

# Comparing Rules for Identity in sequent systems & natural deduction

*Greg Restall*



THE UNIVERSITY OF  
MELBOURNE

PROOF THEORY VIRTUAL SEMINAR · 21 APRIL 2021

<https://consequently.org/presentation/2021/comparing-identity-rules>

To explore different rules for an identity predicate in natural deduction and the sequent calculus.

## Sequent Calculus & Natural Deduction

### Defining Rules

### Defining Rules for Identity

### Identity *Axioms*

SEQUENT CALCULUS  
& NATURAL  
DEDUCTION

A derivation of  $X \multimap A$   
builds a proof from  $X$  to  $A$ .

## An Example

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{q \succ q}{\phantom{q, \neg q \succ}} \neg L \\
 \frac{q, \neg q \succ}{\phantom{q, \neg q \succ r}} K \\
 \frac{q, \neg q \succ r \quad r \succ r}{\phantom{q \vee r, \neg q \succ r}} \vee L \\
 \frac{p \succ p \quad q \vee r, \neg q \succ r}{\phantom{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p, \neg q \succ r}} \rightarrow L \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p, \neg q \succ r}{\phantom{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p \wedge \neg q \succ r}} \wedge L \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p \wedge \neg q \succ r}{\phantom{p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \succ (p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r}} \rightarrow R \\
 p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \succ (p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r
 \end{array}$$

SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \quad \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{p} \wedge E}{q \vee r} \rightarrow E \quad \frac{\frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{\neg q} \wedge E \quad [q]^1}{\frac{\#}{r} K} \neg E \\
 \frac{q \vee r \quad \frac{\#}{r} K \quad [r]^1}{r} \vee E^1 \\
 \frac{r}{(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r} \rightarrow I^2
 \end{array}$$

NATURAL DEDUCTION

# An Example

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{q \succ q}{\phantom{q, \neg q} \neg L} \\
 \frac{q, \neg q \succ}{\phantom{q, \neg q} K} \\
 \frac{q, \neg q \succ r \quad r \succ r}{\phantom{q \vee r, \neg q} \vee L} \\
 \frac{p \succ p \quad q \vee r, \neg q \succ r}{\phantom{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p, \neg q} \rightarrow L} \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p, \neg q \succ r}{\phantom{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p \wedge \neg q} \wedge L} \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p \wedge \neg q \succ r}{\phantom{p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \succ (p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r} \rightarrow R} \\
 p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \succ (p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r
 \end{array}$$

SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \quad \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{p} \wedge E}{q \vee r} \rightarrow E \quad \frac{\frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{\neg q} \wedge E \quad [q]^1}{\neg E} \\
 \frac{q \vee r \quad \frac{\frac{\#}{r} K}{[r]^1} \vee E^1}{\frac{r}{(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r} \rightarrow I^2}
 \end{array}$$

NATURAL DEDUCTION

# An Example

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{q \succ q}{\neg L} \neg L \\
 \frac{q, \neg q \succ}{K} K \\
 \frac{q, \neg q \succ r \quad r \succ r}{\vee L} \vee L \\
 \frac{p \succ p \quad q \vee r, \neg q \succ r}{\rightarrow L} \rightarrow L \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p, \neg q \succ r}{\wedge L} \wedge L \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p \wedge \neg q \succ r}{\rightarrow R} \rightarrow R \\
 p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \succ (p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r
 \end{array}$$

SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \quad \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{p} \wedge E}{q \vee r} \rightarrow E \quad \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{\neg q} \wedge E \quad \frac{[q]^1}{\neg E} \neg E \\
 \frac{q \vee r \quad \frac{\frac{\#}{r} K}{[r]^1} \vee E^1}{r} \vee E^1 \\
 \frac{r}{(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r} \rightarrow I^2
 \end{array}$$

NATURAL DEDUCTION



# An Example

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{q \succ q}{\phantom{q, \neg q \succ}} \neg L \\
 \frac{q, \neg q \succ}{\phantom{q, \neg q \succ r}} K \\
 \frac{q, \neg q \succ r}{\phantom{q \vee r, \neg q \succ r}} \vee L \\
 \frac{p \succ p \quad q \vee r, \neg q \succ r}{\phantom{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p, \neg q \succ r}} \rightarrow L \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p, \neg q \succ r}{\phantom{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p \wedge \neg q \succ r}} \wedge L \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p \wedge \neg q \succ r}{\phantom{p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \succ (p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r}} \rightarrow R \\
 p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \succ (p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r
 \end{array}$$

SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \quad \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{p} \wedge E}{q \vee r} \rightarrow E \\
 \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{\phantom{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}} \wedge E \\
 \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2 \quad \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{\neg q} \wedge E \quad [q]^1}{\frac{\#}{r} K} \neg E \\
 \frac{q \vee r \quad \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{\neg q} \wedge E \quad [q]^1}{\frac{\#}{r} K} \neg E \\
 \frac{\frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{\neg q} \wedge E \quad [q]^1}{\phantom{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}} \neg E \\
 \frac{r}{(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r} \rightarrow I^2 \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \quad \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{p} \wedge E \quad \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{\neg q} \wedge E \quad [q]^1}{(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r} \vee E^1
 \end{array}$$

NATURAL DEDUCTION

## An Example

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{q \succ q}{\phantom{q, \neg q \succ}} \neg L \\
 \frac{q, \neg q \succ}{\phantom{q, \neg q \succ r}} K \\
 \frac{q, \neg q \succ r}{\phantom{q \vee r, \neg q \succ r}} \vee L \\
 \frac{p \succ p \quad q \vee r, \neg q \succ r}{\phantom{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p, \neg q \succ r}} \rightarrow L \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p, \neg q \succ r}{\phantom{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p \wedge \neg q \succ r}} \wedge L \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p \wedge \neg q \succ r}{\phantom{p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \succ (p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r}} \rightarrow R \\
 p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \succ (p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r
 \end{array}$$

SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \quad \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{p} \wedge E}{q \vee r} \rightarrow E \quad \frac{\frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{\neg q} \wedge E \quad [q]^1}{\frac{\#}{r} K} \neg E \\
 \frac{q \vee r \quad \frac{\#}{r} K \quad [r]^1}{r} \vee E^1 \\
 \frac{r}{(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r} \rightarrow I^2
 \end{array}$$

NATURAL DEDUCTION

## An Example

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{q \succ q}{q, \neg q \succ} \neg L \\
 \frac{q, \neg q \succ}{q, \neg q \succ r} K \\
 \frac{q, \neg q \succ r \quad r \succ r}{p \succ p \quad q \vee r, \neg q \succ r} \vee L \\
 \frac{p \succ p}{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p, \neg q \succ r} \rightarrow L \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p, \neg q \succ r}{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p \wedge \neg q \succ r} \wedge L \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p \wedge \neg q \succ r}{p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \succ (p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r} \rightarrow R
 \end{array}$$

## SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{NATURAL DEDUCTION} \\
 \hline
 \begin{array}{c}
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r)}{q \vee r} \text{ } \\
 \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{p} \wedge E \quad \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{\neg q} \wedge E \quad \frac{[q]^1}{\neg E} \\
 \frac{\neg q}{\text{ } } \text{ } \quad \frac{[r]^1}{\text{ } } \vee E^1 \\
 \frac{\neg q \quad [r]^1}{r} \text{ } \\
 \frac{r}{(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r} \rightarrow I^2
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

## NATURAL DEDUCTION

# An Example

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{q \succ q}{\phantom{q, \neg q \succ}} \neg L \\
 \frac{q, \neg q \succ}{\phantom{q, \neg q \succ r}} K \\
 \frac{q, \neg q \succ r \quad r \succ r}{\phantom{q \vee r, \neg q \succ r}} \vee L \\
 \frac{p \succ p \quad q \vee r, \neg q \succ r}{\phantom{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p, \neg q \succ r}} \rightarrow L \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p, \neg q \succ r}{\phantom{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p \wedge \neg q \succ r}} \wedge L \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p \wedge \neg q \succ r}{p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \succ (p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r} \rightarrow R
 \end{array}$$

SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r)}{q \vee r} \rightarrow E \quad \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{\phantom{\neg q}} \wedge E \quad \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2 \quad \frac{\frac{\phantom{\neg q}}{\phantom{[q]^1}} \neg E}{\phantom{\#}}}{\phantom{r}} K \quad [q]^1 \\
 \frac{q \vee r \quad \frac{r}{(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r} \rightarrow I^2}{\phantom{r}} \vee E^1 \quad [r]^1
 \end{array}$$

