# 1 Conditional Expectation

**Definition.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space. Let  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  be a collection of random variables defined on this space. Then we define  $\sigma(X_i : i \in I) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  to be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra such that all of the  $X_i$  are measurable, i.e

$$\sigma(X_i : i \in I) = \sigma(X_i^{-1}(B) : i \in I, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})).$$

**Definition.** If  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  has  $\mathbb{P}(B) > 0$  then we define

$$\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$$

for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . Furthermore, if X is an integrable random variable we define

$$\mathbb{E}[X|B] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X\mathbb{1}(B)]}{\mathbb{P}(B)}.$$

**Definition.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space. We say a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  is countably generated if there exist  $(B_i)_{i \in I}$  pairwise disjoint (with I countable) such that  $\bigcup_{i \in I} B_i = \Omega$  and  $\mathcal{G} = \sigma(B_i : i \in I)$ .

Let X be an integrable random variable and  $\mathcal{G}$  a countably generated  $\sigma$ -algebra. We want to define  $X' = \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]$ . So define

$$X'(\omega) = \mathbb{E}[X|B_i]$$
 whenever  $\omega \in B_i$ .

Or equivalently,

$$X'(\omega) = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{E}[X|B_i] \mathbb{1}(\omega \in B_i)$$

where we use the convention that  $\mathbb{E}[X|B_i] = 0$  if  $\mathbb{P}(B_i) = 0$ . Then X' is indeed  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable (note  $\mathcal{G}$  is the set of  $\bigcup_{j \in J} B_j$  for  $J \subseteq I$ ).

Note that for any  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  we have  $\mathbb{E}[X\mathbb{1}(G)] = \mathbb{E}[X'\mathbb{1}(G)]$ . Also

$$\mathbb{E}[|X'|] \le \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{E}[|X||B_i]\mathbb{1}(B_i)\right] = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{E}[|X||B_i]\mathbb{P}(B_i) = \mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$$

so X' is integrable.

**Theorem** (Monotone convergence theorem). Let  $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$  be a sequence of non-negative random variables with  $X_n \uparrow X$  as  $n \to \infty$  almost-surely. Then  $\mathbb{E}X_n \uparrow \mathbb{E}X$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Proof. See Part II Probability & Measure.

**Theorem** (Dominated convergence theorem). Let  $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$  be a sequence of random variables with  $X_n \to X$  as  $n \to \infty$  almost-surely and  $|X_n| \leq Y$  almost-surely for some Y integrable. Then  $\mathbb{E}X_n \to \mathbb{E}X$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* See Part II Probability & Measure.

**Definition**  $(L^p)$ . Let  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and f be a measurable function. Define the  $L^p$ -norm

$$||f||_p = (\mathbb{E}[|f|^p])^{1/p} \text{ for } p \in [1, \infty)$$
$$||f||_{\infty} = \inf\{\lambda : |f| \le \lambda \text{ a.e}\}.$$

Furthermore write  $f \sim g$  if f = g almost everywhere. Then define the  $L^p$ -space  $\mathcal{L}^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = \{f : ||f||_p < \infty\} / \sim$ .

**Theorem** ( $\mathcal{L}^2$  is a Hilbert space).  $\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  is a Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle U, V \rangle = \mathbb{E}[UV]$ . For a closed subspace  $\mathcal{H}$ , if  $f \in \mathcal{L}^2$  there exists a unique  $g \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $||f - g||_2 = \inf\{||f - h||_2 : h \in \mathcal{H}\}$  and  $\langle f - g, h \rangle = 0$  for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ . g is called the orthogonal projection of f on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Proof. See Part II Probability & Measure.

**Theorem** (Conditional expectation). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space and  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  a sub  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $X \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . Then there exists an integrable random variable Y satisfying

- (a) Y is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable;
- (b) for all  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[X\mathbb{1}(A)] = \mathbb{E}[Y\mathbb{1}(A)]$ .

Moreover Y is unique, in the sense that if Y' also satisfies (a) and (b), then Y = Y' almost-surely. We call Y a version of the conditional expectation of X given  $\mathcal{G}$ . We write  $Y = \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]$  almost-surely. If  $\mathcal{G} = \sigma(Z)$  for a random variable Z, then we write  $\mathbb{E}[X|Z] = \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]$ .

**Remark.** (b) could be replaced by  $\mathbb{E}[XZ] = \mathbb{E}[YZ]$  for all Z bounded and  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable.

*Proof.* First we show uniqueness. Suppose Y and Y' both satisfy (a) and (b) and let  $A = \{Y > Y'\} \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}[Y\mathbb{1}(A)] = \mathbb{E}[Y'\mathbb{1}(A)] \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[(Y - Y')\mathbb{1}(A)] = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(Y > Y') = 0 \Rightarrow Y \leq Y' \text{ a.s.}$$
 and similarly  $Y \geq Y'$  a.s.

Now we show existence. First assume  $X \in \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{F})$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{G}) \oplus \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{G})^{\perp}$$

so we can write X = Y + Z for  $Y \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{G})$  and  $Z \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{G})^{\perp}$ . Define  $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] = Y$ , so Y is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable and for all  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ 

$$\mathbb{E}[X\mathbbm{1}(A)] = \mathbb{E}[Y\mathbbm{1}(A)] + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[Z\mathbbm{1}(A)]}_{=0} = \mathbb{E}[Y\mathbbm{1}(A)].$$

We claim that if  $X \geq 0$  almost-surely, then  $Y \geq 0$  almost-surely. Indeed, let  $A = \{Y < 0\} \in \mathcal{G}$  so  $0 \leq \mathbb{E}[X\mathbbm{1}(Y < 0)] = \mathbb{E}[Y\mathbbm{1}(Y < 0)] \leq 0$  which implies  $\mathbb{P}(Y < 0) = 0$ .

Assume now that  $X \geq 0$  almost-surely. Define  $X_n = X \land n \leq n$ , so  $X_n \in \mathcal{L}^2$  for all n. Let  $Y_n = \mathbb{E}[X_n|\mathcal{G}]$ . Then  $X_n$  is an increasing sequence and by the above claim,  $Y_n$  is also an increasing sequence almost-surely. Define  $Y = \limsup_{n \to \infty} Y_n$ , so Y is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable. Also  $Y = \uparrow \lim_{n \to \infty} Y_n$  almost-surely. For any  $A \in \mathcal{G}$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X\mathbb{1}(A)] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[X_n\mathbb{1}(A)] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[Y_n\mathbb{1}(A)] = \mathbb{E}[Y\mathbb{1}(A)]$$

by the Monotone Convergence Theorem.

Finally, for general X write  $X = X^+ - X^-$  and define  $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] = \mathbb{E}[X^+|\mathcal{G}] - \mathbb{E}[X^-|\mathcal{G}]$ .

**Remark.** From the last proof we can see that we can define  $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]$  for  $X \geq 0$  without assuming integrability of X. It satisfies all the conditions apart from integrability.

