

Motivation

This section is motivation and will not be rigorous. We have a ‘Dirac delta function’ such that for all ‘nice’ functions f

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x - x_0) f(x) dx = f(x_0).$$

Can we define $\delta'(x - x_0)$? Could try

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta'(x - x_0) f(x) dx &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\delta(x - x_0 + h) - \delta(x - x_0)}{h} \right] f(x) dx \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} [f(x_0 - h) - f(x_0)] \\ &= -f'(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

i.e

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta'(x - x_0) f(x) dx = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x - x_0) f'(x) dx$$

which looks like some form of integration-by-parts. We can make this rigorous using distribution theory.

Fourier transform of polynomials

If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ then

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\lambda x} f(x) dx.$$

How could we take the Fourier transform of something like $f(x) = x^n$? May recall identity

$$\delta(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\lambda x} dx$$

and then get

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}(\lambda) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n e^{-i\lambda x} dx \\ &= \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right)^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\lambda x} dx \\ &= i^n 2\pi \delta^{(n)}(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Recall Parseval’s theorem: for suitable f, g

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{g}(\lambda) f(\lambda) d\lambda = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) \hat{f}(x) dx.$$

Could define the Fourier transform of $g(x) = x$ to be the function $\lambda \mapsto \hat{x}(\lambda)$ such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{x}(\lambda) f(\lambda) d\lambda = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \hat{f}(x) dx$$

for all ‘nice’ functions f . We can make this rigorous using distributions.

Discontinuous solutions to PDEs

From linear acoustics, air pressure $p = p(x, t)$ satisfies the wave equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial t^2} = 0. \quad (*)$$

Could introduce a ‘nice’ $f = f(x, t)$, say $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then $(*)$ implies

$$\int \int (p_{xx} - p_{tt}) f(x, t) dx dt = 0.$$

So we can integrate by parts to interpret this as

$$\int \int (f_{xx} - f_{tt}) p(x, t) dx dt = 0.$$

We say that $p = p(x, t)$ is a *weak solution* to $(*)$ if

$$\int \int (f_{xx} - f_{tt}) p(x, t) dx dt = 0$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. In each case, to extend a definition to a larger domain of applicability, we had to introduce a space of “nice” functions.

This is the theme of distribution theory: functions get replaced by linear maps on some auxiliary space of test functions V . A *distribution* is a linear map $u : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, i.e we study the topological dual of V . Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote pairing between v and V^* , i.e for $u \in V^*$, $f, g \in V$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\langle u, \alpha f + \beta g \rangle = \alpha \langle u, f \rangle + \beta \langle u, g \rangle.$$

The topological dual V^* consists of linear $u : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that whenever $f_n \rightarrow f$ in V , we have $\langle u, f_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle u, f \rangle$ in \mathbb{C} . For example we could take $V = C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ equipped with the topology of uniform convergence (i.e $f_n \rightarrow f$ in V if for all compact $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and all $n \geq 0$, $\left| \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^n (f_n - f) \right| \rightarrow 0$) then $\delta_{x_0} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\langle \delta_{x_0}, f \rangle = f(x_0)$. Note that this is indeed continuous.

1 Distributions

1.1 Notation & Preliminaries

Throughout (unless otherwise specified) X, Y denote open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , K a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Integrals over X, \mathbb{R}^n are written as $\int_X [\cdot] dx$, $\int [\cdot] dx$ respectively.

1.2 Distributions & Test Functions

Definition. The space $\mathcal{D}(X)$ consists of smooth functions $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of compact support. We say a sequence $(\varphi_m)_{m \geq 0}$ in $\mathcal{D}(X)$ converges to 0 in $\mathcal{D}(X)$ if there exists $K \subseteq X$ compact such that $\text{supp}(\varphi_m) \subseteq K$ and $\sup_K |\partial^\alpha \varphi_m| \rightarrow 0$ for all multi-indices α .

Functions in $\mathcal{D}(X)$ have nice properties. For example, if $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ then $\varphi = 0$ before you reach the boundary of X . This means integration-by-parts is easy since

$$\int_X \varphi \partial^\alpha \psi dx = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_X \psi \partial^\alpha \varphi dx.$$

Since $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ is smooth we have

$$\varphi(x+h) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha \varphi(x) + R_N(x, h)$$

where R_N is $o(|h|^N)$ uniformly in x .

Definition. A linear map $u : \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a *distribution* if for all $K \subseteq X$ compact there exist $C, N \geq 0$ such that

$$|u(\varphi)| \leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sup_K |\partial^\alpha \varphi| \quad (*)$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ with $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subseteq K$. The space of such linear maps is denoted by $\mathcal{D}'(X)$, i.e. “distributions on X ”. If the same N can be used in $(*)$ for all compact $K \subseteq X$, say the least such N is the order of u , written $\text{ord}(u)$.

For $x_0 \in X$ define $\delta_{x_0}(\varphi) = \varphi(x_0)$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$. Then $\delta_{x_0} : \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is linear and

$$|\delta_{x_0}(\varphi)| = |\varphi(x_0)| \leq \sup |\varphi|$$

so we can take $C = 1, N = 0$ in $(*)$, so $\text{ord}(\delta_{x_0}) = 0$.

For $\{f_\alpha\}$ in $C(X)$, define $T : \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$T(\varphi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq M} \int_X f_\alpha \partial^\alpha \varphi dx.$$

Take $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ with $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subseteq K$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |T(\varphi)| &\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq M} \int_K |f_\alpha| |\partial^\alpha \varphi| dx \\ &\leq \left(\max_\alpha \int_K |f_\alpha| dx \right) \sum_{|\alpha| \leq M} \sup |\partial^\alpha \varphi| \end{aligned}$$

so $(*)$ holds with $C = \max_\alpha \int_K |f_\alpha| dx$, $N = M$. Hence $T \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$.

Note this estimate would hold if the $\{f_\alpha\}$ were only assumed locally integrable, written $f_\alpha \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(X)$.

Remark. For $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ we have a corresponding distribution $T_f : \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $T_f(\varphi) = \int_X f \varphi dx$. We often simply write $T_f = f$.

Lemma. A linear map $u : \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a distribution if and only if $u(\varphi_m) \rightarrow 0$ for all sequences $\varphi_m \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{D}(X)$.

Proof. Suppose $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ and $\varphi_m \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{D}(X)$. Then $\text{supp}(\varphi_m) \subseteq K$ for some K independent of m and there exist $C, N \geq 0$

$$|\varphi_m(u)| \leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sup_K |\partial^\alpha \varphi_m| \rightarrow 0$$

for all α .

Suppose not, i.e $u : \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is linear and $u(\varphi_m) \rightarrow 0$ whenever $\varphi_m \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{D}(X)$, but u is not a distribution. Then there is a compact set $K \subseteq X$ such that for all C, N , $(*)$ fails on some φ with support contained in K . So there must be some $\varphi_m \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ with $\text{supp}(\varphi_m) \subseteq K$ and

$$|u(\varphi_m)| > m \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sup_K |\partial^\alpha \varphi_m|.$$

Now replace φ_m with $\varphi'_m = \frac{\varphi_m}{u(\varphi_m)}$. So we may assume $u(\varphi_m) = 1$ WLOG. Hence

$$1 > m \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sup_K |\partial^\alpha \varphi_m|.$$

Therefore $\sup_K |\partial^\alpha \varphi_m| < \frac{1}{m}$ for all $|\alpha| \leq m$. Hence $\varphi_m \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{D}(X)$, giving a contradiction since $u(\varphi_m) \not\rightarrow 0$. \square

1.3 Limits in $\mathcal{D}'(X)$

We often have some sequence (u_m) in $\mathcal{D}'(X)$. If there is some $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ such that $\varphi(u_m) \rightarrow \varphi(u)$ for all φ we say $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $\mathcal{D}'(X)$.

Theorem (*Non-examinable*). *If (u_m) is a sequence in $\mathcal{D}'(X)$ and $u(\varphi) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} u(\varphi_m)$ exists for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$, then $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$.*

Proof. Not given. □

Take $u_m \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ defined by $u_m(\varphi) = \int \sin(mx)\varphi(x)dx$. By integration-by-parts we have

$$|\varphi(u_m)| = \left| \frac{1}{m} \int \cos(mx)\varphi'(x)dx \right| \rightarrow 0.$$

i.e $\sin(mx) \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$.

1.4 Basic Operations

1.4.1 Differentiation & Multiplication by Smooth Functions

For $u \in C^\infty(X) \subseteq L^1_{\text{loc}}(X)$, $\partial^\alpha u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \partial^\alpha u, \phi \rangle &= \int_X \phi \partial^\alpha u dx \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_X u \partial^\alpha \phi dx \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle u, \partial^\alpha \phi \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

This leads to

Definition. For $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$, $f \in C^\infty(X)$ define

$$\langle \partial^\alpha (fu), \phi \rangle := (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle u, f \partial^\alpha \phi \rangle$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ [note $\partial^\alpha (fu) \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$]. We call $\partial^\alpha u$ the *distributional derivatives* of u .

For δ_x we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \partial^\alpha \delta_x, \phi \rangle &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle \delta_x, \partial^\alpha \phi \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha \phi(x).\end{aligned}$$

Define the *Heaviside function*

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & x < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Then $H \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ so

$$\langle H', \phi \rangle = -\langle H, \phi' \rangle = -\int_0^\infty \phi'(x) dx = \phi(0) = \langle \delta_0, \phi \rangle.$$

Hence $H' = \delta_0$. Generally we say $u = v$ in $\mathcal{D}'(X)$ if $\langle u, \cdot \rangle = \langle v, \cdot \rangle$.

Lemma. If $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ and $u' = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ then u is constant.

