

Concentration of Measure

Setup: $(X, d), \mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$.

For $A \subseteq X, r > 0$,

$$A_r := \{x : \inf_{a \in A} d(x, a) < r\}.$$

Def: We define the concentration function as

$$\alpha(r) := \sup \{1 - \mu(A_r) : A \subseteq X, \mu(A) \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$$

$$= 1 - \inf \{\mu(A_r) : A \subseteq X, \mu(A) \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$$

↑
find set A with measure greater than $\frac{1}{2}$
s.t. measure of "fuzzy ball" is minimized.

Ex. $(X, d) = ([0, 1], |\cdot|), \mu = \text{Unif.}$

For $A \subseteq X, \mu(A) \geq \frac{1}{2}$, the easiest way to minimize $\mu(A_r)$ is to make A an interval.

Set $A = [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, then $A_r = [0, \frac{1}{2} + r)$, $\mu(A_r) = \frac{1}{2} + r$,

$$\alpha(r) = \frac{1}{2} - r.$$

Ex. $(X, d) = (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|), \mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i, n \text{ even.}$

$\mu(A_r)$ is minimized when A is an interval.

Set $A = [0, \frac{n}{2}] \Rightarrow \mu(A) = \frac{1}{2}$.

If $r \leq 1$, A_r does not contain any "new" integers, so $\mu(A_r) = \frac{1}{2}$.

If $r > 1$, $\mu(A_r)$ contains $\lfloor r \rfloor$ "new" integers, so $\mu(A_r) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \lfloor r \rfloor$.

Thus,

$$\alpha(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & r \leq 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \lfloor r \rfloor & r > 1. \end{cases}$$

Intuition: For a fixed r , $\alpha(r)$ measures "how much" of X is left when you expand sets w/ measure $\frac{1}{2}$ by r .
If a measure is more concentrated, we can expand sets without taking away much mass, so α will be larger.

Fact: $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(r) = 0$.

Def: Let $F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable. $m_F \in \mathbb{R}$ is a median of F if

$$\mu(\{F \leq m_F\}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\{F \geq m_F\}) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Def: Let $F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ cts. The modulus of continuity is $\omega_F: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\omega_F(\eta) = \sup \{ |F(x) - F(y)| : d(x, y) < \eta \}.$$

Deviation Inequalities

Inequality 1: For $\eta > 0$, $\mu(\{F > m_F + \omega_F(\eta)\}) \leq \alpha(\eta)$.

Proof: Define $A = \{F \leq m_F\}$ and fix $a \in A$.

Choose $x \in A_\eta$.

Then

$$F(x) \leq F(a) + \omega_F(\eta) \leq m_F + \omega_F(\eta),$$

i.e. $x \in \{F \leq m_F + \omega_F(\eta)\}$.

So $A_\eta \subseteq \{F \leq m_F + \omega_F(\eta)\}$

Since $\mu(A) \geq \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\alpha(\eta) \geq 1 - \mu(A_\eta)$$

$$\geq 1 - \mu(\{F \leq m_F + \omega_F(\eta)\})$$

$$= \mu(\{F > m_F + \omega_F(\eta)\})$$

□

Inequality 2: If F is Lipschitz, $\forall r > 0$,

$$\mu(\{F \geq m_F + r\}) \leq \alpha\left(\frac{r}{\|F\|_{\text{Lip}}}\right).$$

$$\text{and } \mu(\{|F - m_F| \geq r\}) \leq 2\alpha\left(\frac{r}{\|F\|_{\text{Lip}}}\right).$$

Proof: Again, define $A = \{F \leq m_F\}$ and fix $a \in A$.

Choose $x \in A_r$.

Then

$$F(x) \leq F(a) + \|F\|_{\text{Lip}} d(x, a) \leq m_F + \|F\|_{\text{Lip}} r,$$

i.e. $x \in \{F \leq m_F + \|F\|_{\text{Lip}} r\}$.

So $A_r \subseteq \{F \leq m_F + \|F\|_{\text{Lip}} r\}$.

