1	A	1		1	
N	10	חכ	P	1	
14	1.	. 0	(U	V	

The data are clustered un nature, the observed

contegories are groups of the underlying clusters.

t, tz, tz, ty

iii itz

cure the actual clusters.

C2 :: 344 : 1. 353

Crand Cz are the observed categories

Taking naive bayes as an example, the probability for

a data point de to be in Gris

 $P(deC_i) = \frac{3}{i=1}p(det_i) = \frac{3}{i=1}p(w)t_i) \cdot P(t_i)$

The directly trained model ûs:

P'(dEC1) = TT DIWIGO. P(C1) #

$$= \prod_{w \in d} \frac{3}{|x|} p(w|t|) P(t|t) \cdot (\underset{|x|}{|x|} p(t|t))$$

These two can be different.

The previously proposed cross domain classification:

The previously proprosed model gives the followy

result:

Pide G n de C2) = p'(de G) P'(de C2) - 1GN 621

it is hard to show that this is less biased.

Thus, it is better to discover the latent clusters directly.

But others is an issue that PCtiEG2)=0,

this will cause problems in EM, I howen't figure out

how to treat it properly.

But if we want some preliminary results, we may try the follow two algorithms first.

(Detailed direvation will not be shown..)

Algorithm 1:

Input. D= 5d,,d2,...3. C=5a, a....3

states & Cd = Ci, deci3

Initialized &= C,

flay = strue.

while (flag):

 $P(t) = \frac{15td=t3}{M}; \quad P(w|t) = \frac{215ta=ti, wed3}{215ta=t3}$

E-Miterations:

E: Q(Gt,d) = P(t)P(CH) II P(WH)

\(\hat{\frac{2}{\ell}} \property \property

M: p_{1ti} = $\frac{2Q(c,t_i|d)}{2Q(c,t_i|d)}$

 $P(w|ti) = \frac{\sum Q(c, ti|d) 1 \le w \in d3}{\sum Q(c, ti|d)}$

flag = false:

For Ci in C:

IG= H(Ci)-H(Ci)te)

If IG> IGpre:

IGpre = IG flag = true

Tc. add Itnow

tnew = Sdi; ta&Tai, dECi3

Algorithm 2:

t + C.

while 15t31 < max Num.

P(ti) = = 15ta=ti3 | (5t3).

 $P(c|t) = \frac{\sum 1 \text{ sta} = ti, d \in C3 + 1}{\sum 1 \text{ sta} = t; 3 + 1 \text{ star}}$

E-M iteration. Q(t, cld). PIti,, P(wlti), P(c)t).

For ti in st3.

tiec it picitio > picitio yc'.

Record 5t3.

tnew = Sd; td & Tci, de Ci3.

Choose the bost 5t3. manually through validation.