

## Introduction

Quadratics (Babylonians):

$$\begin{aligned} X^2 + bX + c &= (X + \frac{1}{2}b)^2 + c - \frac{b^2}{4} \\ &= (X - x_1)(X - x_2) \implies x_1x_2 = c, x_1 + x_2 = -b \\ x_1 &= \frac{1}{2} [(x_1 + x_2) + (x_1 - x_2)] = \frac{1}{2} [-b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4c}] \end{aligned}$$

Cubics (Italy, 16th Century):

$$\begin{aligned} X^3 + aX^2 + bX + c &= (X - x_1)(X - x_2)(X - x_3) \\ \implies x_1 + x_2 + x_3 &= -a, x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 = b, x_1x_2x_3 = -c \end{aligned}$$

WLOG  $X \rightarrow X - a/3$  and  $a = 0$

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{3} \left[ (x_1 + x_2 + x_3) + \underbrace{(x_1 + \omega x_2 + \omega^2 x_3)}_{=u} + \underbrace{(x_1 + \omega^2 x_2 + \omega x_3)}_{=v} \right]$$

where  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$  so  $\omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0$ . Cyclic permutation of  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  gives  $u \rightarrow \omega u \rightarrow \omega^2 u$  and  $v \rightarrow \omega v \rightarrow \omega^2 v$  which implies  $u^3$  and  $v^3$  are invariant under cyclic permutations of the roots.

Also  $u \leftrightarrow v$  under  $x_2 \leftrightarrow x_3$ . So  $u^3 + v^3, u^3v^3$  are invariant under permutations of roots.

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} u^3 + v^3 &= 27x_1x_2x_3 = -27c \\ u^3v^3 &= -27b^2 \end{aligned}$$

So  $u^3, v^3$  are roots of  $Y^2 + 27cY - 27b^2$ . This gives a formula for  $x_1$  (Cardano's formula).

Can follow a similar method for quartics - auxilliary cubic equation. Unfortunately it doesn't work for quintics - the reason being group theory.

## 1 Polynomials

In this course, all rings are commutative and non-zero. Let  $R$  be a ring, then  $R[X]$  denotes the ring of polynomials  $\sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i$ ,  $a_i \in R$ . A polynomial  $f \in R[X]$  determines a function  $R \rightarrow R$ ,  $r \mapsto f(r)$ .

The polynomial is not in general determined by this function, e.g let  $R = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  ( $p$  prime). Then for all  $a \in R$ ,  $a^p = a$  so the polynomials  $X^p$  and  $X$  represent the same function.

In the case when  $R = K$  (a field),  $K[X]$  is a Euclidean domain. The “division algorithm” says that if  $f, g \in K[X]$ ,  $g \neq 0$  then there exists unique  $q, r \in K[X]$  such that  $f = gq + r$  and  $\deg r < \deg g$  (define  $\deg(0) = -\infty$ ).

In particular, if  $g = X - a$  is linear then  $f = (X - a)q + f(a)$  (“remainder theorem”). So  $K[X]$  is also a PID and a UFD - every polynomial is a product of irreducible polynomials, and there are GCD’s, computable via Euclid’s algorithm in the usual way.

**Proposition 1.1.** *If  $K$  is a field,  $0 \neq f \in K[X]$ , then  $f$  has at most  $\deg f$  roots in  $K$ .*

*Proof.* If  $f$  has no roots then we are done. Otherwise, suppose  $f(a) = 0$  for  $a \in K$ . Then

$$f = (X - a)g$$

for some  $g \in K[X]$  and  $\deg g = \deg f - 1$ . If  $b \in K$  is a root of  $f$  then either  $b = a$  or  $g(b) = 0$  so the number of roots of  $f$  is at most one more than the number of roots of  $g$ . Now done by induction.  $\square$

## 2 Symmetric polynomials

Let  $R$  be a ring, consider  $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

**Definition.** A polynomial  $f \in R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  is *symmetric* if for every  $\sigma \in S_n$ ,  $f(X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(n)}) = f$ .