**Definition.** Let  $(\mathcal{G}_n)_{n\geq 1}$  be sub  $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{F}$ . We call them *independent* if whenever  $G_i \in \mathcal{G}_i$  and  $i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_k$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}(G_{i_1}\cap\ldots\cap G_{i_k})=\prod_{j=1}^k\mathbb{P}(G_{i_j}).$$

For a random variable X and a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ , we say they are *independent* if  $\sigma(X)$  is independent of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

## Properties of conditional expectation

Let  $X, Y \in \mathcal{L}^1$ ,  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  a sub  $\sigma$ -algebra. Then

- 1.  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]] = \mathbb{E}[X]$  (take  $A = \Omega$ );
- 2. If X is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable then  $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] = X$  almost-surely (X clearly satisfies the conditions);
- 3. If X is independent of  $\mathcal{G}$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] = \mathbb{E}[X]$  almost-surely;
- 4. If  $X \geq 0$  almost-surely then  $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] \geq 0$  almost-surely;
- 5. For  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[\alpha X + \beta Y | \mathcal{G}] = \alpha \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{G}] + \beta \mathbb{E}[Y | \mathcal{G}]$  almost-surely;
- 6.  $|\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]| \leq \mathbb{E}[|X||\mathcal{G}]$  almost-surely.

Recall:

**Theorem** (Fatou's Lemma). If  $X_n \geq 0$  for all n almost-surely, then

$$\mathbb{E}[\liminf_{n\geq 1} X_n] \leq \liminf_{n\geq 1} \mathbb{E} X_n.$$

*Proof.* See Part II Probability & Measure.

**Theorem** (Jensen's Inequality). If X is integrable,  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex, then

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X)] \ge \varphi(\mathbb{E}[X]).$$

We consider any analogues of our convergence theorems for conditional expectation.

**Theorem** (Conditional Monotone Convergence Theorem). Suppose  $X_n \geq 0$  for all n and  $X_n \uparrow X$  almost-surely as  $n \to \infty$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a sub  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}[X_n|\mathcal{G}] \uparrow \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]$  almost-surely.

**Remark.** Note that  $\mathbb{E}[X_n|\mathcal{G}] \uparrow \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]$  in the almost-sure sense, as these are random variables.

*Proof.* Let  $Y_n = \mathbb{E}[X_n|\mathcal{G}]$  almost-surely. Then  $Y_n$  is increasing. Set  $Y = \mathbb{E}[X_n|\mathcal{G}]$  $\limsup_{n>1} Y_n$ . Since  $Y_n$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable, Y is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable. Also  $Y=\uparrow$  $\lim_{n>1} \bar{Y_n}$  almost-surely. We need to show  $\mathbb{E}[Y\mathbb{1}(A)] = \mathbb{E}[X\mathbb{1}(A)]$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ . This follows from the usual Monotone Convergence Theorem as

$$\mathbb{E}[Y \mathbb{1}(A)] = \lim_{n \ge 1} \mathbb{E}[Y_n \mathbb{1}(A)] = \lim_{n \ge 1} \mathbb{E}[X_n \mathbb{1}(A)] = \mathbb{E}[X \mathbb{1}(A)].$$

**Theorem** (Conditional Fatou's Lemma). Let  $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$  be a non-negative sequence of random variables. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[\liminf_{n\to\infty} X_n|\mathcal{G}] \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[X_n|\mathcal{G}] \text{ almost-surely.}$$

*Proof.* Note that  $\inf_{k\geq n} X_k \uparrow \liminf_{n\to\infty} X_n$  so by the conditional MCT

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[\inf_{k>n} X_k | \mathcal{G}] = \mathbb{E}[\liminf_{n\to\infty} X_n | \mathcal{G}].$$

We also have

$$\mathbb{E}[\inf_{k \geq n} X_k | \mathcal{G}] \leq \mathbb{E}[X_k | \mathcal{G}] \quad \forall k \geq n \text{ almost-surely}.$$

Which implies

$$\mathbb{E}[\inf_{k\geq n} X_k | \mathcal{G}] \leq \inf_{k\geq n} \mathbb{E}[X_k | \mathcal{G}] \quad \forall k \geq n \text{ almost-surely}$$

since k takes countable values (intersection of countable sets of full measure also has full measure). Now taking limits as  $n \to \infty$  we are done.

**Theorem** (Conditional Dominated Convergence Theorem). Suppose  $X_n \to X$ almost-surely,  $|X_n| \leq Y$  almost-surely with Y integrable. Then  $\mathbb{E}[X_n|\mathcal{G}] \rightarrow$  $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]$  almost-surely.

*Proof.* We apply the Conditional Fatou's Lemma. Indeed  $-Y \leq X_n \leq Y$  so  $X_n + Y \ge 0$  and  $Y - X_n \ge 0$  for all n. By Conditional Fatou's Lemma

$$\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] + \mathbb{E}[Y|\mathcal{G}] = \mathbb{E}[X+Y|\mathcal{G}] = \mathbb{E}[\liminf_{n \to \infty} (X_n + Y)] \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[X_n|\mathcal{G}] + \mathbb{E}[Y|\mathcal{G}]$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[Y|\mathcal{G}] - \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] = \mathbb{E}[\liminf_{n \to \infty} (Y - X_n)|\mathcal{G}] \le \mathbb{E}[Y|\mathcal{G}] + \liminf_{n \to \infty} (-\mathbb{E}[X_n|\mathcal{G}]).$$

Hence  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[X_n|\mathcal{G}] \leq \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]$  and  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[X_n|\mathcal{G}] \geq \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]$  almostsurely. 

**Theorem** (Conditional Jensen's Inequality). Let X be integrable,  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  a convex function such that  $\varphi(X)$  is integrable or  $\varphi(X) \geq 0$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X)|\mathcal{G}] \geq$  $\varphi(\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}])$  almost-surely.

*Proof.* We claim that  $\varphi(x) = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (a_i x + b_i), \ a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Then  $\varphi(X) = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (a_i X + b_i)$ . So

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X)|\mathcal{G}] \ge \sup_{n \ge 1} (a_i \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] + b_i) \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ almost-surely.}$$

**Note.** We need the supremum in the claim to be over a countable set so we can preserve the almost-sue property of an inequality.

Corollary. For all  $p \in [1, \infty)$  we have

$$||\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]||_p \le ||X||_p.$$

*Proof.* Apply conditional Jensen  $(x \mapsto x^p \text{ is convex})$ .

**Theorem** (Tower property). Let X be integrable and  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  sub  $\sigma$ -algebras. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]|\mathcal{H}] = \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{H}]$$
 almost-surely.

*Proof.*  $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{H}]$  is certainly  $\mathcal{H}$ -measurable so it remains to check

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]\mathbb{1}(A)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{H}]\mathbb{1}(A)] \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{H}.$$

But since  $A \in \mathcal{G}$  whenever  $A \in \mathcal{H}$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]\mathbb{1}(A)] = \mathbb{E}[X\mathbb{1}(A)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{H}]\mathbb{1}(A)].$$

**Proposition.** Let  $X \in \mathcal{L}^1$ ,  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  a sub  $\sigma$ -algebra, Y bounded and  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[XY|\mathcal{G}] = Y\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]$$
 almost-surely.

*Proof.*  $Y\mathbb{E}[X\mathcal{G}]$  is certainly  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable. Also for any  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ 

$$\mathbb{E}[XY\mathbb{1}(A)] = \mathbb{E}[X \underbrace{(Y\mathbb{1}(A))}_{\text{bounded,}}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}](Y\mathbb{1}(A))].$$

**Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a collection of sets. It is called a  $\pi$ -system if whenever  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  we have  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Recall

**Theorem** (Uniqueness of extension). Let  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  be a measurable space and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\pi$ -system generating  $\mathcal{E}$ . Let  $\mu, \nu$  be two measures on  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  with  $\mu(E) = \nu(E) < \infty$ . If  $\mu = \nu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ . then  $\mu = \nu$  on  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Proof. See Part II Probability & Measure.

