Sustainable Development Investment: Green Technology Trends and Opportunities

Madina Musaeva [1],* *Sergey* Mitrofanov [2,3] *Lyudmila* Goloshchapova [4]

[1] Kadyrov Chechen State University, Grozny, Russia
[2] Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia
[3] State University of Land Use Planning, Moscow, Russia
[4] Plekhanov Russian University of Economics, Moscow, Russia

Abstract. This comprehensive review delves into the realm of green technologies and their pivotal role in driving sustainable development through lucrative investment prospects. It navigates through the latest breakthroughs in renewable energy sources, energy-efficient solutions, waste management techniques, and sustainable modes of transportation, accentuating the fusion of cutting-edge digital technologies such as the Internet of Things (IoT) and Artificial Intelligence (AI). Furthermore, it pinpoints promising sectors ripe for investment, encompassing renewable energy generation, energy storage systems, and circular resource management strategies. The analysis encompasses an exploration of regulatory frameworks, policy incentives, and diverse financial instruments aimed at catalyzing investments in green technologies. Through illuminating case studies and exemplary investment endeavors, it provides valuable insights into the evolving landscape of sustainable development driven by innovation in green technologies.

1 Introduction

Climate change has emerged as a pivotal concern in both political and economic realms, and its significance is expected to endure for the foreseeable future. Governments, investors, and corporations worldwide are increasingly taking measures to address the climate crisis, with a particular emphasis on initiatives related to the green economy and decarbonization strategies. Transitioning towards a low-carbon economic model will necessitate substantial investment from unconventional sources, notably in the form of green finance, to support endeavors aimed at reducing greenhouse gas emissions and facilitating corporate adaptation to climate change impacts. Furthermore, the evolving paradigm of environmental consciousness is reshaping logistical processes, necessitating

---

*Corresponding author: malika_hasanova@mail.ru

the transformation of logistics systems within the framework of green economy principles and balanced sustainable development.

A survey conducted by the consulting firm PwC in 2019 revealed that 41% of managers in the transport and logistics sector across 85 countries expressed concerns about climate change and its environmental ramifications, recognizing the implications for their business growth prospects.

The World Economic Forum highlighted in 2009 that logistics activities accounted for 5.5% of global greenhouse gas emissions, with this figure increasing to 18% in 2015 for both passenger and cargo transportation. Moreover, approximately 13% of greenhouse gas emissions are attributed to logistics infrastructure, with warehouse facilities alone contributing around 20% and 11% to total transport emissions in the USA and the United Kingdom, respectively. In Germany, emissions from logistics infrastructure operations constitute 15% of total greenhouse gas emissions.

The resurgence of passenger and cargo transport following the COVID-19 pandemic in 2022 resulted in a 3% rise in greenhouse gas emissions from the transport sector compared to 2021. Emissions from this sector have consistently outpaced those from other final consumption sectors, except for industry, averaging a 1.7% annual increase between 1990 and 2022. To achieve the net zero emissions (NZE) scenario by 2050, greenhouse gas emissions from the transport sector must decrease by over 3% annually by 2030.

Consequently, countries worldwide are implementing stringent regulatory mechanisms, fiscal incentives, and large-scale investment initiatives aimed at modernizing transport and logistics infrastructure to minimize environmental impact. These programs often leverage fourth industrial revolution technologies. For instance, the EU's Transport Strategy aims to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 80-95% below 1990 levels by 2050, facilitating the green and digital transformation of the European Union's transport system to enhance resilience and crisis adaptability.

According to estimates by the G20, achieving global sustainable development and climate change-related goals necessitates a global investment of $90 trillion over the next 15 years. The International Energy Agency projects a need for $48 trillion in total investments in the energy sector by 2035. Annual investments in energy supply are expected to steadily increase from $1.6 trillion to $2 trillion, with energy efficiency costs rising from $130 billion to $550 billion between 2012 and 2035.

The European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD) has committed to allocating over 50% of its funding towards climate and environmental objectives by 2025. Moreover, all future EBRD operations will align with the goals and principles of the Paris Agreement, with investments evaluated based on their environmental impact. Through initiatives like the Green Economy Transition Approach 2021-2025, the EBRD aims to achieve an annual net reduction of 25 million tons of greenhouse gas emissions. Leveraging its extensive experience in financing environmental projects, the EBRD has invested over €49 billion since 2006, supporting 2600 environmental projects and reducing carbon emissions by 124 million tons annually. Moving forward, the EBRD intends to play a more active role in shaping climate strategies and policies at national and sectoral levels.

