Introduction

Schedule:

- (I) Basic properties of continuous-time Markov Chains
- (II) Qualitative properties of continuous time Markov Chains
- (III) Queueing theory
- (IV) Renewal theory
- (V) Spatial Poisson processes

1 Some basic aspects of continuous-time Markov Chains

Definition. A sequence of random variables is called a *stochastic process* or *process*. The process $X = (X_n)_{n \ge 1}$ is called a discrete-time Markov Chain with state space I if for all $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \in I$

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n = x_n | X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}, \dots, X_0 = x_0) = \mathbb{P}(X_n = x_n | X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}).$$

If $\mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = y | X_n = x)$ is independent of n, the chain is called *time-homogeneous*. We then write $P = (P_{x,y})_{x,y \in I}$ for the *transition matrix* where $P_{x,y} = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = y | X_0 = x)$. The data associated to every time-homogeneous Markov Chain is the transition matrix P and the initial distribution μ , i.e $\mathbb{P}(X_0 = x_0) = \mu(x_0)$.

From now on:

- I denotes a countable (or finite) state space.
- (Ω, F, P) is the probability space on which all the relevant random variables are defined.

Definition. $X = (X(t) : t \ge 0)$ is a (right-continuous) continuous-time random process with values in I if

- (a) for all $t \geq 0$, $X(t) = X_t$ is a random variable such that $X(t): \Omega \to I$;
- (b) for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $t \mapsto X_t(\omega)$ is right-continuous (right-continuous sample path). In our case this means for all $\omega \in \Omega$, for all $t \geq 0$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ (depending on ω, t) such that

$$X_t(\omega) = X_s(\omega) \ \forall s \in [t, t + \varepsilon].$$

Fact. A right-continuous random process is defined by its finite-dimensional distributions

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t_0=i}, X_{t_1=i_1}, \dots, X_{t_n}=i_{t_n}), \ n \geq 0, \ t_k \geq 0, \ i_k \in I.$$

For every $\omega \in \Omega$, the path $t \mapsto X_t(\omega)$ of a right-continuous process stays constant for a while. So there are 3 possibilities:

- (i) The path makes infinitely many jumps overall but only finitely many in a given interval [0, t].
- (ii) The path makes finitely many jumps & then gets absorbed in some state.
- (iii) The path makes infinitely jumps in a finite time interval. After the 'explosion time' ζ , the process starts up again.

Write $J_0 = 0, J_1, J_2, ...$ for the jump times and $S_1, S_2, ...$ for the holding times, defined by

$$J_0 = 0, \ J_{n+1} = \inf\{t \ge J_n : X_t \ne X_{J_n}\},$$

$$S_n = \begin{cases} J_n - J_{n-1} & J_{n-1} < \infty \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

By right-continuity, $S_n > 0$ for all n. If $J_{n+1} = \infty$ for some n, we define $X_{\infty} = X_{J_n}$ as the final value, otherwise X_{∞} is not defined. The explosion time ζ is defined by

$$\zeta = \sup(J_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n.$$

We are not going to consider what happens to a chain after explosion. We thus set $X_t = \infty$ for all $t \geq \zeta$ (adjoining a new state ' ∞ '). We call such a chain minimal.

Definition. We define the *jump chain* Y_n of $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ by setting $Y_n=X_{J_n}$ for all n.

Definition. A right-continuous random process $X = (X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ has the Markov property (and is called a continuous-time markov chain) if for all $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n \in I$ and $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_n$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t_n} = i_n | X_{t_{n-1}} = i_{n-1}, \dots, X_{t_0} = i_0) = \mathbb{P}(X_{t_n} = i_n | X_{t_0} = i_0).$$

Remark. For all h > 0, $Y_n = X(hn)$ defines a discrete-time Markov Chain.

Definition. The transition probabilities are $P_{ij}(s,t) = \mathbb{P}(X_t = j|X_s = i)$, $s \leq t, i, j \in I$. It is called *time-homogeneous* if it depends on t - s only, i.e

$$P_{ij}(s,t) = P_{i,j}(0,t-s).$$

In this case we just write $P_{ij}(t-s)$. As in the case of discrete time, a (time-homogeneous) Markov process is characterised by

- 1. Its initial distribution $\lambda_i = \mathbb{P}(X_0 = i), i \in I$;
- 2. Its family of transition matrices $(P(t))_{t\geq 0} = (P_{ij}(t))_{t\geq 0}$.

The family $(P(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is called the transition subgroup of the MC.

