UNIVERSITY OF DUBLIN

TRINITY COLLEGE

Faculty of Engineering and Systems Sciences
Department of Computer Science

B.A.(Mod.) Computer Science Senior Sophister Examination **Trinity Term 2002**

4BA2 - Systems Modelling

Tuesday 21st May

MANSION HOUSE

14.00 - 17.00

Professor F. Neelamkavil, Dr. T. Redmond, Dr. Donal O'Mahony

Answer FIVE questions, at least one from each section Please use separate answer books for each section Queueing Tables are attached to paper.

SECTION A

- 1. Define what is meant by Genetic algorithm (GA). What are the operators associated with GA and how are they implemented (top level description only) in the practical realisation of GA models? Compare and contrast GA with conventional simulation based on mathematical/logical models.
- 2. Discuss any two of the following:
 - (a) Cellular Automata.
 - (b) Modelling Process
 - (c) Verification and Validation of Models.
 - (d) Generation and testing of Random Numbers

SECTION B

- 3. Describe the core idea behind Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM) technology. Explain the processes that must occur at the edge and the core of the network before the first cell can be switched end-to-end. Trace the evolution of this technology through to today's Multi-Protocol Label Switching (MPLS). Why might MPLS succeed where ATM failed?
- 4. Outline the way in which SNMP & CMIP can use a single general-purpose protocol to manage any item of equipment. Give an example of how this might be used to manage a common item of household equipment such as a CD-player. What are the main differences between CMIP and SNMP.

SECTION C

- 5.
- **a.** Moore's Law suggests that processor power is improving at the rate of doubling every eighteen months. It has been postulated for some time that there is a "brick wall" to this improvement due to physical reasons such as possible mask size, physical problems due to smallness including capacitance problems, heat dissipation etc. Discuss this topic briefly in particular focusing on:
 - i. if there is evidence to support this hypothesis and
 - ii. if so, whether it may become a reality within the next 10 years.
 - b. The mythical megahertz: Processor "speed" has been improved very recently via longer pipeline paths in the processor. Does this speed improvement give rise to a consequent real power improvement or is it just an "improvement" for marketing purposes?

 Discuss this topic briefly.
 - **c.** Give 3 queueing rules of thumb and briefly explain their importance in each case.
- 6.
- a. Define and briefly discuss Hard Systems Theory (HST).
- **b.** Define and discuss briefly Checkland's Soft System Theory (SST).
- **c.** Define and briefly discuss Coverdale's Systematic Approach (SA). How does SA relate to HST and SST?
- **d.** Show in a diagram a Coverdale Systematic Approach to a computer systems performance evaluation study.

- 7.
- a. A small business is considering either a configuration of 2 machines (with separate queues) of speed $\mu/2$ or a configuration of 1 machine of speed μ . Use the attached queueing theory formulae to estimate W2/W1 where W2 is the system response time in the 2 machine case and W1 is the response time in the 1 machine case. Which configuration is better and why?
- b. The company is also considering a duplex (i.e. 2 machines with 1 queue) configuration of 2 machines of speed $\mu/2$ versus the 1 machine of speed μ configuration. Derive a formula for W2/W1 and hence calculate values of it for p = 0.2, 0.4, 0.6 and 0.8. Which configuration is better and why?
- c. What is meant by Streeter's scaling effect and why is it important?

©University of Dublin 2002


QUEUEING THEORY BEFINITIONS AND FORMULAS

Appendix C

QUEUEING THEORY DEFINITIONS AND FORMULAS

Service facility 1 1 1 1 Server 2 Server 1 Server c Queue or waiting line population Customer

Fig. 5.1.1 Elements of a queualng system.



In Figs. 5.1.1 and 5.1.2, reproduced from Chapter 5, we indicate the elements and random variables used in queueing theory models. Table 1 is a compendium of the queucing theory definitions and notation used in this book. The remainder of Appendix C consists of tables of queueing theory formulas for the most useful models and figures to help with the calculations. APL functions are displayed in Appendix B to implement the formulas for

most of the queueing models.

