# 1 Lebesgue Integration Theory

### 1.1 Review of measure theory

**Definition.** Given a set E, a  $\sigma$ -algebra on E is a collection  $\mathcal{E}$  of subsets of E such that:

- (i)  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ ;
- (ii)  $A \in \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow A^c = E \setminus A \in \mathcal{A}$ ;
- (iii)  $A_n \in \mathcal{E}, n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \in \mathcal{E}.$
- $(E,\mathcal{E})$  is called a measurable space, and any  $A \in \mathcal{E}$  is called a measurable set.

Given a collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of E,  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition.** A measure on  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  is a function  $\mu : \mathcal{E} \to [0, \infty]$  such that

- (i)  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0;$
- (ii)  $A_n \in \mathcal{E}, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ disjoint } \Rightarrow \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n).$

 $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  is called a measure space.

**Definition** (Borel measure). If  $(E, \tau)$  is a topological space, then  $\sigma(\tau)$  is called a *Borel algebra*, denoted  $\mathcal{B}(E)$ , and a measure on  $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$  is called a *Borel measure*.

**Example.**  $E = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mu$  the Lebesgue measure satisfying  $\mu((a_1, b_1) \times \ldots \times (a_n, b_n)) = (b_1 - a_1) \ldots (b_n - a_n)$ .

**Notation**: we write  $\mu(dx) = dx$  and  $\mu(A) = |A|$  when  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure.

**Definition** (Measurable function). Let  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  and  $(F, \mathcal{F})$  be measurable spaces. Then  $f: E \to F$  is measurable if  $f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{E}$  whenever  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  and  $(F, \mathcal{F})$  are Borel algebras, a measurable function is called a Borel function. Special case:  $(F, \mathcal{F}) = ([0, \infty], \mathcal{B}([0, \infty]))$ , then  $f: E \to F$  is called a nonnegative measurable function.

**Fact.** The class of measurable functions is closed under addition, multiplication and taking (pointwise) limits.

**Definition.**  $f: E \to F$   $(F = [0, \infty] \text{ or } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ or } \mathbb{C}^n)$  is a *simple function* if  $f = \sum_{k=1}^K a_k \mathbb{1}_{A_k}$  for some  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_k \in F$ ,  $A_k \in \mathcal{E}$ . For a simple function, the integral is

$$\int f d\mu = \int f(x) d\mu(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k \mu(A_k) \ (0 \cdot \infty := 0).$$

For a non-negative measurable f, we define

$$\int f \mathrm{d}\mu = \sup \left\{ \int g \mathrm{d}\mu : g \text{ simple }, 0 \leq g \leq f \right\}.$$

**Definition.** A measurable function  $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$  is said to be *integrable* if  $\int |f| d\mu < \infty$ . Write  $f = f_+ - f_-$  with  $f_\pm$  non-negative, measurable,  $\int f_\pm d\mu < \infty$ , and then  $\int f d\mu = \int f_+ d\mu - \int f_- d\mu$ . For  $f: E \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , this is applied in each component.

**Theorem** (Monotone convergence theorem). Let  $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  be a measure space, and let  $(f_n)$  be a (pointwise) increasing sequence of non-negative functions on E converging to f. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_E f_n d\mu = \int_E f d\mu.$$

**Theorem** (Dominated convergence theorem). Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence of measurable functions on a measure space  $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  such that:

- (i)  $f_n \to f$  pointwise almost everywhere;
- (ii)  $|f_n| \leq g$  almost everywhere for some integrable g.

Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_E f_n d\mu = \int_E f d\mu.$$

## 1.2 $L^p$ spaces

**Definition.** Let  $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  be a measure space. For  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$  define

$$||f||_{L^p} = \left(\int_E |f|^p \mathrm{d}\mu\right)^{1/p}$$

and

$$||f||_{L^{\infty}} = \operatorname{esssup}|f| = \inf\{K : |f| \le K \text{ a.e}\}.$$

The space  $L^p$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty]$  is defined by

$$L^p = L^p(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu) = \{f : E \to \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable} : ||f||_{L^p} < \infty\}/\sim.$$

Where  $f \sim g$  if f = g a.e.

**Theorem** (Riesz-Fisher theorem).  $L^p$  is a Banach space for all  $p \in [1, \infty]$ .

**Notation**: when  $E = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mu$  the Lebesgue measure, write  $L^p(E, \mu) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Fact.** For  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , the simple functions f with  $\mu(\{x : f(x) \neq 0\}) < \infty$  are dense in  $L^p$ . For  $p = \infty$  we can drop the condition on the measure of the support.

**Definition.** For  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , the convolution f \* g is defined by

$$f * g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)g(x-y)dy,$$

provided the integral exists. Note that f\*g=g\*f, convolution is associative, and  $\mu(f*g)=\mu(f)\mu(g)$ .

**Theorem.**  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .

Before we prove the theorem, we will need some preliminary results.

**Remark.** This theorem is false for  $p = \infty$ .

Notation: a multiindex is  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ . Set  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ ;  $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!$ ;  $X^{\alpha} = X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_n^{\alpha_n}$  for  $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ;  $\nabla^{\alpha} f = D^{\alpha} f = \frac{\partial^{\alpha} f}{\partial x^{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f}{\partial x^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}$ .

**Definition.** We say  $f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if  $f \mathbb{1}_K \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for any  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  compact.

**Proposition.** Let  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $g \in C^k_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , some  $k \geq 0$ . Then  $f * g \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\nabla^{\alpha}(f * g) = f * (\nabla^{\alpha}g)$  for all  $|\alpha| \leq k$ .

Proof. First we check for k=0. Set  $T_zf(x)=f(x-z), z\in\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $T_z(f*g)=f*(T_zg)$ . Also  $T_zg(x)\to g(x)$  for all x as  $z\to 0$  (continuity of g). Furthermore  $|T_zg(x)|\leq ||g||_{L^\infty}\mathbb{1}_{B_R(0)}(x)$  if  $|x|+1\leq R, |z|<1$  (we can just take R large enough so it holds everywhere since g has compact support). Then  $|f(y)T_zg(x-y)|\leq C|f(y)|\mathbb{1}_{B_R(0)}(x-y)$ , for  $C:=||g||_{L^\infty}$ .

Since  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $|f(y)|\mathbb{1}_{B_R(0)}(x-y)$  is integrable in y, so by the dominated convergence theorem,

$$T_z(f*g) = (f*T_zg)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)T_zg(x-y)dy \xrightarrow{z\to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)g(x-y)dy = (f*g)(x).$$

And so  $f * g \in C^0$ . Now let k = 1. Let  $\nabla_i^h g(x) = \frac{g(x + he_i) - g(x)}{h}$ , where  $e_i$  is the *i*th unit vector. Then  $\nabla_i^h g(x) \to \nabla_i g(x)$  as  $h \to 0$ .

By the mean value theorem, there exists  $t \in [-h, h]$  such that

$$\nabla_i^h g(x) = \nabla_i g(x + te_i) \Rightarrow |\nabla_i^h g(x)| \le ||\nabla_i g||_{L^{\infty}} \mathbb{1}_{B_R(0)}(x).$$

Again by the dominated convergence theorem,  $\nabla_i^h(f*g) = f*(\nabla_i^h g) \to f*\nabla_i g$ . Thus  $f*g \in C^1$ . The case k>1 is similar, with induction.

**Proposition** (Minkowski's integral inequality). Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  Borel. Then

$$\left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x, y) dx \right|^p dy \right]^{1/p} \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x, y)|^p dy \right|^{1/p} dx.$$

*Proof.* Example sheet 1.

**Proposition.** Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then

$$||T_z g - g||_{L^p} \to 0 \text{ as } |z| \to 0.$$

**Remark.** This is not true for  $p = \infty$ . Let  $\theta(x) = \mathbb{1}_{x \geq 0}$ . Then  $||T_z \theta - \theta||_{L^{\infty}} = 1$  if  $z \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Consider first  $g = \mathbb{1}_R$ , R a rectangle. Then the result is clear. Hence it also follows for any finite union of rectangles. If B is a Borel set,  $|B| < \infty$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite union of rectangles R such that

$$||\mathbb{1}_B - \mathbb{1}_R||_{L^p} = |B \triangle R|^{1/p} < \varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$||T_z\mathbbm{1}_B - \mathbbm{1}_B||_{L^p} \leq \underbrace{||T_z\mathbbm{1}_B - T_z\mathbbm{1}_R||_{L^p}}_{=||\mathbbm{1}_B - \mathbbm{1}_R||_{L^p} < \varepsilon} + \underbrace{||T_z\mathbbm{1}_R - \mathbbm{1}_R||_{L^p}}_{<\varepsilon \text{ for } |z| \text{ small}} + \underbrace{||\mathbbm{1}_R - \mathbbm{1}_B||_{L^p}}_{<\varepsilon}.$$

Thus the result holds for  $g = \mathbb{1}_B$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Thus the result holds for simple functions g. Finally, for any  $g \in L^p$ , there is a  $\tilde{g}$  simple such that  $||g - \tilde{g}||_{L^p} < \varepsilon$ . Then

$$||T_zg-g||_{L^p} \leq \underbrace{||T_zg-T_z\tilde{g}||_{L^p}}_{=||g-\tilde{g}||_{L^p}<\varepsilon} + \underbrace{||T_z\tilde{g}-\tilde{g}||_{L^p}}_{<\varepsilon \text{ for } |z| \text{ small}} + \underbrace{||g-\tilde{g}||_{L^p}}_{<\varepsilon}.$$

**Theorem.** Let  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be such that  $\varphi \geq 0$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi dx = 1$  and set  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) = \varepsilon^{-n} \varphi(x/\varepsilon)$ . Then for any  $g \in L^p$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , it follows that  $\varphi_{\varepsilon} * g \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\varphi_{\varepsilon} * g \to g$  in  $L^p$ .

Proof. We have

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon} * g(x) - g(x)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \varphi_{\varepsilon}(y) g(x - y) - g(x) \right] dy \right|$$

$$= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(z) \left[ g(x - \varepsilon z) - g(x) \right] dz \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(z) \left| T_{\varepsilon z} g(x) - g(x) \right| dz.$$

Hence

$$\|\varphi_{\varepsilon} * g - g\|_{L^{p}} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \underbrace{|\varphi_{\varepsilon} * g - g|^{p}}_{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi(z)|T_{\varepsilon z}g - g|dz} dx \right)^{1/p}$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi(z)^{p} |T_{\varepsilon z}g(x) - g(x)|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} dz$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi(z) \underbrace{||T_{\varepsilon z}g - g||_{L^{p}}}_{\to 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0} dz$$

where the inequality comes from Minkowski's inequality. Also the final term tends to 0 as  $\varepsilon \to 0$  by the DCT since  $\varphi(z)||T_{\varepsilon z}g - g||_{L^p}|| \le 2\varphi(z)||g||_{L^p}$  and  $\varphi$  is integrable.

**Definition.**  $\varphi$  as above is called a (smooth) mollifier.

Corollary.  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .

*Proof.* The previous theorem implies  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $L^p$ . Since  $||f - f \mathbb{1}_{B_R(0)}||_{L^p} \to 0$  as  $R \to \infty$  by the DCT, for  $f \in L^p$ , applying the theorem with  $g = f \mathbb{1}_{B_R(0)}$  it follows that  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $L^p$ .

### 1.3 Lebesgue Differentiation Theorem

Recall:

**Theorem** (Fundamental Theorem of Calculus). For  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  continuous,  $F(x) := \int_0^x f(t) dt$  is differentiable with F'(x) = f(x).

We actually have a stronger result:

**Theorem** (Lebesgue Differentiation Theorem). For  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  integrable,

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y) - f(x)| dy = 0 \text{ for almost all } x.$$

The x for which this holds are called Lebesgue points.

We will need a few preliminary results and definitions before we can prove this.

**Corollary.** If  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $G(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x g(t) dt$ , then G is differentiable for almost every x with G'(x) = g(x).

Corollary. If  $\varphi$  is a smooth mollifier and  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $\varphi_{\varepsilon} * g \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \to 0} g$  almost everywhere.

**Definition.** For  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  integrable, the Hardy-Littlewood Maximal Function Mf:  $\mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty]$  is defined by

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y)| dy.$$

**Remark.** We sometimes write  $\int_{B_r(x)} |f(y)| dy$  for  $\frac{1}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y)| dy$ .

**Lemma** (Wiener's covering lemma). If K is compact and  $K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N B_i$  for open balls  $(B_i)_{i=1}^N$ , there exists a subcollection  $(B_{i_k})_k$  of disjoint balls such that

$$\left| \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} B_i \right| \le 3^n \sum_{k} |B_{i_k}|.$$

*Proof.* Example sheet.

**Proposition.** Take  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then Mf is a Borel function, finite almost everywhere, and

$$|\underbrace{\{\mathrm{Mf} > \lambda\}}_{:=A_{\lambda}}| \le \frac{3^n}{\lambda} ||f||_{L^1}.$$

*Proof.* For each  $x \in A_{\lambda}$ , there exists  $r_x > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{B_{r_x}(x)} \int_{B_{r_x}(x)} |f(y)| \mathrm{d}y > \lambda.$$

We claim that  $A_{\lambda}$  is open. Then we will have shown Mf is Borel as the  $A_{\lambda}=(\mathrm{Mf})^{-1}((\lambda,\infty])$  are open, and the sets  $(\lambda,\infty]$  generate the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra.

