

**Theorem** (Lawler, Schramm, Werner).  $\xi(1, 1) = \frac{5}{4}$ ,  $\xi(2, 0) = \frac{2}{3}$ .

## 1 Conformal maps

We consider a domain  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  (i.e an open and connected subset of the complex plane). We say  $U$  is *simply connected* if  $\mathbb{C} \setminus U$  is connected.

We say  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is *holomorphic* if it is complex differentiable. If  $f$  is holomorphic and injective we say it is *univalent*. If  $f : U \rightarrow V$  is holomorphic and bijective we say  $f$  is a *conformal map*.

**Remark.** If  $f : U \rightarrow V$  is conformal then

$$f(w) = f(z) + f'(z)(w - z) + o(|w - z|)$$

and  $f'(z) \neq 0$ . Hence  $f$  locally looks like a translation combined with a scaling and rotation.

We will work in 2d throughout this course. This gives a richness to the conformal maps, as shown by the following theorem.

**Theorem** (Riemann mapping theorem). *If  $U \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$  is a simply connected domain and  $z \in U$  then there exists a unique conformal map  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow U$  with  $f(0) = z$  and  $\arg f'(0) = 0$ .*

Where we have taken  $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  to be the open unit disc. We will also take  $\mathbb{H} = \{z : \Im z > 0\}$  to be the open upper half-plane.

**Examples.**

- Let  $f(z) = \frac{z-i}{z+i}$ . Then  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  is a conformal map.
- $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  is conformal if and only if  $f(w) = \lambda \frac{w-z}{\bar{z}w-1}$  for some  $\lambda, z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\lambda| = 1$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ .
- $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is conformal if and only if  $f(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$  with  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $ad - bc = 1$ .
- Given a simply connected domain  $D$  and disjoint subarcs  $A, B \subseteq \partial D$ , there is a unique conformal map from  $U$  to the rectangle such that  $A, B$  are mapped to parallel sides with length 1. The length  $L$  of the other sides is called the extremal length  $\text{EL}_D(A, B)$  and is unique.

Recall that if  $f = u + iv$  (with  $u, v$  denoting the real/imaginary parts of  $f$  respectively) then  $f$  is holomorphic iff it satisfies the *Cauchy-Riemann equations*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}.$$

It follows from this that if  $f$  is holomorphic,

$$\Delta u = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^2 u + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)^2 u = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} v - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} v = 0$$

and similarly  $\Delta v = 0$ .

Conversely, if  $u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (for  $U$  a simply connected domain) is harmonic there exists  $v : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u + iv$  is holomorphic.

A consequence of this is that if  $u$  is harmonic on a bounded domain  $D$  and continuous on  $\overline{D}$ , for  $z \in D$  and  $B$  a Brownian motion starting from  $z$  and  $\tau := \inf\{t : B_t \notin D\}$ , we have  $u(z) = \mathbb{E}_z[u(B_\tau)]$  (see Part III Advanced Probability).

## Conformal invariance of 2d Brownian motion

Let  $f : D \rightarrow \tilde{D}$  be a conformal map and  $B$  be a Brownian motion starting at  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Define  $\tau = \inf\{t : B_t \notin D\}$  and let  $\sigma(t) = \inf\{s : \int_0^s |f'(B_r)|^2 dr = t\}$ . Then  $f(B_{\sigma(t)})$  has the law of a Brownian motion starting from  $f(z)$  until exiting  $\tilde{D}$ .

*Proof.* See Part III Stochastic Calculus.  $\square$

We have seen that for  $u$  harmonic on  $D$  and continuous on  $\overline{D}$  we have  $u(z) = \mathbb{E}_z[u(B_{\tau_D})]$ . We get the following corollary by taking a Brownian motion until it hits  $\partial B(z, r)$ .

**Corollary** (Mean value property). *For  $B(z, r) \subseteq D$*

$$u(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(z + re^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

**Proposition** (Strong maximum principle). Let  $u$  be harmonic in  $D$ ,  $D$  a domain. If  $u$  attains a global maximum in  $D$  then  $u$  is constant.

*Proof.* Follows from mean value property and compactness of paths connecting points.  $\square$

**Proposition** (Maximum modulus principle). Let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic,  $D$  a domain. Then if  $|f|$  attains a global maximum in  $D$ ,  $f$  is constant.

*Proof.* Let  $K \subseteq D$  be compact. By considering  $f + M$  for  $M > 0$  large enough we may assume  $|f| > 0$  on  $K$ . Thus  $\log |f|$  is harmonic. So we can apply the strong maximum principle to see  $\log |f|$  is constant on  $K$ , i.e.  $f$  takes values on a circle. But this is impossible unless  $f' = 0$  on  $K$ .  $\square$

**Proposition** (Schwarz lemma). Let  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  be holomorphic,  $f(0) = 0$ . Then  $|f(z)| \leq |z|$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Furthermore if  $|f(z)| = |z|$  for some  $z \neq 0$  then  $f(w) = we^{i\theta}$  for some  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Define the holomorphic function  $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$g(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z)}{z} & \text{for } z \neq 0 \\ f'(0) & \text{for } z = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Then  $|z| = 1$  on  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ , implying  $|g| \leq 1$  on  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ . Thus  $|g| \leq 1$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  by the maximum modulus principle.

If  $|g(z)| = 1$  for some  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  then  $g$  is constant since this is a maximum.  $\square$

## Distortion theorems for conformal maps

Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ univalent} : f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1\}$ .

**Remark.** We can write such  $f$  as  $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$

**Goal:** for  $f \in \mathcal{S}$

- Koebe 1/4-theorem:  $f(\mathbb{D}) \supseteq B(0, 1/4)$ ;
- Koebe distortion theorem:  $\frac{1-|z|}{(1+|z|)^3} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{1+|z|}{(1-|z|)^3}$ .

**Corollary.** If  $f : D \rightarrow \tilde{D}$  is conformal then

$$\frac{\text{dist}(f(z), \partial \tilde{D})}{4 \text{dist}(z, \partial D)} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{4 \text{dist}(f(z), \partial \tilde{D})}{\text{dist}(z, \partial D)}.$$

**Corollary.** If  $f$  univalent in  $D$ ,  $B(z, R) \subseteq D$  then for  $r < 1$  we have  $|f'(u)| \leq c(r)|f'(v)|$  for all  $u, v \in B(z, rR)$ .

Define

$$\Sigma = \{g : \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : g \text{ univalent, } g(\infty) = \infty, g'(\infty) = 1\}.$$

**Theorem** (Area theorem). *Let  $g : \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be univalent with  $g(z) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$  and  $g'(z) \rightarrow 1$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ . Write  $g(z) = z + b_0 + \frac{b_1}{z} + \frac{b_2}{z^2} + \dots$  for  $g$  near  $\infty$ . Then*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} n|b_n|^2 \leq 1$$

and moreover

$$\text{area}(\mathbb{C} \setminus g(\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}})) = \pi \left( 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} n|b_n|^2 \right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $r > 1$  and define  $C_r = g(\partial D(0, r))$ . Let  $E_r$  be the inner component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus C_r$ . By Green's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2i} \int_{C_r} \bar{w} dw &= \frac{1}{2i} \int_{C_r} (x - iy)(dx + idy) \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} \int_{C_r} ((x - iy)dx + (ix + y)dy) \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} \int_{E_r} 2i dx dy && \text{(Green's thm)} \\ &= \text{area}(E_r). \end{aligned}$$

while we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2i} \int_{C_r} \bar{w} dw &= \frac{1}{2i} \int_{\partial B(0, r)} \overline{g(z)} g'(z) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( r e^{-i\theta} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \overline{b_n} r^{-n} e^{in\theta} \right) \left( 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} b_n r^{-n-1} e^{i(n+1)\theta} \right) r e^{i\theta} d\theta \\ &= \pi \left( r^2 - \sum_{n \geq 1} n|b_n|^2 r^{-2n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now take  $r \downarrow 1$ . □

