uṣanti śāntam³⁰ |
saśrāvakāḥ³¹ khaḍgajināś ca sādhyam
icchanti rūpādyupadher virāmam || 4 ||

ānandarūpaṃ iti sadā sukhamayatvāt. svavid iti jyotirūpatvena³²
svayaṃ prakāśamānatvāt.³³ aprakampyaṃ iti nityatayā³⁴ kampayitum
śakyatvāt. śāntam³⁵ iti kleśopakleśasūnyatvena parikalpita-
tvāt. evaṃvidhaṃ sādhyam uṣanti kāmāyante.

saha śrāvakair vartante ye khaḍgajināḥ khaḍgaviṣṇakalpā e-
kacāriṇo vargacāriṇāś³⁶ ca pratyekabuddhās te sādhyam icchanti.
kīdṛśam? rūpādyupadher virāmaṃ rūpavedanāsaṃjñāsaṃskāravi-
jñānalakṣaṇānām upadhīnāṃ skandhānāṃ virāmaṃ vicchedam, ni-
rodham iti yāvat. [E_{DH} p. 134] etad uktaṃ bhavati—sarvaśrāvaka-
pratyekabuddhāḥ sopadhiśeṣanirupadhiśeṣabhedenā bhinne 'pi ni-
rvāṇe³⁷ nirupadhiśeṣam eva nirvāṇaṃ sā[K fol. 3v]kṣātkartavyatve-
na sādhyam pratipannāḥ.

5 pāramitānayavādināṃ caturvidhaṃ sādhyam

idānīm pāramitānayavādināṃ abhimataṃ³⁸ caturvidhaṃ sādhyam
āha—ākāraśūnyam ityādi.

ākāraśūnyaṃ gaganendurūpaṃ
pratyātmavedyaṃ karuṇārasaṃ ca |
sallakṣaṇair bhūṣitam³⁹ arthakāri

^{xiii} From the commentary it is clear that *svavid* is not in compound; thus, being an accusative form of a feminine noun, we expect *svavidam*. The form may be grammatically justifiable if it is treated as neuter adjective, akin to *vedavid*.

³⁰ śāntam] *corr.*; sāntam K E_{DH}; *no reflex in* TM_D

³¹ saśrāvakāḥ] *em.*; saśrāvakā K E_{DH}

³² jyotirūpatvena] K; jyotirūpatvena E_{DH}

³³ prakāśamānatvāt] E_{DH} (*em.*); prakāśamānat K

³⁴ nityatayā] E_{DH}; anityatayā K TV (mi rtag pa nyid kyis)

³⁵ śāntam] *corr.*; sāntam K E_{DH}

³⁶ vargacāriṇāś] K TV (tshogs kyī spyod pa); vanacāriṇāś E_{DH}

³⁷ nirvāṇe] E_{DH}; nirvāṇa° K

³⁸ abhimataṃ] E_{DH}; abhimata K

³⁹ bhūṣitam] E_{DH}; bhuṣitam K

dānādinīṣyandam apetasaukhyam || 5 ||

sānandasallakṣaṇamaṇḍitāṅgaṃ
sambhujyamānaṃ daśabhūmisamsthaiḥ |
sattvārthakāri pravadanti sādhyam
dānādiṣaṭpāramitānayasthāḥ || 6 ||^{xiv}

5.1 pāramitānaye prathamam sādhyam

ākārair nīlapītasukhaduḥkhādibhiś citrarūpaiḥ śūnyaṃ nirākāram.
ata eva gaganasyeva nirākāratvenendor iva prabhāsvaratvena rū-
paṃ svabhāvo yasya tat tathā. pratyātmavedyam iti svasaṃvedanaik-
avedyam.⁴⁰ karuṇā duḥkhād⁴¹ duḥkhahetor vā sakalajagadabhyu-
ddharaṇakāmatā.^{42, xv} saiva rasaḥ svabhāvo yasya tat tathoktam. e-
tad uktaṃ bhavati—nīlapītādicitrākāraśūnyaṃ nirābhāsaṃ⁴³ nira-
ñjanaṃ^{xvi} gaganopamaṃ svacchaṃ sakalajagadarthakāri^{xvii} mahā-
karuṇāyuktaṃ pratyātmavedyam pāramitopadeśaśabdābhidheyam
sādhyam iti pāramitānaye prathamam sādhyam.

^{xiv} These two verses are in Indravajrā.

^{xv} An alternative to °*abhyuddharaṇakāmatā* is to read °*samuddharaṇakāmatā*. This definition of *karuṇā*, in various forms, is well known in Buddhist texts. See, for instance, Durvekamiśra's *Hetubinduṭīkāloka*: ... *duḥkhāt duḥkhahetor vā samuddharaṇakāmatā nāma yā karuṇā* ... (p. 234); or Manorathānandin's *Pramāṇavārttikavṛtti*: *duḥkhād duḥkhahetoś ca samuddharaṇakāmatā karuṇā* (edition reads *dukhā*°; p. 21).

^{xvi} One may instead wish to accept the manuscript reading *nirābhāsanirañjanaṃ*, which is understandable as a *viśeṣaṇasamāsa*. The combination of *nirābhāsaṃ nirañjanam* occurs in a verse from an untraced source cited in Raviśrījñāna's *Amṛtakaṇikā*: *yat kāyaṃ sarvabuddhānāṃ nirābhāsaṃ nirañjanam | ajñātam a-
kṛtaṃ śuddham abhāvādivivarjitam* || (p. 19)

^{xvii} *sakalajagadarthakāri* can also be read in compound with *mahākaruṇā*°. This is reflected in TV: 'gro ba ma lus pa'i don byed pa'i snying rje chen po. Regardless, the two are evidently closely related.

⁴⁰ svasaṃvedanaikavedyam] E_{DH} (em.) (°vedyam); svasaṃvedyanaikavedyam K

⁴¹ karuṇā duḥkhād] K; karuṇāduḥkhā° E_{DH}

⁴² °*abhyuddharaṇakāmatā*] em.; °*atyuddharaṇakāmatā* K E_{DH}

⁴³ nirābhāsaṃ] em.; nirābhāsa° K E_{DH}

5.2 pāramitānaye dvitīyaṃ sādhyam

śobhanāni ca tāni lakṣaṇāni ca dvātriṃśallakṣaṇasaṃjñakāni,^{44,xviii} tair bhūṣitam. arthaṃ janānāṃ prayojanaṃ kartuṃ śīlaṃ svabhāvo yasya tad arthakāri.⁴⁵ dānādīnāṃ daśapāramitānāṃ niṣyandaṃ^{xix} tatprakaraṣaprabhavatvena sadṛśaṃ phalam.^{xx} duḥkhasya pūrvam eva prahīnatvāt, sāksātkaraṇāvasthāyāṃ^{46,xxi} saukhyasyāpy abhāvāt,⁴⁷ upekṣārūpatvenāpetasaukhyam apagatasaukhyam. etad uktaṃ bhavati—dvātriṃśallakṣaṇadharāśītyanuvyañjanavirājitaśarīraṃ sakalajagadarthakāri dānādīpāramitābhyāsa[†]balenātmānaṃ⁴⁸

^{xviii} The manuscript reading *ceti* after *dvātriṃśallakṣaṇasaṃjñakāni* appear superfluous. The commentary analyses *sallakṣaṇa* as a *karmadhāraya*, glossing *sat* with *śobhana*; *dvātriṃśallakṣaṇa* serves as a clarification of that, requiring no further conjunction. Likewise, the words *iti* and *saṃjñaka* together are redundant. In TV, the *zhes bya* following the phrase may either render *iti* or *saṃjñaka*—we find this rendering for the latter in the commentary on verse 9 for *mahāsukhasaṃjñaka*. We cannot fully discount that Vāgīśvarakīrti wrote the transmitted reading, nor can we give a clear explanation for the corruption, if it is one. Nonetheless, given that this appears to be genuine redundancy rather than simply a stylistic oddity, we provisionally conjecture a slightly smoother reading.

^{xix} Here *niṣyandaṃ* should be understood either as an accusative form (as it is in the verse) or (less likely) anomalously as a neuter noun.

^{xx} cf. *Abhidharmakośa* 2.57c: *niṣyando hetusadrśaḥ*. Vāgīśvarakīrti perhaps also alludes to Dharmakīrti's definition of yogic perception in *Nyāyabindu* 11: *bhūtārthabhāvanāprakarṣaparyantaṃ yogijñānaṃ ceti*.

^{xxi} ISAACSON (personal communication) proposes *sāksātkaraṇāvasthāyāṃ* or *sāksātkṛtyāvasthāyāṃ* as potentially superior readings to the manuscript's *sāksātkṛtāvasthāyāṃ* or the previous edition's *sāksātkṛtāvasthāyāṃ*.

In support of the former, see Vāgīśvarakīrti's *Samkṣiptābhiṣekavidhi: tadanaṃtaram ekatathatāmatena tayaiva bhinnamate tv ānaya svasaṃviditajñānasāksātkaraṇāvasthāyāṃ pūrvoktagāthayā adhyeṣitavate śiṣyāya tatpāṇau tasyāḥ pāṇim pratisthāpya* | (p. 417)

⁴⁴ dvātriṃśallakṣaṇasaṃjñakāni] *conj.*; dvātriṃśallakṣaṇasaṃjñakāni ceti K E_{DH}; mdzes pa'i mtshan sum cu rtse gnyis zhes bya ste TV (dvātriṃśatsallakṣaṇānīti / dvātriṃśatsallakṣaṇasaṃjñakāni)

⁴⁵ tad arthakāri] K E_{DH} TVA_D (de ni don mdzad pa'o); de ni de'i don mdzad pa'o TVB_G (tad tadarthakāri)

⁴⁶ sāksātkaraṇāvasthāyāṃ] *conj.* (ISAACSON); sāksātkṛtāvasthāyāṃ E_{DH}; sāksātkṛtāvasthāyāṃ K

⁴⁷ abhāvāt] *em.* (ISAACSON); abhāvatvāt K E_{DH}

⁴⁸ balenātmānaṃ] K E_{DH}; stobs kyis bdag nyid TVA_D; stobs kyis byung ba TVB_G

† samyaksambuddharūpaṃ sukhaduḥkharahitatvenopekṣārūpaṃ
dvitīyaṃ sādhyam.

5.3 pāramitānaye tṛtīyaṃ sādhyam

[E_{DH} p. 135] sānandetyādi. sahānandena vartata iti sā[K fol. 4r]na-
ndam. sānandaṃ ca tat sallakṣaṇamaṇḍitāṅgaṃ ca⁴⁹ sambhujyamā-
naṃ dharmadeśanādvāreṇopajīvyamānam.^{50,xxii} kaiḥ? daśabhūmī-
śvaraiḥ, pariśiṣṭabhūmisthitānām⁵¹ agocaratvāt. daśabhūmiprāptair
avalokiteśvaramaṇjuśrīprabhṛtibhir upabhujyamānam iti yāvat. e-
tad uktaṃ bhavati—śuddhāvāso pari ghanavyūhasaṃjñake⁵² samya-
ksambuddhabhuvane yathā bhagavān ānandarūpaḥ sambhogakā-
yātmā nirmāṇadvāreṇa⁵³ sakalajagadarthasaṃpādakāḥ śrāvakapra-
tyekabuddhanavabhūmīśvarair apy adṛśyaśarīro daśabhūmīśvarair
eva paraṃ bodhisattvair⁵⁴ dharmāśravaṇadvāreṇopabhujyamāna⁵⁵
āsaṃsāraṃ cakāsti, tathaiva tat sādhyam iti tṛtīyam.

5.4 pāramitānaye caturthaṃ sādhyam

saṃpūrya dānādiguṇān aśeṣān
saṃbuddhakṛtyaṃ⁵⁶ sakalaṃ ca kṛtvā |
yad bhūtakoteḥ karaṇaṃ ca sāksāt
sādhyam tad apy asti nirodharūpaṃ || 7 ||^{xxiii}

^{xxii} For *opajīvyamāna* we might expect *nye bar 'tsho ba* in Tibetan. Below *upabhu-
jyamāna* is translated as *longs spyod par bya ba* and then *nye bar longs spyod par
bya ba*.

^{xxiii} This verse is in Indravajrā metre.

⁴⁹ sallakṣaṇamaṇḍitāṅgaṃ ca] *em.* (ISAACSON); sallakṣaṇamaṇḍitāṅgaṃ K E_{DH}

⁵⁰ °opajīvyamānam] K E_{DH}; nye bar longs spyod par gyur pa'o TV (°opabhujya-
mānam)

⁵¹ pariśiṣṭabhūmi°] *corr.*; pariśiṣṭa bhumi° E_{DH}

⁵² °saṃjñake] *em.*; °saṃjñako K; °saṃjñakāḥ E_{DH} (*em.*)

⁵³ nirmāṇadvāreṇa] K E_{DH}; sprul pa'i sku'i sgo nas TV (nirmāṇakāyadvāreṇa)

⁵⁴ paraṃ bodhisattvair] K (°satvair) E_{DH}; mchog tu gyur pa'i byang chub sems
dpa' TV (paramabodhisattvair)

⁵⁵ °bhujyamāna] *em.*; °bhujyamānam K E_{DH}

⁵⁶ saṃbuddhakṛtyaṃ] *em.* (cf. TaRaA-V: saṃbuddhānām ... avaśyakartavyaṃ
kṛtsnam); saṃbuddhya kṛtyaṃ K E_{DH}

sampūryetyādi. dānādipāramitā eva guṇā, guṇyante^{xxiv} 'bhyasyanta iti kṛtvā. tām sampūrya paripūrṇān⁵⁷ kṛtvā, yat sambuddhānām kṛtyam sakalam^{58,xxv} avaśyakartavyam kṛtsnam tad api kṛtvā, bhūtakoteḥ sūnyatālakṣaṇāyās cittacaittanīrodhātmikāyā⁵⁹ yat sāksāt karaṇam tad api sādhyam astiti pāramitānayasthā evam bruvate caturtham sādhyam iti.

6 mantranaye saptavidham sādhyam

6.1 mantranaye prathamam sādhyam

idānīm mantranayopadiṣṭam saptavidham⁶⁰ sādhyam kathayitum āha—svābhāṅganetyādi.

svābhāṅganāśleṣi⁶¹ janārthakāri⁶²
duḥkhaiḥ sukhaiś caiva vimuktirūpam |
aśīyanuvyañjanabhūṣitāṅgam
apetakalpaṁ pravadanti sādhyam || 8 ||^{xxvi}

^{xxiv} In the *Dhātupāṭha*, the tenth class verbal root $\sqrt{\text{guṇa}}$ is said to express *āmantrana*. Here, however, this is a denominative verb with the sense of *āmreḍaṇa* (multiplication/repetition) formed from the noun *guṇa*.