We'll actually show  $A_{\lambda}^c$  is closed. Suppose  $(x_k)_{k\geq 1}$  is a sequence in  $A_{\lambda}^c$  with  $x_k \to x$ . Suppose  $x \in A_{\lambda}$ . By the Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$\frac{1}{B_{r_x}(x_k)} \int_{B_{r_x}(x_k)} |f(y)| dy \to \frac{1}{B_{r_x}(x)} \int_{B_{r_x}(x)} |f(y)| dy.$$

Since  $x_k \notin A_\lambda$ , the LHS is  $\leq \lambda$  for all k, but the RHS is  $> \lambda$  which is impossible. Hence  $x \in A_\lambda^c$  and  $A_\lambda^c$  is closed.

To prove the inequality, let  $K \subseteq A_{\lambda}$  be compact. Since  $\{B_{r_x}(x)\}_{x \in A_{\lambda}}$  is an open cover of K, there exists a finite subcover  $K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N B_i$ , where  $B_i = B_{r_x}(x)$  for

some  $x \in A_{\lambda}$ . Now take a subcollection  $(B_{i_k})_k$  of disjoint balls as in Wiener's covering lemma.

Since  $\frac{1}{|B_i|}\int_{B_i}|f(y)|\mathrm{d}y>\lambda$ , it follows that  $|B_i|<\frac{1}{\lambda}\int_{B_i}|f(y)|\mathrm{d}y$ . Hence

$$|K| \leq 3^n \sum_k |B_{i_k}| < \frac{3^n}{\lambda} \sum_k \int_{B_{i_k}} |f(y)| \mathrm{d}y \leq \frac{3^n}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| \mathrm{d}y.$$

Since this holds for any  $K \subseteq A_{\lambda}$  compact, by regularity of the Lebesgue measure, it also holds for  $A_{\lambda}$ . In particular,  $|\{\mathrm{Mf} = \infty\}| \leq |\{\mathrm{Mf} > \lambda\}| \xrightarrow{\lambda \to \infty} 0$ , i,e  $\mathrm{Mf} < \infty$  almost everywhere.

Now we are ready to prove:

**Theorem** (Lebesgue Differentiation Theorem). For  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  integrable,

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y) - f(x)| dy = 0 \text{ for almost all } x.$$

The x for which this holds are called Lebesgue points.

*Proof.* Let

$$A_{\lambda} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \limsup_{r \to 0} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y) - f(x)| \mathrm{d}y > 2\lambda \right\}$$

Then it suffices to show  $|A_{\lambda}| = 0$  for any  $\lambda > 0$ . Indeed, the non-Lebesgue points are then  $\bigcup_n A_{1/n}$ , a countable union of sets of measure 0.

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $g \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be such that  $||f - g||_{L^1} < \varepsilon$ . Then

$$\underbrace{\int_{B_r(x)} |f(y) - f(x)| \mathrm{d}y}_{\leq \underbrace{\int_{B_r(x)} |f(y) - g(y)| \mathrm{d}y}_{\leq M(f - g)(x)} + |f(x) - g(x)| + \underbrace{\int_{B_r(x)} |g(x) - g(y)| \mathrm{d}y}_{\to 0 \text{ since } g \in C^{\infty}}.$$

$$\implies \limsup_{r \to 0} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y) - f(x)| \mathrm{d}y \le M(f - g)(x) + |f(x) - g(x)|.$$

If  $x \in A_{\lambda}$ , then either  $M(f-g)(x) > \lambda$  or  $|f(x) - g(x)| > \lambda$ . The Hardy-Littlewood maximal inequality says  $|\{M(f-g) > \lambda\}| \leq \frac{3^n}{\lambda}||f-g||_{L^1}$ . Then by Markov's inequality  $|\{|f-g| > \lambda\}| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}||f-g||_{L^1}$ . Hence

$$|A_{\lambda}| \le \frac{3^n + 1}{\lambda} ||f - g||_{L^1} < \frac{3^{n+1} + 1}{\lambda} \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary,  $|A_{\lambda}| = 0$ .

### 1.4 Littlewood's Principles

**Theorem** (Egorov). Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $|E| < \infty$ , and  $f_k : E \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k \ge 1$  be a sequence of measurable functions such that  $f_k \to f$  almost everwhere. Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a closed subset  $A_{\varepsilon} \subseteq E$  such that  $|E \setminus A_{\varepsilon}| < \varepsilon$  and  $f_k \to f$  uniformly on  $A_{\varepsilon}$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality,  $f_k(x) \to f(x)$  for all  $x \in E$  (otherwise restrict to a subset of E of full measure). Let

$$E_k^n = \left\{ x \in E : |f_j(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{n} \ \forall j > k \right\}.$$

Then  $E_{k+1}^n \supseteq E_k^n$ ,  $\bigcup_k E_k^n = E$ , hence  $|E_k^n| \uparrow |E|$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Let  $k_n$  be such that  $|E \setminus E_{k_n}^n| < 2^{-n}$  and for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  set

$$A_N = \bigcap_{n \ge N} E_{k_n}^n \implies |E \setminus A_N| \le \sum_{n \ge N} |E \setminus E_{k_n}^n| \le 2^{-N+1} < \varepsilon \text{ for } N = N_{\varepsilon}.$$

Now it suffices to show  $f_j \to f$  uniformly on  $A_N$ . Indeed, for  $x \in A_N$  and any  $n \ge N$ ,  $|f_j(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $j > k_n$ . Hence  $\limsup_{j \to \infty} \sup_{A_N} |f_j - f| \le \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $n \ge N$ , hence  $\lim_{j \to \infty} \sup_{A_N} |f_j - f| = 0$ .

**Theorem** (Lusin). Let  $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$  be a Borel function, where  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $|E| < \infty$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $F_{\varepsilon} \subseteq E$  closed such that  $|E \setminus F_{\varepsilon}| < \varepsilon$  and  $f|_{F_{\varepsilon}}$  is continuous.

**Remark.** Careful: this does <u>not</u> mean that f is continuous at  $x \in F_{\varepsilon}$  in the topology of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* First we show that the statement holds for simple functions f. Let  $f = \sum_{m=1}^{M} a_m \mathbbm{1}_{A_m}$  with the  $A_m$  disjoint and  $\bigcup_m A_m = E$ . Then there are compact sets  $K_m \subseteq A_m$  with  $|A_m \setminus K_m| < \frac{\varepsilon}{M}$  by regularity of the Lebesgue measure. Then if  $F_\varepsilon = \bigcup_m K_m$ ,  $|E \setminus F_\varepsilon| < \varepsilon$ . Since f is constant on each  $K_m$ , and the distance between  $K_m$  and  $K_{m'}$  is strictly positive for  $m \neq m'$  (compactness), this implies  $f|_{F_\varepsilon}$  is continuous.

Now we show the statement holds for any measurable f. Let  $f_n$  be simple functions such that  $f_n \to f$  almost everywhere, and  $C_n \subseteq E$  be such that  $|C_n| < 2^{-n}$  and  $|E_n| < 2^{-n}$  and  $|E_n| < 2^{-n}$  is continuous for all n. By Egorov's Theorem, there exists  $A_\varepsilon$  such that  $|E_n| < 1$  uniformly on  $|E_n| < 1$  so  $|E_n| < 1$  so  $|E_n| < 1$  for  $|E_n| < 1$  so  $|E_n|$ 

By regularity of the Lebesgue measure, there exists  $F_{\varepsilon} \subseteq F'_{\varepsilon}$  closed with  $|F'_{\varepsilon} \setminus F_{\varepsilon}| < \varepsilon$  so  $|E \setminus F_{\varepsilon}| < 3\varepsilon$  and we are done.

# 2 Banach and Hilbert space analysis

# 2.1 The Hilbert space $L^2$

For any measure space  $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$ ,  $L^2(E, \mu)$  is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2} = \int_F \overline{f} g \mathrm{d}\mu.$$

**Definition.** A subset  $S = \{u_j\}_{j \in J} \subseteq H$  of a Hilbert space H is

- Orthogonal if  $\langle u_i, u_k \rangle = 0$  for all  $j \neq k$ ;
- Orthonormal if it is orthogonal and  $\langle u_j, u_j \rangle = 1$  for all j;
- Complete if  $\overline{\operatorname{span}\{u_j\}} = H$ .

A complete orthonormal set is called a *Hilbert basis*.

**Fact.** A Hilbert space is separable (i.e there is a countable dense subset) if and only if there is a countable orthonormal (Hilbert) basis.

### Examples.

- (i)  $L^2([-\pi,\pi]), S = \left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-inx}\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ . Then S is a Hilbert basis; the Fourier basis (completeness follows from the Stone-Weierstrass theorem & density of  $C^{\infty}$ ).
- (ii)  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $S = \{\psi_{n,k}\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$  where

$$\psi_{n,k}(x) = 2^{n/2}\psi(2^n x - k),$$

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in [0, 1/2) \\ -1 & x \in [1/2, 1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

S is a Hilbert basis; the *Haar system*.

(iii)  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu(\mathrm{d}x))$ , where  $\mu(\mathrm{d}x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \exp(x^2/2) \mathrm{d}x$ ; the Gauss measure. Then take  $\{H_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ , where the  $H_n$  are obtained by applying Gram-Schmidt to  $\{1, x, x^2, \ldots\}$ ; the Hermite polynomials. Then  $\{H_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$  is a Hilbert basis.

**Theorem** (Reisz representation theorem). For any bounded linear functional  $\Lambda: H \to \mathbb{R}$  (respectively  $\mathbb{C}$ ), there is a unique  $w \in H$  such that  $\Lambda(u) = \langle w, u \rangle$  for all  $u \in H$ .

# 2.2 Radon-Nikodym Theorems

**Definition.** Let  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  be a measurable space and let  $\mu, \nu$  be two measures on  $(E, \mathcal{E})$ . Then  $\nu$  is said to be absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , written  $\nu \ll \mu$ , if for all  $A \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\nu(A) = 0$  whenever  $\mu(A) = 0$ . Two measures  $\mu, \nu$  are mutually singular, written  $\mu \perp \nu$  if there is  $B \in \mathcal{E}$  such that  $\mu(B) = 0 = \nu(B^c)$ .

**Theorem** (Radon-Nikodym). Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be finite measures on  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  with  $\nu \ll \mu$ . Then there exists  $\omega \in L^1(E, \mathcal{E})$  such that for all  $A \in \mathcal{E}$ ,

$$\nu(A) = \int_A \omega \mathrm{d}\mu.$$

Equivalently, for all  $h: E \to [0, \infty]$  Borel,

$$\int h \mathrm{d}\nu = \int h \omega \mathrm{d}\mu.$$

*Proof.* Set  $\alpha = \mu + 2\nu$  and  $\beta = 2\mu + \nu$ . Define

$$\Lambda(f) = \int_{E} f \mathrm{d}\beta.$$

Then

$$|\Lambda(f)| \le \int_E |f| \mathrm{d}\beta \le 2 \int_E |f| \mathrm{d}\alpha \le 2 \sqrt{\alpha(E)} ||f||_{L^2(E,\alpha)}.$$

So  $\Lambda: L^2(E,\alpha) \to \mathbb{R}$  is bounded and linear. So by the Riesz representation theorem, there is  $g \in L^2(E,\alpha)$  such that  $\Lambda(f) = \langle g, f \rangle_{L^2(E,\alpha)}$  for all  $f \in L^2(E,\alpha)$ . Hence  $\int f d\beta = \int g f d\alpha$ , and

$$\int f(2d\mu + d\nu) = \int gf(d\mu + 2d\nu) \iff \int f(2-g)d\mu = \int f(2g-1)d\nu.$$

We claim that g takes values in [1/2, 2]  $\mu$ -a.e and  $\nu$ -a.e, and that  $g \neq 1/2$   $\mu$ -a.e (this implies  $g \neq 1/2$   $\nu$ -a.e since  $\nu \ll \mu$ ). Assuming the claim, the proof is completed as follows; by the monotone convergence theorem, (\*) can be extended to all  $f: E \to [0, \infty]$ . Given  $h: E \to [0, \infty]$  measurable, set

$$f(x) = \frac{h(x)}{2g(x) - 1}, \ \omega(x) = \frac{2 - g(x)}{2g(x) - 1}, \ x \in \{g \neq 1/2\}.$$
 (\*)

Then

$$\int h d\nu = \int f(2g - 1) d\nu = \int f(2g - 1) d\mu = \int h\omega d\mu.$$

In particular, taking h = 1, we see  $\omega \in L^1(E, \mu)$ .

