

Course 2BA1: Hilary Term 2003

Section 5: Formal Languages

David R. Wilkins

Copyright c David R. Wilkins 2001{03

Contents

5	Formal Languages	1
5.1	Alphabets and Words	1
5.2	Simple Grammars to Generate English Sentences	4
5.3	Well-Formed Formulae in Logic	8
5.4	Context-Free Grammars	
5.5	Phrase Structure Grammars	
5.6	Regular Languages	
5.7	Regular Grammars	
5.8	Finite State Acceptorsand Wor7.559 -39.073 Td	

$\{0,1\}$ of binary digits, or the set $\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9\}$ of decimal digits.)
For any natural number n , we define a *word* of length n to be a sequence of n symbols from the alphabet.

Note that $jw_1w_2j = jw_1j + jw_2j$ for all words w_1 and w_2 over some alphabet.

The operation of concatenation on the set of words over some alphabet is not commutative if that alphabet has more than one element. Indeed if a and b are distinct elements of this alphabet then $a \cdot b$ is the string ab , and $b \cdot a$ is the string ba , and therefore $a \cdot b \neq b \cdot a$.

Let w_1 , w_2 and w_3

$\hbar T i$ represents the definite article 'the' (which will subsequently replace it);

$\hbar N i$ represents a noun chosen from the set the set $f\text{dog}; \text{cat}g$;

$\hbar Adj i$ represents an adjective chosen from the set $f\text{black}; \text{old}; \text{small}g$;

$\hbar V i$ represents a verb chosen from the set $f\text{saw}; \text{chased}g$;

Each of these productions specifies that the entity on the left hand side of the arrow may be replaced by the string on the right hand side of the arrow.

We may apply these productions successively, of

are applied, one at a time, to transform strings made up of terminals and

Let p and q be Boolean variables. The *conjunction* $p \wedge q$ of p and q is true if and only if both p and q are true. The *disjunction* $p \vee q$ of p and q

p	q	r	$p \wedge q$	$q \neg r$	$(p \wedge q) \neg r$	$p \wedge (q \neg r)$
-----	-----	-----	--------------	------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

determine in the usual fashion the order in which the binary operations are to be performed and the subformulae to which they are to be applied. We could introduce characters **T** and **F** to denote the Boolean constants 'true' and 'false' respectively. It remains to consider how Boolean variables are to be represented. We could certainly use single letters p, q, r, s . But this would only enable us to write down formulae with at most four distinct propositional variables. Were we to use single letters from the English alphabet in both upper and lower case to denote propositional variables, this would restrict us to formulae with at most fifty-two distinct Boolean variables. But there should be no limit to the number of distinct Boolean variables that we could introduce into a well-formed formula. We therefore need a scheme for representing unlimited quantities of Boolean variables within our formula. We could do this by using a scheme like the following:

Our grammar now has productions to generate any atomic formula. We

ambiguity in the cases when G is atomic, the negation of a well-formed formula or a conjunction of well-formed formulae. (Our rules of precedence ensure that : G

We can then apply further productions in order to obtain formulae such as $p \wedge q$ and $p^0 \wedge p^{00} \wedge p^{000}$.

Finally we have to specify the productions for handling disjunction. These are analogous to those for conjunctions, and are the following: |

```

hdisjunction i ! hdf i _ hdf i
hdf i ! hatom i
hdf i ! hnegation i
hdf i ! hdisjunction i
hdf i ! (hw i

```

*h*conjunction*i* ! *h*

$\text{variable } i \quad ! \quad \text{letter } i$
 $\text{letter } i \quad ! \quad p$
 $\text{letter } i \quad ! \quad q$
 $\text{letter } i \quad ! \quad r$
 $\text{letter } i \quad ! \quad s$

The well-formed formulae of the Propositional Calculus are those words F over the alphabet

-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ (hconjunction i)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ (hcf i \wedge hcf i)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((hw i) \wedge hcf i)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((hcompound i) \wedge hcf i)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((hnegation i) \wedge hcf i)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((: hnf i) \wedge hcf i)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((: hatom i) \wedge hcf i)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((: hvariable i) \wedge hcf i)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((: hvariable i^0) \wedge hcf i)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((: hvariable i^{00}) \wedge hcf i)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((: hletter i^{000}) \wedge hcf i)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((: r^{00}) \wedge hcf i)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((: r^{00}) \wedge hconjunction i)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((: r^{00}) \wedge hcf i \wedge hcf i)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((: r^{00}) \wedge hatom i \wedge hcf i)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((: r^{00}) \wedge hvariable i \wedge hcf i)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((: r^{00}) \wedge hletter i \wedge hcf i)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((: r^{00}) \wedge q \wedge hcf i)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((: r^{00}) \wedge q \wedge hnegation i)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((: r^{00}) \wedge q \wedge : hnf i)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((: r^{00}) \wedge q \wedge : hatom i)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((: r^{00}) \wedge q \wedge : hvariable i)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((: r^{00}) \wedge q \wedge : hvariable i^0)$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((: r^{00}) \wedge q \wedge : hvariable i^{00})$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((: r^{00}) \wedge q \wedge : hvariable i^{000})$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((: r^{00}) \wedge q \wedge : hletter i^{000})$
-) $(p \wedge r^0) _ ((: r^{00}) \wedge q \wedge : r^{000})$

