

# Proof Terms as for Classical Derivations

*Greg Restall*



THE UNIVERSITY OF  
MELBOURNE

LIRA SEMINAR · AMSTERDAM · 6 MARCH 2017

## My Aim

To introduce an *invariant*  
for classical propositional proofs  
to help address questions  
about proof identity.

# Project Outline

1. Why proof identity is important, but difficult.

# Project Outline

1. Why proof identity is important, but difficult.
2. Proof terms for classical sequent derivations.

# Project Outline

1. Why proof identity is important, but difficult.
2. Proof terms for classical sequent derivations.
3. Correctness for proof terms.

# Project Outline

1. Why proof identity is important, but difficult.
2. Proof terms for classical sequent derivations.
3. Correctness for proof terms.
4. Permutations for derivations.

# Project Outline

1. Why proof identity is important, but difficult.
2. Proof terms for classical sequent derivations.
3. Correctness for proof terms.
4. Permutations for derivations.
5. Cut reduction for proof terms.

# Project Outline

1. Why proof identity is important, but difficult.
2. Proof terms for classical sequent derivations.
3. Correctness for proof terms.
4. Permutations for derivations.
5. Cut reduction for proof terms.
6. Cut elimination for derivations.

# Project Outline

1. Why proof identity is important, but difficult.
2. Proof terms for classical sequent derivations.
3. Correctness for proof terms.
4. Permutations for derivations.
5. Cut reduction for proof terms.
6. Cut elimination for derivations.

# Today's Plan

Background

Derivations and Terms

Permutations

Further Work

# BACKGROUND

# On Proofs of THE IRRATIONALITY OF $\sqrt{2}$

By V. C. HARRIS

San Diego State College  
San Diego, California

IN THIS paper there are given thirteen proofs that  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational. Indications are given as to whether the method employed extends to proving the irrationality of other square roots or of roots of higher order. In addition, a reference is provided to an incorrect proof recently published and its criticism.

**Proof 1: Terminal-digit proof.** Assume that  $\sqrt{2}$  is rational, so that we can write  $\sqrt{2} = a/b$  and  $a^2 = 2b^2$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are

terminal digit 0, which implies they are not relatively prime—a contradiction.

**Proof 2: First prime-divisor proof.** Assume  $\sqrt{2} = a/b$  and  $a^2 = 2b^2$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are relatively prime positive integers. We see that  $b > 1$ , since otherwise  $b = 1$  and  $\sqrt{2} = a$ , an integer, which is incorrect. By division,  $b^2 = (a/2) \cdot a$ . Now (1) any positive integer  $> 1$  is either a prime or a product of primes, and (2) if  $p$  is a prime dividing the product  $rs$  of two integers, then  $p$  divides  $r$  or  $p$  divides  $s$ . There is a prime  $p$  dividing  $b$ , since  $b > 1$ . Also,  $p$  divides  $a/2$  or  $p$  divides  $a$ . In either case,  $p$  divides  $a$ , so that  $p$  divides both  $a$  and  $b$ —a contradiction to the assumption that

## When is $\pi_1$ the *same proof* as $\pi_2$ ?

Can you see how *these* two proofs are different?

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{(p)^1 \quad (p)^1}{p \wedge p} \wedge I}{p \supset (p \wedge p)} \supset I^1}{p \supset (p \supset (p \wedge p))} \supset I^2 \qquad \frac{\frac{(p)^1 \quad (p)^2}{p \wedge p} \wedge I}{p \supset (p \wedge p)} \supset I^1}{p \supset (p \supset (p \wedge p))} \supset I^2$$

## Annotate with $\lambda$ -terms

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{(x:p)^1 \quad (x:p)^1}{\langle x, x \rangle : p \wedge p} \wedge I}{\lambda x. \langle x, x \rangle : p \supset (p \wedge p)} \supset I^1}{\lambda y. \lambda x. \langle x, x \rangle : p \supset (p \supset (p \wedge p))} \supset I^2$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{(x:p)^1 \quad (y:p)^2}{\langle x, y \rangle : p \wedge p} \wedge I}{\lambda x. \langle x, y \rangle : p \supset (p \wedge p)} \supset I^1}{\lambda y. \lambda x. \langle x, y \rangle : p \supset (p \supset (p \wedge p))} \supset I^2$$

# Sequent Derivations can be Annotated, Too

$$\frac{\frac{x : p \succ x : p \quad x : p \succ x : p}{x : p \succ \langle x, x \rangle : p \wedge p} \wedge R}{\succ \lambda x. \langle x, x \rangle : p \supset (p \wedge p)} \supset R$$
$$\frac{}{\succ \lambda y. \lambda x. \langle x, x \rangle : p \supset (p \supset (p \wedge p))} \supset R$$

$$\frac{x : p \succ x : p \quad y : p \succ y : p}{x : p, y : p \succ \langle x, y \rangle : p \wedge p} \wedge R$$
$$\frac{\frac{y : p \succ \lambda x. \langle x, y \rangle : p \supset (p \wedge p)}{y : p \succ \lambda x. \langle x, y \rangle : p \supset (p \supset (p \wedge p))} \supset R}{\succ \lambda y. \lambda x. \langle x, y \rangle : p \supset (p \supset (p \wedge p))} \supset R$$