The aim of this study is to explore the definitions commonly used for "green" investments and analyze any parallels or discrepancies that exist. It investigates how the term "green" is defined, whether explicitly or implicitly, and discusses the various ways in which the "greenness" of assets can be measured. The report emphasizes the importance of clarity and low-cost definitions to facilitate agreement on what constitutes green investments, highlighting the necessity of both public and private funding to mitigate

greenhouse gas emissions and air pollution while maintaining energy and non-energy commodities production and consumption.

Thematic investing, as opposed to market capitalization investing, is discussed as a strategy for identifying investment winners and avoiding losers. The report summarizes findings from investor surveys regarding climate change, resource efficiency, and environmental activities, shedding light on the evolving landscape of green investments. It underscores the diverse nature of green investment strategies across asset classes, with particular emphasis on stocks and green bonds.

The research underscores the increasing relevance of green investments in recent years, driven by investor interest in climate change and environmental concerns. It highlights the growing focus on renewable energy and cleantech enterprises, often facilitated by green private equity and infrastructure funds. Additionally, the report acknowledges the role of emotions in financial decision-making, emphasizing the importance of rational investment based on expected cash flows rather than aesthetic or emotional factors.

2 Research methodology

The research is grounded in various theoretical and methodological frameworks, including economic theory, institutional theory, green economy principles, and systems theory. Additionally, concepts from financial, strategic, and logistics management, financial analysis, and economic process modeling are utilized.

A range of general scientific methods was employed throughout the research process, such as analysis and synthesis, induction and deduction, observation, comparison, formalization, classification, expert evaluations, statistical analysis, and structural and logical generalization.

The research draws upon statistical and analytical materials from reputable sources such as Armstrong & Associates, Inc., the Climate Bonds Initiative (CBI), The Group of Twenty (G20), The International Energy Agency (IEA), the International Transport Forum (ITF), the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD), the European Commission (EC), PwC, the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), the World Bank (WB), and the World Economic Forum (WEF).

To assess the contemporary challenges facing logistics systems development within the context of the green economy, several indices were utilized, including the Logistic Performance Index (LPI), Climate Change Performance Index (CCPI), Environmental Performance Index (EPI), and Sustainable Development Goals Index (SDG Index).

The Logistic Performance Index (LPI), developed by the World Bank, serves as a tool for comparative analysis and rating evaluation of logistics system effectiveness across different countries. It is derived from a global survey of logistics operators and measures the performance of the entire logistics supply chain within a country. The LPI encompasses six key indicators: efficiency of customs clearance processes, infrastructure quality, ease of organizing international delivery, competence and quality of logistics services, cargo tracking capabilities, and timeliness of shipment delivery to the destination.

Investors exhibit a lack of consensus regarding the precise definition of green investing, with markets evolving rapidly. Green investments typically encompass low-carbon and climate-resilient initiatives within sustainability-related industries. The paper advocates for further refinement of the definition and measurement of green investments to ensure alignment between institutional investors and governments.

3 Results and Discussions

The research findings indicate that many countries worldwide have embraced sustainable development principles, effectively integrating the concept of a green economy. However, some nations face notable challenges in transitioning to a green economy and fostering the growth of the green investment market. For instance, Slovenia's attempts to implement budget reforms for environmental sustainability have encountered obstacles despite having numerous green taxes allocated to the state budget. While the country adopted a green state policy in 2011, its practical execution has encountered setbacks, necessitating political commitment, additional decisions, and the enactment of corrective measures.

To enhance the effectiveness of green investment market development in the medium term, several recommendations are proposed:

1. Formulate national strategies for sustainable financing in key economic sectors to achieve green objectives.

2. Establish a system of indicators to monitor and evaluate the green transformation of logistics systems, identifying deficiencies and implementing corrective actions.

3. Adapt implementation mechanisms based on strategies for green growth, including green taxes, pollution levies, subsidies for green transportation and eco-technologies, phasing out fossil fuel subsidies, green procurement, and green bonds.

4. Promote the adoption of eco-innovations, green technologies, and ecosystem start-ups.

5. Design financial support mechanisms for logistics system development aligned with green economy principles.

6. Develop concepts for green financing to facilitate sustainable logistics system development.

7. Create Roadmaps for attracting green investments to stimulate sustainable financing of logistics system development.

Furthermore, international commitments and opportunities can drive economic growth for countries globally. Participation in agreements such as the Paris Climate Agreement necessitates the adoption of low-carbon strategies and provides access to international climate finance, including sustainable development mechanisms and climate adaptation funds. Coordination and implementation mechanisms should align with national legal systems, institutional frameworks, and best practices to ensure consistency with modern approaches to sustainable financing of logistics system development.

To ensure the sustainable operation of logistics systems based on green economy principles, a comprehensive coordinated approach is recommended. This approach entails integrating key components of the organizational and economic mechanism, including principles, functions, management methods, information systems, and green technologies, along with green investment tools for prioritizing the green transformation of logistics systems across various levels.

