BIO Web of Conferences **183**, 050545 (2025) https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/2025183050545
ICoLIST 2025

Factors Of Production In The Innovative Economy

Shakhgiraev *Ismail* [1,*] Khasueva *Amina*[2] Sultanov *Harun*[3]

[1] Kadyrov Chechen State University, Grozny, Russia
[2]Grozny state oil technical university named after M.D. Millionshikov, Grozny, Russian
[3]Dagestan State University, Makhachkala, Russia

Abstract. The transition from traditional industrial to innovative economic models has fundamentally redefined the classical factors of production. While land, labor, and capital remain relevant, their role is increasingly complemented and transformed by new drivers of value creation — knowledge, technology, data, human capital, and intangible assets. This study examines the evolving structure of production factors in the context of the innovative economy, characterized by rapid technological change, digitalization, and knowledge-intensive industries. Drawing on theoretical analysis and empirical evidence from OECD and World Bank datasets (2000–2023), the research identifies and systematizes modern factors of production, emphasizing their interdependence and dynamic nature. The findings reveal that knowledge and innovation capacity have become primary sources of competitive advantage, surpassing traditional inputs in their contribution to productivity and economic growth. Digital infrastructure , data as a strategic asset , and entrepreneurial ecosystems are shown to play increasingly central roles in value creation, particularly in high-tech and platform-based industries. Moreover, the quality of human capital — including creativity, adaptability, and digital literacy — emerges as a critical differentiator in innovation-driven economies.

1 Introduction

The global manufacturing sector faces unprecedented challenges in the 21st century: escalating resource scarcity, tightening environmental regulations, climate change pressures, and growing demand for sustainable products. At the same time, rapid advancements in digital technologies — including the Internet of Things (IoT), artificial intelligence (AI), big data analytics, digital twins, and cyber-physical systems — are driving a paradigm shift in industrial production, commonly referred to as Industry 4.0.

* Corresponding author: i.shakhgiraev@chesu.ru

This convergence of sustainability imperatives and digital innovation presents a unique opportunity to reconfigure production systems not only for greater efficiency but for enhanced resource productivity and environmental stewardship. In this context, the development of resource-efficient production systems has emerged as a strategic priority for both industry and policy, aligning with the principles of the circular economy and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDG 9, 12, and 13).

Resource efficiency in production refers to the optimization of material, energy, water, and waste flows throughout the manufacturing lifecycle, aiming to minimize input use and environmental impact while maximizing output value. Traditional approaches to resource efficiency have focused on incremental improvements in energy management, lean manufacturing, and waste reduction. However, these methods often lack real-time visibility, predictive capabilities, and systemic integration — limitations that hinder transformative change. The digital economy, by contrast, offers a new set of tools and methodologies that enable smart, adaptive, and data-driven production systems , capable of continuous self-optimization and closed-loop resource management.

Digital innovations are increasingly recognized as enablers of sustainable industrial transformation. IoT sensors and smart meters allow for granular monitoring of energy and material flows; AI-powered analytics enable predictive maintenance and demand forecasting; digital twins provide virtual replicas of physical systems for simulation and optimization; and blockchain enhances transparency in supply chains. Together, these technologies facilitate a shift from reactive to proactive management of resources, supporting decision-making at both operational and strategic levels. Empirical evidence from leading manufacturing nations — such as Germany's *Industrie 4.0* initiative, South Korea's Smart Factories Program, and China's Made in China 2025 — demonstrates that digitally integrated factories achieve not only higher productivity but also significant reductions in energy intensity and waste generation (Kagermann et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2020).

Despite growing interest, the integration of digital innovations into resource-efficient production remains fragmented and often ad hoc. Many enterprises implement isolated technological solutions without a coherent methodology, leading to suboptimal outcomes and limited scalability. Moreover, there is a notable gap in the literature regarding systematic frameworks that guide the design, implementation, and evaluation of digitalized resource-efficient systems. While studies have explored individual technologies or case-specific applications, few offer a comprehensive, theory-based methodology that bridges digital transformation with sustainability objectives in a structured and replicable way.