**Theorem.** Let  $X \in \mathcal{L}^1$ ,  $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  sub  $\sigma$ -algebras. Assume  $\sigma(X, \mathcal{G})$  is independent of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}[X|\sigma(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{H})] = \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]$$
 almost-surely.

*Proof.* We need to show  $\mathbb{E}[X\mathbb{1}(F)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]\mathbb{1}(F)]$  for all  $F \in \sigma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ . Define  $\mathcal{A} = \{A \cap B : A \in \mathcal{G}, B \in \mathcal{H}\}$ . This is a  $\pi$ -system generating  $\sigma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ . If  $F = A \cap B$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{G}, B \in \mathcal{H}$  then

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[X\mathbbm{1}(A\cap B)] &= \mathbb{E}[\underbrace{X\mathbbm{1}(A)}_{\sigma(X,\mathcal{G})\text{measurable}} \mathbbm{1}(B)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X\mathbbm{1}(A)]\mathbb{P}(B) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\underbrace{\mathbb{E}[X\mathbbm{1}(A)]\mathbb{P}(B)}_{\mathcal{G}} \mathbb{P}(B) \end{split}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]\mathbb{1}(A)\mathbb{1}(B)].$$

Assume  $X \geq 0$ . Define  $\mu(F) = \mathbb{E}[X\mathbb{1}(F)]$  and  $\nu(F) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]\mathbb{1}(F)]$  for  $F \in \sigma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ . Then  $\mu = \nu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  by the above and  $\mu(\Omega) = \nu(\Omega) < \infty$ . Therefore  $\mu = \nu$  on  $\sigma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ .

**Definition.** We say  $(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  has the Gaussian distribution iff for all  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$a_1X_1 + \ldots + a_nX_n$$

has the Gaussian distribution in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

A process  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is called a Gaussian process if  $\forall t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_n$ , the vector  $(X_{t_1}, \ldots, X_{t_n})$  is a Gaussian random vector.

**Example.** Let (X,Y) be a Gaussian vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We want to compute  $\mathbb{E}[X|Y] = \mathbb{E}[X|\sigma(Y)]$ . Let  $X' = \mathbb{E}[X|Y]$ . Since X' is  $\sigma(Y)$ -measurable it follows X' is a measurable function of Y. So are looking for f Borel such that  $\mathbb{E}[X|Y] = f(Y)$  almost-surely. Let f(y) = ay + b for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  to be determined.

Since  $\mathbb{E}[X'] = \mathbb{E}[X]$  we have  $a\mathbb{E}Y + b = \mathbb{E}X$ . Also

$$\mathbb{E}[XY] = \mathbb{E}[X'Y] \implies \mathbb{E}[(X - X')Y] = 0$$

$$\implies \operatorname{Cov}(X - X', Y) = 0$$

$$\implies \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) = a\operatorname{Var}(Y)$$

so we have determined a, b. We need to check that for any Z bounded and  $\sigma(Y)$ -measurable we have  $\mathbb{E}[(X-X')Z]=0$ . Write Z=g(Y) and note  $\mathrm{Cov}(X-X',Y)=0$ , implying X-X' is independent of Y. Therefore  $\mathbb{E}[(X-X')g(Y)]=\mathbb{E}[X-X']\mathbb{E}[g(Y)]=0$ .

**Example.** Let (X,Y) be a random vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with joint density function  $f_{X,Y}(x,y)$ . Let  $h:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$  be a Borel function such that h(X) is integrable. We want to compute  $\mathbb{E}[h(X)|Y]$ . Note

$$\mathbb{E}[h(X)g(Y)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h(x)g(x)f_{X,Y}(x,y)dxdy$$

and write

$$f_Y(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx$$

for the density of Y. So (using the convention 0/0 = 0)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x) \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_{Y}(y)} dx \right) g(y) f_{Y}(y) dy$$

define

$$\varphi(y) = \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x) \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_{Y}(y)} dx & \text{if } f_{Y}(y) > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then  $\mathbb{E}[h(X)|Y] = \varphi(Y)$  almost-surely.

## 2 Martingales

## 2.1 Discrete-time Martingales

**Definition.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space. A filtration is a sequence of increasing sub  $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_n\subseteq \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$ . We call  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n\geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$  a filtered probability space.

If  $X = (X_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is a sequence of random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , define  $\mathcal{F}_n^X = \sigma(X_k : k \leq n)$ , the natural filtration associated with X. We say X is adapted to a filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_n)$  if  $X_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable for all n. X is integrable if  $X_n$  is integrable for all n.

**Definition.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n\geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$  be a filtered probability space. We say an integrable adapted process  $X = (X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is called a

 $\bullet$  martingale if

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n|\mathcal{F}_m] = X_m$$
 almost-surely  $\forall n \geq m$ .

• super-martingale if

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n|\mathcal{F}_m] \leq X_m$$
 almost-surely  $\forall n \geq m$ .

• *sub-martingale* if

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n|\mathcal{F}_m] \geq X_m$$
 almost-surely  $\forall n \geq m$ .

**Remark.** If X is a martingale with respect to  $(\mathcal{F}_n)$ , then it is also a martingale with respect to the natural filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_n^X)$ .

**Example.** Let  $(\xi_i)$  be a sequence of iid random variables with  $\mathbb{E}[\xi_1] = 0$ . Let  $X_n = \xi_1 + \ldots + \xi_n$ ,  $X_0 = 0$ . This is a martingale. We have

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = \xi_1 + \ldots + \xi_{n-1} + \mathbb{E}[\xi_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = \xi_1 + \ldots + \xi_{n-1}$$

by independence.

**Example.** Let  $(\xi_i)$  be a sequence of iid random variables with  $\mathbb{E}[\xi_1] = 1$ . Let  $X_n = \prod_{i=1}^n \xi_i, X_0 = 1$ . This is a martingale.

**Definition.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n\geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$  be a filtered probability space. A *stopping time T* is a random variable  $T: \Omega \to \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$  such that  $\{T \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$  for all n

**Note.** T being a stopping time is equivalent to  $\{T = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$  for all n.

#### Examples.

- Constant times are trivial stopping times;
- Suppose  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is an adapted process taking values in  $\mathbb{R}$ . For  $A\in\mathcal{B}$  define  $T_A=\inf\{n\geq 0: X_n\in A\}$  (with the convention that  $\inf\emptyset=\infty$ ). Then  $\{T_A\leq n\}=\bigcup_{k\leq n}\{X_k\in A\}\in\mathcal{F}_n$ , so  $T_A$  is a stopping time;
- In the setting above, let  $L_A = \sup\{n \geq 0 : X_n \in A\}$ . This is in general not a stopping time.

**Proposition.** Let  $S, T, (T_n)$  be stopping times. Then  $S \wedge T$ ,  $S \vee T$ , inf  $T_n$ , sup  $T_n$ ,  $\lim \inf T_n$  and  $\lim \sup T_n$  are also stopping times.

*Proof.* Follows directly from the definition.

**Definition.** If T is a stopping time, we define

$$\mathcal{F}_T = \{ A \in \mathcal{F} : A \cap \{ T \le t \} \in \mathcal{F}_t, \ \forall t \}.$$

If  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is a process, write  $X_T(\omega)=X_{T(\omega)}(\omega)$  whenever  $T(\omega)<\infty$ . We define the stopped process  $X_t^T=X_{T\wedge t}$ .