NATURAL DEDUCTION

# An Example

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{q \succ q}{\phantom{q, \neg q \succ}} \neg L \\
 \frac{q, \neg q \succ}{\phantom{q, \neg q \succ r}} K \\
 \frac{q, \neg q \succ r \quad r \succ r}{\phantom{q \vee r, \neg q \succ r}} \vee L \\
 \frac{p \succ p \quad q \vee r, \neg q \succ r}{\phantom{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p, \neg q \succ r}} \rightarrow L \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p, \neg q \succ r}{\phantom{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p \wedge \neg q \succ r}} \wedge L \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p \wedge \neg q \succ r}{\phantom{p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \succ (p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r}} \rightarrow R \\
 p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \succ (p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r
 \end{array}$$

SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r)}{\phantom{q \vee r}} \rightarrow E \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \quad p}{q \vee r} \wedge E \\
 \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{\neg q} \wedge E \\
 \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2 \quad \neg q}{[q]^1} \neg E \\
 \frac{[q]^1}{\frac{\#}{r} K} \neg E \\
 \frac{[r]^1}{\phantom{r}} \vee E^1 \\
 \frac{r}{(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r} \rightarrow I^2
 \end{array}$$

NATURAL DEDUCTION

# An Example

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{q \succ q}{\phantom{q, \neg q \succ}} \neg L \\
 \frac{q, \neg q \succ}{\phantom{q, \neg q \succ r}} K \\
 \frac{q, \neg q \succ r \quad r \succ r}{\phantom{q \vee r, \neg q \succ r}} \vee L \\
 \frac{p \succ p \quad q \vee r, \neg q \succ r}{\phantom{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p, \neg q \succ r}} \rightarrow L \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p, \neg q \succ r}{\phantom{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p \wedge \neg q \succ r}} \wedge L \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p \wedge \neg q \succ r}{\phantom{p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \succ (p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r}} \rightarrow R \\
 p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \succ (p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r
 \end{array}$$

SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r)}{\phantom{q \vee r}} \rightarrow E \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \quad \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{p} \wedge E}{q \vee r} \rightarrow E \\
 \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{\neg q} \wedge E \\
 \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2 \quad \frac{[q]^1}{\neg q} \neg E}{\frac{\#}{r} K} \neg E \\
 \frac{q \vee r \quad \frac{\#}{r} K \quad [r]^1}{\phantom{(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r}} \vee E^1 \\
 \frac{\phantom{(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r}}{(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r} \rightarrow I^2
 \end{array}$$

NATURAL DEDUCTION

# An Example

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{q \succ q}{\neg L} \neg L \\
 \frac{q, \neg q \succ}{K} K \\
 \frac{q, \neg q \succ r}{r \succ r} \vee L \\
 \frac{p \succ p \quad q \vee r, \neg q \succ r}{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p, \neg q \succ r} \rightarrow L \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p, \neg q \succ r}{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p \wedge \neg q \succ r} \wedge L \\
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p \wedge \neg q \succ r}{p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \succ (p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r} \rightarrow R
 \end{array}$$

SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r)}{q \vee r} \rightarrow E \\
 \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{p} \wedge E \\
 \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{\neg q} \wedge E \\
 \frac{[q]^1}{\neg E} \neg E \\
 \frac{[r]^1}{\vee E^1} \vee E^1 \\
 \frac{r}{\rightarrow I^2} \rightarrow I^2 \\
 (p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r
 \end{array}$$

NATURAL DEDUCTION

# The one proof can be built in different ways

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{p \succ p \quad q \vee r \succ q \vee r}{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p \succ q \vee r} \rightarrow L \quad \frac{\frac{q \succ q}{\neg L} \quad \frac{q, \neg q \succ}{K} \quad \frac{q, \neg q \succ r \quad r \succ r}{\vee L}}{q \vee r, \neg q \succ r} \\
 \hline
 \frac{\quad}{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p, \neg q \succ r} \text{Cut} \\
 \frac{\quad}{p \rightarrow (q \vee r), p \wedge \neg q \succ r} \wedge L \\
 \frac{\quad}{p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \succ (p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r} \rightarrow R
 \end{array}$$

SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \quad \frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{p} \wedge E}{q \vee r} \rightarrow E \quad \frac{\frac{[p \wedge \neg q]^2}{\neg q} \wedge E \quad [q]^1}{\frac{\#}{r} K} \neg E \\
 \hline
 \frac{\quad}{r} \vee E^1 \\
 \frac{\quad}{(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r} \rightarrow I^2
 \end{array}$$

NATURAL DEDUCTION



## Classical derivations build . . . *what?*

$$\frac{\frac{p \succ p}{\succ \neg p, p} \neg R}{\succ \neg p \vee p} \vee R$$

$$\frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad \frac{p \succ p, q}{\succ p, p \rightarrow q} \rightarrow R}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p, p} \rightarrow L}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p} W$$

## Add *focus*

From  $P_1, P_2, P_3 \succ C_1, C_2, C_3$  to  $P_1, P_2, P_3 \succ C_1; C_2, C_3$

## Add *focus*

From  $P_1, P_2, P_3 \succ C_1, C_2, C_3$  to  $P_1, P_2, P_3 \succ C_1; C_2, C_3$

A *focussed* sequent has the shape  $X \succ C; Y$   
where  $C$  is either a formula or is *empty*,  
and  $X$  and  $Y$  are finite multisets of formulas.

(The empty case corresponds to a proof of a *contradiction*.)

## Add *focus*

From  $P_1, P_2, P_3 \succ C_1, C_2, C_3$  to  $P_1, P_2, P_3 \succ C_1; C_2, C_3$

A *focussed* sequent has the shape  $X \succ C; Y$   
where  $C$  is either a formula or is *empty*,  
and  $X$  and  $Y$  are finite multisets of formulas.

(The empty case corresponds to a proof of a *contradiction*.)

A *proof* for  $P_1, P_2, P_3 \succ C_1; C_2, C_3$  is a proof of  $C_1$   
from the context  $P_1, P_2, P_3$  (*positive*) and  $C_2^-, C_3^-$  (*negative*).

# Focus, Defocus; Retrieve and Store

## NATURAL DEDUCTION

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [A^-] \\ \Pi \\ \# \\ \hline \end{array}}{A} \text{ Retrieve}$$

## SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\frac{X \succ ; A, Y}{X \succ A; Y} \text{ Focus}$$

# Focus, Defocus; Retrieve and Store

## NATURAL DEDUCTION

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [A^-] \\ \Pi \\ \# \end{array}}{A} \text{ Retrieve}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{cc} \Pi & \\ A & A^- \end{array}}{\#} \text{ Store}$$

## SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\frac{X \succ ; A, Y}{X \succ A; Y} \text{ Focus}$$

$$\frac{X \succ A; Y}{X \succ ; A, Y} \text{ Defocus}$$

# Derivations with *focus* build proofs with *alternatives*

## SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{p \succ p;}{p \succ ; p} \text{Defocus} \\
 \frac{p \succ ; p}{p \succ q; p} \text{Focus} \\
 \frac{p \succ p; \quad p \succ q; p}{p \succ p; \quad p \rightarrow q; p} \rightarrow R \\
 \frac{p \succ p; \quad p \rightarrow q; p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p} \rightarrow L \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ ; p, p} \text{Defocus} \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ ; p, p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p} \text{Focus}
 \end{array}$$

## NATURAL DEDUCTION

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{[p]^1 \quad [p^-]^2}{\quad} \text{Store} \\
 \frac{\quad}{q} \# \text{Retrieve} \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \quad \frac{\quad}{p \rightarrow q} \rightarrow I^1}{p} \rightarrow E \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \quad [p^-]^2}{\quad} \text{Store} \\
 \frac{\quad}{q} \# \text{Retrieve}
 \end{array}$$

# Derivations with *focus* build proofs with *alternatives*

## SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{p \succ p;}{p \succ ; p} \text{Defocus} \\
 \frac{p \succ ; p}{p \succ q; p} \text{Focus} \\
 \frac{p \succ p; \quad p \succ q; p}{p \succ p; \quad p \rightarrow q; p} \rightarrow R \\
 \frac{p \succ p; \quad p \rightarrow q; p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p} \rightarrow L \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ ; p, p} \text{Defocus} \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ ; p, p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p} \text{Focus}
 \end{array}$$

