## 1 Measures

Let E be any set. A collection  $\mathcal{E}$  of subsets of E is called a  $\sigma$ -algebra if the following holds:

- 1.  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{E}$ .
- 2. If  $A \in \mathcal{E}$ , then  $A^c = E \setminus A \in \mathcal{E}$ .
- 3. If  $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ ,  $A_n \in \mathcal{E}$ , then  $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{E}$ .

## Examples.

- $\mathcal{E} = \{\emptyset, E\}$
- $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{P}(E)$ , the set of all subsets of E.

Note that  $\bigcap_n A_n = (\bigcup_n A_n^c)^c$ , any  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{E}$  is also closed under countable intersection of its elements. Also  $B \setminus A = B \cap A^c \in \mathcal{E}$  whenever  $A, B \in \mathcal{E}$ .

Any set E with a choice of  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{E}$  is called a *measurable* space, and the elements of  $\mathcal{E}$  are called *measurable sets*.

A measure  $\mu$  is a set-function  $\mu : \mathcal{E} \to [0, \infty]$  such that  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ , and for any  $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}), A_n \in \mathcal{E}$  pairwise disjoint  $(A_n \cap A_m = \emptyset)$  for all  $n \neq m$  then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n} A_{n}\right) = \sum_{n} \mu(A_{n}) \qquad \text{(countable additivity of } \mu\text{)}$$

If  $\mathcal{E}$  is countable, then for any  $A \in \mathcal{P}(E)$  and a measure  $\mu$ 

$$\mu(A) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{x \in A} \{x\}\right) = \sum_{x \in A} \mu(\{x\})$$

hence there is a one-to-one correspondence between measures and mass functions on  ${\cal E}.$ 

For any collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of E, we define the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$  generated by  $\mathcal{A}$  as

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \{ A \subseteq E : A \in \mathcal{E} \ \forall \sigma\text{-algebras} \ \mathcal{E} \supseteq \mathcal{A} \}$$

which equals (Example sheet)

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcap_{\sigma\text{-algebras } \mathcal{E} \supseteq \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E}$$

To construct good 'generators' we define

1.  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a ring over E if  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$ ; if  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  then  $B \setminus A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$ .

2.  $\mathcal{A}$  is called an algebra over E if  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$ ; if  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  then  $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Notice that in a ring  $A\Delta B=(B\backslash A)\cup (A\backslash B)\in \mathcal{A}$  and  $A\cap B=(A\cup B)\backslash (A\Delta B)\in \mathcal{A}$ . Also,  $B\setminus A=B\cap A^c=(B^c\cup A)^c\in \mathcal{A}$ , so an algebra is a ring.

Fact: If  $\bigcup_n A_n$ ,  $A_n \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$  some  $\sigma$ -algebra (or a ring if the union is finite) - then we can find  $B_n \in \mathcal{E}$  disjoint such that  $\bigcup_n A_n = \bigcup_n B_n$ . Indeed, define  $\tilde{A}_n = \bigcup_{j \leq n} A_j$ , and set  $B_n = \tilde{A}_n \setminus \tilde{A}_{n-1}$ , then the fact follows. ["disjointification of countable unions"]

**Definition.** A set function on any collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of E (where  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$ ) is a map  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \to [0, \infty]$  such that  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . We say  $\mu$  is

- 1. increasing if  $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$  whenever  $A \subseteq B$ ;  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$
- 2. additive if  $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$  whenever  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ ;  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .
- 3. countably additive if  $\mu(\cup_n A_n) = \sum_n \mu(A_n)$  for any  $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  where  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  disjoint and  $\cup_n A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- 4. countably sub-additive if  $\mu(\cup_n A_n) \leq \sum_n \mu(A_n)$  for all  $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  such that  $\cup_n A_n \in \mathcal{A}$

**Remark**: one can show that a measure  $\mu$  on a  $\sigma$ -algebra satisfies 1-4 above.

**Theorem** (Caratheodory). Let  $\mu$  be a countably additive set function on a ring  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of E. Then there exists a measure  $\mu^*$  on  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\mu^*|_{\mathcal{A}} = \mu$ .

