RTOS Documentation

Kathleen Chung

kklchung@uwaterloo.ca

Connor Cimowsky

ccimowsky@uwaterloo.ca

Christian De Angelis

cdeangel@uwaterloo.ca

Jaclyne Ooi

jpooi@uwaterloo.ca

March 30, 2014

Abstract

This document describes a real-time operating system for the Keil MCB1700 Evaluation Board. It examines the design of the operating system, documents user-facing primitives, and provides an analysis of system performance.

Contents

1	Des	ign De	escription 5
	1.1	Opera	ting System Initialization
		1.1.1	Memory Initialization
		1.1.2	Process Initialization
	1.2	Memo	bry Management
		1.2.1	Heap Data Structure 6
		1.2.2	Requesting Memory Blocks 6
		1.2.3	Releasing Memory Blocks 6
	1.3	Proces	ss Management
		1.3.1	Process Control Structures
		1.3.2	Releasing the Processor
		1.3.3	Process Priority
		1.3.4	Interprocess Communication
	1.4	Syster	m Processes
		1.4.1	Null Process
		1.4.2	KCD Process
		1.4.3	CRT Process
	1.5	Interr	upt Processes
		1.5.1	Timer I-Process
		1.5.2	UART I-Process
	1.6	User I	Processes
		1.6.1	Test Processes
		1.6.2	Wall Clock Process
		1.6.3	Set Priority Command Process
		1.6.4	Stress Test Processes

2	Less	sons Learned	19
	2.1	Version Control	. 19
	2.2	Simulation	. 19
	2.3	Documentation	. 20
	2.4	Linked Structures	. 20
	2.5	Data Structure Allocation	. 21
•	•	dices bal Variables	$\frac{21}{22}$
	A.1	Memory	. 22
		Processes	
	A.3	Timer I-Process	. 23
	A.4	UART I-Process	. 24
	A.5	KCD Process	. 25
	A.6	Wall Clock Process	. 25

List of Algorithms

1	Requesting Memory Blocks 6
2	Releasing Memory Blocks
3	Releasing the Processor
4	Context Switching
5	Process Priority
6	Sending Messages
7	Sending Delayed Messages
8	Receiving Messages
9	Null Process
10	KCD Process
11	CRT Process
12	Timer I-Process
13	UART I-Process

List of Figures

1.1	Data Flow of Input Characters									17
1.2	Data Flow of Output Characters									17

Chapter 1

Design Description

1.1 Operating System Initialization

Once the operating system image has been copied to the LPC1768's onchip ROM, execution can be initiated by pressing the RESET button on the MCB1700 board. The address of the main function is then loaded into the program counter and the CPU begins fetching and executing instructions. Subsequently, the board's hardware components (e.g., LEDs, timers, serial controllers) are initialized.

1.1.1 Memory Initialization

Now that the board's hardware is ready, it is necessary to initialize global data structures such as process control queues and the keyboard command registry. Most importantly, a portion of the on-chip SRAM is divided into fixed-size blocks and inserted into the global memory heap.

1.1.2 Process Initialization

Upon completion of memory initialization, this procedure iterates through the process initialization table and performs three tasks. First, it populates the process control block (PCB) for each process. Next, each PCB is placed in the ready queue (unless it is an i-process, since these processes are invoked by interrupt handlers). Finally, a stack frame is allocated for each process and the resulting stack pointer is stored in its PCB. At this point, the first process can be scheduled by invoking release_processor.

1.2 Memory Management

1.2.1 Heap Data Structure

Our memory heap structure is implemented using a generic linked list. Each node in the list represents a single memory block that can be requested by invoking the request_memory_block primitive and released by invoking the release_memory_block primitive. Nodes in the memory heap are spaced apart using a predefined block size.

1.2.2 Requesting Memory Blocks

When a process invokes request_memory_block, the operating system first checks if the heap is empty. If so, it will block and then preempt the caller. Otherwise, the next available memory block is popped from the heap and returned.