Now we prove the claim: let  $f = \mathbb{1}_{A_j}$ , with  $A_j = \left\{ x \in E : g(x) < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{j} \right\}$ . Then we have

$$\int f(2g-1)\mathrm{d}\nu \le -\frac{2}{i}\nu(A_j),$$

$$\int f(2-g) d\mu \ge \frac{3}{2} \mu(A_j),$$
 
$$\implies \frac{3}{2} \mu(A_j) \le -\frac{2}{j} \nu(A_j) \implies \mu(A_j) = \nu(A_j) = 0.$$

Implying  $g\geq 1/2$  both  $\mu$ -a.e and  $\nu$ -a.e. To show  $g\leq 2$   $\mu$ -a.e and  $\nu$ -a.e the proof is analogous, instead with  $A_j=\{x\in E:g(x)\geq 2+1/j\}$ . To show  $\mu(\{g=1/2\})=0,$  set  $f=\mathbbm{1}_Z,$   $Z=\{g=1/2\}$  in (\*), giving

$$\frac{3}{2} \int \mathbb{1}\{g = 1/2\} \mathrm{d}\mu = 0.$$

## 2.3 The dual of $L^p$

**Definition.** A topological vector space (TVS) X is a vector space together with a topology in which  $(x,y) \mapsto x+y$  and  $(\lambda,x) \mapsto \lambda x$  are continuous. The dual space X' is the linear space of continuous linear maps  $\Lambda: X \to \mathbb{R}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}$ ).

If X is a normed vector space equipped with the topology induced by the norm, then linear maps on X are bounded if and only if they are continuous. We can define a norm on X' by

$$||\Lambda||_{X'} = \sup_{\substack{x \in X \\ ||x|| \le 1}} |\Lambda(x)|.$$

Then X' is a Banach space (even if X isn't).

We aim to identify  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)'$  with  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , if  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $q \in [1, \infty]$ . For every  $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\Lambda_g(f) = \int \bar{f}g \mathrm{d}x$$

defines  $\Lambda_g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)'$  with  $||\Lambda_g|| = ||g||_{L^q}$ .

*Proof.* By Hölder's inequality,  $|\Lambda_g(f)| = ||f||_{L^p} ||g||_{L^q}$ . Hence  $\Lambda_g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)'$  and  $||\Lambda_g|| \le ||g||_{L^p}$ . Equality: see Example sheet 1.

**Corollary.** The map  $J: L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)'$ ,  $g \mapsto \Lambda_g$  is a linear isometry. Thus we can identify  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as a subspace of  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)'$ .

**Remark.** When p=2 then  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)'=L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , i.e J is surjective (Riesz representation theorem).

**Theorem.** Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Then J is surjective, i.e  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)' = L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

#### Remarks.

- 1.  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)' = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , but  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)' \neq L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- 2. The same is true if  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is replaced by  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  open.

**Definition.**  $\Lambda \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)'$  is positive if

$$\Lambda(f) \geq 0$$
 for all  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $f \geq 0$  a.e.

**Lemma.** Let  $\Lambda \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)'$  be positive. Then there is  $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  non-negative with

$$\Lambda(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} fg dx \text{ for all } f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Furthermore  $||g||_{L^q} = ||\Lambda||$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mu(\mathrm{d}x) = e^{-|x|^2} \mathrm{d}x$ . Then  $\mu(\mathbb{R}^n) < \infty$ . Define

$$\nu(A) = \Lambda\left(e^{-|x|^2/p} \mathbb{1}_A\right) \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

First we show that  $\nu$  is a finite measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Clearly  $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$  and  $\nu(A) \in [0, \infty)$  since  $\Lambda$  is positive. Let  $A_k \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a sequence of disjoint sets and  $B_m = \bigcup_{k=1}^m A_k$ . Then

$$|\nu(B_{\infty}) - \nu(B_m)| \le ||\Lambda|| \left\| e^{-|x|^2/p} (\mathbb{1}_{B_{\infty}} - \mathbb{1}_{B_m}) \right\|_{L^p}$$
$$= ||\Lambda|| \mu(B_{\infty} \setminus B_m)^{1/p} \to 0.$$

So  $\nu$  is countably additive, and thus a measure. Now we claim  $\nu \ll \mu$ . Indeed if  $\mu(A) = 0$ ,  $\nu(A) \leq ||\Lambda||\mu(A)^{1/p}$ . Thus by the Radon-Nikodym theorem, there is  $\omega \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu)$  non-negative such that

$$\nu(A) = \int_A \omega d\mu = \int_A \omega e^{-|x|^2} dx \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Now let  $f = e^{-|x|^2/p} \tilde{f}$  where  $\tilde{f}$  is simple. Then by linearity of  $\Lambda$ ,

$$\Lambda(f) = \int \tilde{f} d\nu = \int \tilde{f} \omega e^{-|x|^2} dx$$
$$= \int f \underbrace{\omega e^{-\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)|x|^2}}_{\tilde{\omega} = \omega e^{-\frac{1}{q}|x|^2}} dx.$$

Hence  $\Lambda(f) = \int f \tilde{\omega} dx$  for all f as above. Exercise: functions of the form  $f = e^{-|x|^2/p} \tilde{f}$  for  $\tilde{f}$  are dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then we have  $\Lambda(f) = \int f \tilde{\omega} dx$  for all  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  since  $\Lambda$  is continuous.

Example sheet 1 gives that

$$||\tilde{\omega}||_{L^q} = \sup \left\{ \int |f\tilde{\omega}| dx : ||f||_{L^p} \le 1 \right\}.$$

Thus

$$||\tilde{\omega}||_{L^p} \leq ||\Lambda|| \text{ since } \int |f\tilde{\omega}| \mathrm{d}x = \int |f|\tilde{\omega} \mathrm{d}x = \Lambda(|f|) \leq ||\Lambda||||f||_{L^p}.$$

Conversely,  $\Lambda(f) \leq ||f||_{L^p} ||\tilde{\omega}||_{L^q}$  by Hölder's inequality, so  $||\Lambda|| \leq ||\tilde{\omega}||_{L^q}$  and  $||\Lambda|| = ||\tilde{\omega}||_{L^q}$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . Then  $\int : L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)'$ ,  $g \mapsto \Lambda_g$  where  $\Lambda_g(f) = \int fg$  is a linear isometry and surjective.

*Proof.* First consider the real case. In Example Sheet 2 its shown that if  $\Lambda \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)'$  is real-values, there are  $\Lambda_+$  and  $\Lambda_-$  both bounded and positive such that  $\Lambda = \Lambda_+ - \Lambda_-$ . The claim follows from the previous lemma.

In the complex case, if  $\Lambda \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C})'$  then  $\Lambda_r(f) = \Re \Lambda(f)$  and  $\Lambda_i(f) = \Im \Lambda(f)$  define two  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear  $\Lambda \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\Lambda(f_r + if_i) = \Lambda_r(f_r) - \Lambda_i(f_i) + i\Lambda_r(f_i) + i\Lambda_i(f_r).$$

The claim then follows by the real-valued case.

#### 2.4 Riesz-Markov Theorem

**Fact.** For any finite (positive) regular Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\Lambda_{\mu}(f) = \int f d\mu$  defines a positive bounded linear functional on  $C_c(\mathbb{R}^n, ||\cdot||_{\infty})$ .

**Lemma.** A uniquely determines  $\mu$  and for any  $U \in \mathbb{R}^n$  open

$$\mu(U) = \sup\{\Lambda_{\mu}(g) : g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n), 0 \le g \le \mathbb{1}_U\}. \tag{*}$$

*Proof sketch.* We would like to take  $f = \mathbb{1}_A$  for  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , but this is not continuous. So we approximate by continuous functions: assume  $U \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is open, set  $U_k = U \cap \{|x| < k\}$ , abd

$$\chi_k(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in U_k, \ d(x, U_k^c) \ge \frac{1}{k} \\ 0 & x \notin U_k \\ kd(x, U_k^c) & x \in U_k, \ d(x, U_k^c) < 1/k \end{cases}.$$

Then  $\chi_k \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\chi_k \uparrow \mathbb{1}_U$ . So by the Monotone Convergence Theorem,

$$\mu(U) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int \chi_k d\mu = \lim_{k \to \infty} \Lambda(\chi_k).$$

And (\*) also follows. Since  $\mu$  is regular, this determines  $\mu$  on all Borel sets.  $\square$ 

**Definition.** A *signed measure* is the difference of two mutually singular finite positive measures.

**Theorem** (Riesz-Markov Theorem). Given  $\Lambda: C_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}$  linear positive and bounded, there is a unique finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\Lambda(f) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f d\mu, \ \forall f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The dual space  $C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the space of signed measures.

# 2.5 Strong, weak & weak-\* topologies

Example Sheet 2: if X is a Banach space, then the closed unit ball is compact iff X is finite dimensional.

Goal: recover some form of compactness by considering a weaker topology.

**Definition.** A seminorm p on a vector space X (over  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) is a map  $p:X\to\mathbb{R}$  such that

- (i)  $p(x+y) \le p(x) + p(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (ii)  $p(\lambda x) = |\lambda| p(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ ;
- (iii)  $p(x) \ge 0$  for all  $x \in X$ .

(Note: it is not necessarily positive semidefinite)

**Definition.** A family  $\mathcal{P}$  of seminorms is *separating* if for every  $x \in X$  with  $x \neq 0$  there is  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $p(x) \neq 0$ .

**Definition.** The topology  $\tau_{\mathcal{P}}$  induced by a family of seminorms  $\mathcal{P}$  is generated by

$$\beta = \{x + B : x \in X, \ B \in \dot{\beta}\}.$$

Where  $\dot{\beta}$  consists of finite intersections of  $V(p,n) = \{x \in X : p(x) < 1/n\}$  for  $p \in \mathcal{P}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$   $(X, \tau_{\mathcal{P}})$  is a locally convex topological vector space (LCTVS).

**Theorem.**  $\beta$  is a neighbourhood base for the topology  $\tau_{\mathcal{P}}$  (every open set  $U \in \tau_{\mathcal{P}}$  is a union of sets in  $\beta$ ), and the vector space operations  $(x,y) \mapsto x + y$  and  $(\lambda, x) \mapsto \lambda x$  are continuous, as is every seminorm  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ .

Example Sheet 2: for  $(x_k)_{k\geq 1}$  in X,  $x_k \to x$  in  $\tau_{\mathcal{P}}$  if and only if  $p(x-x_k) \to 0$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ .

**Fact.** If  $\mathcal{P} = (p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is countable, then the topology is induced by the metric

$$d_{\mathcal{P}}(x,y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \frac{p_k(x-y)}{1 + p_k(x-y)}.$$

**Definition.** If  $\mathcal{P}$  is as above with metric as above, if the metric  $d_{\mathcal{P}}$  is complete,  $(X, d_{\mathcal{P}})$  is called a *Fréchet space*.

#### Examples.

- (i) X a Banach space,  $\mathcal{P}_s = \{||\cdot||\}$ : the corresponding topology  $\tau_s = \tau_{\mathcal{P}_s}$  is called *norm* or *strong topology*. We have  $x_k \to x$  in  $\tau_s$  if and only if  $||x_k x|| \to 0$ .
- (ii) X a Banach space,  $\mathcal{P}_w = \{p_{\Lambda} : \Lambda \in X^1\}$  where  $p_{\Lambda}(x) = |\Lambda(x)|$ . Each  $p_{\Lambda}$  is a seminorm and is the Hahn-Banach theorem implies  $\mathcal{P}_w$  is separating. (For  $X = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  this can be verified directly.) The topology  $\tau_w = \tau_{\mathcal{P}_w}$  is called the *weak topology*. We have  $x_k \to x$  in  $\tau_w$  if and only if  $\Lambda(x_k) \to \Lambda(x)$  for all  $\Lambda \in X'$ . We write  $x_k \to^w x$ . Also  $x_k \to x$  implies  $x_k \to^w x$ .
- (iii) X a Banach space, then X' is also a Banach space. Hence we have a strong and weak topology on X'. The weak-\* topology  $\tau_{w^*}$  is generated by  $\mathcal{P}_{w^*} = \{p_x : x \in X\}$  where  $p_x(\Lambda) = |\Lambda(x)|$ . Then  $\Lambda_k \to \Lambda$  in  $\tau_{w^*}$  if and only if  $\Lambda_k(x) \to \Lambda(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ . We write  $\Lambda_k \to w^*$   $\Lambda$ .

**Remark.** If X is reflexive, i.e X'' = X, then  $\tau_w = \tau_{w^*}$ .

**Example.** Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $(f_k)_{k>1}$  be a sequence in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then

$$f_k \to f \text{ in } L^p \iff \int |f_k - f|^p dx \to 0$$

$$f_k \to^w f \text{ in } L^p \iff \int g(f_k - f) dx \to 0 \text{ for all } g \in L^q$$

$$f_k \to^{w^*} f \text{ in } L^p \iff f_k \to^w f \text{ in } L^p$$

On the other hand, if  $(f_k)_{k>1}$  is in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$f_k \to f \text{ in } L^{\infty} \iff \text{esssup}|f_k - f| \to 0$$

$$f_k \xrightarrow{w^*} \text{ in } L^{\infty} \iff \int g(f_k - f) dx \to 0 \text{ for all } g \in L^1$$

$$f_k \xrightarrow{w^*} \text{ in } L^{\infty} \iff f_k \xrightarrow{w} \text{ in } L^{\infty}$$

#### 2.6 Compactness

**Theorem** (Arzela-Ascoli Theorem). Let I = [0,1] (or a compact Hausdorff space). Suppose a sequence of continuous functions  $f_k : I \to \mathbb{R}$  is

- Bounded:  $\sup_{k} \sup_{x \in I} |f_k(x)| < \infty$
- Equicontinuous: for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta$  such that  $\sup_k \sup_{x \in I} \sup_{y \in B(x,\varepsilon)} |f_k(x) f_k(y)| < \varepsilon$ .