APPENDIX C

TABLE 1 Queueing Theory Notation and Definitions

A(t)	Distribution of interarrival time, $A(t) = P[\tau \le t]$.
B(c, u)	Eriang's B formula or the probability all c servers are busy in an $M/M/c/c$ queueing system.
~ .	queueing system. $\frac{1}{2}$
C(c, u)	Erlang's C formula or the probability all c servers are busy in an M/M/c queueing system.
·	queueing system.
c D	Symbol for the number of servers in the service facility of a queueing system. Symbol for constant (deterministic) interpretations of a queueing system.
	Symbol for constant (deterministic) interarrival or service time distribution. Expected (average or mean) number of expected (average or mean) number of expected.
E[N]	Expected (average or mean) number of customers in the steady state queueing system. The letter L is also used for That
ETAL 1	queueing system. The letter L is also used for $E[N]$.
E[N_]	Expected (average of mean) number of many
E[N,]	when the system is in the steady state. The symbol L_q is also used for $E[N_q]$. Expected (average or mean) number of customers.
~[1,1]	Expected (average or mean) number of customers receiving service when the system is in the steady state
E[q]	system is in the steady state.
-641	Expected (average or mean) queueing time (does not include service time) when the system is in the steady state. The symbol W.
E[s]	when the system is in the steady state. The symbol W_q is also used for $E[q]$. Expected (average or mean) service time for one man
-61	Expected (average or mean) service time for one customer. The symbol W_q is also used for $E[q]$.
$E[\tau]$	Experted (average on a):
• •	Expected (average or mean) interarrival time. $E[\tau] = 1/\lambda$, where λ is average arrival rate.
E[w]	Expected (average or mean)
•	Expected (average or mean) waiting time in the system (this includes both queueing time and service time) when the system is
	queueing time and service time) when the system is in the steady state. The letter W is also used for $E[w]$.
$\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{k}}$	Symbol for Erlang-k distribution of in-
$E[N_{q} N_{q}>0]$	Expected (average or mean) queue length of nonempty queues when the system is in the steady state.
~	system is in the steady state.
E[q q>0]	Expected (average or mean) waiting time in the
ECTS	when the system is in the steady state. Same as $W_{q q>0}$.
FCFS	The state of the s
FIFO	Symbol for "first in first out," queue discipline which is identical with FCFS.
G	FCFS.
•	Symbol for general probability distribution of service time. Independence usually assumed.
Gī	
K	Symbol for general independent interarrival time distribution.
	The state of the s
	for service and those receiving service. Also size of population in finite
L	E[N], expected (average of man)
	E[N], expected (average or mean) number in the queueing system when the system is in the steady state.
ln (·)	The natural logarithm function or the logarithm
L_{a}	
I CEC	in service, for steady state system.
LCFS	Symbol for "last come, first served " ground district."
LIFO i	The state of the s
^	
	average interarrival time. $\chi = 1/E[\tau]$, where $E[\tau] = 1/E[\tau]$

TABLE 1 (Continued)

