

## Disclaimer

These notes have been written with the intention of being used by people who have already taken previous analysis courses in the Tripos (beyond IA Analysis). For this reason, some of the results which have been seen already in Tripos are omitted.

## 1 Basic Analysis

**Definition.** For  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , define

$$d(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, a) : a \in A\}.$$

**Remark.** If  $A$  is closed,  $d(x, A) = 0$  if and only if  $x \in A$ . Indeed if  $d(x, A) = 0$ , there exists a sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that  $d(x, a_n) \rightarrow 0$  by the definition of infima. This is the same as  $a_n \rightarrow x$ , and since  $A$  is closed  $x \in A$ . The converse is obvious.

**Proposition.** The map  $x \mapsto d(x, A)$  is continuous.

*Proof.* It is easy to show  $|d(x, A) - d(y, A)| \leq d(x, y)$  and then the claim follows.  $\square$

**Theorem.** If  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is compact and  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, then  $E$  is compact.

*Proof.* Take a sequence  $(f(x_n))_{n \geq 1}$  in  $f(E)$ . Then  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  has a convergent subsequence  $(x_{n_j})_{j \geq 1}$ , and  $f(x_{n_j}) \rightarrow f(x) \in f(E)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem** (Fundamental Theorem of Algebra). If  $P(z)$  is a polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}$ , then  $P$  has a root.

*Proof.* Write  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$  (wlog  $a_n = 1$ ). Note

$$|P(z)| \geq |z|^n (|a_n| - |a_{n-1}||z|^{-1} - \dots - |a_0||z|^{-n}).$$

Hence if  $R = 2 \left( 2 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |a_j| \right)$ , whenever  $|z| \geq R$  we have  $|P(z)| > |z|^n/2$ . Then  $\overline{B}_R(0)$  is compact so  $|P(z)|$  achieves a minimum on  $B_R(0)$  at some  $p_0 \in B_R(0)$ . Since  $|P(z)| \geq |z|^n/2 \geq R^n/2 > |a_0|$  for  $|z| \geq R$ ,  $p_0$  is a global minimum.

Now by considering  $P(z + p_0)$ , we can assume  $p_0 = 0$ . By considering  $e^{i\theta} P(z)$  we can assume  $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a_0 > 0$ . By considering  $P(e^{i\theta} z)$  we can assume  $P(z) = a_0 + a_m z^m + \sum_{j=m+1}^n a_j z^j$  for  $a_0, a_m \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a_0 > 0$  and  $a_m < 0$ . Then  $\frac{P(\delta) - P(0)}{\delta^m} \rightarrow a_m$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ .

So the real part of  $\frac{P(\delta) - P(0)}{\delta^m}$  tends to  $a_m$  and the imaginary part tends to 0. Hence if  $\delta$  is sufficiently small and positive  $|P(\delta)| < |P(0)|$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** Clearly this implies every complex polynomial of degree  $n$  has exactly  $n$  roots with multiplicity (division algorithm).

## 2 Laplace's Equation

**Definition.** *Laplace's equation* is  $\nabla^2 \phi = 0$ .

**Definition** (Boundary). Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $E \subseteq X$ .

1. The *closure*  $\overline{E} = \text{Cl}(E)$  is the collection of points  $x \in E$  such that there exists a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $E$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x$ .
2. The *interior*  $\text{int}(E)$  is the set of points  $x \in E$  such that there exists  $\delta > 0$  with  $B(x, \delta) \subseteq E$ .
3. The *boundary*  $\partial E$  is defined by  $\partial E = \text{Cl}(E) \setminus \text{int}(E)$ .

For the remainder of this section:

- We work in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ;
- Let  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$  be a bounded open set;
- Let  $\phi : \text{Cl}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function which is twice differentiable on  $\Omega$ ;
- Let  $f : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function.

We want to show that the problem  $\nabla^2 \phi = 0$  on  $\Omega$ ,  $\phi = f$  on  $\partial\Omega$  has at most one solution.