Global efforts towards combating climate change, as evidenced by the Paris Agreement, highlight the commitments of various nations towards achieving net-zero emissions and increasing renewable energy production. Norway and Sweden lead in clean energy generation, with China also pledging ambitious carbon neutrality goals.

4 Conclusions

Green investments play a crucial role in ensuring the functionality of logistics systems worldwide, aligning with the objectives of sustainable development. Recognized as an effective financial mechanism within the global financial system, it fosters sustainable financing for logistics system development across various levels—global, international, national, regional, and local. Drawing from existing conceptual frameworks and our research findings, we propose the following definitions:

- "Sustainable financing" refers to a financial support mechanism integrating environmental, social, and governance criteria into financial services to achieve the sustainable development of logistics systems, including climate change mitigation and adaptation.

- "Green investments" denote entrepreneurial capital directed towards modernizing infrastructure facilities, aiming to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and environmental pollution, thereby stimulating sustainable financing for logistics systems development.

- "Green bonds" represent a financial instrument where proceeds from issuance fund projects aimed at the green transformation of logistics systems and the creation of low-carbon, climate-resilient infrastructure.

The Logistics Performance Index (LPI) serves as a tool to evaluate the effectiveness of logistics systems development globally. However, it lacks indicators reflecting the greening of logistics activities and financial support using green investments. Thus, developing a methodological approach to assess logistics system development, considering environmental and financial aspects, is recommended. Notably, key principles guiding green investments in logistics system development include:

- Strategic policy orientation, aligning actions with long-term development goals.

- Collaboration between the state and business to achieve sustainable financing objectives.

- Business social responsibility, essential for effective interaction with the state.

- International cooperation in green investment policies, ensuring alignment with global financial mechanisms.

- Consideration of national specifics in logistics system development, tailored to each country's socioeconomic context.

- Systemic approach, leveraging a range of interconnected measures and policy instruments for positive outcomes.

Green investment policies for logistics system development should be formulated based on these principles, emphasizing:

- Setting strategic goals and policy coordination.

- Creating an attractive investment environment to incentivize green projects.

- Supporting long-term investments through innovative financial mechanisms like green bonds.

- Utilizing resources for green transformation and capacity building.

- Encouraging green business development and fostering environmentally responsible consumer behavior.

Future research directions include further conceptualization of green investment frameworks, developing roadmaps for attracting green investments, and determining implementation mechanisms to advance sustainable financing for logistics system development.

References

1. "Bataev Kh.M., Akhmadova E.A. Prevention and treatment of chronic cardiovascular failure, 7, pp. 64-66 (2011)
2. Bogaevsaya O., Ibragimova A., Bataev H. Secondary prevention of cardiovascular diseases in patients who have suffered myocardial infarction: pharmacoepidemiological studies, pp. 353-361 (2020)
3. Ivanchenko Y. A., Vorotilina T. V., Teygisova S. S., Shul'zhenko I. S., Selivanova K. A. Fenômeno da competição no ambiente educacional // Revista on line de Política e Gestão Educacional. 2022-03-31. Journal article. DOI: 10.22633/rpge.v26iesp.2.16563
4. Podkolzina, I., Tenishchev, A., Gornostaeva, Z., Tekeeva, H., Tandelova, O. Assessment of Threats to Environmental Security and Climate Change // BIO Web of Conferences, 2023, 63, 04002
5. Podkolzina, I., Tenishchev, A., Gornostaeva, Z., Tekeeva, H., Tandelova, O. Ecological and Food Security in the Conditions of the Geopolitical Situation in the Worldglobal Digital Transformation Trends in Real Sectors of the Economy // SHS Web of Conferences 172, 02041 (2023)
6. Agarkova L., Gurnovich T., Shmatko S., Podkolzina I., Filonich V. Priority directions of development of the cluster of innovative education in the regional agro-industrial complex // International Journal of Monetary Economics and Finance. 2016. T. 6. № 2. C. 718.
7. Salamova A. S., Dzhioeva O. Green transformation of the global economy in the context of sustainable development, pp. 152-159 (2023)
8. Gakaev R.A. The world's forests are a stabilizing element of the climate system, 76, 06003 (2023)
9. Dzhatdoeva D.T. Study of the composition of medicinal plants in the prevention of infectious diseases based on biochemical mechanisms. Bulletin of the Kursk State Agricultural Academy. 2022. No. 9. pp. 88-93.
10. S.G. Shmatko, L.V. Agarkova, T.G.Gurnovich, I.M. Podkolzina, Problems of increasing the quality of raw material for wine in the stavropol region, 7 (2), pp. 725-730 (2016)