## Introduction

Schedule:

- (I) Basic properties of continuous-time Markov Chains
- (II) Qualitative properties of continuous time Markov Chains
- (III) Queueing theory
- (IV) Renewal theory
- (V) Spatial Poisson processes

# 1 Some basic aspects of continuous-time Markov Chains

**Definition.** A sequence of random variables is called a *stochastic process* or *process*. The process  $X = (X_n)_{n \ge 1}$  is called a discrete-time Markov Chain with state space I if for all  $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \in I$ 

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n = x_n | X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}, \dots, X_0 = x_0) = \mathbb{P}(X_n = x_n | X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}).$$

If  $\mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = y | X_n = x)$  is independent of n, the chain is called *time-homogeneous*. We then write  $P = (P_{x,y})_{x,y \in I}$  for the *transition matrix* where  $P_{x,y} = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = y | X_0 = x)$ . The data associated to every time-homogeneous Markov Chain is the transition matrix P and the initial distribution  $\mu$ , i.e  $\mathbb{P}(X_0 = x_0) = \mu(x_0)$ .

From now on:

- I denotes a countable (or finite) state space.
- (Ω, F, P) is the probability space on which all the relevant random variables are defined.

**Definition.**  $X = (X(t) : t \ge 0)$  is a (right-continuous) continuous-time random process with values in I if

- (a) for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $X(t) = X_t$  is a random variable such that  $X(t): \Omega \to I$ ;
- (b) for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $t \mapsto X_t(\omega)$  is right-continuous (right-continuous sample path). In our case this means for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ , for all  $t \geq 0$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  (depending on  $\omega, t$ ) such that

$$X_t(\omega) = X_s(\omega) \ \forall s \in [t, t + \varepsilon].$$

**Fact.** A right-continuous random process is defined by its finite-dimensional distributions

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t_0=i}, X_{t_1=i_1}, \dots, X_{t_n}=i_{t_n}), \ n \geq 0, \ t_k \geq 0, \ i_k \in I.$$

For every  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the path  $t \mapsto X_t(\omega)$  of a right-continuous process stays constant for a while. So there are 3 possibilities:

- (i) The path makes infinitely many jumps overall but only finitely many in a given interval [0, t].
- (ii) The path makes finitely many jumps & then gets absorbed in some state.
- (iii) The path makes infinitely jumps in a finite time interval. After the 'explosion time'  $\zeta$ , the process starts up again.

Write  $J_0 = 0, J_1, J_2, ...$  for the jump times and  $S_1, S_2, ...$  for the holding times, defined by

$$J_0 = 0, \ J_{n+1} = \inf\{t \ge J_n : X_t \ne X_{J_n}\},$$
 
$$S_n = \begin{cases} J_n - J_{n-1} & J_{n-1} < \infty \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

By right-continuity,  $S_n > 0$  for all n. If  $J_{n+1} = \infty$  for some n, we define  $X_{\infty} = X_{J_n}$  as the final value, otherwise  $X_{\infty}$  is not defined. The explosion time  $\zeta$  is defined by

$$\zeta = \sup(J_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n.$$

We are not going to consider what happens to a chain after explosion. We thus set  $X_t = \infty$  for all  $t \geq \zeta$  (adjoining a new state ' $\infty$ '). We call such a chain minimal.

**Definition.** We define the *jump chain*  $Y_n$  of  $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$  by setting  $Y_n=X_{J_n}$  for all n.

**Definition.** A right-continuous random process  $X = (X_t)_{t\geq 0}$  has the Markov property (and is called a continuous-time markov chain) if for all  $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n \in I$  and  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_n$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t_n} = i_n | X_{t_{n-1}} = i_{n-1}, \dots, X_{t_0} = i_0) = \mathbb{P}(X_{t_n} = i_n | X_{t_0} = i_0).$$

**Remark.** For all h > 0,  $Y_n = X(hn)$  defines a discrete-time Markov Chain.

**Definition.** The transition probabilities are  $P_{ij}(s,t) = \mathbb{P}(X_t = j|X_s = i)$ ,  $s \leq t, i, j \in I$ . It is called *time-homogeneous* if it depends on t - s only, i.e

$$P_{ij}(s,t) = P_{i,j}(0,t-s).$$

In this case we just write  $P_{ij}(t-s)$ . As in the case of discrete time, a (time-homogeneous) Markov process is characterised by

- 1. Its initial distribution  $\lambda_i = \mathbb{P}(X_0 = i), i \in I$ ;
- 2. Its family of transition matrices  $(P(t))_{t\geq 0} = (P_{ij}(t))_{t\geq 0}$ .

The family  $(P(t))_{t\geq 0}$  is called the transition subgroup of the MC.

A (time-homogeneous) Markov process is characterised by

- its initial distribution;
- its transition subgroup  $(P(t))_{t\geq 0}$

$$(P(t))_{t\geq 0} = (P(t))_{\substack{i,j \in I \\ t\geq 0}} = (\mathbb{P}(X_t = j | X_0 = i))_{\substack{i,j \in I \\ t\geq 0}}$$

It is easy to see that

- P(0) is the identity
- P(t) is a stochastic matrix for all t (i.e rows sum to 1)
- $P(t+s) = P(t)P(s) \ \forall s,t \ (Chapman-Kolmogorov equation)$

$$\begin{split} P_{xz}(t+s) &= \mathbb{P}(X_{t+s} = z | X_0 = x) \\ &= \sum_{y \in I} \mathbb{P}(X_{t+s} = z | X_0 = x, X_t = y) \mathbb{P}(X_t = y | X_0 = x) \\ &= \sum_{y \in I} \mathbb{P}(X_s = z | X_0 = y) \mathbb{P}(X_t = y | X_0 = x) \\ &= \sum_{y \in I} P_{yz}(s) P_{xy}(t) = P_{x\cdot}(t) P_{\cdot z}(s) \end{split}$$

# Holding times

Let X be a (right-continuous continuous-time time-homogeneous) Markov Chain on a countable state-space I.