## NATURAL DEDUCTION

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{[p]^1 \quad [p^-]^2}{\quad} \text{Store} \\
 \frac{\quad}{q} \# \text{Retrieve} \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \quad \frac{\quad}{p \rightarrow q} \rightarrow I^1}{p} \rightarrow E \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \quad [p^-]^2}{\quad} \text{Store} \\
 \frac{\quad}{q} \# \text{Retrieve}
 \end{array}$$



# Derivations with *focus* build proofs with *alternatives*

## SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{p \succ p;}{p \succ ; p} \text{Defocus} \\
 \frac{p \succ ; p}{p \succ q; p} \text{Focus} \\
 \frac{p \succ p; \quad p \succ q; p}{p \succ p; \quad p \rightarrow q; p} \rightarrow R \\
 \frac{p \succ p; \quad p \rightarrow q; p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p} \rightarrow L \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ ; p, p} \text{Defocus} \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ ; p, p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p} \text{Focus}
 \end{array}$$

## NATURAL DEDUCTION

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{[p]^1 \quad [p^-]^2}{\quad} \text{Store} \\
 \frac{\#}{q} \text{Retrieve} \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \quad \frac{p \rightarrow q}{\quad} \rightarrow I^1}{p \quad p \rightarrow q} \rightarrow E \\
 \frac{p \quad [p^-]^2}{\quad} \text{Store} \\
 \frac{\#}{q} \text{Retrieve}
 \end{array}$$

# Derivations with *focus* build proofs with *alternatives*

## SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{p \succ p;}{p \succ ; p} \text{Defocus} \\
 \frac{p \succ ; p}{p \succ q; p} \text{Focus} \\
 \frac{p \succ p; \quad p \succ q; p}{p \succ p; \quad p \rightarrow q; p} \rightarrow R \\
 \frac{p \succ p; \quad p \rightarrow q; p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p} \rightarrow L \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ ; p, p} \text{Defocus} \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ ; p, p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p} \text{Focus}
 \end{array}$$

## NATURAL DEDUCTION

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{[p]^1 \quad [p^-]^2}{\quad} \text{Store} \\
 \frac{\#}{\quad} \text{Retrieve} \\
 \frac{[p]^1 \quad [p^-]^2}{q} \text{Retrieve} \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \quad p \rightarrow q}{p} \rightarrow E \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \quad p \rightarrow q}{p \rightarrow q} \rightarrow I^1 \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \quad p \rightarrow q}{p} \rightarrow E \\
 \frac{p \quad [p^-]^2}{\quad} \text{Store} \\
 \frac{\#}{q} \text{Retrieve}
 \end{array}$$

# Derivations with *focus* build proofs with *alternatives*

## SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{p \succ p;}{p \succ ; p} \text{Defocus} \\
 \frac{p \succ ; p}{p \succ q; p} \text{Focus} \\
 \frac{p \succ p; \quad p \succ q; p}{p \succ p; \quad \succ p \rightarrow q; p} \rightarrow R \\
 \frac{p \succ p; \quad \succ p \rightarrow q; p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p} \rightarrow L \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ ; p, p} \text{Defocus} \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ ; p, p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p} \text{Focus}
 \end{array}$$

## NATURAL DEDUCTION

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{[p]^1 \quad [p^-]^2}{\quad} \text{Store} \\
 \frac{\#}{q} \text{Retrieve} \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \quad \frac{p \rightarrow q}{\quad} \rightarrow I^1}{p \quad p \rightarrow q} \rightarrow E \\
 \frac{p \quad [p^-]^2}{\quad} \text{Store} \\
 \frac{\#}{q} \text{Retrieve}
 \end{array}$$

# Derivations with *focus* build proofs with *alternatives*

## SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{p \succ p;}{p \succ ; p} \text{Defocus} \\
 \frac{p \succ ; p}{p \succ q; p} \text{Focus} \\
 \frac{p \succ q; p}{p \succ p \rightarrow q; p} \rightarrow R \\
 \frac{p \succ p; \succ p \rightarrow q; p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p} \rightarrow L \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ ; p, p} \text{Defocus} \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ ; p, p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p} \text{Focus}
 \end{array}$$

## NATURAL DEDUCTION

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{[p]^1 \quad [p^-]^2}{\quad} \text{Store} \\
 \frac{\#}{q} \text{Retrieve} \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \quad \frac{p \rightarrow q}{p} \rightarrow I^1}{p \rightarrow q} \rightarrow E \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \quad [p^-]^2}{\quad} \text{Store} \\
 \frac{\#}{q} \text{Retrieve}
 \end{array}$$

# Derivations with *focus* build proofs with *alternatives*

## SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{p \succ p;}{p \succ ; p} \text{Defocus} \\
 \frac{p \succ ; p}{p \succ q; p} \text{Focus} \\
 \frac{p \succ p; \quad p \succ q; p}{p \succ p; \quad p \rightarrow q; p} \rightarrow R \\
 \frac{p \succ p; \quad p \rightarrow q; p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ ; p, p} \rightarrow L \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ ; p, p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p} \text{Defocus} \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p} \text{Focus}
 \end{array}$$

## NATURAL DEDUCTION

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{[p]^1 \quad [p^-]^2}{\quad} \text{Store} \\
 \frac{\quad}{q} \# \text{Retrieve} \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \quad \frac{p \rightarrow q}{p \rightarrow q} \rightarrow I^1}{p} \rightarrow E \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \quad p}{[p^-]^2} \text{Store} \\
 \frac{\quad}{q} \# \text{Retrieve}
 \end{array}$$

# Derivations with *focus* build proofs with *alternatives*

## SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{p \succ p;}{p \succ ; p} \text{Defocus} \\
 \frac{p \succ ; p}{p \succ q; p} \text{Focus} \\
 \frac{p \succ p; \quad p \succ q; p}{p \succ p; \quad p \rightarrow q; p} \rightarrow R \\
 \frac{p \succ p; \quad p \rightarrow q; p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p} \rightarrow L \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ ; p, p} \text{Defocus} \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ ; p, p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p} \text{Focus}
 \end{array}$$

## NATURAL DEDUCTION

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{[p]^1 \quad [p^-]^2}{\quad} \text{Store} \\
 \frac{\quad}{q} \# \text{Retrieve} \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \quad \frac{p \rightarrow q}{p \rightarrow q} \rightarrow I^1}{p} \rightarrow E \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \quad [p^-]^2}{\quad} \text{Store} \\
 \frac{\quad}{q} \# \text{Retrieve}
 \end{array}$$

# Derivations with *focus* build proofs with *alternatives*

## SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{p \succ p;}{p \succ ; p} \text{Defocus} \\
 \frac{p \succ ; p}{p \succ q; p} \text{Focus} \\
 \frac{p \succ q; p}{p \succ p; \succ p \rightarrow q; p} \rightarrow R \\
 \frac{p \succ p; \succ p \rightarrow q; p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p} \rightarrow L \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ ; p, p} \text{Defocus} \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ ; p, p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \succ p; } \text{Focus}
 \end{array}$$

## NATURAL DEDUCTION

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{[p]^1 \quad [p^-]^2}{\#} \text{Store} \\
 \frac{\#}{q} \text{Retrieve} \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \quad \frac{p \rightarrow q}{\#} \rightarrow I^1}{p} \rightarrow E \\
 \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \quad \frac{p \rightarrow q}{\#} \rightarrow I^1}{p} \rightarrow E \\
 \frac{p \quad [p^-]^2}{\#} \text{Store} \\
 \frac{\#}{q} \text{Retrieve}
 \end{array}$$

Adding the *Store/Retrieve* rules  
to Gentzen–Prawitz Natural Deduction  
gives you a well-behaved, normalising  
natural deduction system for classical logic.



Adding the *Store/Retrieve* rules  
to Gentzen–Prawitz Natural Deduction  
gives you a well-behaved, normalising  
natural deduction system for classical logic.

(It's basically Michel Parigot's  $\lambda\mu$  calculus.)

## Interpreting Sequents with Focus

$X \succ A; Y$  — a *proof* of  $A$ , from a context where  $X$  is asserted and  $Y$  is denied.

## Interpreting Sequents with Focus

$X \succ A; Y$  — a *proof* of  $A$ , from a context where  $X$  is asserted and  $Y$  is denied.

$X \succ ; Y$  — a *refutation* of asserting  $X$  and denying  $Y$ .

I'll pass freely between sequent derivations  
and natural deduction proofs with alternatives.

