

# Chapter 1

## Background

### 1.0.1 Inspector-executor model

[knepleyExascaleComputingThreads2015]

[stroutSparsePolyhedralFramework2018] [mirchandaneyPrinciplesRuntimeSupport1988]

[arenazInspectorExecutorAlgorithmIrregular2004]

## 1.1 Domain-specific languages

### 1.1.1 An example of a complicated stencil function: solving the Stokes equations using the finite element method

For a moderately complex stencil operation that we will refer to throughout this thesis we consider solving the Stokes equations using the finite element method (FEM) [larsonFiniteElementMethod2013]. The Stokes equations are a linearisation of the Navier-Stokes equations and are used to describe fluid flow for laminar (slow and calm) media. For domain  $\Omega$  they are given by

$$-\nu\Delta u + \nabla p = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (1.2)$$

$$(1.3)$$

where  $u$  is the fluid velocity,  $p$  the pressure,  $\nu$  the viscosity and  $f$  is a known forcing term. We also prescribe Dirichlet boundary conditions for the velocity across the entire boundary

$$u = g \quad \text{on } \Gamma. \quad (1.4)$$

For the finite element method we seek the solution to the *variational*, or *weak*, formulation of these equations. These are obtained by multiplying each

equation by a suitable *test function* and integrating over the domain. For 1.1, with  $v$  as the test function and integrating by parts, this gives

$$\int \nu \nabla u : \nabla v d\Omega - \int p \nabla \cdot v d\Omega = \int f \cdot v d\Omega \quad (1.5)$$

Note that the surface terms from the integration by parts can be dropped since  $v$  is defined to be zero at Dirichlet nodes.

For the second equation we simply get

$$\int q \nabla \cdot u d\Omega = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

In order for these equations to be well-posed we require that the functions  $u$ ,  $v$ ,  $p$  and  $q$  be drawn from appropriate function spaces...

## 1.2 Related work