Suppose X starts from  $x \in I$ . Question: how long does X stay in the state x?

**Definition.** We call  $S_x$  the holding time at state x ( $S_x > 0$  by right-continuity).

Let  $s, t \geq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(S_x > t + s | S_x > s) &= \mathbb{P}(X_u = x \ \forall u \in [0, t + s] | X_u = x \ \forall u \in [0, s]) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_u = x \ \forall u \in [s, t + s] | X_u = x \ \forall u \in [0, s]) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_u = x \ \forall u \in [s, t + s] | X_s = x) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_u = x \ \forall u \in [0, t] | X_0 = x) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(S_x > t). \end{split}$$

Thus  $S_x$  has the memoryless property.

By the next theorem, we will get that  $S_x$  has the exponential distribution, say with parameter  $q_x$ .

**Theorem 1.1** (Memoryless property). Let S be a positive random variable. Then S has the memoryless property, i.e  $\mathbb{P}(S > t + s | S > s) = \mathbb{P}(S > t)$  for all  $s, t \geq 0$  if and only if S has the exponential distribution.

*Proof.* It is easy to see the exponential distribution is memoryless. So we prove the other direction. Set  $F(t) = \mathbb{P}(S > t)$ . Then F(s+t) = F(s)F(t) for all  $s,t \geq 0$ .

Since S is a positive random variable, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  large such that  $F(1/n) = \mathbb{P}(S > 1/n) > 0$ . Then  $F(1) = F(1/n)^n > 0$ . So we can set  $F(1) = e^{-\lambda}$  for some  $\lambda \geq 0$ .

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $F(k) = F(1)^k = e^{-\lambda k}$ . For p/q rational,  $F(p/q) = F(1/q)^p = (F(1/q)^q)^{p/q} = F(1)^{p/q} = e^{-\lambda \frac{p}{q}}$ .

For any  $t \geq 0$ , for any  $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $r \leq t \leq s$ , since F is decreasing

$$e^{-\lambda s} = F(s) \le F(t) \le F(r) = e^{-\lambda r}$$
.

So taking sequences of rationals approaching t, we have  $F(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$ .

#### Poisson Process'

We are now going to look at the simplest (and most important) example of continuous time Markov Chains - the Poisson process.

**Definition.** Suppose  $S_1, S_2, \ldots$  are iid random variables with  $S_1 \sim \operatorname{Exp}(\lambda)$ . Define the *jump times*  $J_0 = 0, J_1 = S_1, J_n = S_1 + \ldots + S_n$  for all n, and set  $X_t = i$  if  $J_i \leq t < J_{i+1}$ . Then  $I = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$  and note that X is right-continuous and increasing. X is called a *Poisson process* of parameter/intensity  $\lambda$ . We sometimes refer to the jump times  $(J_i)_{i\geq 1}$  as the *points* of the Poisson process, then X =number of points in [0, t].

**Theorem 1.2** (Markov property). Let  $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$  be a Poisson process of intensity  $\lambda$ . Then for all  $s\geq 0$ , the process  $(X_{s+t}-X_s)_{t\geq 0}$  is also a Poisson process of intensity  $\lambda$ , and is independent of  $(X_t)_{0\leq t\leq s}$ .

*Proof.* Set  $Y_t = X_{t+s} - X_s$  for all  $t \ge 0$ . Let  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$  and condition on  $\{X_s = i\}$ , Then the jump times for the process Y are  $J_{n+1} - s, J_{n+2} - s, ...$  and the holding times are

$$T_1 = J_{n+1} - s = S_{i+1} - (s - J_i)$$
  
 $T_2 = S_{i+2}$   
 $T_3 = S_{i+3}$   
:

Since  $\{X_s = i\} = \{J_i \le s\} \cap \{s < J_{i+1}\} = \{J_i \le s\} \cap \{S_{i+1} > s - J_i\}$ , conditional on  $\{X_s, i\}$ , by the memoryless property of the exponential distribution (and

independence of  $S_{i+1}$  and  $J_i$ ) we see that  $T_1 \sim \operatorname{Exp}(\lambda)$ . Moreover the times  $J_j, j \geq 2$  are independent of  $S_k, k \leq i$  and hence independent of  $(X_r)_{r \leq s}$ , and they have iid  $\operatorname{Exp}(\lambda)$  distribution. Thus  $((X_{s+t} - X_s))_{t \geq 0}$  is a Poisson process of parameter  $\lambda$  and is independent of  $(X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq s}$ .

Similar to this, one can show the Strong Markov property for a Poisson process of parameter  $\lambda$ . Recall a random variable  $T \in [0, \infty]$  is called a *stopping time* if for all t, the event  $\{T \leq t\}$  depends only on  $(X_s)_{s \leq t}$ .