^{xxv} The manuscript's reading of simply *sakalam* instead of *kṛtyam sakalam* is asymmetrical given the following gloss, *avaśyakartavyam kṛtsnam*. Here TV reads simply *nges par mdzad par bya ba ma lus pa*, reflecting only the gloss and neither *sakalam* of the K nor the conjecture *kṛtyam sakalam*. It is also possible that *sakalam* is a mistaken scribal addition, but it's also possible that even if the Tibetan translators saw *kṛtyam sakalam*, they chose not to render this because of the superfluous sounding result in Tibetan. We believe the manuscript's transmitted reading is improbable.

^{xxvi} This verse is in Upajāti.

⁵⁷ paripūrṇān] *em.*; paripūrṇam K E_{DH}

⁵⁸ kṛtyam sakalam] *conj.*; sakalam K E_{DH}; *no reflex in TV*

⁵⁹ cittacaitta°] E_{DH} (*em.*); cittacaitya° K

⁶⁰ saptavidham] E_{DH} (TM_D: rnam pa bdun); caturtham K

⁶¹ svābhāṅganāśleṣi] E_{DH} (*corr.*); svābhāṅgaṇāśleṣi K

⁶² janārthakāri] *conj.* (TM_D: 'gro ba yi don mdzad; TaRaA-V: jagadarthakāri); ta..rthakāri K (*akṣara uncertain, perhaps gna or mva*); tadarthakāri E_{DH}

svābhāṅganām⁶³ āśleṣitum śīlam svabhāvo yasya tat svābhāṅganā-
śleṣi.⁶⁴ [E_{DH} p. 136] apetakalpaṃ vyapagatakalpam, kalpanārahitam
iti yāvat. anyat subodham. ayam arthaḥ—samāliṅgitasvābhāṅganā-
śleṣi jagadarthakāri^{65,xxvii} dvātriṃśallakṣaṇavibhūṣitaśarīram⁶⁶ upe-
kṣārūpaṃ^{67,xxviii} prathamam sādhyam.

6.2 mantranaye dvitīyaṃ sādhyam

svadevatākāraviśeṣaśūnyaṃ
prāḡ eva sambhāvya sukhaṃ sphuṭaṃ sat |
mahāsukhākhyam jagadarthakāri
cintāmaṇiprakhyam uvāca kaścit || 9 ||^{xxix}

svadevatetyādi. svadevatākāraviśeṣeṇa⁶⁸ sveṣṭadevatākāreṇa śū-
nyam, nirākāram iti yāvat. prāḡ eva prathamataram⁶⁹ upadeśāna-

^{xxvii} The compound °svābhāṅganāśleṣajagadarthakāri is strinckly speaking not i-
mpossible, and could perhaps be interpreted as an instrumental *tatpuruṣa*; howe-
ver, given that this is a prose explanation of the verse, there is no need for the
author to use such a compound and it seems more likely that the scribe left off
the *ikāra*.

^{xxviii} Something along the lines of *āsaṃsārasthāyi sākṣāt kriyata iti* may have dro-
pped out of the text here given TV, but there is no very compelling reason to think
that it did. The addition words are relevant, given that it is a pertinent feature of
the first *sādhyā* that it remains active for as long as *saṃsāra* continues to exist.
We can be reasonably sure that TV reflects *āsaṃsārasthāyi* with 'khor ba ji srid
du bzhugs pa, as this is the Tibetan rendering of this word in the next section.

^{xxix} This verse is Vīparitākhyānikī metre.

⁶³ svābhāṅganām] E_{DH} (*corr.*); svābhāṅgaṇām K

⁶⁴ svābhāṅganāśleṣi] *corr.*; svābhāṅgaṇāśleṣi K E_{DH}

⁶⁵ °svābhāṅganāśleṣi jagadarthakāri] *conj.* (TVB_G: nyid dang mtshungs pa'i lha
mos 'khyud pa can 'gro ba'i don mdzad pa); °svābhāṅganāśleṣajagadarthakāri K
E_{DH}; nyid dang mtshungs pa'i lha mos 'khyud pa can | 'gro ba ma lus pa'i don
mdzad pa TVA_D (°svābhāṅganāśleṣy aśeṣajagadarthakāri)

⁶⁶ śarīram] E_{DH}; śarīra K

⁶⁷ upekṣārūpaṃ] K E_{DH}; btang snyoms kyi ngo bo du 'khor ba ji srid du bzhugs
pa mngon du bya ba yin no zhe bya ba TVA_D; btang snyoms kyi ngo bo nyid du
'khor ba ji bzhugs pa mngon sum du bya ba yin zhes bya ba TVB_G (upekṣārūpaṃ
āsaṃsārasthāyi sākṣāt kriyata iti)

⁶⁸ svadevatā°] K E_{DH} TVB_G (rang lha'i); lha TVA_D (devatā°)

⁶⁹ prathamataram] K; prathamataro° E_{DH}

ntaram eva^{70,xxx} devatākāranirapekṣaṃ sukhaṃ sambhāvya, bhāvanayā sāksāt kṛtvā, sphuṭaṃ^{71,xxx} sphu[K fol. 4v]ṭikṛtaṃ san mahā-sukhasaṃjñakaṃ bhavati. tac ca jagadarthakāri cintāmaṇisamānārūpaṃ. etad uktaṃ bhavati—upadeśānantaram eva mantra mudrādevatākārarahitaṃ⁷² bhāvanayā sphuṭikṛtaṃ mahāsukhasaṃjñakaṃ cintāmaṇivaj jagadarthakāri⁷³ māyopamam āsaṃsārasthāyi dvitīyaṃ sādhyam.

6.3 mantranaye tṛtīyaṃ sādhyam

kṛtvā sāksāt svādhipaṃ [K fol. 1v] sātārūpaṃ
paścāt tyaktvā sātāmātraṃ phalaṃ syāt |
śuddhaṃ sāksāc chakyate naiva kartuṃ
tenākāro bhāvitaḥ svādhipasya || 10 ||^{xxxii}

kṛtvetyādi. svādhipaṃ sveṣṭadaivataṃ sāksāt kṛtvāmukhikṛtya sātārūpaṃ sukhaikasvabhāvam, paścād devatākāraṃ parityajya, sukhamātraṃ⁷⁴ phalaṃ sādhyam vyavasthitaṃ syāt.

nanu yadi⁷⁵ sāksāt kṛtvāpi devatākāras tyaktavyaḥ, tarhi prathamam eva kasmād [E_{DH} p. 137] vibhāvitaḥ? sukhamātram eva dvitī-

^{xxx} Normally *bshad ma thag pa* in Tibetan has the sense of *anantarokta*, but here the translator probably did intend it to render *upadeśānantaram eva* as we find the same rendering later in the paragraph.

^{xxx} The understanding offered by TV, which reflects *asphuṭaṃ* instead of *sphuṭaṃ*, appears to indicate a misunderstanding on the translator's part, confusing the word division of *kṛtvā sphuṭaṃ*. It is not possible for *sphuṭikṛtaṃ* to take an accusitive object, nor is a form such as *sphuṭikṛtya* possible without larger changes to the text.

^{xxxii} This verse is in Śālinī metre.

⁷⁰ upadeśānantaram eva] E_{DH} (*em.*); upadeśāntaram eva K; bshad ma thag pa'i TV

⁷¹ sphuṭaṃ] K; *deest in* E_{DH}; ma gsal ba TV

⁷² °rahitaṃ] K E_{DH} TVB_G (spangs ste); spangs te | bde ba 'ba' zhiḡ tsam TVA_D (°rahitaṃ sukhamātra°)

⁷³ jagadarthakāri] K E_{DH} TVB_G ('gro ba'i don mdzad pa); 'gro ba ma lus pa'i don mdzad pa TVA_D (aśeṣajagadarthakāri)

⁷⁴ sukhamātraṃ] *em.*; sukhamātra° K E_{DH}

⁷⁵ nanu yadi] *conj.*; nanu K E_{DH}; gal te TVA_D ([nanu] yadi); *no clear reflex* TVB_G

yasādhyavat kiṃ na vibhāvitam?⁷⁶ kiṃ vṛthāprayāsenety⁷⁷ āha—
śuddham ityādi. śuddham kevalam devatākāravirahitam sukhamā-
tram naiva sāksāt kartum śakyate, ākārahitasya sukhasyānupa-
lambhāt.⁷⁸ tasmāt tena kāraṇenākāro bhāvitaḥ svādhipasyeti tṛti-
yam.⁷⁹ ayam arthaḥ⁸⁰—devatākārasaṃvalitam eva sukham vibhā-
vya, sāksādbhūte devatākāram tyaktvā, sukhamātram eva sādhyam
uktaguṇam.^{xxxiii}

6.4 mantranaye caturthaṃ sādhyam

gagaṇasamaśarīram lakṣaṇair bhūṣitāṅgam
nirupamasukhapūrṇam⁸¹ svābhayā saṃgataṃ ca |
sphuradāmitamunīndraiḥ⁸² sarvasattvārthakāri
pravadati punar anyāḥ sādhyam ucchedaśūnyam || 11 ||^{xxxiv}

gagaṇetyādi. gagaṇasamaṃ māyopamaṃ vicārāsaham⁸³ śarīram ya-
sya. lakṣaṇair dvātriṃśadbhir⁸⁴ aśītibhiś cānuyyañjanair maṇḍitāny
aṅgāni yasya. nirupamaiḥ sthaulya⁸⁵-nairantarya⁸⁶-āsaṃsārapravā-

^{xxxiii} Here TV reads *yon tan du 'chad do*, whereas K transmits the reading *uktagu-
ṇam*. It is difficult to say if the Tibetan rendering represents a different underlying
Sanskrit reading, but it does convey a different sense. Whereas the Tibetan seems
to say that the *sādhyā* 'is taught to be a good quality', the Sanskrit suggests the
meaning 'which has the previously mentioned qualities'.

^{xxxiv} This verse is in Mālinī metre.

⁷⁶ vibhāvitam] *em.*; vibhāvitaḥ E_{DH} (*em.*); vibhāgato K

⁷⁷ vṛthāprayāsenety] E_{DH}; vyathāprayāsenety K

⁷⁸ sukhasyā°] K E_{DH} TVB_G (bde ba); bde ba 'ba' zhiḡ TVA_D (kevalasukhasyā°)

⁷⁹ °eti tṛtīyam] *em.* TVB_G (zhes bya ba gsum pa yin no); °eti tṛtīyaḥ K E_{DH}; ste
bsgrub par bya ba gsum pa yin no TVA_D (tṛtīyaṃ sādhyam)

⁸⁰ arthaḥ] E_{DH}; artha K

⁸¹ nirupama°] E_{DH}; nirūpama° K

⁸² °munīndraiḥ] *em.*; °munīndraḥ K E_{DH}

⁸³ māyopamaṃ vicārāsaham] K (*slightly unclrear*); māyopamavicārāsaha E_{DH}

⁸⁴ lakṣaṇair dvātriṃśadbhir] K E_{DH}; mtshan gyi ste | mtshan sum cu rtsa gnyis
TVA_D; mtshan gyis te | mtshan sum cu rtsa gnyis TVB_G (lakṣaṇair [iti] dvātriṃ-
śadbhir lakṣaṇair)

⁸⁵ sthaulya°] K E_{DH}; rgya nom pa nyid dang | rgya che ba nyid dang TVA_D (praṇi-
tatvasthaulya°); lhun che ba nyid dang | TVB_G (sthaulya°)

⁸⁶ °nairantarya°] E_{DH} (*em.*) (TV: bar med pa nyid dang); °nairuttarya° K

hitva⁸⁷-nirāsravatvādibhir upamābhāvād upamātikrāntaiḥ sukhaiḥ
pūrṇaṃ romāgraparyantaṃ^{88,xxxv} saṃpūrṇaṃ.^{xxxvi} svābhayā ca ta-
thābhūtayā saṃgatāṃ samāliṅgitāṃ. sphuradbhir⁸⁹ anantanirmitair
munīndrais tathābhūtair eva sarvasattvārthakāri.⁹⁰ ucchedeneti ni-
rodhena śūnyam tucchaṃ riktam.⁹¹

etad uktaṃ bhavati—gagana-māyā-marīci⁹²-gandharvanagara--
udakacandra-pratibimba-svapnopamam^{93,94} [K fol. 5r] ekānekabhā-
vābhāvagrāhyagrāhakasvabhāvarahitam anādyantam aśeṣavastu-
saṃdohasvabhāvam^{95,xxxvii} anābhāsaṃ nirañjanaṃ sarvopamātikrā-
ntaṃ paramasūkṣmātigambhīraprajñārūpatayā dharmakāyasvabhā-

^{xxxv} This conjecture follows the Tibetan translation, with the reading in the K being difficult to account for. See, for instance, a similar expression in *Siddhaika-vīrasādhana* (author unknown): *tato niḥsṛtaraśmibhir ā pādatalād vālāgraparyā-ntaprapṛptaṃ bhāvyaṭe* (*Sāghanamālā* no. 67, vol. 1, p. 67); *de las byung ba'i 'od zer gyis rkang pa'i mthil nas skra'i rtse mo'i mthar thug pa khyab par bsgoms te* (Tōh. 3461 fol. 116r). ADD REFERENCE

^{xxxvi} TO CHECK: TVA_D appears to be defective here, with different readings in De-
rge Koyosan and Delhi. TVA_D: *ba spu'i rtse mo'i mthar thug par gyur pa'o ||* (*gyur pa'o ||*) Koyosan; *gyur ba'i Delhi* [MW23703]. TVB_G: *ba spu'i rtse mo'i mthar thug par yang dag par gang bar gyur pa'o ||*

^{xxxvii} TV is perhaps ambiguous and may not reflect a different reading of the Sa-
nskrit if *thog ma dang tha ma med pa'i* is understood to qualify *rang bzhin* instead
of *ngos po*.

⁸⁷ °āsaṃsārapravāhitva°] *em.*; °āsaṃsārapravāhitva° E_{DH} K

⁸⁸ pūrṇaṃ romāgraparyantaṃ] *conj.* (TV: gang ba ni | ba spu rtse mo'i mthar thug pa); pūrṇaṃ masimāgrapayantaṃ K; pūrṇatāṃ samāśrayantaṃ E_{DH}

⁸⁹ sphuradbhir] K E_{DH}; 'phro bar gyur pa de yang TVA_D (sphuradbhir tair api) (*other syntactic placement possible*); 'phro ba yang TVB_G (sphurdhbhair api)

⁹⁰ sarvasattvārtha°] K E_{DH} (TVB_G: sems can thams cad kyi don); sems can gyi don TVA_D (sattvārtha°)

⁹¹ tucchaṃ riktam] K; bhūsthaṃ riktam E_{DH}; spangs pa'o TV (tucchaṃ / riktam)

⁹² māyāmarīci°] K E_{DH} (TVB_G: sgyu ma dang | smig rgyu dang ||); sgyu ma dang | smig rgyu dang | smig rgyu dang | TVA_D (māyāmarīcīndrajāla° / māyendrajāla-marīci°)

⁹³ °svapnopamam] E_{DH}; svapnāpayam K

⁹⁴ TVA_D adds an element to the list, perhaps *indrajāla* in Sanskrit. The reading has the advantage of form a list of eight, but this precise list is otherwise unattested as a list of eight illusions.