it	Average throughput of a computer system measured in jobs or interactions per unit time.
М	pro data tatate
	Symbol for exponential interarrival or service time distribution.
μ	Average (mean) service rate per server. Average service min
. V	wasta pfol proceedings (mem service time
24	Random variable describing number in queueing system when system is in
M	me ready state.
N _q	Random variable describing number of customers in the steady state queue.
N_{i}	and describing number of clisiomers receiving seeming with the
	stage of the treath light.
0	Operating time of a machine in the machine repair queueing model
	(decided sind and sind). () Is the time a machine come :-
	The report octors repair again is agreed to
$p_n(t)$	Probability that there are a customers in the queueing annual in
ρ_{π}	stated state broading that there are a customers in the questions are
PRI	olymporior briotify difficility disculping
PS	Abbreviation for "processor-sharing queue discipling " San
$\pi_q(r)$	dylabol for the percentile queueing time; that is the queueing sine about
, ,	har and of the eminimists of the excess
π_(r)	Symbol for rth percentile waiting time in the system; that is, the time in the
	system (queueing time plus service time) that r percent of the customers do
ą	Random variable describing the time a customer spends in the queue (wait-
	me me octate terminal selate
rss	Symbol for queue discipline with "random selection for annia a
ρ	Server unitation = trainic intensity/ $c = iE(s)/c = (1/u)/c$. The explanation
	and any barries we see the D DIZA
\$	Random variable describing service time for one greater
STRO	symbol for queue discipline. "service in random codes?" bist in the contract of the contrac
	with RSS. It means that each waiting customer has the same probability of
	being served next.
r	Random variable describing interarrival time.
и	Traffic intensity = $E[s]/E[z] = \lambda E[s] = \lambda/\mu$. Unit of measure is the erlang.
₩	Random variable describing the total time a customer spends in the
	queueing system, including both service time and time spent queueing for
	service.
$W(\epsilon)$	Distribution function for w. $W(t) = P(w \le t)$.
\boldsymbol{w}	E[w], expected (average or mean) time in the steady state system.
$W_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathfrak{c})$	Distribution function for time in the queue. $W_{i}(t) = P[q \le t]$.
W ₄	E[q], expected (average or mean) time in the queue (waiting line), excluding
	service time, for steady state system.
W > 0	Expected (average or mean) queveing time for the
, -	Expected (average or mean) queueing time for those who must queue. Same as $E[q q>0]$.
$W_i(\varepsilon)$	Distribution function for service time. $W_i(t) = P[s \le t]$.
IV.	E[s], expected (average or mean) service time. $V_{\mu}(t) = P[s \le t]$.
•	-(-),,,,,,,,

APPENDIX C

TABLE 2
Relationships Between Random Variables of Queueing Theory Models

$u = E[s]/E[\tau] = \lambda E[s] = \lambda/\mu$	Traffic intensity in erlangs.
$\rho = \psi c = \lambda E[s]/c = \lambda/c\mu$	Server utilization. The probability any particular server is busy.
w = q + s	Total waiting time in the system, including
$W = E[w] = E[q] + E[s] = W_q + W_s$	waiting in queue and service time. Average total waiting time in the steady state
$N = N_q + N_s$	system. Number of customers in the steady state
$L = E[N] = E[N_a] + E[N_a] = \lambda E[w] = \lambda W$	system. Average number of customers in the steady
r mari	state system. $L = \lambda W$ is known as "Little's formula."
$L_{q} = E[N_{q}] = \lambda E[q] = \lambda W_{q}$	Average number in the queue for service for stendy state system. $L_q = \lambda W_q$ is also called "Little's formula."

353

TABLE 3
Steady State Formulas for M/M/I Queueing System

$$p_{x} = P(N = n] = (1 - \rho)\rho^{n}, \qquad n = 0, 1, 2 \dots$$

$$P[N \ge n] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_{k} = \rho^{n}, \qquad n = 0, 1, 2 \dots$$

$$L = E[N] = \rho/(1 - \rho), \qquad \sigma_{N}^{2} = \rho/(1 - \rho)^{2}.$$

$$L_{q} = E[N_{q}] = \rho^{2}/(1 - \rho), \qquad \sigma_{N_{q}}^{2} = \rho^{2}(1 + \rho - \rho^{2})/(1 - \rho)^{2}.$$

$$E[N_{q}|N_{q} > 0] = 1/(1 - \rho), \qquad Var[N_{q}|N_{q} > 0] = \rho/(1 - \rho)^{2}.$$