## Different Sequent Derivations have the same term

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{x:p \succ x:p}{y:p \wedge q \succ \text{fst } y:p} \wedge L}{x:p, y:p \wedge q \succ \langle x, \text{fst } y \rangle : p \wedge p} \wedge R}{y:p \wedge q \succ \lambda x. \langle x, \text{fst } y \rangle : p \supset (p \wedge p)} \supset R \\ \succ \lambda y. \lambda x. \langle x, \text{fst } y \rangle : (p \wedge q) \supset (p \supset (p \wedge p)) \supset R$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{x:p \succ x:p \quad z:p \succ z:p}{x:p, z:p \succ \langle x, z \rangle : p \wedge p} \wedge R}{z:p \succ \lambda x. \langle x, z \rangle : p \supset (p \wedge p)} \supset R}{y:p \wedge q \succ \lambda x. \langle x, \text{fst } y \rangle : p \supset (p \wedge p)} \wedge L \\ \succ \lambda y. \lambda x. \langle x, \text{fst } y \rangle : (p \wedge q) \supset (p \supset (p \wedge p)) \supset R$$

## Different Sequent Derivations have the same term

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{x:p \succ x:p}{y:p \wedge q \succ \text{fst } y:p} \wedge L}{x:p, y:p \wedge q \succ \langle x, \text{fst } y \rangle : p \wedge p} \wedge R}{y:p \wedge q \succ \lambda x. \langle x, \text{fst } y \rangle : p \supset (p \wedge p)} \supset R \\ \succ \lambda y. \lambda x. \langle x, \text{fst } y \rangle : (p \wedge q) \supset (p \supset (p \wedge p)) \supset R$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{x:p \succ x:p \quad z:p \succ z:p}{x:p, z:p \succ \langle x, z \rangle : p \wedge p} \wedge R}{z:p \succ \lambda x. \langle x, z \rangle : p \supset (p \wedge p)} \supset R}{y:p \wedge q \succ \lambda x. \langle x, \text{fst } y \rangle : p \supset (p \wedge p)} \wedge L \\ \succ \lambda y. \lambda x. \langle x, \text{fst } y \rangle : (p \wedge q) \supset (p \supset (p \wedge p)) \supset R$$

# An *excursus* on eliminating *Cut*: Contraction and Permutation

$$\frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p \vee p \succ p, p} \vee L \quad \frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p, p \succ p \wedge p} \wedge R}{\frac{p \vee p \succ p}{p \succ p \wedge p}} W \quad Cut$$

# An *excursus* on eliminating *Cut*: Contraction and Permutation

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p \vee p \succ p, p} \text{ vL}}{p \vee p \succ p} \text{ W} \quad \frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p, p \succ p \wedge p} \text{ ^R}}{\frac{p \succ p \wedge p}{p \succ p \wedge p} \text{ W}} \text{ Cut}$$
$$p \vee p \succ p \wedge p$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p, p \succ p \wedge p} \text{ ^R}}{p \succ p \wedge p} \text{ W} \quad \frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p, p \succ p \wedge p} \text{ ^R}}{p \succ p \wedge p} \text{ W}}{\frac{p \vee p \succ p \wedge p, p \wedge p}{p \vee p \succ p \wedge p} \text{ vL}} \text{ W}$$
$$\frac{\frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p \vee p \succ p, p} \text{ vL}}{p \vee p \succ p} \text{ W} \quad \frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p \vee p \succ p, p} \text{ vL}}{p \vee p \succ p} \text{ W}}{\frac{p \vee p, p \vee p \succ p \wedge p}{p \vee p \succ p \wedge p} \text{ ^R}} \text{ W}$$
$$p \vee p \succ p \wedge p$$

# An *excursus* on eliminating *Cut*: Contraction and Permutation

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p \vee p \succ p, p} \textcolor{red}{\vee L}}{p \vee p \succ p} \textcolor{brown}{W}}{p \vee p \succ p \wedge p} \quad \frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p, p \succ p \wedge p} \textcolor{red}{\wedge R}}{p \succ p \wedge p} \textcolor{brown}{W}}$$

$\frac{}{p \vee p \succ p \wedge p} \textcolor{brown}{Cut}$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p, p \succ p \wedge p} \textcolor{red}{\wedge R}}{p \succ p \wedge p} \textcolor{brown}{W} \quad \frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p, p \succ p \wedge p} \textcolor{red}{\wedge R}}{p \succ p \wedge p} \textcolor{brown}{W}}{\frac{}{p \vee p \succ p \wedge p, p \wedge p} \textcolor{red}{\vee L}}$$

