

Homework 2*Due date: 11:59pm on Nov. 19th*

You are allowed to drop 1 subproblem without penalty. But you cannot drop problems on simulation.

1. Concentration of gaussian random variable (20 points)

a.(5 points) Let X be a standard normal random variable. Prove that

$$\mathbb{P}(|X| \leq t) \leq 2 \exp(-t^2/2).$$

b.(5 points) Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be n i.i.d. standard normal random variables. Prove that with probability at least $1 - O(n^{-10})$, one has

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |X_i| \leq 5\sqrt{\log n}.$$

c.(10 points) Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a random vector where each coordinate is an independent standard normal random variable. Using the conclusion above, one can show that $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \lesssim \sqrt{n \log n}$ with high probability. However, this falls short in two aspects. First, the upper bound on $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2$ is not tight. Second, it doesn't provide a high-probability lower bound of $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2$. In this part, prove that for all $t \in (0, 1)$, one has

$$\mathbb{P}(|\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 - n| \geq nt) \leq 2 \exp(-nt^2/8).$$

(Hint: Laplace transform method)

2. Norm of Gaussian random matrices (40 points)

Recall that in class, we have used the bound $\|\mathbf{E}\| \lesssim \sqrt{n}$ where $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is composed of i.i.d. standard normal random variables.

a.(10 points) Use matrix Bernstein's inequality to show that with high probability $\|\mathbf{E}\| \lesssim \sqrt{n \log n}$. (Hint: truncation)

As before, the bound proved in part (a) is off by a $\sqrt{\log n}$ factor. In the following, we will prove a tighter bound. Recall the definition of $\|\mathbf{E}\|$:

$$\|\mathbf{E}\| = \sup_{\|\mathbf{v}\|_2=1} \|\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v}\|_2$$

Hence it suffices to show that with high probability $\sup_{\|\mathbf{v}\|_2=1} \|\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v}\|_2 \lesssim \sqrt{n}$.

b.(5 points) Let's first focus on a fixed vector $\|\mathbf{v}\|_2 = 1$. Prove that for any fixed $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, one has

$$\mathbb{P}(\|\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v}\|_2 \geq 10\sqrt{n}) \leq 2 \exp(-100n).$$

It is tempting to apply "union bound" and "obtain"

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{\|\mathbf{v}\|_2=1} \|\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v}\|_2 \geq 10\sqrt{n}\right) \leq \sum_{\|\mathbf{v}\|_2=1} \mathbb{P}(\|\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v}\|_2 \geq 10\sqrt{n}).$$

However this argument is ABSOLUTELY wrong as one cannot apply union bound to a uncountable set. Therefore, to properly apply union bound, one needs to restrict attention to a finite subset of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n that well approximates the unit sphere. This motivates the construction of the ε -net.

c.(10 points) Let \mathcal{N}_ε be a subset of $\{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\mathbf{v}\|_2 = 1\}$ such that for any point \mathbf{v} in $\{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\mathbf{v}\|_2 = 1\}$, one can find an element $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ such that $\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$. In particular, set \mathcal{N}_ε be such a set with smallest cardinality. Prove that

$$|\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon| \leq (1 + \frac{2}{\varepsilon})^n,$$

where $|\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon|$ denotes the cardinality of \mathcal{N}_ε .

d.(5 points) Fix some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Prove that for any matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

$$\|\mathbf{A}\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon} \cdot \max_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}\|_2.$$

This shows the usefulness of \mathcal{N}_ε in terms of approximating $\|\mathbf{A}\|$.

e.(10 points) Combine the previous steps to show that with high probability $\|\mathbf{E}\| \lesssim \sqrt{n}$.

3. Matrix concentration in matrix completion (10 points) Consider the matrix completion problem introduced in class where $\mathbf{M}^* = \mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{\Sigma}^* \mathbf{V}^{*\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a rank- r matrix. Let μ be its incoherence parameter. Prove that with high probability

$$\|\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{M}^*\| \lesssim \sqrt{\frac{\mu r \log n}{np}} \|\mathbf{M}^*\|$$

as long as $np \geq C\mu r \log n$ for some sufficiently large constant $C > 0$.

4. Matrix completion experiments (20 points) Consider the matrix completion problem introduced in class. Let $\mathbf{M}^* = \mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{\Sigma}^* \mathbf{V}^{*\top}$ be the underlying groundtruth matrix. Here $\mathbf{U}^*, \mathbf{V}^* \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ are two independent random orthonormal matrices. For simplicity consider $\mathbf{\Sigma}^* = \mathbf{I}_r$. Let p be the observation probability for each entry. Let $\hat{\mathbf{M}}$ be the spectral estimate of the matrix \mathbf{M}^* .

Fix $n = 200, r = 5$, and vary p from 0.2 to 1. Please report the relative Euclidean error $\frac{\|\hat{\mathbf{M}} - \mathbf{M}^*\|_F}{\|\mathbf{M}^*\|_F}$ and the relative entrywise error $\frac{\|\hat{\mathbf{M}} - \mathbf{M}^*\|_\infty}{\|\mathbf{M}^*\|_\infty}$ vs. the sampling probability p . Please choose at least 20 different p 's and for each p , use at least 50 Monte-Carlo simulations.

5. Community detection experiments (30 points)

Consider the SBM model discussed in class, where $p = \alpha \log n / n$ and $q = \beta \log n / n$. Throughout this exercise, we will use the second eigenvector of the adjacency matrix \mathbf{A} , which does not rely on the knowledge of either p or q .

a.(15 points) In the first part, we are going to investigate the phase transition behavior we discussed in class. Fix $n = 300$. Vary β from 0 to 10, and α from 0 to 30, with increments 0.1 and 0.3 respectively. For each α, β , run spectral method for 100 random trials and report the success rate plot. On the same plot, please also add the curves that correspond to $(\sqrt{\alpha} - \sqrt{\beta})^2 = 2$. You should be able to see a sharp transition in terms of success rate around these curves.

b. (5 points) In the second part, we will take a closer look at the entrywise behavior of $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_2$ —the second eigenvector of the adjacency matrix \mathbf{A} . Fix $n = 5000, \alpha = 4.5, b = 0.25$. Check that based on our theory, spectral method should succeed in exact recovery with high probability for this configuration. To verify this, plot the histogram of the entries in $\sqrt{n}\hat{\mathbf{u}}_2$. Are those uniformly close to ± 1 ?

c. (10 points) In class, to prove exact recovery, we actually compare $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_2$ with the linearization $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_2^*/\lambda_2^*$, instead of \mathbf{u}_2^* . Here we investigate the reason underlying this. Use the same configuration as above, and run 100 Monte-Carlo simulations. Report the boxplots for $\sqrt{n}\|\hat{\mathbf{u}}_2 - \mathbf{u}_2^*\|_\infty$, $\sqrt{n}\|\hat{\mathbf{u}}_2 - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_2^*/\lambda_2^*\|_\infty$, and $\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_2^*/\lambda_2^* - \mathbf{u}_2^*\|_\infty$. Which one is the smallest among the three?