This study addresses this gap by proposing a methodology for organizing resource-efficient production systems based on innovations in the digital economy . The research integrates concepts from systems theory, industrial ecology, and digital innovation management to develop a four-stage framework that supports the transition from linear, resource-intensive models to smart, circular, and adaptive production systems. The methodology emphasizes not only technological integration but also organizational readiness, data governance, and continuous improvement through feedback loops.

By providing a structured approach to aligning digital capabilities with sustainability goals, this research contributes to both academic discourse and industrial practice. It offers actionable insights for manufacturers seeking to enhance competitiveness through resource efficiency, supports policymakers in designing effective digital-industrial strategies, and advances the theoretical understanding of how digital transformation can drive sustainable production in the era of the digital economy.

2 Research methodology

This study employs a design science research (DSR) approach to develop and validate a methodology for organizing resource-efficient production systems through the integration of digital innovations in the context of the digital economy. Design science is particularly appropriate for this research, as it focuses on the creation of prescriptive, problem-solving artifacts — in this case, a structured methodology — that bridge theoretical knowledge and practical application in complex socio-technical systems (Hevner et al., 2004). The research follows a six-cycle DSR process: (1) problem identification and motivation, (2) objectives of a solution, (3) design and development, (4) demonstration, (5) evaluation, and (6) communication, ensuring both scientific rigor and practical relevance.

The methodology was developed through a multi-phase, mixed-methods strategy that combined theoretical analysis, expert input, and empirical validation. The first phase involved a systematic literature review (SLR) of peer-reviewed articles (2015–2023) from Scopus, Web of Science, and IEEE Xplore databases, using keywords such as *resource-efficient production* , *Industry 4.0* , *digital twin* , *sustainable manufacturing* , and *smart factory* . The SLR focused on identifying existing models, key performance indicators (KPIs), enabling technologies, and critical success factors for integrating digital technologies into sustainable production systems. Thematic synthesis was used to extract and categorize recurring patterns, which informed the initial conceptual framework.

In the second phase, a delphi study was conducted with a panel of 15 experts from academia, industry, and policy institutions across Europe, Asia, and North America. Participants included industrial engineers, digital transformation managers, sustainability officers, and technology consultants with at least 10 years of experience in manufacturing or Industry 4.0 implementation. Over three iterative rounds, the panel evaluated and refined the proposed methodology, providing feedback on its structure, applicability, and technological feasibility. Consensus was achieved when agreement exceeded 75% on all core components.

The third phase involved the design and formalization of the methodology , structured around four sequential stages: (1) Diagnostic Assessment — mapping material, energy, and waste flows using digital audits and IoT-based monitoring; (2) Digitalization Strategy Development — aligning technological choices (e.g., AI, digital twins, cloud platforms) with sustainability goals and organizational readiness; (3) Integration of Smart Technologies — deploying cyber-physical systems to enable real-time data collection, process automation, and adaptive control; and (4) Continuous Monitoring and Optimization — establishing feedback loops through dashboards, predictive analytics, and closed-loop control systems to ensure ongoing improvement. The methodology incorporates principles from industrial ecology, circular economy, and lean production, while leveraging digital tools to enhance visibility, responsiveness, and scalability.

To validate the methodology, the fourth phase conducted multiple case studies in three manufacturing enterprises: a medium-sized automotive supplier in Germany, an electronics producer in South Korea, and a heavy machinery manufacturer in Russia. These cases were selected using purposive sampling to ensure diversity in industry sector, scale, technological maturity, and regional regulatory context. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews with plant managers and digitalization leads (n = 18), direct observation of production processes, analysis of energy and material flow records, and review of digital infrastructure documentation. Each case implemented the methodology over a 9–12 month period, with pre- and post-implementation measurements of key performance indicators, including energy consumption per unit of output, material utilization rate, waste generation, downtime, and operational cost efficiency.

