HackSim Design Document

HackSim is a deep hardware simulator of my implementation of the Hack computer from Nand2Tetris, an open-source educational project by Shimon Schocken and Noam Nisan. In the Nand2Tetris course, students learn computer organization by designing logic gates, adders, memory, and more using only three primitive components: Nand, DFF (D flipflop), and a clock. Students supply their implementations of hardware components in an HDL-like language, and Nand2Tetris provides an open-source Java-based hardware simulator that simulates and tests the circuits implemented in HDL.

- 1. HackSim Design Document
 - Why Build Another Hardware Simulator?
- 2. HackSim Hardware Simulator
 - Combinational Circuits
 - Implementation of Combinational Circuits
 - Example: `Not` Implementation
 - Sequential Circuits
 - tick() and tock()
 - Example: `Computer` Implementation
 - Optimizations
- 3. Win32 GUI Wrapper
 - Architecture
- 4. Endnotes

Why Build Another Hardware Simulator?

The advantage of Nand2Tetris's hardware simulator is that it can accept any valid hardware configuration as input. The trade-off is that such a simulator is hard to optimize and is overwhelmed by the thousands of components in, say, a 4K RAM module. The authors of the Nand2Tetris hardware simulator subvert this problem by providing built-in simulations for large components like RAM modules. This modular design allows the hardware designer, while enjoying a significant simulation speedup, to test components in isolation.

Indeed, this is a practical solution, but a part of me really wanted to see all of the cogs I designed come together to create a living computer. I wondered if it was possible perform a deep simulation of *all* of my hardware, all the way down to the NAND gates and flip-flops. It turns out that, with the help of a few optimizations it was possible. This bizarre obsession of mine culminated in this C++ project, entitled *HackSim*.

HackSim Hardware Simulator

This section describes the design of the Hack hardware simulator.

Combinational Circuits

In the scope of this project, a combinational circuit is a circuit whose outputs are the result of applying a Boolean function to the inputs. Formally, $\operatorname{out}_t = f(\operatorname{in}_t)$, where t is the current time in clock cycles, and f is a Boolean function mapping inputs to outputs. This definition implies that outputs are computed "instantaneously" from inputs. In reality, this is not necessarily the case, as evidenced by circuits that take advantage of delay-based electrical properties of the underlying components. These types of circuits (such as latches) often do this by using outputs as inputs, creating a feedback loop. Logic gates may even have feedback loops in their internal implementation. In this project, circuits that contain these types of loops are not considered to be pure combinational circuits. The Nand gate is treated as a "black box" in this regard.


Implementation of Combinational Circuits

Each combinational circuit in Chips.h is represented by a class that has a member function called computeOutput()), which sets the circuit's outputs depending upon the current values of the circuit's inputs. All combinational circuits (except the two most primitive: Nand and Nand16) model their natural design in hardware by containing other combinational circuits as submodules. The computeOutput()) member function of each submodule is invoked as necessary.

There are two combinational circuits whose <code>computeOutput()</code> functions call no other <code>computeOutput()</code> functions. These are the <code>Nand</code> and <code>Nand16 [2]</code> circuits. In the spirit of the <code>Nand2Tetris</code> course, these circuits are to be understood as "primitive" or "given". At the lowest level, all combinational circuits rely on the outputs of <code>Nand</code> and <code>Nand16</code> circuits.

Example: Not Implementation

The Not circuit has one input pin named in and one output pin named out. Below is the implementation of the Not circuit using a Nand gate.



To implement this diagram, the Not class contains a member function set_in(bool) that allows the caller to set the input pin. There is no public function to set the output directly; rather, the computeOutput() function does this as a side-effect. Here is the implementation of the computeOutput() function:

```
void Not::computeOutput() {
   m_nand.set_a(in());
   m_nand.set_b(in());
   m_nand.computeOutput();
   set_out(m_nand.out());
}
```

The Not class contains a Nand-type member variable m_nand, which contains two input pins (a and b) and one output pin (out). Calling m_nand.computeOutput() has the effect of setting m_nand's output pin, accessible via m_nand.out(). The Not class exposes its output via the bool Not::out() member function. Even the most complicated combinational circuits (e.g., ALU) follow this fundamental convention. That is computeOutput() sets the values of all output pins based on the values of the input pins before the call.

Sequential Circuits

Consistent with Nand2Tetris, this project defines sequential circuits as circuits that have at least one output $\operatorname{out}_t[i]$ that depends on in_{t-1} . In other words, at least one output depends on the value of one or more inputs from the previous time-step. A circuit that uses at least one sequential circuit internally is considered to be implicitly sequential.

To avoid dealing with the intricacies of electronics, the Nand2Tetris course accepts the **DFF** (D flip-flop) circuit as the only primitive sequential circuit. All sequential circuits eventually make use of a **DFF**.

```
tick() and tock()
```

An output that depends on an input from the previous time-step is considered to be clocked. An input upon which a clocked output depends is likewise considered to be clocked. In a sequential circuit, it is not necessary for all outputs and inputs to be clocked. For example, of the outputs in the CPU circuit, only addressM[15] and pc[15] are clocked; the outM[16] and writeM outputs are combinational. Because of the heterogeneous nature of output types in clocked circuits, a single computeOutput() function is insufficient to handle clocked circuits.


