

PUB000ETT19300102

Introduction

Type of Tender

- ☒ Open
- ☐ Limited
- ☐ Direct Contracting

Date of Tender Advertisement

Select

Date of Tender Closing

Select

Number of Offers Received

Number of Tenderers Participated

Validity Expiriy Date

Select

Joint Evaluators(s)

This field is required.

NEXT

2 Background

Need for Project

Normal

B

I

U

Normal

B

I

U

Scope

Normal

B

I

U

Normal

B

I

U

Schedule/Options (if applicable)

Maximum Number of Schedule Per Successful Tenderer (if applicable)

Department's Estimate

Contract Period

NEXT

PREVIOUS

3 Tender Evaluation

3.1 Evaluation Criteria

- Compliance with tender requirements and specifications
- Price competitiveness
- Price-Productivity-Quality Score using the Price Quality Method (PQM) Weightage:60:10:30

3.2 Participation of Related Companies

Related Companies

Assessment

Normal	⌵	B	I	U	🔗	☰	☷	<u>T</u> x
Normal	⌵	B	I	U	🔗	☰	☷	<u>T</u> x

3.3 Low Number of Bids

Fewer than 3 bids?: Yes ☐ No

Assessment of Reasonableness of Bid(s) Received

Normal	⌵	B	I	U	🔗	☰	☷	<u>T</u> x
Normal	⌵	B	I	U	🔗	☰	☷	<u>T</u> x

3.4 Bid Amendment (if applicable) Name(s) of Tenderer(s) Invited

Category

Select

Nature of Bid Amendment

Normal	⌵	B	I	U	🔗	☰	☷	<u>T</u> x
Normal	⌵	B	I	U	🔗	☰	☷	<u>T</u> x

Approving Authority

Date of Approval

Select

4 Offer Recommened for Acceptance

Schedule Title/Name

.untitled.

Schedule Description

Provision of Tunnel Integrity Monitoring System (TIMS) for the Deep Tunnel Sewerage System Phase 2 Project

Name of Tenderer

Select

Offer

Select

Tender Price

Price Rank(1 = Lowest)

PQM/QFM/CSM Score Score Rank(1 = Highest)

Compliance with Specifications

Other Relevant Considerations

Normal

⬆

B

I

U

🔗

☰

☷

T_x

Normal

⬆

B

I

U

🔗

☰

☷

T_x

Price Reasonableness

Normal

⬆

B

I

U

🔗

☰

☷

T_x

Normal

⬆

B

I

U

🔗

☰

☷

T_x

Price Comparison to Current Contact

Unrealistic Rate

Capacity to undertake additional contracts

Normal

⬆

B

I

U

🔗

☰

☷

T_x

Normal

⬆

B

I

U

🔗

☰

☷

T_x

Performance in Other Projects(if any)

Relevant Registrations/Certifications

The recommended tenderer is not in the blacklist and not under debarment.

☒ Yes ☐ No

Justification

Normal

⬆

B

I

U

🔗

☰

☷

T_x

Normal

⬆

B

I

U

🔗

☰

☷

T_x

5 Deviation & Others

3.6 Deviations in Offer Recommendation for Acceptance(if applicable)

Details

3.7 Offers Not Recommended for Acceptance(if applicable)

Schedule Name: .untitled.

ADD ROW

DELETE SELECTED

Offer	Price	Reason Offer is Not Recommended
...
...

Showing 1 to 2 of 2 entries

Previous

1

Next

3.8 Any Other Considerations(if applicable)

6 Funds Availability

Project Type

☒ Capex ☐ Opex ☐ Capex And Opex

Capex Source of Funds

ADD ROW

DELETE SELECTED

<input type="checkbox"/>	Project Title	WBS Element	Approved Cost	Approving Authority	Approval Date
<input type="checkbox"/>	Project Title	BAX-X13-DTS2	\$1,000,000	IAA	20 Jan 2020

Showing 1 to 1 of 1 entries

Previous

1

Next

Open Source of Funds

GL Code

Cost Centre

Approved Cost

Approving Authority

Date of Approval

Select

Within Budget/Plan Cost?: Yes ☐ No

4.1 Total Projected Expenditure of the Project

S/N	Expense	(A) Contract Amount (incl Variation Order amount)	(B) Contingency Balance	(A) + (B) Total
1	Value of Awarded Contracts	\$xxx.xx	\$xxx.xx	\$xxx.xx
2	Other Expenses incurred or committed (E.g. Diversion services)	\$xxx.xx	\$xxx.xx	\$xxx.xx
3	Value of this recommended tender	\$xxx.xx	\$xxx.xx	\$xxx.xx
4	Value of Contracts to be called	\$xxx.xx	\$xxx.xx	\$xxx.xx
5	Other expenses to be incurred	\$xxx.xx	\$xxx.xx	\$xxx.xx
6	Balance Project Contingency	\$xxx.xx	\$xxx.xx	\$xxx.xx

