# **Simulation Exercise for Issue #104**

September 24, 2020

```
Suppose we set alpha = .1 and R = 10. Running the chorussell procedure gives:
library(lpinfer)
set.seed(5)
dgp <- mixedlogit_dgp()
df <- mixedlogit_draw(dgp, n = 4000)
alpha \leftarrow .1
lpm <- lpmodel(A.obs = mixedlogit_Aobs(dgp),
                beta.obs = function(d) mixedlogit_betaobs(d, dgp),
                A.\text{shp} = \text{rep}(1, \text{ nrow}(\text{dgp}\text{*ydist})),beta.shp = 1,
                A.tgt = mixedlogit_Atgt_dfelast(dgp, w2eval = 1, eeval = -1))
set.seed(5)
r <- chorussell(data = df, lpmodel = lpm, ci = TRUE, R = 10, alpha = alpha)
print(r)
```
## 90%-confidence interval: [0.63716, 0.79291]

I am going to store the confidence interval as ci.

ci <- r**\$**ci.df[1, 3**:**4]

Next, I construct the confidence interval by following the "six steps" as I did in the other document for the ease of reference.

#### **Step 1. Get the point estimates of the bounds**

The point estimates of the bounds and the length of the estimated identified set are obtained as follows:

```
# Point estimate of bounds
lb <- r$lb
ub <- r$ub
# Length of the identified set
delta <- ub - lb
print(delta)
```
## [1] 0.0005065283

### **Step 2. Get the bootstrap bounds**

The bootstrap bounds are obtained by finding the estimated bounds on the bootstrap data. I am going to extract them from the r object above and store them as follows:

lb.bs <- r**\$**lb.bs ub.bs <- r**\$**ub.bs Again, the same problems exist:

**print**(**max**(ub.bs))

## [1] 0.6915303

**print**(**min**(lb.bs))

## [1] 0.4426166 **sum**(lb.bs **<=** r**\$**lb)

## [1] 9

# **Step 3. Get the list of candidates**

Again, I denote the set of candidates  $\{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{\rm lb}^b - \hat{\theta}_{\rm lb})\}_{b=1}^B$  and  $\{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{\rm lb}^b - \hat{\theta}_{\rm lb} - \Delta)\}_{b=1}^B$  as 1b.can1 and 1b.can2 respectively. **1b.** can should contain all the possible candidates for  $c_{\text{lb}}$ .

```
n <- nrow(df)
lb.can1 <- sqrt(n) * (lb.bs - lb)
lb.can2 <- sqrt(n) * (lb.bs - lb - delta)
lb.can <- c(lb.can1, lb.can2)
```
Similarly, I denote the set of candidates  $\{-\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{\rm ub}^b - \hat{\theta}_{\rm ub})\}_{b=1}^B$  and  $\{-\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{\rm ub}^b - \hat{\theta}_{\rm ub} + \Delta)\}_{b=1}^B$  as  $-\text{ub} \cdot \text{can1}$ and  $-\text{ub. can2 respectively.}$  ub.can should contain all the possible candidates for  $c_{\text{ub}}$ .

ub.can1 <- **sqrt**(n) **\*** (ub.bs **-** ub) ub.can2  $\leftarrow$  sqrt $(n)$   $\ast$  (ub.bs  $-$  ub  $+$  delta) ub.can <- **-c**(ub.can1, ub.can2)

## **Step 4 and 5. Solve the minimization problem**

To better understand how the solution is obtained, I solve the minimization problem by looking at all possible solutions in the two-dimensional grid instead of using the refinement method. The answer is obtained as:

```
df.grid <- data.frame(matrix(vector(), nrow = 0, ncol = 6))
colnames(df.grid) <- c("lb", "ub", "len", "cons1", "cons2", "feasible")
# Check the candidates through building a two-dimensional grid
for (x in lb.can) {
  for (y in ub.can) {
   cons1 <- mean((lb.can1 <= x) * (-y <= ub.can2))
    cons2 <- mean((lb.can2 <= x) * (-y <= ub.can1))
   df.grid[nrow(df.grid) + 1, ] <- c(x,
                                      y,
                                      x + y,
                                      cons1,
                                      cons2,
                                       ((cons1 >= 1 - alpha) & (cons2 >= 1 - alpha)))
 }
}
# Choose the bounds that minimize the objective function
c.bd.grid <- filter(df.grid, feasible == 1) %>% slice(which.min(len))
```
## **Step 6. Construct the confidence interval**

The 90%-confidence interval can be obtained by:

```
bd <- c(lb - c.bd.grid$lb/sqrt(n), ub + c.bd.grid$ub/sqrt(n))
print(bd)
```
## ## [1] 0.6371614 0.7929088

This matches with the output from the chorussell procedure of the lpinfer package (also confirming that the answers are the same in the brute force approach and in the refinement approach).

### **Some analysis**

In this section, I visualize the bounds obtained from the sample and bootstrap data, as well as the upper and lower bounds of the confidence intervals obtained from the candidates  $1b$ .can and ub.can.

In the following plot, the blue line and the red line represent the lower and upper bound of the confidence interval obtained from the chorussell procedure. The points on the two left columns show the sample and bootstrap bounds obtained from the estbounds procedure, i.e. they represent lb, ub, lb.bs and ub.bs.

On the other hand, the third and fourth columns show the lower and upper bounds that are constructed from all possible values of *c*lb and *c*ub respectively. The purple dots refer to the ones that are feasible (i.e. they are the bounds constructed from the points  $(c_{\text{lb}}, c_{\text{ub}})$  that satisfy the constraints of the minimization problem).



Bootstrap bounds . Sample bounds . Feasible bounds for the CI . Infeasible bounds for the CI

From the plot, the chorussell procedure is picking the smallest possible lower and upper bounds in this simulation exercise when minimizing  $c_{\text{lb}} + c_{\text{ub}}$ . This can also be seen in the following code:

```
df.grid$ci.lb <- lb - df.grid$lb/sqrt(n)
df.grid$ci.ub <- ub + df.grid$ub/sqrt(n)
print(ci$lb == min(filter(df.grid, feasible == 1)$ci.lb))
## [1] TRUE
print(ci$ub == min(filter(df.grid, feasible == 1)$ci.ub))
```
## [1] TRUE