Then there is a subsequence  $(i_k)$  such that  $f_{i_k}$  converges to some continuous f.

Application:  $C^{0,\alpha}(I)$  embeds compactly into  $C^0(I)$ , where  $C^{0,\alpha}(I) = \{f \in C^0(I) : ||f||_{C^{0,\alpha}} < \infty\}$ ,

$$||f||_{C^{0,\alpha}} = \sup_{x \in I} |f'(x)| + \sup_{\substack{x,y \in I \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^{\alpha}}.$$

The identity map id:  $C^{0,\alpha}(I) \to C^0(I)$  is compact, i.e any sequence  $(f_i)_{i\geq 1}$  in  $C^{0,\alpha}$  that is bounded in  $C^{0,\alpha}$  has a convergent subsequence in  $C^0(I)$ .

**Theorem** (Banach-Alaoglu). Let X be a separable Banach space, and let  $(\Lambda_j)_{j\geq 1}$  be a bounded sequence in X', say  $\sup_j ||\Lambda_j||_{X'} \leq 1$ . Then there is a subsequence  $(j_i)$  and  $\Lambda \in X'$  such that  $\Lambda_{j_i} \to^{w^*} \Lambda$ .

**Example.** Let  $p \in (1, \infty]$  and  $(f_j)_{j \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $||f_j||_{L^p} \leq K$  for all j. Then there is  $f \in L^p$  with  $||f||_{L^p} \leq K$  and a subsequence  $(j_i)$  such that for every  $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\int f_{j_i} g \mathrm{d}x \to \int f g \mathrm{d}x$ . (Just apply Banach-Alaoglu noting  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)' = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $L^q$  is separable for such q.)

*Proof.* Step 1: construction. Let  $D = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subseteq X$  be dense (can do this by separability). Since  $(\Lambda_j(x_1))_{j\geq 1}$  is a bounded sequence, there is a subsequence  $J_1 \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Lambda(x_1) \in \mathbb{R}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) such that  $\Lambda_j(x_1) \to \Lambda(x_1)$  for  $j \in J_1, j \to \infty$ . Iterating, there are nested subsequences  $J_1 \supseteq J_2 \supseteq J_3 \supseteq \ldots$  and  $\Lambda(x_k) \in \mathbb{R}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) such that  $\Lambda_j(x_k) \to \Lambda(x_k)$  for  $j \in J_l, l \geq k$ .

Now take the 'diagonal subsequence' J of  $J_1 \supseteq J_2 \supseteq \ldots$  defined by  $J = (j_n)_{n \ge 1}$  where  $j_n$  is the first element of  $J_n$ . i.e it has first element which is the first element of  $J_1$ , second element which is the first element of  $J_2$ , etc. Then  $\Lambda_j(x_k) \to \Lambda(x_k)$  for  $j \in J, j \to \infty$ .

Step 2: we'll show  $\Lambda: D \to \mathbb{R}$  is uniformly continuous so can be extended uniquely to  $\Lambda: X \to \mathbb{R}$  continuous. For each  $x, y \in D$  such that  $||x - y|| < \varepsilon$ , there is  $j \in J$  such that  $|\Lambda_j(x) - \Lambda(x)| < \varepsilon$ ,  $|\Lambda_j(y) - \Lambda(y)| < \varepsilon$ . Hence

$$|\Lambda(x) - \Lambda(y)| \leq |\Lambda(x) - \Lambda_j(x)| + |\Lambda(y) - \Lambda_j(y)| + |\Lambda_j(x - y)| \leq 3\varepsilon.$$

Step 3: we show  $\Lambda: X \to \mathbb{R}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) is linear. For  $x,y \in X, \ a \in \mathbb{R}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}$ ), let  $x',y',z' \in D$  be such that  $||x-x'|| < \varepsilon, ||y-y'|| < \varepsilon, ||x+ay-z'|| < \varepsilon$ . Then take  $j \in J$  such that  $|\Lambda(x') - \Lambda_j(x')| < \varepsilon, |\Lambda(y') - \Lambda_j(y')| < \varepsilon, |\Lambda(z') - \Lambda_j(z')| < \varepsilon$ . Then

$$\begin{split} |\Lambda(x + ay) - \Lambda(x) - a\Lambda(y)| &\leq |\Lambda(x + ay) - \Lambda(z')| + |\Lambda(x) - \Lambda(x')| + |a||\Lambda(y) - \Lambda(y')| \\ &+ |\Lambda(z') - \Lambda_j(z')| + |\Lambda(x') - \Lambda_j(x')| + |a||\Lambda(y') - \Lambda_j(y')| \\ &+ |\Lambda_j(x') - \Lambda_j(x') - a\Lambda_j(y')| \\ &\leq C\varepsilon + ||\Lambda_j||||z' - x' - ay'|| \leq C'\varepsilon \end{split}$$

so  $\Lambda(x + ay) = \Lambda(x) + a\Lambda(y)$ .

Step 4:  $||\Lambda|| \le 1$ . We have

$$||\Lambda|| = \sup_{\substack{x \in X \\ ||x|| \leq 1}} |\Lambda(x)| = \sup_{\substack{x \in D \\ ||x|| \leq 1}} |\Lambda(x)| \leq 1 \text{ by density}.$$

Step 5:  $\Lambda_j \to^{w^*} \Lambda$ . For  $x' \in D$  take  $x \in X$  with ||x - x||'. Then we have

$$|\Lambda_j(x) - \Lambda(x)| \le |\Lambda_j(x - x')| + |\Lambda_j(x') - \Lambda(x')| + |\Lambda(x - x')| < 3\varepsilon.$$

So 
$$\Lambda_j(x) \to \Lambda(x)$$
 for all  $x \in X$ .

### 2.7 Hahn-Banach Theorem

Suppose  $\Lambda: M \to \mathbb{R}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) is a bounded linear functional on a subspace  $M \subseteq X$  of a Banach space. Goal: extend  $\Lambda$  to  $\tilde{\Lambda}: X \to \mathbb{R}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) with  $||\tilde{\Lambda}||_{X'} = ||\Lambda||_{M'}$ .

**Definition.** Let X be a real vector space. Then  $p: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is *sublinear* if

- (i)  $p(x+y) \le p(x) + p(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (ii) p(tx) = tp(x) for all  $x \in X$ ,  $t \ge 0$ .

### Examples.

- p(x) = |l(x)| for  $l: X \to \mathbb{R}$  linear.
- Any seminorm.

**Note.** If p is sublinear, l is linear,  $l(x) \leq p(x)$  for all  $x \in M$ , then

$$-p(-x) \le l(x) \le p(x).$$

**Lemma** (Bounded extension lemma). Let X be a real vector space,  $p: X \to \mathbb{R}$  sublinear,  $M \subseteq X$  a subspace. Assume  $l: M \to \mathbb{R}$  is linear and  $l(y) \le p(y)$  for all  $y \in M$ . For  $x \in X \setminus M$ , let  $\tilde{M} = span\{x, M\}$ . Then there is an extension  $\tilde{l}: \tilde{M} \to \mathbb{R}$  linear such that  $\tilde{l}(y) = l(y)$  for all  $y \in M$  and  $\tilde{l}(z) \le p(z)$  for all  $z \in \tilde{M}$ .

*Proof.* If  $z \in \tilde{M}$ , there are unique  $y \in M$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $z = y + \lambda x$ . Define  $\tilde{l}(x) = a$  for some a to be defined, and  $\tilde{l}(y) = l(y)$  for  $y \in M$  and then l(z) is defined by linearity.

Claim:  $a = \sup\{l(y) - p(y - x) : y \in M\}$  works. For each  $y, z \in M$ ,

$$l(y) + l(z) = l(y+z) \le p(y+z) \le p(y-x) + p(x+z).$$

Hence

$$l(y) - p(y - x) \le p(z + x) - l(z).$$
 (\*)

Note this implies  $a < \infty$ . Also (\*) implies

$$l(y) - a \le p(y - x) \text{ for all } y \in M. \tag{*'}$$

and

$$l(z) + a \le p(z+x) - (l(y) - p(y-x)) + a \text{ for all } y \in M.$$
 (\*")

So taking the infimum of (\*'') over  $y \in M$ :

$$l(z) + a \le p(z+x) - a + a = p(z+x).$$

Now

$$\tilde{l}(y + \lambda x) = l(y) + a\lambda \le p(y + \lambda x)$$
 for all  $y \in M, \lambda > 0$ 

by taking  $z = \lambda^{-1}y$  in (\*") and multiplying across by  $\lambda$ . Also

$$\tilde{l}(y+a\lambda) = l(y) + a\lambda \le p(y+\lambda x)$$
 for all  $y \in M, \lambda > 0$ 

by replacing y with  $|\lambda|^{-1}y$  in (\*') and multiplying across by  $|\lambda|$ . Hence  $l(z) \leq p(z)$  for all  $z \in \tilde{M}$ .

**Corollary.** If M has finite codimension in X, then any  $l: M \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $l(y) \leq p(y)$  for all  $y \in M$  can be extended to  $\tilde{l}: X \to \mathbb{R}$  linear with  $l(x) \leq p(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Apply lemma repeatedly.

**Definition.** Let S be a set. A partial order is a binary relation  $\leq$  on S such that

- (i)  $a \le a$  for all  $a \in S$  (reflexive);
- (ii)  $a \le b, b \le c \Rightarrow a \le c$  (transitive);
- (iii)  $a \le b, b \le a \Rightarrow a = b$  (antisymmetry).

A set S with a partial order is called a *poset*. If additionally  $a \leq b$  or  $b \leq a$  holds for all  $a, b \in S$ , then  $\leq$  is called a *total order*. A totally ordered subset  $T \subseteq S$  of a poset S is called a *chain*. An element  $u \in S$  is an *upper bound* for  $T \subseteq S$  if  $t \leq u$  for all  $t \in T$ . A maximal element  $m \in S$  is an element such that  $m \leq x$  implies m = x.

#### Examples.

- (i) If A is any set,  $S = 2^A$  is a poset partially ordered by inclusion of sets.
- (ii)  $\mathbb{R}$  (with the usual ordering) is a totally ordered set with no maximal element.
- (iii) The collection of open balls in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a poset ordered by inclusion. The subset  $T = \{B_r(0) : 0 < r \leq 1\}$  is a chain in S.  $B_1(0)$  is a maximal element of T.  $B_2(0)$  is an upper bound of T.

**Lemma** (Zorn's Lemma). Let  $(S, \leq)$  be a poset in which every totally ordered subset has an upper bound. Then  $(S, \leq)$  contains at least one maximal element.

We will treat Zorn's Lemma as an axiom.

**Theorem** (Hahn-Banach). Let X be a real vector space,  $p: X \to \mathbb{R}$  sublinear,  $M \subseteq X$  a subspace. For any  $l: M \to \mathbb{R}$  linear such that  $l(x) \leq p(x)$  for all  $x \in M$ , there exists  $\tilde{l}: X \to \mathbb{R}$  linear such that  $\tilde{l}|_M = l$  and  $\tilde{l}(y) \leq p(y)$  for all  $y \in X$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$S = \{(N, \tilde{l}) : X \supseteq N \supseteq M, \ \tilde{l} : N \to \mathbb{R} \ \text{linear}, \tilde{x} \le p(x) \forall x \in N, \ \tilde{x} = p(x) \forall x \in M\}$$

and define the partial order  $(N_1, \tilde{l}_1) \leq (N_2, \tilde{l}_2) \iff N_1 \subseteq N_2, \ \tilde{l}_2|_{N_1} = \tilde{l}_1$ . For every totally ordered subset  $T \subseteq S$ , we obtain an upper bound for T via

$$N_T := \bigcup_{(N,\tilde{l}) \in T} N, \ l_T(x) = \tilde{l}(x) \text{ if } x \in N \text{ for some } (N,\tilde{l}) \in T$$

which is well-defined since where the  $\tilde{l}$  are defined (for  $(N, \tilde{l}) \in T$ ), they agree since T is a total order. Further,  $(N, \tilde{l}) \leq (N_T, l_T)$  for every  $(N, \tilde{l}) \in T$ . Thus  $(N_T, l_T)$  is an upper bound.

Applying Zorn's Lemma, there is a maximal element  $(\tilde{N}, \tilde{l})$  of S. It suffices to show  $\tilde{N} = X$ . Suppose not, then there is  $x \in X \setminus \tilde{N}$  and the bounded extension lemma gives an extension  $l^*$  to  $N^* = \text{span}\{x, \tilde{N}\}$  such that  $(\tilde{N}, \tilde{l}) \leq (N^*, l^*)$ , contradicting maximality of  $(\tilde{N}, \tilde{l})$ .

Corollary. Let X be a normed vector space over  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $M \subseteq X$  a subspace. Then every bounded linear functional  $\Lambda : M \to \mathbb{K}$  can be extended to a bounded linear functional  $\tilde{\Lambda} : X \to \mathbb{K}$  such that  $||\tilde{\Lambda}||_{X'} = ||\Lambda||_{M'}$  and  $\tilde{\Lambda}|_M = \Lambda$ .