Algorithm 1 Requesting Memory Blocks

```
1: procedure K_REQUEST_MEMORY_BLOCK()
2: while mem_heap is empty do
3: K_ENQUEUE_BLOCKED_ON_MEMORY_PROCESS(cur_proc)
4: K_RELEASE_PROCESSOR()
5: end while
6: mem_blk ← POP(mem_heap)
7: return mem_blk
8: end procedure
```

1.2.3 Releasing Memory Blocks

When a process invokes release_memory_block, the operating system first ensures that the provided address points to a valid memory block. If so, the block is pushed onto the heap. If another process is blocked on memory, it will be unblocked and preemption will take place if necessary.

Algorithm 2 Releasing Memory Blocks

```
1: procedure K_RELEASE_MEMORY_BLOCK(mem_blk)
       if mem_blk is invalid then
          return RTOS ERR
3:
      end if
4:
      PUSH(mem_blk, mem_heap)
5:
      if blocked_on_memory_queue is not empty then
 6:
 7:
          blocked\_proc \leftarrow \texttt{K\_DEQUEUE\_BLOCKED\_ON\_MEMORY\_PROCESS}()
8:
          blocked\_proc.state \leftarrow \texttt{READY}
          K_ENQUEUE_READY_PROCESS(blocked_proc)
9:
          K_RELEASE_PROCESSOR()
10:
      end if
11:
      return RTOS_OK
12:
13: end procedure
```

1.3 Process Management

1.3.1 Process Control Structures

Each process in the operating system is modelled by a process control block. This data structure contains the stack pointer, PID, priority, state, and message queue of a given process.

Depending on their state, processes can be placed in one of three process control queues. The first is the ready queue, which contains processes in the NEW and READY states. The second is the blocked-on-memory queue, which contains processes that are in the BLOCKED_ON_MEMORY state. The third is the blocked-on-receive queue, which contains processes that are in the BLOCKED_ON_RECEIVE state.

1.3.2 Releasing the Processor

Since time slicing is not employed by the operating system, it is frequently necessary for a process to relinquish its usage of the processor. This mechanism is provided by the release_processor primitive.

When invoked, this procedure uses the scheduler to select the next process

for execution. If the priority of the selected process is greater than or equal to that of the currently executing process, a context switch will occur. Otherwise, the caller will resume execution.

Algorithm 3 Releasing the Processor

```
1: procedure K_RELEASE_PROCESSOR()
2:
       if ready_queue is empty then
3:
          return RTOS_OK
                                   ▶ Do nothing if the ready queue is empty
       end if
4:
       next\_proc \leftarrow \texttt{K\_DEQUEUE\_READY\_PROCESS()} \triangleright Invoke the scheduler
5:
       if cur\_proc.state \neq \texttt{BLOCKED} then
6:
 7:
          if next_proc.priority > cur_proc.priority then
8:
              return RTOS OK
                                         ▷ Do nothing if the priority is lower
          end if
9:
       end if
10:
11:
       K\_CONTEXT\_SWITCH(cur\_proc, next\_proc)
12:
       return RTOS OK
13: end procedure
14: procedure K_DEQUEUE_READY_PROCESS()
       for i \leftarrow 0 to NUM_PRIORITIES do

    Start at highest priority

15:
          if ready\_queue[i] is not empty then
16:
              return DEQUEUE(ready_queue[i])
17:
18:
          end if
       end for
19:
       return NULL
20:
21: end procedure
```

1.3.3 Process Priority

As mentioned in the previous section, each process has a priority which is used to enforce correct precedence during scheduling, blocking, and preemption operations.