**Theorem 1.3** (Strong Markov property). Let  $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$  be a Poisson process of parameter  $\lambda$  and T a stopping time. Then conditional on  $T < \infty$ , the process  $(X_{T+t} - X_T)_{t\geq 0}$  is a Poisson process of parameter  $\lambda$  and independent of  $(X_s)_{s\leq T}$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$  be an increasing right-continuous process taking values in  $\{0,1,2,\ldots\}$  with  $X_0=0$ . Let  $\lambda>0$ . Then the following are equivalent

- (a) The holding times  $S_1, S_2, \ldots$  are iid  $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$  and the jump chain is given by  $Y_n = n$  (i.e X is a poisson process of intensity  $\lambda$ )
- (b) (Infinitesimal def) X has independent increments and as  $h \downarrow 0$  uniformly in t we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t = 1) = \lambda h + o(h),$$
  
 $\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t = 0) = 1 - \lambda h + o(h).$ 

(c) X has independent and stationary increments and for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $X_t \sim \operatorname{Poi}(\lambda t)$ .

*Proof.* First we show (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). If (a) holds, then by the Markov property, the increments are independent and stationary  $((X_{t+s} - X_s)_{t \geq 0}) = d(X_t - X_0)_{t \geq 0}$ . Using stationarity we have (uniformly in t) as  $h \to 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t = 0) = \mathbb{P}(X_h = 0) = \mathbb{P}(S_1 > h) = e^{-\lambda h} = 1 - \lambda h + o(h),$$

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t \ge 1) = \mathbb{P}(X_h \ge 1) = \mathbb{P}(S_1 \le h) = 1 - e^{-\lambda h} = \lambda h + o(h),$$

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t \ge 2) = \mathbb{P}(X_h \ge 2) = \mathbb{P}(S_1 + S_2 \le h)$$

$$\le \mathbb{P}(S_1 \le h, S_2 \le h)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(S_1 \le h)^2$$

$$= (\lambda h + o(h))^2 = o(h).$$

Now we show (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c). If X satisfies (b), then  $(X_{t+s} - X_s)_{t \geq 0}$  also satisfies (b). So X has independent and stationary increments. Now set  $p_j(t) = \mathbb{P}(X_t = j)$ . Then since increments are independent and X is increasing,

$$p_{j}(t+h) = \mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} = j) = \sum_{i=0}^{j} \mathbb{P}(X_{t} = j-i)\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_{t})$$
$$= p_{j}(t)(1 - \lambda h + o(h)) + p_{j-1}(t)(\lambda h + o(h)) + o(h).$$

Thus,  $\frac{p_j(t+h)-p_j(t)}{h}=-\lambda p_j(t)+\lambda p_{j-1}(t)+o(1)$ . Setting s=t+h, we get

$$\frac{p_j(s) - p_j(s-h)}{h} = -\lambda p_j(s-h) + \lambda p_{j-1}(s-h) + o(1).$$

In particular,  $p_i(t)$  is continuous and differentiable with

$$p_j'(t) = -\lambda p_j(t) + \lambda p_{j-1}(t).$$

Differentiating

$$\left(e^{\lambda t}p(t)\right)' = \lambda e^{\lambda t}p_j(t) + e^{\lambda t}p_j'(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t}p_{j-1}(t).$$

For j = 0 we have  $p_0(t + h) = p_0(t)(1 - \lambda h + o(h))$ , i.e  $p_0'(t) = -\lambda p_0(t)$  so  $p_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$ . Thus

$$p_1'(t) = -\lambda p_1(t) + \lambda e^{-\lambda t}$$
, i.e  $p_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$ .

And by induction

$$p_k(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!},$$

i.e  $X_t \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda t)$ .

Finally we show (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a). We know X has independent stationary increments, We have for  $t_1 \leq \ldots \leq t_k, \ n_1 \leq \ldots \leq n_k$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t_1} = n_1, \dots, X_{t_k} = n_k) = \mathbb{P}(X_{t_1} = n_1) \underbrace{\mathbb{P}(X_{t_2} - X_{t_1} = n_2 - n_1)}_{\sim \text{Poi}(\lambda t_1)} \dots \underbrace{\mathbb{P}(X_{t_k} - X_{t_{k-1}} = n_k - n_{k-1})}_{\sim \text{Poi}(\lambda (t_2 - t_1))}.$$

So (c) determines the finite-dimensional distributions (f.d.d) of a right-continuous process X, hence (c) determines X. So (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a).

Question: can we show (a) $\Rightarrow$ (c) directly? Indeed note

$$\mathbb{P}(X_t = n) = \mathbb{P}(S_1 + \ldots + S_n \le t < S_1 + \ldots + S_{n+1})$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(S_1 + \ldots + S_n \le t) - \mathbb{P}(S_1 + \ldots + S_{n+1} \le t)$$

$$= \int_0^t \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \frac{(\lambda x)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} dx - \int_0^t \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \frac{(\lambda x)^n}{n!} dx$$

$$= e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} \text{ (integration by parts)}.$$

**Theorem 1.5** (Superposition). Let X and Y be two independent Poisson processes with parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  respectively. Then  $(Z_t)_{t\geq 0}=(X_t+Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is a Poisson process with parameter  $\lambda + \mu$ .

*Proof.* We use (c) from the previous theorem. So Z has stationary independent increments. Also  $Z_t \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda t + \mu t)$ .