*Proof.* For  $B \subseteq E$  define the outer measure  $\mu^*$  as

$$\mu^*(B) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n) : A_n \in \mathcal{A}, B \subseteq \bigcup_n A_n \right\}$$

and set  $\mu^*(B) = \infty$  if the set within the infimum is empty.

Define

$$\mathcal{M} = \{ A \subseteq E : \mu^*(B) = \mu^*(B \cap A) + \mu^*(B \cap A^c) \ \forall B \subseteq E \}$$

the " $\mu^*$ -measurable" sets.

Step 1:  $\mu^*$  is countably sub-additive on  $\mathcal{P}(E)$ . For any  $B \subseteq E$  and  $B_n \subseteq E$  such that  $B \subseteq \bigcup_n B_n$  we have

$$\mu^*(B) \le \sum_n \mu^*(B_n) \tag{\dagger}$$

WLOG we assume  $\mu^*(B_n) < \infty$  for all n so for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $A_{nm}$  such that  $B_n \subseteq \bigcup_m A_{nm}$  and

$$\mu^*(B_n) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n} \ge \sum_{n} \mu(A_{nm})$$

Now since  $\mu^*$  and since  $B \subseteq \bigcup_n B_n \subseteq \bigcup_{n,m} A_{nm}$ , hence

$$\mu^*(B) \le \mu^* \left( \bigcup_{n,m} A_{nm} \right) \le \sum_{n,m} \mu(A_{nm}) \le \sum_n \mu^*(B_n) + \underbrace{\sum_n \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}}_{=\varepsilon}$$

so (†) follows since  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary.

Step 2:  $\mu^*$  extends  $\mu$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Clearly  $A = A \cup \emptyset \cup \ldots \cup \emptyset$ , so by definition of  $\mu^*$ ,  $\mu^*(A) \leq \mu(A) + 0 + \ldots + 0$ . So we need to prove  $\mu(A) \leq \mu^*(A)$ . Again, assume  $\mu^*(A) < \infty$  WLOG, and let  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  be such that  $A \subseteq \bigcup_n A_n$ . Then  $A = \bigcup_n \underbrace{(A \cap A_n)}_{\in \mathcal{A}}$ , and since  $\mu$  is countably sub-additive on  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have

$$\mu(A) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n} (A \cap A_n)\right) \le \sum_{n} \mu(\underbrace{A \cap A_n}) \le \sum_{n} \mu(A_n)$$

so since the  $(A_n)$  were arbitrary, by taking infima, we have  $\mu(A) \leq \mu^*(A)$ .

Step 3:  $\mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $B \subseteq (B \cap A) \cup (B \cap A^c) \cup \emptyset \dots \cup \emptyset = \bigcup_n B_n$  so by  $(\dagger)$  we have

$$\mu^*(B) \le \mu^*(B \cap A) + \mu^*(B \cap A^c) + 0 \dots + 0$$

so we need to prove  $\mu^*(B) \ge \mu^*(B \cap A) + \mu^*(B \cap A^c)$ . Again, WLOG assume  $\mu^*(B) < \infty$ , and so for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $B \subseteq \bigcup_n A_n$  and

$$\mu^*(B) + \varepsilon \ge \sum_n \mu(A_n) \tag{$\circ$}$$

now  $B \cap A \subseteq \bigcup_n \underbrace{(A_n \cap A)}_{\in \mathcal{A}}$  and  $B \cap A^c \subseteq \bigcup_n \underbrace{(A_n \cap A^c)}_{A_n \backslash A \in \mathcal{A}}$ . Therefore by definition

of inf in  $\mu^*$  and additivity of  $\mu$ 

$$\mu^*(B \cap A) + \mu^*(B \cap A^c) \le \sum_n (\mu(A \cap A_n) + \mu(A^c \cap A_n))$$
$$= \sum_n \mu(A_n)$$
$$\le \mu^*(B) + \varepsilon$$

since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary,  $\mu^*(B) = \mu^*(B \cap A) + \mu^*(B \cap A^c)$ , so  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Step 4:  $\mathcal{M}$  is an algebra. Clearly  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{M}$ , and by the definition of  $\mathcal{M}$  its obvious that  $A^c \in \mathcal{M}$  whenever  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ . So let  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ 

$$\mu^*(B) = \mu^*(B \cap A_1) + \mu^*(B \cap A_1^c), \text{ since } A_1 \in \mathcal{M}$$

$$= \mu^*(B \cap A_1 \cap A_2) + \mu^*(B \cap A_1 \cap A_2^c) + \mu^*(B \cap A_1^c), \text{ since } A_2 \in \mathcal{M}$$