**Proposition.** Let S and T be stopping times and let X be an adapted process. Then

- 1. If  $S \leq T$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_S \subseteq \mathcal{F}_T$ ;
- 2.  $X_T \mathbb{1}(T < \infty)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable;
- 3.  $X^T$  is adapted;
- 4. If X is integrable, then  $X^T$  is also integrable.

#### Proof.

- 1. Immediate from the definition;
- 2. Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . We need to show  $\{X_T \mathbb{1}(T < \infty) \in \mathcal{A}\} \in \mathcal{F}_T$ . Note that

$$\{X_T\mathbb{1}(T<\infty)\in A\}\cap\{T\leq t\}=\bigcup_{s=0}^t\underbrace{\{X_s\in A\}\cap\{T=s\}}_{\in\mathcal{F}_s\subseteq\mathcal{F}_t}\cap\underbrace{\{T=s\}}_{\in\mathcal{F}_s}\in\mathcal{F}_t.$$

3.  $X_t^T = X_{T \wedge t}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{T \wedge t}$ -measurable so  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable by (1).

4. We have

$$\mathbb{E}[|X_t^T|] = \mathbb{E}[|X_{T \wedge t}|] = \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \mathbb{E}[|X_s| \mathbb{1}(T=s)] + \mathbb{E}[|X_t| \mathbb{1}(T \geq t)]$$

$$\leq \sum_{s=0}^{t} \mathbb{E}[|X_s|] < \infty.$$

**Theorem** (Optional Stopping Theorem). Let  $(X_n)$  be a martingale.

- 1. If T is a stopping time, then  $X^T$  is also a martingale. In particular  $\mathbb{E}[X_{T \wedge t}] = \mathbb{E}[X_0]$  for all t;
- 2. If  $S \leq T$  are bounded stopping times then  $\mathbb{E}[X_T | \mathcal{F}_S] = X_S$  almost-surely, and  $\mathbb{E}[X_T] = \mathbb{E}[X_S]$ ;
- 3. If there exists an integrable random variable Y such that  $|X_n| \leq Y$  for all n, and T is finite almost-surely then  $\mathbb{E}[X_T] = \mathbb{E}[X_0]$ ;
- 4. If there exists M > 0 such that  $|X_{n+1} X_n| \le M$  for all n, and T is a stopping time with  $\mathbb{E}T < \infty$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[X_T] = \mathbb{E}[X_0]$ .

Proof.

1. We need to show that for all t we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{T \wedge t} | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = X_{T \wedge (t-1)}$$

almost-surely. Indeed

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{T \wedge t} | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{s=0}^{t-1} X_s \mathbb{1}(T=s) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}\right] + \mathbb{E}[X_t \mathbb{1}(T \ge t) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} X_s \mathbb{1}(T=s) + \mathbb{1}(T \ge t) X_{t-1}$$

$$= X_{T \wedge (t-1)}$$

using the fact that  $\mathbb{1}(T \geq t)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{t-1}$ -measurable;

2. Suppose  $S \leq T \leq n$  and let  $A \in \mathcal{F}_S$ . We need to show  $\mathbb{E}[X_T \mathbb{1}(A)] = \mathbb{E}[X_S \mathbb{1}(A)]$ . Note

$$X_T - X_S = (X_T - X_{T-1}) + \dots + (X_{S+1} - X_S)$$

$$= \sum_{k \ge 0} (X_{k+1} - X_k) \mathbb{1}(S \le k < T)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} (X_{k+1} - X_k) \mathbb{1}(S \le k < T). \qquad (T \le n)$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{E}[X_T \mathbb{1}(A)] = \mathbb{E}[X_S \mathbb{1}(A)] + \sum_{k=0}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_{k+1} - X_k) \underbrace{\mathbb{1}(S \le k < T)\mathbb{1}(A)}_{\in \mathcal{F}_k}]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[X_S \mathbb{1}(A)]$$

since  $\mathbb{E}[X_{k+1}|\mathcal{F}_k] = X_k$  almost-surely. Taking expectations gives  $\mathbb{E}[X_T] = \mathbb{E}[X_S]$ ;

- 3. Example Sheet;
- 4. Example Sheet.

**Note.** Analogous results follow if  $(X_n)$  is instead a sub/super-martingale.

**Corollary.** If X is a positive super-martingale, T is a stopping time,  $T < \infty$  almost-surely, then  $\mathbb{E}[X_T] \leq \mathbb{E}[X_0]$ .

*Proof.* Fatou's lemma gives  $\mathbb{E}[\liminf_t X_{T \wedge t}] \leq \liminf_t \mathbb{E}[X_{T \wedge t}] \leq \mathbb{E}[X_0].$ 

**Example.** Let  $(\xi_i)_{i\geq 0}$  be iid with  $\mathbb{P}(\xi_0=1)=\mathbb{P}(\xi_0=-1)=1/2$ . Define  $X_0=0$  and  $X_n=\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$  for  $n\geq 1$ . Then  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is a martingale. Define  $T=\inf\{n\geq 0: X_n=1\}$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}(T<\infty)=1$  and for all t we have  $\mathbb{E}[X_{T\wedge t}]=0$ , while  $\mathbb{E}[X_T]=1$ . Hence (4) from the previous theorem tells us  $\mathbb{E}T=\infty$ .

**Example.** Consider a SRW on  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $X_0 = 0$ ,  $X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$  with  $(\xi_i)_{i \geq 1}$  iid taking values  $\pm 1$  with equal probability. Define  $T_c = \inf\{n \geq 0 : X_n = c\}$  and set  $T = T_{-a} \wedge T_b$ . What is  $\mathbb{P}(T_{-a} < T_b)$ ?

We have that  $X_n^T = X_{T \wedge n}$  is a martingale by the optional stopping theorem. Furthermore  $|X_{n+1} - X_n| = 1$  for all n. Need to check  $\mathbb{E}[T] < \infty$ : consider blocks

- $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{a+b}$
- $\xi_{a+b+1}, \dots, \xi_{2(a+b)}$
- $\xi_{2(a+b)+1}, \dots, \xi_{3(a+b)}$
- •

note that the probability the  $\xi_i$  in one of these blocks are all equal to either 1 or -1 is  $2 \cdot 2^{-(a+b)}$ . Hence  $T \leq (a+b) \text{Geo}(2 \cdot 2^{-(a+b)})$  and  $\mathbb{E}T \leq (a+b) 2^{a+b-1} < \infty$ .

So applying the optional stopping theorem to T we have  $\mathbb{E}[X_T] = \mathbb{E}[X_0] = 0$ . Hence  $-a\mathbb{P}(T_{-a} < T_b) + b\mathbb{P}(T_b < T_{-a}) = 0$  and  $\mathbb{P}(T_{-a} < T_b) + \mathbb{P}(T_b < T_{-a})$ , which gives  $\mathbb{P}(T_{-a} < T_b) = \frac{b}{a+b}$ .

#### Martingale convergence theoem

**Theorem** (Almost-sure martingale convergence theorem). Let X be a supermartingale bounded in  $\mathcal{L}^1$ , i.e  $\sup_{n\geq 0} \mathbb{E}|X_n| < \infty$ . Then there exists a random variable  $X_\infty \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{F}_\infty)$  where  $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \sigma(\mathcal{F}_n : n \geq 0)$  such that  $X_n \to X_\infty$  almost-surely as  $n \to \infty$ .

Before we can prove this we will need some preliminary results.