**Theorem.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \in \mathcal{S}$  write  $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$ . Then  $|a_2| \leq 2$ .*

*Proof.* We claim there exists  $g \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $g(z)^2 = f(z^2)$  (we call  $g$  the “square-root transform” of  $f$ ). Note

$$f(z^2) = z^2 \underbrace{(1 + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^4 + \dots)}_{:=h(z)}$$

and since  $h \neq 0$  (by  $f(0) = 0$  and injectivity of  $f$ ), we can define  $g(z) = z\sqrt{h(z)}$ . Also  $g(0) = 0$  and  $g'(0) = 1$ . To show  $g$  is univalent, suppose  $g(z_1) = g(z_2)$  for some  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{D}$ . Then  $f(z_1^2) = f(z_2^2)$  so  $z_1^2 = z_2^2$ , i.e.  $z_1 = \pm z_2$ . But  $g$  is an odd function and only zero at  $z = 0$  so we have  $z_1 = z_2$ .

To conclude take  $z \mapsto \frac{1}{g(1/z)} \in \Sigma$ . This map is the same as

$$z \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{f(1/z^2)}} = z - \frac{a_2}{2} \frac{1}{z} + \dots$$

so by the area theorem,  $|a_2/2| \leq 1$ . □

**Theorem** (Koebe 1/4-theorem). *Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then  $f(\mathbb{D}) \supseteq B(0, 1/4)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $w \notin f(\mathbb{D})$ . Then

$$z \mapsto \frac{wf(z)}{w - f(z)} = z + \left(a_2 + \frac{1}{w}\right)z^2 + \dots$$

is in  $\mathcal{S}$  so by the above  $|a_2 + \frac{1}{w}| \leq 2$ . Since  $|a_2| \leq 2$  we must have  $|1/w| \leq 4$ . □

If we define

$$F(w) = \frac{f\left(\frac{w+z}{1+\bar{z}w}\right) - f(z)}{(1-|z|^2)f'(z)} = w + \frac{1}{2} \left( (1-|z|^2) \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} - 2\bar{z} \right) w^2 + \dots$$

we see

$$\left| (1-|z|^2) \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} - 2\bar{z} \right| \leq 4.$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned} z \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} &= z \partial_z \log f'(z) = r \partial_r \log f'(z) \\ &= r \partial_r \log |f'(z)| + i r \partial_r \arg(f'(z)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| z \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{2r^2}{1-r^2} \right| \leq \frac{4r}{1-r^2}$$

which implies

$$\frac{2r^2}{1-r^2} - \frac{4r}{1-r^2} \leq \Re \left( z \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \leq \frac{2r^2}{1-r^2} + \frac{4r}{1-r^2}.$$

Integrating from  $r = 0$  to  $R$ ,

$$\log \frac{1-R}{(1+R)^3} \leq \log |f'(Re^{i\theta})| \leq \log \frac{1+R}{(1-R)^3}.$$

So we get

**Theorem** (Koebe's distortion theorem). *For  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ ,*

$$\frac{1-|z|}{(1+|z|)^3} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{1+|z|}{(1-|z|)^3}.$$

**Definition.**  $A \subseteq \mathbb{H}$  is a *compact  $\mathbb{H}$ -hull* if  $A = \mathbb{H} \cap \overline{A}$  and  $\mathbb{H} \setminus A$  is simply connected. We write  $A \in \mathcal{Q}$  for such a set.

For  $A \in \mathcal{Q}$ , pick  $g : \mathbb{H} \setminus A \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  conformal (possible by Riemann mapping theorem) with  $g(\infty) = \infty$ .

**Question:** when does a holomorphic function extend analytically to the boundary?

**Theorem** (Schwarz reflection principle). *Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a domain such that  $U = \{\bar{z} : z \in U\}$ . Let  $U^+ = U \cap \mathbb{H}$ . Let  $f : U^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be holomorphic with  $\lim_{\Im z \downarrow 0} \Im f(z) = 0$ . Then  $f$  extends to a holomorphic function on  $U$  with  $f(\bar{z}) = \overline{f(z)}$  for all  $z \in U$ .*

*Proof.* On  $U^- := U \cap \{z : \Im(z) < 0\}$  set  $f(z) := \overline{f(\bar{z})}$ . To extend  $f$  to  $U \cap \mathbb{R}$ , write  $f = u + iv$  for  $u, v$  harmonic and note  $\lim_{\Im z \downarrow 0} v(z) = 0$ . So we have extended  $v$  via

$$v(z) = \begin{cases} -v(\bar{z}) & \Im z < 0 \\ 0 & \Im z = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Then  $v$  is still harmonic as it satisfies the mean value property.

For  $z \in U \cap \mathbb{R}$  pick  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that  $B(z, \varepsilon) \subseteq U$ . Let  $\tilde{u}$  be the harmonic conjugate of  $v$  on  $B(z, \varepsilon)$  (unique up to an additive constant). Then  $f = u + iv = \tilde{u} + iv + \text{const}$  so  $f$  extends to  $B(z, \varepsilon)$ . Furthermore this matches with  $f(z) = \overline{f(\bar{z})}$  on  $U^-$ . For different  $z$  these extensions match so by the identity principle we are done.  $\square$

Now for  $A \in \mathcal{Q}$ ,  $g : \mathbb{H} \setminus A \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  conformal with  $g(\infty) = \infty$ , we can Schwarz reflect.  $g$  has a simple pole at  $\infty$  so

$$g(z) = b_{-1}z + b_0 + \frac{b_1}{z} + \frac{b_2}{z^2} + \dots$$

Also  $g(z) = \overline{g(\bar{z})} = \overline{g(z)}$  for  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  which implies  $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $n \geq -1$ . So we can scale and then translate  $g$  so that  $b_{-1} = 1$  and  $b_0 = 0$ .

**Definition.** For  $A \in \mathcal{Q}$ , let  $g_A : \mathbb{H} \setminus A \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  the conformal map with  $g_A(z) = z + \frac{b_1}{z} + \frac{b_2}{z^2} + \dots$

Define the *half-plane capacity*  $\text{hcap}(A)$  to be equal to  $b_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  as above.

For example we have  $g_{[0,i]}(z) = \sqrt{z^2 + 1}$  and so  $\text{hcap}([0, i]) = \frac{1}{2}$  (we can see this by looking at what happens to  $\mathbb{H} \setminus [0, i]$  under  $z \mapsto z^2 \mapsto z^2 + 1 \mapsto \sqrt{z^2 + 1}$ ).

If  $A$  is instead a  $\mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{H}$  with radius 1 centred at 0, we have  $g_A(z) = z + \frac{1}{z}$  so  $\text{hcap}(\mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{H}) = 1$ .

It is straightforward to see  $g_{rA}(z) = rg_A(z/r)$  for any  $r > 0$  and so  $\text{hcap}(rA) = r^2 \text{hcap}(A)$ . Can also see that  $\text{hcap}(A+x) = \text{hcap}(A)$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

For  $A \subseteq \tilde{A}$  can also see that

$$g_{\tilde{A}} = g_{g_A(\tilde{A} \setminus A)} \circ g_A = z + \frac{\text{hcap}(A)}{z} + \frac{\text{hcap}(g_A(\tilde{A} \setminus A))}{z} + \dots$$

so  $\text{hcap}(\tilde{A}) = \text{hcap}(A) + \text{hcap}(g_A(\tilde{A} \setminus A))$ . Thus  $\text{hcap}(A) \leq \text{hcap}(\tilde{A})$  (after seeing later that  $\text{hcap}$  is non-negative). Also  $\text{hcap}(A) \leq \text{hcap}(\text{rad}(A) \cdot \overline{\mathbb{D}} \cap \mathbb{H}) \leq \text{rad}(A)^2$  where  $\text{rad}(A) = \sup\{|z| : z \in A\}$ .