**Lemma.** *If  $\nabla^2 \phi > 0$  on  $\Omega$ , then  $\phi$  achieves its maximum on  $\partial\Omega$ .*

**Remark.** The maximum must exist since  $\text{Cl}(\Omega)$  is closed and bounded.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\phi$  attains its maximum at  $x^* \in \Omega$ . Then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(x_1^* - \delta, x_1^* + \delta) \times \dots \times (x_n^* - \delta, x_n^* + \delta) \subseteq \Omega.$$

Define  $f_j(t) = \phi(x_1^*, \dots, x_{j-1}^*, x_j^* + t, x_{j+1}^*, \dots, x_m^*)$ . Then  $f_j$  has a maximum at 0 and  $f_j$  is twice differentiable with  $f_j''(0) \leq 0$ . Hence  $\frac{\partial \phi(x^*)}{\partial x_j^2} \leq 0$  so  $\nabla^2 \phi(x^*) \leq 0$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem.** *If  $\nabla^2 \phi = 0$  on  $\Omega$ , then the maximum is achieved at  $\partial\Omega$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $\phi_n(x) = \phi(x) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^m x_j^2$ . Then  $\nabla^2 \phi_n = \nabla^2 \phi + \frac{2m}{n} = \frac{2m}{n}$ . Then by the previous lemma,  $\phi_n$  has a maximum at  $x_n^* \in \partial\Omega$ . The boundary is closed and bounded so compact, hence we can find  $x^*$  and a subsequence  $(x_{n(j)}^*)_{j \geq 1}$  such that  $x_{n(j)}^* \rightarrow x^*$ . Then

$$\phi(x_{n(j)}^*) + \frac{1}{n(j)} \sum_{i=1}^m (x_{n(j)}^*)^2 \geq \phi(x) + \frac{1}{n(j)} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 \geq \phi(x) \quad \forall x \in \text{Cl}(\Omega).$$

So let  $n(j) \rightarrow \infty$  and use continuity of  $\phi$  to obtain  $\phi(x^*) \geq \phi(x)$  for all  $x \in \text{Cl}(\Omega)$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** Now if  $\nabla^2 \phi_1 = \nabla^2 \phi_2 = 0$  on  $\Omega$  and  $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = f$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , then  $\phi_1 - \phi_2 = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  so  $\phi_1 - \phi_2 \leq 0$  on  $\text{Cl}(\Omega)$  by the previous theorem. Similarly  $\phi_2 - \phi_1 \leq 0$  on  $\text{Cl}(\omega)$  so  $\phi_1 = \phi_2$ .

What about existence?

### Zaremba counterexample

Consider  $\Omega = D \setminus \{0\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < \|x\| < 1\}$ . We'll show  $\nabla^2 \phi = 0$ ,

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \|x\| = 1 \\ 1 & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

on  $\partial\Omega$  has no solution.

Indeed, let  $R_\theta$  be a rotation through  $\theta$  about 0. If  $\phi$  is a solution, then  $x \mapsto \phi(R_\theta x)$  is also a solution. So by uniqueness  $\phi = \phi \circ R_\theta$ , and we can write  $\phi(x) = f(\|x\|)$  for some  $f$ . Now either  $\nabla^2 \phi$  is already known in radial terms, or

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} f'(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) \\ \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} &= \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} - \frac{x^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}} \right) f'(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) + \frac{x^2}{x^2 + y^2} f''(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) \\ \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} &= \left( \frac{1}{r} - \frac{y^2}{r^3} \right) f'(r) + \frac{y^2}{r^2} f''(r) \\ &= \nabla^2 \phi = \frac{1}{r} f'(r) + f''(r) = \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} (r f'(r)) \end{aligned}$$

Now we solve  $0 = \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} (r f'(r))$ . This gives  $A \log r + B = f(r)$ . Since  $\phi$  is continuous on  $\text{Cl}(E)$ ,  $A = 0$  (otherwise singularity at 0). So  $\phi$  is constant, a contradiction.

## 3 Brouwer's Fixed Point Theorem

In one dimension: if  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous, there exists  $x \in [0, 1]$  such that  $f(x) = x$  (a fixed point). Indeed consider  $g(x) = f(x) - x$  so  $g(0) \geq 0$ ,  $g(1) \leq 0$ , and use the intermediate value theorem.