*Proof.* If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $p(x) = ||\Lambda|| \cdot ||x||$  is sublinear and the result follows immediately from Hahn-Banach. If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\Lambda(x) = l(x) - il(ix)$  with  $l: X \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $l(x) = \Re(\Lambda(x))$  a real linear function. Since  $|\Lambda(x)| = l(e^{i\theta}x)$  for suitable  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ ,

$$\sup_{\substack{||x||\leq 1\\x\in N}}|\Lambda(x)|=\sup_{\substack{||x||\leq 1\\x\in N}}l(x),\ N\subseteq X.$$

So apply Hahn-Banach to l and the result follows.

**Corollary.** Let X be a normed vector space and  $x \in X$ . Then there is  $\Lambda_x \in X'$  such that  $||\Lambda_x|| = 1$  and  $\Lambda_x(x) = ||x||$ .  $\Lambda_x$  is called a support functional.

*Proof.* Let  $M = \text{span}\{x\}$  and define  $l \in M'$  by l(tx) = t||x||,  $t \in \mathbb{K}$ . Clearly, ||l|| = 1 and l(x) = ||x||. Apply Hahn-Banach to get the result.

**Remark.** For  $X = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , can construct a support functional by hand (Example Sheet 1).

**Corollary.** Let X be a normed vector space and  $x \in X$ . Then x = 0 if and only if  $\Lambda(x) = 0$  for all  $\Lambda \in X'$ .

**Corollary.** Let X be a normed vector space and  $x, y \in X$  be distinct. Then there exists  $\Lambda \in X'$  such that  $\Lambda(x) \neq \Lambda(y)$ : i.e linear functionals separate points.

Corollary. The map  $\Phi: X \to X''$ ,  $\Phi(x) = \tilde{x}$  where  $\tilde{x}(\Lambda) = \Lambda(x)$  is an isometry. Proof. By definition

$$||\Phi(x)||_{X'} = \sup_{\substack{\Lambda \in X' \\ ||\Lambda|| \le 1}} |\Phi(x)(\Lambda)| = \sup_{\substack{\Lambda \in X' \\ ||\Lambda|| \le 1}} |\Lambda(x)| \le \sup_{\substack{\Lambda \in X' \\ ||\Lambda|| \le 1}} ||\Lambda|| \cdot ||x|| = ||x||$$

By choosing  $\Lambda = \Lambda_x$  (the support functional), there is equality.

**Definition.** X is said to be *reflexive* if  $\Phi$  is surjective, i.e X = X''.

**Example.**  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is reflexive iff  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $A, B \subseteq X$  be disjoint, nonempty, convex subsets of a normed space X (real or complex). Then

(a) If A is open, there exists  $\Lambda \in X'$  such that and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\Re \Lambda(x) < \gamma \le \Re \Lambda(y) , \forall x \in A, \forall y \in B.$$

If B is also open the second inequality can be made strict.

(b) If A is compact and B is closed, then there exists  $\Lambda \in X'$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\Re \Lambda(x) < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \Re \Lambda(y) , \forall x \in A, \forall y \in B.$$

*Proof.* Assume X is a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  (otherwise just apply to real part)

(a) Fix  $a_0 \in A$ ,  $b_0 \in B$  and set

$$x_0 = b_0 - a_0, \ C = A - B + x_0 \ni 0.$$

Note C is convex (since A and B are),  $x_0 \notin C$ . (since  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ). Thus C is a convex neighbourhood of 0. Let  $p(x) = \inf\{t > 0 : t^{-1}x \in C\}$ . Then p is sublinear wih  $p(x) \leq k||x||$  for some k, and p(x) < 1 if and only if  $x \in C$  (Example Sheet 2). Define  $M = \{tx_0 : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , and define  $l : M \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $l(tx_0) = t$ .

We claim that  $l(x) \leq p(x)$  for all  $x \in M$ . If t > 0,  $l(tx_0) = t \leq tp(x_0)$  since  $x_0 \notin C$ . If t < 0,  $l(tx_0) = t \leq 0 \leq p(tx_0)$ . By Hahn-Banach, l can be extended to  $\Lambda: X \to \mathbb{R}$  with  $\Lambda(x) \leq p(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Moreover,  $-k||x|| \leq -p(-x) \leq \Lambda(x) \leq p(x)$  so  $|\Lambda(x)| \leq k||x||$  and  $\Lambda \in X'$ .

We claim that  $\Lambda(a) < \Lambda(b)$  for all  $a \in A$  and all  $b \in B$ . Indeed

$$\underbrace{\Lambda(a-b+x_0)}_{\Lambda(a)-\Lambda(b)+1} \le p(a-b+x_0) < 1.$$

Since non-zero elements of the dual are open maps (Example Sheet 2),  $\Lambda(A)$  is an open interval (since A is open). Take  $\gamma$  to be the right endpoint of  $\Lambda(A)$ . Then  $\Lambda(x) < \gamma \le \Lambda(x)$ . If B is also open, the inequality is strict.

(b) Since A is compact, B is closed and  $A \cap B$ ,

$$d = \inf\{||a - b|| : a \in A, b \in B\} > 0.$$

Let  $V = B_{1/2}(0)$ . Then A + V is open and disjoint from B. By (a), there is a  $\Lambda \in X'$  such that  $\Lambda(A + V)$  and  $\Lambda(B)$  are disjoint intervals of  $\mathbb{R}$ . These intervals are also a positive distance apart so there exist  $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2$  between them.

**Corollary.** Let X be a Banach space,  $M \subseteq X$  a subspace and  $x_0 \in X$ . If  $x_0 \notin \overline{M}$  then there is  $\Lambda \in X'$  such that  $\Lambda(x_0) = 1$  and  $\Lambda(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \overline{M}$ .

*Proof.* Apply (b) of the previous theorem with  $A = \{x_0\}$ ,  $B = \overline{M}$ . Thus there exists  $\Lambda \in X'$  such that  $\Lambda(x_0) \notin \Lambda(M)$ . Thus  $\Lambda(\overline{M})$  must be a proper subsapce of  $\mathbb{K}$ , so  $\{0\}$ . Also  $\Lambda(x_0) \neq 0$ , so  $\frac{\Lambda}{\Lambda(x_0)}$  is the required element of X'.

Page 25

# 3 Distributions

Distributions are generalised functions.

**Example.**  $G(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi|x|}, x \in \mathbb{R}^3$  solves  $-\nabla^2 G = \delta$  as distributions. What this means is that for all sufficiently nice  $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}, \int (-\nabla^2 f) G dx = f(0)$ .

# 3.1 Distributions, the space $\mathcal{D}(U)$ and $\mathcal{D}'(U)$

For  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  open,  $C_c^{\infty}(U) = \{\phi : U \to \mathbb{R} \text{ smooth and supp } \phi \subseteq U \text{ is compact}\}.$ 

**Theorem.** There is a topology on  $C_c^{\infty}(U)$  such that

- (i) The vector space operations are continuous;
- (ii) A sequence  $(\phi_j)_{j\geq 1}$  in  $C_c^{\infty}(U)$  converges to 0 if and only if there is  $K\subseteq U$  compact such that supp  $\phi_j\subseteq K$  for all j and for all  $\alpha$ , sup $_K|\nabla^{\alpha}\phi_j|\to 0$ ;
- (iii) If Y is a LCTVS (Locally Compact TVS) and  $\Lambda: C_c^{\infty}(U) \to Y$  is linear then  $\Lambda$  is sequentially continuous if and only if it is continuous.

Proof. Not given.  $\Box$ 

**Definition.**  $C_c^{\infty}(U)$  with the above topology is called the space of *test functions* and is denoted  $\mathcal{D}(U)$ .

**Examples.** Let  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ .

- (a) If  $\phi_j(x) = e^{-j}\phi(jx)$ , then  $\phi_j \to 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ ;
- (b) If  $\phi_j(x) = j^{-100}\phi(jx)$ , then  $\phi_j$  does not necessarily converge to 0 in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ ;
- (c) If  $\phi_j(x) = e^{-j}\phi(x-j)$  then  $\phi_j$  does not necessarily converge to 0 in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Definition.** The space of distributions  $\mathcal{D}'(U)$  is the dual space of  $\mathcal{D}(U)$  with the weak-\* topology.

In practice,  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$  if and only if  $u(\phi_j) \to u(\phi)$  whenever  $\phi_j \to \phi$  in  $\mathcal{D}(U)$ . Also,  $u_j \to u$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(U)$  if and only if  $u_j(\phi) \to u(\phi)$  for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(U)$ .

### Examples.

- (a) For any  $x \in U$ , define  $\delta_x : \mathcal{D}(U) \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $\delta_x(\phi) = \phi(x)$ . This is called the *Dirac* or  $\delta$  distribution.
- (b) If  $f \in L^1_{loc}(U)$  then  $T_f : \mathcal{D}(U) \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $T_f(\phi) = \int_U f \phi dx$  defines a  $T_f \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ .

Fact.  $T_f = T_g \iff \int_U (f-g)\phi \mathrm{d}x = 0$  for all  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(U) \iff f = g$  almost everywhere. Hence the map  $T: L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(U) \to \mathcal{D}'(U), f \mapsto T_f$  is an injection.

**Example.** If  $\alpha \in C^{\infty}(U)$ , then  $T_{\alpha f}(\phi) = \int_{U} f \alpha \phi dx = T_{f}(\alpha \phi)$  for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(U)$ .

**Definition.** If  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$  is a distribution we define  $\alpha u \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$  by  $\alpha u(\phi) = u(\alpha\phi)$  for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(U)$ .

**Example.** If  $f \in C^1(U)$  then

$$T_{\nabla_i f}(\phi) = \int_U (\nabla_i f) \phi dx = -\int_U f(\nabla_i \phi) dx = -T_f(\nabla_i \phi) \ \forall \phi \in C_c^{\infty}.$$

**Definition.** If  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$  define  $\nabla^{\alpha} u \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$  by

$$\nabla^{\alpha} u(\phi) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} u(\nabla^{\alpha} \phi) \ \forall \phi \in C_c^{\infty}.$$

**Example.** Define  $H: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by H(x) = 1 for all  $x \geq 0$  and H(x) = 0 for all x < 0 (Heaviside function). Then for  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\nabla T_H(\phi) = -\int H \phi' dx = -\int_0^\infty \phi'(x) dx = \phi(0) = \delta_0(\phi)$ . Hence  $\nabla T_H = \delta_0$  or  $H' = \delta_0$  in the sense of distributions.

# 3.2 Compactly supported distributions: $\mathcal{E}(U)$ and $\mathcal{E}'(U)$

Now consider  $C^{\infty}(U) = \{\phi : U \to \mathbb{R} \text{ smooth}\}.$ 

Let  $(K_i \subseteq U : i \in \mathbb{N})$  be compact sets such that  $K_i \subseteq \operatorname{int}(K_{i+1})$ ,  $U = \bigcup_i K_i$ . For  $\phi \in C^{\infty}$  define  $p_N(\phi) = \sup_{x \in K_N} \sup_{|\alpha| \le N} |\nabla^{\alpha} \phi(x)|$ . Then  $\mathcal{P} = \{p_N\}_{N \ge 1}$  is a separating family of seminorms.

**Definition.** The space  $C^{\infty}(U)$  with the locally convex topology induced by  $\mathcal{P}$  is denoted  $\mathcal{E}(U)$ .

**Remark.** Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is countable,  $\mathcal{E}(U)$  is a metric space. It is also complete, i.e a Fréchet space.

A sequence  $(\phi_j)_{j\geq 1}$  in  $\mathcal{E}(U)$  converges to 0 if and only if for all  $K\subseteq U$  compact and all  $\alpha$ ,  $\sup_{x\in K} |\nabla^{\alpha}\phi_j(x)| \to 0$ .

Fact.  $\mathcal{D}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{E}(U)$  so  $\mathcal{E}'(U) \subseteq \mathcal{D}'(U)$ .

**Example.** If  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}$ , then  $\phi_j(x) = e^{-j}\phi(x-j)$  converges to 0 in  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$  but not in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Definition.**  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$  has support in  $S \subseteq U$  if  $u(\phi) = 0$  for all  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(U \setminus S)$ . If S can be taken compact, say u has compact support.

**Theorem.**  $\mathcal{E}'(U) = \{u \in \mathcal{D}'(U) : u \text{ has compact support}\}.$ 

**Lemma.** Let  $u: \mathcal{E}(U) \to \mathbb{R}$  be linear. Then u is continuous if and only if

$$\exists \ compact \ K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n, \ N \in \mathbb{N}, \ C > 0 \ such \ that \ |u(\phi)| \leq C \sup_{\substack{x \in K \\ |\alpha| \leq N}} |\nabla^{\alpha} \phi(x)|. \quad (*)$$

Proof. Recall that  $u \in \mathcal{E}'(U)$  if and only if  $u(\phi_j) \to 0$  for all sequences  $(\phi_j)$  in  $\mathcal{E}(U)$ , i.e  $\phi_j \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}(U)} 0$ . Now assume (\*) and let  $(\phi_j)$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{E}(U)$  with  $\phi_j \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}(U)} 0$ . This is equivalent to: for all  $\tilde{K} \subseteq U$  compact,  $\tilde{N} \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sup_{\substack{x \in K \\ |\alpha| \le N}} |\nabla^{\alpha} \phi(x)| \to 0$ . Thus taking  $\tilde{K} = K$  and  $\tilde{N} = N$ , (\*) implies  $u(\phi_j) \to 0$ .