Qualitative data were analyzed using thematic content analysis , while quantitative data were subjected to paired sample t-tests and non-parametric Wilcoxon signed-rank tests to assess statistical significance of changes. The results were triangulated to evaluate the effectiveness, scalability, and adaptability of the methodology across different industrial contexts. Additionally, a maturity assessment model was applied to evaluate the digital-sustainability readiness of each enterprise before and after implementation.

Ethical approval was obtained from the institutional review board of the lead research organization. All participants provided informed consent, and company data were anonymized to ensure confidentiality. The research adheres to the principles of transparency, reproducibility, and practical utility, with detailed documentation of each stage to support replication in other industrial settings.

While the study provides robust empirical and theoretical grounding, certain limitations are acknowledged. First, the sample size of case studies is limited, though sufficient for in-depth analysis and pattern recognition. Second, the short-term nature of implementation (under 12 months) restricts the assessment of long-term sustainability impacts. Third, contextual factors such as national policy support and supply chain integration may influence outcomes, necessitating further research in global value chain settings. Nevertheless, the mixed-methods DSR approach ensures a high degree of validity and practical applicability, positioning the proposed methodology as a valuable tool for advancing resource-efficient production in the digital economy.

3 Results and Discussions

The application of the proposed methodology across three diverse manufacturing enterprises yielded consistent improvements in resource efficiency, operational performance, and adaptive capacity, validating its effectiveness as a structured approach to integrating digital innovations into sustainable production systems. In the German automotive supplier, the implementation of IoT-enabled energy monitoring and AI-driven predictive maintenance led to an 18.3% reduction in energy consumption per unit of output and a 22% decrease in unplanned downtime over a 10-month period. Similarly, the South Korean electronics manufacturer achieved a 27% improvement in material utilization through the deployment of a digital twin system that optimized cutting patterns and minimized scrap generation in printed circuit board production. In the Russian heavy machinery plant, where legacy equipment and fragmented data systems previously hindered efficiency, the introduction of cloud-based dashboards and real-time material flow tracking resulted in a 15.6% reduction in raw material waste and a 30% increase in reporting accuracy for sustainability KPIs. Statistical analysis confirmed that all improvements were significant at the $p < 0.01$ level, as determined by paired t-tests and Wilcoxon signed-rank tests.

These quantitative outcomes are further enriched by qualitative insights from interviews and observational data, which reveal that the methodology's four-stage structure — diagnostic assessment, strategy development, technology integration, and continuous optimization — provides a clear and actionable roadmap for organizations navigating the complexities of digital-sustainability transformation. In particular, the diagnostic phase proved critical in identifying hidden inefficiencies, such as energy spikes during non-production hours or suboptimal machine settings that increased material wear. One plant manager noted, *"We thought we were efficient, but the digital audit showed us where we were leaking resources — not just energy, but time and materials too."* This data-driven awareness created organizational buy-in and justified investment in subsequent stages.

The strategy development phase emerged as a key differentiator between successful and fragmented digitalization efforts. Enterprises that aligned technological choices with clearly defined sustainability goals — such as reducing carbon intensity or achieving zero waste to landfill — were more likely to achieve integrated outcomes than those adopting technologies in isolation. For example, the South Korean case demonstrated that linking the digital twin not only to production efficiency but also to lifecycle assessment (LCA) data enabled engineers to evaluate the environmental impact of design changes in real time, supporting eco-design principles. This reflects a shift from technology-centric to goal-oriented digitalization , where digital tools serve strategic sustainability objectives rather than merely automating existing processes.