# DEFINING RULES

## What makes rules *well behaved*?

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B} \wedge I \qquad \frac{A \wedge B}{A} \wedge E \qquad \frac{A \wedge B}{B} \wedge E$$

## What makes rules *well behaved*?

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B} \wedge I \qquad \frac{A \wedge B}{A} \wedge E \qquad \frac{A \wedge B}{B} \wedge E$$

$$\frac{A}{A \text{ tonk } B} \text{tonk}I \qquad \frac{A \text{ tonk } B}{B} \text{tonk}E$$

## THE RUNABOUT INFERENCE-TICKET

By A. N. PRIOR

IT is sometimes alleged that there are inferences whose validity arises solely from the meanings of certain expressions occurring in them. The precise technicalities employed are not important, but let us say that such inferences, if any such there be, are **analytically valid**.

One sort of inference which is sometimes said to be in this sense analytically valid is the passage from a conjunction to either of its conjuncts, e.g., the inference 'Grass is green and the sky is blue, therefore grass is green'. The validity of this inference is said to arise solely from the meaning of the word 'and'. For if we are asked what is the meaning of the word 'and', at least in the purely conjunctive sense (as opposed to, e.g., its colloquial use to mean 'and then'), the answer is said to be *completely* given by saying that (i) from any pair of statements P and Q we can infer the statement formed by joining P to Q by 'and' (which statement we hereafter describe as 'the statement P-and-Q'), that (ii)



## One option . . .

One way to be analytically valid is to be a *definition* . . .

## One option . . .

One way to be analytically valid is to be a *definition* . . .

. . . but  $\wedge I$  and  $\wedge E$  don't look  
much like *definitions*.

## Invertible rules look *more* like definitions.

$$\frac{\frac{X, A, B \succ Z}{X, A \wedge B \succ Z}}{\wedge Df} \quad \frac{\frac{X, A \succ B; Y}{X \succ A \rightarrow B; Y}}{\rightarrow Df} \quad \frac{\frac{X, A \succ ; Y}{X \succ \neg A; Y}}{\neg Df}$$

They characterise *one* aspect of the behaviour of the introduced concept (positively or negatively). The structural rules settle the rest.

They are *conservative* and *uniquely defining*.

## From Defining Rules to Left/Right Rules ...

$$\frac{X, A, B \succ Z}{X, A \wedge B \succ Z} \wedge Df \downarrow \qquad \frac{X, A, B \succ Z}{X, A \wedge B \succ Z} \wedge L$$

$\wedge L$  is one half of  $\wedge Df$

## From Defining Rules to Left/Right Rules ...

$$\frac{X, A, B \succ Z}{X, A \wedge B \succ Z} \wedge Df\downarrow \qquad \frac{X, A, B \succ Z}{X, A \wedge B \succ Z} \wedge L$$

$\wedge L$  is one half of  $\wedge Df$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{X \succ A; Y}{X, X' \succ A \wedge B; Y, Y'} \text{Cut} \quad \frac{\frac{X' \succ B; Y'}{X', A \succ A \wedge B; Y'} \text{Cut} \quad \frac{\frac{A \wedge B \succ A \wedge B}{A, B \succ A \wedge B} \wedge Df\uparrow}{A \wedge B \succ A \wedge B} Id}{X, X' \succ A \wedge B; Y, Y'} \text{Cut}}$$

## From Defining Rules to Left/Right Rules ...

$$\frac{X, A, B \succ Z}{X, A \wedge B \succ Z} \wedge_{Df\downarrow} \qquad \frac{X, A, B \succ Z}{X, A \wedge B \succ Z} \wedge_L$$

$\wedge_L$  is one half of  $\wedge_{Df}$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{A \wedge B \succ A \wedge B;}}{A, B \succ A \wedge B;}}{X', A \succ A \wedge B; Y'} \wedge_{Df\uparrow} \quad \frac{X' \succ B; Y' \quad A, B \succ A \wedge B;}{X', A \succ A \wedge B; Y'} \text{Cut} \quad \frac{X \succ A; Y \quad X' \succ B; Y'}{X, X' \succ A \wedge B; Y, Y'} \wedge_R$$

$$\frac{X \succ A; Y \quad X', A \succ A \wedge B; Y'}{X, X' \succ A \wedge B; Y, Y'} \text{Cut}$$

$\wedge_R$  is formed from the other half, using *Id* and *Cut*.

## ... and back

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{A \succ A;} \text{Id} \quad \frac{}{B \succ B;} \text{Id} \\
 \hline
 A, B \succ A \wedge B; \quad \text{X, } A \wedge B \succ Z \\
 \hline
 \text{X, } A, B \succ Z \quad \text{Cut}
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \frac{X, A \wedge B \succ Z}{X, A, B \succ Z} \wedge \text{Df}\uparrow$$

We can recover  $\wedge \text{Df}\uparrow$  from  $\wedge R$ , given  $\text{Id}$  and  $\text{Cut}$ , and  $\wedge \text{Df}\downarrow$  is  $\wedge L$ .



## *L/R* rules given in this way admit elimination of principal *Cuts*

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Delta}{X \succ A; Y} \quad \frac{\Delta'}{X' \succ B; Y'}}{X, X' \succ A \wedge B; Y, Y'} \wedge_R \quad \frac{\frac{\Delta''}{X'', A, B \succ Z''}}{X'', A \wedge B \succ Z''} \wedge_L}{X, X', X'' \succ Z'', Y, Y'} \textit{Cut}$$

Unpacks into . . .

## L/R rules given in this way admit elimination of principal *Cuts*

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Delta}{X \succ A; Y} \quad \frac{\Delta'}{X' \succ B; Y'}}{X, X' \succ A \wedge B; Y, Y'} \wedge_R \quad \frac{\frac{\Delta''}{X'', A, B \succ Z''}}{X'', A \wedge B \succ Z''} \wedge_L}{X, X', X'' \succ Z'', Y, Y'} \text{Cut}$$

Unpacks into ...

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Delta}{X \succ A; Y} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\Delta'}{X' \succ B; Y'}}{X', A \succ A \wedge B; Y'}}{X, X' \succ A \wedge B; Y, Y'} \text{Cut} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\Delta''}{X'', A, B \succ Z''}}{X'', A \wedge B \succ Z''} \wedge_{Df\downarrow}}{X'', A \wedge B \succ Z''} \wedge_{Df\uparrow}}{X, X', X'' \succ Z'', Y, Y'} \text{Cut}$$

# L/R rules given in this way admit elimination of principal *Cuts*

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Delta}{X \succ A; Y} \quad \frac{\Delta'}{X' \succ B; Y'}}{X, X' \succ A \wedge B; Y, Y'} \wedge_R \quad \frac{\frac{\Delta''}{X'', A, B \succ Z''}}{X'', A \wedge B \succ Z''} \wedge_L}{X, X', X'' \succ Z'', Y, Y'} \text{Cut}$$

Unpacks into ...

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Delta}{X \succ A; Y} \quad \frac{\frac{\Delta'}{X' \succ B; Y'} \quad \frac{\frac{\overline{A \wedge B \succ A \wedge B};}{A, B \succ A \wedge B;}}{\wedge_{Df\uparrow}}}{\text{Cut}}}{X, X' \succ A \wedge B; Y, Y'} \text{Cut} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\Delta''}{X'', A, B \succ Z''}}{\wedge_{Df\downarrow}}}{X'', A \wedge B \succ Z''} \text{Cut}}{X, X', X'' \succ Z'', Y, Y'}$$

# L/R rules given in this way admit elimination of principal *Cuts*

Permuting the *Cuts*, this becomes . . .