⁹⁵ anādyantam aśeṣavastusaṃdohasvabhāvam] K E_{DH}; *thog ma dang tha ma med pa'i ngos po ma lus pa'i rang bzhin* TV (anādyantāśeṣavastusvabhāvam)

vam, dvātrimśallakṣaṇavibhūṣitaśarīram aśītyanuvyañjanavirājita-
gātram⁹⁶ paramaśrṅgārayauvanādyupetaṁ svābhāṅganālingitāṅgaṁ
rūpavajrādītārāparyantadevīgaṇair anantaprabhedānimittarati⁹⁷ sva-
rūpaparamānandopabhogadvāreṇa^{xxxviii} pratibimbavat [E_{DH} p. 138]
sambhujyamānaṁ karuṇāsaṁvalitodārarūpatayā sambhogakāya-
rūpam, nānādhimuktivineyajanaparipācanārtham^{xxxix} anekavidha-
prātihāryadvāreṇa⁹⁸ nirmītānantakulāntarbhūtasambuddhabodhi-

^{xxxviii} The compound beginning *anantaprabheda*° is challenging to unpack and not entirely secure in its reading. The K transmits the compound in a way that includes either the word *arati* or *ārati*, neither of which can reject *prima facie*. TVA_D suggests reading *rati*, while TVB_G has no reflex of the word but may be corrupt, given that it sounds rather incomplete. TVB_G is also missing a reflex of *bhedā*, although it does have one of *pra* from *prabheda*, also indicating corruption. The term *aniittarati* or *mtshan ma med pa'i dga' ba* does occur in Jñānapāda's *Samantabhadrasādhana: animittarativiśuddheḥ samastadevīgaṇasvabhāvaṁ tat* | (122ab; reconstructed in szantosarcone2023); *mtshan ma med pa'i dga' ba rnam dag pa | ma lus lha mo'i tshogs kyi ngo bo nyid* || (Tōh. 1855 fol. 34r5; the translation in Tōh. 1856 by Smṛtijñānakīrti poses some problems and need not be dealt with here); 'Because of purification by signless pleasure, that [awareness] has as its nature the group of all goddesses.' This parallel does lend support to reading *animittarati*, but the context is technical and esoteric, so some caution is due.

As for the analysis of the compound, while various possibilities may be entertained, the main ambiguity is whether *anantaprabheda* qualifies *animittarati* or *paramānanda*. An analysis on the basis of the former could read: *anantāḥ prabhedāḥ yasya sānantaprabhedānimittaratiḥ, tatsvarūpasya paramānandasyopabhogah, taddvāreṇa*. Of the Tibetan translation, while TVA_D renders all words found in the Sanskrit text as constituted in some form, it is hard to interpret if one does not remove or modify various instrumental and genitive particles. From *rūpavajrā*° up to *sambhujyamānaṁ*, TVA_D reads: *gzugs rdo rje la sogs pa nas | sgrol ma'i mthar thug pa'i lha mo'i tshogs kyi mtshan ma med pa'i dga' ba'i rang gi ngo bo'i rab tu dbye ba dpag tu med pas mchog tu dga' ba la nye bar longs spyod pa'i sgo nas | gzugs brnyan dang 'dra bas yang dag par longs spyod pa*. TVB_G has the same readings, apart from the two suspected lacunae mentioned above.

^{xxxix} E_{DH} misreports K as reading *paripāvanārtha*.

⁹⁶ °gātram] K E_{DH}; no reflex in TV

⁹⁷ anantaprabhedānimittarati°] conj. (TVA_D: *mtshan ma med pa'i dga' ba'i rang gi ngo bo'i rab tu dbye ba dpag tu med pas*); anantaprabhedānimittārati° K E_{DH}; *mtshan ma med pa'i rang gi ngo bo'i rab tu dpag tu med pas* TVB_G

⁹⁸ anekavidhaprātihārya°] K E_{DH}; rdzu 'phrul dang cho 'phrul rnam pa du ma TVA_D TVB_G (anekaṛddhiprātihārya°)

sattvaspharaṇasamhārakāritvena⁹⁹ nirmāṇakāyātmakam, śūnyatā-
karuṇābhinnabodhicitta¹⁰⁰ svabhāvāmalaprajñopāyasamādhisambhū-
tasatsukhāpūrṇam^{xl} āsaṃsārasthitidharmam¹⁰¹ apratiṣṭhitanirvāṇa-
rūpaṃ nirmalanivātaniścalapradīpaśikhāprabandhanityatayā niro-
dhaśūnyaṃ caturthaṃ¹⁰² sādhyam.

6.5 mantranaye pañcamam sādhyam

kṛtvā sāksāt svādhipaṃ sātārūpaṃ
tyaktvopekṣājñānamātraṃ¹⁰³ phalaṃ syāt |
āsaṃsārasthāyi sattvārthakāri
cintā¹⁰⁴ ratnaprakhyam¹⁰⁵ ekāntaśāntam || 12 ||^{xli}

kṛtvetyādi. sāksāt svādhipaṃ kṛtvā, paścāt¹⁰⁶ tyaktvā, upekṣārūpaṃ
yaj jñānaṃ tanmātraṃ sādhyam syāt. anyat sugamam.¹⁰⁷ etad u-
ktaṃ bhavati—maṇḍalacakrarūpaṃ sāksāt kṛtvā, paścāt tan niro-
dha, upekṣājñānamātraṃ sādhyam syāt pañcamam.

6.6 mantranaye ṣaṣṭham sādhyam

kṛtvā sāksān maṇḍalaṃ sātārūpaṃ
paścāt tasya svecchayā nirvṛtiś^{108,xlii} ca |

^{xl} See Sahajavilāsa, *Svādhiṣṭhānakurukullāsādhana* (SāMā no. 183, p. 383): *tataḥ prajñopāyāmalasamādhisambhūtasatsukhāpūrṇam iva svadehaṃ trailokya ca paśyēt.*

^{xli} This verse is in Śālinī metre.

^{xlii} For the intended meaning of *nirodha*, learned authors may prefer the word

⁹⁹ °bodhisattva°] *conj.* (TVB_G: byang chub sems dpa'i); °bodhi° K E_{DH}; byang chub sems dpa' la sog pa'i TVA_D (°bodhisattvādi°)

¹⁰⁰ °bodhicitta°] E_{DH}; °bodhicittā° K

¹⁰¹ °dharmam] *conj.* (TV: chos can); dharmāṇām K E_{DH}

¹⁰² caturthaṃ] E_{DH}; caturtha K

¹⁰³ tyaktvopekṣā°] K (E_{DH} *incorrectly reports as* tyajyo°) (TaRaa-Vi: tyaktvā, upekṣārūpaṃ yaj jñānaṃ); bhāvopekṣā° E_{DH} (*em.*); no reflex in TM_D

¹⁰⁴ cintā°] K^{pc} E_{DH}; cittā° K^{ac}

¹⁰⁵ °prakhyam] E_{DH}; °prakhyam K

¹⁰⁶ paścāt] E_{DH}; paścāta K

¹⁰⁷ sugamam] E_{DH}; sūgamaṃ K

¹⁰⁸ nirvṛtiś] K; nirvṛtiṃ E_{DH}

sattvārthasyāpy asty abhāvo na vāsmīn
prādurbhāvo nirvṛtād¹⁰⁹ asti yasmāt || 13 ||^{xliii}

kṛtvetyādi. kṛtvā sāksān maṇḍalaṃ sātasaṃvalitaṃ,¹¹⁰ tasya sve-
cchayā nirvṛtir nirodhaḥ.

nanu yadi sāksāt kṛtvāpi paścāt svecchayā nirodhayita[K fol.
5v]vyam,¹¹¹ tadā karuṇāyā anekakālābhyastāyā abhāvaḥ syāt. ta-
syāś cābhāvāt sattvārthābhāvaḥ [E_{DH} p. 139] syād ity āsaṅkyāha
—sattvārthasyāpy asty abhāvo na vetyādi. asmin pakṣe sattvārthā-
bhāvo nāsti, yasmān nirvṛtāc cakrāt karuṇāsaṃvalitāt sattvārthasya
prādurbhāvo 'sti.^{xliv}

etenaitad evāha—sātasaṃpūrṇacakraṃ^{xlv} sāksāt kṛtvā, yāvad i-
ṣṭaṃ kālaṃ vyavasthāpya, paścāt tasya sarvathaiva pradīpavan ni-
rodhaṃ kṛtvā sthātavyam.^{112,xlvi} yadā punaḥ sattvārthābhilāṣo bha-

nirvṛtti (derived from *nir-√vṛt*) to *nirvṛti* (derived from *nir-√vr*), but here metre prevents the former. There is in any case overlap between the two words and they are not always strictly differentiated.

^{xliii} This verse is in Śālinī metre.

^{xliv} The syntax of TV suggests reading *karuṇāsaṃvalitasya*: 'gags pa'i 'khor lo las snying rje'i rang bzhin can sems can gyi don ('gags pa'i] TVB_G; 'gog pa'i TVA_D). However, *karuṇāsaṃvalita* naturally qualifies *cakra* and not *sattvārtha*.

^{xlv} Here one may wish to emend to *sātasaṃpūrṇaṃ cakraṃ* to avoid the *karmadhāraya*, given that the author did not previously use a *karmadhāraya* when referring to this. Nevertheless, such a *karmadhāraya* is in any obvious way out of the normal scope of Vāgīśvarakīrti's usage.

^{xlvi} It is possible that *kṛtvā sthātavyam* was missing from the original text or from the version of it consulted by the Tibetan translators; alternatively, it is possible that the translators simply didn't feel it was necessary to explicitly render. Here the agent of *sthātavya* can be understood to be the unspecified *sādhaka* who is also the agent of the gerunds earlier in the sentence. Although a genitive of the causitive of *√sthā*, *sthātavya* here has no object that is specified apart from the *sādhaka* himself: i.e., he should make himself rest or establish himself in a state by doing what is described. The construction is frequently used in the *Hevajratāntra*, such as in 2.3.44: *satataṃ devatāmūṛtyā sthātavyaṃ yoginā yataḥ*; '... for the yogin should always establish himself/remain with the form of the deity.'

¹⁰⁹ nirvṛtād] E_{DH}; nirvṛtād K

¹¹⁰ sātasaṃvalitaṃ] *em.* (TV: bde ba'i rang bzhin can); sātaṃ saṃvalitaṃ K E_{DH}

¹¹¹ nirodhayitavyam] *em.*; nirodhayitavyaḥ K E_{DH}

¹¹² nirodhaṃ kṛtvā sthātavyam] K E_{DH}; 'gog pa yin no TV (nirodham)

vati, tadā¹¹³ niruddhād eva cākṛāntaram utpādyā sattvārthaḥ karta-
vyah. cākṛāntarotpāde¹¹⁴ 'pi ciraniruddhād¹¹⁵ eva cākṛād yathābha-
vyatayā¹¹⁶ vineyānām yathābhilaṣitaḥ prāptir bhavati ṣaṣṭham.¹¹⁷

6.7 mantranaye saptamaṁ sādhyam

kṛtvā sphuṭam rūpam abhiṣṭam eṣām
paścān nirodham^{118,xlvii} phalam āha kaścit |
abhinnarūpaś ca yato nirodho
na pakṣabhede 'pi tato 'sti bhedaḥ || 14 ||^{xlviii}

kṛtvetyādi. ṣaṇṇām pakṣānām anyatamasya phalasya¹¹⁹ sādhyatvād
yad yad evābhiṣṭam¹²⁰ tad¹²¹ eva sākṣāt kṛtvā, paścāt sarvathaiva
pradīpavan nirodha uttarakālam sattvārthādīśūnyaḥ sākṣāt karta-
vyah.

nanu ṣaṭpakṣabhedenā ṣaḍ eva¹²² nirodhāḥ syuḥ. tat katham eka
eva nirodha ity āśaṅkyāha—abhinnetyādi. abhinnaṁ¹²³ rūpam ya-
sya sa tathā.¹²⁴ na hi nirodhānām ṣaṭpakṣalakṣaṇabhede 'pi bhedo

^{xlvii} If *phala* is understood as the object of *√ah*, then the reading *nirodham* is an acceptable reading, but the agent of *kṛtvā* has to be understood as separate from that of *√ah*, perhaps depending on an implicit verbal form such as *sādhyā*. Alternatively, one can supply an *iti* after *phalam* and understand the preceding as direct speech, thus avoiding any confusion about the agents of *kṛtvā* and *√ah*.

^{xlviii} This verse is in Rāmā metre.

¹¹³ tadā] K E_{DH} TVB_G (de'i tshe); de'i TVA_D (tad° ?)

¹¹⁴ cākṛāntarotpāde] E_{DH}; cākṛāntaropāde K

¹¹⁵ ciraniruddhād] *em.* (TV: rin du 'gags pa'i); citaniruddhād K; cittaniruddhād E_{DH}

¹¹⁶ yathābhavyatayā] *variant word division in* E_{DH}: yathā bhavyatayā

¹¹⁷ ṣaṣṭham] K (ṣaṣṭham) E_{DH} TVB_G (drug pa'o); bsgrub par bya ba drug pa'o TVA_D (sādhyam ṣaṣṭham)

¹¹⁸ nirodham] E_{DH}; nirodha(m) K (*fort. corr. h*); nirodhaḥ *possible em.*

¹¹⁹ anyatamasya phalasya] *conj.*; arthaphalasya K E_{DH}; nang nas 'bras bu TV

¹²⁰ phalasya sādhyatvād yad yad evābhiṣṭam] K E_{DH}; 'bras bu bsgrub bya gang kho na TVA_D (phalam sādhyam yad eva); 'bras bu bsgrub bya gang kho na mngon par 'dod pa TVB_G (phalam sādhyam yad evābhiṣṭam);

¹²¹ tad] E_{DH} TVB_G (de); sad K; *no reflex in* TVA_D

¹²² ṣaḍ eva] E_{DH}; ṣatreva K

¹²³ abhinnaṁ] E_{DH}; abhinna K

¹²⁴ sa tathā] *em.*; tat tathā K E_{DH}

'sti, abhāvaikarūpatayā nirodhasya samānatvāt. ayam arthaḥ—anya-
tamapakṣaṃ sāksāt kṛtvā paścāt tasya santānocchedarūpo nirodha
iti saptamaṃ sādhyam.