$\frac{}{p \vee p \succ p \wedge p} \textcolor{brown}{W}$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p \vee p \succ p, p} \textcolor{red}{\vee L}}{p \vee p \succ p} \textcolor{brown}{W} \quad \frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p \vee p \succ p, p} \textcolor{red}{\vee L}}{p \vee p \succ p} \textcolor{brown}{W}}{\frac{}{p \vee p, p \vee p \succ p \wedge p} \textcolor{red}{\wedge R}}$$

$\frac{}{p \vee p \succ p \wedge p} \textcolor{brown}{W}$

# An *excursus* on eliminating *Cut*: Contraction and Permutation

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p \vee p \succ p, p} \textcolor{red}{\vee L}}{p \vee p \succ p} \textcolor{brown}{W}}{p \vee p \succ p \wedge p} \quad \frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p, p \succ p \wedge p} \textcolor{red}{\wedge R}}{p \succ p \wedge p} \textcolor{brown}{W}}$$

$\frac{}{p \vee p \succ p \wedge p} \textcolor{brown}{Cut}$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p, p \succ p \wedge p} \textcolor{red}{\wedge R}}{p \succ p \wedge p} \textcolor{brown}{W} \quad \frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p, p \succ p \wedge p} \textcolor{red}{\wedge R}}{p \succ p \wedge p} \textcolor{brown}{W}}{\frac{}{p \vee p \succ p \wedge p} \textcolor{red}{\vee L}} \textcolor{brown}{W}$$
$$\frac{\frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p \vee p \succ p, p} \textcolor{red}{\vee L}}{p \vee p \succ p} \textcolor{brown}{W} \quad \frac{\frac{p \succ p \quad p \succ p}{p \vee p \succ p, p} \textcolor{red}{\vee L}}{p \vee p \succ p} \textcolor{brown}{W}}{\frac{}{p \vee p, p \vee p \succ p \wedge p} \textcolor{red}{\wedge R}} \textcolor{brown}{W}$$
$$\frac{}{p \vee p \succ p \wedge p} \textcolor{brown}{W}$$

# An excursus on eliminating Cut: Weakening and Blend

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \delta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \Sigma_1 \succ \Delta_1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \delta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \Sigma_2 \succ \Delta_2 \end{array}}{\frac{\Sigma_1 \succ C, \Delta_1 \quad K \quad \Sigma_2, C \succ \Delta_2 \quad K}{\Sigma_{1,2} \succ \Delta_{1,2}}} Cut$$

# An excursus on eliminating Cut: Weakening and Blend

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \delta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \Sigma_1 \succ \Delta_1 \\ \hline \Sigma_1 \succ C, \Delta_1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \delta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \Sigma_2 \succ \Delta_2 \\ \hline \Sigma_2, C \succ \Delta_2 \end{array}}{\Sigma_{1,2} \succ \Delta_{1,2}} \frac{K}{Cut}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma_1 \succ \Delta_1 \quad \Sigma_2 \succ \Delta_2}{\Sigma_{1,2} \succ \Delta_{1,2}} \frac{}{Blend}$$



# DERIVATIONS AND TERMS

# Symmetry in Classical Logic

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} p \succ p \\ p, \neg p \succ \end{array}}{p \wedge \neg p \succ} \wedge L \qquad \frac{p \succ p}{\succ p, \neg p} \neg R$$

# Symmetry in Classical Logic

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} p \succ p \\ p, \neg p \succ \end{array}}{p \wedge \neg p \succ} \wedge L \qquad \frac{\begin{array}{c} p \succ p \\ \succ p, \neg p \end{array}}{\succ p \vee \neg p} \vee R$$

These sequents *aren't*  $x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n \succ t(x_1, \dots, x_n) : B$ .

# Symmetry in Classical Logic

$$\frac{p \succ p}{p, \neg p \succ} \neg L \qquad \frac{p \succ p}{\succ p, \neg p} \neg R$$
$$\frac{}{p \wedge \neg p \succ} \wedge L \qquad \frac{}{\succ p \vee \neg p} \vee R$$

These sequents *aren't*  $x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n \succ t(x_1, \dots, x_n) : B$ .

Instead, the shape for terms will be

$$x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n \succ y_1 : B_1, \dots, y_m : B_m$$
$$\pi(x_1, \dots, x_n)[y_1, \dots, y_m]$$

## Example Derivations with Terms

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} x \curvearrowright x \\ x : p \succ x : p \end{array}}{x \curvearrowright \neg y} \neg L$$
$$\frac{x : p, y : \neg p \succ}{\lambda z \curvearrowright \lambda z} \wedge L$$
$$z : p \wedge \neg p \succ$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} x \curvearrowright x \\ x : p \succ x : p \end{array}}{\neg y \curvearrowright x} \neg R$$
$$\frac{\succ x : p, y : \neg p}{\neg \vee z \curvearrowright \vee z} \vee R$$
$$\succ z : p \vee \neg p$$

