Introduction

The course is split into two parts:

- Logic: syntax and semantics.
- Set theory: what does the universe of sets look like?

Course structure

- (I) Propositional logic (logic)
- (II) Well-orderings & ordinals (set theory)
- (III) Posets & Zorn's lemma (set theory)
- (IV) Predicate logic (logic)
- (V) Set theory (set theory)
- (VI) Cardinals (set theory)

Books:

- 1. Johnstone, Notes on Logic & Set Theory
- 2. Van Dalen, Logic & Structure (Chapter 4 and what 'goes next')
- 3. Hajnal & Hamburger, Set Theory (Chapters 2 and 6)
- 4. Forster, Logic, Induction & Sets

1 Propositional Logic

Let P be a set of *primitive propositions*. Unless otherwise stated, $P = \{p_1, p_2, \ldots\}$. The *language* L or L(P) is defined inductively by

- 1. If $p \in P$, then $p \in L$
- 2. $\perp \in L$ (\perp is read 'false')
- 3. If $p, q \in L$ then $(p \Rightarrow q) \in L$.

e.g
$$((p_1 \Rightarrow p_2) \Rightarrow (p_1 \Rightarrow p_3)), (p_4 \Rightarrow \bot), (\bot \Rightarrow \bot).$$

Notes.

- 1. Each proposition (member of L) is a finite string of symbols from language: $\vdash, \Rightarrow, \perp, p_1, p_2, \ldots$ (for clarity often omit outer brackets, use other types of bracket, etc).
- 2. 'L is defined inductively' means, more precisely, the following

- Put $L_1 = P \cup (\bot)$;
- Having defined L_n , put $L_{n+1} = L_n \cup \{(p \Rightarrow q) : p, q \in L_n\};$
- Set $L = \bigcup_{n>1} L_n$.
- 3. Every $p \in L$ is uniquely built up from steps 1,2 using 3. For example, $((p_1 \Rightarrow p_2) \Rightarrow (p_1 \Rightarrow p_3))$ can from $(p_1 \Rightarrow p_2)$ and $(p_1 \Rightarrow p_3)$.

We can now introduce $\neg p$ ('not p') as an abreviation for $(p \Rightarrow \bot)$; $p \lor q$ ('p or q') as an abreviation for $(\neg p) \Rightarrow q$; $p \land q$ ('p and q') as an abreviation for $\neg (p \Rightarrow (\neg q))$.

1.1 Semantic Implication

Definition. A valuation is a function $v: L \to \{0,1\}$ (thinking of 0 as 'False' and 1 as 'True') such that

- (i) $v(\bot) = 0$
- (ii) $v(p \Rightarrow q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v(p) = 1, \ v(q) = 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$.

Remark. On $\{0,1\}$, could define a constant $\perp = 0$ and an operation \Rightarrow by

$$(a\Rightarrow b)=\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a=1,b=0\\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then a valuation is precisely a mapping $L \to \{0,1\}$ that preserves $(\perp \text{ and } \Rightarrow)$.

Proposition 1.1.

- (i) If v, v' are valuations with v(p) = v(p') for all $p \in P$, then v = v'.
- (ii) For any function $w: P \to \{0,1\}$, there exists a valuation v with v(p) = w(p) for all $p \in P$.

Proof.

- (i) Have v(p) = v'(p) for all $p \in L_1$. But if v(p) = v'(p) and v(q) = v'(q), then $v(p \Rightarrow q) = v'(p \Rightarrow q)$, so v(p) = v'(p) for all $p \in L_2$. Continuing inductively we obtain v(p) = v'(p) for all $p \in L_n$ for each n.
- (ii) Set v(p) = w(p) for all $p \in P$ and $v(\perp) = 0$ to obtain v on L_1 . Now put

$$v(p \Rightarrow q) = \begin{cases} 0 & v(p) = 1, v(q) = 0\\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

to obtain v on L_2 , then induction.