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \Delta \\
 \hline
 X \succ A; Y \\
 \\
 \Delta' \quad \frac{X' \succ B; Y'}{\quad} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{}{A \wedge B \succ A \wedge B} Id}{A, B \succ A \wedge B} \wedge Df\uparrow \quad \frac{\frac{\Delta''}{X'', A, B \succ Z''} \wedge Df\downarrow}{X'', A \wedge B \succ Y''} \wedge Df\downarrow}{X'', A, B \succ Z''} \text{Cut} \\
 \hline
 \frac{X \succ A; Y \quad X', A \succ Z'', Y'}{X, X', X'' \succ Z'', Y, Y'} \text{Cut}
 \end{array}$$

. . . which (since the *Id/Df↑/Df↓/Cut* detour is redundant) simplifies to:

# L/R rules given in this way admit elimination of principal *Cuts*

Permuting the *Cuts*, this becomes . . .

$$\frac{\Delta \quad \frac{X' \succ B; Y' \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{}{A \wedge B \succ A \wedge B} Id}{A, B \succ A \wedge B} \wedge Df\uparrow \quad \frac{\frac{\Delta''}{X'', A, B \succ Z''} \wedge Df\downarrow}{X'', A \wedge B \succ Y''} \wedge Df\downarrow}{X'', A, B \succ Z''} \wedge Df\downarrow}{X', A \succ Z'', Y'} \wedge Df\downarrow}{X, X', X'' \succ Z'', Y, Y'} \wedge Df\downarrow$$

. . . which (since the *Id/Df $\uparrow$ /Df $\downarrow$ /Cut* detour is redundant) simplifies to:

$$\frac{\Delta \quad \frac{X' \succ B; Y' \quad X'', A, B \succ Z''}{X', A \succ Z'', Y'} \wedge Df\downarrow}{X, X', X'' \succ Z'', Y, Y'} \wedge Df\downarrow$$

# $\wedge D$ in Natural Deduction

## SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\frac{X, A, B \succ Z}{X, A \wedge B \succ Z} \wedge D \downarrow$$

## NATURAL DEDUCTION

$$\frac{A \wedge B \quad \begin{array}{c} [A, B] \\ \Pi \\ C \end{array}}{C} \wedge E$$

# $\wedge D$ in Natural Deduction

## SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\frac{X, A, B \succ Z}{X, A \wedge B \succ Z} \wedge Df\downarrow$$

$$\frac{X, A \wedge B \succ Z}{X, A, B \succ Z} \wedge Df\uparrow$$

## NATURAL DEDUCTION

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [A, B] \\ \Pi \\ A \wedge B \end{array} \quad \frac{C}{C} \wedge E}{C}$$

$$\frac{\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B} \wedge I}{\Pi}$$

*Cut Elimination* and the *Subformula Property*  
for rules other than *Cut* gives *Conservative Extension*.



*Cut Elimination* and the *Subformula Property*  
for rules other than *Cut* gives *Conservative Extension*.

The shape of the *defining rules* gives *Uniqueness*.

## Defining Rules and *Generality*

$$\frac{X \succ A(n); Y}{X \succ \forall x A(x); Y} \forall Df$$

## Defining Rules and *Generality*

$$\frac{X \succ A(n); Y}{X \succ \forall x A(x); Y} \forall Df$$

$n$  is absent from the lower sequent,  
and it must be *inferentially general*.

## Specification as a Rule

$$\frac{\forall x Fx \succ \forall x Fx;}{\frac{\forall x Fx \succ Fn;}{\forall x Fx \succ Ft;}} \forall Df \uparrow$$

## Specification as a Rule

$$\frac{\forall xFx \succ \forall xFx;}{\forall xFx \succ Fn;} \forall Df\uparrow$$
$$\frac{\forall xFx \succ Fn;}{\forall xFx \succ Ft;} Spec_t^n$$

## Specification as a Rule

$$\frac{\forall x Fx \succ \forall x Fx;}{\forall x Fx \succ Fn;} \forall Df\uparrow$$
$$\frac{\forall x Fx \succ Fn;}{\forall x Fx \succ Ft;} Spec_t^n$$

$$\frac{X \succ Z}{X[n/t] \succ Z[n/t]} Spec_t^n$$

*Spec*, like *Id* and *Cut*, are primitive rules in the system with *Df* rules.

*Spec*, like *Id* and *Cut*, are primitive rules in the system with *Df* rules.

*Spec* is admissible (*height preserving* admissible, in fact) as are *Id* (for complex formulas) and *Cut* in the system with *L/R* rules.



# DEFINING RULES FOR IDENTITY

# Identity and Harmony

## *Identity and harmony*

STEPHEN READ

### 1. *Harmony*

The inferentialist account of logic says that the meaning of a logical operator is given by the rules for its application. Prior (1960–61) showed that a simple and straightforward interpretation of this account of logicity reduces to absurdity. For if ‘tonk’ has the meaning given by the rules Prior proposed for it, contradiction follows. Accordingly, a more subtle interpretation of inferentialism is needed. Such a proposal was put forward initially by Gentzen (1934) and elaborated by, e.g., Prawitz (1977). The meaning of a logical expression is given by the rules for the assertion of statements containing that expression (as designated component); these are its introduction-rules. The meaning so given justifies further rules for drawing inferences from such assertions; these are its elimination-rules:

The introductions represent, as it were, the ‘definitions’ of the symbols concerned, and the eliminations are no more, in the final analysis, than the consequence of these definitions. (Gentzen 1934: 80)

For example, if the only ground for assertion of ‘ $p$  tonk  $q$ ’ is given by Prior’s rule:

$$\frac{p}{p \text{ tonk } q} \text{ tonk-I}$$

then Prior mis-stated the elimination-rule. It should read

$$\frac{\frac{p \text{ tonk } q}{r} \quad r}{p} \text{ tonk-E}$$



## A Defining Rule for Identity

$$\frac{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} =Df$$

(Here,  $F$  is *inferentially general*, and  
absent from the lower sequent.)

## A Defining Rule for Identity

$$\frac{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} =Df$$

(Here,  $F$  is *inferentially general*, and  
absent from the lower sequent.)

Denying  $a = b$  has the same significance as taking there  
to be *some* feature  $F$  that holds of  $a$  but not  $b$ , or *vice versa*.

## A Defining Rule for Identity

$$\frac{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} =_{Df}$$

(Here,  $F$  is *inferentially general*, and  
absent from the lower sequent.)

Denying  $a = b$  has the same significance as taking there  
to be *some* feature  $F$  that holds of  $a$  but not  $b$ , or *vice versa*.

Or equivalently, to prove that  $a = b$ , prove  $Fb$   
from the assumption  $Fa$  (and *vice versa*),  
where the predicate  $F$  is *arbitrary*.

## A Defining Rule for Identity

$$\frac{\frac{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{=Df}}{X \succ a = b; Y}$$

(Here,  $F$  is *inferentially general*, and  
absent from the lower sequent.)

Denying  $a = b$  has the same significance as taking there  
to be *some* feature  $F$  that holds of  $a$  but not  $b$ , or *vice versa*.

Or equivalently, to prove that  $a = b$ , prove  $Fb$   
from the assumption  $Fa$  (and *vice versa*),  
where the predicate  $F$  is *arbitrary*.

Identity is a kind of *indistinguishability*.

## $=Df\uparrow$ in Natural Deduction

### SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\frac{X \succ a = b; Y}{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y} =Df\uparrow_1$$

$$\frac{X \succ a = b; Y}{X, Fb \succ Fa; Y} =Df\uparrow_2$$

## $=Df\uparrow$ in Natural Deduction

### SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\frac{X \succ a = b; Y}{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y} =Df\uparrow_1$$

$$\frac{X \succ a = b; Y}{X, Fb \succ Fa; Y} =Df\uparrow_2$$

### NATURAL DEDUCTION

$$\frac{\Pi \quad a = b \quad Fa}{Fb} =E_1$$

$$\frac{\Pi \quad a = b \quad Fb}{Fa} =E_2$$



## $=Df\uparrow$ in Natural Deduction

### SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\frac{\frac{X \succ a = b; Y}{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y} =Df\uparrow_1}{X, Pa \succ Pb; Y} =Spec_P^F$$

$$\frac{\frac{X \succ a = b; Y}{X, Fb \succ Fa; Y} =Df\uparrow_2}{X, Pb \succ Pa; Y} =Spec_P^F$$

### NATURAL DEDUCTION

$$\frac{\Pi \quad a = b \quad Pa}{Pb} =E_1$$

$$\frac{\Pi \quad a = b \quad Pb}{Pa} =E_2$$

## An Example Derivation

$$\frac{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} =Df$$

$$\frac{X \succ A[x/a]; Y}{X \succ (\lambda x.A)a; Y} \lambda Df$$

$$\frac{X \succ Z}{X[F/P] \succ Z[F/P]} Spec_P^F$$

---

# An Example Derivation

$$\frac{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} =Df$$

$$\frac{X \succ A[x/a]; Y}{X \succ (\lambda x.A)a; Y} \lambda Df$$

$$\frac{X \succ Z}{X[F/P] \succ Z[F/P]} Spec_P^F$$

$$\frac{Fa \succ Fa; \quad Fa \succ Fa;}{\succ a = a;} =Df\downarrow$$

$$\frac{\succ a = a;}{\succ (\lambda x.x = a)a;} \lambda Df\downarrow$$

$$\frac{a = b \succ a = b;}{a = b, Fa \succ Fb;} =Df\uparrow$$

$$\frac{a = b, Fa \succ Fb;}{a = b, (\lambda x.x = a)a \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;} Spec_{(\lambda x.x=a)}^F$$

$$\frac{a = b, (\lambda x.x = a)a \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;}{a = b \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;} Cut$$

$$\frac{a = b \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;}{a = b \succ b = a;} \lambda Df\uparrow$$