Now suppose (\*) does not hold. Let  $K_i \subseteq U$  be compact,  $K_j \subseteq \operatorname{int}(K_{j+1})$ ,  $\bigcup_j K_j = U$ . Since (\*) does not hold, for each j we have  $\phi_j \in \mathcal{E}(U)$  such that  $|u(\phi_j)| > j \sup_{x \in K_j} \sup_{|\alpha| \le j} |\nabla^\alpha \phi_j(x)|$ . Set  $\psi_j = \frac{\phi_j}{|u(\phi_j)|}$ . We claim that  $\psi_j \to 0$  in  $\mathcal{E}(U)$ . For any  $\tilde{K} \subseteq U$  compact,  $\tilde{N} \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $J > \tilde{N}$  such that  $\tilde{K} \subseteq K_j$  for all j > J, so

$$\sup_{\substack{x \in \tilde{K} \\ |\alpha| \leq \tilde{N}}} |\nabla^{\alpha} \psi(x)| \leq \sup_{\substack{x \in \tilde{K} \\ |\alpha| \leq \tilde{N}}} \frac{\nabla^{\alpha} \phi_{j}(x)}{|u(\phi_{j})|} < \frac{1}{j}.$$

As claimed. But  $|u(\psi_i)| = 1$ , so  $|u(\psi_i)| \neq 0$ , so u is not continuous.

Proof of Theorem. If  $u \in \mathcal{E}'(U)$ , the lemma implies that u has support in K. Conversely, if  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$  has support in  $K \subseteq U$  compact, define  $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{E}'(U)$  by  $\tilde{u}(\phi) = u(\chi\phi)$  for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(U)$ , where  $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$  satisfies  $\chi = 1$  on K. The extension does not depend on  $\chi$  since for any other such  $\tilde{\chi}$  one has  $\chi - \tilde{\chi} \in C_c^{\infty}(U \setminus K)$ .

## Examples.

- (a) If  $f \in L^1(U)$  vanishes almost everywhere in  $U \setminus K$  for K compact, then  $T_f \in \mathcal{E}'(U)$ ;
- (b) For any  $x \in U$ ,  $\delta_x \in \mathcal{E}'(U)$ ;
- (c)  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$  where  $u(\phi) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(m) \notin \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ .

# 3.3 Tempered distributions: the spaces $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$

**Definition.**  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is rapidly decreasing if

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |(1+|x|)^N \nabla^{\alpha} \phi(x)| < \infty$$

for all multi-indices  $\alpha$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### Examples.

- (a)  $\phi(x) = e^{-|x|^a}$  is rapidly decreasing;
- (b)  $\phi(x) = |x|^{-2023}$  is not rapidly decreasing.

**Definition.** The *Schwartz space*  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the space of rapidly decreasing functions with the topology generated by the separating family of seminorms

$$p_N(\phi) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{|\alpha| \le N} |(1+|x|)^N \nabla^{\alpha} \phi(x)|.$$

Remark. There are other equivalent families of seminorms such as

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{|\alpha| \le N} |(1+|x|^2)^N \nabla^{\alpha} \phi(x)|$$
  
$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{|\alpha| \le N} |\nabla^{\alpha} (1+|x|^2)^N \phi(x)|.$$

**Fact.**  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a Fréchet space,  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq S(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  continuously and  $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq S'(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Definition.**  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is called the space of tempered distributions or Schwartz distributions.

#### Examples.

(a) If  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|)^{-N} |f(x)| dx < \infty$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $T_f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Indeed, if  $\phi \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then

$$|T_f(\phi)| = \left| \int f(x)\phi(x) dx \right|$$

$$= \underbrace{\left( \int (1+|x|)^{-N} |f(x)| dx \right)}_{\leq C} \underbrace{\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|)^N |\phi(x)|}_{\Rightarrow 0 \text{ if } \phi \xrightarrow{S(\mathbb{R}^n)} \downarrow 0}$$

so if 
$$\phi_j \xrightarrow{S(\mathbb{R}^n)} 0$$
 then  $T_f(\phi_j) \to 0$ .

- (b) If  $f(x) = e^{|x|^2}$  then  $T_f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  but  $T_f \notin S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- (c)  $u(\phi) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} |m|^{2023} \phi(m)$  belongs to  $S'(\mathbb{R})$  but not  $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ .

#### 3.4 Convolution

**Example.** Let  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then

$$f * \phi(x) = \int f(y)\phi(x-y)dy = T_f(\tau_x \check{\phi})$$

where  $\tau_x \check{\phi}(y) = \check{\phi}(y - x) = \phi(x - y), \ \check{\phi}(y) = \phi(-y).$ 

**Definition.** For  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  define

$$u * \phi(x) = u(\tau_r \check{\phi}).$$

Facts.

•  $(u_1 + au_2) * \phi = u_1 * \phi + au_2 * \phi$ ;

• 
$$u * (\phi_1 + a\phi_2) = u * \phi_1 + au * \phi_2;$$

•  $u * \check{\phi}(0) = u(\phi)$  - thus  $u * \phi(0), \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  determines  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Example.**  $\delta_0 * \phi(x) = \delta_0(\tau_x \check{\phi}) = \check{\phi}(-x) = \phi(x)$ . Thus  $\delta_0 * \phi = \phi$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then

(i) 
$$u * \phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
 and  $\nabla^{\alpha}(u * \phi) = (\nabla^{\alpha}u) * \phi = u * \nabla^{\alpha}\phi$ ;

(ii) If  $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then  $u * \phi$  has compact support, i.e  $u * \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Proof.

(i)

$$\frac{1}{h}(u * \phi(x + he_i) - u * \phi(x)) = u\left(\frac{1}{h}(\tau_{x + e_i h}\check{\phi} - \tau_x\check{\phi})\right) \xrightarrow{h \to 0} u(\tau_x \widetilde{\nabla_i \phi}).$$

Where we used from Example Sheet 3:

$$\frac{1}{h}(\tau_{x+e_ih}\check{\phi} - \tau_x\check{\phi}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \nabla_i\phi(x-\cdot) = \tau_x\widecheck{\nabla_i\phi}.$$

Hence  $\nabla_i(u+\phi)(x)$  exists and equals  $u(\tau_x \widetilde{\nabla_i \phi}) = u * \nabla_i \phi(x)$ . So by induction  $u * \phi \in C^{\infty}$  and  $\nabla^{\alpha} u * \phi = u * \nabla^{\alpha} \phi$  for all  $\alpha$ . Also;  $\nabla^{\alpha} \tau_{x} \check{\phi}(y) =$  $\nabla_y^{\alpha}\phi(x-u) = (-1)^{|\alpha|}\nabla_x^{\alpha}\phi(x-y) = (-1)^{|\alpha|}\tau_x\overline{\nabla^{\alpha}\phi}(y). \text{ Thus } u*\nabla^{\alpha}\phi = \nabla^{\alpha}u*\phi.$ 

(ii) Assume  $u(\phi)=0$  for all  $\phi\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus K)$  for some K compact. Then for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , supp  $\tau_x \check{\phi} \cap K = \emptyset$  for |x| large enough, i.e  $u * \phi$  has compact support.

**Definition.** For  $u_1 \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $u_2 \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , define  $u_1 * u_2$  to be the unique distribution such that

$$(u_1 * u_2) * \phi = u_1 * (u_2 * \phi).$$

[Note that  $u_2 * \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by the previous proposition so this makes sense.]

**Example.** Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $u * \delta_0 = u$ . Indeed,  $(u * \delta_0) * \phi = u * (\delta_0 * \phi) = u$  $u * \phi$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $u_2 \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $\nabla^{\alpha}(u_1 * u_2) = u_1 *$  $(\nabla^{\alpha} u_2) = (\nabla^{\alpha} u_1) * u_2.$ 

*Proof.* Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then by the previous proposition

$$\underbrace{\nabla^{\alpha}(u_1 * u_2)}_{\in \mathcal{D}'} * \underbrace{\phi}_{\in \mathcal{D}} = (u_1 * u_2) * (\nabla^{\alpha}\phi)$$
$$= u_1 * (u_2 * (\nabla^{\alpha}\phi)) = (u_1 * \nabla^{\alpha}u_2) * \phi.$$

**Definition.** Call  $L = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} a_{\alpha} \nabla^{\alpha}$ ,  $a_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\nabla^{\alpha} u_{2} * \phi$  a constant coefficient partial differential operator of order k. A fundamental solution of L is a distribution G such that  $LG = \delta_{0}$ .

**Theorem.** If  $G \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a fundamental solution of L and  $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then u = G \* f solves Lu = f. Moreover, if  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then  $u = G * f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  solves Lu = f in the classical sense.

Proof.

$$L(G*f) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} a_{\alpha} \nabla^{\alpha}(G*f) = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \le k} a_{\alpha} \nabla^{\alpha}G\right) * f = \delta_{0} * f = f.$$

**Example.**  $L=-\nabla^2=-\sum_{i=1}^3\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Define  $g(x)=\frac{1}{4\pi|x|}\in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Then  $G=T_g$  is a fundamental solution for L. In particular, if  $f\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then

$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(y)}{4\pi |x - y|} dy$$

solves Lu = f.

### 3.5 Fourier Transform

**Definition.** If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then the Fourier transform of f is  $\hat{f} = \mathcal{F}(f) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)e^{-ix\cdot\xi} dx$ .

Example. (n = 1)

(i)

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & |x| < 1 \\ 0 & |x| \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

The Fourier transform of f is  $\hat{f}(\xi) = 2\frac{\sin \xi}{\xi}$ ;

- (ii)  $f(x) = e^{-|x|}$  has Fourier transform  $\hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{2}{1+\xi^2}$ ;
- (iii)  $f(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2}$  has Fourier transform  $\hat{f}(\xi) = e^{-|x|}\pi$ ;
- (iv)  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-x^2/2}$  has Fourier transform  $\hat{f}(\xi) = e^{-|\xi|^2/2}$ .

Upshot: f regular  $\leftrightarrow \hat{f}$  decays.

**Theorem** (Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma). Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $\hat{f} \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{f}(\xi)| \leq ||f||_{L^1}$ ,  $\hat{f}(\xi) \to 0$  as  $|\xi| \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $\xi_k \to \xi$ . Then for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f(x)e^{-i\xi_k \cdot x} \to f(x)e^{-i\xi \cdot x}$  pointwise and  $|f(x)e^{-i\xi_k \cdot x}| \in |f(x)| \in L^1$  so by the DCT,  $\hat{f}(\xi_k) \to \hat{f}(\xi)$ . Hence  $\hat{f} \in C^0$ . We also have

$$|\hat{f}(\xi)| = \left| \int f(x)e^{-i\xi \cdot x} dx \right| \le ||f||_{L^1}.$$

To show  $\hat{f}(\xi) \to 0$  as  $|\xi| \to \infty$ , let  $f_{\varepsilon} \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be such that  $||f - f_{\varepsilon}||_{L^1} < \varepsilon$ . Then

$$\hat{f}_{\varepsilon}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_{\varepsilon}(x) \underbrace{e^{-i\xi \cdot x}}_{-\frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \nabla_x^2 (e^{-i\xi \cdot x})} dx = -\frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\nabla^2 f_{\varepsilon}) e^{-i\xi \cdot x} dx}_{\leq ||\nabla^2 f_{\varepsilon}||_{r^1}}.$$

Hence  $\limsup_{|\xi|\to\infty} |\hat{f}_{\varepsilon}(\xi)| = 0$ . Finally note

$$|\hat{f}(\xi)| \le |\hat{f}_{\varepsilon}(\xi)| + \underbrace{|\hat{f}(\xi) - \hat{f}_{\varepsilon}(\xi)|}_{\le ||f - f_{\varepsilon}||_{L^{1}}} \le |\hat{f}_{\varepsilon}(\xi)| + \varepsilon.$$

So 
$$\hat{f}(\xi) \to 0$$
 as  $|\xi| \to \infty$ .

Notation:  $\tau_y f(x) = f(x - y)$  and  $e_y(x) = e^{ix \cdot y}$ .

Proposition.

- (i) Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , and set  $f_{\lambda}(x) = \lambda^{-n} f(x/\lambda)$ . Then  $\widehat{f_{\lambda}}(\xi) = \widehat{f(\lambda\xi)}$ ,  $\widehat{e_y f}(\xi) = \tau_y \widehat{f}(\xi)$ ,  $\widehat{\tau_y f}(\xi) = e_{-y}(\xi)\widehat{f(\xi)}$ ;
- (ii) Let  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $f * g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\widehat{f * g}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi)\widehat{g}(\xi)$ .

*Proof.* Change of variables and Fubini.

# Proposition.

(i) If  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $f, \nabla_i f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then

$$\widehat{\nabla_j f}(\xi) = i\xi_j \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

(ii) Assume  $(1+|x|)f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $\hat{f} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

$$\nabla_i \hat{f}(\xi) = -i\widehat{x_i f}(\xi).$$

Proof.