Proof. Fix $\theta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\langle 1, \theta \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \theta dx = 1$. For $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ write

$$\phi = \underbrace{(\phi - \langle 1, \phi \rangle \theta)}_{:= \phi_A} + \underbrace{\langle 1, \phi \rangle \theta}_{:= \phi_B}.$$

Note that $\langle 1, \phi_A \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_A dx = 0$ so we have

$$\Phi_A(x) := \int_{-\infty}^x \phi_A(t) dt$$

defines $\Phi_A \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\Phi'_A = \phi_A$. So

$$\begin{aligned}\langle u, \phi \rangle &= \langle u, \phi_A \rangle + \langle u, \phi_B \rangle \\ &= \langle u, \Phi'_A \rangle + \langle 1, \phi \rangle \langle u, \theta \rangle \\ &= \underbrace{-\langle u', \phi_A \rangle + \langle 1, \phi \rangle}_{=0} + \underbrace{\langle 1, \phi \rangle \langle u, \theta \rangle}_{:=c \text{ constant}}\end{aligned}$$

so u is constant in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$. □

1.4.2 Translation & Reflection

If $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ define *reflection* and *translation* by

$$\check{\phi}(x) = \phi(-x), \quad (\tau_h \phi)(x) = \phi(x - h).$$

Definition. For $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we define

$$\langle \check{u}, \phi \rangle = u, \check{\phi} \quad (\text{reflection})$$

and

$$\langle \tau_h u, \phi \rangle = \langle u, \tau_{-h} \phi \rangle \quad (\text{translation})$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma. For $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ define

$$v_h = \frac{\tau_{-h} u - u}{h}.$$

If $\frac{h}{|h|} \rightarrow m \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ as $|h| \rightarrow 0$ then $v_h \rightarrow m \cdot \partial u$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. For $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\langle v_h, \phi \rangle = \langle u, \frac{\tau_h \phi - \phi}{h} \rangle.$$

By Taylor's theorem

$$(\tau_h \phi - \phi)(x) = \phi(x - h) - \phi(x) = - \sum_i h_i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i}(x) + R_1(x, h)$$

where $R_1 = o(|h|)$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [see Example Sheet 1] so by sequential continuity

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v_h, \phi \rangle &= - \sum_i \frac{h_i}{|h|} \langle u, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \rangle + o(1) \\ &= \langle \sum_i \frac{h_i}{|h|} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}, \phi \rangle + o(1) \\ &\rightarrow \langle m \cdot \partial u, \phi \rangle \text{ as } |h| \rightarrow 0.\end{aligned}$$

□

1.4.3 Convolution in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$

For $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$(\tau_x \check{\phi})(y) = \check{\phi}(y - x) = \phi(x - y).$$

If $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ define convolution with $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\begin{aligned} u * \phi(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x - y) \phi(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x - y) u(y) dy \\ &= \langle u, \tau_x \check{\phi} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Definition. For $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ define

$$(u * \phi)(x) = \langle u, \tau_x \check{\phi} \rangle.$$

How regular is $u * \phi$?

Lemma. For $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ write $\Phi_x(y) = \phi(x, y)$. If for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists a neighbourhood $N_x \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ of x and compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\text{supp}(\phi|_{N_x \times \mathbb{R}^n}) \subseteq N_x \times K$$

then $\partial_x^\alpha \langle u, \Phi_x \rangle = \langle u, \partial_x^\alpha \Phi \rangle$ for $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. By Taylor's theorem

$$\Phi_{x+h}(y) - \Phi_x(y) = \sum_i h_i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i}(x, y) + R_1(x, y, h).$$

For $|h|$ sufficiently small we have $x + h \in N_x$ so $\text{supp}(R_1(x, \cdot, h)) \subseteq K$ and also

$$\sup_y |\partial_y^\alpha R(x, y, h)| = o(|h|)$$

so $R_1(x, \cdot, h) = o(|h|)$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By sequential continuity

$$\langle u, \Phi_{x+h} \rangle - \langle u, \Phi_x \rangle = \sum_i h_i \langle u, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \Phi_x \rangle + o(|h|)$$

and so $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \langle u, \Phi_x \rangle = \langle u, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \Phi_x \rangle$ and the result follows by induction. \square

Corollary. If $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $u * \phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\partial^\alpha(u * \phi) = u * \partial^\alpha \phi.$$

Proof. Have $(u * \phi)(x) = \langle u, \tau_x \check{\phi} \rangle$ so take $\Phi_x = \tau_x \check{\phi}$ in previous lemma. \square

1.5 Density of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Can use previous result to prove an important theorem. First we need

Lemma. If $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then

$$(u * \phi) * \psi = u * (\phi * \psi).$$

Proof. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (u * \phi) * \psi(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u * \phi)(x - y) \psi(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle u, \tau_{x-y} \check{\phi} \rangle \psi(y) dy \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \langle u, \tau_{x-hm} \check{\phi} \psi(hm) \rangle h^n && \text{(Riemann sum)} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \langle u, \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \tau_{x-hm} \check{\phi} \psi(hm) h^n \rangle && \text{(Finite sum)} \\ &= \langle u, \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \tau_{x-hm} \check{\phi} \psi(hm) h^n \rangle \\ &= \langle u, \tau_x \check{\phi} * \psi \rangle \\ &= u * (\phi * \psi). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Non-examinable

We can justify the exchange of the limit and the $\langle u, \cdot \rangle$ by defining for $|h| \leq 1$ the family of functions $\{F_h\}$ by

$$F_h(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \phi(x - z - hm) \psi(hm) h^m.$$

It is straightforward to see that $\text{supp}(F_h)$ lies in some fixed compact $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Also each F_h is in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that for each multi-index α we have

$$\sup_z |\partial^\alpha F_h(z)| \leq M_\alpha.$$

So for each α , $z \mapsto \partial^\alpha F_h(z)$ is uniformly bounded and equi-continuous. Equi-continuity follows from

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^\alpha F_h(x) - \partial^\alpha F_h(y)| &= \left| \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \partial^\alpha F_h(tx + (1-t)y) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 (x - y) \cdot \nabla \partial^\alpha F_h(tx + (1-t)y) dt \right| \\ &\lesssim_\alpha |x - y|. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Arzela-Ascoli and a diagonal argument we get a sequence (h_k) such that $\sup_z |\partial^\alpha(F_{h_k} - \check{\tau}_x \phi * \psi)| \rightarrow 0$ for each α .

Theorem. For $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exists (ϕ_k) in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\phi_k \rightarrow u$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (i.e. $\langle u_k, \theta \rangle \rightarrow \langle u, \theta \rangle$ for all $\theta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$).

Proof. Fix $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi dx = 1$ and set $\psi_k(x) = k^n \psi(kx)$. Fix $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\chi = 1$ on $[-1, 1]$ and $\text{supp}(\chi) \subseteq [-2, 2]$. Set $\chi_k(x) = \chi(x/k)$. For $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and arbitrary $\theta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consider $\langle \phi_k, \theta \rangle$ where $\phi_k = (u * \psi_k) \chi_k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_k, \theta \rangle &= \langle u * \psi_k, \chi_k \theta \rangle \\ &= (u * \psi_k) * (\check{\chi}_k \theta)(0) \\ &= u * (\psi_k * (\check{\chi}_k \theta))(0) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{previous lemma})$$

where we used the fact $\langle v, f \rangle = v * \check{f}(0)$. Note

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k * (\check{\chi}_k \theta)(x) &= \int k^n \psi(k(x-y)) \chi(-y/k) \theta(-y) dy \\ &= \int \psi(y') \chi\left(\frac{y'}{k^2} - \frac{x}{k}\right) \theta\left(\frac{y'}{k} - x\right) dy' \quad (y' = k(x-y)) \\ &= \theta(-x) + R_k(-x) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_k(x) = \int \psi(y) \left[\chi\left(\frac{y}{k^2} + \frac{x}{k}\right) \theta\left(\frac{y}{k} + x\right) - \theta(x) \right] dy.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_k, \theta \rangle &= u * \check{\theta}(0) + u * \check{R}_k(0) \\ &= \langle u, \theta \rangle + \langle u, R_k \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to show $R_k \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [exercise]. \square

2 Distributions of Compact Support

Let $Y \subseteq X$ be open. We say $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ vanishes on Y if $\langle u, \phi \rangle = 0$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$.

Definition. For $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ define the support of u by

$$\text{supp}(u) = X \setminus \left(\bigcup_{\substack{Y \subseteq X \text{ open} \\ u \text{ vanishes on } Y}} Y \right).$$

E.g for $\delta_x \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have $\text{supp}(\delta_x) = \{x\}$.

****Non-examinable****

If $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ vanishes on a collection $\{U_\lambda\}$ of open sets, then it vanishes on the union. Indeed suppose $\text{supp}(\phi) \subseteq \bigcup_\lambda U_\lambda$. By compactness there is a finite collection $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^N$ such that $\text{supp}(\phi) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N U_i$.

Take a partition of unity $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^N$ subordinate to $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^N$, i.e $\text{supp}(\psi_i) \subseteq U_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^N \psi_i = 1$. Then

$$\langle u, \phi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \langle u, \psi_i \phi \rangle = 0.$$

A corollary of this is that $\text{supp}(u)$ is the complement of the largest open set on which u vanishes.

2.1 More test functions & distributions

Definition. Define $\mathcal{E}(X)$ to be the space of smooth functions $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We say $\phi_m \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{E}(X)$ if for each multi-index α we have $\partial^\alpha \phi_m \rightarrow 0$ locally uniformly, i.e $\sup_K |\partial^\alpha \phi| \rightarrow 0$ for all $K \subseteq X$ compact.

Definition. A linear map $u : \mathcal{E}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}'(X)$ if there exists $K \subseteq X$ compact and constants $C, N \geq 0$ such that

$$|\langle u, \phi \rangle| \leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sup_K |\partial^\alpha \phi|$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(X)$.

Lemma. A linear map $u : \mathcal{E}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}'(X)$ if and only if $\langle u, \phi_m \rangle \rightarrow 0$ whenever $\phi_m \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{E}(X)$.

Proof. Almost identical to that of $\mathcal{D}'(X)$. \square

Lemma. If $u \in \mathcal{E}'(X)$ then $u|_{\mathcal{D}(X)}$ defines an element of $\mathcal{D}'(X)$ with compact support. Conversely if $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ has compact support there exists a unique $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{E}'(X)$ which extends u to $\mathcal{E}(X)$.

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{D}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{E}(X)$ so if $u \in \mathcal{E}'(X)$ then $u|_{\mathcal{D}(X)}$ is well-defined. There exist compact $K \subseteq X$ and constants $C, N \geq 0$ such that

$$|\langle u, \phi \rangle| \leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sup_K |\partial^\alpha \phi|$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$. Hence $u|_{\mathcal{D}(X)} \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ and $\text{supp}(u) \subseteq K$.