Example. Let v be the valuation with $v(p_1) = v(p_3) = 1$, $v(p_n) = 0$ for all $n \neq 1, 3$. Then $v((p_1 \Rightarrow p_2) \Rightarrow p_3) = 0$.

Definition. A tautology is an element $t \in L$ such that v(t) = 1 for any valuation v. We write $\models t$.

Examples.

1.
$$p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow p)$$

v(p)	v(q)	$v(p \Rightarrow q)$	$v(p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow p))$
0	0	1	1
0	1	0	1
1	0	1	1
1	1	1	1

So this is a tautology.

2. $(\neg \neg p) \Rightarrow p$, i.e $((p \Rightarrow \bot) \Rightarrow \bot) \Rightarrow p$ ('law of excluded middle')

v(p)	$v(p \Rightarrow \bot)$	$v((p \Rightarrow \bot) \Rightarrow \bot)$	$v(((p \Rightarrow \bot) \Rightarrow \bot) \Rightarrow p)$
0	1	0	1
1	0	1	1

3. $(p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)) \Rightarrow ((p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r))$ ("how implicating chains"). Suppose this is not a tautology. Then we have a v with $v(p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)) = 1$ and $v((p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)) = 0$. Then $v(p \Rightarrow q) = 1$ and $v(p \Rightarrow r) = 0$. Hence v(p) = 1 and v(r) = 0, so v(q) = 1. Hence $v(p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)) = 0$, contradiction.

Definition. For $S \subseteq L$, $t \in L$, we say S entails or semantically implies t, written $S \models t$ if every valuation with v(s) = 1 for all $s \in S$ has v(t) = 1.

Example. $\{p \Rightarrow q, q \Rightarrow r\}$ entails $p \Rightarrow r$. Indeed, suppose we have v with $v(p \Rightarrow q), \ v(q \Rightarrow r) = 1 \text{ but } v(p \Rightarrow r).$ Then $v(p) = 1, \ v(r) = 0.$ Hence v(q) = 1, contradicting $v(q \Rightarrow r) = 1$.

Definition. We say v is a model of $S \subseteq L$ or S is true in v, if v(s) = 1 for all $s \in S$. Thus S entails t means: every model of S is also a model of $\{t\}$.

Remark. $\vDash t \text{ says } \emptyset \vDash t$.

1.2 Syntatic implication

For a notion of proof, we'll need axioms and deduction rules. As axioms, we'll take:

- 1. $p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow p)$ for all $p, q \in L$;
- 2. $[p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)] \Rightarrow [(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)]$ for all $p, q \in L$;
- 3. $(\neg \neg p) \Rightarrow p$ for all $p \in L$.

Notes.

- 1. Sometimes we call these 'axiom schemes' since each is actually a set of axioms.
- 2. Each of these are tautologies.

For deduction rules, we'll have only modus ponens: from each p and $p \Rightarrow q$ we can deduce q.

Definition. For $S \subseteq L$, and $t \in S$, say S proves or syntactically implies t, written $S \vdash t$ if there exists a sequence t_1, \ldots, t_n in L with $t_n = t$ such that every t_i is either

- (i) An axiom; or
- (ii) A member of S; or
- (iii) Such that there exist j, k < i with $t_k \Rightarrow (t_j \Rightarrow t_n)$ (modus ponens).

Say S consists of the *hypotheses* or *premises*, and t the *conclusion*.

Example. $\{p \Rightarrow q, q \Rightarrow r\} \vdash p \Rightarrow r$:

- 1. $q \Rightarrow r$ (hypothesis)
- 2. $(q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r))$ (axiom 1)
- 3. $p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)$ (modus ponens' on 2,3)
- 4. $[p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)] \Rightarrow [(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)]$ (axiom 2)
- 5. $(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)$ (modus ponens' on 3,4)
- 6. $p \Rightarrow q$ (hypothesis)
- 7. $p \Rightarrow r \pmod{5,6}$

Definition. If $\emptyset \vdash t$, say t is a theorem, written $\vdash t$.