

# STAT253/317 Lecture 18

Cong Ma

Section 7.7    The Inspection Paradox  
Chapter 8     Queueing Models

## Section 7.7 The Inspection Paradox

Given a renewal process  $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$  with interarrival times  $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$ , the length of the current cycle,

$$X_{N(t)+1} = S_{N(t)+1} - S_{N(t)}$$

tend to be *longer* than  $X_i$ , the length of an ordinary cycle.

Precisely speaking,  $X_{N(t)+1}$  is *stochastically greater than*  $X_i$ , which means

$$P(X_{N(t)+1} > x) \geq P(X_i > x), \quad \text{for all } x \geq 0.$$

# Heuristic Explanation of the Inspection Paradox

Suppose we pick a time  $t$  uniformly in the range  $[0, T]$ , and then select the cycle that contains  $t$ .

- ▶ Possible cycles that can be selected:  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{N(T)+1}$
- ▶ These cycles are not equally likely to be selected.

The longer the cycle, the greater the chance.

$$P(X_i \text{ is selected}) = X_i/T, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N(T)$$

- ▶ So the expected length of the selected cycle  $X_{N(t)+1}$  is roughly

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N(T)} X_i \times \frac{X_i}{T} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N(T)} X_i^2}{T} \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_i^2]}{\mathbb{E}[X_i]} \geq \mathbb{E}[X_i] \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

- ▶ Last time we have shown that if  $F$  is non-lattice,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[Y(t)] = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[A(t)] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_i^2]}{2\mathbb{E}[X_i]},$$

$$\text{Since } X_{N(t)+1} = A(t) + Y(t), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[X_{N(t)+1}] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_i^2]}{\mathbb{E}[X_i]}$$

## Example: Waiting Time for Buses

- ▶ Passengers arrive at a bus station at Poisson rate  $\lambda$
- ▶ Buses arrive one after another according to a renewal process with interarrival times  $X_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , independent of the arrival of customers.
- ▶ If  $X_i$  is deterministic, always equals 10 mins, then on average passengers has to wait 5 mins
- ▶ If  $X_i$  is random with mean 10 min, then a passenger arrives at time  $t$  has to wait  $Y(t)$  minutes. Here  $Y(t)$  is the residual life of the bus arrival process. We know that

$$\mathbb{E}[Y(t)] \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_i^2]}{2\mathbb{E}[X_i]} \geq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_i]}{2} = 5 \text{ min.}$$

Passengers on average have to wait more than half the mean length of interarrival times of buses.

## Class Size in U of Chicago

University of Chicago is known for its small class size, but a majority of students feel most classes they enroll are big. Suppose U of Chicago have five classes of size

$$10, 10, 10, 10, 100$$

respectively.

- ▶ Mean size of the 5 classes:  $(10 + 10 + 10 + 10 + 100)/5 = 28$ .
- ▶ From students' point of view, only the 40 students in the first four classes feel they are in a small class, the 100 students in the big class feel they are in a large class.

Average class size students feel

$$\frac{\overbrace{10 + \dots + 10}^{40 \text{ students}} + \overbrace{100 + \dots + 100}^{100 \text{ students}}}{140} = \frac{10 \times 40 + 100 \times 100}{140} \approx 74.3.$$

# Proof of the Inspection Paradox

For  $s > x$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{N(t)+1} > x | S_{N(t)} = t - s) = 1 \geq \mathbb{P}(X_i > x)$$

For  $s < x$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(X_{N(t)+1} > x | S_{N(t)} = t - s) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_1 > x | X_1 > s) \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_1 > x, X_1 > s)}{\mathbb{P}(X_1 > s)} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_1 > x)}{\mathbb{P}(X_1 > s)} \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}(X_1 > x) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mathbb{P}(X_{N(t)+1} > x | S_{N(t)} = t - s) \geq \mathbb{P}(X_i > x)$  for all  $N(t)$  and  $S_{N(t)}$ . The claim is validated