A (time-homogeneous) Markov process is characterised by

- its initial distribution;
- its transition subgroup $(P(t))_{t\geq 0}$

$$(P(t))_{t\geq 0} = (P(t))_{\substack{i,j \in I \\ t\geq 0}} = (\mathbb{P}(X_t = j | X_0 = i))_{\substack{i,j \in I \\ t\geq 0}}$$

It is easy to see that

- P(0) is the identity
- P(t) is a stochastic matrix for all t (i.e rows sum to 1)
- $P(t+s) = P(t)P(s) \ \forall s,t \ (Chapman-Kolmogorov equation)$

$$\begin{split} P_{xz}(t+s) &= \mathbb{P}(X_{t+s} = z | X_0 = x) \\ &= \sum_{y \in I} \mathbb{P}(X_{t+s} = z | X_0 = x, X_t = y) \mathbb{P}(X_t = y | X_0 = x) \\ &= \sum_{y \in I} \mathbb{P}(X_s = z | X_0 = y) \mathbb{P}(X_t = y | X_0 = x) \\ &= \sum_{y \in I} P_{yz}(s) P_{xy}(t) = P_{x\cdot}(t) P_{\cdot z}(s) \end{split}$$

Holding times

Let X be a (right-continuous continuous-time time-homogeneous) Markov Chain on a countable state-space I.

Suppose X starts from $x \in I$. Question: how long does X stay in the state x?

Definition. We call S_x the holding time at state x ($S_x > 0$ by right-continuity).

Let $s, t \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(S_x > t + s | S_x > s) &= \mathbb{P}(X_u = x \ \forall u \in [0, t + s] | X_u = x \ \forall u \in [0, s]) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_u = x \ \forall u \in [s, t + s] | X_u = x \ \forall u \in [0, s]) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_u = x \ \forall u \in [s, t + s] | X_s = x) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_u = x \ \forall u \in [0, t] | X_0 = x) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(S_x > t). \end{split}$$

Thus S_x has the memoryless property.

By the next theorem, we will get that S_x has the exponential distribution, say with parameter q_x .

Theorem 1.1 (Memoryless property). Let S be a positive random variable. Then S has the memoryless property, i.e $\mathbb{P}(S > t + s | S > s) = \mathbb{P}(S > t)$ for all $s, t \geq 0$ if and only if S has the exponential distribution.

Proof. It is easy to see the exponential distribution is memoryless. So we prove the other direction. Set $F(t) = \mathbb{P}(S > t)$. Then F(s+t) = F(s)F(t) for all $s,t \geq 0$.

Since S is a positive random variable, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large such that $F(1/n) = \mathbb{P}(S > 1/n) > 0$. Then $F(1) = F(1/n)^n > 0$. So we can set $F(1) = e^{-\lambda}$ for some $\lambda \geq 0$.

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $F(k) = F(1)^k = e^{-\lambda k}$. For p/q rational, $F(p/q) = F(1/q)^p = (F(1/q)^q)^{p/q} = F(1)^{p/q} = e^{-\lambda \frac{p}{q}}$.

For any $t \geq 0$, for any $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $r \leq t \leq s$, since F is decreasing

$$e^{-\lambda s} = F(s) \le F(t) \le F(r) = e^{-\lambda r}$$
.

So taking sequences of rationals approaching t, we have $F(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$.

Poisson Process'

We are now going to look at the simplest (and most important) example of continuous time Markov Chains - the Poisson process.

Definition. Suppose S_1, S_2, \ldots are iid random variables with $S_1 \sim \operatorname{Exp}(\lambda)$. Define the *jump times* $J_0 = 0, J_1 = S_1, J_n = S_1 + \ldots + S_n$ for all n, and set $X_t = i$ if $J_i \leq t < J_{i+1}$. Then $I = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ and note that X is right-continuous and increasing. X is called a *Poisson process* of parameter/intensity λ . We sometimes refer to the jump times $(J_i)_{i\geq 1}$ as the *points* of the Poisson process, then X =number of points in [0, t].

Theorem 1.2 (Markov property). Let $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a Poisson process of intensity λ . Then for all $s\geq 0$, the process $(X_{s+t}-X_s)_{t\geq 0}$ is also a Poisson process of intensity λ , and is independent of $(X_t)_{0\leq t\leq s}$.