#### Doob's upcrossing inequality

For a real sequence  $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ , for an interval [a,b] we want to count the number of times  $(x_n)$  crosses below a or above b. Define  $T_0(x)=0$  and define for  $k\geq 0$ 

$$S_{k+1}(x) = \inf\{n \ge T_k(x) : x_n \le a\}$$
 the  $(k+1)$ st downcrossing  $T_{k+1}(x) = \inf\{n \ge S_{k+1}(x) : x_n \ge b\}$  the  $(k+1)$ st upcrossing.

Also let  $N_n([a,b],x) = \sup\{k \geq 0 : T_k(x) \leq n\}$ , the number of up crossings up to time N. Then as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $N_n([a,b],x) \uparrow N([a,b],x) = \sup\{k \geq 0 : T_k(x) < \infty\}$ .

**Lemma.** Let  $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a real sequence. Then x converges in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$  if and only if for all a < b,  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$  we have  $N([a, b], x) < \infty$ .

*Proof.* If x converges then suppose there is a < b with  $N([a, b], x) = \infty$ . Then

$$\liminf x_n \le a < b \le \limsup x_n$$

a contradiction.

Conversely, if x doesn't converge we have  $\liminf x_n < \limsup x_n$  so there are a < b (with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ ) with  $\liminf x_n < a < b < \limsup x_n$  and hence  $N([a, b], x) = \infty$ .

Now we can prove

**Theorem** (Doob's upcrossing inequality). Let X be a supermartingale and a < b. Then for all n,

$$(b-a)\mathbb{E}[N_n([a,b],X)] \le \mathbb{E}[(X_n-a)^-].$$

*Proof.* We have  $(T_k)_{k>0}$ ,  $(S_k)_{k>0}$  stopping times. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (X_{T_k \wedge n} - T_{S_k \wedge n}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_n([a,b],X)} \underbrace{(X_{T_k} - X_{S_k})}_{\geq b-a} + \underbrace{(X_n - X_{S_{N_n+1}})\mathbb{1}(S_{N_n+1} \leq n)}_{\geq (X_n - a)\vee 0 = -(X_n - a)^-}.$$

Note  $T_k \wedge n, S_k \wedge n$  are stopping times with  $T_k \wedge n \geq S_k \wedge n$ . Then by the optional stopping theorem  $\mathbb{E}[X_{T_k \wedge n}] \leq \mathbb{E}[X_{S_k \wedge n}]$ . So taking expectations we have

$$0 \ge (b-a)\mathbb{E}[N_n] - \mathbb{E}[(X_n - a)^-].$$

Now we are ready to prove

**Theorem** (Almost-sure martingale convergence theorem). Let X be a supermartingale bounded in  $\mathcal{L}^1$ , i.e  $\sup_{n\geq 0} \mathbb{E}|X_n| < \infty$ . Then there exists a random variable  $X_\infty \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{F}_\infty)$  where  $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \sigma(\mathcal{F}_n : n \geq 0)$  such that  $X_n \to X_\infty$  almost-surely as  $n \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$  be such that a < b. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[N_n([a,b],X)] \le (b-a)^{-1} \mathbb{E}[(X_n-a)^{-1}]$$

$$\le (b-a)^{-1} \mathbb{E}[|X_n|+a]$$

$$\le (b-a)^{-1} \left( \sup_{n \ge 0} \mathbb{E}[|X_n|] + 1 \right).$$

We know  $N_n([a,b],X) \uparrow N([a,b],X)$  as  $n \to \infty$ , so by monotone convergence,  $\mathbb{E}[N([a,b],X)] < \infty$ . Set

$$\Omega_0 = \bigcap_{\substack{a < b \\ a, b \in \mathbb{Q}}} \{ N([a, b], X) < \infty \} \in \mathcal{F}_{\infty}$$

so  $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_0) = 1$  as the intersection of almost-sure events. On  $\Omega_0$ , X converges by a previous lemma. Set

$$X_{\infty} = \begin{cases} \lim_{n \to \infty} X_n & \text{on } \Omega_0 \\ 0 & \text{on } \Omega \setminus \Omega_0 \end{cases}.$$

So  $X_{\infty}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{\infty}$ -measurable, and  $X_n \to X_{\infty}$  almost surely. Also

$$\mathbb{E}[|X_{\infty}|] = \mathbb{E}[\liminf_n |X_n|] \leq \liminf_n \mathbb{E}[|X_n|] < \infty$$

by Fatou.

Corollary. Let X be a positive super-martingale. Then X converges almost-surely.

*Proof.*  $\mathbb{E}[|X_n|] = \mathbb{E}[X_n] \leq \mathbb{E}[X_0]$ . So apply the previous.

## Doob's inequalities

**Theorem** (Doob's maximal inequality). Let X be a non-negative submartingale. Set  $X_n^* = \sup_{0 \le k \le n} X_k$ . Then for all  $k \ge 0$ 

$$\lambda \mathbb{P}(X_n^* \ge \lambda) \le \mathbb{E}[X_n \mathbb{1}(X_n^* \ge \lambda)] \le \mathbb{E}[X_n].$$

*Proof.* Let  $T = \inf\{k \geq 0 : X_k \geq \lambda\}$ . Then T is a stopping time and  $\{X_n^* \geq \lambda\} = \{T \leq n\}$ . By the optional stopping theorem we have  $\mathbb{E}[X_{T \wedge n}] \leq \mathbb{E}[X_n]$  and note

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n] \ge \mathbb{E}[X_{T \wedge n}] = \mathbb{E}[X_T \mathbb{1}(T \le n)] + \mathbb{E}[X_n \mathbb{1}(T > n)]$$
$$\ge \lambda \mathbb{P}(T \le n) + \mathbb{E}[X_n \mathbb{1}(T > n)].$$

Therefore

$$\lambda \mathbb{P}(X_n^* \ge \lambda) = \lambda \mathbb{P}(T \le n) \le \mathbb{E}[X_n \mathbb{1}(T \le n)] = \mathbb{E}[X_n \mathbb{1}(X_n^* \ge \lambda)].$$

**Theorem.** Doob's  $\mathcal{L}^p$ -inequality Let p > 1 and let X be a martingale or a non-negative submartingale. Set  $X_n^* = \sup_{0 < k < n} |X_k|$ . Then

$$||X_n^*||_p \le \frac{p}{p-1} ||X_n||_p.$$

*Proof.* By Jensen's inequality it is enough to prove for X a non-negative submartingale. Let k>0 and note

$$(y \wedge k)^p = \int_0^k px^{p-1} \mathbb{1}(y \ge x) dx$$

so

$$\begin{split} \|X_n^* \wedge k\|_p^p &= \mathbb{E}[(X_n^* \wedge k)^p] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^k px^{p-1}\mathbb{1}(X_n^* \geq x)\mathrm{d}x\right] \\ &= \int_0^k px^{p-1}\mathbb{P}(X_n^* \geq x)\mathrm{d}x \qquad \text{(Fubini)} \\ &\leq \int_0^k px^{p-1}x^{-1}\mathbb{E}[X_n\mathbb{1}(X_n^* \geq x)]\mathrm{d}x \qquad \text{(Doob's max inequality)} \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^k px^{p-2}\mathbb{1}(X_n^* \geq x)\mathrm{d}xX_n\right] \qquad \text{(Fubini)} \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[X_n\frac{p}{p-1}(X_n^* \wedge k)^{p-1}\right] \\ &\leq \frac{p}{p-1}\|X_n\|_p\|X_n^* \wedge k\|_p^{p-1}. \qquad \text{(H\"older)} \end{split}$$

Therefore  $||X_n^* \wedge k||_p \leq \frac{p}{p-1} ||X_n||_p$ . Taking  $k \to \infty$  gives the result by monotone convergence.