## Limiting Distribution of $X_{N(t)+1}$

If the distribution  $F$  of the interarrival times is non-lattice, we can use an alternating renewal process argument to determine

$$G(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(X_{N(t)+1} \leq x).$$

We say the renewal process is ON at time  $t$  iff  $X_{N(t)+1} \leq x$ , and OFF otherwise. Thus in the  $i$ th cycle,

$$\text{the length of ON time is } \begin{cases} X_i & \text{if } X_i \leq x, \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} G(x) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(X_{N(t)+1} \leq x) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[\text{On time in a cycle}]}{\mathbb{E}[\text{cycle time}]} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_i \mathbf{1}_{\{X_i \leq x\}}]}{\mathbb{E}[X_i]} = \frac{\int_0^x z f(z) dz}{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

## Chapter 8 Queueing Models

A queueing model consists “customers” arriving to receive some service and then depart. The mechanisms involved are

- ▶ input mechanism: the arrival pattern of customers in time
- ▶ queueing mechanism: the number of servers, order of the service
- ▶ service mechanism: the time to serve one or a batch of customers

We consider queueing models that follow the most common rule of service: first come, first served.



# Common Queueing Processes

It is often reasonable to assume

- ▶ the interarrival times of customers are i.i.d. (the arrival of customers follows a renewal process),
- ▶ the service times for customers are i.i.d. and are independent of the arrival of customers.

Notation:  $M$  = memoryless, or Markov,  $G$  = General

- ▶  $M/M/1$ : Poisson arrival, service time  $\sim \text{Exp}(\mu)$ , 1 server = a birth and death process with birth rates  $\lambda_j \equiv \lambda$ , and death rates  $\mu_j \equiv \mu$
- ▶  $M/M/\infty$ : Poisson arrival, service time  $\sim \text{Exp}(\mu)$ ,  $\infty$  servers = a birth and death process with birth rates  $\lambda_j \equiv \lambda$ , and death rates  $\mu_j \equiv j\mu$
- ▶  $M/M/k$ : Poisson arrival, service time  $\sim \text{Exp}(\mu)$ ,  $k$  servers = a birth and death process with birth rates  $\lambda_j \equiv \lambda$ , and death rates  $\mu_j \equiv \min(j, k)\mu$

## Common Queueing Processes (Cont'd)

- ▶  $M/G/1$ : Poisson arrival, General service time  $\sim G$ , 1 server
- ▶  $M/G/\infty$ : Poisson arrival, General service time  $\sim G$ ,  $\infty$  server
- ▶  $M/G/k$ : Poisson arrival, General service time  $\sim G$ ,  $k$  server
- ▶  $G/M/1$ : General interarrival time, service time  $\sim \text{Exp}(\mu)$ , 1 server
- ▶  $G/G/k$ : General interarrival time  $\sim F$ , General service time  $\sim G$ ,  $k$  servers
- ▶ ...

# Quantities of Interest for Queueing Models

Let

$X(t)$  = number of customers in the system at time  $t$

$Q(t)$  = number of customers waiting in queue at time  $t$

Assume that  $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$  and  $\{Q(t), t \geq 0\}$  has a stationary distribution.

- ▶  $L$  = the average number of customers in the system

$$L = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^t X(t) dt}{t};$$

- ▶  $L_Q$  = the average number of customers waiting in queue (not being served);

$$L_Q = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^t Q(t) dt}{t};$$

- ▶  $W$  = the average amount of time, including the time waiting in queue and service time, a customer spends in the system;
- ▶  $W_Q$  = the average amount of time a customer spends waiting in queue (not being served).

# Little's Formula

Let

$N(t)$  = number of customers enter the system at or before time  $t$ .

We define  $\lambda_a$  be the arrival rate of entering customers,

$$\lambda_a = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(t)}{t}$$

**Little's Formula:**

$$L = \lambda_a W$$

$$L_Q = \lambda_a W_Q$$