**Proposition.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{Q}$ ,  $B$  be a 2D Brownian motion and  $\tau = \inf\{t : B_t \notin \mathbb{H} \setminus A\}$ . Then

- (i) For all  $z \in \mathbb{H} \setminus A$ ,  $\Im(z - g_A(z)) = \mathbb{E}_z[\Im(B_\tau)]$ ;
- (ii) We have  $\text{hcap}(A) = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} y \mathbb{E}_{iy}[\Im(B_\tau)]$ .

**Remark.** (ii) shows that  $\text{hcap}(A) \geq 0$ .

*Proof.*

(i) Note  $z \mapsto \Im(z - g_A(z))$  is harmonic and bounded. Hence

$$\Im(z - g_A(z)) = \mathbb{E}_z[\Im(B_\tau - g_A(B_\tau))] = \mathbb{E}_z[\Im(B_\tau)].$$

(ii) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hcap}(A) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z(g_A(z) - z) = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} iy(g_A(iy) - iy) \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \Re(iy(g_A(iy) - iy)) \quad (\text{hcap}(A) \in \mathbb{R}) \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} y \Im(iy - g_A(iy)) \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} y \mathbb{E}_{iy}[\Im(B_\tau)]. \quad (\text{by (i)}) \end{aligned}$$

□

The law of  $B_\tau$  for  $\tau = \inf\{t : B_t \notin D\}$  is often called the *harmonic measure* for  $z$  relative to  $D$ . For  $z \in D$ ,  $\omega(z, \cdot, D)$  is a probability measure on  $\partial D$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\partial D)$ ,  $\omega(\cdot, A, D)$  is harmonic (strong Markov property so satisfies mean value property).

**Example.**

- $\omega(0, \cdot, \mathbb{D})$  is the uniform distribution on  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ ;
- $\omega(z, \cdot, \mathbb{D})$  may be computed using conformal invariance of Brownian motion (Example Sheet);
- $\omega(z, \cdot, \mathbb{H})$  may also be computed using conformal invariance (Example Sheet). If  $z = x + iy$  it has density on  $\mathbb{R}$  given by

$$u \mapsto \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{y}{(x - u)^2 + y^2}.$$

**Proposition.** There exists  $c > 0$  such that for any  $A \in \mathcal{Q}$  and  $|z| \geq 2 \text{rad}(A)$  we have

$$\left| g_A(z) - z - \frac{\text{hcap}(A)}{z} \right| \leq c \frac{\text{rad}(A) \text{hcap}(A)}{|z|^2}.$$

*Proof.* By scaling we may assume  $\text{rad}(A) \leq 1$ . We have

$$\Im(z - g_A(z)) = \mathbb{E}_z[\Im(B_\tau)] = \int_0^\pi \mathbb{E}_{e^{i\theta}}[\Im(B_\tau)] p(z, e^{i\theta}) d\theta$$

where  $p(z, e^{i\theta})$  is the density of  $w(z, \theta, \mathbb{H} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}})$ . On the Example Sheet it will be shown that

$$p(z, e^{i\theta}) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\Im(z)}{|z|^2} \sin(\theta) (1 + \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-1})) \text{ as } z \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Im(z - g_A(z)) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\Im(z)}{|z|^2} \int_0^\pi \mathbb{E}_{e^{i\theta}}[\Im(B_\tau)] \sin(\theta) d\theta (1 + \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-1})) \\ &:= a \frac{\Im(z)}{|z|^2} (1 + \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-1})) \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\Im(z - g_A(z) - \frac{a}{2}) = \mathcal{O}(a \frac{\Im z}{|z|^3})$ . Define  $h(z) := z - g_A(z) - \frac{a}{2}$ . Then  $\Im(h(z))$  is harmonic. Also  $|\partial_x \Im(h(z))|, |\partial_y \Im(h(z))| \leq \tilde{c} \frac{a}{|z|^3}$ . Then the Cauchy-Riemann equations imply similar inequalities for the real parts of  $h(z)$  so  $|h'(z)| \leq \tilde{c} \frac{a}{|z|^3}$ . We have  $h(\infty) = 0$  so  $|h(re^{i\theta})| \leq \int_r^\infty |h'(se^{i\theta})| ds \lesssim \frac{a}{r^2}$ .  $\square$

## Loewner differential equation

**Definition.** Let  $(A_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a family of compact  $\mathbb{H}$ -hulls. We say  $(A_t)_{t \geq 0}$

- (i) *is strictly increasing* if  $A_s \subsetneq A_t$  whenever  $s < t$ ;
- (ii) *satisfies the local growth property* if for all  $T, \varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that whenever  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq s + \delta \leq T$  we have  $\text{diam}(g_s(A_t \setminus A_s)) \leq \varepsilon$ .

If (i) and (ii) are satisfied then  $t \mapsto \text{hcap}(A_t)$  is continuous and increasing. In this case we say  $(A_t)_{t \geq 0}$

- (iii) *is parameterised by half-plane capacity* if  $\text{hcap}(A_t) = 2t$  for all  $t$ .

We let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of all such families satisfying (i)-(iii). We let  $\mathcal{A}_T$  be the set of all such families satisfying (i)-(iii) but on time interval  $[0, T]$ .

**Theorem** (“Chordal Loewner differential equation”). *Let  $(A_t)_{t \geq 0} \in \mathcal{A}$ , let  $g_t := g_{A_t}$  be the mapping-out function. Then there exists  $U : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous such that*

$$\partial_t g_t(z) = \frac{z}{g_t(z) - U_t}, \quad g_0(z) = z. \quad (*)$$

*Proof.* We have that  $\bigcap_{s > 0} \overline{g_t(A_s \setminus A_t)}$  is a single point by the local growth property. Let  $U_t$  be this point. The local growth property and the proposition from last time,  $U$  is continuous.

Define  $\tilde{g} = g_{g_t(A_{t+\delta} \setminus A_t) - U_t}$ . Then

$$\tilde{g}(z) = z + \frac{\text{hcap}(g_t(A_{t+\delta} \setminus A_t) - U_t)}{2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\text{hcap}(g_t(A_{t+\delta} \setminus A_t)) \text{rad}(g_t(A_{t+\delta} \setminus A_t))}{|z|^2}\right).$$

Defining  $g_{t,t+\delta} = g_{t+\delta}^{-1} \circ g_t$  we have

$$g_{t,t+\delta}(z) = z + \frac{2\delta}{z - U_t} + 2\delta \text{diam}(g_t(A_{t+\delta} \setminus A_t)) \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|z - U_t|^2}\right)$$

uniformly in  $t \in [0, T]$ . Hence

$$g_{t+\delta}(z) - g_t(z) = \frac{2\delta}{g_t(z) - U_t} + 2\delta \text{diam}(g_t(A_{t+\delta} \setminus A_t)) \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|g_t(z) - U_t|^2}\right).$$

Now dividing through by  $\delta$  and noting  $\text{diam}(g_t(A_{t+\delta} \setminus A_t)) \rightarrow 0$  we get the result.  $\square$

Conversely, given  $U$  continuous and real valued, then  $(*)$  has a unique solution for  $t < \tau_z := \sup\{s : |g_s(z) - U_s| > 0\}$ .