(i) Let  $f_{\varepsilon} \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be such that  $||f_{\varepsilon} - f||_{L^1} + \sum_j ||\nabla_j f - \nabla_j f_{\varepsilon}||_{L^1} < \varepsilon$  (Exercise: show we can do this). Then (IBP)

$$\widehat{\nabla_j f_{\varepsilon}}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \nabla_j f_{\varepsilon}(x) dx = i\xi_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f_{\varepsilon}(x) dx = i\xi_j \hat{f_{\varepsilon}}(\xi).$$

(ii) Hence

$$|\widehat{\nabla_j f}(\xi) - i\xi_j \widehat{f}(\xi)| \le ||\nabla_j f - \nabla_j f_{\varepsilon}||_{L^1} + |\xi|||f - f_{\varepsilon}||_{L^1} \le (1 + |\xi|)\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \to 0} 0.$$

(iii) Since  $x_j f \in L^1$ ,  $-i\widehat{x_j f} \in C^0$ . Need to show  $\nabla_j \hat{f}$  exists and equals  $-i\widehat{x_j f}$ .

$$\frac{\hat{f}(\xi + he_j) - \hat{f}(\xi)}{h} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)e^{-i\xi \cdot x} \underbrace{\left(\frac{e^{-ih \cdot x_j} - 1}{h}\right)}_{\substack{\to -ix_j \\ <|x_j|}} dx \xrightarrow{h \to 0} -ix_j \hat{f}(\xi)$$

by the DCT, using  $|x_j|f \in L^1$ .

**Corollary.** The Fourier Transform maps  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  continuously.

*Proof.* For any  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$||f||_{L^1} \le \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|)^{n+1} |f(x)| \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{(1+|y|)^{n+1}}}_{<\infty}.$$
 (\*)

Hence if  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $\nabla^{\alpha}(x^{\beta}f(x)) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for any multi-indices  $\alpha, \beta$ . Thus by the previous proposition (applied repeatedly),

$$|\widehat{\nabla^{\alpha}(x^{\beta}f)}(\xi)| = |\xi^{\alpha}\nabla^{\beta}\widehat{f}(\xi)|.$$

So in particular (using (\*)),

$$\sup_{\xi} |\xi^{\alpha} \nabla^{\beta} \hat{f}(\xi)| \leq C \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ |\gamma| \leq \alpha}} \left[ (1+|x|)^{|\beta|+n+1} |\nabla^{\gamma} f(x)| \right] \to 0 \text{ if } f \to 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Therefore  $\hat{f} \to 0$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if  $f \to 0$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is well-defined and continuous.

**Theorem** (Fourier inversion). Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and assume also  $\hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then

 $f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{i\xi \cdot x} d\xi \text{ for almost all } x.$ 

Thus writing  $\check{f}(x) = f(-x)$  we have  $\mathcal{F}^2(f) = (2\pi)^n \check{f}$ .

Proof. Let

$$I_{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2 |\xi|^2} e^{ix\xi} d\xi.$$

Since  $\hat{f} \in L^1$ , by the DCT,  $I_{\varepsilon}(x) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{i\xi \cdot x} d\xi$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} I_{\varepsilon}(x) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) e^{-i\xi y} \mathrm{d}y \right) e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} |\xi|^2} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \mathrm{d}\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2 |\xi|^2} e^{i(x-y) \cdot \xi} \mathrm{d}\xi \right) \mathrm{d}y \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) (2\pi)^{n/2} \varepsilon^{-n} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2\varepsilon^2}} \mathrm{d}y \\ &= f * \psi_{\varepsilon}(x). \end{split} \tag{Fubini}$$

Where  $\psi_{\varepsilon}(x) = \varepsilon^{-n} \psi(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$ ,  $\psi(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}$ . Since  $\psi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\psi \geq 0$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi dx = 1$ , we have  $f * \psi_{\varepsilon} \to f$  in  $L^1$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$  (since  $\psi$  is a smooth mollifier). Hence  $f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi$  for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Remark.** If f is continuous, this holds for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem** (Parseval-Plancherel). Let  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $\hat{f}, \hat{g} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $(f, g)_{L^2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} (\hat{f}, \hat{g})_{L^2}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $\hat{f}, \hat{g} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

$$(f,g)_{L^{2}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \bar{f}(x)g(x)dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \bar{f}(x) \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \hat{g}(\xi)e^{ix\cdot\xi}d\xi\right) dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \bar{f}(x)e^{ix\cdot\xi}dx\right) \hat{g}(\xi)d\xi \qquad (Fubini)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \bar{\hat{f}}(\xi)\hat{g}(\xi)d\xi.$$

Given  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , let  $f_j, g_j \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be such that  $||f_i - f||_{L^1} + ||f_i - f||_{L^2} + ||g_i - g||_{L^1} + ||g_i - g||_{L^1} \to 0$ . Then  $\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{f}(\xi) - \hat{f}_j(\xi)| + \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{g}(\xi) - \hat{g}_j(\xi)| \le ||f - g_j||_{L^1} + ||g - g_j||_{L^1} \to 0$ .

So  $(f_j)_{j\geq 1}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^2$ . Hence  $||\hat{f}_j - \hat{f}_k||_{L^2}^2 = (2\pi)^n ||f_j - f_k||_{L^2}^2$ , so  $(\hat{f}_j)_{j\geq 1}$  is also a Cauchy sequence in  $L^2$ . By completeness of  $L^2$ , there exists  $\hat{f} \in L^2$  such that  $\hat{f}_j \to \hat{f}$  in  $L^2$  (exercise: show that this  $\hat{f}$  is indeed the Fourier transform of f). Similarly there is  $\hat{g} \in L^2$  such that  $\hat{g}_j \to \hat{g}$  in  $L^2$ . Thus

$$(f,g)_{L^2} = \lim_{j \to \infty} (f_j, g_j) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} (\hat{f}_j, \hat{g}_j)_{L^2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} (\hat{f}, \hat{g})_{L^2}.$$

**Corollary.**  $f \mapsto (2\pi)^{-n/2} \hat{f}$  is an isometry from  $L^1 \cap L^2 \subseteq L^2$  into  $L^2$ . Since  $L^1 \cap L^2$  is dense in  $L^2$ , it extends uniquely to a linear isometry  $(2\pi)^{-n/2} \mathcal{F}$  from  $L^2$  to  $L^2$ .

**Definition.** For  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , write  $\hat{f} = \mathcal{F}(f)$  where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the above extension of the usual Fourier transform to  $L^2$ .

**Remark.** If  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then  $f_R = f \mathbb{1}_{B_R(0)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $f_R \to f$  as  $R \to \infty$  in  $L^2$ . Thus  $\hat{f}_R \to \hat{f}$  in  $L^2$ , i.e  $\left(\xi \mapsto \int_{B_R(0)} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx\right) \xrightarrow{L^2} \hat{f}$ .

**Example.** Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then

$$\begin{split} T_{\hat{f}}(\phi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) \phi(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{ix \cdot \xi} \mathrm{d}x \right) \phi(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(\xi) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \mathrm{d}\xi \right) \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \hat{\phi}(x) \mathrm{d}x \\ &= T_f(\hat{\phi}). \end{split}$$

**Definition.** For  $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , define  $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $\hat{u}(\phi) = u(\hat{\phi})$  for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Remark.** The above is valid since the map  $\mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}$  given by  $\phi \mapsto \hat{\phi}$  is well-defined and continuous, so  $\hat{u}$  is continuous as well. For  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , this definition wouldn't work as  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  does not imply  $\hat{\phi} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

#### Examples.

- (a) Fix  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\hat{\delta}_{\xi}(\phi) = \delta_{\xi}(\hat{\phi}) = \hat{\phi}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\cdot\xi} \phi(x) dx = T_{e_{-\xi}}(\phi)$  fo all  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (recall  $e_{y}(x) := e^{ix\cdot y}$ ) i.e " $\hat{\delta}_{\xi} = e^{-i\xi\cdot(\cdot)}$ ".
- (b) For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\hat{T}_{e_x}(\phi) = T_{e_x}(\hat{\phi}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \hat{\phi}(\xi) d\xi = (2\pi)^n \phi(x) = (2\pi)^n \delta_x(\phi).$$
 So  $\hat{T}_{e_x} = (2\pi)^n \delta_x$  or  $\widehat{e^{ix \cdot (\cdot)}} = (2\pi)^n \delta_x$ ".

**Lemma.** Let  $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then

$$\widehat{e^{i\xi\cdot(\cdot)}u} = \tau_{\xi}\hat{u}, \quad \widehat{\tau_{x}u} = e^{ix\cdot(\cdot)}\hat{u}, 
\widehat{\nabla^{\alpha}u} = (i\xi)^{\alpha}\hat{u}, \quad \nabla^{\alpha}\hat{u} = (-1)^{|\alpha|}\widehat{x^{\alpha}u}, 
\widehat{\hat{u}} = (2\pi)^{n}\check{u}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then

$$\widehat{e^{i\xi\cdot(\cdot)}}u(\phi) = e^{i\xi\cdot(\cdot)}u(\widehat{\phi}) = u(e^{i\xi\cdot(\cdot)}\widehat{\phi})$$

$$= u(\widehat{\tau_{-\xi}\phi})$$

$$= \widehat{u}(\tau_{-\xi}\phi)$$

$$= \tau_{\xi}\widehat{u}(\phi).$$

And

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\nabla^{\alpha}u}(\phi) &= \nabla^{\alpha}u(\widehat{\phi}) = (-1)^{|\alpha|}u(\nabla^{\alpha}\widehat{\phi}) \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|}u((-i)^{|\alpha|}\widehat{\xi^{\alpha}\phi}) \\ &= i^{|\alpha|}u(\widehat{\xi^{\alpha}\phi}) \\ &= i^{|\alpha|}\widehat{u}(\xi^{\alpha}\phi) \\ &= (i\xi)^{\alpha}\widehat{u}(\phi). \end{split}$$

And

$$\hat{\hat{u}}(\phi) = \hat{u}(\hat{\phi}) = u(\hat{\phi}) = u((2\pi)^n \check{\phi}) = (2\pi)^n \check{u}(\phi).$$

The other statements are analogous.

**Proposition.**  $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a linear homeomorphism.

**Proposition.** Suppose  $u_j \to u$  in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , i.e  $u_j(\phi) \to u(\phi)$  for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $\hat{u}_j(\phi) = u_j(\hat{\phi}) \to u(\hat{\phi}) = \hat{u}(\phi)$  for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , i.e  $\hat{u}_j \to \hat{u}$  in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{F}$  is continuous from  $\mathcal{S}'$  to  $\mathcal{S}'$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}^4 = (2\pi)^{2n} \mathrm{id}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  is invertible with continuous inverse  $\mathcal{F}^{-1} = (2\pi)^{-2n} \mathcal{F}^3$ .

## 3.6 Periodic distributions

Recall: if  $f \in L^2((0,1))$  then  $f(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n e^{-2\pi i n x}$  in  $L^2$ , where  $f_n = \int_0^1 e^{-2\pi i n x} dx$ .

**Definition.**  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is *periodic* if for any  $g \in \mathbb{Z}^n$   $\tau_g u = u$  (recall  $\tau_g u(\phi) = u(\tau_{-g}\phi), \ \tau_{-g}(\phi)(x) = \phi(x+g)$ ).

Examples.

(a) For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , the distribution " $e^{2\pi i k \cdot (\cdot)}$ " =  $T_{e_{2\pi k}}$  is periodic. Indeed,

$$\tau_g T_{e_{2\pi k}}(\phi) = T_{e_{2\pi k}}(\tau_{-g}\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i k \cdot x} \phi(x+g) dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i k \cdot (x-g)} \phi(x) dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i k \cdot x} \phi(x) dx$$
$$= T_{e_{2\pi k}}(\phi).$$

(b) Suppose  $v \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $u = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \tau_k v$  is periodic. Note that u defines a distribution in  $\mathcal{D}'$  since  $u(\phi)$  is a finite sum for every  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then for  $g \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\tau_g u(\phi) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \tau_{k+g} v(\phi) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tau_k v(\phi) = u(\phi)$ .

**Definition.** The fundamental cell of the lattice is

$$q = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : -\frac{1}{2} \le x_i < \frac{1}{2}, \ i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

[intuitively q is the hypercube with side lengths 1 centred at 0, but with some edges "open".]

**Lemma.** Let  $Q = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : -1 \le x_i < 1, i = 1, ..., n\}$ . Then there exists  $\psi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

- (i)  $\psi \geq 0$ ;
- (ii) supp  $\psi \subseteq Q$ ;
- (iii)  $\sum_{g\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \tau_g \psi = 1$ .

Such a  $\psi$  is called a periodic partition of unity (p.p.u). Suppose  $\psi$  and  $\psi'$  are both p.p.u's. Then if  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is periodic,  $u(\psi) = u(\psi')$ .

Proof. Let  $\psi_0 \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , supp  $\psi_0 \subseteq \operatorname{int}(Q)$  and  $\psi_0(x) = 1$  for  $x \in q$  and  $\psi_0 \ge 0$ . Set  $S(x) = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \psi_0(x - g)$ . Then  $S \in C^{\infty}$  and  $S(x) \ge 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus  $\psi(x) = \frac{\psi_0(x)}{S(x)}$  satisfies the conditions.