**Theorem** (Brouwer's Fixed Point Theorem). *If  $f : \overline{D} \rightarrow \overline{D}$  is continuous ( $\overline{D} = \overline{D}(0, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ ) then there exists  $x^*$  such that  $f(x^*) = x^*$ .*

**Remark.** This generalises to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with the proof essentially unchanged apart from one point ('Sperner's Lemma') where we have done a little simplification from the general case.

**Remark.** If  $E$  is homeomorphic to  $\overline{D}$  then the fixed point result also holds for  $E$ . Indeed if  $T : E \rightarrow \overline{D}$  is a homeomorphism,  $f : E \rightarrow E$  is continuous, then  $T \circ f \circ T^{-1} : \overline{D} \rightarrow \overline{D}$  is continuous. Hence there exists  $x^* \in \overline{D}$  such that  $T(f(T^{-1}(x^*))) = x^*$ , i.e  $T^{-1}(x^*)$  is a fixed point of  $f$ .

The proof of the fixed point theorem is a long line of lemmas, most of which assert that two statements are equivalent.

The first thing we'll do is show that the Fixed Point Theorem is equivalent to the "No Retraction Theorem".

**Theorem** (No Retraction Theorem). *There does not exist a continuous map  $g : \overline{D} \rightarrow \partial D$  such that  $g(x) = x$  for  $x \in \partial D$  (called a retraction).*

*Proof of equivalence.* Suppose the Fixed Point Theorem is true, but there exists a retraction  $g : \overline{D} \rightarrow \partial D$ . Let  $R$  be a rotation through  $\pi$  about 0. Then  $R \circ g : \overline{D} \rightarrow \overline{D}$  has no fixed point, which is a contradiction.

Now suppose the No Retraction Theorem holds, but  $f : \overline{D} \rightarrow \overline{D}$  is continuous with no fixed points. Let  $F : \overline{D}^2 \setminus \{(x, x) : x \in \overline{D}\} \rightarrow \overline{D} \rightarrow \partial D$  be defined so that  $F(x, y)$  is the point of intersection between the (directed) line from  $y$  to  $x$  and  $\text{int} \partial D$ , we see  $F$  is continuous. Now consider  $g(x) = F(x, f(x))$ . Since  $f$  is continuous,  $g$  is continuous, but  $g(\overline{D}) \subseteq \partial D$  and  $g(x) = x$  for  $x \in \partial D$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

Now we proceed through minor lemmas with unofficial titles.

**Lemma** (Three Arcs Theorem). *If we consider 3 equal arcs of  $\partial D$  in polar coordinates, say  $A = \{(1, \theta) : 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi/3\}$ ,  $B = \{(1, \theta) : 2\pi/3 \leq \theta \leq 4\pi/3\}$ ,  $C = \{(1, \theta) : 4\pi/3 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\}$ , then there does not exist  $f : \overline{D} \rightarrow \partial D$  such that  $f(A) \subseteq A$ ,  $f(B) \subseteq B$ ,  $f(C) \subseteq C$ .*

We'll now show this is equivalent to the no retraction theorem

*Proof of equivalence.* Three arcs certainly proves no retraction as a special case. Conversely, if we did have a 3 arcs function  $f$  then letting  $R$  be a rotation of  $\pi$ , the map  $Rf$  would have no fixed points.  $\square$

We now go from "three arcs" to "three sides":

**Theorem.** *If  $\overline{\Delta}$  is an equilateral triangle with closed sides  $I, J, K$ , then there does not exist  $f : \overline{\Delta} \rightarrow \partial \Delta$  continuous such that  $f(I) \subseteq I$ ,  $f(J) \subseteq J$ ,  $f(K) \subseteq K$ .*

Now we show this is equivalent to the three arcs theorem:

*Proof of equivalence.* Consider the homeomorphism  $Tx = r(x)x$  where  $r(x)$  is the distance from 0 to the point of intersection of the radius vector with  $\partial \Delta$ . This maps  $\overline{D}$  to  $\overline{\Delta}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma** (Three colours). *If  $A, B, C$  closed,  $A \cup B \cup C = \overline{\Delta}$ ,  $A \supseteq I$ ,  $B \supseteq J$ ,  $C \supseteq K$  ( $I, J, K$  closed sides of  $\overline{\Delta}$ ) then  $A \cap B \cap C \neq \emptyset$ .*