Processes may retrieve and modify the scheduling priority of themselves or other processes using two primitives: get_process_priority and

Algorithm 4 Context Switching

```
1: procedure K_CONTEXT_SWITCH(prev_proc, next_proc)
2:
       next\_state \leftarrow next\_proc.state
       if next\_state \neq NEW and next\_state \neq READY then
3:
                                \triangleright Do nothing if next\_proc is unable to execute
           return
 4:
       end if
5:
       if prev_proc.state = EXECUTING then
 6:
 7:
           prev\_proc.state \leftarrow \texttt{READY}
           K_ENQUEUE_READY_PROCESS(prev_proc)
8:
       end if
9:
       prev\_proc.sp \leftarrow \_\_GET\_MSP()
10:
       next\_proc.state \leftarrow \texttt{EXECUTING}
11:
        \_\_SET\_MSP(next\_proc.sp)
12:
       if next\_state = NEW then
13:
                          ▶ For new processes, pop the exception stack frame
14:
           __RTE()
       end if
15:
16: end procedure
```

set_process_priority. If a process's priority is changed while it resides in a process control queue, it is removed and then reinserted into the queue corresponding to its new priority. The release_processor primitive is then invoked to ensure that preemption will occur if necessary.

1.3.4 Interprocess Communication

Messages are sent between processes using message envelopes. Each process has a 'mailbox', implemented as a queue of message envelopes.

Each message is modelled by two parts: a header used by the operating system, and an envelope used by the sender and recipient. The header contains the PID of the sender and recipient, as well as an expiry time for delayed messages. The envelope contains the message type and the data to be sent.

To send a message, a process must first invoke request_memory_block for an envelope. It then populates the envelope and invokes send_message, which populates the header and dispatches the message to the recipient. If necessary, the recipient will be unblocked and release_processor will

```
Algorithm 5 Process Priority
 1: procedure K_GET_PROCESS_PRIORITY(proc)
 2:
       if proc.pid is invalid then
 3:
          return RTOS ERR
 4:
       end if
       return proc.priority
 5:
 6: end procedure
 7: procedure K_SET_PROCESS_PRIORITY(proc, priority)
 8:
       if proc.pid is invalid or priority is invalid then
 9:
          return RTOS ERR
       end if
10:
       if proc.priority = priority then
11:
                                  ▷ Do nothing if the priority is unchanged
          return RTOS OK
12:
       end if
13:
       if proc.state = NEW or proc.state = READY then
14:
          REMOVE_FROM_QUEUE(proc, ready_queue)
15:
16:
          proc.priority \leftarrow priority
17:
          K_{ENQUEUE\_READY\_PROCESS}(proc)
       else if proc.state = \texttt{BLOCKED\_ON\_MEMORY} then
18:
          REMOVE_FROM_QUEUE(proc, blocked_on_memory_queue)
19:
          proc.priority \leftarrow priority
20:
          K_ENQUEUE_BLOCKED_ON_MEMORY_PROCESS(proc)
21:
       else if proc.state = BLOCKED_ON_RECEIVE then
22:
23:
          REMOVE_FROM_QUEUE(proc, blocked_on_receive_queue)
          proc.priority \leftarrow priority
24:
          K_{ENQUEUE\_BLOCKED\_ON\_RECEIVE\_PROCESS(proc)
25:
       else
26:
27:
          proc.priority \leftarrow priority
       end if
28:
29:
       K_RELEASE_PROCESSOR()
30:
       return RTOS_OK
```

31: end procedure

be invoked so that preemption may occur; otherwise, control will be returned to the caller.

```
Algorithm 6 Sending Messages
```

```
1: procedure K_SEND_MESSAGE(recipient, msq)
      if recipient.pid is invalid then
2:
3:
          return RTOS ERR
      end if
4:
      if K_SEND_MESSAGE_HELPER(cur\_proc, recipient, msg) = 1 then
5:
          if recipient.priority < cur\_proc.priority then
6:
 7:
              return K_RELEASE_PROCESSOR()
          end if
8:
      end if
9:
      return RTOS OK
10:
11: end procedure
12: procedure K_SEND_MESSAGE_HELPER(sender, recipient, msg)
      msg.sender \leftarrow sender
13:
14:
      msg.recipient \leftarrow recipient
      ENQUEUE(msq, recipient.msq\_queue)
15:
      if recipient.state = \texttt{BLOCKED\_ON\_RECEIVE} then
16:
17:
          REMOVE_FROM_QUEUE(recipient, blocked_on_receive_queue)
          recipient.state \leftarrow \texttt{READY}
18:
          K_ENQUEUE_READY_PROCESS(recipient)
19:
                             ▷ 1 indicates that the recipient was unblocked
          return 1
20:
      else
21:
22:
          return 0
23:
      end if
24: end procedure
```