7 caturthaseke vipratipattayaḥ

7.1 caturthaseke vipratipattiḥ prathamā

prajñājñānād uttaram bodhicittā-
svādas turyaṃ sekam¹²⁵ āhāvaraṃ tat |
yasmāt¹²⁶ sarvo bhāvanāsu prayāso
vyarthaḥ prāptas tatphalasya prasiddheḥ^{127,xlix} || 15 ||^l

[E_{DH} p. 140] prajñājñānetyādi. prajñājñānopadeśād uttarakālaṃ^{128,li}
yat bodhicittasyāmṛtarūpasya¹²⁹ rasanayā grahaṇam, tat turyaṃ ca-
turtham [K fol. 6r] sekam āha kaścit. tac cāvaraṃ hīnam, vinikṛṣṭam

^{xlix} TM_D's reading *rab tu mi rung phyir* is suprising, given the commentary, pre-
sumably executed by the same translator, reads *rab tu grub pa nyid* [*kyi phyia*].

^l This verse is in Śālinī metre.

^{li} TV indicates that the text may have included a compound analysis of *prajñā-
jñāna*, but if so, it is unclear what kind of compound this analysis signifies. If it
is for a *karmadhāraya*, we would expect the TV to read as it does elsewhere for
such analyses, with something like *shes rab kyang de nyid yin la | ye shes kang de
nyid yin* (cf. the commentary on 5cd). The reading in TVB_G is probably corrupt
after *phyis te: byang chub* appears to have been moved from the following clause
with *bodhicitta* to this clause. Perhaps the text should read *phyis te rdzogs pa'i dus
so || gang zhe na* |. Taken altogether, TV suggests the translator may have had a
different reading here, but no compelling emendation is indicated.

¹²⁵ sekam] E_{DH}; seṣam K

¹²⁶ yasmāt] E_{DH} (TV: gang phyir) (TaRaA-Vi: yasmāt); paścāt K

¹²⁷ prasiddheḥ] K E_{DH}; rab tu mi rung phyir TM_D (aprasiddheḥ?)

¹²⁸ prajñājñānopadeśād uttarakālaṃ] K E_{DH}; shes rab dang ye shes ni shes rab ye
shes te | dbang bskur ba'i bye brag go || phyis ni 'das pa'i 'og tu'o || gang zhe na
| TVA_D (prajñājñānetyādi. prajñā ca jñānaṃ prajñājñānaṃ sekaviśeṣaḥ. uttaram
paścāt. kim iti); shes rab dang ye shes te | dbang bskur ba'i bye brag go || phyis te
rdzogs pa'i dus kyi byang chub gang zhe na | TVB_G

¹²⁹ bodhicittasyāmṛtarūpasya] *em.* (TVA_D: byang chub kyi sems te); saṃ bodhici-
ttasyāmṛtarūpasya K E_{DH}; sems te TVB_G (cittasya)

iti yāvat. kasmād avaram? yasmāt sarvaprayāso mantramudrādevatādyākārabhāvanāsu punaḥ punar anuṣṭhānalakṣaṇas tathāgato-
kto¹³⁰ vyarthaḥ prāptaḥ.^{lii} kutaḥ? tatphalasya bhāvanāsādhyasya
phalasya bodhicittāsvādakāla eva prasiddhatvāt prāptatvāt,¹³¹ anya-
sya viśiṣṭasya phalasyābhāvād iti yāvat.

7.2 caturthaseke vipratipattir dvitīyā

prajñājñānād uttaraṃ prāptarāmā-
svādas turyaṃ sekam āhādhamam tat |
yasmāt sarvo bhāvanātau prayatno
buddhoddīṣṭo niṣphalaḥ samprasaktaḥ || 16 ||^{liii}

prajñetyādi. prajñājñānād uttarakālaṃ yāḥ prāptā yathāmilitā rā-
māḥ striyas tāsāṃ samāpattidvāreṇa¹³² ya āsvādaḥ, tat turyaṃ se-
kam. tad apy adhamam. śeṣaṃ gatārtham.

^{lii} TV reflects basically the same words as transmitted in K but with an understnading that may reflect a different underlying reading. Whereas the Sanskrit text as transmitted in K appears to suggest primarily one thing that would be *vyartha* on this position—namely, *sarvaprayāsa* taught by the *tathāgatas* that is characterised by repeated *anuṣṭhāna* directed at meditations on mantras and so forth. TV, on the other hand, seems to understand two items that would be *vyartha*: namely, *sarva-prayāsa* and *sgrub pa'i mtshan nyid*, probably *anuṣṭhānalakṣaṇa*: *gang gi phyir sngags dang phyag rgya dang | lha nyid la sogs pa'i rnam pa bsgom pa la yang dang yang du 'bad pa dang | gzhan yang de bzhin gshegs pas gsungs pa'i sgrub pa'i mtshan nyid don med pa thob par 'gyur ro ||* 'Because it would follow that repeated effort in meditation ... and, what's more (*gzhan yang*; Skt. *ca?*), what is characterised as practice taught by the *tathāgatas* would be useless'. This understanding is made more noteworthy by the manuscripts reading the dual *tathāgatoktau*, but may be just coincidental, given that the understnading reflected in TV is not very compelling on the level of overall sense.

^{liii} This verse is in Śālinī metre.

¹³⁰ *tathāgatokto*] E_{DH}; *tathāgatoktau* K

¹³¹ *prasiddhatvāt prāptatvāt*] K E_{DH} TVB_G (*grub pa nyid dang | thob pa nyid dang* |); *rab tu grub pa nyid dang* | TVA_D (*prasiddhatvāt*)

¹³² *samāpattidvāreṇa*] E_{DH}; *rig pa'i sgo nas* TVA_D (*rig fort. pro reg*); *reg pa'i sgo nas* TVB_G (*sparśadvāreṇa*)

7.3 āgamasya vyākhyānam

atha¹³³ caturtham tat punas tatheti^{134, liv} vyākhyāyate. caturtham iti¹³⁵ prajñājñānam tṛtīyam apekṣya caturtham ity ucyate. tad iti ta-
cchabdena tad eva prajñājñānam tadrūpaṃ¹³⁶ parāmrśyate.^{lv} punar
iti punaḥśabdena tasmād viśeṣaḥ. viśeṣaś cātra¹³⁷ nirāsravanirutta-
rātyantasphītāvicchinna-prabandhapravāhitva¹³⁸ lakṣaṇaḥ.^{139, lvi} ta-
theti tathāśabdena tādrśatvam abhidhīyate. tādrśatvam ca yādrśyā
prajñādiyuktayā¹⁴⁰ sāmagryā yādrśaṃ prajñājñānam utpannam, pa-
ścād api tādrśyaiva sāmagryā tathaiva cotpadyate, nānyatheti tathā-
śabdārthaḥ.

atra ca lakṣyalakṣaṇabhāvenārtho^{141, lvii} boddhavyaḥ. lakṣyate
'neneti lakṣaṇam anubhūyamānam prajñājñānam, apratīyamāna-

^{liv} *Samājottara* 113f

^{lv} The referent of *tat* in *tadrūpaṃ* is evidently *caturtham*. TVB_G essentially reflects the transmitted Sanskrit reading but *eva*, if it is rendered by *nyid*, is slightly out of place.

^{lvi} E_{DH} emends *niruttara* to *nirantara* stating that this reading is *bhoṭānusārī*, but the situation in TV is slightly more complex. The transmitted Sanskrit suggests reading a string of adjectives starting with *anāsrava* that qualify *pravāhitva*. Here reading *nirantara* and *avicchinna-prabandha* would lead to redundancy. TV instead renders a series of abstract nouns before *pravāhitva*, with TVA_D including something reflective of *nairantarya* (*bar ma chad pa nyid*). Both TVs lack a reflex of *niruttara*.

^{lvii} For *lakṣyalakṣaṇabhāva*, TVA_D reads *mtshon par bya ba'i don mtshan par byed*

¹³³ *atha*] K E_{DH} TVB_G (de la); *no reflex in TV*

¹³⁴ *punas tatheti*] E_{DH} (*em.*); *punar iti* K

¹³⁵ *caturtham iti*] K E_{DH} TVA_D (bzhi pa ni); *no reflex in TVB_G*

¹³⁶ *tad eva prajñājñānam tadrūpaṃ*] K E_{DH} TVB_G (shes rab ye shes de nyid kyi ngo bo de); shes rab ye shes kyi ngo bo de TVA_D

¹³⁷ °ātra] E_{DH}; *no reflex in TV*

¹³⁸ °niruttarātyantasphītāvicchinna-prabandhapravāhitva°] K; °nirantarātyanta-sphītāvicchinna-prabandhapravāhitva° E_{DH} (*em.*); shin tu rgyas pa nyid dang | bar chad med pa nyid dang | rgyun mi 'chad par skye ba nyid kyi TVA_D (°ātya-ntasphītātvanairantaryāvicchinna-prabandhapravāhitva°); shin tu rgyas pa nyid rgyun mi chad par skye ba nyid kyi TVB_G (°ātyantasphītātāvichinna-prabandha-pravāhitva°)

¹³⁹ °lakṣaṇaḥ] E_{DH}; °lakṣaṇam K

¹⁴⁰ °yuktayā] *conj.* (TV: dang ldan pa'i); °yuktyā K E_{DH}

¹⁴¹ °ārtho] K E_{DH} TVB_G (don); *no reflex in TVA_D*

sya lakṣaṇatvāyogāt, nāgrhītaviśeṣaṇā [E_{DH} p. 141]viśeṣyabuddhir
iti nyāyāt. lakṣyate jñāyate pratipādyate¹⁴² 'neneti^{143,lviii} lakṣyaṃ
sākṣāt kariṣyamāṇaṃ caturtham.

7.4 caruthaseke vipratipattis tṛtīyā

atra caturtham¹⁴⁴ nāstīty eke.^{lix} nanu caturtham ity etad asti ta-
tṭpadam.^{145,lx} tat katham nāstīty ucyate? satyam, upadeśasaṃrakṣā-
rtham^{lxi} sattvavyāmohanāya ca tṛtīyam eva caturthaśabde[K fol.

pa'i dngos po, which looks like a corruption for TVB_G's *mtshon par bya ba dang*
rather than anything indicative of a variant reading in the Sanskrit.

^{lviii} By normal conventions the *anena* here would indicate that the word being
glossed, *lakṣyaṃ* in this case, denotes the agent of verbal root. That is clearly not
the case, so *anena*, if it is not a corruption (it is not reflected in TVA_D), must refer
back to the *lakṣaṇa*.

^{lix} TVA_D adds near the beginning of this sentence *Samājottara* 113ab: *abhiṣekaṃ*
tridhā bhedam asmin tanre prakalpitaṃ |.

^{lx} There is little doubt about the meaning of the text here, but its constitution is
not very secure. Both Tibetan translation suggest that the whole of *Samājottara*
missing 113f was cited. While TVA_D offers a somewhat cleaner text, TVB_G again
may reflect something closer to K, with a pronoun immediately following *iti* and
the word 'caturtha' marked off by an *iti* on its own. Various proposals could be
entertained for a smoother Sanskrit text, but what the K transmits can be unde-
rstood: '[Objections]: But there exists (*asti*) a word (*pada*) for that (*tat*) [fourth
initiation]—namely, this (*etat*): “the fourth [is that again like that]”

^{lxi} After rendering *upadeśasaṃrakṣārtham* (*man ngag bsrung bar bya ba'i phyir*
dang), TVA_D has apparently suffered from an eyeskip and resumes with its tra-
nslation of *pratipādayiṣyamāṇatvāc ceti*.

¹⁴² pratipādyate] K E_{DH}; go bar bya zhing bsgrub par bya bas na TVA_D; khong du
chud par byed bsgrub par byed pas na TVB_G (pratiyate pratipādyate)

¹⁴³ 'neneti] K E_{DH} TVB_G ('dis); *no reflex in* TVA_D

¹⁴⁴ caturtham] K E_{DH} TVB_G (bzhi pa ni); dbang ni rnam pa gsum dag tu | <lb
n="6"/>gyud 'di las ni rab tu grags || zhes gsungs pas na | bzhi pa TVA_D (abhiṣekaṃ
tridhā bhedam asmin tanre prakalpitaṃ | iti vacanāc caturtham)

¹⁴⁵ nanu caturtham ity etad asti tatṭpadam] K (nanu caturtham ity etad asti | tat
padam) E_{DH}; de ltar de bzhin bzhi pa yang || zhes bya ba'i tshig bcom ldan 'das kyis
gsungs pa yod pa ma yin nam | TVA_D (nanu caturtham tat punas tatheti padam
bhagavatoktam); de lta na de ma yin pa gzhan de ltar de bzhin bzhi pa yang zhes
bya ba der bzhi pa zhes bya ba'i tshig bcom ldan 'das kyis gsungs pa yod pa ma yin
nam | TVB_G (nanu anyatra [? - de ma yin pa gzhan] caturtham tat punas tathety
asmin [? - der] caturtham iti padam bhagavatoktam)

6v]noktaṃ bhagavatā. anyathā tat punar^{146, lxii} iti noktaṃ syāt.

tad atyantāsaṃgatam, caturthasya pramāṇasiddhasya pratipādi-
tatvāt pratipādayiṣyamāṇatvāc ceti.^{lxiii}

7.5 lakṣyasya vicāraṇam

atra lakṣaṇaṃ prajñājñānaṃ pratītam eva sarvaiḥ. lakṣye¹⁴⁷ paraṃ
vyāmohaḥ. tad vicāryate. lakṣyaṃ hi bhaved¹⁴⁸ artharūpaṃ vā syāt
jñānarūpaṃ vā. na tāvad¹⁴⁹ artharūpaṃ, arthasyaivābhāvāt,^{150, lxiv}
ekānekaviyogitvena pramāṇena tasya nirākṛtatvāt. mantranaye ca
vijñānavādamadhyamakamatayor¹⁵¹ eva pradhānatvād^{lxv} jñānarū-

^{lxii} Here TVB_G quotes again the entire *pāda* of *Samājottara* 113f. This is an undesirable reading: It is specifically the words *tat punaḥ* that indicate the Buddha real intention of speaking of *caturtha*, not the entire *pāda*.

^{lxiii} Tib. discusses two further *pakṣas* here: that the fourth referred to in *Samājottara* 113f is the four *aṅga* of *sevā* and so forth; and what appears to be the idea that the fourth initiation consists in the third accompanied by its fruits ('*bras bu dang bcas pa*). Of these the first is rejected on account of its rendering cultivation meaningless, and the latter is rejected as *siddhasādhana*.

^{lxiv} The K's reading *arthasyaikasya* is problematic. At face value, it would mean 'a single external object', whereas the argument is clearly concerning all external objects. Even if the meaning of *eka* were strained and taken in the sense of 'unitary', the following reason would become tautological. Emending *ekasya* to *eva* is also compelling based on the TV, which clearly renders the *eva* with *nyid*.