If $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ has compact support, fix $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ such that $\rho = 1$ on a neighbourhood of $\text{supp}(u)$. Define $\tilde{u} : \mathcal{E}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\langle \tilde{u}, \phi \rangle = \langle u, \rho \phi \rangle$ for each $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(X)$. Then $\text{supp}(\rho \phi) \subseteq \text{supp}(\phi)$. Since $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ there exist constants $C, N \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \tilde{u}, \phi \rangle| &= |\langle u, \rho \phi \rangle| \leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sup_K |\partial^\alpha (\rho \phi)| \\ &\leq C' \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sup_K |\partial^\alpha \phi| \end{aligned}$$

so $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{E}'(X)$. Suppose $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{E}'(X)$ has $\tilde{v}|_{\mathcal{D}(X)} = u$ and $\text{supp}(\tilde{v}) = \text{supp}(u)$. With $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ as before

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{v}, \phi \rangle &= \langle \tilde{v}, \rho \phi \rangle + \langle \tilde{v}, (1 - \rho) \phi \rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{u}, \rho \phi \rangle + \langle \tilde{u}, (1 - \rho) \phi \rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{u}, \phi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(X)$, i.e $\tilde{u} = \tilde{v}$. \square

2.2 Convolution between $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$

For $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ define convolution as before by

$$u * \phi(x) = \langle u, \tau_x \check{\phi} \rangle.$$

We find $u * \phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that $u * \phi(x) = 0$ unless $(x - y) \in \text{supp}(\phi)$ for some $y \in \text{supp}(u)$, i.e $\text{supp}(u * \phi) \subseteq \text{supp}(\phi) + \text{supp}(u)$. In particular if $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have $u * \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where at least one of u, v has compact support. Then define

$$(u * v) * \phi := u * (v * \phi)$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $u * v \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [see Example Sheet 2].

Lemma. For u, v as in the above definition. $u * v = v * u$.

Proof. Recall by a previous lemma that if $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $(u * \phi) * \psi = u * (\phi * \psi)$. The same holds if $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with at least one of $\text{supp}(\phi), \text{supp}(\psi)$ compact. We use this repeatedly as follows: for $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\begin{aligned} (u * v) * (\phi * \psi) &= u * [v * (\phi * \psi)] \\ &= u * [(v * \phi) * \psi] \\ &= u * [\psi * (v * \phi)] \\ &= (u * \psi) * (v * \phi). \end{aligned}$$

So using $\phi * \psi = \psi * \phi$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (v * u) * (\phi * \psi) &= (v * \phi) * (u * \psi) \\ &= (u * \psi) * (v * \phi) \\ &= (u * v) * (\phi * \psi). \end{aligned}$$

So if $E = u * v - v * u$ we have $E * (\phi * \psi) = 0$ for all $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus $(E * \phi) * \psi = 0$ and $E * \phi = 0$, so $E = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e $u * v = v * u$. \square

The above implies that for any $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\delta_0 * u = u * \delta_0 = u$$

since for $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$(u * \delta_0) * \psi = u * (\delta_0 * \psi) = u * \psi$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_0 * \psi)(x) &= \langle \delta_0, \tau_x \check{\psi} \rangle \\ &= (\tau_x \check{\psi})(0) \\ &= \check{\psi}(-x) \\ &= \psi(x). \end{aligned}$$

3 Tempered Distributions & Fourier Analysis

3.1 More test functions & distributions

Definition. The *Schwartz space* written $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, consists of smooth $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\|\phi\|_{\alpha,\beta} := \sup |x^\alpha D^\beta \phi| < \infty$$

for all multi-indices α, β . We say $\phi_m \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{S} if $\|\phi_m\|_{\alpha,\beta} \rightarrow 0$ for all α, β . Elements of the Schwartz space are sometimes called *rapidly decaying functions*.

Definition. A linear map $u : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the *space of tempered distributions*, if there exist $C, N \geq 0$ such that

$$|\langle u, \phi \rangle| \leq C \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta|} \|\phi\|_{\alpha,\beta}$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma. A linear functional $u : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ iff $\langle u, \phi_m \rangle \rightarrow 0$ whenever $\phi_m \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{S} .

Proof. Exercise. □

Note that $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the sense of continuous inclusions, i.e

$$\phi_m \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} 0 \implies \phi_m \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} 0 \implies \phi_m \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}} 0.$$

Which gives the continuous inclusions $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

It turns out that \mathcal{S} is ideal for Fourier analysis.

3.2 Fourier Transform on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Definition. For an integrable function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ define the *Fourier transform* of f by

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\lambda \cdot x} f(x) dx, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We use \mathcal{F} to denote the linear map $f \mapsto \hat{f}$.

Note that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ since for $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi| dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|)^{-N} (1 + |x|)^N |\phi| dx \\ &\leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|\phi\|_{\alpha,0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|)^{-N} dx \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

for $N \geq n + 1$.

Lemma. If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $\hat{f} \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. DCT. □

Intuitively, the Fourier transform interchanges decay & smoothness.

Notation: we write D^α for $(-i)^\alpha \nabla^\alpha$.

Lemma. For $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}(D^{\hat{\alpha}}\phi)(\lambda) &= \lambda^\alpha \hat{\phi}(\lambda) \\ (x^{\hat{\beta}}\phi)(\lambda) &= (-D)^\beta \hat{\phi}(\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Integration-by-parts gives

$$\begin{aligned}(D^{\hat{\alpha}}\phi)(\lambda) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\lambda \cdot x} D^\alpha \phi dx \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi D^\alpha [e^{-i\lambda \cdot x}] dx \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \phi \lambda^\alpha e^{-i\lambda \cdot x} dx \\ &= \lambda^\alpha \hat{\phi}(\lambda)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(-D)^\beta \hat{\phi}(\lambda) &= (-D)^\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\lambda \cdot x} \phi(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\beta e^{-i\lambda \cdot x} \phi(x) dx \\ &= (x^{\hat{\beta}}\phi)(\lambda).\end{aligned} \tag{DCT}$$

□

Note that the above show that $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem. The Fourier transform is a continuous isomorphism on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a homeomorphism.

Proof. We know \mathcal{F} maps $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ so $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \lambda^\alpha D^\beta \hat{\phi}(\lambda) \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D^\alpha (x^\beta \phi) e^{-i\lambda \cdot x} dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D^\alpha (x^\beta \phi)| dx < \infty.\end{aligned} \tag{†}$$

Since $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have $D^\alpha (x^\beta \phi) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence $\|\hat{\phi}\|_{\alpha, \beta} < \infty$ for all α, β , i.e $\hat{\phi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence \mathcal{F} maps $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself.

By suitably applying (†) to a sequence $\phi_m \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{S} , it's easy to see $\hat{\phi}_m \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{S} . We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda \cdot x} \hat{\phi}(\lambda) d\lambda = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda \cdot x} e^{-\varepsilon|\lambda|^2} \hat{\phi}(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda \cdot x - \varepsilon|\lambda|^2} \hat{\phi}(\lambda) d\lambda &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(y) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda \cdot (x-y) - \varepsilon|\lambda|^2} d\lambda \right] dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(y) \left[\prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\pi}{\varepsilon} \right)^{1/2} e^{-(x_j - y_j)^2 / 4\varepsilon} \right] dy \quad (*) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(y) \left(\frac{\pi}{\varepsilon} \right)^{n/2} e^{-|x-y|^2 / 4\varepsilon} dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x - 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}y) \pi^{n/2} 2^n e^{-|y'|^2} dy' \quad (y = \frac{x-y}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \phi(x) (2\pi)^n \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-|y|^2} dy \\ &= (2\pi)^n \phi(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\phi(-x) = \mathcal{F} \left[\frac{\hat{\phi}}{(2\pi)^n} \right]$. So we get a homeomorphism $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
(*) follows from

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda \cdot (x-y) - \varepsilon|\lambda|^2} d\lambda = \prod_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda_j \cdot (x_j - y_j)} e^{-\varepsilon\lambda_j^2} d\lambda_j$$

followed by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda\sigma} e^{-\varepsilon\lambda^2} d\lambda &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\varepsilon(\lambda - \frac{i\sigma}{2\varepsilon})^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{4\varepsilon}} d\lambda \\ &= e^{-\frac{\sigma^2}{4\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\varepsilon(\lambda - \frac{i\sigma}{2\varepsilon})^2} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

□

3.3 Fourier Transform on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Proposition. If $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) \hat{\psi}(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{\phi}(x) \psi(x) dx.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) \hat{\psi}(x) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\lambda \cdot x} \psi(\lambda) d\lambda \right] dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\lambda \cdot x} \phi(x) dx d\lambda \quad (\text{Fubini}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(\lambda) \hat{\phi}(\lambda) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

□

If $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then the previous lemma states

$$\langle \hat{u}, \phi \rangle = \langle u, \hat{\phi} \rangle \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Since $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the RHS is well-defined for any $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition. For $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ define \hat{u} by

$$\langle \hat{u}, \phi \rangle := \langle u, \hat{\phi} \rangle \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Example. Take $u = \delta_0$ so

$$\langle \hat{\delta}_0, \phi \rangle = \langle \delta_0, \hat{\phi} \rangle = \hat{\phi}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) dx = \langle 1, \phi \rangle$$

hence $\hat{\delta}_0 = 1$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Also

$$\langle \hat{1}, \phi \rangle = \langle 1, \hat{\phi} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{\phi}(x) dx = (2\pi)^n \phi(0) = \langle (2\pi)^n \delta_0, \phi \rangle$$

implying $\hat{1} = (2\pi)^n \delta_0$. Therefore

$$“\delta_0(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\lambda \cdot x} d\lambda”.$$

It is straightforward to show

$$\begin{aligned} (D^{\hat{\alpha}} u) &= \lambda^{\alpha} \hat{u} \\ (x^{\hat{\beta}} u) &= (-D)^{\beta} \hat{u}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem. The Fourier transform defines a continuous bijection $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Note

$$\tilde{u} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \hat{\tilde{u}}.$$

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{u}, \phi \rangle &= \langle u, \check{\phi} \rangle = \langle u, (2\pi)^{-n} \hat{\phi} \rangle \\ &= \langle (2\pi)^{-n} \hat{u}, \phi \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $(*)$ follows by Fourier inversion. Hence $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)) \subseteq \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, note $\phi_m \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} 0$ iff $\hat{\phi}_m \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} 0$, so

$$\langle \hat{u}, \phi_m \rangle = \langle u, \hat{\phi}_m \rangle \rightarrow 0$$

whenever $\phi_m \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} 0$, i.e. $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For continuity of \mathcal{F} , suppose $u_m \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e. $u_m(\phi) \rightarrow 0$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This happens if and only if $\langle u_m, \hat{\phi} \rangle \rightarrow 0$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ since \mathcal{F} is a bijection from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself, so $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

3.4 Sobolev Space

Definition. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ define the *Sobolev Space* $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to be the $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be identified with a measurable function $\lambda \mapsto \hat{u}(\lambda)$ that satisfies

$$\|u\|_{H^s}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |\lambda|^2)^s |\hat{u}(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda < \infty.$$

We will use notation

$$\langle \lambda \rangle = (1 + |\lambda|^2)^{1/2}$$

so $\lambda \sim |\lambda|$ as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$. We see that $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ iff $\langle \lambda \rangle^s \hat{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma. If $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $s > \frac{n}{2}$ then $u \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (i.e. u can be identified with a $C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ function).