We show this is equivalent to the three sides theorem:

*Proof of equivalence.* If there exists  $f : \overline{\Delta} \rightarrow \partial\Delta$  continuous with  $f(I) \subseteq I$ ,  $f(J) \subseteq J$ ,  $f(K) \subseteq K$ , then take  $A = f^{-1}(I)$ ,  $B = f^{-1}(J)$  and  $C = f^{-1}(K)$ . Then  $A, B, C$  are closed with  $A \cup B \cup C = \overline{\Delta}$  and  $A \cap B \cap C = f^{-1}(I \cap J \cap K) = \emptyset$ , contradicting the three colour theorem.

Now suppose we could three colour with  $A \cap B \cap C = \emptyset$ . We embed  $\overline{\Delta}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  as follows:

$$\overline{\Delta} = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x, y, z \geq 0, x + y + z = 1\}.$$

[Barycentric coordinates, invented by Möbius.] Consider the map

$$Tx = \frac{1}{d(x, A) + d(x, B) + d(x, C)} (d(x, A), d(x, B), d(x, C)).$$

This is well defined since  $A \cap B \cap C$  and  $A, B, C$  are closed. This maps  $\overline{\Delta} \rightarrow \overline{\Delta}$  and is continuous since  $x \mapsto d(x, A)$  is continuous. If  $x \in I$  then  $x \in A$  so  $d(x, A) = 0$  and  $Tx = (0, y, z) \in I$ , so  $f(I) \subseteq I$  (similarly for  $J, K$ ), contradicting three sides theorem.  $\square$

Overall we have

$$\text{FPT} \iff \text{RMT} \iff \text{3 colouring theorem}.$$

Now we show the 3 colouring theorem via Sperner's lemma:

**Theorem.** Consider an equilateral triangle  $\Delta$  cut into smaller triangles by  $n$  equally spaced lines parallel to each of the sides. If we colour each vertex one of three colours  $R, B, G$  with one big side

$$T : RRR \dots RB$$

$$J : BBB \dots BG$$

$$K : GGG \dots GR.$$

Then one of the small triangles must have all its vertices different colours.

*Proof.* If we look at one small triangle clockwise, we assign value  $\theta$  to a side as follows

$$\theta(RB) = 1, \theta(BR) = -1$$

$$\theta(BG) = 1, \theta(GB) = -1$$

$$\theta(GR) = 1, \theta(RG) = -1$$

$$\theta(RR) = 0, \theta(GG) = 0$$

$$\theta(BB) = 0$$

and each small triangle has value  $\psi$  given by the sum of the values of its sides. Then  $\psi = 0$  unless the triangle is 3 coloured. Now look at the sum of all  $\psi$  of the small triangles. The interior sides cancel (counted once in each direction), so the total sum is the sum along the sides of the large triangle, which is an odd number (changes colour 3 times) so there must be a 3 coloured small triangle.  $\square$

Finally we prove the three colour theorem:

*Proof of three colour theorem.* Suppose  $\overline{\Delta}$  is coloured  $A, B, C$  as before. Cutting  $\Delta$  into smaller triangles as above, colouring external vertices as for Sperner's lemma (note  $I \subseteq A$  etc and make a choice of colour when its in multiple of  $A, B, C$ ). Then Sperner's lemma says there's a small triangle with 3 vertices of different colours, i.e there exist  $a_n \in A, b_n \in B, c_n \in C$  such that  $d(a_n, b_n), d(b_n, c_n), d(c_n, a_n) < 1/n$  (making triangles small enough). Then by compactness there exists a subsequence of  $(a_n)$  converging to some  $x \in \overline{\Delta}$ . This automatically implies the corresponding subsequences for  $(b_n)$  and  $(c_n)$  converge to  $x$ , so  $x \in A \cap B \cap C$  since  $A, B, C$  are closed.  $\square$