The procedure for delayed message sending is similar, with the added requirement that an expiration time is included in the header. When invoked, delayed_send places the specified message in the timer i-process's message queue. As described in Section 1.5.1, the timer i-process will dispatch the message at the correct time.

Algorithm 7 Sending Delayed Messages

```
1: procedure K_DELAYED_SEND(recipient, msq, delay)
       if recipient.pid is invalid then
          return RTOS ERR
3:
       end if
4:
       msg.expiry \leftarrow cur\_time + delay
5:
       msq.sender \leftarrow cur\_proc
6:
       msg.recipient \leftarrow recipient
 7:
       ENQUEUE(msg, timer\_i\_proc.msg\_queue)
8:
       return RTOS_OK
9:
10: end procedure
```

When a process invokes receive_message, the next message will be removed from its message queue and returned. If its message queue is empty, the process is blocked and then preempted using release_processor.

Algorithm 8 Receiving Messages

```
1: procedure K_RECEIVE_MESSAGE(sender)
2: while cur_proc.msg_queue is empty do
3: K_ENQUEUE_BLOCKED_ON_RECEIVE_PROCESS(cur_proc)
4: K_RELEASE_PROCESSOR()
5: end while
6: msg ← DEQUEUE(cur_proc.msg_queue)
7: sender ← msg.sender
8: return msg
9: end procedure
```

1.4 System Processes

1.4.1 Null Process

The null process has the lowest priority of any process in the operating system. Since the processor must always be busy, the null process acts as a fail-safe for situations when no other process can be executed. As such, the

null process simply invokes release_processor in an infinite loop.

Algorithm 9 Null Process

- 1: procedure NULL_PROC()
- 2: while true do
- 3: RELEASE_PROCESSOR()
- 4: end while
- 5: end procedure

1.4.2 KCD Process

The Keyboard Command Decoder (KCD) process provides a console-like interface to users of the operating system. Upon receipt of a command registration message, it uses a global registry to associate the specified command with the message sender. Each time a line of input is entered into the console, the KCD process determines if it is prefixed with a registered command. If so, the input is forwarded to the corresponding process.

1.4.3 CRT Process

The CRT process forwards text to the system console. In order to achieve this, it repeatedly forwards received messages to the UART i-process. It then triggers a UART output interrupt so that the UART i-process may execute. The mechanism by which the UART i-process achieves interrupt-driven output is outlined in Section 1.5.2.

1.5 Interrupt Processes

Both the timer and UART i-processes are scheduled exclusively by their respective interrupt handlers; they are never placed in process control structures (e.g., the ready queue). When an interrupt is received, the following operations are performed:

• The context of the currently executing process is pushed onto the stack

Algorithm 10 KCD Process

```
1: procedure KCD_PROC()
        while true do
 2:
           msg \leftarrow \text{RECEIVE\_MESSAGE}(sender)
 3:
           if msg.type = MSG_TYPE_KCD_REG then
 4:
               reg \leftarrow \text{next unused } kcd\_reg \text{ entry}
 5:
 6:
               reg.id \leftarrow msg.data
               req.pid \leftarrow sender
 7:
 8:
           else if msq.type = MSG_TYPE_DEFAULT then
               id \leftarrow \text{first token of } msg.data
 9:
               if kcd_reg contains id then
10:
                   dispatch\_msg \leftarrow \text{REQUEST\_MEMORY\_BLOCK}()
11:
                   dispatch\_msg.type \leftarrow \texttt{MSG\_TYPE\_KCD\_DISPATCH}
12:
                   dispatch\_msq.data \leftarrow msq.data
13:
14:
                   SEND_MESSAGE(kcd\_reg[id].pid, dispatch\_msg)
               end if
15:
           end if
16:
17:
           RELEASE_MEMORY_BLOCK(msg)
        end while
18:
19: end procedure
```