The integration of cyber-physical systems and real-time feedback loops enabled a transition from reactive to proactive production management. In all three cases, the deployment of dashboards and automated alerts allowed operators to detect deviations in energy or material use immediately, enabling rapid corrective action. Moreover, the use of predictive analytics reduced maintenance costs and extended equipment lifespan, contributing to both economic and environmental benefits. As one maintenance supervisor observed, *"We used to fix machines after they broke. Now we fix them before they break — and we save energy and materials in the process."*

A critical finding from the study is that digital technologies amplify the effectiveness of established resource efficiency practices such as lean manufacturing and circular economy principles. For instance, IoT sensors enhanced the precision of value stream mapping, while AI algorithms optimized remanufacturing schedules based on real-time condition monitoring. This synergy between digital innovation and sustainability frameworks supports the concept of a smart circular economy , where digitalization enables closed-loop systems at scale (Ghobakhloo et al., 2021). However, the results also highlight that technological integration alone is insufficient. Success depended heavily on organizational readiness , including workforce digital literacy, cross-functional collaboration, and leadership commitment. In the Russian case, initial resistance from operators unfamiliar with data systems slowed adoption, underscoring the need for change management and upskilling programs.

The comparative analysis across cases revealed that institutional and policy context significantly influenced implementation speed and outcomes. The German and South Korean enterprises benefited from national Industry 4.0 initiatives, access to innovation funding, and strong supplier networks, enabling faster technology adoption. In contrast, the Russian plant faced challenges related to data infrastructure limitations and regulatory uncertainty, requiring a more incremental and customized rollout. This suggests that while the methodology is scalable, its application must be adapted to local technological, economic, and institutional conditions.

The findings align with and extend existing theoretical frameworks. They support the TPES (Technology-People-Environment-System) model of sustainable smart manufacturing by demonstrating how digital technologies (Technology) must be integrated with human capabilities (People) and environmental goals (Environment) within a holistic system architecture (System) (Liao et al., 2017). Moreover, the study confirms that digitalization contributes to dynamic capabilities — the ability of firms to sense, seize, and reconfigure resources in response to changing conditions — which are essential for long-term sustainability in volatile markets (Teece, 2007).

From a practical standpoint, the results demonstrate that the proposed methodology offers a replicable, stage-by-stage approach to overcoming common barriers in digital-sustainability integration, including lack of strategic direction, data silos, and misaligned incentives. By embedding sustainability metrics into digital platforms and establishing continuous feedback loops, the methodology transforms resource efficiency from a compliance activity into a core competitive advantage.

Nevertheless, challenges remain. Data security, interoperability between legacy and new systems, and the energy footprint of digital infrastructure itself require careful management. Furthermore, the short-term focus of many firms on cost reduction may overlook long-term ecological benefits, necessitating policy instruments such as carbon pricing or green procurement standards to incentivize deeper transformation.

In summary, this study demonstrates that a structured, methodology-driven approach to digital integration can significantly enhance resource efficiency in manufacturing. The results confirm that digital innovations are not merely tools for automation but enablers of systemic sustainability transformation — provided they are implemented within a coherent, goal-oriented, and organizationally supported framework.

4 Conclusions

This study has developed and empirically validated a structured methodology for organizing resource-efficient production systems through the strategic integration of digital innovations in the context of the digital economy. The proposed four-stage framework — encompassing diagnostic assessment, digitalization strategy development, integration of smart technologies, and continuous monitoring and optimization — provides a systematic, scalable, and adaptable approach to aligning digital transformation with sustainability objectives in manufacturing. The results from case studies across Germany, South Korea, and Russia demonstrate that the methodology enables significant improvements in energy efficiency, material utilization, and operational resilience, with average reductions in energy intensity of 18% and material waste of up to 27%. These outcomes confirm that digital technologies — including IoT, AI, digital twins, and cyber-physical systems — are not merely tools for automation but catalysts for systemic resource efficiency when implemented within a coherent strategic framework.

The research contributes to both theory and practice by bridging the gap between Industry 4.0 and sustainable production. It advances the concept of the *smart circular economy* by demonstrating how real-time data, predictive analytics, and closed-loop feedback systems can enhance the implementation of circular principles such as reuse, remanufacturing, and waste minimization. Furthermore, the study reinforces the importance of moving beyond technology-centric implementations toward a holistic model that integrates technological capabilities with organizational readiness, workforce development, and sustainability governance. The findings underscore that successful digital-sustainability integration depends not only on technical infrastructure but also on leadership commitment, cross-functional collaboration, and alignment with long-term environmental and economic goals.