The set of symmetric polynomials is a subring of  $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ .

**Example.**  $X_1 + \dots + X_n$ , or more generally,  $p_k = X_1^k + \dots + X_n^k = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^k$ .

Alternative definition: if  $f \in R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , define  $f\sigma = f(X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(n)})$ . This is an action (on the right) of  $S_n$  on  $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ . A polynomial  $f$  is symmetric if and only if it is fixed by this action.

**Definition.** *The elementary symmetric polynomials are*

$$s_r(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n} X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \dots X_{i_r}$$

**Example.** When  $n = 3$  we have

$$s_1 = X_1 + X_2 + X_3$$

$$s_2 = X_1 X_2 + X_1 X_3 + X_2 X_3$$

$$s_3 = X_1 X_2 X_3$$

**Theorem 2.1.**

- (i) Every symmetric polynomial over  $R$  can be expressed as a polynomial in  $\{s_r : 1 \leq r \leq n\}$ , with coefficients in  $R$ .
- (ii) There are no non-trivial relations between  $s_1, \dots, s_n$ .

**Remark:**

- (a) Consider the ring homomorphism

$$\theta : R[Y_1, \dots, Y_n] \rightarrow R[X_1, \dots, X_n], \quad Y_r \mapsto s_r$$

then (i) says the image of  $\theta$  is the set of symmetric polynomials. (ii) says that  $\theta$  is injective.

- (b) Equivalent definition of the  $s_r$ 's is

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (T + X_i) = T^n + s_1 T^{n-1} + \dots + s_{n-1} T + s_n$$

If we need to specify the number of variables, write  $s_{r,n}$  instead of  $s_r$ .

*Proof.* Terminology:

- A *monomial* is some  $X_I = X_1^{i_1} \dots X_n^{i_n}$  for  $I \in \mathbb{N}^n = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}^n$ . Its (total) degree is  $\sum_{\alpha} i_{\alpha}$ .
- A *term* is some  $cX_I$ , for  $0 \neq c \in R$ . So a polynomial is uniquely a sum of terms.
- *Total degree* of  $f$  is the maximum degree over its terms

Lexicographical ordering on monomials  $X_I$ : write  $X_I > X_J$  if either  $i_1 > j_1$  or, for some  $1 \leq r < n$ ,  $i_1 = j_1, \dots, i_r = j_r$  and  $i_{r+1} > j_{r+1}$ .

This is a total ordering: for each pair  $I \neq J$ , exactly one of  $X_I > X_J$  or  $X_J > X_I$  holds.

First we prove (ii):

Let  $d$  be the total degree of some symmetric polynomial  $f$ , and let  $X_I$  be the largest (in lexicographical order) monomial which occurs in  $f$ , with coefficient  $c \in R$ . As  $f$  is symmetric, we must have  $i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \dots \geq i_n$  (otherwise we could exchange variables to get a larger monomial).

So

$$X_I = X_1^{i_1-i_2} (X_1 X_2)^{i_2-i_3} \dots (X_1, \dots, X_n)^{i_n}$$

consider

$$g = s_1^{i_1-i_2} s_2^{i_2-i_3} \dots s_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}-i_n} s_n^{i_n}$$

the leading monomial (i.e largest in lexicographical order) of  $g$  is  $X_I$ , and  $g$  is symmetric. So  $f - cg$  is symmetric of total degree  $\leq d$ , and its leading monomial term is smaller (lexicographical) than  $X_I$ . As the set of monomials of degree at most  $d$  is finite, this process terminates.

