### Assignment #4 / Q. 4 / Connor Sullivan / 260 421 531 / COMP 302 / Win. 2015

We want to prove that:

```
(i) reduce(lst, base, op) == reduce_tr(lst, base, op)
```

To do this we first prove:

```
(ii) op(h, reduce(l, n, op)) == reduce_tr(l, op (h, n), op)
```

using the lemmas (a), (b), and (c) provided in the assignment.

### **Proof of (ii):**

```
Base Case_
```

```
op (h, reduce(nil, n, op)) == op(h, n)
reduce_tr(nil, op(h, n), op) == op(h, n)
```

### Inductive Step\_

Assume (ii) holds.

```
op(h, reduce(x::l, n, op)) == op(h, op(x, reduce(l, n, op)))
== op(op(x, h), reduce(l, n, op))

reduce_tr(x::l, op(h, n), op) == reduce_tr(l, op(x, op(h, n)), op)
== reduce_tl(l, op(op(x, h), n), op)
== op(op(x, h), reduce(l, n, op)) *by assuming (ii) and the lemmas
```

Therefore  $op(h, reduce(l, n, op)) == reduce_tr(l, op(h, n), op)$  is true.

Now that we have proved (ii) we now prove (i) using (ii).

## Proof of (i):

```
Base Case_
```

```
reduce(lst, base, op) == base
reduce_tr(lst, base, op) == base
```

# Inductive Step\_

Assume (i) holds.

```
reduce(x::lst, base, op) == op(x, reduce(lst, base, op))
```

```
== reduce_tr(lst, op(x, base), op) *by (ii)
reduce_tr(x::lst, base, op) == reduce_tr(lst, op(x, base), op)
```

Therefore reduce(lst, base, op) == reduce\_tr(lst, base, op) is true and we conclude our proof.