^{lxv} TVA_D finishes the clause ending *pradhānatvāt* with a *rdzogs tshig*: *gtso bo nyid yin pa'i phyir ro*. This creates an incomplete sentence with the clause pointing neither forwards or backwards, since the clause ending in *nirākṛtatvāt* also ends with *phyir ro*. The translation may either be corrupt, or perhaps the translator was uncertain about how to construe the clause. Here the reason should probably point forward: although it does support the non-primary of external objects,

¹⁴⁶ *tat punar*] K E_{DH}; TVA_D: *not available*; de ltar de bzhin bzhi pa yang TVB_G (*caturthaṃ tat punas tathā*)

¹⁴⁷ *lakṣye*] E_{DH} (*em.*) TV (*mtshon par bya ba la*); *lakṣyā* K

¹⁴⁸ *lakṣyaṃ hi bhaved*] *conj.* (TV: *mtshon par bya ba yang srid na*); *lakṣyaṃ hi bhagavat* K E_{DH} ('*gavad*)

¹⁴⁹ *tāvad*] K E_{DH}; *no reflex in TV*

¹⁵⁰ *arthasyaivābhāvāt*] *conj.* (TV: *don nyid med pa'i phyir*); *arthasyaikasyābhāvāt* K E_{DH}

¹⁵¹ 'matayor] E_{DH} TV ('*dod gzhung*); 'tamayor K

paṃ vā syāt. jñānaṃ ca sākāraṃ vā nirākāraṃ vā. sākāraṃ api citrādvaitarūpaṃ^{lxvi} vā syād anekarūpaṃ vā syād iti vikalpāḥ.

7.5.1 sākārasya vijñānasya nirākaraṇam

tatra sākāravijñānaṃ sarvathaiva gagaṇakamalavan nāstīti nirākāravādino bruvate. nanu nīlapītaśuklādighaṭapaṭaśakaṭādi¹⁵² rūpeṇākārāḥ¹⁵³ pratibhāsante¹⁵⁴ pratyakṣataḥ. te cārthasyābhāvād jñānarūpā eva. tat kathaṃ sākāraṃ nāstīti?^{lxvii} satyam. pratibhāsanta evākārāḥ, param alikarūpeṇa.¹⁵⁵ alikarūpatā¹⁵⁶ caikānekaviyogitvena pramāṇalakṣaṇena¹⁵⁷ prasiddhā. tasya ca pramāṇasvarūpasyānyatra¹⁵⁸ kathitavān, neha¹⁵⁹ pratanyate. alikatvaprasiddhā ca māyāmayā ivākārā bhrāntirūpāḥ prakāśante.¹⁶⁰ bhrāntinivṛttau ca nirā-

enough reasons have been given to support their general non-existence, and the primacy of awareness in the Vijñānavāda and Madhyamaka positions can be seen as a basis on which one might accept the *lakṣya* to be *jñāna*.

^{lxvi} Here and at the end of the next paragraph, TV renders *citrādvaita* as *shes pa gnyis med*, as if reading *cittādvaita*. The more expected rendering is *sna tshogs gnyis med*.

^{lxvii} TVB_G presents this argument differently than what is transmitted in Sanskrit but maintains lotical flow: *don (rnam pa) de dag kyang med pa'i phyir shes pa'i ngo bo nyid kyang med yin na | de ji ltar rnam pa dang bcas pa ma yin zhe na |* 'Because those objects [i.e., *ākāras*] also do not exist, the nature of cognition too cannot exist. So how can cognition not have *ākāras*?' TVA_D's formulation is unclear: *don de dag la med pa'i phyir shes pa'i ngo bo nyid yin na | de ji ltar rnam pa dang bcas pa ma yin zhe na |*

¹⁵² °śakaṭādi°] E_{DH} (*em.*) TV (shing rta); °prakaṭādi° K

¹⁵³ °ākārāḥ] *conj.*; ((cā))kārāḥ] K; vākārāḥ E_{DH}

¹⁵⁴ pratibhāsante] E_{DH}; pratibhāsante K

¹⁵⁵ alikarūpeṇa] K E_{DH} TVB_G (brdzun pa'i ngo bor); brdzun pa yin no TVA_D (alika)

¹⁵⁶ alikarūpatā] K TVB_G (brdzun pa'i ngo bo nyid); brdzun pa nyid TVA_D (alikatā)

¹⁵⁷ °viyogitvena pramāṇalakṣaṇena] *em.*; °viyogitvapramāṇalakṣaṇena K E_{DH}; dang bral ba'i mtshan nyid kyis TVA_D (°viyogalakṣaṇena); dang bral ba'i tshad ma'i mtshan nyid kyis TVB_G (°viyogapramāṇalakṣaṇena)

¹⁵⁸ pramāṇasvarūpasyā°] E_{DH}; pramāṇa((pe))rūpasyā° K

¹⁵⁹ neha] E_{DH}; eha K

¹⁶⁰ prakāśante] K (prakāśante); prakāśyante E_{DH}

kāram eva¹⁶¹ śuddhasphaṭikasamkāśaṃ pāramārthikaṃ¹⁶² siddhaṃ bhavati.¹⁶³ ataś citrādvaitarūpam anekarūpaṃ ca sākāraṃ vijñānam astīti vikalpadvayaṃ nirastaṃ bhavatīti.

7.5.2 nirākāraṣya vijñānaṣya samarthanam

nanu nirākāram api vijñānam upalabdhilakṣaṇaprāptaṃ svapne 'pi nopalabhyate. tat kathaṃ tad asti paramārthata¹⁶⁴ i[K fol. 7r]ty ucyate? ucyate.^{165, lxviii} sukhākāraṃ vijñānam¹⁶⁶ antaḥparisphuradrūpaṃ nirākāraṃ samvedyata eva.^{lxix} nīlādyākārāḥ punar alikāḥ pratibhāsante. anyathā teṣāṃ satyatve sarva evākārāḥ satyāḥ syuḥ. tathā hi grāhyagrāhakabhāvādikam api satyaṃ [E_{DH} p. 142] syāt. tathaś ca sarveṣāṃ eva satyapratibhāsatvena muktiprasaṅgaḥ,¹⁶⁷ keṣāñcid api mithyāpratibhāsaṣya bhrāntirūpasyāpratibhāsanāt.^{lxx} tathā coktam—

^{lxviii} (TO EXPAND AND REORGANISE) Here 'on te in TVB_G isn't a strong reflex of *ucyate*, but like *ucyate* it does explicitly mark a change in *pakṣa*. The *ucyate* ending the previous sentence may be suspect. A similar formulation was used in the previous paragraph: *tat kathaṃ nāstīty ucyate?* There TV reads: *ji ltar med ce na* | (TVB_G); *de ci ltar med ces brjod* | (TVB_G). Here, for *tat kathaṃ tad asti paramārthata ity ucyate*, TV reads: *de ji ltar na don dam par grub par yod pa zhes bya zhe na* (TVA_D); *de ji ltar na don dam par yod par grub pa zhes bya* | (TVB_G). From this it is difficult to draw firm conclusions, but *ces brjod* and *zhes bya* probably more strongly point towards *ity ucyate* rather than simply *iti*. Again TVA_D demonstrates more simplified language.

^{lxix} TV changes the subject of the sentence from *vijñāna* to the *ākāras* of *vijñāna*. TVA_D is likely corrupt or wrong edited with *shes pa rnams ni* in place of TVB_G's *shes pa'i nang na*.

^{lxx} Both Tibetan translation exhibit various degrees of corruption and/or confusion here: *cung zhig kyang log pa'i rnam par ngo bo ni snang ba'i phyir ro* || (TVA_D) *cung zhig dang log pa'i rnam par 'khrul pa'i ngo bo mi snang ba'i phyir ro* || (TVA_D).

¹⁶¹ nirākāram eva] K E_{DH} TVB_G (rnam pa med pa kho na); rnam pa med pa de kho na TVA_D (nirākāram eva tad)

¹⁶² pāramārthikaṃ] E_{DH} (*em.*); pārarthikaṃ K

¹⁶³ bhavati] K; bhavatīti E_{DH}

¹⁶⁴ paramārthata] *em.*; paramārtham K E_{DH}

¹⁶⁵ ucyate] K E_{DH} TVB_G ('on te); *no reflex* in TVA_D

¹⁶⁶ antaḥ°] K E_{DH} TVB_G (nang na); rnams ni TVA_D (*probably corruption*)

¹⁶⁷ muktiprasaṅgaḥ] *em.* (TV: grol ba nyid du thal bar 'gyur te); yuktiprasaṅgāt K; muktiprasaṅgāt E_{DH} (*em.*)

draṣṭavyam¹⁶⁸ bhūtato bhūtaṃ bhūtadarśi vimucyate |^{lxxi}

tasmād akāmakēnāpi nīlādyākārāṇām alīkatvam evaiṣṭavyam. sukhādikaṃ nirākāraṃ¹⁶⁹ satyam upalabhyate. tat kathaṃ nopalabhyata iti.

nanu sukhādyākāraṃ sākāraṃ eva vijñānaṃ^{170, lxxii} upalabhyate, sukhāder ākārasvabhāvatvāt. na ca sukhādyākāraśūnyaṃ jñānaṃ¹⁷¹ svapne 'pi saṃvedyate. sakalabhrāntivigamād aṣṭamyāṃ bhūmāv upalabdhi lakṣaṇaprāptir bhavatīty atrāpi kośapānaṃ¹⁷² vinā anyan na¹⁷³ pramāṇam asti prasādhakam iti.¹⁷⁴ tad asat,¹⁷⁵ abhiprāyāparijñānāt, sukhādyākārasyaiva¹⁷⁶ nīlādyākārahitasya vijñānasya

There is a possibility that *log pa'i rnam pa* reflects *mithyākāra* instead of *mithyā-pratibhāsa*.

^{lxxi} *Abhisamayālaṅkāra* 5.21; *Ratnagotravīghāga* 154; *Pratītyasamputpādahṛdaya-kārikā* 7; etc.

^{lxxii} The word *sākāraṃ* appears to have been omitted from the text transmitted in K. It is supported by the Tibetan translations and can be inferred by the reason *sukhāder ākārasvabhāvatvā*, and the response to this objection later in the paragraph.

TV may reflect also the inclusion of an *api* somewhere in this sentence given the particle *yang* (e.g., *sukhādyākāraṃ api*). Here again TVB_G as altered the argument slightly: *bde ba la sogs pa'i rnam pa yang rnam pa dang bcas pa'i shes pa kho na la dmigs pa yin te* |; 'Forms such as pleasure too are perceived with only a cognition that has forms.' TVA_D is closer to the Sanskrit syntax: *bde ba la sogs pa'i rnam pa'i shes pa yang rnam pa dang bcas pa kho na la dmigs pa kho na yin te* |; 'Cognition too that has the forms of pleasure and the like are only perceived to be none other than [cognitions] with forms.'

¹⁶⁸ draṣṭavyam] E_{DH}; draṣṭavya K

¹⁶⁹ nirākāraṃ] K E_{DH}; rnam pa TVA_D (ākāraṃ); rnam pa brdzun pa TVB_G (alīkā-kāraṃ)

¹⁷⁰ sākāraṃ eva vijñānaṃ] conj.; eva vijñānaṃ K E_{DH}; shes pa yang rnam pa dang bcas pa kho na TVA_D; rnam pa dang bcas pa'i kho na shes pa TVA_D (api sākāraṃ eva jñānaṃ)

¹⁷¹ jñānaṃ] K E_{DH}; rnam par shes pa TV (vijñānaṃ)

¹⁷² kośapānaṃ] K (kosapānaṃ); śāpathollaṅghanaṃ E_{DH} (*em.*)

¹⁷³ anyan na] E_{DH}; anyatra K

¹⁷⁴ iti] K E_{DH} TVA_D (zhe na); *no reflex* in TVB_G

¹⁷⁵ tad asat] conj. (TV: de ni bden pa ma yin te); tad K E_{DH}; asat etat *possible conj.*

¹⁷⁶ sukhādyākārasyaiva] K E_{DH}; bde ba la sogs pa nyid TVA_D; bde la sogs pa nyid TVB_G (sukhāder eva)

nirākāratveneṣṭatvāt.¹⁷⁷ tac cedānīm eva svasaṃvedanapramāṇasi-
ddhaṃ sakalapraṇabhṛtām astīti kathaṃ nopalabdhiḥ?

7.5.3 madhyamakamatasya samarthanam

nanu tad¹⁷⁸ apy ekānekasvabhāvaviyogād alikam eva bhrāntimā-
tram, ekānekasvabhāvarahitatvasya¹⁷⁹ sākāranirākāravijñānavyā-
pitvāt.¹⁸⁰

nanv anena nyāyena sakalasākāranirākāravijñānasyā¹⁸¹ likatva-
prasāadhanān na kiñcid api pāramārthikaṃ vastutattvam asti.^{182, 183}
tat kathaṃ lakṣyasya svarūpaṃ pramāṇata upalakṣayitavyam? naiṣa
doṣaḥ, madhyamakamate pramāṇato 'likatāsiddhāv api¹⁸⁴ māyopa-
mapratibhāsamātrasyaikānekasvabhāvarahitasya dharmirūpasyā-
pratiṣedhāt. tatraiva cālīke pratibhāsamātre lakṣyalakṣaṇasaṃsā-
ranirvāṇa[K fol. 7v]maṇḍalacakrādibhāvanāsakalajagadarthakriyā-
dīnām¹⁸⁵ avyāhatā vyavasthā¹⁸⁶ sidhyati.^{187, lxxiii} tathā coktam—

^{lxxiii} E_{DH} appears to understand the text as saying that both *bhāvanā* and *saka-
lajagadarthakriyādīnām vyavasthā* are established. TV renders *bhāvanā* in the
third case, suggesting it may have been seen outside of the compound or seen
within the compound but understood as having a *trṭiya* relationship with *saka-
lajagadarthakriyā*. We understand a compound beginning with *lakṣyalakṣaṇa* up
to *sakalajagadarthakriyādīnām* providing a list of that for which the *vyāvasthā* is

¹⁷⁷ nirākāratveneṣṭatvāt] K E_{DH} TVB_G (rnam pa med pa nyid du 'dod pa nyid kyi
phyir); med pa nyid du 'dod pa'i phyir TVA_D

¹⁷⁸ nanu tad K E_{DH}; tat *possible conj.*

¹⁷⁹ °rahitatvasya] *em.* (TV: dang bral ba nyid kyis); °rahitasya K E_{DH}

¹⁸⁰ °vijñāna°] K E_{DH}; shes pa TV (jñāna)

¹⁸¹ °vijñānasyā°] K E_{DH}; shes pa TV (°jñānasyā°)

¹⁸² asti] *conj.*; astīti K E_{DH} (astīti?); *no reflex* in TV

¹⁸³ The *iti* following *asti* in the K is superfluous with *tat* starting the next sentence
in the sense of *tasmāt*, continuing the objection.