**Theorem 1.6** (Thinning). Let X be a Poisson process with parameter  $\lambda$ . Let  $(Z_i)_{i\geq 1}$  be a sequence of iid Bernouilli(p) random variables. Let Y be a Posisson press with values in  $\{0,\ldots,\}$  which jumps at time t if and only if  $X_t$  jumps at time t and  $Z_{X_t} = 1$ .

In other words, we keep every point of X with probability p independently. Then Y is another Poisson process, with parameter  $\lambda p$  and X - Y is an independent Poisson process with parameter  $\lambda(1-p)$ .

*Proof.* We shall use the infinitesimal definition. The independence of increments for Y is clear. Since  $\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t \ge 2) = o(h)$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_{t+h} - Y_t = 1) = p\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t = 1) + o(h) = p\lambda h + o(h),$$

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_{t+h} - Y_t = 0) = \mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t = 0) + (1-p)\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t = 1) + o(h)$$

$$= 1 - \lambda h + (1-p)(\lambda h + o(h)) + o(h)$$

$$= 1 - \lambda ph + o(h).$$

Hence Y is Poisson of parameter  $\lambda p$ . Clearly X - Y is a thinning of X with Bernouilli parameter 1 - p, so X - Y is Poisson of parameter  $\lambda(1 - p)$ .

Now we show Y and X-Y are independent. It is enough to show that the f.d.d of Y and X-Y are independent, i.e if  $0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le \ldots \le t_k$ ,  $n_1 \le \ldots \le n_k$  and  $m_1 \le \ldots \le m_k$ , then we want to prove

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_{t_1} = n_1, \dots, Y_{t_k} = n_k, X_{t_1} - Y_{t_1} = m_1, \dots, X_{t_k} - Y_{t_k} = m_k)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(X_{t_1} = n_1, \dots, Y_{t_k} = n_k) \mathbb{P}(X_{t_1} - Y_{t_1} = m_1, \dots, X_{t_k} - Y_{t_k} = m_K).$$

We will only show this for fixed  $t\ (k=1)$  the general case follows similarly using independence of increments. We have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(Y_t = n, X_t - Y_t = m) &= \mathbb{P}(X_t = m + n, Y_t = n) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_t = m + n) \mathbb{P}(Y_t = n | X_t = m + n) \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{m+n}}{(m+n)!} \binom{m+n}{n} p^n (1-p)^m \\ &= e^{-\lambda t p} \frac{(\lambda t p)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda t (1-p)} \frac{(\lambda t (1-p))^m}{m!} \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_t = n) \mathbb{P}(X_t - Y_t = m), \end{split}$$

as required.

**Theorem 1.7.** Let X be a Poisson Process. Conditional on the event  $(X_t = n)$ , the jump times  $J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_n$  are distributed as the order statistics of n iid U[0,t] random variables. That is, they have joint density

$$f(t_1,\ldots,t_n) = \frac{n!}{t^n} \mathbb{1}(0 \le t_1 \le \ldots \le t_n \le t).$$

*Proof.* Since  $S_1, S_2, \ldots$  are iid  $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ , the joint density of  $(S_1, \ldots, S_{n+1})$  is

$$\lambda^{n+1} e^{-\lambda(S_1 + \dots + S_{n+1})} \mathbb{1}(S_i \ge 0 \text{ for all } i).$$

Then the jump times  $J_1 = S_1, J_2 = S_1 + S_2, \dots, J_{n+1} = S_1 + \dots + S_{n+1}$  have joint density

$$g(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) = \lambda^{n+1} e^{-\lambda t_{n+1}} \mathbb{1}(0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le \dots t_{n+1}).$$

(Noting the Jacobian of the transformation is 1.) Now take  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  so

$$\mathbb{P}((J_1,\ldots,J_n)\in A|X_t=n)=\frac{\mathbb{P}((J_1,\ldots,J_n)\in A|X_t=n)}{\mathbb{P}(X_t=n)}.$$

Note

$$\mathbb{P}((J_{1}, \dots, J_{n}) \in A, X_{t} = n) 
= \mathbb{P}((J_{1}, \dots, J_{n}) \in A, J_{n} \leq t < J_{n+1}) 
= \int_{(t_{1}, \dots, t_{n+1}) \in A \times \mathbb{R}} g(t_{1}, \dots, t_{n}) \mathbb{1}(t_{n+1} \geq t \geq t_{n}) dt_{1} \dots dt_{n+1} 
= \int_{A} \int_{t}^{\infty} \lambda^{n+1} e^{-\lambda t_{n+1}} \mathbb{1}(0 \leq t_{1} \leq \dots \leq t_{n} \leq t) dt_{n+1} dt_{1} \dots dt_{n} 
= \int_{A} \lambda^{n} e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{1}(0 \leq t_{1} \leq \dots \leq t_{n} \leq t) dt_{1} \dots dt_{n}.$$

Then we get

$$\mathbb{P}((J_1,\ldots,J_n)\in A|X_t=n)=\int_A\frac{n!}{t^n}\mathbb{1}(0\leq t_1\leq\ldots\leq t_n\leq t)\mathrm{d}t_1\ldots\mathrm{d}t_n.$$

As required.  $\Box$ 

Now we look at a generalisation of a Poisson Process: called a Birth Process. For a Poisson Process, the rate of going from i to i+1 is  $\lambda$ . For a Birth Process, this is  $q_i$  (can depend on i). More precisely:

**Definition** (Birth Process). For each i, let  $S_i = \operatorname{Exp}(q_i)$  with  $S_1, S_2, \ldots$  independent. Set  $J_i = S_1 + \ldots + S_i$  and  $X_t = i$  if  $J_i \leq t < J_{i+1}$ . Then X is called a *Birth Process*.