We use the notation

$$A_t := \{z \in \mathbb{H} : \tau_z \leq t\}$$

$$H_t := \mathbb{H} \setminus A_t.$$

Then  $g_t : H_t \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is conformal and  $(A_t) \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $g_{A_t} = g_t$  (see Example Sheet). We call  $(U_t)$  the “driving function” or “Loewner transform” of  $(g_t)$  or  $(A_t)$ .

## Schramm-Loewner Evolution (SLE)

Suppose  $(A_t) \in \mathcal{A}$  is random with driving function  $U$  such that

- (i)  $(rA_{t/r^2})$  has the same law as  $(A_t)$  (scale invariance);
- (ii) Conditional on  $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(U_s : s \leq t)$ , the conditional law of  $(g_t(A_{t+s} \setminus A_t) - U_t)_{s \geq 0}$  is the same as that of  $(A_s)_{s \geq 0}$ .

These are called the *conformal Markov properties*.

**Theorem.** *There exists  $\kappa \geq 0$  such that  $U_t = \sqrt{\kappa}B_t$  for some Brownian motion  $B$ .*

*Proof.*  $U$  is continuous and by (ii) of the conformal Markov properties, we have that  $(U_{t+s} - U_t)_{s \geq 0}$  has the same law as  $(U_s)_{s \geq 0}$  conditional on  $\mathcal{F}_t$ . Therefore  $U$  has independent and stationary increments so  $U_t = at + \sqrt{\kappa}B_t$  for some  $a, \kappa$ .

(i) of the conformal Markov properties implies  $U_t = \sqrt{\kappa}B_t$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** The random Loewner chain with  $U_t = \sqrt{\kappa}B_t$  for a Brownian motion  $B$  is denoted  $\text{SLE}_\kappa$ .

**Remarks.** •  $\text{SLE}_\kappa$  is generated by a curve, i.e there exists a continuous path  $\gamma$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$  such that  $H_t = \mathbb{H} \setminus A_t$  is the unbounded component of  $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma([0, t])$ .

- If  $\kappa \leq 4$  then  $\text{SLE}_\kappa$  is a simple curve, i.e  $\gamma(t) \in \mathbb{H}$  for  $t > 0$  and  $\gamma(t) \neq \gamma(s)$  for  $s \neq t$
- If  $\kappa \in (4, 8)$  then  $\text{SLE}_\kappa$  is self-intersecting and boundary-intersecting and disconnects points from  $\infty$
- If  $\kappa \geq 8$  then  $\text{SLE}_\kappa$  is space-filling.
- For all  $\kappa$ ,  $\gamma(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Definition.** If  $D \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$  is a simply-connected domain,  $x, y \in \partial D$  (suppose  $\partial D$  is a curve). Define  $\text{SLE}_\kappa$  in  $(D, x, y)$  as the pushforward  $\text{SLE}_\kappa$  in  $(\mathbb{H}, 0, \infty)$  under a conformal transformation  $\varphi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow D$  with  $\varphi(0) = x$ ,  $\varphi(\infty) = y$  (well-defined due to scaling invariance in  $\mathbb{H}$ ).

**Definition.** We say that a Loewner chain  $(g_t)$  (or equivalently  $(A_t)$ ) is *generated by a curve* if there exists  $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  continuous such that for all  $t$ ,  $H_t := \mathbb{H} \setminus A_t$  is the unbounded component of  $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma([0, t])$ .

**Lemma.** Suppose  $\gamma(t) = \lim_{y \downarrow 0} g_t^{-1}(U_t + iy)$  exists for all  $t$  and is continuous then  $(g_t)$  is generated by  $\gamma$ .

**Remark.** The converse is also true.

We will need some facts:

- (i) Let  $A$  be a compact  $\mathbb{H}$ -hull. If  $\alpha$  is a continuous path and  $\alpha(s) \in \mathbb{H} \setminus A$  for  $s > 0$ ,  $\alpha(0) \in \partial A$ . Then  $\lim_{s \downarrow 0} g_A(\alpha(s)) \in \mathbb{R}$  exists. [See Q3 Example Sheet 1]
- (ii) If  $\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}$  are two paths in  $\mathbb{H} \setminus A$  and  $\lim_{s \downarrow 0} g_A(\alpha(s)) = \lim_{s \downarrow 0} g_A(\tilde{\alpha}(s))$  then  $\alpha(0) = \tilde{\alpha}(0)$ . [Q3 Example Sheet 1 again applied to  $g_A^{-1}$ ]

*Proof of Lemma.* Clearly  $\gamma(t) \notin H_t$  so  $H_t \subseteq \mathbb{H} \setminus \text{fill}(\gamma([0, t]))$ . Now we show  $\partial A_t \cap \mathbb{H} \subseteq \gamma([0, t])$ . Let  $z \in \partial A_t \cap \mathbb{H}$ . Since  $\gamma$  is continuous it's enough to show  $z \in \overline{\gamma([0, t])}$ . Pick  $w_n \rightarrow z$ ,  $w_n \in H_t$ . Let  $\alpha$  be the line segment from  $w_n$  towards  $z$  until it hits the first point  $z_n \in \partial A_t$ .

So now we show  $z_n \in \gamma([0, t])$ . Since  $z_n \in A_t$  we have  $s := \tau_{z_n} \leq t$ . We claim  $\lim_{r \downarrow 0} g_s(\alpha(r)) = U_s$ . Once we have this, by fact (ii) above since  $\lim_{y \downarrow 0} g_t^{-1}(U_s + y) = \gamma(s)$  we just have  $\alpha(0) = \gamma(s)$ .

Indeed if not,  $\text{dist}(g_s(\alpha), U_s) > 0$ . But since  $z_n \in A_s \setminus A_{s-\delta}$  for all  $\delta > 0$ , combined with the local growth property, we have  $\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} g_{s-\delta}(z_n) = U_s$  and so  $\text{dist}(g_{s-\delta}(\alpha), U_{s-\delta}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , giving a contradiction.  $\square$

As we will throughout the course, we assume  $(U_t)$  is continuous and real-valued. So we can solve the Loewner differential equation  $\partial_t g_t(z) = \frac{z}{g_t(z) - U_t}$ ,  $g_0(z) = z$ ,  $t < \tau_z := \sup\{s : |g_s(z) - U_s| > 0\}$ . Then since  $U_t \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $\partial_t \overline{g_t(z)} = \frac{\overline{z}}{\overline{g_t(z)} - U_t}$ , so  $g_t(\overline{z}) = \overline{g_t(z)}$  and  $\tau_z = \tau_{\overline{z}}$  by uniqueness. On  $\{\overline{z} : z \in H_t\}$ , this agrees with the Schwarz reflection of  $g_t : H_t \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ .

**Lemma.** For  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\tau_z \leq t$  if and only if  $z \in \overline{A_t \cap \mathbb{H}}$ , i.e the domain  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \tau_z > t\}$  agrees exactly with the reflection of  $H_t$  across  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* If  $\tau_z > t$  then  $\tau_w > t$  in a neighbourhood of  $z$  by continuity. Conversely, suppose  $z \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{U_0\}$ , WLOG  $z > U_0$ . By the local growth property,  $z \notin \overline{A_{t+\delta} \cap \mathbb{H}}$  for some  $\delta > 0$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that  $B(z, \varepsilon) \cap \mathbb{H} \subseteq H_{t+\delta}$ . The Schwarz reflection  $g_s^*$  of  $g_s$  is defined and univalent on  $B(z, \varepsilon)$  for all  $s \leq t$ . Hence  $g_s^*(z) \neq U_s$ , otherwise there would be some  $w \in A_{s+\delta} \setminus A_s$  with  $w \in B(z, \varepsilon) \cap \mathbb{H}$ . Also  $g_s(w_n) \rightarrow g_s^*(z)$  as  $w_n \rightarrow z$ ,  $w_n \in \mathbb{H}$ .

