

# Automatic Contact Tracing for Outbreak Detection Using Hospital EMR Data

Michael DeWitt\*

6/14/2020

## Abstract

Contact tracing is a well-known tool for public health professions to trace and isolate contacts of known infectious persons. During a pandemic contact tracing is critical to ending an outbreak, but the volume of cases makes tracing difficult without adequate staffing tools. Hospitals equipped with electronic medical records, can utilise these databases to automatically link cases into possible transmission chains and surface potential new outbreaks. While this automatic contact tracing does not have the richness of contact tracing interviews, it does provide a way for health systems to highlight potential super-spreader events and support their local health departments. Additionally, these data provide insight into how a given infection is spreading locally. These insights can be used to inform policy at the local level.

## 1 Introduction

Contact tracing is an effective way to trace the origin of cases and is a well known tool for public health. Through contact tracing, infected individuals are isolated and a history of who an infected individual had close contact with is established. Individuals with close contact are then interviewed for symptoms and isolated themselves in order to arrest additional transmission of an infection. Effective use of contact tracing can drastically reduce the duration of infection (Eames and Keeling 2003). However, contact tracing typically relies on small staffs of local public health offices. During the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak, these public health offices were and continue to be saturated with cases. With each non-isolated positive case roughly 10 (Jarvis et al. 2020) contacts per day<sup>1</sup> and a serial interval of 4-5 days (Nishiura, Linton, and Akhmetzhanov 2020; Bi et al. 2020), this means that for each positive case, somewhere between 20-40 unique contacts need to be tracked per new case, assuming that cases are detected at the first sign of symptoms. In the middle of an outbreak the workload is daunting. Effective contact tracing is a part of the United States Center for Disease Control’s (CDC) guide (Disease Control and Prevention 2020) to relaxing restrictions on social distancing.

Automated contact tracing is not a new idea, however, Electronic Medical Records (EMR) have not been adequately explored as an option for rapid contact tracing during an outbreak. Previous research found that use of EMRs could lead to identification of additional cases (Hellmich et al. 2017), but that the process was laborious. EMRs have also been used to monitor provider contact with infected persons by using information about which providers went into a particular patient room (Gannon 2020). While this is very interesting and valuable in the context of in-hospital infection prevention, it does not immediately address new clusters in the community.

Automated contact tracing via phone applications shows promise for the identification of contacts. This technology relies on the fact that many people have smart phones that can host applications. These applications can then record if a person has come in contact with an infected individual. The application can then signal the individual and/or public health officials regarding the contact and trigger the traditional isolation and support of the contact. This process is not without its faults. The first being that many people in a

---

\*Cone Health, michael.dewitt@conehealth.com

<sup>1</sup>Also of note is Mossong et al. (2008) where depending on the country and age band, the number of contacts per day could be between 9-18 contacts per day

given community would be required to both have a smart phone and the application installed on said smart phone. There are also numerous privacy related questions and information regarding the sharing of data (i.e. how much information is stored and retained by the application developers) (Cho, Ippolito, and Yu 2020)

Additionally, analysis of SARS-CoV-2 has shown that the virus typically spreads in so called super-spreader events (Liu, Eggo, and Kucharski 2020; Endo et al. 2020). This means that one index may generate many secondary cases, while the majority of cases stop at a single case (Lloyd-Smith et al. 2005). Stopping these super-spreader events is important to ending an epidemic and the spread of infection in a community. Studies have shown that if contact tracing can be used to test, trace, and isolate certain high risk communities stopping the spread of infection can be made more effective (Eames 2007). However, contact tracing is limited by several factors including staffing to

## 2 Methods

Data from the Electronic Medical Record is processed into a local enterprise data warehouse (EDW). For SARS-CoV-2 the critical data collected were positive test results. These positive tests were recorded both for on-site rapid tests and external laboratory results. These positive test results were then paired with general patient information collected about the patients within the EMR as part of the normal intake process. These data include the patient’s reported address, their primary spoken language, and employer. Employment history was also supplemented with insurance information (e.g. plan numbers) if the patient had commercial insurance.

The addresses on file for those patients were then geocoded using an on-premises secure software. Prior to geocoding the addresses, a program was run to clean the addresses in order to make the probability of a matching address being found higher (e.g. consistent abbreviations for streets, removal of apartment numbers). These geocoded addresses were then truncated to four decimal places in order to represent a 30 foot radius around the reported address. A similar approach was used for employment information with self-reported employment information being cleaned for consistency as well as compared against a list of locally developed employer alias (e.g. Proctor & Gamble = Proctor And Gamble, etc) in order to account for inconsistencies in naming.

Using understanding of local demography, a select group of languages were generated. It is known that certain immigrant communities settled in well defined areas of the service region. Because of the close-knit nature of these communities and the relative small number of speakers of these languages, these languages were also used to examine for potential outbreaks.

In order to establish possible linked cases, the positive patients were grouped on each of the three main criteria, location, employer, and language. For those persons that shared a unique location, a “cluster” was formed. The patient that recorded the first positive case was declared the index case for the cluster, and the difference from the index case was calculated for each cluster. This process was repeated for employers and languages. If the time between cases was greater than a threshold (e.g. 30 days), then the index case was recalculated iteratively for a given cluster (i.e. one household might have more than one index case).