We have some special cases:

- 1. Simple birth process: when  $q_i = \lambda i$  for i = 1, 2, ...;
- 2. Poisson Proces  $q_i = \lambda$  for all i.

Motivation for Simple Birth Process (SBP): at time 0 there is only one 'individual' i.e  $X_0 = 1$ . Each individual has an exponential clock of parameter  $\lambda$  independently. Then if there are i individuals, the first clock rings after  $\text{Exp}(\lambda i)$  time, and we jump from i to i+1 individuals. Indeed, by the memoryless property, the process begins afresh after each jump.

**Proposition 1.8.** Let  $(T_k)_{k\geq 1}$  be a sequence of independent random variables with  $T_K \sim \operatorname{Exp}(q_k)$  and  $\sum_k q_k < \infty$ . Let  $T = \inf_k T_k$ . Then

- (a)  $T \sim \text{Exp}\left(\sum_{k} q_{k}\right)$
- (b) The infimum is attained at a point  $T_K$  almost surely, and

$$\mathbb{P}(K=n) = \frac{q_n}{\sum_k q_k}.$$

(c) T and K are independent.

*Proof.* See example sheet.

The main difference between a Poisson Process and a Birth Process is that there is the possibility of explosion in the Birth Process. Recall explosion occurs when  $\zeta := \sum_n S_n < \infty$ .

**Proposition 1.9.** Let X be a Birth Process with rates  $q_i$  and  $X_0 = 1$ . Then

- 1. If  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q_i} < \infty$ , then X is explosive, i.e  $\mathbb{P}(\zeta < \infty) = 1$ ;
- 2. If  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q_i} = \infty$ , then X is non-explosive, i.e  $\mathbb{P}(\zeta = \infty) = 1$ .

Remark. This shows the SBP (as well as the PP) is non-explosive.

Proof.

1. If  $\sum_{n} \frac{1}{q_n} < \infty$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}[\zeta] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n} S_{n}\right] = \sum_{n} \mathbb{E}S_{n} = \sum_{n} \frac{1}{q_{n}} < \infty.$$

Where we have swapped summation and expectation by the MCT (monotone convergence theorem). Thus  $\zeta = \sum_n S_n < \infty$  almost surely.

2. If 
$$\sum_{n} \frac{1}{q_n} = \infty$$
, then  $\prod_{n} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{q_n} \right) \ge 1 + \sum_{n} \frac{1}{q_n} = \infty$ . Then 
$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\zeta}] = \mathbb{E}\left[ e^{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n} \right]$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i} \right] \qquad (MCT)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[e^{-S_i}] \qquad (independence)$$

$$\le \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1 + 1/q_i} = 0.$$

Since  $e^{-\zeta}\geq 0$ , since  $\mathbb{E}(e^{-\zeta})=0$  we have  $e^{-\zeta}=0$  almsot surely, i.e  $\mathbb{P}(\zeta=\infty)=1.$ 

**Theorem 1.10** (Markov Property). Let X be a BP with parameters  $(q_i)$ . Conditional on  $X_s = i$ , the process  $(X_{s+t})_{t\geq 0}$  is a birth process with rates  $(q_j)_{j\geq i}$  starting from i, and independent of  $(X_r)_{r\leq s}$ .

Proof. As in the Poisson Process case.

**Theorem 1.11.** Let X be an increasing right-continuous process with values in  $\{1, 2, ...\} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Let  $0 \le q_j < \infty$  for all  $j \ge 0$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. (jump chain/holding time definition) conditional on  $X_s = i$ , the holding times  $S_1, S_2, \ldots$  are independent exponentials with rates  $q_i, q_{i+1}, \ldots$  respectively and the jump chain is given  $Y_n = i + n$  for all n.
- 2. (infinitesimal definition) for all  $t, h \ge 0$ , conditional on  $X_t = i$ , the process  $(X_{t+h})_{h\ge 0}$  is independent of  $(X_s)_{s\le t}$  and as  $h\to 0$ , uniformly in t we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} = i | X_t = i) = 1 - q_i h + o(h),$$

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} = i + 1 | X_t = i) = q_i h + o(h).$$

3. (transition probability definition) for all n = 0, 1, 2, ... and all times  $0 \le t_0 \le t_1 \le ... \le t_{n+1}$ , and all states  $i_0, i_1, ..., i_{n+1}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t_{n+1}} = i_{n+1} | X_0 = i_0, \dots, X_{t_n} = i_n) = p_{i_n, i_{n+1}}(t_{n+1} - t_n),$$

where  $(p_{ij}(t): i, j = 0, 1, 2, ...)$  is the unique solution to the equation (called Kolmogorov's forward equation)

$$p'_{ij}(t) = q_{j-1}p_{i,j-1}(t) - q_j p_{i,j}(t). \tag{*}$$

(as in the Poisson Process,  $p_{ij}(t+h) = p_{i,j-1}(t)q_jh + p_{i,j}(t)(1-q_jh) + o(h)$ .)

