

Criteria for Pipeline evaluation

Commissioner assessment criteria have been divided into three weighted categories. At the outset of each presentation, please indicate whether you are ultimately for or against the pipeline irrespective of the terms on which you are so. During your party's allotted ten minutes, you are encouraged to cover as many points as possible. Your group can still be awarded full credit in a category for covering just one point under a heading, but only if the argument made is exceptional. All groups **MUST** include point 1.a to be conferred consideration for any category. All other points are optional, with 1.b being worth an undisclosed bonus.

1. Conflict resolution (a:PASS/FAIL, b: BONUS)

- a. All stakeholders must briefly state anticipated sources of future conflict should the commission rule in opposition to their desired outcome. Additionally, suggest at least one way by which your party would like to see this potential conflict resolved should it become a reality. This component is **MANDATORY** for the commission to lend any consideration to your party's position.
- b. Credit will be awarded to groups who actively identify points of contention during proceedings and attempt to resolve them by way of amicable compromise during question periods at the hearing. Alternatively, the bonus will be awarded to groups who collaborate with other stakeholders prior to the hearing to find commonalities and present them. All members of such an alliance must indicate which points of their presentation are shared and with which groups.

2. Relationships & The Land/Water/Environment (75%)

Stakeholders had ought to:

- a. Incorporate opposing party's perspectives and ways of knowing into proposed solutions , with additional consideration awarded for solutions which promote the continued resurgence of Indigenous culture(s) over time.
- b. Fortifying their case by taking lessons from the failings of historic treaties and ongoing treaty land entitlement disputes.
- c. Present potential land/water/environmental impacts of greatest concern to their groups related to their position regarding the proposed pipeline.
- d. Those in favour of the pipeline should include prevention and/or mitigation strategies for said potential land/water/environmental impacts as well as address long-term and short-term environmental sustainability.

3. Economy (25%)

Stakeholder goals should be supported by:

- a. Strategy based in traditional Indigenous economics
- b. Strategy based in mainstream economics
- c. Arguments highlighting benefits to stakeholders or the broader Canadian economics