# Labelled Formulas and Sequents

$x : A, y : A, v : B \succ z : C, w : D$

# Labelled Formulas and Sequents

$$x : A, y : A, v : B \succ z : C, w : D$$

$$\frac{x : A, y : A \succ z : C, w : D \quad v : B \succ z : C, w' : D}{x : A, u : A \vee B \succ z : C, w : D, w' : D} \vee L$$

# Labelled Formulas and Sequents

$$x:A, y:A, v:B \succ z:C, w:D$$

$$\frac{x:A, y:A \succ z:C, w:D \quad v:B \succ z:C, w':D}{x:A, u:A \vee B \succ z:C, w:D, w':D} \vee L$$

The  $\Sigma$  and  $\Delta$  are *sets* of labelled formulas in  $\Sigma \succ \Delta$ .

# Basic Axioms

$$\Sigma, \textcolor{red}{x} : \perp \succ \Delta \quad \text{with } \textcolor{red}{x} \rightsquigarrow$$

$$\Sigma, \textcolor{red}{x} : p \succ \textcolor{red}{y} : p, \Delta \quad \text{with } \textcolor{red}{x} \rightsquigarrow \textcolor{red}{y}$$

$$\Sigma \succ \textcolor{red}{y} : \top, \Delta \quad \text{with } \rightsquigarrow \textcolor{red}{y}$$

# Blending Sequents

$$\Sigma_i \succ \Delta_i^{\pi_i}$$

# Blending Sequents

$$\Sigma_i \succ \Delta_i$$

$$\bigcup_i \Sigma_i \succ \bigcup_i \Delta_i$$

# Axioms

$$\Sigma, \textcolor{red}{x} : \perp \succ \Delta$$

$$\Sigma, \textcolor{red}{x} : p \succ \textcolor{red}{y} : p, \Delta$$

$$\Sigma \succ \textcolor{red}{y} : \top, \Delta$$

The *blend* of any finite collection of basic axioms is also an axiom.

# Axioms

$$\Sigma, \textcolor{red}{x} : \perp \succ \Delta$$

$$\Sigma, \textcolor{red}{x} : p \succ \textcolor{red}{y} : p, \Delta$$

$$\Sigma \succ \textcolor{red}{y} : \top, \Delta$$

The *blend* of any finite collection of basic axioms is also an axiom.

$$\textcolor{red}{x} : p, \textcolor{red}{y} : q, \textcolor{red}{z} : r \wedge \neg s \succ \textcolor{red}{x} : p, \textcolor{red}{y} : q, \textcolor{red}{w} : \top$$

# Conjunction

$$\frac{\pi(x, y)}{\Sigma, \mathbf{x}: A, \mathbf{y}: B \succ \Delta} \wedge L$$

$$\frac{\pi_1[x] \quad \pi_2[y]}{\Sigma_1 \succ \mathbf{x}: A, \Delta_1 \quad \Sigma_2 \succ \mathbf{y}: B, \Delta_2} \wedge R$$

# Disjunction

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1(x) \\ \Sigma_1, \textcolor{red}{x}: A \succ \Delta_1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \pi_2(y) \\ \Sigma_2, \textcolor{red}{y}: B \succ \Delta_2 \end{array}}{\textcolor{red}{\pi_1(\dot{\vee} z) \ \pi_2(\dot{\vee} z)}} \quad \frac{}{\Sigma \succ \textcolor{red}{x}: A, \textcolor{red}{y}: B, \Delta} \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi[x, y] \\ \Sigma \succ \textcolor{red}{x}: A, \textcolor{red}{y}: B, \Delta \end{array}}{\textcolor{red}{\pi[\dot{\vee} z, \dot{\vee} z]}} \quad \frac{\Sigma \succ \textcolor{red}{z}: A \vee B, \Delta}{\Sigma \succ \textcolor{red}{z}: A \vee B, \Delta}$$

$\vee L$        $\vee R$

# Conditional

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1[x] & \pi_2(y) \\ \Sigma_1 \succ x : A, \Delta_1 & \Sigma_2, y : B \succ \Delta_2 \end{array}}{\pi_1[\dot{\exists}z] \quad \pi_2(\dot{\exists}z)} \supset L \qquad \frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi(x)[y] \\ \Sigma, x : A \succ y : B, \Delta \end{array}}{\pi(\dot{\exists}z)[\dot{\exists}z]} \supset R$$
$$\Sigma_{1,2}, z : A \supset B \succ \Delta_{1,2} \qquad \qquad \Sigma \succ z : A \supset B, \Delta$$

# Negation

$$\frac{\pi[x]}{\Sigma \succ \mathbf{x} : A, \Delta} \neg L \qquad \frac{\pi(x)}{\Sigma, \mathbf{x} : A \succ \Delta} \neg R$$
$$\Sigma, \mathbf{z} : \neg A \succ \Delta \qquad \Sigma \succ \mathbf{z} : \neg A, \Delta$$