Existence and uniqueness of a solution in (3) gollow since for  $i = j \ p'_{i,i}(t) = -q_i p_{i,i}(t)$  and  $p_{i,i}(0) = 1$ , so  $p_{i,i}(t) = e^{-q_i t}$ . Then by induction, if the unique solution for  $p_{i,j}(t)$  exists, then plug into (\*) to see there exists a unique solution for  $p_{i,j+1}(t)$ .

Also note that we can write the equation in matrix form:

$$P'(t) = P(t)Q, \text{ where } Q = \begin{pmatrix} -q_1 & q_1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & -q_2 & q_2 & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Q-matrix and construction of Markov Processes

**Definition.**  $Q = (q_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$  is called a Q-matrix if

(a) 
$$-\infty < q_{ii} \le 0$$
 for all  $i \in I$ ;

- (b)  $0 \le q_{ij} < \infty$  for all  $i, j \in I$  with  $i \ne j$ ;
- (c)  $\sum_{i \in I} q_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i \in I$ .

Write  $q_i = -q_{ii} = \sum_{i \notin I} q_{ij}$  for all  $i \in I$ .

Given a Q-matrix Q, we define a jump matrix P as follows. For  $x \neq y$  with  $q_x \neq 0$ , set  $p_{xy} = \frac{q_{xy}}{q_x}$  and  $p_{xx} = 0$ . If  $q_x = 0$ , set  $p_{xy} = \mathbb{1}(x = y)$ .

#### Example.

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \implies P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 2/3 & 1/3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Definition.** Let Q be a Q-matrix and  $\lambda$  a probability measure on the state space I. Then a (minimal) random process X is a Markov process with initial distribution  $\lambda$  and infinitesimal generator Q if

- (a) The jump chain  $Y_n = X_{J_n}$  is a discrete time Markov chain starting from  $Y_0 \sim \lambda$  with transition matrix P.
- (b) Conditional on  $Y_0, Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ , the holding times  $S_1, \ldots, S_{n+1}$  are independent with  $S_i \sim \text{Exp}(q_{Y_{i-1}})$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$ .

We write  $X \sim \text{Markov}(\lambda, Q)$ .

**Example.** Birth-Processes are Markov( $\lambda, Q$ ) with  $I = \mathbb{N}$  and

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} -q_1 & q_1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & -q_2 & q_2 & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

And jump chain  $Y_n = Y_0 + n$ .

We have multiple constructions of a Markov  $(\lambda, Q)$  process: Construction 1:

- $(Y_n)_{n>1}$  is a discrete-time Markov chain,  $Y_0 \sim \lambda$  & transition matrix P.
- $(T_i)_{i\geq 1}$  iid  $\operatorname{Exp}(1)$  random variables, independent of Y and set  $S_n = \frac{T_n}{qX_{n-1}}$  and  $J_n = \sum_{i=1}^n S_i$  (this implies  $S_n \sim \operatorname{Exp}(qX_{n-1})$ ) and set  $X_t = Y_n$  if  $J_n \leq t < J_{n+1}$  and  $X_t = \infty$  otherwise.

#### Construction 2:

- Let  $(T_n^y)_{\substack{n \geq 1 \ y \in I}}$  be iid Exp(1) random variables
- $Y_0 \sim \lambda$  and inductively define  $Y_n, S_n$ : if  $Y_n = x$  then for  $y \neq x$  define  $S_{n+1}^y = \frac{T_{n+1}^y}{q_{xy}} \sim \operatorname{Exp}(q_{xy})$  and  $S_{n+1} = \inf_{y \neq x} S_{n+1}^y \sim \operatorname{Exp}\left(\sum_{y \neq x} q_{xy}\right)$ , and if  $S_{n+1} = S_{n+1}^Z$  for some random Z (since the infimum is attained), take  $Y_{n+1} = Z$  (if  $q_x > 0$ ). If  $q_x = 0$  take  $Y_{n+1} = x$ .

(Proof of equivalence: see Example Sheet)

#### Construction 3:

• For  $x \neq y$ , let  $(N_t^{x,y})$  be independent Posisson Processes with rates  $q_{xy}$  respectively. Let  $Y_0 \sim \lambda$ ,  $J_0 = 0$  and define inductively:

$$J_{n+1} = \inf\{t > J_n : N_t^{Y_n, y} \neq N_{J_n}^{Y_n, y} \text{ for some } y \neq Y_n\},$$

$$Y_{n+1} = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } J_{n+1} < \infty \text{ and } N_{J_{n+1}}^{Y_n, y} \neq N_{J_n}^{Y_n, y} \\ x & \text{if } J_{n+1} = \infty \end{cases}.$$

For a birth process, we characterised when explosion happens. In general, the next theorem gives a sufficient condition:

**Theorem 1.12.** Let X be  $Markov(\lambda, Q)$  on I. Then  $\mathbb{P}(\zeta = \infty) = 1$  (non-explosive) if any of the following hold:

- (a) I is finite;
- (b)  $\sup_{x\in I} q_x < \infty$ ;
- (c)  $X_0 = x$  and x is recurrent for the jump chain Y.

*Proof.* Note that (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) so it is enough to show in the cases we have (b) or (c). If (b) holds, set  $q = \sup_{x \in I} q_x < \infty$ . Since  $S_n = \frac{T_n}{q_{X_{n-1}}}$ ,  $S_n \ge \frac{T_n}{q}$ . Hence

$$\zeta = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n > \frac{1}{q} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n = \infty$$
 almost surely (SLLN),

i.e  $\mathbb{P}(\zeta = \infty) = 1$ .