**Theorem** ( $\mathcal{L}^p$ -convergence theorems). Let X be a martingale, p > 1. The following are equivalent

- 1. X is bounded in  $\mathcal{L}^p$ , i.e  $\sup_{n>0} ||X_n||_p < \infty$ .
- 2. X converges almost-surely and in  $\mathcal{L}^p$  to a limit  $X_{\infty} \in \mathcal{L}^p$ .
- 3. There exists  $Z \in \mathcal{L}^p$  such that  $X_n = \mathbb{E}[Z|\mathcal{F}_n]$  almost-surely.

*Proof.* (1 $\Rightarrow$ 2) If X is bounded in  $\mathcal{L}^p$  then it its bounded in  $\mathcal{L}^1$ . Hence there exists  $X_{\infty}$  such that  $X_n \to X_{\infty}$  almost-surely as  $n \to \infty$ . Furthermore

$$\mathbb{E}|X_{\infty}|^p = \mathbb{E}[\liminf_n |X_n|^p] \le \liminf_n \mathbb{E}[|X_n|^p] < \infty$$
 (Fatou)

so  $X_{\infty} \in \mathcal{L}^p$ . Define  $X_n^* = \sup_{0 \le k \le n} |X_k|$ ,  $X_{\infty}^* = \sup_{k \ge 0} |X_k|$ . Then  $|X_n - X_{\infty}| \le 2X_{\infty}^*$  for all n. By dominated convergence it is enough to show  $X_{\infty}^* \in \mathcal{L}^p$ . Doob's  $\mathcal{L}^p$  inequality gives

$$||X_n^*||_p \le \frac{p}{p-1}||X_n||_p \le \frac{p}{p-1} \sup_{-1} n \ge 0||X_n||_p.$$

So by monotone convergence  $||X_{\infty}^*||_p < \infty$ .

 $(2\Rightarrow 3)$  Set  $Z=X_{\infty}$ . Need to show  $X_n=\mathbb{E}[X_{\infty}|\mathcal{F}_n]$  almost-surely. We have for  $m\geq n$  that

$$||X_n - \mathbb{E}[X_{\infty}|\mathcal{F}_n]||_p = ||\mathbb{E}[X_m|\mathcal{F}_n] - \mathbb{E}[X_{\infty}|\mathcal{F}_n]||_p$$

$$\leq ||X_m - X_{\infty}||_p \qquad \text{(conditional Jensen)}$$

$$\to 0 \text{ as } m \to \infty.$$

 $(3\Rightarrow 1)$  By conditional Jensen.

*Proof.* A martingale of the form  $X_n = \mathbb{E}[Z|\mathcal{F}_n]$  for  $Z \in \mathcal{L}^p$  is called a martingale closed in  $\mathcal{L}^p$ .

**Corollary.** If  $Z \in \mathcal{L}^p$ ,  $X_n = \mathbb{E}[Z|\mathcal{F}_n]$  almost-surely then  $X_n \to \mathbb{E}[Z|\mathcal{F}_\infty]$  almost-surely and in  $\mathcal{L}^p$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \sigma(\mathcal{F}_n : n \ge 0)$ .

*Proof.* By the theorem we have  $X_n \to X_\infty$  almost-surely and in  $\mathcal{L}^p$ . We need to show  $X_\infty = \mathbb{E}[Z|\mathcal{F}_\infty]$  almost-surely.

•  $X_{\infty}$  is certainly  $\mathcal{F}_{\infty}$ -measurable.

• So we check that for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\infty}$  we have  $\mathbb{E}[Z\mathbb{1}(A)] = \mathbb{E}[X_{\infty}\mathbb{1}(A)]$ . Note that  $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{F}_n$  is a  $\pi$ -system generating  $\mathcal{F}_{\infty}$  so it suffices to check for A in this  $\pi$ -system. Indeed for such A, there exists  $N \geq 0$  such that  $A \in \mathcal{F}_N$ . Now let  $n \geq N$  so

$$\mathbb{E}[Z\mathbb{1}(A)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Z|\mathcal{F}_N]\mathbb{1}(A)]$$
  
=  $\mathbb{E}[X_N\mathbb{1}(A)] \to \mathbb{E}[X_\infty\mathbb{1}(A)] \text{ as } n \to \infty.$ 

Uniform integrability

Recall that a collection  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  of random variables is said to be uniformly integrable if

$$\sup_{i\in I} \mathbb{E}[|X_i||1(|X_i|>\alpha)]\to 0 \text{ as } \alpha\to\infty.$$

Equivalently,  $(X_i)_{i\in I}$  is uniformly integrable (UI) if it is bounded in  $\mathcal{L}^1$  and for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\mathbb{P}(A) < \delta$  we have

$$\sup_{i\in I} \mathbb{E}[|X_i|\mathbb{1}(A)] < \varepsilon.$$

**Remark.** If  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{L}^p$  for p > 1 then it is uniformly integrable.

**Lemma.** Let  $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$ , X be in  $\mathcal{L}^1$  and  $X_n \to X$  almost-surely as  $n\to\infty$ . Then  $X_n \to X$  in  $\mathcal{L}^1$  if and only if  $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$  is uniformly integrable.

Proof. See Part II Probability & Measure.

**Theorem.** Let  $X \in \mathcal{L}^1$ . The family  $\{\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] : \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \text{ a sub-}\sigma\text{-algebra}\}$  is uniformly integrable.

*Proof.* We need to show that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\lambda$  large enough such that for any sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]\mathbb{1}(|\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]| > \lambda)] < \varepsilon.$$

Indeed

$$\mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]\mathbb{1}(|\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]| > \lambda)] \leq \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[|X||\mathcal{G}] \underbrace{\mathbb{1}(|\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]| > \lambda)}_{\mathcal{G}\text{-meas}}]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[|X|\mathbb{1}(|\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]| > \lambda)].$$

Since  $X \in \mathcal{L}^1$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  has  $\mathbb{P}(A) < \delta$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[|X|\mathbb{1}(A)] < \varepsilon$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]| > \lambda) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]|]}{\lambda} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}|X|}{\lambda}.$$

So taking  $\lambda = \mathbb{E}|X|/\delta$ , we are done.

**Definition.**  $X = (X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is called a *UI* [sub/super] martingale if it is a [sub/super] martingale and  $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$  is uniformly integrable.

**Example.** Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  be iid with  $\mathbb{P}(X_1 = 0) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = 2) = 1/2$ . Set  $Y_0 = 1$  and  $Y_n = X_1 X_2 \ldots X_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ , so  $(Y_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is a martingale and  $\mathbb{E}[Y_n] = 1$  for all n. But  $Y_n \to 0$  almost surely.

**Theorem.** Let X be a martingale. The following are equivalent

- *X* is *UI*;
- X converges almost surely in  $\mathcal{L}^1$  to  $X_{\infty}$  as  $n \to \infty$ ;
- There exists  $Z \in \mathcal{L}^1$  such that  $X_n = \mathbb{E}[Z|\mathcal{F}_n]$  for all n almost-surely.

*Proof.* (1 $\Rightarrow$ 2) X is bounded in  $\mathcal{L}^1$ , so by the martingale convergence theorem X converges almost-surely to  $X_{\infty}$ . Since X is also UI,  $X_n \to X_{\infty}$  in  $\mathcal{L}^1$  too.