Proof. We establish that $\hat{u} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{u}(\lambda)| d\lambda &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \lambda \rangle^{-2s} d\lambda \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \lambda \rangle^{2s} |\hat{u}(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} d\sigma \underbrace{\int_0^\infty (1 + r^2)^{-s} r^{n-1} dr}_{(\dagger)} \right)^{1/2} \|u\|_{H^s} \end{aligned}$$

where $d\sigma$ is the surface element on the sphere S^{n-1} . Note (\dagger) is $\mathcal{O}(r^{-2s+n-1})$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ so the integral is finite if $s > n/2$. We cannot yet invoke the inverse

Fourier transform since we only proved that it works on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle u, \hat{\phi} \rangle &= \langle \hat{u}, \phi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{u}(\lambda) \phi(\lambda) d\lambda \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{u}(\lambda) (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda \cdot x} \hat{\phi}(x) dx d\lambda && \text{(inverse FT)} \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{\phi}(x) (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda \cdot x} \hat{u}(\lambda) d\lambda dx && \text{(Fubini)} \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x) \hat{\phi}(x) dx
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$u(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda \cdot x} \hat{u}(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

Since $\hat{u} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the DCT implies $u(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

Corollary. *If $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $s > n/2$ then $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Replace u with $D^\alpha u$ and show $\hat{(D^\alpha u)} = \lambda^\alpha \hat{u} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to conclude $D^\alpha u \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

When understanding regularity it suffices to confine attention to things of the form ϕu for $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Very rarely do we need to study u in isolation. Hence if $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ for $X \supsetneq \mathbb{R}^n$ we can consider $\phi u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$, $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ and make the extension $(\phi u)_{\text{ext}} \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition. We say $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ belongs to the *local Sobolev space* $H_{\text{loc}}^s(X)$ if $u\phi$ extends to an element of $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for each $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$.

Note we interpret $\phi u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\langle \phi u, \psi \rangle := \langle u, \phi \psi \rangle$$

which is well defined as $\text{supp}(\phi \psi) \subseteq X$.

4 Applications of the Fourier Transform

4.1 Elliptic regularity

We're interested in problems of the form

$$P(D)u = f$$

where $u, f \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ and P is a polynomial in n variables. For example if $P(\lambda) = \lambda_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_n^2$ we have $P(D) = -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^2 - \dots - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^2 = -\Delta$.

We are interested in the following question. If $f \in H_{\text{loc}}^s(X)$, can we say that $u \in H_{\text{loc}}^t(X)$ for some $t = t(s, P)$? We will answer this when P is elliptic.

Definition. An N th order partial differential operator (P.D.O)

$$P(D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} C_\alpha D^\alpha$$

has *principal symbol* defined by

$$\sigma_P(\lambda) = \sum_{|\alpha|=N} c_\alpha \lambda^\alpha.$$

We say P is *elliptic* if $\sigma_P(\lambda) \neq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

Lemma. If $P(D)$ is N th order elliptic then for $|\lambda|$ sufficiently large, $|P(\lambda)| \gtrsim \langle \lambda \rangle^N$.

Proof. By continuity and compactness, since $\sigma_P(\lambda)$ doesn't vanish on S^{n-1} we must have $\min_{|\lambda|=1} |\sigma_P(\lambda)| = C > 0$. Then for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$

$$|\sigma_P(\lambda)| = |\lambda|^N \sum_{|\alpha|=N} C_\alpha (\lambda/|\lambda|)^\alpha \geq C |\lambda|^N.$$

By the triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |P(\lambda)| &\geq |\sigma_P(\lambda)| - |P(\lambda) - \sigma_P(\lambda)| \\ &\geq \left[C - \frac{|P(\lambda) - \sigma_P(\lambda)|}{|\lambda|^N} \right] |\lambda|^N \\ &\geq \frac{C}{2} |\lambda|^N \end{aligned}$$

for $|\lambda|$ sufficiently large. Then $|P(\lambda)| \geq \frac{C}{2} |\lambda|^N \gtrsim \langle \lambda \rangle^N$. \square

Theorem. If $P(D)$ is N th order elliptic and $P(D)u \in H_{loc}^s(X)$, then $u \in H_{loc}^{s+N}(X)$.

Now we will prove an easier version of this theorem, relevant if $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We will use the fact that if $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $|\hat{u}(\lambda)| \lesssim \langle \lambda \rangle^M$ for some $M \geq 0$.

When $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we can use *parametrix* to prove a version of this theorem.

Definition. Say that $E \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a *parametrix* for $P(D)$ if there exists $\omega \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$P(D)E = \delta_0 + \omega.$$

Lemma. Every (non-zero) elliptic $P(D)$ admits a parametrix $E \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$.

Proof. Fix $R > 0$ so that $|P(\lambda)| \gtrsim \langle \lambda \rangle^N$ for $|\lambda| > R$ and fix $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\chi = 1$ on $|\lambda| \leq R$ and $\chi = 0$ on $|\lambda| > R + 1$.

Define $E \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\hat{E}(\lambda) = \frac{1 - \chi(\lambda)}{P(\lambda)}.$$

Then E is smooth and $|\hat{E}| \lesssim \langle \lambda \rangle^{-N}$ for $|\lambda| > R$, so $\hat{E} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and thus $E \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By the inverse Fourier transform

$$P(D)E = \delta_0 + \omega$$

where

$$\hat{\omega} = -\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \implies \omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

For $|\lambda| > R + 1$ have

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{(x^\beta E)}(\lambda)| &= |D^\beta \hat{E}(\lambda)| \\ &= \left| D^\beta \left(\frac{1}{P(\lambda)} \right) \right| \\ &\lesssim \langle \lambda \rangle^{-N-|\beta|} \quad (\text{induction}) \end{aligned}$$

so for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ (in particular $s > n/2$) there is a β such that $x^\beta E \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. So for each α , $D^\alpha(x^\beta E)$ is continuous for $|\beta|$ sufficiently large [Sobolev lemma]. Hence E is smooth away from $x = 0$, i.e $E \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$. \square

We now prove an easy version of

Theorem. If $P(D)$ is N th order elliptic and $P(D)u \in H_{loc}^s(X)$, then $u \in H_{loc}^{s+N}(X)$.

Proof for special case. If $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, using

$$P(\lambda)\hat{E}(\lambda) = 1 + \hat{\omega}$$

i.e $1 = P(\lambda)\hat{E} - \hat{\omega}$. Therefore $\hat{u} = [P(\lambda)\hat{u}]\hat{E} - \hat{\omega}\hat{u}$. Also $\langle\lambda\rangle^s P(\lambda)\hat{u} \in L^2$, and $\hat{E} \lesssim \langle\lambda\rangle^{-N}$. Furthermore $\hat{\omega} = o(\langle\lambda\rangle^{-k})$ for all k and $\hat{u} = \mathcal{O}(\langle\lambda\rangle^M)$ for some M . Hence

$$\langle\lambda\rangle^{s+N}\hat{u} = [\langle\lambda\rangle^s P(\lambda)\hat{u}]\hat{E}(\lambda)\langle\lambda\rangle^N - \hat{\omega}\hat{u}\langle\lambda\rangle^{s+N}$$

and we see that $\|\hat{u}\langle\lambda\rangle^{s+N}\|_{L^2} < \infty$, i.e $u \in H^{s+N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. □

Now we'll give a full proof

Proof. We use the following facts from Example Sheet 2:

- if $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then there exists $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $u \in H^t(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- if $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $D^\alpha u \in H^{s-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- if $s > t$ then $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq H^t(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- if $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $\phi u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Fix $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$. Introduce test functions $\psi_0, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_M$ such that $\psi_{i-1} = 1$ on $\text{supp}(\psi_i)$ and $\text{supp}(\phi) \subseteq \text{supp}(\psi_M) \subseteq \dots \text{supp}(\psi_0)$.

Note $\psi_0 u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ so $\psi_0 u \in H^t(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some t . Then

$$\begin{aligned} P(D)[\psi_1 u] &= \psi_1 P(D)u + [P(D), \psi_1](u) \\ &= \psi_1 P(D)u + [P(D), \psi_1](\psi_0 u) \end{aligned}$$

since $\psi_0 = 1$ on $\text{supp}(\psi_1)$. Because $P(D)u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $\psi_1 P(D)u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and also $[P(D), \psi_1](\psi_0 u) \in H^{t-N+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ since $\psi_0 u \in H^t(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore

$$P(D)[\psi_1 u] \in H^{\tilde{A}_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

where $\tilde{A}_1 = \min\{s, t - N + 1\}$, i.e

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \lambda \rangle^{2\tilde{A}_1} |P(\lambda)[\psi_1 u]^n(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda < \infty. \quad (\dagger)$$

Since $|P(\lambda)| \gtrsim \langle \lambda \rangle^N$, (\dagger) implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \lambda \rangle^{2(\tilde{A}_1 + N)} |[\psi_1 u]^n(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda < \infty$$

i.e $\psi_1 u \in H^{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $A_1 = \tilde{A}_1 + N = \min\{s + N, t + 1\}$. Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} P(D)[\psi_2 u] &= \psi_2 P(D)u + [P(D), \psi_2](u) \\ &= \psi_2 P(D)u + [P(D), \psi_2](\psi_1 u) \end{aligned}$$

and since $\psi_1 u \in H^{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by the same argument we get $\psi_2 u \in H^{A_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $A_2 = \min\{s + N, A_1 + 1\} = \min\{s + N, \min\{s + N + 1, t + 2\}\} = \min\{s + N, t + 2\}$. Proceeding inductively, $\psi_M u \in H^{A_M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $A_m = \min\{s + N, t + M\} = s + N$ for M large enough. Since $\psi_M = 1$ on $\text{supp}(\phi)$ we get $\phi u \in H^{s+N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. As ϕ was arbitrary we see $u \in H_{\text{loc}}^{s+N}(X)$. \square

4.2 Fundamental Solutions

To solve problems of the form $P(D)u = f$ we can use fundamental solutions.