Taking limits in the Loewner differential equation for  $w_n$  implies  $s \mapsto g_s^*(z)$  satisfies the Loewner differential equation on  $[0, t]$  and  $\tau_z > t$ .  $\square$

**Bessel processes**

Itô's formula says that if  $X^1, \dots, X^d$  are semi-martingales and  $F \in C^2$  then  $F(X^1, \dots, X^d)$  is a semi-martingale and

$$\begin{aligned} F(X_t^1, \dots, X_t^d) &= F(X_0^1, \dots, X_0^d) + \sum_{i=1}^d \int \partial_i F(X_t^1, \dots, X_t^d) dX_t^i \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_i \partial_j F(X_t^1, \dots, X_t^d) d\langle X^i, X^j \rangle_t. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$dF(X_t^1, \dots, X_t^d) = \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i F(X_t^1, \dots, X_t^d) dX_t^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_i \partial_j F(X_t^1, \dots, X_t^d) d\langle X^i, X^j \rangle_t.$$

Let  $(B^1, \dots, B^d)$  be a Brownian motion in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  so  $Z_t := \|B\|^2 = (B^1)^2 + \dots + (B^d)^2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d\|B_t\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^d 2B_t^i dB_t^i + d\langle B, B \rangle_t \\ &= 2Z_t^{1/2} dY_t + d\langle Y, Y \rangle_t \end{aligned}$$

where  $dY_t = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{Z_t^{1/2}} B_t^i dB_t^i$ . In Part III Stochastic Calculus it is shown that  $Y$  has the law of a Brownian motion since

$$d[Y]_t = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{Z_t} (B_t^i)^2 dt = dt.$$

By defining  $X_t := Z_t^{1/2}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t &= \frac{1}{2} Z_t^{-1/2} dZ_t + \frac{1}{2} \left( -\frac{1}{2} Z_t^{-3/2} \right) d[Z]_t \\ &= \underbrace{dY_t}_{\text{B.m.}} + \frac{d-1}{2} \frac{1}{X_t} dt. \end{aligned}$$

This process is defined for all  $d \in \mathbb{R}$  and is called a *Bessel process of dimension  $d$* , denoted  $\text{BES}^d$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $d \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $X \sim \text{BES}^d$ . If  $d < 2$  then  $X_t$  hits 0 almost-surely. If  $d \geq 2$  then  $X_t$  does not hit 0 almost-surely.

**Idea:** let  $a < b$  and define  $\tau_x = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t = x\}$ . Then if  $x \in (a, b)$  let  $F(x) := \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_a < \tau_b)$ . Then

$$F(x) = \mathbb{E}_x[\mathbb{P}(\tau_a < \tau_b | \mathcal{F}_t)] = \mathbb{E}_x[F(X_t)] \quad (\text{MG property})$$

which implies  $F(X_t)$  should be a martingale. Suppose  $F \in C^2$ . Then Itô's formula gives

$$\begin{aligned} dF(X_t) &= F'(X_t)dX_t + \frac{1}{2}F''(X_t)d[X]_t \\ &= \left( \frac{d-1}{2} \frac{F'(X_t)}{X_t} + \frac{1}{2}F''(X_t) \right) dt + \text{local martingale} \end{aligned}$$

and so if

$$\frac{d-1}{2} \frac{F'(u)}{u} + \frac{1}{2}F''(u) = 0 \text{ on } (a, b)$$

we will get a local martingale, giving

$$F'(u) = cu^{1-d} \implies F(u) = \begin{cases} c_1 u^{2-d} & d \neq 2 \\ c_1 \log u + c_2 & d = 2 \end{cases}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $d \neq 2$ . Define  $f(u) = u^{2-d}$ . Then by Itô's formula as above,  $(f(X_t))$  is a local martingale. This gives  $\mathbb{P}(\tau_u < \tau_b) = \frac{b^{2-d} - X_0^{2-d}}{b^{2-d} - a^{2-d}}$  by the Gamblers ruin formula for local martingales.

If  $d < 2$  then sending  $a \rightarrow 0$  and then  $b \rightarrow \infty$  we have  $\mathbb{P}(\tau_0 < \infty) = 1$ .

If  $d \geq 2$  then applying the same argument shows  $\mathbb{P}(\tau_0 < \infty) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition.** If  $\kappa \leq 4$  then  $\gamma(t) \in \mathbb{H}$  for all  $t$  almost-surely. If  $\kappa > 4$  then  $\gamma(t) \in \mathbb{R}$  for some  $t > 0$  almost-surely.

*Proof.* Note  $\gamma$  intersects  $\mathbb{R}$  at  $x > 0$  iff for all  $y \in [0, x]$ ,  $y \in A_t$ . We have  $y \in A_t$  iff  $\tau_y \leq t$  where  $\tau_y = \sup\{s : |g_s(y) - U_s| > 0\}$ . Letting  $g_s(y) - U_s := X_s(y)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} dX_s(y) &= \partial_t g_s(y)dt - dU_s \\ \implies dX_s(y) &= \frac{2}{X_s(y)}ds - \underbrace{\frac{dU_s}{\sqrt{\kappa}dB_s}}_{\text{chordal eqn}} \\ \iff d\frac{X_s(y)}{\sqrt{\kappa}} &= \frac{\frac{2}{\kappa}}{\frac{X_s(y)}{\sqrt{\kappa}}} - dB_s \end{aligned}$$

a "Bessel process of dimension  $1 + \frac{4}{\kappa}$ ".  $\square$

**Proposition.** If  $\kappa \leq 4$  then  $\text{SLE}_\kappa$  corresponds to a simple curve almost-surely. If  $\kappa > 4$  then  $\text{SLE}_\kappa$  has self-intersections almost-surely.

*Proof.* For  $\kappa \leq 4$  note  $s \mapsto g_t(\gamma(s+t)) - g_t(\gamma(t))$  is a  $\text{SLE}_\kappa$  curve and so a.s. does not intersect  $\mathbb{R}$ . But intersections between  $\gamma|_{[0,t]}$  and  $\gamma|_{[t,\infty)}$  correspond to such curves hitting  $\mathbb{R}$ .

For  $\kappa > 4$ , by scale-invariance we have that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma}$  almost-surely intersects  $(0, \varepsilon]$ . So find  $t > 0$  such that  $g_t^{-1}[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ ,  $\gamma(t+s) = g_t^{-1}(\tilde{\gamma}(s))$ .  $\square$



**Useful computations:**

$$\partial_t g_t(z) = \frac{2}{g_t(z) - \sqrt{\kappa} B_t} = 2 \frac{(\Re g_t(z) - \sqrt{\kappa} B_t) - \Im g_t(z)}{\underbrace{|g_t(z) - \sqrt{\kappa} B_t|^2}_{X_t(z) + iY_t(z)}}$$

$$dX_t = \frac{2X_t}{X_t^2 + Y_t^2} dt - \sqrt{\kappa} dB_t$$

$$dY_t = -\frac{2Y_t}{X_t^2 + Y_t^2} dt$$

$$\partial_t g'_t(z) = -\frac{-2g'_t(z)}{(g_t(z) - \sqrt{\kappa} B_t)^2}$$

$$\partial_t \log |g'_t(z)| = -2 \frac{X_t^2 - Y_t^2}{(X_t^2 + Y_t^2)^2}.$$

**Theorem.** For  $\kappa \geq 0$ ,  $\kappa \neq 8$ ,  $\text{SLE}_\kappa$  is generated by a curve.

**Remark.** Also true for  $\kappa = 8$  but we will not prove this.

*Proof.* It suffices to show  $\gamma(t) = \lim_{y \downarrow 0} g_t^{-1}(\sqrt{\kappa} B_t + iy)$  exists and is continuous in  $t$ . We will show that for  $\kappa \neq 8$  there exists  $\alpha = \alpha(\kappa) > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |(g_t^{-1})'(\sqrt{\kappa} B_t + iy)| \leq C y^{-1+\alpha} \quad (*)$$

for some random almost-surely finite  $C$ . This will imply  $t \mapsto g_t^{-1}(\sqrt{\kappa} B_t + iy)$  converges uniformly on  $[0, T]$  as  $y \downarrow 0$ . By Koebe's distortion theorem it suffices to show  $(*)$  for  $y = 2^{-n}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We can restrict to the event  $\{\|\sqrt{\kappa} B\|_{[0, T], \infty} \leq M\}$  i.e.  $A_T \subseteq B(0, M + 2\sqrt{T})$  for  $M$  sufficiently large.