# Cut

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1[x] \\ \Sigma_1 \succ \textcolor{red}{x} : A, \Delta_1 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \pi_2(y) \\ \Sigma_2, \textcolor{red}{y} : A \succ \Delta_2 \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1[\bullet] \quad \pi_2(\bullet) \\ \Sigma_{1,2} \succ \Delta_{1,2} \end{array}} \textit{Cut}$$

## $\alpha$ Rules

$$\frac{\pi(x, y)}{\Sigma, \mathbf{x}: A, \mathbf{y}: B \succ \Delta} \wedge L \quad \frac{\pi[x, y]}{\Sigma \succ \mathbf{x}: A, \mathbf{y}: B, \Delta} \vee R$$

$$\Sigma, \mathbf{z}: A \wedge B \succ \Delta \quad \Sigma \succ \mathbf{z}: A \vee B, \Delta$$

$$\frac{\pi(x)[y]}{\Sigma, \mathbf{x}: A \succ \mathbf{y}: B, \Delta} \supset R \quad \frac{\pi[x]}{\Sigma \succ \mathbf{x}: A, \Delta} \neg L \quad \frac{\pi(x)}{\Sigma, \mathbf{x}: A \succ \Delta} \neg R$$

$$\Sigma \succ \mathbf{z}: A \supset B, \Delta \quad \Sigma, \mathbf{z}: \neg A \succ \Delta \quad \Sigma \succ \mathbf{z}: \neg A, \Delta$$

$$\frac{\pi\{\mathbf{a}_1\}\{\mathbf{a}_2\}}{\mathfrak{S}\{\mathbf{a}_1: \alpha_1\}\{\mathbf{a}_2: \alpha_2\}}$$

$$\frac{\pi\{\dot{\alpha}\alpha\}\{\dot{\alpha}\alpha\}}{\mathfrak{S}\{\mathbf{a}: \alpha\}}$$

## $\alpha$ Rule Rubric

RULE	$\{a_1 : \alpha_1\}$	$\{a_2 : \alpha_2\}$	$\{a : \alpha\}$	$\check{\alpha}$	$\check{\alpha}$
$\wedge L$	input, A	input, B	input, $A \wedge B$	$\check{\wedge}$	$\lambda$
$\vee R$	output, A	output, B	output, $A \vee B$	$\check{\vee}$	$\check{\vee}$
$\supset R$	input, A	output, B	output, $A \supset B$	$\check{\supset}$	$\check{\supset}$
$\neg L$	output, A	output, A	input, $\neg A$	$\check{\neg}$	$\check{\neg}$
$\neg R$	input, A	input, A	output, $\neg A$	$\check{\neg}$	$\check{\neg}$

## β Rules

$$\frac{\pi_1[x] \quad \pi_2[y]}{\Sigma_1 \succ \textcolor{red}{x} : A, \Delta_1 \quad \Sigma_2 \succ \textcolor{red}{y} : B, \Delta_2} \wedge R$$

$$\frac{}{\Sigma_{1,2} \succ \textcolor{red}{z} : A \wedge B, \Delta_{1,2}}$$

$$\frac{\pi_1(x) \quad \pi_2(y)}{\Sigma_1, \textcolor{red}{x} : A \succ \Delta_1 \quad \Sigma_2, \textcolor{red}{y} : B \succ \Delta_2} \vee L$$

$$\frac{}{\Sigma_{1,2}, \textcolor{red}{z} : A \vee B \succ \Delta_{1,2}}$$

$$\frac{\pi_1[x] \quad \pi_2(y)}{\Sigma_1 \succ \textcolor{red}{x} : A, \Delta_1 \quad \Sigma_2, \textcolor{red}{y} : B \succ \Delta_2} \supset L$$

$$\frac{}{\Sigma_{1,2}, \textcolor{red}{z} : A \supset B \succ \Delta_{1,2}}$$

$$\frac{\pi_1[x] \quad \pi_2(y)}{\Sigma_1 \succ \textcolor{red}{x} : A, \Delta_1 \quad \Sigma_2, \textcolor{red}{y} : A \succ \Delta_2} Cut$$

$$\frac{}{\Sigma_{1,2} \succ \Delta_{1,2}}$$

$$\frac{\pi_1\{b_1\} \quad \pi_2\{b_2\}}{\mathfrak{S}_1\{b_1 : \beta_1\} \quad \mathfrak{S}_2\{b_2 : \beta_2\}} \frac{}{\pi_1\{\dot{\beta}b\} \quad \pi_2\{\grave{\beta}b\}}$$

$$\frac{}{\mathfrak{S}_{1,2}\{b : \beta\}}$$

## $\beta$ Rule Rubric

RULE	$\{b_1 : \beta_1\}$	$\{b_2 : \beta_2\}$	$\{b : \beta\}$	$\beta$	$\dot{\beta}$
$\wedge R$	output, A	output, B	output, $A \wedge B$	$\wedge$	$\lambda$
$\vee L$	input, A	input, B	input, $A \vee B$	$\vee$	$\dot{\vee}$
$\supset L$	output, A	input, B	input, $A \supset B$	$\supset$	$\dot{\supset}$
<i>Cut</i>	output, A	input, A	—	•	•