Definition. We say $E \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a fundamental solution for $P(D)$ if $P(D)E = \delta_0$.

Lemma. *The fundamental solution for*

$$P(D) := \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)$$

is given by $E = \frac{1}{\pi z}$.

Proof. We have $E \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. For $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} E, \phi \right\rangle &= - \left\langle E, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle \\ &= - \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{|z| > \varepsilon} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{1}{\pi z} dx \quad (\text{DCT}) \\ &= - \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{|z| > \varepsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left(\frac{\phi}{\pi z} \right) dx \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=\varepsilon} \frac{\phi}{z} dz \quad (\text{Green's theorem}) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\phi(\varepsilon \cos(\theta), \varepsilon \sin(\theta)) i \varepsilon e^{i\theta}}{\varepsilon e^{i\theta}} d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} 2\pi \phi(0, 0) \\ &= \langle \delta_0, \phi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma. *The fundamental solution for the heat operator*

$$P(D) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_x$$

on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ *is*

$$E(x, t) = \begin{cases} (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}\right) & t > 0 \\ 0 & t \leq 0 \end{cases}.$$

Proof. Note that

$$P(D)E = 0$$

on $t \geq \varepsilon > 0$ (check). For $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_x \right) E, \phi \right\rangle &= - \left\langle E, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_x \right) \phi \right\rangle \\
&= - \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E(x, t) [\phi_t + \Delta_x \phi] dx dt \quad (\text{DCT}) \\
&= - \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E(t, x) \phi(t, x) \Big|_{t=\varepsilon}^{\infty} + \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \underbrace{\phi [E_t - \Delta_x E]}_{=0} dx dt \right] \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (4\pi\varepsilon)^{-n/2} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2\varepsilon}} \phi(x, \varepsilon) dx \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-|y|^2} \phi(\sqrt{2\varepsilon}y, \varepsilon) dy \quad (y = \sqrt{2\varepsilon}x) \\
&= \phi(0, 0) \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-|y|^2} dy \\
&= \langle \delta_0, \phi \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We will try to construct a surface $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\Sigma \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$ (homotopic) and for which

$$\langle E, \phi \rangle = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\hat{\phi}(-\lambda)}{P(\lambda)} d\lambda$$

defines an element of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P(D)E, \phi \rangle &= \langle E, P(-D)\phi \rangle \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{P(\lambda)\hat{P}(-\lambda)}{P(\lambda)} d\lambda \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{\phi}(-\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \phi(0) \end{aligned} \tag{*}$$

where we hope Σ is nice enough that (*) holds, using complex analysis and $\Sigma \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$. We will call Σ *Hörmander's Staircase*.

Lemma. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$ write $\lambda = (\lambda', \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. For each $\lambda' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, if $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then

$$\mathbb{C} \ni z \mapsto \hat{\phi}(\lambda', z)$$

is holomorphic and there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|\hat{\phi}(\lambda', z)| \lesssim_m (1 + |z|)^{-m} e^{\delta|\Im(z)|}$$

for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, i.e we have fast decay at horizontal infinity so $\int_{\mathbb{R}+i\eta} \hat{\phi}(\lambda', z) dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\phi}(\lambda', \lambda_n) d\lambda_n$ for all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ by Cauchy's theorem.

Theorem. For every non-zero $P(D)$ there exists a fundamental solution.

Proof. By scaling and rotating coordinate axes can assume $P(\lambda)$ has the form

$$P(\lambda', \lambda_n) = \lambda_n^M + \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} a_m(\lambda') \lambda_n^m.$$

Let us fix $\mu' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Then

$$P(\mu', \lambda_n) = \prod_{i=1}^M (\lambda_n - \tau_i(\mu'))$$

where $\{\tau_i(\mu')\}_i$ are the zeros of the polynomial $\lambda_n \rightarrow P(\mu', \lambda_n)$. We claim there exists a horizontal line $\Im \lambda_n = c(\mu')$ in the complex λ_n -plane, inside the strip $|\Im(\lambda_n)| \leq M + 1$ such that

$$|\Im(\lambda_n - \tau_i(\mu'))| > 1$$

for $i = 1, \dots, M$. Indeed, $|\Im(\lambda_n)| \leq M + 1$ consists of $M + 1$ strips of width 2. So by the pigeonhole principle one of these strips contains no roots. So choose our horizontal line to bisect an empty strip. Hence

$$|P(\mu', \lambda_n)| > 1$$

on $\Im(\lambda_n) = c(\mu')$. Since the set of roots varies continuously in the coefficients of the polynomial, we deduce that the same statement holds for λ' in a sufficiently small open neighbourhood of μ' , say $N(\mu')$. So we get

$$|P(\lambda', \lambda_n)| > 1 \text{ for } \Im(\lambda_n) = c(\mu'), \quad \lambda' \in N(\mu').$$

We can do this for every $\mu' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, to obtain an open cover $\{N(\mu')\}_{\mu' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}}$. By compactness we can extract a locally finite subcover $N_1 = N(\mu'_1), N_2 = N(\mu'_2), \dots$ of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . We have

$$|P(\lambda', \lambda_n)| > 1 \text{ on } \Im(\lambda_n) = c_i = c(\mu'_i), \quad \lambda' \in N_i.$$

Define open sets inductively by $\Delta_1 = N_1$ and $\Delta_i = N_i \setminus (\overline{N}_1 \cup \dots \cup \overline{N}_{i-1})$. Now we have that $\{\Delta_i\}$ are open, disjoint and $\bigcup_i \Delta_i = \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and

$$|P(\lambda', \lambda_n)| > 1 \text{ on } \Im(\lambda_n) = c_i, \quad \lambda' \in \Delta_i.$$

Now define

$$\langle E, \phi \rangle = (2\pi)^{-n} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta_i} \int_{\Im(\lambda_n)=c_i} \frac{\hat{\phi}(-\lambda', -\lambda_n)}{P(\lambda', \lambda_n)} d\lambda_n d\lambda'$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P(D)E, \phi \rangle &= (2\pi)^{-n} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta_i} \int_{\Im(\lambda_n)=c_i} \frac{P(\lambda', \lambda_n) \hat{\phi}(-\lambda', -\lambda_n)}{P(\lambda', \lambda_n)} d\lambda_n d\lambda' \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\phi}(-\lambda', -\lambda_n) d\lambda_n d\lambda' \quad (\text{Lemma+Cauchy}) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\phi}(-\lambda', -\lambda_n) d\lambda_n d\lambda' \\ &= \phi(0) \\ &= \langle \delta_0, \phi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Can show that E does indeed define a distribution [see Example Sheet 3] so $P(D)E = \delta_0$. \square

The existence of fundamental solutions is called the Malgrange-Ehrenpreis theorem.

4.3 Structure Theorem for $\mathcal{E}'(X)$

We know that if $f \in C(X)$ then $\partial^\alpha f \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ with

$$\langle \partial^\alpha f, \phi \rangle = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_X f \partial^\alpha \phi dx$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$. Also note that

$$\delta_0 = (xH)'' \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}).$$

Natural to ask: can all distributions be written in the form

$$u = \sum_{\alpha} \partial^\alpha f_{\alpha} \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(X)$$

where $f_{\alpha} \in C(X)$? We will prove this in the case $\mathcal{E}'(X)$ but the result is true more generally.

Lemma. *If $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be identified with the smooth (analytic) function $\lambda \mapsto \hat{u}(\lambda) = \langle u, x \mapsto e^{-i\lambda \cdot x} \rangle$. Also there exists $M \geq 0$ such that $|\hat{u}(\lambda)| \lesssim \langle \lambda \rangle^M$.*

Proof. Fix $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\chi = 1$ on $|x| < 1$ and $\chi = 0$ on $|x| > 2$. For $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ set $\phi_m(x) = \chi(x/m)\phi(x) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We claim $\phi_m \rightarrow \phi$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For arbitrary α, β ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi - \phi_m\|_{\alpha, \beta} &= \|x^\alpha D^\beta [\phi(x)(1 - \chi(x/m))]\|_\infty \\ &= \left\| x^\alpha \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} D^\gamma \phi D^{\beta-\gamma} (1 - \chi(x/m)) \right\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

All derivatives of $x \mapsto 1 - \chi(x/m)$ tend to 0 uniformly and

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^\alpha D^\gamma \phi (1 - \chi(x/m))\|_\infty &\lesssim \sup_{|x| > m} |x^\alpha D^\gamma \phi| \\ &\lesssim \sup_{|x| > 2m} \left| \frac{|x|}{2m} x^\alpha D^\gamma \phi \right| \\ &\lesssim \frac{\|\phi\|_{\alpha+1, \gamma}}{2m} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

So by sequential continuity of $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{u}, \phi \rangle &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle \hat{u}, \phi_m \rangle \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle u, \hat{\phi}_m \rangle \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle u, x \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\lambda \cdot x} \phi_m(\lambda) d\lambda \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By a Riemann sum argument (note each ϕ_m has compact support), we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle u, x \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\lambda \cdot x} \phi_m(\lambda) d\lambda \rangle = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle u, x \mapsto e^{-i\lambda \cdot x} \rangle \phi_m(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

Since the power series for $x \mapsto e^{-i\lambda \cdot x}$ converges locally uniformly, we were able to interchange $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ with the infinite sum, by sequential continuity. So $\hat{u}(\lambda) = \langle u, x \mapsto e^{-i\lambda \cdot x} \rangle$ is smooth and by the semi-norm estimate of $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists $C, N \geq 0$ and compact $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{u}(\lambda)| &= |\langle u, x \mapsto e^{-i\lambda \cdot x} \rangle| \\ &\leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sup_K |\partial_x^\alpha (e^{-i\lambda \cdot x})| \\ &\lesssim \langle \lambda \rangle^N \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Hence by the DCT