Suppose that  $|(g_t^{-1})'(\sqrt{\kappa} B_t + iy)| \geq u$  for some  $t \in [0, T]$ . Then by Koebe's  $1/4$  theorem we have  $g_t^{-1}(B(\sqrt{\kappa} B_t + iy, y/2))$  contains a ball of radius  $uy/8$ . Then Koebe's distortion estimate says  $|g'_t(w)| \simeq |g'_t(g_t^{-1}(\sqrt{\kappa} B_t + iy))| \leq 1/u$  for all  $w \in B(g'_t(\sqrt{\kappa} B_t + iy), uy/16)$ . So can pick  $w \in \frac{uy}{16}\mathbb{Z}$  and  $|w| \lesssim M + 2\sqrt{T}$  due to  $A_T \subseteq B(0, M + 2\sqrt{T})$ .

Note  $g_t(w) \in B(\sqrt{\kappa} B_t + iy, y/2)$ , i.e.  $\frac{X_t(w)}{Y_t(w)} \in [-1, 1]$  and  $Y_t(w) \in [y/2, 3y/2]$ .

We try to compute  $\mathbb{E}|g'_\sigma(w)|^\lambda$ ,  $\sigma \in \inf\{s : Y_s(w) = y\}$ . Let  $\tilde{F}(a, b) = \mathbb{E}|g'_\sigma(a + ib)|^\lambda$  so

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{F}(a, b) &= \mathbb{E}[|g'_t(a + ib)|^\lambda \mathbb{E}[|g'_\sigma(g_t(a + bi))| | \mathcal{F}_t]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[|g'_t(a + bi)|^\lambda \tilde{F}(X_t + iY_t)]\end{aligned}$$

which implies  $|g'_t|^\lambda \tilde{F}(X_t, Y_t) := \tilde{M}_t$  is a martingale. Then Ito's formula gives  $d\tilde{M}_t$  as the sum of a local martingale and a term of the form  $(\tilde{F}, \partial\tilde{F}, \dots)dt$ . We won't be able to solve this exactly but we can find an approximation (see lemma following this proof).

To conclude, define

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \inf\{s : \frac{X_s}{Y_s} \in [-1, 1], Y_s \in [y/2, 3y/2], |g'_s(w)| \leq \tilde{c}/u\}$$

then if  $\lambda \leq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\tilde{\sigma} < \infty) &\lesssim u^\lambda y^{-\xi} \mathbb{E}[M_{\tilde{\sigma}} \mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\sigma} < \infty}] \\ &\leq u^\lambda y^{-\xi} M_0 \\ &= u^\lambda y^{-\xi} (\Im w)^\xi \left(1 + \left(\frac{\Re(w)}{\Im(w)}\right)^2\right)^{r/2}.\end{aligned}$$

To summarise, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(|(g_t^{-1})'(\sqrt{\kappa}B_t + iy)| \geq y^{-1+\alpha} \text{ for some } t \in [0, T], \|\sqrt{\kappa}B_t\|_{[0, T], \infty} \leq M) \\ \leq \sum_{\substack{w \in y^\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2 \cap \mathbb{H} \\ |w| \lesssim M+2\sqrt{T}}} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{\sigma}_w < \infty) \lesssim y^{(-1+\alpha)\lambda - \xi} \underbrace{\sum_w (\Im(w))^\xi \left(1 + \left(\frac{\Re(w)}{\Im(w)}\right)^2\right)^{r/2}}_{\lesssim y^{-2\alpha} \text{ for small } \xi, r}.\end{aligned}$$

Sum over all  $y = 2^{-n}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  to get  $\sum_n 2^{-n(-\lambda - \xi - \alpha(-\lambda + 2))}$ .

For  $\kappa \neq 8$  we have  $\min_r \left(2r - \frac{r\kappa}{4} + \frac{r^2\kappa}{4}\right) < 0$ . So we can choose  $\lambda, \xi, r$  such that  $(**)$  below holds,  $\lambda \leq 0$  and  $\lambda + \xi < 0$ . Hence we can take  $\alpha > 0$  small so that  $\sum_n 2^{-n(-\lambda - \xi - \alpha(-\lambda + 2))} < \infty$  so we are done by Borel Cantelli.  $\square$

**Lemma.** Let  $\lambda, g, r \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $\lambda + \xi \geq 2r - \frac{r\kappa}{4} + \frac{r^2\kappa}{4}$  and  $\lambda - \xi \leq -\frac{r\kappa}{4}$  (call these conditions  $(**)$ ). Then

$$M_t = |g'_t(w)|^\lambda Y_t(w)^\xi \left(1 + \frac{X_t^2}{Y_t^2}\right)^{r/2}$$

is a local supermartingale. If  $(**)$  hold with equality then  $(M_t)$  is a local martingale.

*Proof.* By computation above.  $\square$

## Conformality of SLE<sub>6</sub>

Let  $D \subseteq \mathbb{H}$  contain a neighbourhood of 0 and be simply connected. Let  $\psi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be a conformal map with  $\psi(0) = 0$ . Define  $\tilde{A}_t = \psi(A_t)$  and let  $\tilde{g}_t = g_{\tilde{A}_t}$ . Define  $\tilde{U}_t = \psi_t(U_t)$  where  $\psi_y = \tilde{g}_t \circ \psi \circ g_t^{-1}$ .

**Remark.**  $(\tilde{A}_t)$  is not in general parameterised by half plane capacity anymore.

We have

$$\text{hcap}(\tilde{g}_t(\tilde{A}_{t+s} \setminus \tilde{A}_t)) = (\psi'_t(U_t))^2 \text{hcap}(g_t(A_{t+s} \setminus A_t)) + o(s) = (\psi'_t(U_t))^2 \cdot 2s + o(s).$$

Hence define

$$\tilde{a}(t) = \text{hcap}(\tilde{A}_t) = \int_0^t 2\psi'_s(U_s)^2 ds$$

so by the chain rule

$$\partial_t \tilde{g}_t(z) = \frac{\partial_t \tilde{a}(t)}{\tilde{g}_t(z) - \tilde{U}_t} = \frac{2\psi'_t(U_t)^2}{\tilde{g}_t(z) - \tilde{U}_t}$$

and Itô's formula applied to  $(t, U_t) \mapsto \psi_t(U_t)$  gives

$$d \underbrace{\psi_t(U_t)}_{\tilde{U}_t} = \partial_t \psi_t(U_t) + \psi'_t(U_t) dU_t + \frac{1}{2} \psi''_t(U_t) d[U]_t.$$