Algorithm 11 CRT Process

```
1: procedure CRT_PROC()
      while true do
2:
3:
          msq \leftarrow \text{RECEIVE\_MESSAGE}()
4:
          if msg.type = MSG\_TYPE\_CRT\_DISP then
             SEND_MESSAGE(uart\_i\_proc, msg)
5:
          else
6:
 7:
             RELEASE_MEMORY_BLOCK(msg)
          end if
8:
      end while
10: end procedure
```

- The appropriate i-process is invoked
- If necessary, the currently executing process is preempted
- The previously saved context is popped off of the stack

1.5.1 Timer I-Process

In order to provide timing services to our operating system, we programmed one of the LPC1768's on-chip timers to signal an interrupt once every millisecond. As described above, this means that the timer i-process will be scheduled at the same interval. The timer i-process is responsible for dispatching delayed messages (sent using delayed_send) at the correct time. This is achieved through the use of a time counter and message queues (see appendices A.3.1 and A.3.2).

```
Algorithm 12 Timer I-Process
```

```
1: procedure TIMER_I_PROC()
2:
       msg \leftarrow \text{K_NON\_BLOCKING\_RECEIVE\_MESSAGE}()
       SORTED_ENQUEUE(msg, timeout\_queue)
3:
       while timeout_queue contains expired messages do
4:
          expired\_msg \leftarrow \text{DEQUEUE}(timeout\_queue)
5:
          K_SEND_MESSAGE_HELPER(expired_msq)
                                                           ▶ Non-preemptive
 6:
 7:
          if expired\_msg.recipient.priority \leq cur\_proc.priority then
              ▷ preempt cur_proc on completion
 8:
          end if
9:
       end while
10:
11: end procedure
```

1.5.2 UART I-Process

The UART i-process handles interrupts representing two scenarios:

- A character has been entered (see Figure 1.1)
- A character is ready for display (see Figure 1.2)

As such, the UART i-process acts as an interface between the user-facing console and processes in our operating system. To handle input and output, the UART i-process maintains its state between interrupts using global variables (see Appendix A.4).

Algorithm 13 UART I-Process

```
1: procedure UART_I_PROC()
2:
       if input_char is available then
           if mem_heap is not empty then

    Avoid blocking

3:
               msq \leftarrow \text{K_REQUEST\_MEMORY\_BLOCK}()
4:
               msg.type \leftarrow \texttt{MSG\_TYPE\_CRT\_DISP}
5:
               msg.data \leftarrow input\_char
6:
               K\_SEND\_MESSAGE\_HELPER(uart\_i\_proc, crt\_proc, msg)
 7:

    preempt cur_proc on completion

8:
9:
           end if
           if input\_char \neq carriage return then
10:
               add input_char to input_buffer
11:
12:
           else
               msg \leftarrow \text{K_REQUEST\_MEMORY\_BLOCK}()
13:
14:
               msg.type \leftarrow \texttt{MSG\_TYPE\_DEFAULT}
               msg.data \leftarrow input\_buffer
15:
16:
               K\_SEND\_MESSAGE\_HELPER(uart\_i\_proc, kcd\_proc, msg)

    preempt cur_proc on completion

17:
           end if
18:
       else if output\_msq is available then
19:
           UARTO \leftarrow output\_msq.data[output\_msq\_index]
20:
21:
           output\_msg\_index \leftarrow output\_msg\_index + 1
       end if
22:
23: end procedure
```

1.6 User Processes


1.6.1 Test Processes

In order to ensure the correct behaviour of our operating system, we use six user-level test processes to invoke kernel primitives and check for any

Figure 1.1: Data Flow of Input Characters



Figure 1.2: Data Flow of Output Characters



incorrect results. These test processes use global variables to coordinate with each other and ensure that our operating system works as expected. Some of the key mechanisms that are tested include preemption, modification of process priority, memory block assignment, interprocess communication, and system processes such as the KCD and CRT processes.