Now suppose (c) holds. Let  $(N_i)_{i\in I}$  be the times when the jump chain Y visits x. By the SLLN,

$$\zeta \ge \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} S_{N_i+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{T_{N_i+1}}{q_{N_i}} = \frac{1}{q_x} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T_{N_i+1} = \infty$$
 almost surely,

i.e 
$$\mathbb{P}(\zeta = \infty) = 1$$
.

**Example.** Suppose  $I = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $q_{i,i+1} = q_{i,i-1} = 2^{|i|}$  for all i. Then  $p_{i,i+1} = p_{i,i-1} = 1/2$  and the jump chain is the symmetric simple random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , which is recurrent. Hence X is non-explosive.

**Example.** Suppose  $I = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $q_{i,i+1} = 2^{|i|+1}$ ,  $q_{i,i-1} = 2^{|i|}$  so  $q_i = 2^{|i|} + 2^{|i|+1}$ . Then the jump chain Y is a simple random walk with 1/3 probabilty of moving towards 0 and 2/3 probability of moving away from 0, hence is transient. We have

$$\mathbb{E}[\zeta] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n\right] = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{V_j} S_{N_k^j + 1}\right],$$

where  $V_j$  is the total number of visits to j and  $N_k^j$  is the time of the kth visit to j. Hence

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{V_j}S_{N_k^j+1}\right] = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{E}[V_j]\mathbb{E}[S_{N_1^j+1}] = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{E}[V_j]\frac{1}{q_j} = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{1}{3\cdot 2^{|j|}}\mathbb{E}V_j.$$

Since  $\mathbb{E}V_i \leq 1 + \mathbb{E}_i V_i = 1 + \mathbb{E}_0 V_0 := C < \infty$  (transience) we have

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^{|j|}} \mathbb{E} V_j \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{C}{2 \cdot 2^{|j|}} < \infty.$$

So  $\mathbb{E}[\zeta] < \infty$  and  $\mathbb{P}(\zeta < \infty) = 1$ , i.e explosive.

**Theorem 1.13** (Strong Markov Property). Let X be Markov $(\lambda, Q)$  and let T be a stopping time. Then conditional on  $T < \zeta$  and  $X_T = x$ , the process  $(X_{T+t})_{t \geq 0}$  is Markov $(\delta_x, Q)$  and independent of  $(X_s)_{s \leq T}$ .

*Proof.* Omitted (uses measure theory, see Norris (6.5)).

### Kolmogorov's forward & backward equations

We work on a countable state space I.

**Theorem 1.14.** Let X be a minimal right-continuous process with values in a countable set I. Let Q be a Q-matrix with jump matrix P. Then the following are equivalent:

- (a) X is a continuous-time Markov chain with generator Q.
- (b) For all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq t_0 \leq \ldots \leq t_{n+1}$ , and all states  $x_0, \ldots, x_{n+1} \in I$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t_{n+1}} = x_{n+1} | X_{t_n} = x_{t_n}, \dots, X_{t_0} = x_1) = p_{x_n x_{n+1}}(t_{n+1} - t_n).$$

Where  $(P(t)) = (p_{xy}(t))$  is the minimal non-negative solution to the backward equation

$$P'(t) = QP(t)$$
, with  $P(0) = I$ .

(Minimality means that if  $\tilde{P}$  is another non-negative solution, we have  $p_{xy}(t) \leq \tilde{p}_{xy}(t)$  for all t and all  $x, y \in I$ .) In fact, if the chain is non-explosive, the solution is unique.

(c) P(t) is the minimal non-negative solution to the forward equation

$$P'(t) = P(t)Q$$
, with  $P(0) = I$ .

**Note.** We shall skip the proof of the equivalence of (c) (see Norris (2.8)).

*Proof.* First we show (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). If  $(J_n)_{n\geq 1}$  denote the jump times, then

$$\mathbb{P}_x(X_t = y, J_1 > t) = \mathbb{1}(x = y)e^{-q_x t}.$$

Integrating over the values of  $J_1 \leq t$  and using independence of the jump chain, for  $z \neq x$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}(X_{t} = y, J_{1} \le t, X_{J_{1}} = z) = \int_{0}^{t} q_{x} e^{-q_{x}s} \frac{q_{xz}}{q_{x}} p_{zy}(t - s) ds$$
$$= \int_{0}^{t} e^{-q_{x}s} q_{xz} p_{zy}(t - s) dx$$

Summing over all  $z \neq x$  (and by the MCT),

$$\mathbb{P}_x(X_t = y, J_1 \le t) = \int_0^t \sum_{z \ne x} e^{-q_x s} q_{xz} p_{xy}(t - s) \mathrm{d}s.$$

So

$$p_{xy}(t) = \mathbb{P}_x(X_t = y) = e^{-q_x t} \mathbb{1}(x = y) + \int_0^t \sum_{z \neq x} e^{-q_x s} q_{xz} p_{zy}(t - s) ds.$$

And by a substitution

$$e^{q_x t} p_{xy}(t) = \mathbb{1}(x = y) + \int_0^t \sum_{z \neq x} e^{q_x u} q_{xz} p_{zy}(u) du.$$