(2 $\Rightarrow$ 3) Set  $Z=X_{\infty}$ . We need to show  $X_n=\mathbb{E}[X_{\infty}|\mathcal{F}_n]$  almost surely. Then for  $m\geq n$ 

$$||X_n - \mathbb{E}[X_\infty | \mathcal{F}_n]||_1 = ||\mathbb{E}[X_m - X_\infty | \mathcal{F}_n]||_1$$

$$\leq ||X_m - X_\infty||_1 \xrightarrow{m \to \infty} 0.$$

 $(3\Rightarrow 1)$  The previous theorem implies X is UI.

**Remark.** As before we get  $X_{\infty} = \mathbb{E}[Z|\mathcal{F}_{\infty}]$  almost-surely since  $\mathcal{F}_{\infty} = \sigma(\mathcal{F}_n : n \geq 0)$ .

**Remark.** If X were a UI super/sub-martingale, then we would get  $\mathbb{E}[X_{\infty}|\mathcal{F}_n] \leq X_n$  or  $\geq X_n$  respectively.

If X is UI with  $X_n \to X_\infty$ , and T is a stopping time then

$$X_T = \sum_{n>0} X_n \mathbb{1}(T=n) + X_\infty \mathbb{1}(T=\infty).$$

**Theorem** (Optional Stopping Theorem for UI Martingales). Let X be a UI martingale and let S, T be stopping times with  $S \leq T$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}[X_T|\mathcal{F}_S] = X_S \ almost\text{-surely}.$$

*Proof.* We know  $X_n = \mathbb{E}[X_{\infty}|\mathcal{F}_n]$  almost-surely since X is UI. It suffices to prove that for any stopping time T,  $\mathbb{E}[X_{\infty}|\mathcal{F}_T] = X_T$  almost-surely. Indeed, then we will have

$$\mathbb{E}[X_T | \mathcal{F}_S] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X_\infty | \mathcal{F}_T] | \mathcal{F}_S] = \mathbb{E}[X_\infty | \mathcal{F}_S] = X_S$$

by the tower property since  $\mathcal{F}_S \subseteq \mathcal{F}_T$ .

So we just establish  $\mathbb{E}[X_{\infty}|\mathcal{F}_T] = X_T$  almost-surely. First we show  $X_T \in \mathcal{L}^1$ . We have

$$\mathbb{E}[|X_T|] = \sum_{n \ge 0} \mathbb{E}[|X_n| \mathbb{1}(T=n)] + \mathbb{E}[|X_\infty| \mathbb{1}(T=\infty)]$$

$$\leq \sum_{n \ge 0} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[|X_\infty| | \mathcal{F}_n] \mathbb{1}(T=n)] + \mathbb{E}[|X_\infty| \mathbb{1}(T=\infty)] \qquad \text{(Jensen)}$$

$$= \sum_{n \ge 0} \mathbb{E}[|X_\infty| \mathbb{1}(T=n)] + \mathbb{E}[|X_\infty| \mathbb{1}(T=n)]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[|X_\infty|] < \infty.$$

We have that  $X_T$  is  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable so we need to show that for all  $B \in \mathcal{F}_T$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[X_{\infty}\mathbb{1}(B)] = \mathbb{E}[X_T\mathbb{1}(B)]$ . Indeed

$$\mathbb{E}[X_T \mathbb{1}(B)] = \sum_{n \ge 0} \mathbb{E}[X_n \underbrace{\mathbb{1}(T=n)\mathbb{1}(B)}_{\in \mathcal{F}_n}] + \mathbb{E}[X_\infty \mathbb{1}(B)\mathbb{1}(T=\infty)]$$
$$= \sum_{n \ge 0} \mathbb{E}[X_\infty \mathbb{1}(T=n)\mathbb{1}(B)] + \mathbb{E}[X_\infty \mathbb{1}(B)\mathbb{1}(T=\infty)]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[X_\infty \mathbb{1}(B)].$$

## Backwards martingales

Let  $\mathcal{F} \supseteq \mathcal{G}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{G}_{-1} \supseteq \ldots$  be a decreasing family of sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{F}$ . We call  $X = (X_n)_{n \ge 0}$  a backwards martingale if  $X_0 \in \mathcal{L}^1$  and for all  $n \le -1$ ,

 $\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{G}_n] = X_n$  almost-surely.

By the tower property,  $\mathbb{E}[X_0|\mathcal{G}_n] = X_n$  for all  $n \leq 0$  almost-surely. Since  $X_0 \in \mathcal{L}^1$ , a backwards martingale is automatically UI.

**Theorem.** Let X be a backwards martingale with  $X_0 \in \mathcal{L}^p$  for  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . Then  $X_n \to X_{-\infty}$  almost-surely and in  $\mathcal{L}^p$ , where  $X_{\infty} = \mathbb{E}[X_0|\mathcal{G}_{-\infty}]$  for  $\mathcal{G}_{-\infty} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{G}_{-n}$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\mathcal{F}_k = \mathcal{G}_{-n+k}$  for  $0 \le k \le n$ . This is an increasing filtration and  $(X_{-n+k})_{0 \le k \le n}$  is a  $(\mathcal{F}_k)$ -martingale. Let  $N_{-n}([a,b],X)$  be the number of upcrossings of [a,b] between -n and 0. Doob's upcrossing inequality gives

$$(b-a)\mathbb{E}[N_{-n}([a,b],X)] \le \mathbb{E}[(X_0-a)^-].$$

As before, we get  $X_n \to X_{-\infty}$  as  $n \to -\infty$  almost-surely.  $X_{-\infty}$  is  $\mathcal{G}_{-\infty}$ -measurable (since it's  $\mathcal{G}_{-n}$ -measurable for all  $n \geq 0$ , so measurable by the intersection). Since  $X_0 \in \mathcal{L}^p$ , we have  $X_n \in \mathcal{L}^p$  for all  $n \leq 0$  by Jensen. Also  $X_{-\infty} \in \mathcal{L}^p$  by Fatou.

Now we need to show  $X_n \to X_{-\infty}$  in  $\mathcal{L}^p$ . We have

$$|X_n - X_{-\infty}|^p = |\mathbb{E}[X_0|\mathcal{G}_n] - \mathbb{E}[X_{-\infty}|\mathcal{G}_n]|^p$$
  
$$\leq \mathbb{E}[|X_0 - X_{-\infty}|^p|\mathcal{G}_n]$$

hence by a previous result,  $(|X_n - X_{-\infty}|^p)_n$  is a UI family. Since  $X_n \to X_{-\infty}$  almost-surely, we have  $\mathcal{L}^1$  convergence of  $|X_n - X_{-\infty}|^p$ , i.e  $\mathcal{L}^p$  convergence of the  $X_n$ .

Finally we need to show  $X_{-\infty} = \mathbb{E}[X_0|\mathcal{G}_{-\infty}]$  almost-surely. Let  $A \in \mathcal{G}_{-\infty} = \bigcap_{n \leq 0} \mathcal{G}_n$  so  $A \in \mathcal{G}_n$  for all  $n \leq 0$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}[X_n \mathbb{I}(A)] = \mathbb{E}[X_0 \mathbb{I}(A)]$  for all n. Since  $X_n \to X_{-\infty}$  in  $\mathcal{L}^1$  we have  $\mathbb{E}[X_{-\infty} \mathbb{I}(A)] = \mathbb{E}[X_0 \mathbb{I}(A)]$  and so  $X_{-\infty} = \mathbb{E}[X_0|\mathcal{G}_{-\infty}]$ .