## Example 1—derivations with different terms

$$\frac{\frac{x \rightsquigarrow x}{x : p \succ x : p} \quad \frac{x \rightsquigarrow x}{x : p \succ x : p}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\forall y \rightsquigarrow x \quad \forall y \rightsquigarrow x}{y : p \vee p \succ x : p}}{\forall y \rightsquigarrow \bullet \quad \forall y \rightsquigarrow \bullet} \quad \frac{\frac{x \rightsquigarrow \lambda z \quad x \rightsquigarrow \lambda z}{x : p \succ z : p \wedge p}}{\frac{\frac{\bullet \rightsquigarrow \lambda z \quad \bullet \rightsquigarrow \lambda z}{y : p \vee p \succ y : p \wedge p}}{y : p \vee p \succ y : p \wedge p}}}{\forall y \rightsquigarrow \bullet \quad \forall y \rightsquigarrow \bullet \quad \bullet \rightsquigarrow \lambda z \quad \bullet \rightsquigarrow \lambda z}}{\forall y \rightsquigarrow \bullet \quad \forall y \rightsquigarrow \bullet \quad \bullet \rightsquigarrow \lambda z \quad \bullet \rightsquigarrow \lambda z}}{\forall y \rightsquigarrow \bullet \quad \forall y \rightsquigarrow \bullet \quad \bullet \rightsquigarrow \lambda z \quad \bullet \rightsquigarrow \lambda z}} \quad \text{Cut}$$

## Example 1—derivations with different terms

$$\frac{\frac{x : p \succ x : p \quad x : p \succ x : p}{\frac{\frac{\forall y \succ x \quad \forall y \succ x}{y : p \vee p \succ x : p} \quad \frac{x : p \succ x : p \quad x : p \succ x : p}{\frac{\frac{x \succ \lambda z \quad x \succ \lambda z}{x : p \succ z : p \wedge p} \quad \frac{\forall y \succ \bullet \quad \forall y \succ \bullet \quad \bullet \succ \lambda z \quad \bullet \succ \lambda z}{\frac{y : p \vee p \succ y : p \wedge p}}}{\forall y \succ \bullet \quad \forall y \succ \bullet \quad \bullet \succ \lambda z \quad \bullet \succ \lambda z}}}{\forall y \succ \lambda z \quad \forall y \succ \lambda z \quad \forall y \succ \lambda z \quad \forall y \succ \lambda z}}{y : p \vee p \succ y : p \wedge p}$$

*Cut*

$$\frac{\frac{x : p \succ x : p \quad x : p \succ x : p}{\frac{\frac{x \succ \lambda z \quad x \succ \lambda z}{x : p \succ z : p \wedge p} \quad \frac{x : p \succ x : p \quad x : p \succ x : p}{\frac{\frac{x \succ \lambda z \quad x \succ \lambda z}{x : p \succ z : p \wedge p} \quad \frac{\forall y \succ \lambda z \quad \forall y \succ \lambda z \quad \forall y \succ \lambda z \quad \forall y \succ \lambda z}{\frac{y : p \vee p \succ z : p \wedge p}}}{\forall y \succ \lambda z \quad \forall y \succ \lambda z \quad \forall y \succ \lambda z \quad \forall y \succ \lambda z}}}{\forall y \succ \lambda z \quad \forall y \succ \lambda z \quad \forall y \succ \lambda z \quad \forall y \succ \lambda z}}{y : p \vee p \succ z : p \wedge p}$$

*VL*

## Example 2—two *different* derivations with the *same* term

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\begin{array}{c} z \rightsquigarrow z \\ z : p \succ z : p \end{array}}{\lambda y \rightsquigarrow z} \wedge L \\
 \frac{x \rightsquigarrow x}{x : p \succ x : p} \quad \frac{y : p \wedge q \succ z : p}{x : p, y : p \wedge q \succ w : p \wedge p} \wedge R \\
 \frac{x \rightsquigarrow \lambda w \quad y \rightsquigarrow \lambda w}{\lambda v \rightsquigarrow \lambda v \quad \lambda y \rightsquigarrow \lambda v} \supset R \\
 \frac{y : p \wedge q \succ v : p \supset (p \wedge p)}{\lambda u \rightsquigarrow \lambda u \quad \lambda v \rightsquigarrow \lambda u} \supset R \\
 \succ u : (p \wedge q) \supset (p \supset (p \wedge p))
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\begin{array}{c} x \rightsquigarrow x \\ x : p \succ x : p \end{array}}{x : p, z : p \succ w : p \wedge p} \wedge R \\
 \frac{z : p \succ z : p}{\lambda v \rightsquigarrow \lambda v \quad \lambda w \rightsquigarrow \lambda v} \supset R \\
 \frac{x : p, z : p \succ w : p \wedge p}{z : p \succ v : p \supset (p \wedge p)} \supset R \\
 \frac{\begin{array}{c} z \rightsquigarrow z \\ z : p \succ z : p \end{array}}{\lambda u \rightsquigarrow \lambda u \quad \lambda v \rightsquigarrow \lambda u} \wedge L \\
 \frac{y : p \wedge q \succ v : p \supset (p \wedge p)}{\lambda u \rightsquigarrow \lambda u \quad \lambda v \rightsquigarrow \lambda u} \supset R \\
 \succ u : (p \wedge q) \supset (p \supset (p \wedge p))
 \end{array}$$