¹⁸⁴ māyopamapratibhāsamātrasyai°] K E_{DH}; snang ba tsam dang sgyu ma lta bu
TVA_D (māyopamasya pratibhāsamātrasya cai°); snang ba sgyu ma lta bu ma TVB_G
(māyopamapratibhāsasyai°)

¹⁸⁵ °bhāvanā°] K; °bhāvanā E_{DH} (variant word division); bsgoms pas TV (bhāva-
nayā)

¹⁸⁶ vyavasthā] K; vyavasthā ca E_{DH} (*em.*)

¹⁸⁷ sidhyati] *conj.* (TV: grub pa yin no); sidhyatīti K E_{DH}

buddhatvaṃ vajrasattvatvaṃ saṃvṛtyaiva prasādhā-
yet |^{lxxiv}

iti.¹⁸⁸

nanu sarvam eva vastujātaṃ alikarūpatayā niḥsāram, tadā kima-
rthaṃ maṇḍalacakrādibhāvanāprayāsaḥ¹⁸⁹ kriyate? asad etat,

mithyādhyāropahānārthaṃ¹⁹⁰ yatno 'saty api¹⁹¹ [E_{DH} p.
143] bhoktari |^{192, lxxv}

iti vacanāt. yady api vicāryamāṇaṃ pāramārthikaṃ vasturūpaṃ
nāsti, tathāpy ahaṃ sukhī bhaveyaṃ mā¹⁹³ duḥkhy abhūvam iti
tṛṣṇā sakalapraṇabhṛtām asti. yathā tulye 'pi mithyātve śubhāsu-
bhasvapnayoh śubhasvapnadarśanāt saumanasyam, aśubhasvapna-
darśanāc ca daurmanasyam, tadapanayanāya ca saddharmapāṭha-
mantra-jāpādaḥ pravṛttir bhavati, tathā mithyātvāviśeṣe 'pi duḥkhā-
diprākṛtavikalpahānāya^{lxxvi} samyaksambodhilakṣaṇaprāptaye¹⁹⁴ ca
prekṣāvatām arthināṃ pravṛttir bhaviṣyati.

still established in the Madhyamaka system.

Here too the K seems to transmit a superfluous *iti*, here following *sidhyati*.

^{lxxiv} *Kurukullākālpa* 3.16cd

^{lxxv} *Pramāṇavārttika*, *Pramāṇasiddhi* 192cd. Verse 192 is frequently cited in Bu-
ddhist and non-Buddhist texts alike and is transmitted with the readings *bho-*
ktari and *moktari* in the final *pāda*, with the latter better represented in the core
witnesses of and texts related to the *Pramāṇavārttika* (for some references see
*pecchia*2015).

^{lxxvi} cf. *Samantabhadrasādhana* (as quoted in Kamalanātha's *Ratnāvalī* ad HeTa
2.2.45, fol. 16r6): prākṛtavikalpavṛttair aparaṃ na hi kiñcad asti bhavaduḥkham |
tasya viruddhaṃ caitat sākṣādavagamyate cetah ||

¹⁸⁸ *iti*] E_{DH}; deest in K

¹⁸⁹ maṇḍala°] K E_{DH}; bri ba'i 'dkyil 'khor (lekhyamaṇḍala°)

¹⁹⁰ mithyādhyāropahānārthaṃ] *em.*; mithyādhyāropaṇārthaṃ K E_{DH}

¹⁹¹ 'saty api] K; 'styopi E_{DH}

¹⁹² moktari] *em.* (TVA_D: grol byed; TVB_Ggrol ba po); bhoktari° K (*the letter no is
added abhove bho*); muktaye E_{DH} (*em.*)

¹⁹³ mā] E_{DH} (*em.*); deest in K

¹⁹⁴ 'lakṣaṇaprāptaye] K E_{DH}; mtshan nyid kyi 'bras bu thob par bya ba'i phyir
TVA_D; mtshan nyid 'bras bu thob par bya ba'i phyir TVB_G ('lakṣaṇaphalaprā-
ptaye)

8 saptavidheṣu sādhyeṣu sārāsāravīcāraṇam

nanu yadarthas tv ayam^{195, lxxvii} ārambhaḥ so 'rthaḥ pralayaṃ gataḥ. tathā hi lakṣyalakṣaṇacintātra prastutā. sā ca vismṛtā,^{196, lxxviii} kva gateti na jñāyate.¹⁹⁷

na tu¹⁹⁸ kṛtaiva sā saptabhir bhedaḥ?

satyam, kintu guḍagorasanyāyena.^{199, 200} tathā hi na jñāyate, kiṃ tat sāram asāraṃ veti.

^{lxxvii} An alternative conjecture for whether K reads *yadarthasvā'yam* could be *yadarthas tavāyam*, but we see no reflex of a *tava* in the Tibetan translations: *rtsom pa 'di'i don gang yin pa* (TVA_D); *gal te gang gi don du (bzhi pa bshad pa'i bshad pa'i dus) 'di brtsams pa'i* (TVB_G).

^{lxxviii} TV may suggest a different reading (which cannot easily be guessed at), or it may simply elaborate on what is found in the Sanskrit text: *de yang gtaṃ gzhan du thal bas brjod pa'i phyir | gang du song ba mi shes so zhe na |*; 'And (yang) because you have spoken (*brjod pa*) by moving on to (*thal bas*) other topics (*gtaṃ gzhan*), where that (*de*) [main topic] has gone is not known.'

¹⁹⁵ yadarthas tv ayam] *conj.*; yadarthasvā'yam K; yadarthatvād ayam E_{DH}

¹⁹⁶ vimisṛtā] K E_{DH}; gtaṃ gzhan du thal bas brjod pa'i phyir TV

¹⁹⁷ jñāyate] K E_{DH}; shes so zhe na TV (jñāyata iti [cet])

¹⁹⁸ na tu] *conj.*; nanu K E_{DH}

¹⁹⁹ guḍagorasanyāyena] K E_{DH} TVB_G (bu ram dang dar ba'i tshul gyis); bu ram dang mngar ba nyid kyi tshul gyis TVA_D

²⁰⁰ cf. verse 267 of *Pramāṇasiddhi* chapter in Prajñākāragupta's *Pramāṇavārttika-bhāṣya*: *arthānarthakriyāśakto guḍagorasakāraḥ | sarvajño 'pi na sevayatvaṃ prayāty anupakārataḥ ||*; 'Because he is not helpful, a creator of [a mixture of] *guḍa* and *gorasa*, capable of doing both harm and good, does not become an object of service/devotion, even if he is omniscient' (ed-s p. 37). On this Yamāri comments: *bu ram dar ba byed pa po || zhes gsungs te | 'di rigs pa dang mi rigs pa 'dres pa la grags pa yin no ||*, '... this is known as "mixing what is appropriate and not appropriate"' (Tōh. 4226 fol. 12v6-7). Sāṃkṛtyāyana records a marginal note in his manuscript on the term: 'lohita (?) guḍakāraḥ, guḍagomayakāra ity apekṣyate.'

The author of the *Vādarahasya* uses the term as well: *atadrūpaparāvṛttanīlā-kārātanmātragrahaṇam iti vyavasthāyāṃ nāpi viśayasārūpyam, (tadabhāvān) kā hi paramārthasadalīkarūpayoḥ samānarūpatā nāmetyādi guḍagorasayor ekatāka-rāṇam kvopayuktam | bādhakapratyayād dhi tadalīkatvaṃ kiṃ prāgarōpya cintā kriyate śeṣaś ca doṣo 'bhīmānasyaiva cintyatvādityādīr ajatapatītiparāmarśād gataḥ |* (p. 73-74); 'In the system where there is grasping to more than just a blue form that excludes what is not of that nature, there is not even similarity to the object (because of its absence [?]). For what indeed could be the so-called simila-

ucyate.

8.1 prathamasyāsāratvam

mantranayavihitakramābhāvāt samāpattibhāvanāvaiyarthiād²⁰¹ yuktyabhāvāc²⁰² ca prathamasya niḥsāratā. tathā hi samagrasāmagrikam yat²⁰³ tad avaśyam eva bhavati. anyathā samagrasāmagrikam eva tan²⁰⁴ na bhavet. sāṅskārtkaraṇāvasthāyām samagrasāmagrikam tad vartate. tad avaśyam tena²⁰⁵ bhavitavyam. sati ca bhavet²⁰⁶ prathamasya hānir iti.

8.2 dvitīyasyāsāratvam

śarīrādyākāraśūnyasya kevalasātarūpasyānupalabdher²⁰⁷ na dvitīyasya sārātā. tathā hi pramāṇaniścitaṃ prekṣāvataḥ bhāvanīyam, na yathākathañcit. pramā[K fol. 8r]ṇena samvalitarūpam eva sarvado-
palabhyate.²⁰⁸ tad eva sarvajanānām kamanīyatayā pratibhāsate. ta-

rity between what is ultimately real and what is unreal? Given this and similar [arguments], how is the unification of *guḍa* and *gorasa* useful? The context here appears to be a refutation of the view that conceptual cognitions include both a universal and a real object.

Although it is evidently not a widely reference ‘*nyāya*’, the general idea seems to be that these two substances represent the appropriate and the inappropriate (or the useful and the useless), and that they should not be mixed. Precisely what substances, then, *guḍa* and *gomaya* refer to are then difficult to determine, as molasses and milk seem like a harmless combination.

²⁰¹ samāpatti°] K E_{DH} TVB_G (snyom par ’jug pa); lha’i rnal ’byor gyi snyoms par ’jug pa’i TVA_D (devatāyogasamāpatti°)

²⁰² yuktyabhāvāc] E_{DH}; yuktābhāvāc K

²⁰³ yat] K E_{DH}; ’bras bu gang yin pa TV (yat phalam)

²⁰⁴ samagrasāmagrikam eva tan] K E_{DH} TVB_G (tshogs pa dang tshogs can nyid du de); de’i tshogs pa TVA_D (tasya sāmāgrī)

²⁰⁵ tena K E_{DH} TVB_G (de); de’i ’bras bu TVA_D (tena phalena)

²⁰⁶ sati ca bhavet] *conj.*; sati ca bhavane na K E_{DH}; de ltar gyur pas TVA_D; de ltar gyur pa TVB_G (evamsati)

²⁰⁷ °labdher] E_{DH}; °bdher K

²⁰⁸ samvalitarūpam eva sarvado°] K E_{DH}; grub pa kho na TVA_D (siddham eva); grub pa’i ngo bo thams cad du TVB_G (siddharūpam sarvado°)

smāt kevalasya rucyabhāvāc²⁰⁹ ²¹⁰cakrākārasaṃvalitasyaṇupalabdheḥ²¹¹
sākṣāt kartum āśakyatvāc^{212, lxxix} ca dvitīyasya kalpanāmātrateti.²¹³

8.3 ṭṛtīyasyāsāratvam

nirupadravabhūtārthasvabhāvatvena sātmibhūtasya tyaktum āśakyatvāt, saṃvalitarūpasya [E_{DH} p. 144] bhedābhāvāt, prayojanābhāvāc ca na ṭṛtīyasya kalyāṇabhāvaḥ.^{214, lxxx} tathā hi sahopalambhena²¹⁵ tādātmyasiddhāv ekasya parityāge 'parasyāvaśyaṃ parityāgaḥ, na vā kasyacid iti.

^{lxxix} TV suggests reading: *kevalasyāṇupalabdheḥ rucyabhāvāc cakrākārasaṃvalitasyaṇupalabdheḥ sākṣāt kartum āśakyatvāt*. The addition of *anupalabdheḥ* after *kevalasya* renders the flow of logic less smooth and makes *sākṣāt kartum āśakyatvāc* superfluous. TV also adds the reason '*bad pa mtshung pa'i phyir*' ('because the effort is equal'), which is a fitting argument: although according to this system only bliss is meditated on and achieved, this actually requires the same amount of effort as the systems that include deity forms.

^{lxxx} Where we conjecture *na ṭṛtīyasya kalyāṇabhāvaḥ*, TV reads: *gsum pa dge ba ma yin te*. The *kalyāṇatā* in the following paragraph is rendered with *legs pa*. There too an abstract noun with another noun in the genitive case is not reflected, but such syntax would in any case be less natural in Tibetan. The reading of (either a silent emendation or a misreading of the manuscript), *na ṭṛtīyaḥ kalpanābhāvaḥ*, gives some sense ('the third is not without conceptual construction') but it is missing a masculine headword. There are indeed be other options to emend the K's reading, such as *na ṭṛtīyasya kalyāṇatā* or perhaps *na ṭṛtīyasya kalpanābhāvam*. Note that *kalyāṇatā* in the following paragraph was also copied in K with a dental *na*.

²⁰⁹ rucyabhāvāc] K E_{DH}; mi dmigs pa'i phyir dang | 'dod par bya ba ma yin pa'i phyir dang | TV_{AD}; ma dmigs pa'i phyir dang | 'dod pa med pa'i phyir dang | TV_{BG} (anupalabdhe rucyābhāvāc)

²¹⁰ cakrākārasaṃvalita°] K E_{DH}; 'khor lo'i rang bzhin TV_{AD} (cakrasvarūpa°); 'khor lo'i rnam pa'i rang bzhin TV_{BG} (cakrākārasvarūpa°)

²¹¹ °syāṇupalabdheḥ] *em.* (TV_{AD}: mi dmigs pa'i phyir dang) (TV_{BG}: ma dmigs pa'i phyir); °syaupalabdheḥ K; °syopalabdheḥ E_{DH}

²¹² āśakyatvāc] E_{DH} (*em.*); āśakyatāc K; mi nus ba'i phyir dang | 'bad pa nyid mtshungs pa'i phyir TV (āśakyatvād yatnasyaiva tulyatvāc)

²¹³ kalpanāmātrateti] E_{DH} (*em.*); kalpanātrateti K

²¹⁴ na ṭṛtīyasya kalyāṇabhāvaḥ] *conj.*; na ṭṛtīyakalyāṇibhāvaḥ K^{pc}; na ṭṛtīyakalyāṇibhāvaḥ K^{ac}; na ṭṛtīyaḥ kalpanābhāvaḥ E_{DH}

²¹⁵ sahopalambhena] E_{DH}; saholambhena K

8.4 caturthasya sārāsāratvavicāraṇam

prapañcatvena bahuprayāsatvād vicārāsahatvena bhrāntirūpatayā-paramārtharūpatayā ca na tṛtīyāntapakṣasya²¹⁶ kalyāṇateti.²¹⁷ atra kecid yuktiṃ varṇayanti.^{lxxxix} prapañcarūpatvābhāve²¹⁸ 'pi sūkṣma-sya bindvādeḥ punaḥ punar bhāvanayā sākṣātkaraṇam yāvat, prayāsaś tāvāt sarvatraiva bhāvyavastuni sambhavati.^{lxxxix} tad atra yadi prayāsabhayaṃ, na kiñcid api bhāvanīyam.

prapañcarūpatvād iti cet, prapañcāprapañcayor bhāvanāvasthāyāṃ ko viśeṣaḥ?²¹⁹ nanu²²⁰ aprapañcaṃ śīghram eva sthiribhavadītyayaṃ viśeṣaḥ.^{lxxxix} yatraivālabane²²¹ cittam punaḥ punaḥ preriyate

^{lxxxix} TVA_D renders this sentence differently: *de la 'ga' zhig las rigs pa cung zhig cig brjod par mi bya ste* | It is possible that the sentence is corrupt (especially the *las* after *'ga' zhig*). How to interpret it in the overall structure of the text is not obvious.

