

Figure 1: //TODO DoG and DWT are edge detectors, they should be compared to DO cells.





Figure 2: Diagram of *Opponent Processing of Receptive Fields* workflow. The L, M, and S channels are convoluted with center and surround gaussians and then combined to build opponent colors, here exemplified by single-opponent cell receptive fields.






Figure 4: Examples of various possible single-opponent receptive field configurations, many others could be designed. All function to describe color properties of surfaces, though their response patterns to similar stimuli vary slightly.




Figure 5: Examples of various possible double-opponent receptive field configurations, many others could be designed. All function to describe color properties of borders, though their response patterns to similar stimuli vary slightly.



(a) Vertical R vs. G double-opponent cell receptive fields.



(b) Vertical G vs. R double-opponent cell receptive fields.



(c) Diagonal R vs. G double-opponent cell receptive fields.



(d) Diagonal G vs. R double-opponent cell receptive fields.



(e) Horizontal R vs. G double-opponent (f) Horizontal G vs. R double-opponent cell receptive fields.



cell receptive fields.



(g) Diagonal R vs. G double-opponent cell receptive fields.



(h) Diagonal R vs. G double-opponent cell receptive fields.

Figure 6: Schematic of orientation selectivity in double-opponent receptive field configurations. Any single double-opponent neuron only has one receptive field hard wired into it. By having collections of neurons, each selective to a different orientation at the same retinotopic location, we obtain a degree of rotation invariance.




Figure 7: A double opponent cell selective to horizontally oriented borders with red above and green below; only responsive to that particular stimulus. In Figure (b), the neuron is presented with its ideal stimulus: its  $L^+$  and  $M^+$  receptive fields are fully activated while its  $L^-$  and  $M^-$  receptive fields are completely unactivated. Figure (e) presents the neuron with the exact opposite stimulus, neither its  $L^+$  nor  $M^+$  receptive fields are activate at all, and both its  $L^-$  and  $M^-$  receptive fields are fully activated, ensuring no response possible from the cell. While its  $L^+$  receptive field might be strongly stimulated in (a) and (f), it's  $L^-$  receptive field cancels it out. Similarly, in (c) and (d) its  $M^+$  receptive field is stimulated but cancelled out by activity in its  $M^-$  receptive field.




Figure 8: A double opponent cell selective to vertically oriented borders with red to the right and green on the left; completely unresponsive to a horizontal border. While its  $L^+$  receptive field might be strongly stimulated in (a) and (f), it's  $L^-$  receptive field cancels it out. Similarly, in (c) and (d) its  $M^+$  receptive field is stimulated but cancelled out by activity in its  $M^-$  receptive field. In (b) and (e) both of its  $L^+$  and  $M^+$  receptive fields are moderately activated, but again, cancelled out by activation in its  $L^-$  and  $M^-$  receptive fields, respectively.




Figure 9: A double opponent cell tuned to a particular spatial frequency. Either a (b) lower or a (c) higher spatial frequency than preferred lowers the response of the cell.