Remark The grammar we have constructed to describe the well-formed for-

parentheses, and that no subformula is enclosed by itself within two or more sets of parentheses. This modified grammar is expressed in Backus-Naur form as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{hw } i & ! \text{ hatom } i \text{ j hcompound } i \\
 \text{hatom } i & ! \text{ hconstant } i \text{ j hvariable } i \\
 \text{hcompound } i & ! \text{ hnegation } i \text{ j hconjunction } i \text{ j hdisjunction } i \\
 \text{hnegation } i & ! : \text{ hnf } i \\
 \text{hnf } i & ! \text{ hatom } i \text{ j hnegation } i \text{ j (hcompound } i) \\
 \text{hconjunction } i & ! \text{ hcf } i \wedge \text{ hcf } i \\
 \text{hcf } i & ! \text{ hatom } i \text{ j hnegation } i \text{ j hconjunction } i \text{ j (hcompound } i) \\
 \text{hdisjunction } i & ! \text{ hdf } i _ \text{ hdf } i \\
 \text{hdf } i & ! \text{ hatom } i \text{ j hnegation } i \text{ j hdisjunction } i \text{ j (hcompound } i) \\
 \text{hconstant } i & ! \text{ T j F } \\
 \text{hvariable } i & ! \text{ hletter } i \text{ j hvariable } i^0 \\
 \text{hletter } i & ! p \text{ j q j r j s }
 \end{aligned}$$

5.4 Context-Free Grammars

We have discussed examples of context-free grammars. We now present and discuss a formal definition of such grammars.

Definition A *context-free grammar* $(V; A; hSi; P)$ consists of a finite set V , a subset A of V , an element hSi of $V \setminus A$, and a finite subset $P \subseteq V \setminus A \times V^*$.

Let $(V; A; hSi; P)$ be a context-free grammar. The elements of A are referred to as *terminals*. Let $N = V \setminus A$. The elements of N are referred to as *nonterminals*. The nonterminal hSi is the *start symbol*. The set N of nonterminals is non-empty since $hSi \in N$.

The finite set P specifies the *productions*

production $hTi!$ of the grammar such that w

As in the case of context-free grammars, the elements of A are referred to as *terminals*, the elements of $V \setminus A$ are referred to as *nonterminals*, the nonterminal S is the *start symbol* and the elements of P specify the *productions* of the grammar. The production $(r \rightarrow w)$ of P is denoted by $r \rightarrow w$. However the left hand side r of a production $r \rightarrow w$ in a phrase structure grammar need not consist solely of a single nonterminal, but may be a finite string r of elements of V , provided that this string r contains at least one nonterminal. (Note that V^* denotes the set of all finite words over the alphabet V whose elements are terminals and nonterminals, A^* denotes the set of all finite words consisting entirely of terminals, and thus $V^* \setminus A^*$ denotes the set of all finite words belonging to V^* which contain at least one nonterminal.)

Definition 1.1. Let $G = (V, A, S, P)$ be a phrase structure grammar. A string $w \in V^*$ is said to be a *derivation* of w from S if there is a sequence of productions p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n in P such that $S \xrightarrow{p_1} \alpha_1 \xrightarrow{p_2} \alpha_2 \xrightarrow{p_3} \dots \xrightarrow{p_n} w$ for some strings $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$ in V^* .

$r \rightarrow w$

with

Example Let L

where hA_i and hB_i represent nonterminals, b represents a terminal, and ϵ denotes the empty word. A regular grammar is said to be in *normal form* if

$$hBi \quad ! \quad 2hBi$$

⋮

$$hBi \quad ! \quad 9hBi$$

$$hBi \quad ! \quad "$$

Definition Let $(S; A; i; t; F)$ be a finite state acceptor. A language L over the alphabet A is said to be *recognized* or *accepted* by the finite state acceptor if L