^{lxxxix} TV differs substantially in the second part of this sentence: *de srid du 'bad pas yang dang yang du bsgoms pa'i phyir dang | thams cad du bsgom par bya ba dngos po nyid du yod la* | (TVA_D); *de srid du 'bad pas yang dang yang du bsgom pa'i phyir thams cad du bsgom par bya ba'i dngos po yod do* || (TVB_G). Of these, the intention behind TVA_D is hard to discern, but TVB_G can be translated: 'For that long, because one repeated meditates with effort, the object of meditation remains.' Here the meaning is not compelling and indicates corruption or mistranslation.

^{lxxxix} The sequence of the argumentation is off in K, with *iti cet* and *nanu* being added to two sentences that represent the *siddhāntin's* speech. Here the current *siddhāntin* (probably equal to the author himself) is arguing against the criticism just expressed about the fourth *sādhya*.

One can consider using the word *atha* instead of *nanu* in the sentence beginning *nanu aprapañcaṃ śīghram eva*, and one can also consider ending it with *iti cet*, which may have accidentally been moved to the preceding sentence, and which may have a reflex in TVB_G with *zhe na*. The flow of argumentation is somewhat less clear and certain in TVA_D, which ends the sentence with *'di khyad par yin te*. Although *iti cet* is not strictly necessary here, what follows is certainly a response,

²¹⁶ tṛtīyāntapakṣasya] *em.* (TVA_D: gsum pa'i tha' ma'i phyogs) (TVB_G: gsum pa'i mtha' ma'i phyogs); tṛtīyāntaḥ | pakṣasya K; tṛtīyapakṣasya E_{DH}

²¹⁷ kalyāṇateti] E_{DH}; kalyāṇateti K

²¹⁸ prapañcarūpatvābhāve] K E_{DH}; spros pa'i ngo bo nyid du gyur TV (prapañcarūpatve)

²¹⁹ ko viśeṣaḥ] *em.* (TV: khyad par ci zhig yod |); ko viśeṣa iti cet K E_{DH}

²²⁰ nanu] *conj.* (TV: 'on te); deest in K and E_{DH}

²²¹ yatraivālabane] *conj.* (no reflect of *nanu* in TV); nanu yatraivālabane K E_{DH}

nirantaram²²² dīrghakālam ca tatraiva sthīrībhavatīty āgamaḥ. yu-
ktiś cātrāsti.²²³ tathā cōktam—

tasmād bhūtam abhūtam vā yad yad evābhibhāvyate |
bhāvanābalanīṣpattau^{lxxxiv} tat sphuṭākālpadhīphalam²²⁴ ||^{lxxxv}

punaś cōktam—

aho kuśīdatvam aho vimūḍhatā
aho janasyāsa sadarthavakratā |
svacittamātrapratibaddhabuddhatā²²⁵
adūravartiny api yan na sevyate ||²²⁶

iti. tasmān nāyaṃ viśeṣaḥ.

bhrāntirūpatvenāparamārthatvam api sarvatraiva bhāvanāvi-
śeṣe vastuni sambhavatīti na kiñcid api bhāvanīyaṃ syāt. [K fol.
8v] tataś ca sarvatraiva mokṣamārga bhāvanāyā vaiarthyaṃ syāt.
māyopamākārānupraveśena bhrāntirūpam apy aprapañcād [E_{DH} p.
145] bhāvyaṃnānam²²⁷ aduṣṭaṃ bhavatīti cet, na tv ayaṃ māyākā-
rānupraveśaḥ prapañce 'pi samāna iti. tatrāpi ko doṣasyāvakāśaḥ?
tasmāt prapañcam aprapañcam vā yad eva rocate pramāṇasaṃga-
tam itarad vā, tad evāasyaṃ vihāya mahāpuruṣārthibhir bhāvayī-
tavyam²²⁸ ity alam atiprasaṅgeneti.

atra ca sāretaravibhāgaḥ paryupāsitagurubhir eva jñātavyaḥ.

attempting to show that a lack of *prapañca* does not in fact lead to stability more
quickly. This is clear from the conclusion: *tasmān nāyaṃ viśeṣaḥ*.

^{lxxxiv} The reading *bhāvanābalanīṣpattau* is supported by the Tibetan translation
and occurs in other sources (*bsgom pa'i stobs ni rdzogs pa na*). Another more
mainstream reading for this *pāda* is *bhāvanāparinīṣpattau*.

^{lxxxv} *Pramānavārttika*, Pratyakṣapramāṇa 285

²²² nirantaram] E_{DH} (*em.*) TV (rgyun mi 'chad par); niruttaram K

²²³ 'ity āgamaḥ. yuktiś cātrāsti] K ('ity āgamaḥ |) E_{DH} TVB_G (zhes bya ba ni lung
yin no || 'di la rigs pa yang yod de |); zhes bya ba ni lung yin no || 'di la rigs pa
yang yod de | TVB_G ('iti yuktiḥ. āgamaś cātrāsti)

²²⁴ kālpadhīphalam] *em.*; kālpadhīḥ phalam K E_{DH}

²²⁵ 'pratibaddha°] *conj.* (TV; 'brel pa); °pratibuddha° K E_{DH}

²²⁶ Untraced. Also cited in **Saptāṅga* fol. 202r7. The verse is in Vaṃśastha metre.

²²⁷ aprapañcād bhāvyaṃnānam] E_{DH}; aprapañcā bhāvyaṃnānam

²²⁸ bhāvayitavyam] E_{DH}; bhaviyitavyam K

8.5 pañcamasyāsāratvam

ṭṛṭiyapakṣoktadoṣatvān²²⁹ nīrasatvena²³⁰ prayojanābhāvān mantra-
nayakramābhāvāc ca na pañcamaḥ parikṣiṇadoṣaḥ.

nanu sākṣātkaraṇāt pūrvaṃ mantranayaprayogo 'sti. tat ka-
thaṃ tasyābhāvah? satyam, sākṣātphalāvasthā sādhyā. tasyāṃ ca
nāsty asau kramaḥ. †sākṣātparityāge^{lxxxvi†} ca na prayojanam utpa-
śyāma iti.

8.6 ṣaṣṭhamasyāsāratvam

svecchayā nirvāyayitum²³¹ aśakyatvāt, prayojanābhāvāt, sattvārthā-
bhāvāc ca na pañcāntaraprabhedakalpanā²³² kalaṅkāśūnyā. tathā hi
kasyacin nivṛttiḥ kāraṇanivṛtṭyā vyāpakanivṛtṭyā²³³ vā bhavati. na
cātra sākṣātkṛtamaṇḍalacakraśya nivartakaṃ kāraṇaṃ vyāpakaṃ
vā icchākāle dṛśyate.^{lxxxvii}

nanu śūnyataiva nivartikāsti. yathā dāruṣaṅghātaprajvalito²³⁴
vahnir niḥśeṣam indhanaṃ bhaṣmīkṛtya paścāt svarasata eva ni-
vartate, tathā maṇḍalacakraprajvalitaḥ śūnyatājñānāgniḥ sākṣāt
kṛtvā²³⁵ maṇḍalacakraṃ nivartayiṣyatīti cet.²³⁶ tad asat, viṣamatvād

^{lxxxvi} Segment instead: kramaḥ sākṣāt. parityāge ?

^{lxxxvii} TV lacks a reflex of *icchākāle dṛśyate*. Both translations add an extra sente-
nce to this paragraph: *rang gi 'dod pas* ('dos pas TVB_G; 'gog par TVB_G) 'gog pa yang
mi nus te mi mthun pa med pa'i phyir | *sdug bsngal la sogs pa 'gog pa 'dod kyang*
sdug bsngal la sogs pa la 'jug pa mthong ba'i phyir ro ||

²²⁹ ṭṛṭiyapakṣoktadoṣatvān *conj.* (TVB_G: gsum pa'i phyogs la bshad pa'i nyes pa yod
pa dang); ṭṛṭiyapakṣe ktato K; ṭṛṭiyapakṣe kuto E_{DH}; *no reflex* in TVA_D

²³⁰ nīrasatvena] *conj.*; nīrasatvena te K E_{DH}

²³¹ nirvāyayitum] K; nirvāpayitum E_{DH}

²³² pañcāntara°] *em.* TV (Inga pa'i mtha'i rab tu dbye ba); prapañcāntara° K E_{DH}

²³³ vyāpakanivṛtṭyā] E_{DH}; vyāpakānivṛtṭyā K

²³⁴ dāruṣaṅghātaprajvalito] *conj.*; dāruṣaṅghāte prajvalito E_{DH}; dāruṣaṅghāt pra-
vjvalito K

²³⁵ sākṣāt kṛtvā] *conj.*; sākṣān K E_{DH}

²³⁶ TV a fuller sentence here. TVB_G reads: *de ltar dkyil 'khor gyi 'khor lo stong pa*
nyid kyi ye shes kyi me rab tu 'bar bas mngon sum du byas nas kyang | *dkyil 'khor*
gyi 'khor lo ma lus par ldog par byed la | *bdag nyid kyang rang gi ngang gis ldog*
par 'gyur ro zhe na | TVA_D appears to be slightly more corrupt, but suggests that

dr̥ṣṭāntasya. tathā hi tatrendhanam kāraṇam²³⁷ vahneḥ. kāraṇasya indhanalakṣaṇasya nivṛtta²³⁸ yuktaiva vahnalakṣaṇasya kāryasya nivṛtṭiḥ. iha tu na śūnyatā kāraṇam maṇḍalacakrasya. tat ka[K fol. 9r]tḥam tannivṛtta²³⁹ nivṛtṭiḥ? na²³⁹ ca śūnyatāyā nivṛttir asti.^{lxxxviii}

nanu sā na²⁴⁰ bhavatu kāraṇam. śūnyatā vyāpakam tu bhaviṣyati. vyāpakasya vṛkṣasya nivṛtta²⁴¹ śiṃśapātvasya vyāpyasya nivṛttivan nivṛttir bhaviṣyatīti cet. etad apy asāram. tathā hi śūnyatā sarvadā sarvajñeyamaṇḍalavyāpikā tattvarūpā.²⁴¹ na ca tasyā nivṛtṭiḥ kadācid apy asti. yadi syāt samyaksambodhisākṣātkaraṇāt [E_{DH} p. 146] pūrvam anantaram eva vā nivṛtṭiḥ syāt. na ca bhavati, samyaksambuddhībhyāpi katipayakālāvasthānasya svayam eva svīkṛtatvāt.

kintu śūnyatāpi jñānarūpā, cakram api jñānarūpam. śūnyatājñānotpattyā cakrajñānasyānivṛtta²⁴² śūnyatājñānam kena nivartanīyam. tena nivṛtṭiś ca virodhino 'bhāvāt kāraṇavyāpakayoś cābhāvān nāsti. tasmāc chūnyatājñānasya na nivṛtṭiḥ,²⁴³ nāpi maṇḍalacakrasya śūnyatāto nivṛttir iti śūnyatā na nivartikā.

ko brūte śūnyatā nivartikā? kiṃ tarhi yan nivartakam²⁴⁴ tad gurūpadeśato jñeyam ity apy asāram. gurūpadeśato 'pi na śūnyatāvya-

^{lxxxviii} The response the objection is considerably different in Tibetan. It states that while fire is regarded by mundane consensus as having a causal effect on fuel insofar as it transforms it, emptiness has no such effect on the *maṇḍalacakra*. It is also therefore not something that causes it to cease, nor is it known to itself cease of its own accord. Although the Sanskrit MS is very corrupt in this paragraph, it is difficult to see how the text it transmits corresponds to the Tibetan translation.

same readings: *de dkyil 'khor gyi 'khor lo stong pa nyid kyi ye shes kyi me rab tu 'bar bas mngon sum du byas nas kyang | dkyil 'khor gyi 'khor lo ma lus par ldog par byed la | de yang rang gi ldog par 'gyur ro zhe na |*

²³⁷ kāraṇam] *conj.*; na kāraṇam K E_{DH}

²³⁸ kāryasya indhanalakṣaṇasya nivṛtta] *conj.*; kāryam indhanalakṣaṇanivṛtta

²³⁹ na] *conj.*; athavā na] K E_{DH}

²⁴⁰ na] E_{DH} (*em.*); deest in K

²⁴¹ tattvarūpā] E_{DH}; tatvarūpāḥ K

²⁴² ānivṛtta] K E_{DH}; log na TV (nivṛtta)

²⁴³ na nivṛtṭiḥ] *conj.* (TV: ldog pa med do); nivṛtṭiḥ K E_{DH}

²⁴⁴ nivartakam] *em.*; nivartikās K E_{DH}

tiriktam²⁴⁵ pramāṇato 'stīti yatkiñcid etat.^{lxxxix} pratikṣaṇanivṛttiś ca kṣaṇabhaṅgarūpā sarvapaḍārthavyāpinī. na sā santānanivartikā. ta-smān na svecchayā nivṛttiḥ.²⁴⁶ na ca nivṛtṭyā²⁴⁷ nīrasarūpayā prayo-janam asti prekṣavatām. tathā cōktam—

mucyamāneṣu sattveṣu ye te prāmodyasāgarāḥ |
tair eva nanu paryāptam mokṣeṇārasikena kim ||^{xc}

iti.

sattvārtho 'pi nivṛttau nāsti. na hi gagane²⁴⁸ gaganakamale vā kācid arthakriyā sambhavati. ciraniruddhād apy atītād avasturū-pāc²⁴⁹ cakrāt sattvārtho bhaviṣyatīty apy asāram, ciranīrutasyāpi²⁵⁰ kukku[K fol. 9v]ṭasya kaṇṭhadhvaniprasaṅgāt.

nanu yogyadhiṣṭhānād gaganād apy arthakriyāḥ sambhavantīti cet.²⁵¹ na sambhavanti, yogyadhiṣṭhānād eva cittarūpād arthakriyā, na gaganāt, nīrūpatvāt tasya.^{xc}

nanu nirodhya maṇḍalacakram sattvārthakāle punar utpādyate. tato 'rthakriyā bhavati. tataḥ punar eva nirodhyate, punar evotpādyata iti cet. asad etat. yathā sattvārthakriyāyās tattvato²⁵² nāsti prā-durbhāvaḥ, tathā cakrasyāpi. tato nārthakriyāyāḥ sambhavaḥ. na ca

^{lxxxix} TVB_G: bla ma'i man ngag las kyang stong pa nyid kyis ldog par byed pa ma yin ldog pa'i tshad ma cung zhig kyang yod pa ma yin pas. TVA_D: bla ma'i man ngag las kyang stong pa nyid dang | de ldog pa las ma gtogs pa'i ldog par byed pa'i tshad ma gzhan cung zad yod pa ma yin no ||

^{xc} Bodhicaryāvatāra 8.108

^{xc} TVA_D varies significantly for this paragraph.