$$W(t) = P[w \le t] = 1 - e^{-nw}, \qquad P[w > t] = e^{-nw}.$$

$$W = E[w] = E[s]/(1 - \rho), \qquad \sigma_{w} = W.$$

$$\pi_{w}(90) = W \ln 10 \approx 2.3W, \qquad \pi_{w}(95) = W \ln 20 \approx 3W.$$

$$\pi_{w}(r) = W \ln \left[100/(100 - r)\right].$$

$$W_{q}(t) = P[q \le t] = 1 - \rho e^{-nw}, \qquad P[q > t] = \rho e^{-nw}.$$

$$W_{q} = E[q] = \rho E[s]/(1 - \rho).$$

$$\sigma_{q}^{2} = (2 - \rho)\rho E[s]^{2}/(1 - \rho)^{2}.$$

$$E[q|q > 0] = W. \qquad Var[q|q > 0] = W^{2}.$$

$$\pi_{q}(90) = W \ln(10\rho), \qquad \pi_{q}(95) = W \ln(20\rho).$$

$$\pi_{q}(r) = W \ln \left(\frac{100\rho}{100 - r}\right).$$

All percentile formulas for q will yield negative values when ρ is small; all negative values should be replaced by zero. For example, if ρ is 0.02, then 98 percent of all customers do not have to queue for service so the 95th percentile value of q is zero; so are the 90th and 95th percentile values.

APPENDIX C

TABLE 4

Steady State Formulas for M/M/1/K Queueing System

 $(K \ge 1 \text{ and } N \le K)$

$$p_n = P[N = n] = \begin{cases} \frac{(1-u)u^n}{1-u^{n-1}} & \text{if } \lambda \neq \mu \text{ and } n = 0, 1, ..., K \\ \frac{1}{K+1} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu \text{ and } n = 0, 1, ..., K. \end{cases}$$

 $p_X = P[N = K]$. Probability an arriving customer is lost.

 $\lambda_x = (1 - p_x)\lambda$ λ_y is the actual arrival rate at which customers enter the system.

$$L = E[N] = \begin{cases} \frac{u[1 - (K+1)u^{K} + Ku^{K+1}]}{(1-u)(1-u^{K+1})} & \text{if } \lambda \neq \mu \\ \frac{K}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu. \end{cases}$$

$$L_a = E[N_a] = L - (1 - \rho_0)$$

$$q_n = \frac{p_n}{1 - p_K}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ..., K - 1.$$

 q_n is the probability that there are n customers in the system just before a customer enters.

$$W(t) = P[w \le t] = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{K-1} q_n \sum_{k=0}^{n} e^{-xk} \frac{(\mu t)^k}{k!}.$$

$$W = E[w] = L/\lambda_1$$
.

$$W_q(t) = P[q \le t] = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{K-1} q_{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} e^{-xk} \frac{(\mu t)^k}{k!}.$$

$$W_q = E[q] = L_q/\lambda_q.$$

$$E[q|q>0] = W_q/(1-p_0)$$

$$\rho = (1 - \rho_K)u.$$

 ρ is the true server utilization (fraction of time the server is busy).

TABLE 5 Steady State Formulas for M/M/c Queueing System

$$u = \lambda/\mu = \lambda E[s], \qquad \rho = u/c.$$

$$p_0 = P[N = 0] = \left[\sum_{n=0}^{c-1} \frac{u^n}{n!} + \frac{u^c}{c! (1-\rho)} \right]^{-1} = c! (1-\rho)C(c, u)/u^c.$$

$$p_n = \frac{\left[u^n p_0 - \frac{u^n}{n!} p_0 - \frac{u^n}{n!} p_0 - \frac{u^n p_0}{n!} \right]}{\left[u^n p_0 - \frac{u^n}{n!} p_0 - \frac{u^n}{n!} p_0 - \frac{u^n p_0}{n!} \right]}$$
if $n \ge c$.