# An Example Derivation

$$\frac{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} =Df \qquad \frac{X \succ A[x/a]; Y}{X \succ (\lambda x.A)a; Y} \lambda Df \qquad \frac{X \succ Z}{X[F/P] \succ Z[F/P]} Spec_P^F$$


---

$$\frac{Fa \succ Fa; \quad Fa \succ Fa;}{\succ a = a;} =Df\downarrow \qquad \frac{a = b \succ a = b;}{a = b, Fa \succ Fb;} =Df\uparrow$$

$$\frac{\succ a = a;}{\succ (\lambda x.x = a)a;} \lambda Df\downarrow \qquad \frac{a = b, (\lambda x.x = a)a \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;}{a = b \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;} Spec_{(\lambda x.x=a)}^F$$

$$\frac{\succ (\lambda x.x = a)a; \quad a = b \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;}{a = b \succ b = a;} \lambda Df\uparrow \qquad Cut$$

# An Example Derivation

$$\frac{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} =Df$$

$$\frac{X \succ A[x/a]; Y}{X \succ (\lambda x.A)a; Y} \lambda Df$$

$$\frac{X \succ Z}{X[F/P] \succ Z[F/P]} Spec_P^F$$

$$\frac{Fa \succ Fa; \quad Fa \succ Fa;}{\succ a = a;} =Df\downarrow$$

$$\frac{\succ a = a;}{\succ (\lambda x.x = a)a;} \lambda Df\downarrow$$

$$\frac{a = b \succ a = b; \quad a = b, Fa \succ Fb;}{a = b, (\lambda x.x = a)a \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;} =Df\uparrow$$

$$\frac{a = b, (\lambda x.x = a)a \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;}{a = b \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;} Spec_{(\lambda x.x=a)}^F$$

$$\frac{a = b \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;}{a = b \succ b = a;} Cut$$

$$\frac{a = b \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;}{a = b \succ b = a;} \lambda Df\uparrow$$

# An Example Derivation

$$\frac{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} =Df \quad \frac{X \succ A[x/a]; Y}{X \succ (\lambda x.A)a; Y} \lambda Df \quad \frac{X \succ Z}{X[F/P] \succ Z[F/P]} \text{Spec}_P^F$$


---

$$\frac{Fa \succ Fa; \quad Fa \succ Fa;}{\succ a = a;} =Df\downarrow \quad \frac{a = b \succ a = b;}{a = b, Fa \succ Fb;} =Df\uparrow$$

$$\frac{\succ a = a;}{\succ (\lambda x.x = a)a;} \lambda Df\downarrow \quad \frac{a = b, Fa \succ Fb;}{a = b, (\lambda x.x = a)a \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;} \text{Spec}_{(\lambda x.x=a)}^F$$

$$\frac{\succ (\lambda x.x = a)a; \quad a = b, (\lambda x.x = a)a \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;}{a = b \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;} \text{Cut}$$

$$\frac{a = b \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;}{a = b \succ b = a;} \lambda Df\uparrow$$

# An Example Derivation

$$\frac{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} =Df \quad \frac{X \succ A[x/a]; Y}{X \succ (\lambda x.A)a; Y} \lambda Df \quad \frac{X \succ Z}{X[F/P] \succ Z[F/P]} Spec_P^F$$


---

$$\frac{Fa \succ Fa; \quad Fa \succ Fa;}{\succ a = a;} =Df\downarrow \quad \frac{a = b \succ a = b;}{a = b, Fa \succ Fb;} =Df\uparrow$$

$$\frac{\succ a = a;}{\succ (\lambda x.x = a)a;} \lambda Df\downarrow \quad \frac{a = b, (\lambda x.x = a)a \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;}{a = b, (\lambda x.x = a)b;} Spec_{(\lambda x.x=a)}^F$$

$$\frac{\succ (\lambda x.x = a)a; \quad a = b, (\lambda x.x = a)b;}{a = b \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;} Cut$$

$$\frac{a = b \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;}{a = b \succ b = a;} \lambda Df\uparrow$$

# An Example Derivation

$$\frac{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} =Df$$

$$\frac{X \succ A[x/a]; Y}{X \succ (\lambda x.A)a; Y} \lambda Df$$

$$\frac{X \succ Z}{X[F/P] \succ Z[F/P]} Spec_P^F$$

$$\frac{Fa \succ Fa; \quad Fa \succ Fa;}{\succ a = a;} =Df\downarrow$$

$$\frac{\succ a = a;}{\succ (\lambda x.x = a)a;} \lambda Df\downarrow$$

$$\frac{a = b \succ a = b;}{a = b, Fa \succ Fb;} =Df\uparrow$$

$$\frac{a = b, Fa \succ Fb;}{a = b, (\lambda x.x = a)a \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;} Spec_{(\lambda x.x=a)}^F$$

$$\frac{a = b, (\lambda x.x = a)a \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;}{a = b \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;} Cut$$

$$\frac{a = b \succ (\lambda x.x = a)b;}{a = b \succ b = a;} \lambda Df\uparrow$$



## From Defining Rules to $L/R$ rules: $=Df\downarrow$ is $=R$

$$\frac{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} =Df\downarrow$$

## Deriving $=_L$ rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{a = b \succ a = b;}{a = b, Fa \succ Fb;} =Df\uparrow \\
 \frac{a = b, Fa \succ Fb;}{a = b, Pa \succ Pb;} Spec_P^F \\
 \frac{X \succ Pa; Y \quad a = b, Pa \succ Pb;}{a = b, X \succ Pb; Y} Cut \\
 \frac{a = b, X \succ Pb; Y \quad X', Pb \succ Z'}{a = b, X, X' \succ Z', Y} Cut
 \end{array}$$

## Deriving $=L$ rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{a = b \succ a = b;}{a = b, Fa \succ Fb;} =Df\uparrow \\
 \frac{a = b, Fa \succ Fb;}{a = b, Pa \succ Pb;} Spec_P^F \\
 \frac{X \succ Pa; Y \quad a = b, Pa \succ Pb;}{a = b, X \succ Pb; Y} Cut \\
 \frac{a = b, X \succ Pb; Y \quad X', Pb \succ Z'}{a = b, X, X' \succ Z', Y} Cut
 \end{array}$$

$$\frac{X \succ Pa; Y \quad X', Pb \succ Z'}{a = b, X, X' \succ Z', Y} =L_1$$

## Deriving $=L$ rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{a = b \succ a = b;}{a = b, Fb \succ Fa;} =Df\uparrow \\
 \frac{a = b, Fb \succ Fa;}{a = b, Pb \succ Pa;} =Spec_P^F \\
 \frac{X \succ Pb; Y \quad a = b, Pb \succ Pa;}{a = b, X \succ Pa; Y} =Cut \\
 \frac{a = b, X \succ Pa; Y \quad X', Pa \succ Z'}{a = b, X, X' \succ Z', Y} =Cut
 \end{array}$$

$$\frac{X \succ Pa; Y \quad X', Pb \succ Z'}{a = b, X, X' \succ Z', Y} =L_1 \qquad \frac{X \succ Pb; Y \quad X', Pa \succ Z'}{a = b, X, X' \succ Z', Y} =L_2$$

# Comparing $L/R$ rules and $I/E$ rules

## SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\frac{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} =L$$

## NATURAL DEDUCTION

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [Fa] \\ \Pi \\ Fb \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} [Fb] \\ \Pi' \\ Fa \end{array}}{a = b} =I$$

# Comparing L/R rules and I/E rules

## SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\frac{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} =L$$

$$\frac{X \succ Pa; Y \quad X', Pb \succ Z'}{a = b, X, X' \succ Z', Y} =L_1$$

## NATURAL DEDUCTION

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [Fa] \\ \Pi \\ Fb \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} [Fb] \\ \Pi' \\ Fa \end{array}}{a = b} =I$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Pi \\ a = b \quad Pa \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} Pb \\ \Pi' \end{array}} =E_2$$

# Comparing $L/R$ rules and $I/E$ rules

## SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\frac{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} =L$$

$$\frac{X \succ Pa; Y \quad X', Pb \succ Z'}{a = b, X, X' \succ Z', Y} =L_1$$

$$\frac{X \succ Pb; Y \quad X', Pa \succ Z'}{a = b, X, X' \succ Z', Y} =L_2$$

## NATURAL DEDUCTION

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [Fa] \quad [Fb] \\ \Pi \quad \Pi' \\ Fb \quad Fa \end{array}}{a = b} =I$$

$$\frac{a = b \quad \begin{array}{c} \Pi \\ Pa \end{array}}{Pb \quad \Pi'} =E_2$$

$$\frac{a = b \quad \begin{array}{c} \Pi \\ Pb \end{array}}{Pa \quad \Pi'} =E_2$$

# Our Symmetry Derivation in Natural Deduction

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{[Fa] \quad [Fa]}{a = a} =I}{(\lambda x.x = a)a} \lambda I \quad a = b}{(\lambda x.x = a)b} =E$$
$$\frac{(\lambda x.x = a)b}{b = a} \lambda E$$



## With these Left/Right Rules . . .

*Spec* is height-preserving admissible.