## Example 2—two *different* derivations with the *same* term

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\begin{array}{c} z \rightsquigarrow z \\ z : p \succ z : p \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \lambda y \rightsquigarrow z \\ y : p \wedge q \succ z : p \end{array}} \wedge L \\
 \frac{\begin{array}{c} x \rightsquigarrow x \\ x : p \succ x : p \end{array} \quad y : p \wedge q \succ z : p}{\begin{array}{c} x \rightsquigarrow \lambda w \quad \lambda y \rightsquigarrow \lambda w \\ x : p, y : p \wedge q \succ w : p \wedge p \end{array}} \wedge R \\
 \frac{\begin{array}{c} \lambda v \rightsquigarrow \lambda v \quad \lambda y \rightsquigarrow \lambda v \\ \lambda v \rightsquigarrow \lambda v \quad \lambda y \rightsquigarrow \lambda v \end{array}}{y : p \wedge q \succ v : p \supset (p \wedge p)} \supset R \\
 \frac{y : p \wedge q \succ v : p \supset (p \wedge p)}{\begin{array}{c} \lambda u \rightsquigarrow \lambda u \quad \lambda v \rightsquigarrow \lambda v \\ \lambda u \rightsquigarrow \lambda u \quad \lambda v \rightsquigarrow \lambda v \end{array}} \supset R \\
 \succ u : (p \wedge q) \supset (p \supset (p \wedge p))
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\begin{array}{c} x \rightsquigarrow x \\ x : p \succ x : p \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} z \rightsquigarrow z \\ z : p \succ z : p \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \lambda w \rightsquigarrow \lambda w \quad \lambda w \rightsquigarrow \lambda w \\ x : p, z : p \succ w : p \wedge p \end{array}} \wedge R \\
 \frac{\begin{array}{c} \lambda v \rightsquigarrow \lambda v \quad \lambda w \rightsquigarrow \lambda w \\ \lambda v \rightsquigarrow \lambda v \quad \lambda w \rightsquigarrow \lambda w \end{array}}{z : p \succ v : p \supset (p \wedge p)} \supset R \\
 \frac{z : p \succ v : p \supset (p \wedge p)}{\begin{array}{c} \lambda u \rightsquigarrow \lambda u \quad \lambda v \rightsquigarrow \lambda v \\ \lambda u \rightsquigarrow \lambda u \quad \lambda v \rightsquigarrow \lambda v \end{array}} \wedge L \\
 \frac{y : p \wedge q \succ v : p \supset (p \wedge p)}{\begin{array}{c} \lambda u \rightsquigarrow \lambda u \quad \lambda v \rightsquigarrow \lambda v \\ \lambda u \rightsquigarrow \lambda u \quad \lambda v \rightsquigarrow \lambda v \end{array}} \supset R \\
 \succ u : (p \wedge q) \supset (p \supset (p \wedge p))
 \end{array}$$

## Example 3—two *different* derivations with the *same* term

$$\frac{\frac{x:p \succ x:p \quad x:p \succ x:p}{\frac{x:\hat{z} \quad x:\hat{z}}{x:p \succ z:p \wedge p}} \wedge R \quad \frac{x:p \succ x:p \quad x:p \succ x:p}{\frac{x:\hat{z} \quad x:\hat{z}}{x:p \succ z:p \wedge p}} \wedge R}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\hat{y}:\hat{z} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{z}}{\frac{\hat{y}:\hat{z} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{z}}{y:p \vee p \succ z:p \wedge p}} \vee L}{y:p \vee p \succ z:p \wedge p}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\hat{y}:\hat{x} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{x}}{\frac{\hat{y}:\hat{x} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{x}}{y:p \vee p \succ x:p}} \vee L \quad \frac{\hat{y}:\hat{x} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{x}}{\frac{\hat{y}:\hat{x} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{x}}{y:p \vee p \succ x:p}} \vee L}{\frac{\frac{\hat{y}:\hat{z} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{z}}{\frac{\hat{y}:\hat{z} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{z}}{y:p \vee p \succ z:p \wedge p}} \vee L}{y:p \vee p \succ z:p \wedge p}} \wedge R}{y:p \vee p \succ z:p \wedge p}$$