Since $\mu(A) \geq \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\alpha(r) \geq 1 - \mu(A_r)$$

$$\geq 1 - \mu(\{F \leq m_F + \|F\|_{\text{Lip}} r\})$$

$$= \mu(\{F > m_F + \|F\|_{\text{Lip}} r\}).$$

Setting $r' = \frac{r}{\|F\|_{Lip}}$, we obtain the result.
 Similarly, we obtain

$$\mu(\{F \leq m_F - r\}) \leq \alpha\left(\frac{r}{\|F\|_{Lip}}\right)$$

$$\text{In sum, } \mu(\{|F - m_F| \leq r\}) \leq 2\alpha\left(\frac{r}{\|F\|_{Lip}}\right).$$

□

Cor 1.4: Let $A, B \subseteq X$ nonempty, Borel. Then

$$\mu(A)\mu(B) \leq 4\alpha\left(\frac{d(A, B)}{2}\right).$$

Proof: If $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, then $4\alpha\left(\frac{d(A, B)}{2}\right) = 4\alpha(0) = 2$, so the inequality holds.

Define $r = \frac{d(A, B)}{2} > 0$, $F(x) = d(x, B)$.

If $x \in A, y \in B$, then $|F(x) - F(y)| = F(x) \geq 2r$.
 Thus,

$$\mu(A)\mu(B) \leq (\mu \otimes \mu)(\{(x, y) : |F(x) - F(y)| \geq 2r\})$$

$$\leq 2\mu(\{|F - m_F| \geq r\})$$

$$\leq 4\alpha(r)$$

$$= 4\alpha\left(\frac{d(A, B)}{2}\right).$$

inequality 2

□

Prop 1.7: Suppose $\exists \beta: (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ s.t. $\forall F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded and 1-Lipschitz, $\forall r > 0$,

$$\mu(\{F \geq \int F d\mu + r\}) \leq \beta(r).$$

Then, \forall Borel sets A with $\mu(A) > 0$ and $\forall r > 0$,

$$1 - \mu(A_r) \leq \beta(\mu(A)r).$$

In particular, $\alpha(r) \leq \beta(\frac{r}{2})$.

Proof: Choose Borel set A with $\mu(A) > 0$ and fix $r > 0$.

Define $F(x) = \min(d(x, A), r)$, and note F is bounded and 1-Lipschitz.

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int F d\mu &= \int_A d(x, A) d\mu + \int_{A^c} r d\mu \\ &\leq r(\cancel{\mu(A_r)} - \mu(A)) + r(1 - \cancel{\mu(A_r)}) \\ &= r(1 - \mu(A)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\int F d\mu + r\mu(A) < r$.

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \mu(A_r) &= \mu(A_r^c) \\ &= \mu(\{F = r\}) \\ &\leq \mu(\{F > \int F d\mu + r\mu(A)\}) \\ &\leq \beta(\mu(A)r). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if $\mu(A) \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $1 - \mu(A_r) \leq \beta(\frac{r}{2})$, so $\alpha(r) \leq \beta(\frac{r}{2})$.

□

Expansion Coefficients

Def: The expansion coefficient of order $\varepsilon > 0$ is

$$\text{Exp}_\mu(\varepsilon) = \inf \{ \eta \geq 1 : \forall A \in X \text{ s.t. } \mu(A_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{2}, \mu(A_\varepsilon) \geq \eta \mu(A) \}.$$

Ex. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and choose $A \in X$ s.t. $\mu(A_{k\varepsilon}) \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Exp}_\mu(\varepsilon))^k \mu(B) &\leq (\text{Exp}_\mu(\varepsilon))^{k-1} \mu(B_\varepsilon) \\ &\leq (\text{Exp}_\mu(\varepsilon))^{k-2} \mu(B_{2\varepsilon}) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \mu(B_{k\varepsilon}). \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{ } \\ \text{ } \\ \text{ } \end{array} \right\} (B_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \leq B_{2\varepsilon}.$$

$$\text{So } \mu(B) \leq (\text{Exp}_\mu(\varepsilon))^{-k} \mu(B_{k\varepsilon}) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\text{Exp}_\mu(\varepsilon))^{-k}.$$

Prop 1.13: If $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ s.t. $\text{Exp}_\mu(\varepsilon) \geq \eta > 1$, then

$$\alpha(r) \leq \frac{\eta}{2} e^{-r(\ln(\eta))/\varepsilon}.$$