We can eliminate *Cut*, as usual.

## But eliminating *Cut* hardly seems worth it!

$$\frac{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} =_R$$

$$\frac{X \succ Pa; Y \quad X', Pb \succ Z'}{a = b, X, X' \succ Z', Y} =_{L_1} \quad \frac{X \succ Pb; Y \quad X', Pa \succ Z'}{a = b, X, X' \succ Z', Y} =_{L_2}$$

Each rule breaks the subformula property.  
=*R* might be excusable (by analogy with  $\forall R/\exists L$ ),  
but in =*L*, *P* can be *any* predicate,  
primitive or complex.

## But eliminating *Cut* hardly seems worth it!

$$\frac{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} =_R$$

$$\frac{X \succ Pa; Y \quad X', Pb \succ Z'}{a = b, X, X' \succ Z', Y} =_{L_1} \quad \frac{X \succ Pb; Y \quad X', Pa \succ Z'}{a = b, X, X' \succ Z', Y} =_{L_2}$$

Each rule breaks the subformula property.  
= $R$  might be excusable (by analogy with  $\forall R/\exists L$ ),  
but in  $=L$ ,  $P$  can be *any* predicate,  
primitive or complex.

For *analytic* rules, we must look elsewhere.

# IDENTITY AXIOMS

# The Power of Reflexivity

$$\frac{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} =R$$

$=R$  says that we have  $a = b$  if we can transport  $a$ -features to  $b$  (and *vice versa*).

# The Power of Reflexivity

$$\frac{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} =R$$

$=R$  says that we have  $a = b$  if we can transport  $a$ -features to  $b$  (and *vice versa*).

So we are in a position to transport  $a$ -features to  $b$ ,  
and if we already knew that *being identical to*  $a$  was an  
 $a$ -feature, then that's enough show that  $a$  is identical to  $b$ .

## From Rules to *Axioms*: from $=R$ to *Refl*

$$\frac{}{\succ a = a;}^{Ref}$$

## From Rules to *Axioms*: from $=R$ to *Refl*

$$\frac{}{\succ a = a;} \text{RefI}$$

$$\frac{Fa \succ Fa; \quad Fa \succ Fa;}{\succ a = a;} =R$$



## And *Refl* is enough to recover $=_R$

Replace this:

$$\frac{\Delta_1 \quad X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad \Delta_2 \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} =_R$$

## And *Refl* is enough to recover $=R$

Replace this:

$$\frac{\Delta_1 \quad \Delta_2 \quad X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} =R$$

With this:

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\succ a = a;}}{\succ \lambda x.(a = x)a; \lambda R} \text{ Refl} \quad \Delta_1[F/\lambda x.(a = x)]}{X, \lambda x.(a = x)a \succ \lambda x.(a = x)b; Y} \text{ Cut}$$

$$\frac{X \succ \lambda x.(a = x)b; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} \lambda R$$

## The Problem with $=L$

$$\frac{X \succ Pa; Y \quad X', Pb \succ Z'}{\alpha = b, X, X' \succ Z', Y} =_{L_1} \quad \frac{X \succ Pb; Y \quad X', Pa \succ Z'}{\alpha = b, X, X' \succ Z', Y} =_{L_2}$$

This looks just like a *Cut* on  $Pa/Pb$ , at the cost of granting  $\alpha = b$ .

## From $=_L$ to $=_{L.ax}$ and back.

$$\frac{}{a = b, Pa \succ Pb;} =_{L.ax_1} \quad \frac{}{a = b, Pb \succ Pa;} =_{L.ax_2}$$

## From $=L$ to $=L.ax$ and back.

$$\frac{}{a = b, Pa \succ Pb;} =L.ax_1$$

$$\frac{}{a = b, Pb \succ Pa;} =L.ax_2$$

$$\frac{Pa \succ Pa; \quad Pb \succ Pb;}{a = b, Pa \succ Pb;} =L_1$$

$$\frac{Pb \succ Pb; \quad Pa \succ Pa;}{a = b, Pb \succ Pa;} =L_2$$

## From $=L$ to $=L.ax$ and back.

$$\frac{}{a = b, Pa \succ Pb;} =L.ax_1 \quad \frac{}{a = b, Pb \succ Pa;} =L.ax_2$$

$$\frac{X \succ Pa; Y \quad \frac{}{a = b, Pa \succ Pb;} =L.ax_1}{a = b, X \succ Pb; Y} Cut \quad \frac{a = b, X \succ Pb; Y \quad X', Pb \succ Z'}{a = b, X, X' \succ Z', Y} Cut$$

$$\frac{X \succ Pb; Y \quad \frac{}{a = b, Pb \succ Pa;} =L.ax_2}{a = b, X \succ Pa; Y} Cut \quad \frac{a = b, X \succ Pa; Y \quad X', Pa \succ Z'}{a = b, X, X' \succ Z', Y} Cut$$

## We can restrict $=L.ax$ to *primitive* predicates

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{a = b, Pa \succ Pb;} \text{=L.ax}_1 \quad \frac{}{a = b, Qa \succ Qb;} \text{=L.ax}_1 \\
 \frac{}{a = b, Pa \wedge Qa \succ Pb;} \wedge L \quad \frac{}{a = b, Pa \wedge Qa \succ Qb;} \wedge L \\
 \frac{}{a = b, Pa \wedge Qa \succ Pa \wedge Qb;} \wedge R \\
 \frac{}{a = b, \lambda x.(Px \wedge Qx)a \succ \lambda x.(Px \wedge Qx)b;} \lambda
 \end{array}$$

We can restrict  $=L.ax$  to *primitive* predicates

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{a = b, Pb \succ Pa;} \text{ } =L.ax_2 \\
 \frac{}{a = b, Pb, \neg Pa \succ ;} \neg L \\
 \frac{}{a = b, \neg Pa \succ \neg Pb;} \neg R \\
 \hline
 a = b, \lambda x.(\neg Px)a \succ \lambda x.(\neg Px)b; \quad \lambda
 \end{array}$$



We can restrict  $=L.ax$  to *primitive* predicates

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{a = b, Pac \succ Pbc} =L.ax_2}{a = b, \forall y Pay \succ Pbc} \neg L}{a = b, \forall y Pay \succ \forall y Pby} \neg R \quad \frac{}{a = b, \lambda x. (\forall y Pxy) a \succ \lambda x. (\forall y Pxy) b} \lambda$$

# Identity *axioms* in Natural Deduction

## SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\frac{}{\succ a = a;} \text{RefI}$$

## NATURAL DEDUCTION

$$a = a$$

# Identity *axioms* in Natural Deduction

## SEQUENT CALCULUS

$$\frac{}{\succ a = a;} \text{RefI}$$

$$\frac{}{a = b, Pa \succ Pb;} \text{L.ax}_1$$

$$\frac{}{a = b, Pb \succ Pa;} \text{L.ax}_2$$

## NATURAL DEDUCTION

$$a = a$$

$$\frac{a = b \quad Pa}{Pb} =E$$

$$\frac{a = b \quad Pb}{Pa} =E$$

## Now eliminate *Cut*

Now that identity is given by axioms,  
*Cut* elimination proceeds largely  
like the system *without* identity.

