std::span in C++

A Very Simple Vocabulary Class ... But?

Non-Type Template Parms!
Ranges and Views and Iterators, Oh My!
Compile Time vs Run Time Elements!
A Turing Complete Compile Time Language!

An Exploration of C++ Principles

Cliff Green

NM C++ Programmers Meetup, Jan 31, 2024 Google Developers Group, Albuquerque, Mar 7, 2024

- A small subset of C++ principles (this is a short presentation)
- Discuss how these principles compare to other languages
- Not "fanboy" discussions, since computer languages are tools (use the right tool for the task)
- There will be gratuitous photos (as in all of my presentations)

Theme is Triangle Mosaic Template by PresentationGO, https://www.presentationgo.com



What is std::span?

- std::span is a C++ standard library class template that models:
 - An iterator to a sequence of elements
 - Number of elements (size)
- It is a "view type" versus a "owning type"
 - Lifetime of the element sequence is outside of std::span object lifetime
 - View objects are lightweight and refer to other objects

What is a primary use case for

std::span?

• std::span replaces the C style function interface of a pointer to an array (buffer), and a size:

```
void func1a (double* buf, int num_elems); // C style
void func1b (std::span<double> buf); // C++ version
int func2a (const int* buf, std::size_t num_elems);
int func2b (std::span<const int> buf);
```

• std::span has data(), index operator[], size(), etc. methods for accessing elements, size



What is an iterator?

- In C++ (and similar to other languages) an iterator allows generic traversal of containers (and ranges)
 - Syntax is modeled on pointers (dereference, increment, etc)
 - Iterators are the "glue" between algorithms and data structures
- For example, the std::find_if algorithm works on (almost?) any container std::vector, std::list, std::deque, std::map, etc.
 - Algorithms take a begin and end iterator (a "range") and traverse the container using dereference, increment, etc operators

Why is this "iterator glue" so valuable?

- It allows algorithms and containers to be independent of each other; i.e. there is not a separate std::find_if algorithm for a vector versus a list versus a map
 - Internally, traversing a vector versus a list versus a map is completely different code; iterators abstract this traversal
- Generics in C++ allow this "iterator glue" design using template syntax
 - Other languages have generic iterators using different syntax

So a std::span object contains an iterator?

- Yes, but a constraint on std::span is the iterator must point to elements in *contiguous memory* i.e. a "C style" array
- This allows the iterator to be a pointer ...
- Which makes a std::span object very lightweight, only two (or one! ... more on that coming up) elements
 - Minimal memory
 - Easy to pass in registers
 - Easy to optimize by the compiler



What is the std::span class template declaration?

Okay, "typename T" might be obvious to some (basic generic programming in C++), but ...

What is this "std::size_t Extent" syntax?

- std::span Extent Is a "non-type" template parameter
- E.g. the C++ std::array class template is declared as:
 template<
 class T,
 std::size_t N
 > struct array;
 - The "N" is a compile time size of the array

What are the implications of std::array size?

- std::array<int, 10> my_array; means the size (10) is encoded as part of the type; everywhere my_array is used the compiler knows the size
- std::array<Person, 12> and
 std::array<Person, 20> are two different types,
 even though they both contain Person objects
- The size of a std::array object is not stored anywhere at runtime; no memory is allocated for it

How does std::array size relate to std::span size?

- std::array is declared to always have a compile time size (with the size non-type template parameter); if dynamic / runtime size is needed std::vector is used
- A std::span is designed to be used with both fixed / compile time sizes as well as dynamic / runtime sizes
- Ahh! Now we're back to knowing what that second template parameter is for std::span

Can you show examples of this std::span size flexibility?

• You betcha! Given a std::vector<int> vec_int; std::array<float, 10> fl_arr; double c_arr[20]; (C style array), and a std::string str;:

```
std::span<int>(vec_int); // dynamic extent
std::span<float, 10>(fl_arr); // fixed ext, sz 10
std::span<double, 20>(c_arr); // fixed ext, sz 20
std::span<char>(str); // dynamic extent
```

How about another example showing std::span compile time checks?

• Sure! Given a function sum3 that requires three ints (e.g. 3D coords) from an array for indexing, std::span will verify at compile time (versus a runtime error) that there are three elements in contiguous memory

```
constexpr int sum3 (std::span<int, 3> sp) {
    return sp[0] + sp[1] + sp[2];
}
```

How can the sum3 function be called?

The sum3 function can be called with a C-style array of 3 elements, a std::array<int, 3> or a std::vector<int> where a view of exactly 3 elements have been selected

```
auto a = sum3(std::span<int, 3>(vec.begin(), 3));
auto b = sum3(arr); // arr is std::array<int, 3>
auto c = sum3(c_arr); // c_arr is C-style array, 3 elems
```



Who cares if the size is not stored at runtime, does it really make a difference?

- Very often (most of the time?) it doesn't
- But ... when it does, it can make a difference need a million std::span objects? Or are you creating a std::span a million times a second? Is this running on an embedded system with limited memory?
- By providing compile time / static facilities, C++ (and similar facilities in other languages) can make code faster and more efficient (memory, power usage, etc)

Okay, why doesn't everyone use C++?

- C++ is large and complicated
- C++ is large and complicated (specially some of the syntax) and has a larger learning curve than a lot of other languages
- C++ is large and complicated and nobody (including Bjarne Stroustrup, the inventor of C++) knows everything about the language
- C++ is large and complicated and has it's dangerous aspects (much of it inherited from C) - "undefined behavior" can occur in many different ways

C++ generics actually provide a Turing complete facility inside the compiler?

```
template <int N>
consteval int factorial () {
    if constexpr (N < 2) // style: normally braces on ifs</pre>
        return 1;
    else
        return factorial<N - 1>() * N; // recursive call
int main () {
   return factorial<6>(); // 720
```

The factorial result is computed at compile time?

 Yes, this is the assembler output (Compiler Explorer, x86-64, gcc 13.2) - note the "720":

```
push rbp
mov rbp, rsp
mov eax, 720
pop rbp
ret
```

So all C++ static compile time facilities require convoluted recursive code?

- No, many of the C++ compile time features are easy and straightforward
- E.g. examine a type (using "type traits" facilities) to determine at compile time whether it can be copied with "memcopy" versus deeper object copying
- When everything types, code, evaluation, etc is performed at runtime, the language can be simple and flexible (e.g. interpreter evaluation of each line)



Questions, comments, discussions?

- Only certain principles of the C++ language have been touched on - the most important and fundamental aspect of C++ (to me) has not been mentioned in this presentation: deterministic, controlled, and abstracted construction and destruction of objects
- Some aspects of C++ development are also irritating (to me) - complex build management (specially dependencies), extra considerations for multi-platform development, slower build times versus other languages

Thank You!

I hope you've enjoyed this presentation!

Dave Steffen (thanks!) provided additional example code which has been added to these slides

As usual, "go to" utilities include:

- CPP Reference page: https://en.cppreference.com/
- Compiler Explorer: https://godbolt.org/ compile and run your code on multiple compilers, analyze the assembler output