Clearly  $A_1 \cap A_2^c = (A_1 \cap A_2^c) \cap A_1$  and  $A_1^c = (A_1 \cap A_2)^c \cap A_1^c$  so

$$\mu^*(B) = \mu^*(B \cap A_1 \cap A_2) + \mu^*(B \cap (A_1 \cap A_2)^c \cap A_1) + \mu^*(B \cap (A_1 \cap A_2)^c \cap A_1^c)$$
  
=  $\mu^*(B \cap A_1 \cap A_2) + \mu^*(B \cap (A_1 \cap A_2)^c)$ , since  $A_1 \in \mathcal{M}$ 

so  $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ , and  $\mathcal{M}$  is an algebra.

Step 5: Let  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ ,  $A_n \in \mathcal{M}$ , WLOG  $A_n$  disjoint (disjointification). Want  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $A_n \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $A_n \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $A_n \in \mathcal{M}$  are the standard problem.

$$\mu^*(B) \le \mu^*(B \cap A) + \mu^*(B \cap A^c) + 0 + \dots + 0$$

and

$$\mu^*(A) \le \sum_n \mu^*(A_n)$$

so we only need two converse inequalities. Similar to before

$$\mu^{*}(B)$$
=  $\mu^{*}(B \cap A_{1}) + \mu^{*}(B \cap A_{1}^{c})$   
=  $\mu^{*}(B \cap A_{1}) + \mu^{*}(B \cap \underbrace{A_{1}^{c} \cap A_{2}}_{=A_{2} \text{ as disjoint}}) + \mu^{*}(B \cap A_{1}^{c} \cap A_{2}^{c})$   
=  $\sum_{n \leq N} \mu^{*}(B \cap A_{n}) + \mu^{*}(B \cap A_{1}^{c} \cap \dots \cap A_{N}^{c})$ 

since  $\bigcup_{n \leq N} \subseteq A$  so  $\bigcap_{n \leq N} A_n^c \supseteq A^c,$  taking limits

$$\mu^*(B) \ge \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(B \cap A_n) + \mu^*(B \cap A^c)$$

and by  $(\dagger)$ 

$$\mu^*(B) \ge \mu^*(B \cap A) + \mu^*(B \cap A^c)$$

so  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ . Applying the previous with B = A, we see

$$\mu^*(A) \ge \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A \cap A_n) + \mu^*(A \cap A^c) = \sum_n \mu^*(A_n)$$

**Definition.** A collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of E is called a  $\pi$ -system if  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$  and if  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition.**  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a *d-system* if  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ , and if  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $B_1 \subseteq B_2$ , then  $B_2 \setminus B_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ , and if  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $A_n \uparrow \bigcup_n A_n = A$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

One shows (Example sheet) that a d-system which is also a  $\pi$ -system is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Lemma** (Dynkin). Let A be a  $\pi$ -system. Then any d-system that conatins A also contains  $\sigma(A)$ .

*Proof.* Define

$$\mathcal{D} = \bigcap_{\mathcal{D}' \supseteq \mathcal{A} \text{ a d-system}} \mathcal{D}'$$

which is again a d-system (Example sheet). We show that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $\pi$ -system, hence a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{A}$ . Define

$$\mathcal{D}' = \{ B \in \mathcal{D} : B \cap A \in \mathcal{D} \ \forall A \in \mathcal{A} \}$$

which contains  $\mathcal{A}$  as  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\pi$ -system. Next we show  $\mathcal{D}'$  is a d-system. Clearly  $E \cap A = A \in \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ , so  $E \in \mathcal{D}'$ . Next let  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{D}'$  such that  $B_1 \subseteq B_2$  then  $(B_2 \setminus B_1) \cap A = (\underbrace{B_2 \cap A}_{\in \mathcal{D}}) \setminus (\underbrace{B_1 \cap A}_{\in \mathcal{D}}) \in \mathcal{D}$  and so  $B_2 \setminus B_1 \in \mathcal{D}'$ .