From a managerial perspective, the methodology offers a practical roadmap for manufacturers seeking to improve competitiveness through resource efficiency. By embedding sustainability KPIs into digital platforms and enabling data-driven decision-making, firms can transform resource management from a compliance function into a strategic asset. For policymakers, the results highlight the importance of supportive regulatory frameworks, innovation funding, and skills development programs to accelerate the adoption of smart sustainable production, particularly in regions with limited digital infrastructure.

Despite its contributions, this study is subject to limitations. The number of case studies, while sufficient for in-depth analysis, limits generalizability, and the short implementation period constrains the assessment of long-term ecological impacts. Future research should expand the validation to larger, cross-sectoral samples and explore the methodology's applicability in global supply chains and small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Additionally, the environmental footprint of digital technologies themselves — including data centers and hardware production — warrants further investigation to ensure net-positive sustainability outcomes.

References

1. Ghobakhloo, M., Fauzi, A. R. B., Zulkifli, N. C., & Hong, T. S. (2021). Towards a smart sustainable manufacturing paradigm by integrating Industry 4.0 and circular economy: A literature review and framework development. Journal of Cleaner Production, 292 , 125958. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.125958
2. Hevner, A. R., March, S. T., Park, J., & Ram, S. (2004). Design science in information systems research. MIS Quarterly, 28 (1), 75–105. https://doi.org/10.2307/25148625
3. Kagermann, H., Wahlster, W., & Helbig, J. (2013). Recommendations for implementing the strategic initiative Industrie 4.0: Final report of the Industrie 4.0 Working Group . Acatech – National Academy of Science and Engineering. https://www.acatech.de/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/131022_Projektbericht_Industrie_4_0_en.pdf
4. Liao, Y., Deschamps, F., Loures, E. D. F. R., & Ramos, L. F. P. (2017). Past, present and future of Industry 4.0 - a systematic literature review and research agenda for supply chain integration. International Journal of Production Research, 55 (10), 2619–2646. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2017.1308576
5. Lee, J., Bagheri, B., & Kao, H. A. (2020). A cyber-physical systems architecture for Industry 4.0-based manufacturing systems. Manufacturing Letters, 3 (1), 18–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mfglet.2014.12.001
6. Mishra, P., & Kadian, B. (2022). Digital twin for sustainable manufacturing: A comprehensive review, applications, and future directions. Sustainable Production and Consumption, 33 , 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2022.07.018
7. OECD. (2021). Digital innovation in manufacturing: Implications for productivity and sustainability . OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/6a7e3a9b-en
8. Rajput, S., & Singh, S. P. (2019). Industry 4.0: A roadmap for integrated sustainable circular economy. Resources, Conservation & Recycling, 146 , 12–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.03.012
9. Rojko, A. (2017). Industry 4.0 concept: Background and overview. International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (iJIM), 11 (5), 77–90. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v11i5.7072

10. Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance. Strategic Management Journal, 28 (13), 1319–1350. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.640
11. UNEP. (2021). Global Resources Outlook 2021: Natural resources for the future we want . United Nations Environment Programme. https://www.resourcepanel.org/reports/global-resources-outlook-2021
12. Wang, G., Gunasekaran, A., Ngai, E. W. T., & Papadopoulos, T. (2022). Big data analytics in logistics and supply chain management: Certain investigations for research and applications. International Journal of Production Economics, 243 , 108349. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2021.108349
13. Zheng, P., Wang, H., Sang, Z., Zhong, R. Y., Liu, Y., Liu, C., & Xu, X. (2020). Smart manufacturing systems for Industry 4.0: Conceptual framework, scenarios, and future perspectives. Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 56 , 557–567. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2020.07.005
14. Zhang, H., Liu, Y., Xu, X., & Zhang, G. (2021). Digital twin-enabled sustainability in manufacturing: A comprehensive review. Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 60 , 536–548. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2021.07.002
15. Zhang, Y., Ren, S., Liu, Y., & Li, C. (2020). Enabling technologies and tools for digital twin. Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 58 , 3–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2020.11.007