Proof. Set $Y_t = X_{t+s} - X_s$ for all $t \ge 0$. Let $i \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ and condition on $\{X_s = i\}$, Then the jump times for the process Y are $J_{n+1} - s, J_{n+2} - s, ...$ and the holding times are

$$T_1 = J_{n+1} - s = S_{i+1} - (s - J_i)$$

 $T_2 = S_{i+2}$
 $T_3 = S_{i+3}$
:

Since $\{X_s = i\} = \{J_i \le s\} \cap \{s < J_{i+1}\} = \{J_i \le s\} \cap \{S_{i+1} > s - J_i\}$, conditional on $\{X_s, i\}$, by the memoryless property of the exponential distribution (and

independence of S_{i+1} and J_i) we see that $T_1 \sim \operatorname{Exp}(\lambda)$. Moreover the times $J_j, j \geq 2$ are independent of $S_k, k \leq i$ and hence independent of $(X_r)_{r \leq s}$, and they have iid $\operatorname{Exp}(\lambda)$ distribution. Thus $((X_{s+t} - X_s))_{t \geq 0}$ is a Poisson process of parameter λ and is independent of $(X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq s}$.

Similar to this, one can show the Strong Markov property for a Poisson process of parameter λ . Recall a random variable $T \in [0, \infty]$ is called a *stopping time* if for all t, the event $\{T \leq t\}$ depends only on $(X_s)_{s \leq t}$.

Theorem 1.3 (Strong Markov property). Let $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a Poisson process of parameter λ and T a stopping time. Then conditional on $T < \infty$, the process $(X_{T+t} - X_T)_{t\geq 0}$ is a Poisson process of parameter λ and independent of $(X_s)_{s\leq T}$.

Theorem 1.4. Let $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be an increasing right-continuous process taking values in $\{0,1,2,\ldots\}$ with $X_0=0$. Let $\lambda>0$. Then the following are equivalent

- (a) The holding times S_1, S_2, \ldots are iid $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ and the jump chain is given by $Y_n = n$ (i.e X is a poisson process of intensity λ)
- (b) (Infinitesimal def) X has independent increments and as $h \downarrow 0$ uniformly in t we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t = 1) = \lambda h + o(h),$$

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t = 0) = 1 - \lambda h + o(h).$$

(c) X has independent and stationary increments and for all $t \geq 0$, $X_t \sim \operatorname{Poi}(\lambda t)$.

Proof. First we show (a) \Rightarrow (b). If (a) holds, then by the Markov property, the increments are independent and stationary $((X_{t+s} - X_s)_{t \geq 0}) = d(X_t - X_0)_{t \geq 0}$. Using stationarity we have (uniformly in t) as $h \to 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t = 0) = \mathbb{P}(X_h = 0) = \mathbb{P}(S_1 > h) = e^{-\lambda h} = 1 - \lambda h + o(h),$$

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t \ge 1) = \mathbb{P}(X_h \ge 1) = \mathbb{P}(S_1 \le h) = 1 - e^{-\lambda h} = \lambda h + o(h),$$

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t \ge 2) = \mathbb{P}(X_h \ge 2) = \mathbb{P}(S_1 + S_2 \le h)$$

$$\le \mathbb{P}(S_1 \le h, S_2 \le h)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(S_1 \le h)^2$$

$$= (\lambda h + o(h))^2 = o(h).$$

Now we show (b) \Rightarrow (c). If X satisfies (b), then $(X_{t+s} - X_s)_{t \geq 0}$ also satisfies (b). So X has independent and stationary increments. Now set $p_j(t) = \mathbb{P}(X_t = j)$. Then since increments are independent and X is increasing,

$$p_{j}(t+h) = \mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} = j) = \sum_{i=0}^{j} \mathbb{P}(X_{t} = j-i)\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_{t})$$
$$= p_{j}(t)(1 - \lambda h + o(h)) + p_{j-1}(t)(\lambda h + o(h)) + o(h).$$

Thus, $\frac{p_j(t+h)-p_j(t)}{h}=-\lambda p_j(t)+\lambda p_{j-1}(t)+o(1)$. Setting s=t+h, we get

$$\frac{p_j(s) - p_j(s-h)}{h} = -\lambda p_j(s-h) + \lambda p_{j-1}(s-h) + o(1).$$

In particular, $p_i(t)$ is continuous and differentiable with

$$p_j'(t) = -\lambda p_j(t) + \lambda p_{j-1}(t).$$

Differentiating

$$\left(e^{\lambda t}p(t)\right)' = \lambda e^{\lambda t}p_j(t) + e^{\lambda t}p_j'(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t}p_{j-1}(t).$$

For j = 0 we have $p_0(t + h) = p_0(t)(1 - \lambda h + o(h))$, i.e $p_0'(t) = -\lambda p_0(t)$ so $p_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$. Thus

$$p_1'(t) = -\lambda p_1(t) + \lambda e^{-\lambda t}$$
, i.e $p_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$.

And by induction

$$p_k(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!},$$

i.e $X_t \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda t)$.