²⁴⁵ śūnyatāvyatiriktam] conj. vyatiri((ktiḥ)) K (i in kti lacks a prṣṭhamātrā); vyati-riktaḥ E_{DH}

²⁴⁶ nivṛttiḥ] K^{ac}; nivṛttiḥ K^{pc}

²⁴⁷ nivṛtṭyā] E_{DH} (em.); nivartyā K

²⁴⁸ gagane] K E_{DH} TVB_G; no reflex in TVA_D

²⁴⁹ avasturūpāc] K E_{DH} TVB_G (dngos po med pa'i ngo bo); dngos po'i ngo bo TVA_D (vasturūpāc)

²⁵⁰ ciranīrutasyāpi] conj.; cirutasyāpi K; virutasyāpi E_{DH}; yun rin por khyim bya shi ba TVA_D; yun ring por long pa'i khyim bya shi ba TVB_G (ciramṛtasyāpi)

²⁵¹ sambhavantīti cet] conj.; sambhavanti K E_{DH}

²⁵² tattvato] K (tatvato) E_{DH}; de las TV (tato)

nirodhya²⁵³ punar utpāde kiñcit prayojanam astīty alam atiprapa-
ñceneti.

8.7 saptamasyāsāratvam

ṣaṣṭhapakṣoktadoṣasandohasya saptame²⁵⁴ 'pi bhāvān na piṣṭapeṣa-
ṇam²⁵⁵ kriyate. nanu ṣaṣṭhena saptamasya samānatvāt katham sa-
ptamasya tato viśeṣaḥ? asti viśeṣaḥ. pūrvāvasthāyām niyatacakrā-
kāratā, punaḥ svecchayā nirvṛtiḥ svecchotpādanam²⁵⁶ ceti. saptame
punar etan nāsti. tato na samānatā. bhinnāś ca nirdiṣṭa iti.²⁵⁷

9 caturthasya sekasya svarūpam

dambholibījasrutidhautaśuddha-²⁵⁸
pāthojabhūtāṅkurabhūtapuṣṭi²⁵⁹ |
turiyaśasyam²⁶⁰ paripākam eti²⁶¹
sphuṭam caturtham viduṣo 'pi gūḍham || 17 ||

[E_{DH} p. 147] dambholītyādi. etat sadgurūpadeśato jñeyam.

²⁵³ nirodhya] E_{DH}; niro((dhya)) K (*some kind of correction is made, but uncertain from what to what*); 'gogas pa las (*possibly nirodhāt*)

²⁵⁴ ṣaṣṭhapakṣoktadoṣasandohasya saptame] conj. (TV: drug pa'i phyogs la bshad pa'i skyon gyi (gyi] TV_A_D; gyis TV_B_G) tshogs bdun pa la); ṣaṣṭhapakṣoktam sam-
dāhasyāṣṭame K; ṣaṣṭhapakṣoktasamḍohasyāṣṭame E_{DH}

²⁵⁵ piṣṭapeṣaṇam] K^{ac} E_{DH}; piṣṭapre | ṣaṇam K^{ac}

²⁵⁶ nirvṛtiḥ svecchotpādanam] conj. (TV_B_G: yang rang gi 'dod pas 'gog cing rang gi 'dod pas skyed par byed pa); svecchetpādanam K; svecchotpādanam E_{DH}; yang dang yang du rang gi 'dod pas skyed par byed pa nyid TV_A_D

²⁵⁷ K E_{DH}; tha mi dad pa ma yin par bstan to TV_A_D; tha mi dad pa ma yin par bstan to TV_B_G

²⁵⁸ °sruti°] corr.; śruti K E_{DH}

²⁵⁹ pāthoja°] E_{DH} (*E_{DH} reports the ms. as reading pāthojña, but this seems to be incorrect*); pāthauja° K

²⁶⁰ turiyaśasyam] E_{DH}; tutiyaśasyam K

²⁶¹ eti] E_{DH} (*em.*); eta K

10 aparāṇi mithyāsādhyāni mithyātattvāni ca

pañcapradīpāmṛtabinducandra-
bhrūmadhyabindūdbhavamāṇḍalāni |
vāyoḥ svarūpaṃ galaśuṇḍikādyam
atattvarūpaṃ svayam ūhanīyam || 18 ||

pañcapradīpetyādi. pañcapradīpaśabdena gokudahanalakṣaṇasya, amṛtaśabdena vimumāraśulakṣaṇasya satatānuṣṭhānam eva sādhyam manyante. bindur iti hr̥ccandrastham binduṃ dedīpyamānam tattvam sādhyam ceti kṛtvā kecid bhāvayanti. candra iti hr̥distham kalārūpaṃ ardhacandraṃ vā hr̥tkamalastham kecid bhāvayanti.

bhrūmadhyabindūdbhavamāṇḍalānīti bhruvor madhye ūrṇāyāṃ binduṃ vibhāvya tadbindūdbhavāni maṇḍalāni vāyuvāruṇa-māhendrāgneyalakṣaṇāni. etad uktam bhavati—mukhaśravaṇanāsikākacakṣurghrāṇara-hastāṅgulibhiḥ pidhāya bhrūmadhyabindur draṣṭavyaḥ. tasya sphu-ṭāvasthāyāṃ śubhāśubhani[K fol. 10r]mittasamśucakāni māhendra-dimaṇḍalāny upajāyante. tam ca binduṃ tattvam iti manyante.

vāyoḥ svarūpaṃ iti pūrakakumbhakarecakaprasāntakalakṣaṇam²⁶³ ānāpānādīlakṣaṇam²⁶⁴ ceti. etad²⁶⁵ uktam bhavati—śaivasām̐khyādinirdiṣṭam²⁶⁶ vāyusvarūpaṃ jñātvā tam vāyuṃ nirodhabhāvanayā sthīrikṛtyākā-śenotplutya gamanaṃ parapurapraveśam yāvan muktiṃ ca sākṣātkurvanti vāyuvādinah.

galaśuṇḍiketi. galapradeśe jihvāmūlopari hastīśuṇḍikākārā adhaḥpralambamānā upajihvāsaṃjñikā galaśuṇḍikāsti. sā ca śaktirūpā. tadadhaḥ śivarūpaṃ²⁶⁷ asti tattvam. sā ca [E_{DH} p. 148] jihvāgreṇa spṛśyamānā nīrantarāmṛtaṃ sravati. tena ca ghargharāmṛta-varṣaṇena santarpyamānam ātmānaṃ dhyāyād iti galaśuṇḍikātattvam. ādiśabdena hr̥nmadhyaṣoḍaśanāḍikākakramadhyasthajñāna-

²⁶² mukhaśravaṇanāsikākacakṣurghrāṇarasanāni] K E_{DH}; kha dang | rna ba dang | sna dang | mig TVA_D TVB_G

²⁶³ °recaka°] E_{DH}; recakaṃ K

²⁶⁴ ānāpānādīlakṣaṇam] E_{DH}; anāpānā° K

²⁶⁵ etad] E_{DH} (em.); tad K

²⁶⁶ śaivasām̐khyādi°] E_{DH} (em.) TVB_G (shi ba dang grangs can la sogs pas); saiva-sām̐khyādi° K; grangs can la sogs pas TVA_D (smākhyādi°)

²⁶⁷ tadadhaḥ śivarūpaṃ] K E_{DH} TVB_G (de'i 'og na zhi ba'i ngo bo); sdig pa'i rang bzhin du yong pa TVA_D

svarūpaṃ²⁶⁸ śivarūpaṃ tattvaṃ bhāvayitavyam ityādināṃ parigrahaḥ.^{xcii}

tatsarvaṃ tīrthikādibhis tattvarūpeṇābhimatam. atattvam iti svayam evohaṇīyaṃ vicāraṇīyam iti yāvat.

11 upasaṃhāraḥ

svapnendrajālapratibimbamāyā-
marīcigandharvapurāmbu[K fol. 2r]candraiḥ |
anyaiś ca śabdair²⁶⁹ upamābhidheyair
naivāsti sādhyam kathitād ihānyat || 19 ||

svapnendrajāletyādi. svapnendrajālopamaṃ pratibimbamāyāmarī-
cigandharvanagarodakacandropamaṃ iti śabdair anyaiś ca gagaṇa-
pratiśrutkaphenopamaṃ ityādiśabdair upamābhidheyair upamāvā-
cakair naivāsti sādhyam kathitāt sādhyād anyat. paraṃ kathita eva
sādhye, ete śabdāḥ pravartanta iti svayaṃ boddhavyam.

gambhīraśūnyapratibhāsamātra⁻²⁷⁰
śāntāti²⁷¹ sūkṣmānabhilāpyaśabdaiḥ |

^{xcii} TV continues to describe this practice. TV_{AD} reads: *yang smras pa | bcu las drug lhag rtsa dang ldan pa'i 'khor lo yi || dkyil na gnas pa'i snying gar rnam par gnas pa'i bdag | des ni de yi khyad par lta bu'i grub pa ster || de ni mngon par mi g-yo ba yi yid dag gis || rnal 'byor pa yi sems de de ltar mngon par bsam || nub par gyur pa'i mgon po rgyal bar gyur de ni || nus pa dag gis de ni yongs su bskor dang bcas ||* TV_{BG} reads: *de yang smras pa | bcu las drug lhag rtsa dang ldan pa'i 'khor lo'i dkyil na gnas pa snying kar rnam par gnas pa'i bdag | des ni de'i khyad par lta bu yi grub pa ster | de ni mngon par mi g.yo ba'i yid dag gis || rnal 'byor pa yis de ltar mngon par bsam par bya || nus par gyur pa'i mgon po rgyal bar gyur || de ni nus pa dag gis de ni yongs su bskor dang bcas ||*

²⁶⁸ hr̥ṇmadhyaṣoḍaśānāḍikācakramadhyasthajñānasvarūpaṃ] K_{EDH} TV_{BG} (snying ka'i dbus kyi 'khor lo rtsibs bcu drug pa'i dbus na gnas pa ye shes kyi rang bzhin); snying ga'i dbus kyi dkyil 'khor rtsibs bcu drug pa'i dbus na hūm gnas pa ye shes kyi rang bzhin (hr̥ṇmadhyaṣoḍaśānāḍikāmaṇḍalamadhyahūmsthajñānasvarūpaṃ)

²⁶⁹ śabdair *em.* (cf. comm.); sarvair K_{EDH}

²⁷⁰ °mātra°] E_{EDH}; mātram K

²⁷¹ śāntāti] E_{EDH}; śāntādi K

nirlepanīrūpa²⁷² nirañjanādyair
bhrāntir na kāryāparasādhyasattve || 20 ||

[E_{DH} p. 149] gambhīraśūnyaṃ pratibhāsamātraṃ śāntātisūkṣmam
anabhilāpyaṃ nirlepaṃ nīrūpaṃ²⁷³ nirañjanādi.²⁷⁴ ādiśabdāt śivaṃ
nirākāraṃ niṣprapañcam anādyantanidhanam i[K fol. 10v]tyādiśabdair
bhrāntir na kartavyā. aparasādhyasattve, aparasya sādhyasya sattve
sattāyām.²⁷⁵ ebhiḥ sarvair eva param api kiñcit sādhyam kathitād
astīti bhrāntir na kartavyā. atha nātikathitam eva sādhyam ebhiḥ
sarvair abhidhīyata iti niścayaḥ.

12 pariṇāmanā

akhilagagaṇagarbhavyāpisaptaparakāra-²⁷⁶
grathitavacanarūpād yan mayāsādi puṇyam |
anupamasukhavidyāsaktasaddehanirmij-
jinajanitajanārthas tena loko 'yam astu ||
tattvaratnāvalokaḥ samāptaḥ. kṛtir iyaṃ paṇḍitavāgī-
śvarakīrtipādānām.

śrīsamāje parā yasya bhaktir niṣṭhā ca nirmalā
tasya vāgīśvarasyeyaṃ kṛtir vimatināśinī²⁷⁷ ||

vikacakumudatārākṣīrakundānukāri²⁷⁸
pracitam api ca puṇyaṃ yan mayā granthito 'smāt |
anupamasukhapūrṇaḥ svābhavidyopagūḍho
bhavatu nikhilalokas tena vāgīśvaraśrīḥ ||

²⁷² nirlepanīrūpa°] E_{DH} (*em.*); nirlepanīpa K

²⁷³ nīrūpaṃ] E_{DH} (*em.*); nirupamaṃ K

²⁷⁴ nirañjanādi] K; nirañjanaṃ E_{DH}

²⁷⁵ sattāyām] K; sattvāyā E_{DH}

²⁷⁶ °saptaparakāra°] E_{DH}; °sarvaparakāra° K

²⁷⁷ vimatināśinī] E_{DH}; vimatināsanī K

²⁷⁸ vikacakumudatārākṣīrakundānukāri *em.*; vikacakumudakṣīratārākundānu-
kāri] E_{DH}; vikarektāmudakṣīratārākundānukāri K

tattvaratnāvalokavivaraṇaṃ samāptam. kṛtir iyaṃ paṇḍitācāryavā-
gīśvarakīrtipādānām.

References

Abhidharmakośa by Vasubandhu. **pradhan1975**

Abhidharmakośavyākhyā by Yośamitra. **wogihara1932to6**

Amṛtakaṇikā by Raviśrījñāna. **lal1994**

Nyāyabindu by Dharmakīrti. **scerbatskoj1918**

Pramāṇavārttikavṛtti by Manorathānandin. Sāṃkṛtyāyana ed.

Mantrārthāvalokinī by Vilāsavajra. **tribe2016**

Mitākṣarā of Vijñāneśvara. **acharya1949**

Vṛttamālāvivṛti by Śākyarakṣita. Hahn ed.

Samkṣiptābhiṣekavidhi by Vāgīśvarakīrti. **sakurai1996sakurai1996)**

Subhāṣitaratnakōśa compiled by Vidyākara. **kosambigokhale1957**

Hetubinduṭīkāloka by Durvekamiśra. **sanghavi1949**

Secondary Sources