

Figure 1: Workflow diagram of algorithm at its highest level. Data can be processed through either *A. Opponent Processing of Receptive Fields* or *Discrete Wavelet Transform in Opponent Colorspace*. This is then fed into the neurodynamical model which outputs a map of neuronal activity.




Figure 2: **//TODO** *DoG and DWT are edge detectors, they should be compared to DO cells.*






Figure 3: Diagram of *Opponent Processing of Receptive Fields* workflow. The L, M, and S channels are convoluted with center and surround gaussians and then combined to build opponent colors, here exemplified by single-opponent cell receptive fields.




(a) Light DO
Receptive Field

(b) Dark DO
Receptive Field



(c) Red DO
Receptive Field

(d) Green DO
Receptive Field



(e) Blue DO
Receptive Field

(f) Yellow DO
Receptive Field




Figure 5: Examples of various possible single-opponent receptive field configurations, many others could be designed. All function to describe color properties of surfaces, though their response patterns to similar stimuli vary slightly.




Figure 6: Examples of various possible double-opponent receptive field configurations, many others could be designed. All function to describe color properties of borders, though their response patterns to similar stimuli vary slightly.




Figure 7: Schematic of orientation selectivity in double-opponent receptive field configurations. Any single double-opponent neuron only has one receptive field hard wired into it. By having collections of neurons, each selective to a different orientation at the same retinotopic location, we obtain a degree of rotation invariance.




Figure 8: A double opponent cell selective to horizontally oriented borders with red above and green below; only responsive to that particular stimulus. In Figure (b), the neuron is presented with its ideal stimulus: its L^+ and M^+ receptive fields are fully activated while its L^- and M^- receptive fields are completely unactivated. Figure (e) presents the neuron with the exact opposite stimulus, neither its L^+ nor M^+ receptive fields are activate at all, and both its L^- and M^- receptive fields are fully activated, ensuring no response possible from the cell. While its L^+ receptive field might be strongly stimulated in (a) and (f), it's L^- receptive field cancels it out. Similarly, in (c) and (d) its M^+ receptive field is stimulated but cancelled out by activity in its M^- receptive field.




Figure 9: A double opponent cell selective to vertically oriented borders with red to the right and green on the left; completely unresponsive to a horizontal border. While its L^+ receptive field might be strongly stimulated in (a) and (f), its L^- receptive field cancels it out. Similarly, in (c) and (d) its M^+ receptive field is stimulated but cancelled out by activity in its M^- receptive field. In (b) and (e) both of its L^+ and M^+ receptive fields are moderately activated, but again, cancelled out by activation in its L^- and M^- receptive fields, respectively.




Figure 10: A double opponent cell tuned to a particular spatial frequency. Either a (b) lower or a (c) higher spatial frequency than preferred lowers the response of the cell.