Finally we show (c) \Rightarrow (a). We know X has independent stationary increments, We have for $t_1 \leq \ldots \leq t_k, \ n_1 \leq \ldots \leq n_k$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t_1} = n_1, \dots, X_{t_k} = n_k) = \mathbb{P}(X_{t_1} = n_1) \underbrace{\mathbb{P}(X_{t_2} - X_{t_1} = n_2 - n_1)}_{\sim \text{Poi}(\lambda t_1)} \dots \underbrace{\mathbb{P}(X_{t_k} - X_{t_{k-1}} = n_k - n_{k-1})}_{\sim \text{Poi}(\lambda (t_2 - t_1))}.$$

So (c) determines the finite-dimensional distributions (f.d.d) of a right-continuous process X, hence (c) determines X. So (c) \Rightarrow (a).

Question: can we show (a) \Rightarrow (c) directly? Indeed note

$$\mathbb{P}(X_t = n) = \mathbb{P}(S_1 + \ldots + S_n \le t < S_1 + \ldots + S_{n+1})$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(S_1 + \ldots + S_n \le t) - \mathbb{P}(S_1 + \ldots + S_{n+1} \le t)$$

$$= \int_0^t \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \frac{(\lambda x)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} dx - \int_0^t \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \frac{(\lambda x)^n}{n!} dx$$

$$= e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} \text{ (integration by parts)}.$$

Theorem 1.5 (Superposition). Let X and Y be two independent Poisson processes with parameters λ and μ respectively. Then $(Z_t)_{t\geq 0}=(X_t+Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a Poisson process with parameter $\lambda + \mu$.

Proof. We use (c) from the previous theorem. So Z has stationary independent increments. Also $Z_t \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda t + \mu t)$.

Theorem 1.6 (Thinning). Let X be a Poisson process with parameter λ . Let $(Z_i)_{i\geq 1}$ be a sequence of iid Bernouilli(p) random variables. Let Y be a Posisson press with values in $\{0,\ldots,\}$ which jumps at time t if and only if X_t jumps at time t and $Z_{X_t} = 1$.

In other words, we keep every point of X with probability p independently. Then Y is another Poisson process, with parameter λp and X - Y is an independent Poisson process with parameter $\lambda(1-p)$.

Proof. We shall use the infinitesimal definition. The independence of increments for Y is clear. Since $\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t \ge 2) = o(h)$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_{t+h} - Y_t = 1) = p\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t = 1) + o(h) = p\lambda h + o(h),$$

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_{t+h} - Y_t = 0) = \mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t = 0) + (1-p)\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t = 1) + o(h)$$

$$= 1 - \lambda h + (1-p)(\lambda h + o(h)) + o(h)$$

$$= 1 - \lambda ph + o(h).$$

Hence Y is Poisson of parameter λp . Clearly X - Y is a thinning of X with Bernouilli parameter 1 - p, so X - Y is Poisson of parameter $\lambda(1 - p)$.

Now we show Y and X-Y are independent. It is enough to show that the f.d.d of Y and X-Y are independent, i.e if $0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le \ldots \le t_k$, $n_1 \le \ldots \le n_k$ and $m_1 \le \ldots \le m_k$, then we want to prove

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_{t_1} = n_1, \dots, Y_{t_k} = n_k, X_{t_1} - Y_{t_1} = m_1, \dots, X_{t_k} - Y_{t_k} = m_k)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(X_{t_1} = n_1, \dots, Y_{t_k} = n_k) \mathbb{P}(X_{t_1} - Y_{t_1} = m_1, \dots, X_{t_k} - Y_{t_k} = m_K).$$

We will only show this for fixed $t\ (k=1)$ the general case follows similarly using independence of increments. We have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(Y_t = n, X_t - Y_t = m) &= \mathbb{P}(X_t = m + n, Y_t = n) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_t = m + n) \mathbb{P}(Y_t = n | X_t = m + n) \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{m+n}}{(m+n)!} \binom{m+n}{n} p^n (1-p)^m \\ &= e^{-\lambda t p} \frac{(\lambda t p)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda t (1-p)} \frac{(\lambda t (1-p))^m}{m!} \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_t = n) \mathbb{P}(X_t - Y_t = m), \end{split}$$

as required.