$$L_{q} = E[N_{q}] = \lambda W_{q} = \frac{uC(c, u)}{c(1 - \rho)}, \qquad \sigma_{N_{q}}^{2} = \frac{\rho C(c, u)[1 + \rho - \rho C(c, u)]}{(1 - \rho)^{2}}.$$

where $C(c, u) = P(N \ge c)$ = probability all c servers are busy is called Erlang's C formula.

$$C(c, u) = \frac{u^{c}}{c!} / \left[\frac{u^{c}}{c!} + (1 - \rho) \sum_{n=0}^{c-1} \frac{u^{n}}{n!} \right].$$

$$L = E[N] = L_a + u = \lambda W.$$

$$W_q(0) = P[q = 0] = 1 - \frac{p_c}{1 - \rho} = 1 - C(c, u).$$

$$W_q(t) = P[q \le t] = 1 - \frac{p_c}{1 - \rho} e^{-\alpha t - \rho t t} = 1 - C(c, u)e^{-\alpha t t}$$

$$W_q = \mathcal{E}[q] = \frac{C(c, u)\mathcal{E}[s]}{c(1-\rho)}, \qquad \mathcal{E}[q|q > 0] = \frac{\mathcal{E}[s]}{c(1-\rho)}.$$

$$\sigma_{q}^{2} = \frac{[2 - C(c, u)]C(c, u)E[s]^{2}}{c^{2}(1 - \rho)^{2}}. \qquad \pi_{q}(r) = \frac{E[s]}{c(1 - \rho)} \ln \left(\frac{100C(c, u)}{100 - r}\right)^{2}$$

$$\pi_{q}(90) = \frac{E[s]}{c(1-\rho)} \ln(10C(c,u)), \qquad \pi_{q}(95) = \frac{E[s]}{c(1-\rho)} \ln(20C(c,u)).$$

$$W(t) = P[w \le t] = \begin{cases} 1 + C_1 e^{-ut} + C_2 e^{-cunt_1 - pt} & \text{if } u = c - 1 \\ 1 - [1 + C(c, u)\mu t] e^{-ut} & \text{if } u = c - 1. \end{cases}$$

where
$$C_1 = \frac{u - c + W_q(0)}{c - 1 - u}$$
 and $C_2 = \frac{C(c, u)}{c - 1 - u}$.

$$W = E[q] + E[s].$$

$$E[w^{2}] = \frac{|2C(c, u)E[s]^{2}|1 - c^{2}(1-\rho)^{2}}{|u-1-c|} + 2E[s]^{2}, \quad u = c-1,$$

$$|4C(c, u)E[s]^{2} + 2E[s]^{2}, \quad u = c-1,$$

$$\sigma_{\bullet}^{2} = E[w^{2}] - E[w]^{2}$$

$$\pi_{+}(90) = W + 1.3\sigma_{+}$$

$$\pi_{+}(95) = W + 2\sigma_{+}$$
Martin's estimates

^{*} All percentile formulas for q yield negative values for low server utilization; all should be replaced by zero.

TABLE 8

Steady State Formulas for M/M/2 Queueing System

$$\rho = \lambda E[s]/2 = u/2.$$

$$\rho_0 = P[N = 0] = (1 - \rho)/(1 + \rho).$$

$$\rho_n = P[N = n] = 2\rho_0 \rho^n = \frac{2(1 - \rho)\rho^n}{(1 + \rho)}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$\pi_n(90) \approx W + 1.3\sigma_n| \quad \text{Martin's estimate.}$$

$$L_q = E[N_q] = \frac{2\rho^1}{1 - \rho^2}, \quad \sigma_{N_q}^2 = \frac{2\rho^3[(\rho + 1)^2 - 2\rho^2]}{(1 - \rho^2)^2}$$