The derived cluster information was combined from the three different sources and paired with other demographic information like age, race, and gender. If an individual appeared in more than one contact network, the earliest known transmission chain was retained. This data was then combined into a line list, or list of all positive cases, and a contact list that contained the above information regarding who likely was the index case for each infection. All data cleaning and aggregation took place in the R Statistical Environment (R Core Team 2019). The Epicontacts package (Nagraj et al. 2017) was utilised in order to visualise the transmission chains under a variety of different views.

## 3 Results

Using automated contact tracing has highlighted

## 4 Discussion

### 4.1 Limitations

Automatic contact tracing can never take the place of the actual contact tracing process performed by tracers. Use of the EMR makes many assumptions. Among these assumptions are that the data available in the EMR is correct. Much of the information about address, language, race, ethnicity, are all manually collected and transcribed into the EMR. Strong assumptions are also made regarding the geocoding of addresses. The geocoding as is may place two or more people within a particular apartment building, but this does not necessarily mean that transmission occurred between these two people. Establishing the exact transmission chain is not possible using the EMR information. For that reason, the estimated transmission chains trade a higher probability of error for the speed of identification.

### 4.2 Next Steps

This methodology, while developed on SARS-CoV-2 and the associated Covid-19 outbreak could also be used for other infectious diseases within a given community. This approach could surface potential influenza outbreaks as well as other common infectious diseases (mumps, measles, tuberculosis, etc). Monitoring these infectious diseases and quickly surfacing links cases would allow the health system and the department of public health to work together to manage outbreaks and craft at the earliest possible stages of spread within a community.

## References

- Bi, Qifang, Yongsheng Wu, Shujiang Mei, Chenfei Ye, Xuan Zou, Zhen Zhang, Xiaojian Liu, et al. 2020. “Epidemiology and Transmission of COVID-19 in 391 Cases and 1286 of Their Close Contacts in Shenzhen, China: A Retrospective Cohort Study.” *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 0 (0). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30287-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30287-5).
- Cho, Hyunghoon, Daphne Ippolito, and Yun William Yu. 2020. “Contact Tracing Mobile Apps for COVID-19: Privacy Considerations and Related Trade-Offs.” *arXiv:2003.11511 [Cs]*, March. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2003.11511>.
- Disease Control and Prevention, Centers for. 2020. “CDC Activities and Initiatives Supporting the COVID-19 Response and the President’s Plan for Opening America up Again.” U.S. Department of Health; Human Services Centers for Disease Control; Prevention.
- Eames, K. T. D. 2007. “Contact Tracing Strategies in Heterogeneous Populations.” *Epidemiology & Infection* 135 (3): 443–54. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268806006923>.
- Eames, K. T. D., and M. J. Keeling. 2003. “Contact Tracing and Disease Control.” *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* 270 (1533): 2565–71. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2003.2554>.
- Endo, Akira, Centre for the Mathematical Modelling of Infectious Diseases COVID-19 Working Group, Sam Abbott, Adam J. Kucharski, and Sebastian Funk. 2020. “Estimating the Overdispersion in COVID-19 Transmission Using Outbreak Sizes Outside China.” *Wellcome Open Research* 5 (April): 67. <https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15842.1>.
- Gannon, Sarah. 2020. “Mayo Clinic Develops COVID-19 Tracking Tool for Staff.” *KTTC*. <https://kttc.com/2020/04/13/mayo-clinic-develops-covid-19-tracking-tool-for-staff/>.
- Hellmich, Thomas R., Casey M. Clements, Nibras El-Sherif, Kalyan S. Pasupathy, David M. Nestler, Andy Boggust, Vickie K. Ernste, Gomathi Marisamy, Kyle R. Koenig, and M. Susan Hallbeck. 2017. “Contact Tracing with a Real-Time Location System: A Case Study of Increasing Relative Effectiveness in an Emergency Department.” *American Journal of Infection Control* 45 (12): 1308–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2017.08.014>.

- Jarvis, Christopher I., Kevin Van Zandvoort, Amy Gimma, Kiesha Prem, Megan Auzenbergs, Kathleen O'Reilly, Graham Medley, et al. 2020. "Quantifying the Impact of Physical Distance Measures on the Transmission of COVID-19 in the UK." *BMC Medicine* 18 (1): 124. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-01597-8>.
- Liu, Yang, Rosalind M. Eggo, and Adam J. Kucharski. 2020. "Secondary Attack Rate and Superspreading Events for SARS-CoV-2." *The Lancet* 395 (10227): e47. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30462-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30462-1).
- Lloyd-Smith, J. O., S. J. Schreiber, P. E. Kopp, and W. M. Getz. 2005. "Superspreading and the Effect of Individual Variation on Disease Emergence." *Nature* 438 (7066): 355–59. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04153>.
- Mossong, Joël, Niel Hens, Mark Jit, Philippe Beutels, Kari Auranen, Rafael Mikolajczyk, Marco Massari, et al. 2008. "Social Contacts and Mixing Patterns Relevant to the Spread of Infectious Diseases." *PLOS Medicine* 5 (3): e74. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0050074>.
- Nagraj, VP, Thibaut Jombart, Nistara Randhawa, Bertrand Sudre, Finlay Campbell, and Thomas Crellen. 2017. *Epicons: Handling, Visualisation and Analysis of Epidemiological Contacts*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=epicons>.
- Nishiura, Hiroshi, Natalie M. Linton, and Andrei R. Akhmetzhanov. 2020. "Serial Interval of Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infections." *International Journal of Infectious Diseases: IJID: Official Publication of the International Society for Infectious Diseases* 93 (March): 284–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.02.060>.
- R Core Team. 2019. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <https://www.R-project.org/>.