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle u, x \mapsto e^{-i\lambda \cdot x} \rangle \phi_m(\lambda) d\lambda = \int \hat{u}(\lambda) \phi(\lambda) d\lambda$$

i.e \hat{u} can be identified with $\lambda \mapsto \hat{u}(\lambda)$. □

Theorem. For each $u \in \mathcal{E}'(X)$ there exists a finite collection $\{f_\alpha\}$, $f_\alpha \in C(X)$ and $\text{supp}(f_\alpha) \subseteq X$ such that

$$u = \sum_{\alpha} \partial^\alpha f_\alpha \text{ in } \mathcal{E}'(X).$$

Proof. Fix $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ such that $\rho = 1$ on $\text{supp}(u)$. Then for $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(X)$ have

$$\langle u, \phi \rangle = \langle u, \rho\phi \rangle$$

and since u extends to an element of $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\rho\phi$ extends to $\rho\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can write $(\rho\phi) = (\hat{\psi})$. In fact

$$(2\pi)^n \check{\psi} = \rho\phi. \quad (*)$$

So we have

$$\langle u, \phi \rangle = \langle u, \hat{\psi} \rangle = \langle \hat{u}, \hat{\psi} \rangle.$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{F}([1 - \Delta]^m \psi)(\lambda) = \langle \lambda \rangle^{2m} \hat{\psi}(\lambda)$$

where $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right)^2$ is the Laplacian. Hence

$$\langle u, \psi \rangle = \langle \langle \lambda \rangle^{-2m} \hat{u}, \mathcal{F}([1 - \Delta]^m \psi) \rangle.$$

By choosing m sufficiently large and defining $f(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda \cdot x} \langle \lambda \rangle^{-2m} \hat{u}(\lambda) d\lambda$ we have that f is continuous by the DCT. Also

$$(2\pi)^n \check{f} = \mathcal{F}(\langle \lambda \rangle^{-2m} \hat{u})$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, \phi \rangle &= \langle \mathcal{F}(\langle \lambda \rangle^{-2m} \hat{u}), [1 - \Delta]^m \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle (2\pi)^n \check{f}, [1 - \Delta]^m \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle f, [1 - \Delta]^m [(2\pi)^n \check{\psi}] \rangle \\ &= \langle f, [1 - \Delta]^m (\rho \phi) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{by } (*))$$

We can expand derivatives, so by Leibnitz

$$\langle u, \phi \rangle = \langle f, \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \rho_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha} \phi \rangle$$

where $\rho_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{supp}(\rho_{\alpha}) \subseteq X$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, \phi \rangle &= \langle \sum_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha} (\rho_{\alpha} f), \phi \rangle \\ &= \langle \sum_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha} f_{\alpha}, \phi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\alpha} = \rho_{\alpha} f \in C(X)$ and $\text{supp}(f_{\alpha}) \subseteq X$. \square

Example. We know that $\delta_0 = (xH)''$. Also note that if $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ has $\phi(0) = 1$ then $\phi \delta_0 = \delta_0$. Hence for $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta_0, f \rangle &= \langle \phi(xH)'', f \rangle \\ &= \langle xH, (f\phi)'' \rangle \\ &= \langle xH, f''\phi + 2\phi'f' + f\phi'' \rangle \\ &= \langle (\phi xH)'', f \rangle - 2\langle (\phi'xH)', f \rangle + \langle \phi''xH, f \rangle \end{aligned}$$

so $\delta_0 = (\phi xH)'' - 2(\phi'xH)' + \phi''(xH)$. Note that each of $\phi xH, \phi'xH, \phi''xH$ have compact support in \mathbb{R} .

4.4 Paley-Wiener Schwartz Theorem

Have seen that if $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then \hat{u} can be identified with

$$\lambda \mapsto \hat{u}(\lambda) = \langle u, x \mapsto e^{-i\lambda \cdot x} \rangle.$$

Taking a complex analytic extension to $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, call this $\hat{u}(z) = \langle u, z \mapsto e^{-iz \cdot x} \rangle$ we obtain the *Fourier-Laplace transform* of $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We know there exists $C, N \geq 0$, $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ compact such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{u}(z)| &= |\langle u, x \mapsto e^{iz \cdot x} \rangle| \\ &\leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sup_K |\partial_x^\alpha e^{-iz \cdot x}|. \end{aligned}$$

Also, $z \mapsto \hat{u}(z)$ is entire [power series of $x \mapsto e^{-iz \cdot x}$ converges locally uniformly, so can apply u termwise (sequential continuity of u) to get power series for $\hat{u}(z)$].

Lemma. *If $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\text{supp}(u) \subseteq \overline{B}_\delta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq \delta\}$ then there exist $C, N \geq 0$ such that*

$$|\hat{u}(z)| \leq C(1 + |z|)^N e^{\delta|\Im(z)|}.$$

Proof. Fix $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\psi(\tau) = 1$ on $\tau \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\psi(\tau) = 0$ on $\tau \leq -1$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, define

$$\phi_\varepsilon(x) = \psi(\varepsilon(\delta - |x|))$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\phi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\phi_\varepsilon = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } |x| \leq \delta + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \\ 0 & \text{on } |x| \geq \delta + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \end{cases}.$$

Note that $\phi_\varepsilon = 1$ on $\text{supp}(u)$. Since $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exist $C, N \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{u}(z)| &= |\langle u, x \mapsto \phi_\varepsilon(x) e^{-iz \cdot x} \rangle| \\ &\leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sup |\partial^\alpha [\phi_\varepsilon e^{-iz \cdot x}]|. \end{aligned}$$

Note $|\partial^\beta \phi_\varepsilon| \lesssim_\beta \varepsilon^{|\beta|}$ and $|\partial^\gamma e^{-iz \cdot x}| \lesssim |z|^{|\gamma|} e^{(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2\delta})|\Im(z)|}$ on $\text{supp}(\phi_\varepsilon)$. Hence

$$|\hat{u}(z)| \lesssim \sum_{|\beta| + |\gamma| \leq N} \varepsilon^{|\beta|} |z|^{|\gamma|} e^{(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2\delta})|\Im(z)|}$$

so take $\varepsilon = |z|$ to get the result. \square

The Paley-Wiener-Schwartz theorem is about the converse: if $z \mapsto U(z)$ is entire and

$$|U(z)| \lesssim (1 + |z|)^N e^{\delta|\Im(z)|}$$

is it the case that $U = \hat{u}$ for some $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{supp}(u) \subseteq \overline{B}_\delta$.

Theorem (Paley-Wiener-Schwartz).

(A) If $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\text{supp}(\phi) \subseteq \overline{B}_\delta$ then $z \mapsto \hat{\phi}(z)$ is entire and

$$|\hat{\phi}(z)| \lesssim_N (1 + |z|)^{-N} e^{\delta|\Im(z)|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad N = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (\dagger)$$

Conversely, if $z \mapsto \Phi(z)$ is entire and satisfies (\dagger) then $\Phi = \hat{\phi}$ for some $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\text{supp}(\phi) \subseteq \overline{B}_\delta$.

(B) If $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\text{supp}(u) \subseteq \overline{B}_\delta$, then $z \mapsto \hat{u}(z)$ is entire and there exists $N \geq 0$ such that

$$|\hat{u}(z)| \lesssim (1 + |z|)^N e^{\delta|\Im(z)|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (\ddagger)$$

Conversely, if $z \mapsto U(z)$ is entire and satisfies (\ddagger) then $U = \hat{u}$ for some $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{supp}(u) \subseteq \overline{B}_\delta$.

Proof.

(A) It is clear that

$$z \mapsto \hat{u}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iz \cdot x} \phi(x) dx$$

is entire (Morera+Fubini). For the estimate (\dagger) note that for α a multi-index

$$\begin{aligned} |z^\alpha \hat{\phi}(z)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} z^\alpha e^{-iz \cdot x} \phi(x) dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-iz \cdot x} D^\alpha \phi(x) dx \right| \\ &\lesssim_\alpha e^{\delta|\Im(z)|} \end{aligned}$$

since $|e^{-z \cdot x}| = |e^{\Im(z) \cdot x}| \leq e^{\delta|\Im(z)|}$ on $\text{supp}(\phi)$. The estimate (\dagger) then follows. For the converse, given $z \mapsto \Phi(z)$ entire and obeying (\dagger) define

$$\phi(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda \cdot x} \Phi(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

Then by the DCT and (\dagger) we have that $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Cauchy's theorem, entirety of $z \mapsto \Phi(z)$ and estimate (\dagger) , we have for arbitrary $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that

$$|\phi(x)| = (2\pi)^{-n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\lambda + i\eta) \cdot x} \Phi(\lambda + i\eta) d\lambda \right|$$

[justified by rapid horizontal decay of Φ]. So by (\dagger)

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(x)| &\lesssim_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\eta \cdot x} (1 + |\lambda + i\eta|)^{-N} e^{\delta|\eta|} d\lambda \\ &\lesssim e^{\delta|\eta| - \eta \cdot x}. \end{aligned}$$

Take $\eta = \frac{x}{|x|}t$, $t > 0$. Then

$$e^{\delta|\eta| - \eta \cdot x} = e^{-t(|x| - \delta)}.$$

If $|x| > \delta$, take $t \rightarrow \infty$ to get $\phi = 0$. Hence $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\text{supp}(\phi) \subseteq \overline{B}_\delta$. Taking Fourier transform shows $\Phi = \hat{\phi}$.