$$C(2, u) = P[\text{both servers busy}] = 2\rho^2/(1 + \rho).$$

$$L = E[N] = L_q + u = 2\rho/(1 - \rho^2).$$

$$W_q(0) = P[q = 0] = (1 + \rho - 2\rho^2)/(1 + \rho).$$

$$W_q(t) = P[q \le t] = 1 - [(2\rho^2)/(1 + \rho)]e^{-2\omega t(1 - \rho)}.$$

$$W_q = E[q] = \rho^2 E[s]/(1 - \rho^2).$$

$$E[q|q > 0] = E[s]/(1 - \rho).$$

$$\pi_q(r) = \frac{E[s]}{2(1 - \rho)} \ln \left(\frac{200\rho^2}{(100 - r)(1 + \rho)}\right).$$

$$\pi_q(90) = \frac{E[s]}{2(1 - \rho)} \ln \left(\frac{200\rho^2}{(1 + \rho)}\right).$$

$$\pi_q(90) = \frac{E[s]}{2(1 - \rho)} \ln \left(\frac{20\rho^2}{1 + \rho}\right).$$

$$\frac{1 - (1 - \rho)}{1 - \rho - 2\rho^2} e^{-\omega t} + \frac{2\rho^2}{1 - \rho - 2\rho^2} e^{-2\omega t(1 - \rho)}.$$

$$if u \ne 1.$$

$$W = E[s]/(1 - \rho^2).$$

$$[U = P[w \le t] = \frac{\rho^2 E[s]^2[1 - 4(1 - \rho)^2]}{(2\rho - 1)(1 - \rho)(1 - \rho^2)} + 2E[s]^2.$$

$$u \ne 1.$$

$$\frac{1^2 E[s]^2}{1^2 E[s]^2}.$$

$$u \ne 1.$$

$$\frac{1^2 E[s]^2}{1^2 E[s]^2} - E[w^2] - E[w]^2.$$

⁴ All percentile formulas for q yield negative values for low server utilization: all such should be replaced by zero.

TABLE 24

Steady State Formulas for the Finite Population Queueing Model of Interactive Computing With Processor-Sharing




Fig. 6.3.1 Finite population queueing model of interactive computer system. Special case in which the central processor system consists of a single CPU with processor-sharing queue discipline.

The CPU operates with the processor-sharing queue discipline. CPU service time is general with the restriction that the Laplace-Stieltjes transform must be rational. The same restriction holds on think time. $E[t] = 1/\alpha$ is the average think time with $E[s] = 1/\mu$ the average CPU service time. Then

$$\rho_0 = \left[\sum_{n=0}^N \frac{N!}{(N-n)!} \left(\frac{E[s]}{E[t]}\right)^n\right]^{-1} = \left[\sum_{n=0}^N \frac{N!}{(N-n)!} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\mu}\right)^n\right]^{-1}.$$

The CPU utilization

$$\rho=1-\rho_0,$$

and the average throughput

$$\lambda_T = \frac{\rho}{E[s]} = \frac{1 - \rho_0}{E[s]}.$$

The average response time

$$W = \frac{NE[s]}{1 - \rho_0} - E[\epsilon].$$

TABLE 27

Steady State Equations of Cantral Server Model of Multiprogramming




Fig. 6.3.4 Central server model of multiprogramming.

For the assumptions of the model see Section 6.3.4. Calculate G(0), G(1), ..., G(K) by Algorithm 6.3.1 (Buzen's Algorithm). Then the server utilizations are given by

$$\rho_{i} = \begin{cases} G(K-1)/G(K) & i = 1\\ \frac{\mu_{1}\rho_{1}\rho_{1}}{\mu_{1}} & i = 2, 3, \dots, M. \end{cases}$$
 (6.3.25)

The average throughput it is given by

$$\lambda_T = \mu, \rho, \rho_1. \tag{6.3.26}$$

If the central server model is the central processor model for the interactive computing system of Fig. 6.3.1, then the average response time W is calculated by

$$W = \frac{N}{\lambda_T} - E[t] = \frac{N}{\mu_1 \rho_1 \rho_1} - E[t]. \tag{6.3.27}$$