To prove (ii): induct on  $n$ . Suppose we have  $G \in R[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$  with  $G(s_{n,1}, \dots, s_{n,n}) = 0$ . We want to show  $G = 0$ . If  $n = 1$ , this is trivial ( $s_{1,1} = X_1$ ). If  $G = Y_n^k H$ , with  $Y_n \nmid H$ , then  $s_{n,n}^k H(s_{n,1}, \dots, s_{n,n}) = 0$ . As  $s_{n,n} = X_1 \dots X_n$ ,  $s_{n,n}$  is not a zero divisor in  $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  so  $H(s_{n,1}, \dots, s_{n,n}) = 0$ .

So we may assume  $G$  is not divisible by  $Y_n$ . Replace  $X_n$  by 0. Then

$$s_{n,r}(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, 0) = \begin{cases} s_{n-1,r}(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) & \text{if } r < n \\ 0 & \text{if } r = n \end{cases}$$

and so  $G(s_{n-1,1}, \dots, s_{n-1,n-1}, 0) = 0$ . So by induction,  $G(Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}, 0) = 0$ , i.e  $Y_n \mid G$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Example.**  $f = \sum_{i \neq j} X_i^2 X_j$  for  $n \geq 3$ . The leading term is  $X_1^2 X_2 = X_1(X_1 X_2)$ . Then compute

$$s_1 s_2 = \sum_i \sum_{j < k} X_i X_j X_k = \sum_{i \neq j} X_i^2 X_j + 3 \sum_{i < j < k} X_i X_j X_k$$

so  $f = s_1 s_2 - 3s_3$ .

Computing say  $\sum X_i^5$  by hand is tedious. But there are alternative formulae.

Recall  $p_k = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^k$  for  $k \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 2.2** (Newton's formulae). *Let  $n \geq 1$ . Then for all  $k \geq 1$*

$$p_k - s_1 p_{k-1} + \dots + (-1)^{k-1} s_{k-1} p_1 + (-1)^k k s_k = 0$$

by convention,  $s_0 = 1$ , and  $s_r = 0$  if  $r > n$ .

*Proof.* We may assume  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  (or  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Generating function

$$F(T) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - X_i T) = \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r s_r T^r$$

Take logarithmic derivative with respect to  $T$ :

$$\frac{F'(T)}{F(T)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-X_i}{1 - X_i T} = -\frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} X_i^r T^r = -\frac{1}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} p_r T^r$$

So

$$-TF'(T) = s_1 T - 2s_2 T^2 + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} n s_n T^n$$

$$= F(T) \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} p_r T^r = (s_0 - s_1 T + \dots + (-1)^n s_n T^n) (p_1 T + p_2 T^2 + \dots)$$

comparing coefficients of  $T^k$  gives the result.  $\square$

**Definition.** The *discriminant polynomial* is

$$D(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \Delta(X_1, \dots, X_n)^2$$

where  $\Delta = \prod_{i < j} (X_i - X_j)$ . (Recall from IA Groups that applying  $\sigma \in S_n$  to  $\Delta$  multiplies  $\Delta$  by  $\text{sgn}(\sigma)$ , so  $D$  is symmetric.)

So  $D(X_1, \dots, X_n) = d(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  for some polynomial  $d$  ( $\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients). For example, when  $n = 2$ ,  $D = (X_1 - X_2)^2 = s_1^2 - 4s_2$ .

**Definition.** Let  $f = T^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{n-i} T^i \in R[T]$ . Its *discriminant* is  $\text{Disc}(f) = d(-a_1, a_2, -a_3, \dots, (-1)^n a_n) \in R$ .

Observe that if  $f = \prod_{i=1}^n (T - x_i)$ ,  $x_i \in R$ , then  $a_r = (-1)^r s_r(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , so

$$\text{Disc}(f) = \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)^2 = D(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

If moreover  $R = K$  is a field, then  $\text{Disc}(f) = 0$  iff  $f$  has a repeated root (i.e.  $x_i = x_j$  for some  $i \neq j$ ). E.g. when  $n = 2$ ,  $\text{Disc}(T^2 + bT + c) = b^2 - 4c$ .