## Now eliminate *Cut*

Now that identity is given by axioms,  
*Cut* elimination proceeds largely  
like the system *without* identity.

$$\frac{\frac{}{a = b, Pa \succ Pb;} =L.ax_1 \quad \frac{}{c = b, Pb \succ Pc;} =L.ax_2}{a = b, c = b, Pa \succ Pc;} Cut$$

## Now eliminate *Cut*

Now that identity is given by axioms,  
*Cut* elimination proceeds largely  
like the system *without* identity.

$$\frac{\frac{}{a = b, Pa \succ Pb;} =L.ax_1 \quad \frac{}{c = b, Pb \succ Pc;} =L.ax_2}{a = b, c = b, Pa \succ Pc;} \text{Cut}$$

becomes

$$\frac{}{a = b, c = b, Pa \succ Pc;} =L??$$

## Now eliminate *Cut*

It suffices to close the *axioms* under *Cut*.

$$\frac{}{I_b^a, Pa \succ Pb;} = L.ax^*$$

Where  $I_b^a$  is any multiset  
of identities *linking*  $a$  to  $b$ ,  
and  $P$  is any primitive predicate.

## Now eliminate *Cut*

It suffices to close the *axioms* under *Cut*.

$$\frac{}{I_b^a, Pa \succ Pb;} = L.ax^*$$

Where  $I_b^a$  is any multiset  
of identities *linking*  $a$  to  $b$ ,  
and  $P$  is any primitive predicate.

- (a) The *empty* multiset links  $a$  to  $a$ .
- (b)  $a = b$  links  $a$  to  $b$  and  $b$  to  $a$ .
- (c) If  $X$  links  $a$  to  $b$  and  $Y$  links  
 $b$  to  $c$  then  $X, Y$  links  $a$  to  $c$ .



## Now eliminate *Cut*

It suffices to close the *axioms* under *Cut*.

$$\frac{}{I_b^a, Pa \succ Pb;} = L.ax^*$$

Where  $I_b^a$  is any multiset  
of identities *linking*  $a$  to  $b$ ,  
and  $P$  is any primitive predicate.

- (a) The *empty* multiset links  $a$  to  $a$ .
- (b)  $a = b$  links  $a$  to  $b$  and  $b$  to  $a$ .
- (c) If  $X$  links  $a$  to  $b$  and  $Y$  links  
 $b$  to  $c$  then  $X, Y$  links  $a$  to  $c$ .

(We can leave ' $Pa$ ' out if it is  $a = a$ .)

# Different Sequent Systems

►  $=Df + Cut + Spec$

— It's easy to show that  $=Df$  is *uniquely defining*.

# Different Sequent Systems

- ▶  $=Df + Cut + Spec$ 
  - It's easy to show that  $=Df$  is *uniquely defining*.
- ▶  $=L/R + Cut + Spec$ 
  - Straightforward translation between  $=Df$  and  $=L/R$ .

# Different Sequent Systems

- ▶  $=Df + Cut + Spec$ 
  - It's easy to show that  $=Df$  is *uniquely defining*.
- ▶  $=L/R + Cut + Spec$ 
  - Straightforward translation between  $=Df$  and  $=L/R$ .
- ▶  $=L/R + Cut$ 
  - Since  $Spec$  is height-preserving admissible.

# Different Sequent Systems

- ▶  $=Df + Cut + Spec$ 
  - It's easy to show that  $=Df$  is *uniquely defining*.
- ▶  $=L/R + Cut + Spec$ 
  - Straightforward translation between  $=Df$  and  $=L/R$ .
- ▶  $=L/R + Cut$ 
  - Since *Spec* is height-preserving admissible.
- ▶  $=L/R$ 
  - $=L/R$  rules don't have the subformula property.

# Different Sequent Systems

- ▶  $=Df + Cut + Spec$ 
  - It's easy to show that  $=Df$  is *uniquely defining*.
- ▶  $=L/R + Cut + Spec$ 
  - Straightforward translation between  $=Df$  and  $=L/R$ .
- ▶  $=L/R + Cut$ 
  - Since  $Spec$  is height-preserving admissible.
- ▶  $=L/R$ 
  - $=L/R$  rules don't have the subformula property.
- ▶  $=L.ax + Refl + Cut$ 
  - Easy translation between  $=L/R$  and  $=L.ax + Refl$ , using  $Cut$ .

# Different Sequent Systems

- ▶  $=Df + Cut + Spec$ 
  - It's easy to show that  $=Df$  is *uniquely defining*.
- ▶  $=L/R + Cut + Spec$ 
  - Straightforward translation between  $=Df$  and  $=L/R$ .
- ▶  $=L/R + Cut$ 
  - Since  $Spec$  is height-preserving admissible.
- ▶  $=L/R$ 
  - $=L/R$  rules don't have the subformula property.
- ▶  $=L.ax + Refl + Cut$ 
  - Easy translation between  $=L/R$  and  $=L.ax + Refl$ , using  $Cut$ .
- ▶  $=L.ax^* + Refl$ 
  - $=L.ax^* + Refl$  are *analytic* and *conservatively extending*.

## Kinds of Identity Rules

$$\frac{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} =Df$$

- ▶  $=Df$  defines identity by giving conditions under which  $a = b$  may be proved. We're in a position to prove  $a = b$  iff we're in a position to transfer  $Fa$  to  $Fb$  (and back) for arbitrary  $F$ .



## Kinds of Identity Rules

$$\frac{}{\succ a = a;} \text{ }^{Ref} \qquad \frac{}{I_b^a, Pa \succ Pb;} =L.ax_*$$

- ▶  $=Df$  defines identity by giving conditions under which  $a = b$  may be proved. We're in a position to prove  $a = b$  iff we're in a position to transfer  $Fa$  to  $Fb$  (and back) for arbitrary  $F$ .
- ▶  $Ref$  and  $=L.ax_*$  are *semantic constraints connecting* primitive predicates.

## Kinds of Identity Rules

$$\frac{X, Fa \succ Fb; Y \quad X, Fb \succ Fa; Y}{X \succ a = b; Y} =Df$$

$$\frac{}{\succ a = a;}^{Refl} \quad \frac{}{I_b^a, Pa \succ Pb;}^{=L.ax_*}$$

- ▶  $=Df$  defines identity by giving conditions under which  $a = b$  may be proved. We're in a position to prove  $a = b$  iff we're in a position to transfer  $Fa$  to  $Fb$  (and back) for arbitrary  $F$ .
- ▶  $Refl$  and  $=L.ax_*$  are *semantic constraints connecting* primitive predicates.
- ▶ These two characterisations are *equivalent* as far as derivability goes.

THANK YOU!

# References: Natural Deduction and Sequent Systems

ARTHUR N. PRIOR

“The Runabout Inference-Ticket”

*Analysis* 21:38–39, 1960

NUEL D. BELNAP

“Tonk, Plonk and Plink”

*Analysis* 22:130–134, 1962

SARA NEGRI AND JAN VON PLATO

*Structural Proof Theory*

Cambridge University Press,  
2001

MICHEL PARIGOT

“ $\lambda\mu$ -Calculus: An Algorithmic Interpretation of Classical Natural Deduction”

*International Conference on Logic for Programming Artificial Intelligence and Reasoning*, LNAI 624, 190–201, 1992

GREG RESTALL

“Speech Acts & the Quest for a Natural Account of Classical Proof”

*Presentation at the Berkeley Logic Group*, September 2020  
<https://consequently.org/presentation/>

# References: Defining Rules, Specification and Identity

DANA SCOTT

“Rules and Derived Rules”

*Logical Theory and Semantic Analysis*,  
Springer, 147–161, 1974

IAN HACKING

“What is Logic?”

*Journal of Philosophy*, 76:285–319, 1979

KOSTA DOŠEN

“Logical Constants as Punctuation Marks”

*Notre Dame Journal of Formal  
Logic* 30:362–381, 1989

ARNON AVRON

“Gentzen-type systems, Resolution and  
Tableaux”

*Journal of Automated Reasoning* 10:265–281,  
1993

G. SAMBIN, G. BATTELOTTI AND  
C. FAGGIAN

“Basic logic: Reflection,  
Symmetry, Visibility”

*Journal of Symbolic  
Logic* 65:979–1013, 2014

GREG RESTALL

“Generality and Existence 1:  
Quantification and Free  
Logic”

*Review of Symbolic Logic*,  
12:1–29, 2019

STEPHEN READ

“Identity and Harmony”

*Analysis*, 64(2):113–115, 2004

# Thank you!

**SLIDES:** [https://consequently.org/presentation/2021/  
comparing-identity-rules](https://consequently.org/presentation/2021/comparing-identity-rules)

**FEEDBACK:** @consequently on *Twitter*,  
or *email* `atrestall@unimelb.edu.au`