We can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \psi_t(z) &= \partial_t \tilde{g}_t(\psi(g_t^{-1}(z))) + \tilde{g}'_t(\psi(g_t^{-1}(z))) \psi'(g_t^{-1}(z)) \partial_t g_t^{-1}(z) \\ &= 2 \left( \frac{\psi'_t(U_t)^2}{\psi_t(z) - \psi_t(U_t)} - \frac{\psi'_t(z)}{z - U_t} \right) \\ &= -3\psi''_t(U_t) + \mathcal{O}(|z - U_t|) \end{aligned}$$

which means

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{U}_t &= \partial_t \psi_t(U_t) + \psi'_t(U_t) \underbrace{dU_t}_{\sqrt{\kappa} dB_t} + \frac{1}{2} \psi''_t(U_t) \underbrace{d[U]_t}_{\kappa dt} \\ &= \frac{\kappa - 6}{2} \psi''_t(U_t) dt + \sqrt{\kappa} \psi'_t(U_t) dB_t \end{aligned}$$

reparameterising by half-plane capacity, i.e setting  $\sigma(s) = \inf\{t : \text{hcap}(A_t) = 2 \int_0^t \psi'_r(U_r)^2 dr = 2s\}$  we have

$$d\sigma(s) = \frac{ds}{\psi'_{\sigma(s)}(U_{\sigma(s)})^2}$$

and therefore

$$\partial_s \tilde{g}_{\sigma(s)}(z) = \frac{2}{\tilde{g}_{\sigma(s)}(z) - \tilde{U}_{\sigma(s)}}, \quad \tilde{g}_{\sigma(0)}(z) = z.$$

Then

$$d\tilde{U}_{\sigma(s)} = \frac{\kappa - 6}{2} \frac{\psi''_{\sigma(s)}(U_{\sigma(s)})}{\psi'_{\sigma(s)}(U_{\sigma(s)})^2} ds + \sqrt{\kappa} d\tilde{B}_s$$

where  $\tilde{B}_s = \int_0^{\sigma(s)} \psi'_r(U_r) dB_r$  has the law of a Brownian motion [indeed  $[\tilde{B}]_s = \int_0^{\sigma(s)} \psi'_r(U_r)^2 dr = s$ ].

**Theorem.** *In the setup above, if  $\kappa = 6$  the law of  $\psi(\gamma)$  up to hitting  $\pi(\partial D \cap H)$  is an  $\text{SLE}_6$ .*

Some further topics:

- variants of chordal SLE, such as the radial SLE;
- natural length;
- reversibility;
- duality;
- and more...

## The Gaussian Free Field

Given a domain  $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  the (zero boundary) Green's function on  $D$  is  $G_D(x, y) = \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \cdot \tilde{G}_x(y)$  where  $\tilde{G}_x$  is a harmonic extension of  $\partial D \ni y \mapsto \frac{1}{|x-y|}$ .

Then we have  $\Delta_y G_D(x, y) = -2\pi\delta_x(y)$  in a distributional sense.

**Definition** (Zero-boundary GFF on  $D$ ). A mean 0 Gaussian process  $(\langle h, \rho \rangle)_{\rho \in C_c^\infty(D)}$  is called a (zero-boundary) *Gaussian free field* on  $D$  if it has covariance

$$\mathbb{E}[\langle h, \rho_1 \rangle \langle h, \rho_2 \rangle] = \int_{D^2} G(x, y) \rho_1(x) \rho_2(y) dx dy$$

where  $\langle h, \rho_1 \rangle$  denotes  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x) \rho_1(x) dx$ , i.e the  $L^2$ -inner product.

Write

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\nabla} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \nabla f(x) \cdot \nabla g(x) dx$$

and let  $H_0^1(D)$  be the Hilbert space completion of  $C_c^\infty(D)$  with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\nabla}$  (assuming  $\text{diam}(\partial D) > 0$ ).

**Proposition.** For  $\varphi : D \rightarrow \tilde{D}$  conformally invariant

- $\langle f, g \rangle_{\nabla} = (f \circ \varphi^{-1}, g \circ \varphi^{-1})_{\nabla}$  for  $f, g \in C_c^\infty(D)$ . Hence  $\varphi$  induces an isometry  $H_0^1(D) \rightarrow H_0^1(\tilde{D})$ ;
- $G_D(x, y) = G_{\tilde{D}}(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))$ .

*Proof.* The first statement is on the example sheet, the second follows from  $\log |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| - \log |x - y| = \log \left| \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)}{x - y} \right|$  and the RHS is harmonic in  $D$ , so follows by uniqueness of harmonic extension.  $\square$

Formally the GFF is a “standard normal in  $H_0^1(D)$ ”, i.e if  $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $H_0^1(D)$  and  $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1}$  are iid  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  then  $\sum_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n f_n$  is a standard normal in  $H_0^1(D)$ . Indeed then  $\langle h, f \rangle_{\nabla} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n \langle f_n, f \rangle_{\nabla}$  will have the required mean and variance.

**Definition.**  $(\langle h, f \rangle_{\nabla})_{f \in H_0^1(D)}$  is defined as a mean 0 Gaussian process and

$$\langle h, f \rangle_{\nabla} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n \langle f_n, f \rangle_{\nabla}$$

so  $\mathbb{E}[\langle h, f \rangle_{\nabla} \langle h, g \rangle_{\nabla}] = \langle f, g \rangle_{\nabla}$ .

If  $(\tilde{f}_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is another orthonormal basis we can project  $\tilde{\alpha}_n = \langle h, \tilde{f}_n \rangle_{\nabla}$  so  $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\alpha}_n, \tilde{\alpha}_m] = \langle \tilde{f}_n, \tilde{f}_m \rangle = \delta_{n,m}$  and  $h = \sum_{n \geq 1} \tilde{\alpha}_n \tilde{f}_n$ .

For  $U \subseteq D$  we have a continuous embedding  $C_c^\infty(U) \subseteq C_c^\infty(D)$  and so a continuous embedding  $H_0^1(U) \subseteq H_0^1(D)$ . We claim that  $H_0^1(D) = H_0^1(U) \oplus H_{\text{harm}}(U)$ ,

where  $H_{\text{harm}}(U)$  denotes the elements  $f$  of  $H_0^1(D)$  that are harmonic in the weak sense  $\langle f, \Delta \rho \rangle = 0$  for all  $\rho \in C_c^\infty(U)$ .

Now we prove the claim. If  $f \in H_0^1(U)$ ,  $g \in H_{\text{harm}}(U)$  then

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\nabla} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_U \nabla f \cdot \nabla g dx = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_U f \Delta g dx = 0.$$

Hence  $H_{\text{harm}}(U)$  is a subset of the orthogonal complement of  $H_0^1(U)$ . To show that it is in fact the whole of  $H_0^1(U)^\perp$ , suppose  $g \in H_0^1(U)^\perp$ . Then for all  $\rho \in C_c^\infty(U)$  we have

$$0 = \langle \rho, g \rangle_{\nabla} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_U (\Delta \rho) g dx$$

i.e  $g \in H_{\text{harm}}(U)$ .

**Proposition.**  $f$  being harmonic in the weak sense is equivalent to saying  $f$  can be represented by a function with  $\Delta f = 0$  in  $U$ .

*Proof.* Example Sheet. □

**Proposition.** Let  $h$  be a GFF in  $D$ ,  $U \subseteq D$ . Then there exists  $h_{D \setminus U}, h_U^{D \setminus U}$  independent with  $h = h_{D \setminus U} + h_U^{D \setminus U}$  such that  $h_U^{D \setminus U}$  is a GFF in  $U$  and  $h_{D \setminus U}$  is a GFF in  $D \setminus U$  and harmonic in  $U$ .

This is called the *domain Markov property*.