Hence  $p_{xy}(t)$  is a continuous function in t, and hence

$$\sum_{z \neq x} e^{q_x u} q_{xz} p_{zy}(u)$$

is a series of continuous functions, and is also uniformly convergence (Weierstrass-M test), so continuous. Hence  $e^{q_x t} p_{xy}(t)$  is differentiable with derivative

$$e^{q_x t} (q_x p_{xy}(t) + p'_{xy}(t)) = \sum_{z \neq x} e^{q_x t} q_{xz} p_{zy}(t).$$

Thus

$$p'_{xy}(t) = \sum_{z} q_{xz} p_{zy}(t) \implies P'(t) = QP(t).$$

Now we show minimality: let  $\tilde{P}$  be another non-negative solution of the backward equation. We will show  $p_{xy}(t) \leq \tilde{p}_{xy}(t)$  for all x, y, t. As before,

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}(X_{t} = y, t < J_{n+1}) = \mathbb{P}_{x}(X_{t} = y, J_{1} > t) + \mathbb{P}_{x}(X_{t} = y, J_{1} \le t < J_{n+1})$$

$$= e^{-q_{x}t} \mathbb{1}(x = y) + \sum_{z \ne x} \int_{0}^{t} q_{x} e^{-q_{x}s} \frac{q_{xz}}{q_{x}} \mathbb{P}_{z} (X_{t-s} = y, t - s < J_{n}) \, ds.$$

Now, as  $\tilde{P}$  satisfies the backward equation, we get as before (retracing previous steps)

$$\tilde{p}_{xy}(t) = e^{-q_x t} \mathbb{1}(x = y) + \sum_{z \neq x} \int_0^t e^{-q_x s} q_{xz} \tilde{p}_{zy}(t - s) ds.$$
 (\*)

Now we prove by induction that

$$\mathbb{P}_x(X_t = y, t < J_n) \leq \tilde{p}_{xy}(t)$$
 for all  $n$ .

For n = 1,

$$e^{-q_x t} \mathbb{1}(x = y) \le \tilde{p}_{xy}(t)$$
 by  $(*)$ .

Assume true for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for n + 1,

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}(X_{t} = y, t < J_{n+1}) \le e^{-q_{x}t} \mathbb{1}(x = y) + \sum_{z \neq x} \int_{0}^{t} q_{xz} e^{-q_{x}s} \tilde{p}_{zy}(t - s) ds = \tilde{p}_{xy}(t).$$

So it holds for all n. Hence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_x(X_t = y, t < J_n) = \mathbb{P}_x(X_t = y, t < \zeta) \le \tilde{p}_{xy}.$$

(Since  $J_n \uparrow \zeta$ .) Now by minimality,

$$p_{xy}(t) = \mathbb{P}_x(X_t = y) = \mathbb{P}_x(X_t = y, t < \zeta) \le \tilde{p}_{xy}(t).$$

Finite state space:

**Definition.** If A is a finite-dimensional square matrix, its matrix exponential is given by

$$e^A = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^k}{k!} = I + A + \frac{A^2}{2!} + \dots$$

**Claim.** For any  $r \times r$  matrix A, the exponential  $e^A$  is an  $r \times r$  matrix. If  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  commute, then  $e^{A_1 + A_2} = e^{A_1} e^{A_2}$ .

Proof. Example Sheet. 
$$\Box$$

**Proposition 1.15.** Let Q be a Q-matrix on a finite set I and  $P(t) = e^{tQ}$ . Then

- (i) P(t+s) = P(t)P(s) for all s, t;
- (ii)  $(P(t))_{t\geq 0}$  is the unique solution to the forward equation P'(t) = P(t)Q, P(0) = I;
- (iii)  $(P(t))_{t\geq 0}$  is the unique solution to the backward equation P'(t) = QP(t), P(0) = I;

(iv) For 
$$k = 0, 1, 2, ..., \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^k P(t)\Big|_{t=0} = Q^k$$
.

Proof.

- (i) Since tQ and sQ commute,  $\exp((t+s)Q) = \exp(tQ) \exp(sQ)$ .
- (ii) The sum in  $e^{tQ}$  has infinite radius of convergence, hence we can differentiate term by term.
- (iii) Same as (ii).
- (iv) Same again.

Now we'll show uniqueness in (ii) and (iii). If  $\tilde{P}$  is another solution to the forward equation,  $\tilde{P}'(t) = \tilde{P}(t)Q$ ,  $\tilde{P}(0) = I$ , then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left( \tilde{P}(t)e^{-tQ} \right) = \tilde{P}'(t)e^{-tQ} + \tilde{P}(t) \left( -Qe^{-tQ} \right)$$
$$= \tilde{P}(t)Qe^{-tQ} - \tilde{P}(t)Qe^{-tQ} = 0$$

So  $\tilde{P}(t)e^{-tQ}$  is a constant matrix. Since  $\tilde{P}(0)=I$ , this implies  $\tilde{P}(t)=e^{tQ}$ . The same thing works for the backward equation.

**Example.** Let  $Q = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$ . To find  $p_{11}(t)$ , we can diagonalise  $Q = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$ .

 $PDP^{-1}$  for a diagonal matrix

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

, so

$$e^{tQ} = Pe^{tD}P^{-1} = P \begin{pmatrix} e^{t\lambda_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{t\lambda_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{t\lambda_3} \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}.$$

i.e  $p_{11}(t) = ae^{t\lambda_1} + be^{t\lambda_2} + ce^{t\lambda_3}$ , which we can solve by considering  $p_{11}(0), p'_{11}(0), p''_{11}(0)$ .