1.6.2 Wall Clock Process

The wall clock process uses delayed_send to display a digital clock that updates every second. The process sends itself messages with a delay of one second, triggering "tick" updates. Each time the clock ticks, a message is sent to the CRT process using send_message to display the current wall clock time. Upon startup, the wall clock process registers three keyboard commands (%WR, %WS, %WT) with the KCD process which allow the time to be set, reset, and stopped.

1.6.3 Set Priority Command Process

Upon startup, this process registers a keyboard command (%C) with the KCD process. This command is handled by invoking set_process_priority using the specified process identifier and priority.

1.6.4 Stress Test Processes

When the %Z command is entered, these processes test the behaviour of the operating system under severe memory constraints. If the operating system is implemented correctly, the message "Process C" will be printed to the console every 10 seconds. In order to avoid a deadlock, the priority of process C must be greater than or equal to the priority of process A, as it is responsible for periodically releasing memory blocks.

Chapter 2

Lessons Learned

2.1 Version Control

At the very beginning of our project, we decided to use Git to manage the source code of our operating system. Paired with GitHub, it provided a robust system for ensuring code quality and minimizing bugs. Throughout the project, we obeyed a strict code review policy; all work was done in individual branches, submitted as a pull request, and then exhaustively reviewed by every member of the team before being merged into the master branch. This policy allowed us to catch several bugs that would have been incredibly difficult to catch in testing.

2.2 Simulation

For the majority of our first deliverable, we tested our operating system exclusively in the debugger provided by the Keil μ Vision IDE. Before submitting the deliverable, we tested our code on the MCB1700 board; everything worked correctly. This substantiated our assumption that the debugger provided a close approximation to the hardware. In reality, as we discovered during our work on the second deliverable, there is a major difference: the SRAM on the MCB1700 board is not initialized the way it is in the debugger. This led to a number of serious bugs that only surfaced when we tested our code on the board. One of the most common bugs involved dealing with strings. Since we relied on the null character to delimit strings, there were portions of code which would work perfectly in the simulator and not on the

board. These types of bugs only appeared in the second deliverable, since message passing and console I/O both rely heavily on strings. After dealing with these bugs, we learned to write code more defensively and test our code primarily on the board instead of using the debugger.

2.3 Documentation

Beginning with the first deliverable, we decided to write a portion of our documentation alongside each submission. In the time between the first and third deliverables, we compiled a structural description of each part of our project, wrote pseudocode for each major procedure, and completed most of the Lessons Learned section. This work greatly reduced the amount of time it took to complete our final report, as our documentation was nearly complete by the time we submitted the third deliverable.

2.4 Linked Structures

Early on, we knew that we would need to store data in linked structures for different use cases. Since we wanted to avoid coupling these data structures with the type of data they were storing, we chose to make a generic node structure, node_t:

```
typedef struct node_t {
    struct node_t *mp_next;
    U32 m_val;
} node_t;
```

We then designed our linked structures to point to nodes of this type. For example, queue_t:

```
typedef struct queue_t {
    node_t *mp_first;
    node_t *mp_last;
} queue_t;
```

This way, we can declare new node types with additional members for different use cases. For example, here is a process control block:

```
typedef struct k_pcb_t {
    struct k_pcb_t *mp_next;
    U32 *mp_sp;
    U32 m_pid;
    PRIORITY_E m_priority;
    PROC_STATE_E m_state;
    queue_t m_msg_queue;
} k_pcb_t;
```

As long as the first member is still a pointer to the next node, our linked structures will accommodate these nodes. Creating these generic linked structures saved us a great deal of time in later deliverables.