Now let  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be periodic and  $\psi, \psi'$  be p.p.u's. Then

$$u(\psi) = u\left(\psi \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \tau_g \psi'\right) = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} u(\psi(\tau_g \psi'))$$

$$= \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \tau_{-g} \tau_g u(\psi(\tau_g \psi'))$$

$$= \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \tau_{-g} u((\tau_{-g} \psi) \psi')$$

$$= u\left(\left(\sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \tau_{-g}\right) \psi'\right) = u(\psi').$$

Corollary. Let  $\psi$  be a p.p.u. Then for  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  periodic,  $T_f(\psi) = \int_q f(x) dx$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $\psi_n$  a p.p.u such that  $\psi_n \to \mathbbm{1}_q$  pointwise and  $\psi_n$  is bounded.  $\square$ 

**Definition.** For  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  periodic, the *average* of u over the fundamental cell is  $M(u) = u(\psi)$  where  $\psi$  is any p.p.u.

Page 39

**Lemma.** Let  $v \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then

$$u = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \tau_g v \tag{*}$$

converges (in the weak-\* topology) in  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Conversely, if  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is periodic there exists  $v \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that (\*) holds. Hence every periodic distribution is tempered.

*Proof.* Let  $K = \operatorname{supp} v$ . We have seen that there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , C > 0 such that  $|v(\phi)| \leq C \sup_{\substack{x \in K \\ |\alpha| \leq N}} |\nabla^{\alpha} \phi(x)|$  for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Now let  $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then

$$|\tau_g v(\phi)| = |v(\tau_{-g}\phi)| \le C \sup_{\substack{x \in K \\ |\alpha| \le N}} |\nabla^{\alpha}\phi(x+g)|.$$

Since  $K \subseteq B_R(0)$  for some R > 0,  $1 + |g| \le 1 + |x| + |x+g| \le (1+R)(1+|x+g|)$ . Hence  $1 \le (1+R)\frac{1+|g+x|}{1+|g|}$ . Thus for any  $M \ge 1$ ,

$$|\tau_g v(\phi)| \le C \left(\frac{1+R}{1+|g|}\right)^M \sup_{\substack{x \in K \\ |\alpha| \le N}} \left( (1+|x+g|)^M |\nabla^\alpha \phi(x+g)| \right)$$

$$\le \frac{C'}{(1+|g|^{n+1})} \underbrace{\sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ |\alpha| \le N}} (1+|x|)^M |\nabla^\alpha \phi(x)|}_{<\infty}$$

so  $\sum_{g\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \tau_g v(\phi)$  converges for all  $\phi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , so converges in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

For the converse, let  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be periodic. Let  $\psi$  be a p.p.u. Then for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$u(\phi) = \underbrace{\left(\sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \tau_g \psi\right)}_{1} u(\phi) = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} u((\tau_g \psi)\phi)$$
$$= \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \tau_g u((\tau_g \psi)\phi)$$
$$= \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} u(\psi(\tau_{-g}\phi))$$
$$= \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \psi u(\tau_{-g}\phi)$$
$$= \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} (\tau_g(\psi u))(\phi).$$

Note that  $\psi u$  has compact support:

$$\operatorname{supp} \phi \cap \operatorname{supp} \psi = \emptyset \implies \psi u(\phi) = u(\psi(\phi)) = 0.$$

Hence  $\psi u$  extends uniquely to  $v \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and therefore  $u(\phi) = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \tau_g v(\phi)$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be periodic. Then

$$u = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} u_g T_{e_{2\pi g}} = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} u_g e^{2\pi i \cdot (\cdot)}$$

converges in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $u_g = M(e_{-2\pi g}u)$  "=  $\int_q u(x)e^{-2\pi i g \cdot x} dx$ " satisfying  $|u_g| \le C(1+|g|)^N$  for some C > 0,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition.** The  $u_g$  above are the Fourier coefficients of u.

**Lemma.** Assume  $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies

$$(e_{-k} - 1)u = 0 \ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^n. \tag{*}$$

Then  $u = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_g \delta_{2\pi g}$  for  $c_g \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $|c_g| \leq C(1+|g|)^N$  for some C > 0,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* We first show supp  $u \subseteq \Lambda^* = \{2\pi g : g \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ . Indeed, let  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with supp  $\phi \cap \Lambda^* = \emptyset$ . Then  $(e_{-k} - 1)^{-1}\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  since  $\phi(x) = 0$  if  $(e_{-k} - 1)(x) = 0 \iff x \in \Lambda^*$ . Thus by (\*)

$$u(\phi) = \underbrace{(e_{-k} - 1)}_{=0} u(\underbrace{(e_{-k} - 1)^{-1}\phi}_{\in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)}) = 0.$$

Now let  $\psi$  be a p.p.u and set  $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \psi(x/2\pi)$ . Let  $v_g = (\tau_{2\pi g}\tilde{\psi})u$  and note that supp  $v_g \subseteq \{2\pi g\}$ , so

$$\sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^b} v_g = u, \ (e_{-k} - 1)v_g = 0.$$

Take k an element of the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  so

$$(e^{-ix_j} - 1)v_g = (e^{-i(x_j - 2\pi g_j)} - 1)v_g = 0$$
  
=  $(x_i - 2\pi g_i)K(x_i)v_i$ 

where K is smooth and non-zero near  $2\pi g$  (using  $\frac{1}{t}(e^{-it}-1)=-i+\mathcal{O}(t)$ ). Hence  $(x_j-2\pi g_j)v_g=0$ .

Since  $v_g$  has compact support, it can be extended to  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n) = C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Since  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , there are  $\phi_j \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that (by Taylor's theorem):

$$\phi(x) = \phi(2\pi g) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_j - 2\pi g_j)\phi_j(x).$$

So

$$v_g(\phi) = \underbrace{v_g(\phi(2\pi g))}_{\phi(2\pi g)v_g(1)} + \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - 2\pi g_j)v_g(\phi_j) = \underbrace{\phi(2\pi g)}_{\delta_{2\pi g}(\phi)} u(\tau_{2\pi g}\tilde{\psi}).$$

So

$$u = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} v_g = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_g \delta_{2\pi g}, \ c_g = u(\tau_{2\pi g} \tilde{\psi}).$$

Example sheet 3:  $\forall u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  there exists  $N, k \in \mathbb{N}, C > 0$  such that

$$|u(\phi)| \le C \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ |\alpha| \le k}} (1 + |x|)^N |\nabla^{\alpha} \phi(x)| \ \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Hence

$$|c_g| \leq C \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ |\alpha| \leq k}} (1+|x|)^N |\nabla^{\alpha} \tilde{\psi}(x-2\pi g)|$$

$$\leq C \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ |\alpha| \leq k}} \underbrace{(1+|x+2\pi g|)^N}_{(1+|x|)^N (1+2\pi|g|)^N} |\nabla^{\alpha} \tilde{\psi}(x)|$$

$$\leq C (1+|g|)^N \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ |\alpha| \leq k}} (1+|x|)^N |\nabla^{\alpha} \tilde{\psi}(x)|$$

So  $|c_g| \leq C''(1+|g|)^N$  for some C''.

**Theorem.** Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be periodic. Then

$$u = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} u_g T_{e_{2\pi g}} = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} u_g e^{2\pi i g \cdot x}$$

(convergence in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ) where  $u_g = M(e_{-2\pi g}u) = \int_q u(x)e^{-2\pi ig\cdot x}dx \in \mathbb{C}$  and satisfy  $|u_g| \leq C(1+|g|)^N$  for some C > 0,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Since u is periodic,  $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and its Fourier transform  $\hat{u}$  is defined. Then  $\tau_k u = u$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  so  $e_{-k}\hat{u} = \hat{u}$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , i.e  $(e_{-k} - 1)\hat{u} = 0$ . Then by the previous lemma,

$$\hat{u} = (2\pi)^n \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} u_g \delta_{2\pi g} \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Applying the inverse Fourier transform gives

$$u = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} u_g T_{e_{2\pi g}} \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Since  $e_{2\pi g} \in L^1_{loc}$ ,  $M(e_{-2\pi k}T_{e_{2\pi g}}) = \int_q e^{2\pi i(g-k)\cdot x} dx = \delta_{kg}$ . Since  $u \mapsto M(u)$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , it follows that  $M(e_{-2\pi q}u) = u_q$ .

**Examples.** Let  $u = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \delta_g$ . Then

$$u_k = M(e_{-2\pi k}u) = u(\psi e_{-2\pi k}) = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \psi(g)e^{-2\pi i k \cdot g} = 1.$$

So

$$\sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \delta_g = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} T_{e_{2\pi g}} \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

or

"
$$\sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f(x - g) = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{2\pi i g \cdot x}$$
".

This is the Poisson summation formula.

**Theorem.** Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be periodic with Fourier coefficients  $u_g$ . Then

- (i)  $\nabla^{\alpha} u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is periodic and  $\nabla^{\alpha} u = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} (2\pi i g)^{\alpha} u_g T_{e_{2\pi g}}$ .
- (ii) If  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is periodic,  $u = T_f$ , then  $|c_g| \le ||f||_{L^1(q)}$  and  $|c_g| \to 0$  as  $|g| \to \infty$ .
- (iii) If  $f \in C^{n+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is periodic,  $u = T_f$ , then  $f(x) = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} u_g e^{2\pi i g \cdot x}$  uniformly.
- (iv) If  $f, h \in L^2_{loc}$  are periodic with Fourier coefficients  $f_q, h_q$  then

$$\int_{q} \bar{f}(x)g(x)dx = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \bar{f}_{g}h_{h}, \ f(x) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} f_{g}e^{2\pi i g \cdot x} \ in \ L^{2}(q).$$

*Proof.* Not given - similar to previous proofs.

# 4 Sobolev spaces & applications

## 4.1 Sobolev spaces

**Definition.** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . Then  $f \in L^p(U)$  belongs to the Sobolev space  $W^{k,p}(U)$  if

$$\forall |\alpha| \le k \ \exists f^{\alpha} \in L^p(U) \text{ such that } \nabla^{\alpha} T_f = T_{f^{\alpha}}.$$
 (\*)

Then we write  $f^{\alpha} = \nabla^{\alpha} f$  and say that  $f^{\alpha}$  is the  $\alpha$ th order weak derivative of f. The Sobolev norm is

$$||f||_{W^{k,p}} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \le k} ||\nabla^{\alpha} f||_{L^p}^p\right)^{1/p} \text{ for } p \in [1, \infty)$$

$$||f||_{W^{k,\infty}} = \max_{|\alpha| \le k} ||\nabla^{\alpha} f||_{L^{\infty}} \text{ for } p = \infty.$$

**Fact.**  $W^{k,p}(U)$  is a Banach space for  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and a Hilbert space if p = 2.

**Remark.** (\*) means  $\int_U f^{\alpha} \phi dx = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_U f \nabla^{\alpha} \phi dx$  for all  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$ .

Examples.

• Define  $f \in W^{1,\infty(\mathbb{R})}$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & x < -1 \\ x & x \in [-1, 1] \\ 1 & x > 1 \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\nabla f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & |x| > 1\\ 1 & |x| \le 1 \end{cases}$$

Indeed, for  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\phi'(x)dx = -\int_{-\infty}^{-1} \phi'(x)dx - \int_{-1}^{1} x\phi'(x)dx - \int_{1}^{\infty} \phi'(x)dx$$
$$= \phi(-1) + \int_{-1^{1}} \phi(x)dx - [x\phi(x)]_{-1}^{1} + \phi(1)$$
$$= \int_{-1}^{1} \phi(x)dx$$

• The Heaviside function

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 0 \\ 1 & x \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

Then H is <u>not</u> in  $W^{1,p}$  for any  $p \in [1, \infty]$  since  $\nabla H = \delta_0 \neq T_f$  for any  $f \in L^1_{loc}$ . This is despite the fact H is almost everwhere differentiable.

**Notation**:  $\nabla^{\alpha} f \in L^p$  means  $\exists f^{\alpha} \in L^p$  such that  $\nabla^{\alpha} T_f = T_{f^{\alpha}}$ .

**Definition.** For  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , we say  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  belongs to the Sobolev space  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty$ .

 $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a Hilbert space with inner product  $(f,g)_{H^s} = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{\hat{f}(\xi)} \hat{g}(\xi) (1+|\xi|^2)^s d\xi$ .

If  $s = k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , then  $H^k(\mathbb{R}^n) = W^{k,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Theorem** (Sobolev embedding). Let  $s < \frac{n}{2} + k$  and let  $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then there exists  $f^* \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $f = f^*$  almost everywhere. Write  $f = f^*$  and  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* First assume  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $\nabla^{\alpha} f(x) = \frac{i^{|\alpha|}}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \xi^{\alpha} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$  (for  $|\alpha| \leq k$ ). Hence

$$|\nabla^{\alpha} f(x)| \le \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{\alpha} |\hat{f}(\xi)| d\xi$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|\xi|^2)^s |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \left( \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2\alpha} (1+|\xi|^2) - s d\xi}_{\text{for } s > \frac{n}{2} + k} \right)^{1/2}$$

Now for  $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , let  $(f_i)_{i\geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $f_i \to f$  in  $H^s$  and  $f_i \to f$  almost everywhere (can do this by Example Sheet 4). Then  $(f_i)_{i\geq 1}$  is Cauchy in  $H^s$  so  $(f_i)_{i\geq 1}$  is Cauchy in  $C^k$ , and  $f_i \to f^*$  in  $C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Since  $f_i \to f$  almost everywhere, we have  $f^* = f$  almost everwhere.

**Example.** Consider  $-\nabla^2 u + u = f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then if  $f \in H^s$  there is a unique  $u \in H^{s+2}$  such that this holds.