Theorem 1.7. Let X be a Poisson Process. Conditional on the event $(X_t = n)$, the jump times J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_n are distributed as the order statistics of n iid U[0,t] random variables. That is, they have joint density

$$f(t_1,\ldots,t_n) = \frac{n!}{t^n} \mathbb{1}(0 \le t_1 \le \ldots \le t_n \le t).$$

Proof. Since S_1, S_2, \ldots are iid $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$, the joint density of (S_1, \ldots, S_{n+1}) is

$$\lambda^{n+1} e^{-\lambda(S_1 + \dots + S_{n+1})} \mathbb{1}(S_i \ge 0 \text{ for all } i).$$

Then the jump times $J_1 = S_1, J_2 = S_1 + S_2, \dots, J_{n+1} = S_1 + \dots + S_{n+1}$ have joint density

$$g(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) = \lambda^{n+1} e^{-\lambda t_{n+1}} \mathbb{1}(0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le \dots t_{n+1}).$$

(Noting the Jacobian of the transformation is 1.) Now take $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ so

$$\mathbb{P}((J_1,\ldots,J_n)\in A|X_t=n)=\frac{\mathbb{P}((J_1,\ldots,J_n)\in A|X_t=n)}{\mathbb{P}(X_t=n)}.$$

Note

$$\mathbb{P}((J_{1}, \dots, J_{n}) \in A, X_{t} = n)
= \mathbb{P}((J_{1}, \dots, J_{n}) \in A, J_{n} \leq t < J_{n+1})
= \int_{(t_{1}, \dots, t_{n+1}) \in A \times \mathbb{R}} g(t_{1}, \dots, t_{n}) \mathbb{1}(t_{n+1} \geq t \geq t_{n}) dt_{1} \dots dt_{n+1}
= \int_{A} \int_{t}^{\infty} \lambda^{n+1} e^{-\lambda t_{n+1}} \mathbb{1}(0 \leq t_{1} \leq \dots \leq t_{n} \leq t) dt_{n+1} dt_{1} \dots dt_{n}
= \int_{A} \lambda^{n} e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{1}(0 \leq t_{1} \leq \dots \leq t_{n} \leq t) dt_{1} \dots dt_{n}.$$

Then we get

$$\mathbb{P}((J_1,\ldots,J_n)\in A|X_t=n)=\int_A\frac{n!}{t^n}\mathbb{1}(0\leq t_1\leq\ldots\leq t_n\leq t)\mathrm{d}t_1\ldots\mathrm{d}t_n.$$

As required. \Box

Now we look at a generalisation of a Poisson Process: called a Birth Process. For a Poisson Process, the rate of going from i to i+1 is λ . For a Birth Process, this is q_i (can depend on i). More precisely:

Definition (Birth Process). For each i, let $S_i = \operatorname{Exp}(q_i)$ with S_1, S_2, \ldots independent. Set $J_i = S_1 + \ldots + S_i$ and $X_t = i$ if $J_i \leq t < J_{i+1}$. Then X is called a *Birth Process*.

We have some special cases:

- 1. Simple birth process: when $q_i = \lambda i$ for i = 1, 2, ...;
- 2. Poisson Proces $q_i = \lambda$ for all i.

Motivation for Simple Birth Process (SBP): at time 0 there is only one 'individual' i.e $X_0=1$. Each individual has an exponential clock of parameter λ independently. Then if there are i individuals, the first clock rings after $\operatorname{Exp}(\lambda i)$ time, and we jump from i to i+1 individuals. Indeed, by the memoryless property, the process begins afresh after each jump.

Proposition 1.8. Let $(T_k)_{k\geq 1}$ be a sequence of independent random variables with $T_K \sim \operatorname{Exp}(q_k)$ and $\sum_k q_k < \infty$. Let $T = \inf_k T_k$. Then

- (a) $T \sim \text{Exp}\left(\sum_{k} q_{k}\right)$
- (b) The infimum is attained at a point T_K almost surely, and

$$\mathbb{P}(K=n) = \frac{q_n}{\sum_k q_k}.$$

(c) T and K are independent.

Proof. See example sheet.

The main difference between a Poisson Process and a Birth Process is that there is the possibility of explosion in the Birth Process. Recall explosion occurs when $\zeta := \sum_n S_n < \infty$.

Proposition 1.9. Let X be a Birth Process with rates q_i and $X_0 = 1$. Then

- 1. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q_i} < \infty$, then X is explosive, i.e $\mathbb{P}(\zeta < \infty) = 1$;
- 2. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q_i} = \infty$, then X is non-explosive, i.e $\mathbb{P}(\zeta = \infty) = 1$.

Remark. This shows the SBP (as well as the PP) is non-explosive.

Proof.

1. If $\sum_{n} \frac{1}{q_N} < \infty$, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n} S_{n}\right] = \sum_{n} \mathbb{E}S_{n} = \sum_{n} \frac{1}{q_{n}} < \infty.$$

Thus $\zeta = \sum_n S_n < \infty$ almost surely.

2.