- (B) We already established the forward direction. For the converse, let $z \mapsto U(z)$ satisfy (\dagger) . Then $U|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ since $|U(\lambda)| \lesssim \langle \lambda \rangle^N$. Since $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ is an isomorphism, there exists $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\hat{u} = U$. Fix $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi dx = 1$ and $\text{supp}(\phi) \subseteq B_1$. Set $\phi_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-n} \phi(x/\varepsilon)$. Then $\phi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \delta_0$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\text{supp}(\phi_\varepsilon) \subseteq B_\varepsilon$. Hence $\hat{\phi}_\varepsilon \rightarrow 1$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Define

$$\hat{u}_\varepsilon(z) = \hat{\phi}_\varepsilon(z)U(z).$$

By (\dagger) (for $\hat{\phi}_\varepsilon$) and (\dagger) (for U) we have

$$|\hat{u}_\varepsilon(z)| \lesssim_N (1 + |z|)^{-N} e^{(\varepsilon + \delta)|\Im(z)|}, N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence $u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\text{supp}(u_\varepsilon) \subseteq \overline{B}_{\delta + \varepsilon}$. As $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, $\hat{u}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \hat{u}$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

□

5 Oscillatory integrals

In this section we would like to make sense of

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda x} d\lambda$$

and more generally, objects of the form

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} e^{i\Phi(x,\theta)} a(x,\theta) d\theta$$

where $x \in X$. Call real valued $\Phi \in C^\infty(X \times \mathbb{R}^k \setminus \{0\})$ the *phase function* and a will belong to a class of functions called *symbols*. Note that the integral will not be well-defined in a classical sense as we will allow symbols which get large as $|\theta| \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma (Riemann-Lebesgue). *If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ then $|\hat{f}(\lambda)| \rightarrow 0$ as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Assume $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [e^{-i\lambda x} f(x) + e^{-i\lambda x} f(x)] dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [e^{-i\lambda x} f(x) + e^{-i\pi} e^{-i\lambda x} f(x + \pi/\lambda)] dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\lambda x} [f(x) - f(x + \pi/\lambda)] dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists R such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{|x| > R} |f(x) - f(x + \pi/\lambda)| dx < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Since $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ we can take $|\lambda|$ sufficiently large so that

$$\left| \int_{|x| < R} e^{-i\lambda x} [f(x) - f(x + \pi/\lambda)] dx \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

i.e $|\hat{f}(\lambda)| < \varepsilon/2$ for all $|\lambda|$ sufficiently large. Note $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ so given $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ we can fix $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\|f - g\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon/2$ so

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{g}(\lambda)| &= |\hat{g}(\lambda) - \hat{f}(\lambda) + \hat{f}(\lambda)| \\ &= \|g - f\|_{L^1} + |\hat{f}(\lambda)| \\ &< \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for $|\lambda|$ sufficiently large. □

The above result intuitively says that more oscillation in an integral implies faster decay. More generally, if $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ we expect

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) e^{i\lambda\Phi(x)} dx$$

to decay as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$. For example if $\Phi' \neq 0$ then the operator $L = \frac{1}{i\lambda\Phi'(\theta)} \frac{d}{d\theta}$ is well-defined since $|\Phi'(\theta)| \gtrsim 1$ on $\text{supp}(\phi)$. Note that $Le^{i\lambda\Phi} = e^{i\lambda\Phi}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(\theta) e^{i\lambda\Phi(\theta)} d\theta &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(\theta) L e^{i\lambda\Phi} d\theta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} L^t[\phi] e^{i\lambda\Phi} d\theta \end{aligned}$$

where $L^t = -\frac{1}{i\lambda} \frac{d}{d\theta} \left[\frac{1}{\Phi'} \cdot \right]$ is the formal adjoint of L . We can do this as many times as we want so

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda\Phi} \phi d\theta \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (L^t)^N(\phi) e^{i\lambda\Phi} d\theta \right| \\ &\lesssim_N \langle \lambda \rangle^{-N}. \end{aligned}$$

We expect to get a dominant contribution from points at which $\Phi' = 0$ (stationary points).

Lemma (Stationary phase). *Let $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\Phi' \neq 0$ on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\Phi(0) = \Phi'(0) = 0$, $\Phi''(0) \neq 0$. Then for $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda\Phi(\theta)} \phi(\theta) d\theta \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{1/2}} \text{ as } |\lambda| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Fix $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\rho = 1$ on $|\theta| < 1$ and $\rho = 0$ on $|\theta| > 2$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda\Phi} \rho(\theta) d\theta &= \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda\Phi} \rho(\theta/\delta) \phi(\theta) d\theta}_{I_1} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda\Phi} (1 - \rho(\theta/\delta)) \phi(\theta) d\theta}_{I_2} \end{aligned}$$

and since $\rho(\theta/\delta) = 0$ on $|\theta| > 2\delta$ we get the simple estimate

$$|I_1| \lesssim \delta.$$

Note $(1 - \rho(\theta/\delta)) = 0$ on $|\theta| < \delta$ so we're essentially integrating over $|\theta| > \delta$, so

$$L = \frac{1}{i\lambda\Phi'} \frac{d}{d\theta}$$

is well-defined and $Le^{i\lambda\Phi} = e^{i\lambda\Phi}$. So

$$I_2(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda\Phi} (L^t)^2 [(1 - \rho(\theta/\delta))\phi(\theta)] d\theta$$

where $L^t = -\frac{1}{i\lambda} \frac{d}{d\theta} \left[\frac{1}{\Phi'} \cdot \right]$. Note that if

$$P := \frac{d}{d\theta} [a \cdot]$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} P^2 &= \frac{d}{d\theta} \left[a \frac{d}{d\theta} (a \cdot) \right] \\ &= a^2 \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + 3aa' \frac{d}{d\theta} + (aa'). \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$(L^t)^2 = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2} \left[\frac{1}{(\Phi')^2} \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} - 3 \frac{\Phi''}{(\Phi')^3} \frac{d}{d\theta} - \left(\frac{\Phi''}{(\Phi')^3} \right)' \right] - \frac{(\Phi'')^2}{(\Phi')^4}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'(\theta) - \Phi'(0) &= \int_0^\theta \Phi''(t) dt \\ &= \theta \int_0^1 \Phi''(t\theta) dt \end{aligned}$$

i.e

$$\frac{d\Phi'(\theta)}{d\theta} = \int_0^1 \Phi'(t\theta) dt.$$

The LHS of this is non-zero at $\theta \neq 0$ and converges to $\Phi''(0) \neq 0$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$, i.e $|\Phi'(\theta)| \gtrsim |\theta|$ on $\text{supp}(\phi)$. So

$$(L^t)^2 [\phi(\theta)[1 - \rho(\theta/\delta)]] = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2 \theta^2 \delta^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2 \theta^2 \delta}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2 \theta^4}\right)$$

and integrating over $|\theta| > \delta$ we see

$$|I_2(\lambda)| = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2 \delta^3}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2 \delta^3}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2 \delta^3}\right).$$

Matching with $I_1(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(\delta)$ we take

$$\delta = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 \delta^3} \implies \delta = \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{1/2}}.$$

□

This estimate is sharp, e.g

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda\theta^2} \phi(\theta) d\theta &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\eta^2} \phi(\eta/\sqrt{\lambda}) d\eta & (\theta = \eta/\sqrt{\lambda}) \\ &\sim \frac{\text{constant}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \text{lower order terms} \end{aligned}$$

as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$. Using this we expect

$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\Phi(x,\theta)} a(x,\theta) d\theta$$

to be “badly behaved” at $x_0 \in X$ for which

$$\nabla_{\theta} \Phi(x_0, \theta) = 0 \text{ for some } \theta \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

We will show

$$\text{sing supp}(u) \subseteq \{x \in X : \nabla_{\theta} \Phi(x, \theta) = 0 \text{ for some } \theta \in \mathbb{R}^k \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Definition. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open. A smooth function $a : X \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a *symbol* of order $N \in \mathbb{R}$ if for each $K \subseteq X$ compact

$$|D_x^\alpha D_\theta^\beta a(x, \theta)| \lesssim_{K, \alpha, \beta} \langle \theta \rangle^{N - |\beta|}$$

for $(x, \theta) \in K \times \mathbb{R}^k$. Call the space of all such symbols $\text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; N)$.

For example if $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ is in $C^\infty(X)$ then

$$a(x, \theta) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \varphi_\alpha(x) \theta^\alpha$$

belongs to $\text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; N)$. We only care about the behaviour of symbols for large $|\theta|$ since for any compact $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$, if $a \in C^\infty(X \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ then

$$(x, \theta) \mapsto \frac{D_x^\alpha D_\theta^\beta(x, \theta)}{\langle \theta \rangle^{N - |\beta|}}$$

will always be bounded on $K \times L$ for $K \subseteq X$ compact.

Lemma.

- If $a \in \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; N)$ then $D_x^\alpha D_\theta^\beta a \in \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; N - |\beta|)$;
- If $a_i \in \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; N_i)$ then $a_1 a_2 \in \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k, N_1 + N_2)$;

Proof. Obviously $D_x^\alpha D_\theta^\beta a$ is smooth on $X \times \mathbb{R}^k$. For $K \subseteq X$ compact

$$\begin{aligned} |D_x^{\alpha'} D_\theta^{\beta'} [D_x^\alpha D_\theta^\beta a]| &= |D_x^{\alpha + \alpha'} D_\theta^{\beta + \beta'} a| \\ &\lesssim_{K, \alpha, \beta} \langle \theta \rangle^{N - |\beta + \beta'|} \\ &= \langle \theta \rangle^{N - |\beta| - |\beta'|} \end{aligned}$$

and so $D_x^\alpha D_\theta^\beta a \in \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; N - |\beta|)$. Again for $K \subseteq X$ compact

$$\begin{aligned} |D_x^\alpha D_\theta^\beta(a_1 a_2)| &\leq \left| \sum_{\alpha' \leq \alpha} \sum_{\beta' \leq \beta} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha'} \binom{\beta}{\beta'} (D_x^{\alpha'} D_\theta^{\beta'} a_1) (D_x^{\alpha - \alpha'} D_\theta^{\beta - \beta'} a_2) \right| \\ &\lesssim_{\alpha, \beta} \sum_{\alpha' \leq \alpha} \sum_{\beta' \leq \beta} |D_x^{\alpha'} D_\theta^{\beta'} a_1| |D_x^{\alpha - \alpha'} D_\theta^{\beta - \beta'} a_2| \\ &\lesssim_{K, \alpha, \beta} \sum_{\alpha' \leq \alpha} \sum_{\beta' \leq \beta} \langle \theta \rangle^{N_1 - |\beta'|} \langle \theta \rangle^{N_2 - |\beta| + |\beta'|} \\ &\lesssim_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \theta \rangle^{N_1 + N_2 - |\beta|} \end{aligned}$$

and hence $a_1 a_2 \in \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; N_1 + N_2)$. \square

Lemma. If $a \in C^\infty(X \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ and a is positively homogenous of degree N (in θ) for $|\theta|$ sufficiently large, then $a \in \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; N)$.