Next take  $B_n \uparrow B$ ,  $B_n \in \mathcal{D}'$  then  $\underbrace{B_n \cap A}_{\in \mathcal{D}} \uparrow B \cap A \in \mathcal{D}$  so  $B \in \mathcal{D}'$ .

Hence  $\mathcal{D}'$  is a d-system containing  $\mathcal{A}$ , so by minimality of  $\mathcal{D}'$ ,  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}'$ . Conversely, by construction  $\mathcal{D}' \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ , so  $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D}$ .

Next define

$$\mathcal{D}'' = \{ B \in \mathcal{D} : B \cap A \in \mathcal{D} \ \forall A \in \mathcal{D} \}$$

which by the preceding step  $(\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D})$  contains  $\mathcal{A}$ . Just as before, one shows that  $\mathcal{D}'' = \mathcal{D}$  and so  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $\pi$ -system (as  $\mathcal{D}''$  is by construction).

**Theorem** (Uniqueness of extension). Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  be measures on  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  such that  $\mu_1(E) = \mu_2(E) < \infty$ , and suppose  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$  on a  $\pi$ -system  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$  on  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Proof. Define

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ A : \mu_1(A) = \mu_2(A) \}$$

which contains  $\mathcal{A}$  by hypothesis. We show that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a d-system, and hence by Dynkin's Lemma, contains  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ , so the theorem follows.

To see this, note first that  $E \in \mathcal{D}$  by hypothesis. Next, by additivity and finiteness of  $\mu_1, \mu_2$ , for  $B_1 \subseteq B_2, B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ .

$$\mu_1(B_2 \setminus B_1) = \mu_1(B_2) - \mu_1(B_1) = \mu_2(B_2) - \mu_2(B_1) = \mu_2(B_2 \setminus B_1)$$

so  $B_2 \setminus B_1 \in \mathcal{D}$ . Finally take  $B_n \uparrow B$ ,  $B_n \in \mathcal{D}$ . This implies  $B \setminus B_n \downarrow \emptyset$  and (by Example sheet)  $\mu_i(B \setminus B_n) \to \mu_i(\emptyset) = 0$  for i = 1, 2. This implies for  $\mu_i(B) < \infty$  that  $\mu_i(B_n) \to \mu_i(B)$  as  $n \to \infty$  for both i = 1, 2. But then

$$\mu_1(B) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_1(B_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_2(B_n) = \mu_2(B)$$

and so  $B \in \mathcal{D}$ , and thus  $\mathcal{D}$  is a d-system.

**Remark**: the above theorem applies to <u>finite</u> measures  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . The above theorem extends (as we will see) to  $\sigma$ -finite measures  $\mu$  for which  $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$  such that  $\mu(E_n) < \infty$ .

## Borel- $\sigma$ -algebras

**Definition.** Let E be a topological space (Hausdorff, or metric space). The  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{A} = \{B \subseteq E : B \text{ is open}\}$  is called the *Borel-\sigma-algebra*, denoted by  $\mathcal{B}(E)$ , or just  $\mathcal{B}$  when  $E = \mathbb{R}$ . Elements of  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  are the Borel subsets of E. A measure  $\mu$  on  $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$  is called a *Borel measure on E*. A *Radon* measure  $\mu$  is a Borel measure such that  $\mu(K) < \infty$  for all  $K \subseteq E$  compact (closed in Hausdorff spaces, hence measurable).

## Construction of Lebesgue measure

We will (eventually) construct a unique Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$\mu\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} [a_i, b_i]\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} |b_i - a_i|, \ a_i < b_i, \ i = 1, \dots, d$$

We will do d = 1 first.

**Theorem.** There exists a unique Borel measure (called the Lebesgue measure)  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\mu([a, b]) = b - a, \ \forall a < b$$

*Proof.* Consider subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  of the form

$$A = (a_1, b_1] \cup \ldots \cup (a_n, b_n]$$

which form a ring and  $\pi$ -system of Borel sets.