*Proof.* Let  $(f_n^1)$  be an orthonormal basis of  $H_0^1(U)$  and let  $(f_n^2)$  be an orthonormal basis of  $H_{\text{harm}}(U)$ . Then

$$h = \underbrace{\sum_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n^1 f_n^1}_{h_U^{D \setminus U}} + \underbrace{\sum_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n^2 f_n^2}_{h_{D \setminus U}}.$$

□

Note that we have conformal invariance: if  $\varphi : D \rightarrow \tilde{D}$  is conformal then  $\langle h \circ \varphi^{-1}, f \rangle_{\nabla} = \langle h, f \circ \varphi \rangle_{\nabla}$  and  $\langle h \circ \varphi^{-1}, \rho \rangle = \langle h, (\rho \circ \varphi) |\varphi'|^2 \rangle$  so  $h \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is a GFF in  $\tilde{D}$ .

## Local sets of the Gaussian Free Field

For  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq U$  take  $h = h_{A_1} + h_{D \setminus A_1}^{A_1}$ . Since  $D \setminus A_1 \supseteq D \setminus A_2$  we can then take

$$h_{D \setminus A_1}^{A_1} = (h_{D \setminus A_1}^{A_1})_{A_2} + (h_{D \setminus A_1}^{A_1})_{D \setminus A_2}^{A_2}.$$

It turns out that  $A_2 \supseteq A_1$  can depend randomly on  $h_{A_1}$ , as well as additional randomness which is independent of  $h_{D \setminus A_1}^{A_1}$ . Therefore we have a GFF  $(h, A_2)$  (with  $A_2$  random) where

$$h = h_{A_2} + h_{D \setminus A_2}^{A_2}$$

and  $h_{A_2}$  is harmonic in  $D \setminus A_2$ ,  $h_{D \setminus A_2}^{A_2}$  a GFF in  $D \setminus A_2$  (conditional on  $(h_{A_2}, A_2)$ ).

Consider the space of relatively closed  $A \subseteq D$ . We can identify  $A$  with  $\overline{A}$ , so the Hausdorff metric makes this a Polish space.

**Definition.** Let  $h$  be a GFF in  $D$ ,  $A \subseteq D$  a random relatively closed subset. We say  $(h, A)$  is *local* if for all open  $U \subseteq D$  the conditional probability  $\mathbb{P}(A \cup U = \emptyset | h)$  is almost-surely measurable with respect to  $h_{D \setminus U}$ , i.e  $\mathbb{P}(A \cup U = \emptyset | h) = \mathbb{P}(A \cup U = \emptyset | h_{D \setminus U})$  almost-surely.

Let  $\mathcal{D}_n := \{([j2^{-n}, (j+1)2^{-n}] \times [k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n}]) \cap D : j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

- Suppose  $A$  is almost-surely a union of squares in  $\mathcal{D}_n$  and suppose  $B$  is a deterministic union of squares, so by the domain Markov property,  $h = h_B + h_{D \setminus B}^B$ . If  $(h, A)$  is local then the conditional law of  $h_{D \setminus B}^B$  given  $h_B$  and  $\{A \subseteq B\}$  is still a GFF in  $D \setminus B$ . For  $B' \subseteq B$ ,  $\sigma(h_{B'}) \subseteq \sigma(h_B)$ . Hence conditional on  $\{A = B\}$  we have  $h = h_B + h_{D \setminus B}^B$ , so  $h = h_A + h_{D \setminus A}^A$  where  $h_A$  is harmonic in  $D \setminus A$  and the conditional law of  $h_{D \setminus A}^A$  given  $(A, h_A)$  is a GFF in  $D \setminus A$ .
- Conversely suppose we have  $h = h_A + h_{D \setminus A}^A$  with these properties. We claim  $(h, A)$  is local. Let  $U \subseteq D$  be open,  $h = h_{D \setminus U} + h_U^{D \setminus U}$ . Then conditional on  $\{A \cap U = \emptyset\}$  and  $h_A$ ,  $h_{D \setminus A}^A = h_{D \setminus A \setminus U}^A + (h^A)_U^{D \setminus U}$ . Projecting onto  $H_{\text{harm}}(U)$ ,  $H_0^1(U)$  respectively implies  $h_{D \setminus U} = h_A + h_{D \setminus A \setminus U}^A$  and  $h_U^{D \setminus U} = (h^A)_U^{D \setminus U}$  almost-surely. Hence the conditional law of  $h_U^{D \setminus U} = (h^A)_U^{D \setminus U}$  given  $h_{D \setminus U} = h_A + h_{D \setminus A \setminus U}^A$  and  $\{A \cap U = \emptyset\}$  is a GFF in  $U$ , i.e the same as the conditional law given just  $h_{D \setminus U}$ . Therefore conditionally on  $h_{D \setminus U}$ , the event  $\{A \cap U = \emptyset\}$  is independent of  $h_U^{D \setminus U}$ , hence  $\mathbb{P}(A \cap U = \emptyset | h) = \mathbb{P}(A \cap U = \emptyset | h_{D \setminus U})$ .

**Proposition.**  $(h, A)$  is local if and only if there exist  $h_A, h_{D \setminus A}^A$  where  $h_A$  is harmonic in  $D \setminus A$ , the conditional law of  $h_{D \setminus A}^A$  given  $(A, h_A)$  is a GFF in  $D \setminus A$ , and  $h = h_A + h_{D \setminus A}^A$  almost-surely.

*Proof.* We have shown the backward direction.

For the forward direction, let  $A_n := \bigcup \{Q \in \mathcal{D}_n : A \cap Q \neq \emptyset\}$ . We claim  $(h, A_n)$  is local. Let  $U \subseteq D$ . Then  $A_n \cap U \neq \emptyset$  iff  $A \cap U_n \neq \emptyset$  where  $U_n = \bigcup \{Q \in \mathcal{D}_n : U \cap Q \neq \emptyset\}$ , which happens iff  $\bigcap_{\delta > 0} \{A \cap U_n^\delta\} \neq \emptyset$ , where  $U_n^\delta$  is a  $\delta$ -neighbourhood of  $U_n$ . By locality of  $(h, A)$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(A \cap U_n^\delta \neq \emptyset | h)$  is measurable with respect to  $\sigma(h_{D \setminus U_n^\delta}) \subseteq \sigma(h_{D \setminus U})$ , so taking  $\delta \downarrow 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(A \cap U_n \neq \emptyset | h)$  is  $\sigma(h_{D \setminus U})$ -measurable.

By the proposition for the dyadic square case, there exists a decomposition  $h_{A_n}, h_{D \setminus A_n}^{A_n}$  for  $(h, A_n)$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}_n = \sigma(A, h_{A_n}) = \sigma(h_{A_n}, A_n, A_{n+1}, \dots)$  which is decreasing in  $n$ . Then  $8\mathbb{E}[\langle h, \rho \rangle | \mathcal{G}_n] = \langle h_{A_n}, \rho \rangle$  for all  $\rho \in C_c^\infty$ . Backward martingale convergence implies  $\langle h_{A_n}, \rho \rangle$  converges to some  $C_\rho$ . Consider a countable dense set of such  $\rho$ , so we can construct  $h_A$  such that it is harmonic in  $D \setminus A$  and  $(h_A, \rho) = C_\rho$  for all  $\rho$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\exp(i\theta \langle h, \rho \rangle) | \mathcal{G}_n] &= \exp(i\theta \langle h_A, \rho \rangle) \exp\left(-\frac{\theta^2}{2} \iint G_{D \setminus A_n}(x, y) \rho(x) \rho(y)\right) \\ &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp(i\theta \langle h_A, \rho \rangle) \exp\left(-\frac{\theta^2}{2} \iint G_{D \setminus A}(x, y) \rho(x) \rho(y)\right) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(i\theta \langle h - h_A, \rho \rangle) | h_A, A] = \exp\left(-\frac{\theta^2}{2} \iint G_{D \setminus A}(x, y) \rho(x) \rho(y)\right).$$

□