This project solves this problem by splitting each clock cycle into a tick phase followed by a tock phase. The tick phase of the clock cycle represents the period of time when the clock is low, allowing voltages in the system to settle into their intended values. The sequential circuits effect this behavior by implementing a tick() function, which allows a sequential circuit to update its internal combinational circuits, set the input pins of (and call tick() on) its internal sequential circuits, and set the values of its unclocked outputs (if any). Like the computeOutput() function of combinational circuits, the tick() function of sequential circuits is idempotent.

The tock phase of each clock cycle represents the moment when the clock rises from low to high. At this time, all sequential circuits in the system update their clocked outputs simultaneously. The changes in these outputs will be observed in the upcoming tick phase by the components that make use of them.

Example: Computer Implementation

The necessity of the separation of tick and tock is most evident in the Computer circuit. Below is the Nand2Tetris hardware diagram of the Computer circuit, followed by the implementation of Computer::tick().

Hack Computer implementation



```
void Computer::tick() {
  m_rom.set_address(m_cpu.pc());
  m_mem.set_address(m_cpu.addressM());
  m_mem.tick();
  uint16_t memOut = m_mem.out();
  // m_rom is a shallow chip, so it is not necessary to call
  // m_rom.computeOutput() before observing its output instruction
  m_cpu.set_instruction(m_rom.instruction());
  m_cpu.set_reset(reset());
  m_cpu.set_inM(m_mem.out());
  m_cpu.tick();
  // outM and writeM are updated by the CPU tick()
  m_mem.set_in(m_cpu.outM());
  m_mem.set_load(m_cpu.writeM());
  m_mem.tick();
  assert(m_mem.out() == memOut);
  // If this assertion failed, it would mean that we would have to
  // `tick` the CPU again, entering a feedback loop. If the Memory
```

```
// unit is implemented correctly, then m_mem.out() will be
// different only if m_mem.set_address() caused the selected
// memory register to be different before the tick.
}
```

Suppose it is time t. The clocked output addressM of the CPU was updated at time t-1; therefore, it is necessary to set the address input of the Memory circuit and then call Memory::tick(). The output of the Memory object is immediately updated with the contents of the selected memory register as a result of calling Memory::tick(). If Memory::tick() were to be called again at this point, there would be no further changes to the state of the Memory chip, as all tick() functions are idempotent.

Next, because the CPU uses the output of the Memory chip as input, we must set the CPU's inM input (along with a few other inputs) and then invoke CPU::tick(). But because outM and writeM are unclocked outputs of the CPU chip, these changes must be observed by the Memory chip, and so Memory::tick() is called again!

One might wonder whether CPU's input needs to be updated and ticked again. It turns out that, if Memory::tick() is implemented correctly, calling Memory::tick() can only potentially update the Memory's output pins if the address input has been updated since the last tick. But because only the load and in inputs to the Memory are changed between the calls to Memory::tick(), it is not necessary to continue simulating the feedback loop. Of course, if Memory::tick() does not obey this rule, then there is a bug.

Once the Computer has been ticked, it can then be tocked to complete the execution of the current instruction. The implementation of Computer::tock() is quite straightforward:

```
void Computer::tock() {
   m_mem.tock();
   m_cpu.tock();
}
```

In theory, the order of the tocks is irrelevant. Calling CPU::tock() before Memory::tock() would have the same effect.

Optimizations

TODO: Optimizations


Win32 GUI Wrapper

The simulator library is accompanied by a Win32 application that displays the contents of the simulated computer's screen memory map and allows the user to supply keyboard input to the computer.

Architecture

The GUI application consists of a Main thread that renders the UI and a Simulator thread that runs the hardware simulator. The interaction between the two threads is shown in the diagram below.

HackSim GUI Design



As the Simulator thread runs, it keeps track of the amount of time that has passed since the last frame was rendered. After about 16 ms (which translates to about 60 frames per second), the Simulator thread triggers a repaint by calling <code>InvalidateRect()</code> and setting a flag on the <code>BitmapManager</code> object owned by the Main thread. When the Main thread receives the repaint message, it asks the <code>BitmapManager</code> to fill a buffer of 32-bit BGRA-formatted pixel values based on the current state of the simulated computer's screen memory map. Then, the Main thread updates the <code>ID2D1Bitmap</code> object and makes a Direct2D call to render the updated bitmap.

Endnotes

- 1. I chose optimizations very carefully based on whether I thought each optimization was "in the spirit of" the project. Indeed, one could write a Hack CPU emulator with much less effort and a clock speed orders of magnitude greater than HackSim, but that is not "in the spirit of" this experiment. There is great satisfaction in seeing the machine come to life, knowing that this is due to all of its thousands of cogs being simulated. ←
- 2. The Nand2Tetris course does not assume Nand16 as primitive, but HackSim uses this circuit to achieve significant 16-bit optimizations. It is not hard to imagine how such a circuit would be designed, and so I think accepting this circuit as primitive is still in the spirit of Nand2Tetris. ←