Showing 1 to 6 of 6 entries

Previous

1

Next

Estimated Total Project Cost \$ _____

4.2 Phasing of Expenditure

Capex

ADD ROWDELETE SELECTED

<input type="checkbox"/>	Schedule	Year	Expenditure (\$)
<input type="checkbox"/>	A	FY20	\$100,000,000
<input type="checkbox"/>	B	FY21	\$100,000,000

Total Expenditure \$

Opex

ADD ROWDELETE SELECTED

<input type="checkbox"/>	Schedule	Year	Expenditure (\$)
<input type="checkbox"/>	A	FY20	\$100,000,000
<input type="checkbox"/>	B	FY21	\$100,000,000

7Recommendation

The approval of the Tenders Committee B is sought to accept the offer(s) submitted by the following tenderer(s) and provide undisclosed contingency sum as follows:

ADD ROWDELETE SELECTED

<input type="checkbox"/>	Schedule	Description	Recommended Offer	Plus(+)/Minus(-) Percentum	Contract Sum(SGD)	Remarks
<input type="checkbox"/>	A	Description	Hock Hai Civil Engineering & Building Pte Ltd	+10%	8,700,000	-

Showing 1 to 1 of 1 entries

Previous

1

Next

8Evaluation Team

Name	Designation	Department	Committee
Tan Ah Hwang	Director	Water Reclamation (Network)	Chairman
Tan Ah Hwang	Principal Engineer	Water Reclamation (Network)	Member
Tan Ah Hwang	Engineer	Water Reclamation (Network)	Member
Tan Ah Hwang	Engineer	Water Reclamation (Network)	Member

Showing 1 to 4 of 4 entries

Previous

1

Next

Schedule of Tenders Received

The prices(inclusive of tender prices and breakdown prices) have been checked against the source documents(GeBIZ and Form of Tender) of the tender proposals.

S/N	Name of Tenderer	Response Type	Tender Price (\$)
1	Company 1 Pte Ltd	Base	7,508,000
2	Company 1 Pte Ltd	Base	7,508,000
3	Company 1 Pte Ltd	Base	7,508,000
4	Company 1 Pte Ltd	Base	7,508,000

Showing 1 to 4 of 4 entries

Previous

1

Next

Declaration on Conflict of Interest

The following people declare that they have no conflict of interest in the evaluation and/or recommendation

Name	Designation	Department
Tan Ah Hwang	Principal Engineer	Water Reclamation (network)
Tan Ah Hwang	Director	Water Reclamation (network)
Tan Ah Hwang	Engineer	Water Reclamation (network)

The following people declare that they have no conflict of interest and have recused themselves from the evaluation

Name	Designation	Department
Tan Ah Hwang	Principal Engineer	Water Reclamation (network)

Showing 1 to 1 of 1 entries

Previous

1

Next

Name	Designation	Department
Tan Ah Hwang	Engineer	Water Reclamation (network)

Projects Undertaken by Recommended Tenderer

Showing 1 to 4 of 4 entries

Previous

1

Next

Declaration on Conflict of Interest By Consultant

The following consultants declare that they have no conflict of interest with the Tenderers whom they have evaluated their tender proposals

ADD ROW

DELETE SELECTED

<div><input type="checkbox"/></div> Name	File Uploaded
<div><input type="checkbox"/></div> Consultant Company XXX	filename.extension

Showing 1 to 1 of 1 entries

Previous

1

Next

ADD ROW

DELETE SELECTED

<div><input type="checkbox"/></div> S/N	Project	Client	Contract Sum (\$)	Completion Date	Performance
<div><input type="checkbox"/></div> 1	Project Name 1	PUB	7,508,000	31 May 2020 (On-going)	Very Good
<div><input type="checkbox"/></div> 2	Project Name 2	PUB	7,508,000	31 Nov 2019 (Completed)	Good
<div><input type="checkbox"/></div> 3	Project Name 3	PUB	7,508,000	20 May 2019 (Completed)	Very Good

Evaluation Description

1. The offers received were evaluated on the Price-Productivity-Quality score using the Price_Quality Method (PQM). To recognise firms with good track records in adopting productive construction designs and methods, a "Productivity" component is also considered. 2. In the PQM, price, productivity and quality criteria are assigned weightages and translated into quantitative scores using the price-to-price ratio 60:10:30. -Quality Attributes (30 points) - Safety Perfomance (6 points) - Performance and Track Records of Current and Past Projects (10 points) - Financial Health (6 points)

Computation of Uncompetitive Bids

UPLOAD FILE