

Assessment 2 Project - COMP1BY

[Start Assignment](#)

- Due Today by 23:59
- Points 100
- Submitting a file upload
- Available until 15 Dec at 23:59

Lab Group COMP1BY

Due: Friday 28th November on or before 23:59

UPDATE - New Due Date: Monday 1st

December on or before 23:59

Please use this link to upload your project files.



Usual late penalties apply i.e. ten percentage points for up to 1 week late, twenty percentage points for up to 2 weeks late, and you will earn 0% thereafter.

Use a file compression application (e.g. 7zip, winzip) to create a zipped folder containing all your files for the website. However you can upload all your files individually if you prefer.

The project specification is [here \(https://cit.instructure.com/courses/144217/files/4803334?wrap=1\)](https://cit.instructure.com/courses/144217/files/4803334?wrap=1) 
https://cit.instructure.com/courses/144217/files/4803334/download?download_frd=1

Before you submit your work, check that you have uploaded all the necessary files for your website:

Checklist:

- adhered to the requirements in the specification
 - Five HTML files (Home, Games Events, Join The Club, Contact and About Us pages)
 - One CSS file
 - All images that you have used in your website - including backgrounds and favicons.
- included all images, HTML and CSS in the zip file
- validated your HTML <https://validator.w3.org/> 
- used <https://webdevcit.com/displayvalues.php>  to verify that your form sends the data from the form to the server
- validated your CSS using <https://jigsaw.w3.org/css-validator/> 

By submitting your project here you are stating that all work is your own best, independent effort.

Website Project (Assessment 2) Rubric

Criteria	Ratings				Pts
Relevance to Brief and Clean code	20 to >16.0 Pts Excellent Meets all of the requirements of the project specification and demonstrates deep understanding of web design. The look and feel of the website are excellent. Clean coding, commenting and accessibility added to webpages	16 to >12.0 Pts Proficient Meets most of the requirements of the project specification, and demonstrates a good understanding of web design. The look and feel of the website are very good. Clean code is mostly used, commenting used and accessibility mostly included	12 to >8.0 Pts Competent Meets some of the requirements of the project specification with adequate knowledge, e.g. created vertical instead of horizontal navigation, does not include images relevant to topic. The look and feel of the website are okay. Some commenting in code, a little accessibility is added to code.	8 to >0 Pts Novice Does not meet the core requirements of the project specification and demonstrates a poor understanding of web design. Poor coding overall, No accessibility added to website.	20 pts
HTML5 Coding	40 to >32.0 Pts Excellent Meets all of the requirements of the project specification and demonstrates deep understanding of HTML5 code, e.g. perfect nesting, uses a wide range of tags, uses tags appropriately at all times. Form contains suitable form controls and will send validated data to server.		24 to >16.0 Pts Competent Meets some of the requirements with adequate knowledge of HTML5 code. There may be errors in HTML5 validator, but not major errors. The basics are there but some issues also. Form contains basic form controls and sends data to server.	16 to >0 Pts Novice Does not meet the core requirements and demonstrates a poor understanding of HTML5 code. Many errors in HTML5 validator. Small range of tags used, e.g. h1, p, etc. Form does not exist on website or is very basic missing form controls, and may not send data to server.	40 pts

Criteria	Ratings	Pts
CSS3 Coding	<p>40 to >32.0 Pts</p> <p>Excellent</p> <p>Meets all of the requirements of the project specification and demonstrates deep understanding of CSS. Good use of selectors, concise CSS, etc. Responsiveness and accessibility are both considered. No errors in the CSS validator</p>	<p>32 to >24.0 Pts</p> <p>Proficient</p> <p>Meets most of the requirements and demonstrates a good understanding of HTML5 code. May have 1 or 2 errors in the CSS. Has core elements in the CSS but missed out on others, e.g. attributes used pseudo-class or correctly, e.g. alt, lang, height, width, classes/divs, colspan, rowspan, etc. Perhaps not as selectors. CSS file great a range of includes styles tags used or some which are not used minor issues. Form and should have been deleted. May have 1 or 2 errors in the CSS validator, server, but may contain a couple of infringements.</p> <p>24 to >16.0 Pts</p> <p>Competent</p> <p>Meets some of the requirements with adequate knowledge of CSS. Basics are included but some core requirements are missing, e.g. 3-column layout. Does not include pseudo-selectors. Uses classes and IDs inappropriately. Created vertical instead of horizontal navigation. A few minor errors in the CSS validator.</p> <p>16 to >0 Pts</p> <p>Novice</p> <p>Does not meet the core requirements and poor understanding of CSS demonstrated, e.g. no multi-column layout, poorly organised CSS, poor choice of selectors, errors in the CSS validator.</p>

Total points: 100