Remark. Technically we mean that for $|\theta|$ sufficiently large, a agrees with a function which is positively homogenous everywhere.

Proof. For $|\theta|$ sufficiently large $a(x, t\theta) = t^N a(x, \theta)$ for $t > 0$. So for $|\theta|$ large

$$\begin{aligned} t^N D_x^\alpha D_\theta^\beta [a(x, \theta)] &= D_x^\alpha D_\theta^\beta [a(x, t\theta)] \\ &= t^{|\beta|} (D_x^\alpha D_\theta^\beta a)(x, t\theta) \end{aligned}$$

i.e $D_x^\alpha D_\theta^\beta a$ is also positively homogenous of order $N - |\beta|$ for $|\theta|$ large. For $K \subseteq X$ compact set $\omega = \theta/|\theta| \in S^{k-1}$ so

$$\begin{aligned} |D_x^\alpha D_\theta^\beta a(x, \theta)| &= |D_x^\alpha D_\theta^\beta a(x, |\theta|\omega)| \\ &= |\theta|^{N-|\beta|} |D_x^\alpha D_\theta^\beta a(x, \omega)| \\ &\lesssim_{K, \alpha, \beta} \langle \theta \rangle^{N-|\beta|} \end{aligned}$$

by compactness of S^{k-1} . □

Definition. $\Phi : X \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a *phase function* if

- (i) Φ is continuous on $X \times \mathbb{R}^k$ and positively homogenous of degree 1 in θ ;
- (ii) Φ is smooth $X \times (\mathbb{R}^k \setminus \{0\})$;
- (iii) $d\Phi = \nabla_\theta \Phi \cdot d\theta + \nabla_x \Phi \cdot dx \neq 0$ on $X \times (\mathbb{R}^k \setminus \{0\})$, i.e

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta_k} \\ \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$$

on $X \times (\mathbb{R}^k \setminus \{0\})$.

We want to make sense of

$$D^\alpha \delta_0(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \theta^\alpha e^{ix \cdot \theta} d\theta$$

i.e $\Phi(x, \theta) = x \cdot \theta$, $a(x, \theta) = (2\pi)^{-n} \theta^\alpha \in \text{Sym}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n; |\alpha|)$.

More generally we want to make sense of

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} e^{i\Phi(x, \theta)} a(x, \theta) d\theta$$

for Φ a phase function and $a \in \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; N)$.

We could define a linear form $I_\Phi(a) : \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\langle I_\Phi(a), \phi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\Phi(x, \theta)} a(x, \theta) \phi(x) dx d\theta$$

but this is difficult to work with due to lack of $d\theta \otimes dx$ integrability. Instead, fix $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ such that $\chi = 1$ on $|\theta| < 1$ and set

$$I_\Phi^\varepsilon(a) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} e^{i\Phi(x, \theta)} a(x, \theta) \chi(\varepsilon\theta) d\theta.$$

Then define

$$I_\Phi(a) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} I_\Phi^\varepsilon(a) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(X).$$

Lemma. *If L has form*

$$L = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j(x, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(x, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + c(x, \theta)$$

for $a_j \in \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; 0)$, $b_j, c \in \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; -1)$, then L^t has the same form.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} L^t &= - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} (a_j \cdot) - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (b_j \cdot) + c \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{a}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{b}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \tilde{c} \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{a}_j = -a_j \in \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; 0)$, $\tilde{b}_j = -b_j \in \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; -1)$ and

$$\tilde{c} = - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial \theta_j} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial b_j}{\partial x_j} + c \in \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; -1).$$

□

If we could find such an L for which

$$Le^{i\Phi} = e^{i\Phi}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_I^\varepsilon(a), \phi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (L^N e^{i\Phi}) a(x, \theta) \chi(\varepsilon\theta) \phi(x) dx d\theta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\Phi} (L^t)^N [a(x, \theta) \chi(\varepsilon\theta) \phi(x)] dx d\theta \end{aligned}$$

the form of L (and hence L^t) should then lower the order of $a(x, \theta) \chi(\varepsilon\theta) \phi(x)$ by 1 upon each application.

Lemma. *There exists a differential operator L of the form*

$$L = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j(x, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(x, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + c(x, \theta)$$

for $a_j \in \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; 0)$, $b_j, c \in \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; -1)$ such that if $L^t e^{i\Phi} = e^{i\Phi}$, for Φ a phase function.

Proof. Clearly

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} e^{i\Phi} = i \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta_j} e^{i\Phi}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} e^{i\Phi} = i \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_j} e^{i\Phi}.$$

So

$$\left(-\sum_{j=1}^k i|\theta|^2 \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} - \sum_{j=1}^n i \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) e^{i\Phi} = (|\theta|^2 |\nabla_\theta \Phi|^2 + |\nabla_x \Phi|^2) e^{i\Phi}.$$

Since $\Phi(x, t\theta) = t\Phi(x, \theta)$ for $t > 0$,

$$t \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \Phi(x, \theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \Phi(x, t\theta) = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_j}(x, t\theta)$$

so $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_j}$ is positively homogenous of degree 1. Similarly

$$t \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \Phi(x, \theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \Phi(x, t\theta) = t \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta_j}(x, t\theta)$$

so $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta_j}$ is positively homogenous of degree 0. Define

$$P = \sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{a}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{b}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

where

$$\tilde{a}_j = \frac{-i|\theta|^2 \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta_j}}{|\theta|^2 |\nabla_\theta \Phi|^2 + |\nabla_x \Phi|^2}$$

$$\tilde{b}_j = \frac{-i \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_j}}{|\theta|^2 |\nabla_\theta \Phi|^2 + |\nabla_x \Phi|^2}.$$

Then we see that \tilde{a}_j is positively homogenous of degree 0 and \tilde{b}_j is positively homogenous of degree -1 . Note that the denominators may vanish at $\theta = 0$, so we fix $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ with $\rho = 1$ on $|\theta| < 1$ and $\rho = 0$ on $|\theta| > 2$. Define

$$L^t = (1 - \rho)P + \rho.$$

Then $L^t e^{i\Phi} = (1 - \rho)e^{i\Phi} + \rho e^{i\Phi} = e^{i\Phi}$. By the previous two lemmas we then have that L is of the required form. \square

Note that $L : \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; N) \rightarrow \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; -1)$. Also, more generally for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ we have

$$L[a(x, \theta)\varphi(x)] = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq M} a_\alpha(x, \theta) \partial^\alpha \varphi$$

where $a_\alpha \in \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; N - M)$ (by induction on M).

Theorem. *If Φ is a phase function and $a \in \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; N)$ then*

$$I_\Phi(a) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} I_\Phi^\varepsilon(a) \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$$

and $\text{ord}(I_\Phi(a)) \leq N + k + 1$.

Proof. For each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$I_\Phi^\varepsilon(a) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} e^{i\Phi(x, \theta)} a(x, \theta) \chi(\varepsilon \theta) dx d\theta$$

where $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ has $\chi = 1$ on $|\theta| < 1$ and $\chi = 0$ on $|\theta| > 2$. So for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ and taking L as above

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I_\Phi(a)^\varepsilon, \varphi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\Phi(x, \theta)} a(x, \theta) \chi(\varepsilon \theta) \varphi(x) dx d\theta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [(L^t)^M e^{i\Phi(x, \theta)}] a(x, \theta) \chi(\varepsilon \theta) \varphi(x) dx d\theta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\Phi} L^M [a(x, \theta) \chi(\varepsilon \theta) \varphi(x)] dx d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Note that since $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^k)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right)^\alpha \chi(\varepsilon \theta) \right| &= \varepsilon^{|\alpha|} |(\partial^\alpha \chi)(\varepsilon \theta)| \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^{|\alpha|} \langle \varepsilon \theta \rangle^{-|\alpha|} \\ &= c_\alpha \frac{\varepsilon^{|\alpha|}}{[1 + \varepsilon^2 |\theta|^2]^{|\alpha|/2}} \\ &= c_\alpha \frac{1}{[\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} + |\theta|^2]^{|\alpha|/2}} \end{aligned}$$

so for $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$

$$\left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right)^\alpha \chi(\varepsilon \theta) \right| \lesssim_\alpha \langle \theta \rangle^{-|\alpha|}$$

i.e $\chi(\varepsilon \theta) \in \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; 0)$ uniformly in ε . So $a(x, \theta) \chi(\varepsilon \theta) \in \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; N)$ so

$$L^M[a(x, \theta) \chi(\varepsilon \theta) \varphi(x)] = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq M} a_\alpha(x, \theta; \varepsilon) \partial^\alpha \varphi$$

where $a_\alpha \in \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k; N - M)$. Also $a_\alpha(x, \theta) := a(x, \theta; 0) \in \text{Sym}(X, \mathbb{R}^k, N - M)$. Choosing $M = N + k + 1$ (i.e with $N - M < -k$) by the DCT we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I_\phi(a), \varphi \rangle &= \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \langle I_\Phi^\varepsilon(a), \varphi \rangle \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N+k+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\Phi(x, \theta)} a_\alpha(x, \theta) \partial^\alpha \varphi dx d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

If $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subseteq K$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle I_\phi(a), \varphi \rangle| &\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N+k+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \int_K |a_\alpha(x, \theta)| |\partial^\alpha \varphi| dx d\theta \\ &\lesssim_K \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N+k+1} \sup_K |\partial^\alpha \varphi| \end{aligned}$$

so $I_\Phi(a) \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ and $\text{ord}(I_\Phi(a)) \leq N + k + 1$. \square

Given $I_\Phi(a) \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ we can show that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} I_\Phi(a)$ coincides with the oscillatory integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} e^{i\Phi(x, \theta)} \left[i \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_j} a(x, \theta) + \frac{\partial a}{\partial x_j}(x, \theta) \right] d\theta.$$

Remark. Since $i \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_j} a(x, \theta) + \frac{\partial a}{\partial x_j}(x, \theta)$ may fail to be smooth at $\theta = 0$, write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} e^{i\Phi(x, \theta)} \rho(\theta) a(x, \theta) d\theta + \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} e^{i\Phi(x, \theta)} (1 - \rho(\theta)) a(x, \theta) d\theta$$

for $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\rho = 1$ on $|\theta| < 1$ and $\rho = 0$ on $|\theta| > 2$. Because of this technicality we often assume that the symbols have support away from a neighbourhood of the origin.