2.5 Data Structure Allocation

Until the last deliverable, we allocated data structures in the memory_init routine. For example, here is the ready queue:

```
queue_t *gp_ready_queue[NUM_PRIORITIES];

for (i = 0; i < NUM_PRIORITIES; i++) {
    gp_ready_queue[i] = (queue_t *)p_end;
    queue_init(gp_ready_queue[i]);
    p_end += sizeof(queue_t);
}</pre>
```

This approach unnecessarily adds complexity to our code and also led to quite a few time-consuming bugs at the beginning of the project. To fix it, we began to statically allocate our data structures in the operating system image. Here is the same example using static allocation:

```
queue_t g_ready_queue[NUM_PRIORITIES];

for (i = 0; i < NUM_PRIORITIES; i++) {
    queue_init(&g_ready_queue[i]);
}</pre>
```

As a result, our data structures are less error-prone and our code is easier to read. This is the solution that we should have used at the beginning.

Appendix A

Global Variables

A.1 Memory

A.1.1 g_heap

During the memory initialization process, we allocate sections of SRAM into memory blocks. In order to efficiently serve the needs of processes, our operating system uses a linked list to manage these blocks.

A.1.2 gp_heap_begin_addr, gp_heap_end_addr

These variables are set during the memory initialization process and are used for bounds checking in release_memory_block.

A.1.3 gp_stack

A pointer to the top of the stack. Used during initialization to provide a stack frame for each process.

A.2 Processes

A.2.1 g_pcbs

An array of process control blocks for each process in the system. Indexed by PID for constant time access (e.g., from send_message).

A.2.2 g_proc_table

An array containing the information required (e.g., PID, priority, entry point) to initialize the process control block for each process in the system.

A.2.3 gp_current_process

A pointer to the PCB of the currently executing process. Used mainly for process control purposes (e.g., release_processor).

A.2.4 g_ready_queue

Implemented as an array of queues (one queue for each priority) containing pointers to process control blocks. Used by release_processor for scheduling processes.

A.2.5 g_blocked_on_memory_queue

An array of queues (one queue for each priority) containing pointers to process control blocks. Used by request_memory_block and release_-memory_block for blocking and unblocking processes.

A.2.6 g_blocked_on_receive_queue

An array of queues (one queue for each priority) containing pointers to process control blocks. Used by send_message and receive_message for blocking and unblocking processes.

A.3 Timer I-Process

A.3.1 g_timer_count

The current system time. Used for determining when delayed messages have expired. Incremented each time a timer interrupt is received (1 ms intervals).

A.3.2 g_timeout_queue

A queue of messages that have not yet expired. Sorted by expiry time. Used for dispatching delayed messages at the correct time.

A.3.3 g_timer_preemption_flag

Used to indicate whether the timer interrupt handler should preempt the currently executing process on completion of the timer i-process.

A.4 UART I-Process

A.4.1 g_input_buffer

A buffer containing characters that have been entered by the user since the last carriage return.

A.4.2 g_input_buffer_index

The next available index of the input buffer. Incremented each time a character is appended to the input buffer.

A.4.3 gp_cur_msg

A pointer to the message currently being printed to the console.

A.4.4 g_output_buffer_index

The index of the next character of gp_cur_msg to be printed to the console.

A.4.5 g_uart_preemption_flag

Used to indicate whether the UART interrupt handler should preempt the currently executing process on completion of the UART i-process.

A.5 KCD Process

A.5.1 g_kcd_reg

An array containing keyboard commands that have been registered by other processes (e.g., the wall clock process).

A.6 Wall Clock Process

A.6.1 g_wall_clock_start_time

The system time at which the wall clock was started. Used for computing the elapsed time for display on the console.

A.6.2 g_wall_clock_start_time_offset

The start time specified by the '%WS hh:mm:ss' command. Used for computing the elapsed time for display on the console.

A.6.3 g_wall_clock_running

A flag used to indicate if the wall clock is currently running. Enables support for pausing and resuming the wall clock.