## Applications of martingales

**Theorem** (Kolmogorov's 0-1 Law). Let  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  be iid and  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_k : k \geq n)$  be the tail  $\sigma$ -algebra. Take  $\mathcal{F}_{\infty} = \bigcap_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{F}_n$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}_{\infty}$  is trivial, i.e for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\infty}$  we have  $\mathbb{P}(A) \in \{0,1\}$ .

Proof. Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\infty}$  and let  $\mathcal{G}_n = \sigma(X_k : k \leq n)$  be the natural filtration of the  $X_n$ , and  $\mathcal{G}_{\infty} = \sigma(\mathcal{G}_n : n \geq 0)$ . Note  $(\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}(A)|\mathcal{G}_n])_{n\geq 0}$  is a martigale and  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}(A)|\mathcal{G}_n] \to \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}(A)|\mathcal{G}_{\infty}]$  almost-surely. Since  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\infty}$ , we have  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_{n+1}$  by independence of the  $X_n$ . So  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}(A)|\mathcal{G}_n] = \mathbb{P}(A)$  almost-surely. Since  $\mathcal{F}_{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{\infty}$  we have  $A \in \mathcal{G}_{\infty}$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}(A)|\mathcal{G}_{\infty}] = \mathbb{1}(A)$  almost-surely. Therefore  $\mathbb{P}(A) = \mathbb{1}(A)$  almost-surely, so  $\mathbb{P}(A) \in \{0, 1\}$ .

**Theorem** (Strong Law of Large Numbers). Let  $(X_i)$  be an iid sequence in  $\mathcal{L}^1$  with  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X_1]$ . Define  $S_n = X_1 + \ldots + S_n$ . Then  $\frac{S_n}{n}$  converges almost-surely and in  $\mathcal{L}^1$  to  $\mu$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\mathcal{G}_n = \sigma(S_n, S_{n+1}, \ldots) = \sigma(S_n, X_{n+1}, \ldots)$ . For  $n \leq -1$  let  $M_n = \frac{S_{-n}}{-n}$ . We will show  $(M_n)_{n \leq -1}$  is a backwards martingale with respect to  $(\mathcal{G}_{-n})_{n \leq -1}$ . We have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[M_{m+1}|\mathcal{G}_{-m}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{S_{-m-1}}{-m-1}|\mathcal{G}_{-m}\right].$$

Take n = -m so this becomes

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{S_{n-1}}{n-1}|\mathcal{G}_n\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{S_{n-1}}{n-1}|S_n, X_{n+1}, \dots\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{S_n - X_n}{n-1}|S_n\right] \qquad \text{(independence)}$$

$$= S_n - \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_n|S_n]}{n-1}$$

$$= S_n - \frac{S_n}{n-1}$$

$$= \frac{S_n}{n}$$

$$= M_{-n}$$

where we used the fact  $\mathbb{E}[X_k|S_n] = \mathbb{E}[X_1|S_n]$  for all  $k \in [n]$ . Hence we have a backwards martingale, so  $\frac{S_n}{n} \to Y$  almost-surely and in  $\mathcal{L}^1$  for some Y by the Backwards Martingale Theorem.

To finish, we need to show  $Y = \mu$  almost-surely. We have

$$Y = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{S_n}{n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{X_{k+1} + \ldots + X_{k+n}}{n} \text{ for all } k.$$

Hene Y is  $\sigma(X_{k+1},...)$  measurable for all k. Hence Y is  $\bigcap_{k\geq 0} \sigma(X_{k+1},...)$ -measurable, so by Kolmogorov's 0-1 law Y is almost-surely constant. Since  $S_n/n$  converges to Y in  $\mathcal{L}^1$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[S_n/n] = \mu = \mathbb{E}Y = Y$ .

**Theorem** (Radon-Nikodym Theorem). Let  $\mathbb{P}$  and Q be two probability measures on the space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is countably generated, i.e there exist  $(F_n)_{n\geq 1}$  such that  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(F_n : n \geq 1)$ . The following are equivalent

- $Q \ll \mathbb{P}$ , i.e for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$  implies Q(A) = 0. We say Q is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mathbb{P}$ ;
- For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\mathbb{P}(A) < \delta$  then  $Q(A) < \varepsilon$ ;
- There exists a non-negative random variable X such that  $Q(A) = \mathbb{E}[X\mathbb{1}(A)]$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Note.** The general case where  $\mathcal{F}$  is not necessarily countably generated follows from this (see Williams).

**Remark.** X as in (3) is called a version of the Radon-Nikodym derivative of Q with respect to  $\mathbb{P}$ . We write  $X = \frac{dQ}{d\mathbb{P}}$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  almost-surely.

*Proof.* (1 $\Rightarrow$ 2) If 2 doesn't hold, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for all n there exists  $A_n \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\mathbb{P}(A_n) \leq 1/n^2$  and  $Q(A_n) \geq \varepsilon$ . Then  $\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{P}(A_n) < \infty$ , so by Borel-Cantelli we see  $\mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ i.o}) = 0$ . Hence by (1),  $Q(A_n \text{ i.o}) = 0$ . Since

$$\{A_n \text{ i.o}\} = \bigcap_{n \ge 1} \bigcup_{k \ge n} A_k \implies Q(A_n \text{ i.o}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Q\left(\bigcup_{k \ge n} A_k\right) \ge \varepsilon$$

we have a contradiction.

 $(2\Rightarrow 3)$  Define

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \{H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_n : H_i = F_i \text{ or } H_i F_i^c \ \forall i\}$$

and  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(\mathcal{A}_n)$ . Note the elements of  $\mathcal{A}_n$  are disjoint and define  $X_n(\omega) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_n} \frac{Q(A)}{\mathbb{P}(A)} \mathbb{1}(\omega \in A)$ . If  $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$  we have  $\mathbb{E}[X_n \mathbb{1}(A)] = Q(A) = \mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} \mathbb{1}(A)]$ . Hence  $(X_n)$  is an  $(\mathcal{F}_n)$ -martingale.

We have  $\mathbb{E}[X_n] = Q(\Omega) = 1$ , so  $(X_n)$  is an  $\mathcal{L}^1$ -bounded martingale and  $X_n \to X_\infty$  almost-surely as  $n \to \infty$ . Furthermore,  $\mathbb{P}(X_n \ge \lambda) \le \frac{1}{\lambda}$  by Markov's inequality, so for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , taking  $\delta > 0$  as in (2) and setting  $\lambda = 1/\delta$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n \mathbb{1}(X_n \ge \lambda)] = Q(X_n \ge \lambda) < \varepsilon$$

and so  $(X_n)$  is UI. Hence  $X_n \to X_\infty$  in  $\mathcal{L}^1$ . Define  $\tilde{Q}(A) = \mathbb{E}[X_\infty \mathbb{1}(A)]$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then if  $A \in \bigcup_{n \ge 0} \mathcal{F}_n$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$  for some n and

$$Q(A) = \mathbb{E}[X_n \mathbb{1}(A)] = \mathbb{E}[X_\infty \mathbb{1}(A)] = \tilde{Q}(A).$$

Since  $\bigcup_{n>0} \mathcal{F}_n$  is a  $\pi$ -system generating  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $Q = \tilde{Q}$  on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

$$(3\Rightarrow 1)$$
 Trivial.