$$\frac{\frac{x:p \succ x:p \quad x:p \succ x:p}{\frac{\hat{y}:\hat{x} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{x}}{y:p \vee p \succ x:p}} \vee L \quad \frac{x:p \succ x:p \quad x:p \succ x:p}{\frac{\hat{y}:\hat{x} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{x}}{y:p \vee p \succ x:p}} \vee L}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\hat{y}:\hat{z} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{z}}{\frac{\hat{y}:\hat{z} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{z}}{y:p \vee p \succ z:p \wedge p}} \vee L}{\frac{\frac{\hat{y}:\hat{z} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{z}}{\frac{\hat{y}:\hat{z} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{z}}{y:p \vee p \succ z:p \wedge p}} \vee L}{y:p \vee p \succ z:p \wedge p}} \wedge R}{y:p \vee p \succ z:p \wedge p}$$

## Example 3—two *different* derivations with the *same* term

$$\frac{\frac{x:p \succ x:p \quad x:p \succ x:p}{\frac{x:\hat{z} \quad x:\hat{z}}{x:p \succ z:p \wedge p}} \wedge R \quad \frac{x:p \succ x:p \quad x:p \succ x:p}{\frac{x:\hat{z} \quad x:\hat{z}}{x:p \succ z:p \wedge p}} \wedge R}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\hat{y}:\hat{z} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{z}}{\hat{y}:\hat{z} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{z}}}{\frac{\hat{y}:p \vee p \succ z:p \wedge p}{y:p \vee p \succ z:p \wedge p}} \vee L}{\frac{\frac{\hat{y}:\hat{z} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{z}}{\hat{y}:\hat{z} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{z}}}{\frac{\hat{y}:p \vee p \succ x:p}{y:p \vee p \succ x:p}} \vee L}}{\frac{\frac{\hat{y}:\hat{z} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{z}}{\hat{y}:\hat{z} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{z}}}{\frac{\hat{y}:p \vee p \succ x:p}{y:p \vee p \succ x:p}} \vee L}} \wedge R$$

$$\frac{\frac{x:p \succ x:p \quad x:p \succ x:p}{\frac{\hat{y}:\hat{x} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{x}}{y:p \vee p \succ x:p}} \vee L \quad \frac{x:p \succ x:p \quad x:p \succ x:p}{\frac{\hat{y}:\hat{x} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{x}}{y:p \vee p \succ x:p}} \vee L}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\hat{y}:\hat{z} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{z}}{\hat{y}:\hat{z} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{z}}}{\frac{\hat{y}:p \vee p \succ z:p \wedge p}{y:p \vee p \succ z:p \wedge p}} \wedge R}{\frac{\frac{\hat{y}:\hat{z} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{z}}{\hat{y}:\hat{z} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{z}}}{\frac{\hat{y}:p \vee p \succ x:p}{y:p \vee p \succ x:p}} \wedge R}}{\frac{\frac{\hat{y}:\hat{z} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{z}}{\hat{y}:\hat{z} \quad \hat{y}:\hat{z}}}{\frac{\hat{y}:p \vee p \succ x:p}{y:p \vee p \succ x:p}} \wedge R}} \wedge R$$

# Height Preserving Admissibility—Contraction and Weakening

For the single premise rules of *weakening* and *contraction*

$$\frac{\pi}{\Sigma \succ \Delta} \text{KL} \quad \frac{\pi}{\Sigma \succ \Delta, \Delta} \text{KR} \quad \frac{\pi(x, y)}{\Sigma, x:A, y:A \succ \Delta} \text{WL} \quad \frac{\pi[x, y]}{\Sigma \succ x:A, y:A, \Delta} \text{WR}$$
$$\Sigma, x:A \succ \Delta \quad \Sigma \succ x:A, \Delta \quad \Sigma, x:A \succ \Delta \quad \Sigma \succ x:A, \Delta$$

if there is a derivation  $\delta$  of the *premise* of the rule, of height  $h(\delta)$ , then there is a derivation  $\delta'$  of the *conclusion* of the rule, of the same height,  $h(\delta)$ .

## Height Preserving Admissibility—Blend

For the two premise rule of *blend*,

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1 \\ \Sigma_1 \succ \Delta_1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \pi_2 \\ \Sigma_2 \succ \Delta_2 \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1 \ \pi_2 \\ \Sigma_{1,2} \succ \Delta_{1,2} \end{array}} \text{ Blend}$$

if there is a derivation  $\delta_1$  of the premise  $\Gamma_1 \succ \Delta_1$  (with term  $\pi$ ) and a derivation  $\delta_2$  of the premise  $\Gamma_2 \succ \Delta_2$  (with term  $\pi'$ ) then there is a derivation of height less than or equal to  $h(\delta) + h(\delta')$  of the blend  $\Gamma_{1,2} \succ \Delta_{1,2}$  with term  $\pi_1 \ \pi_2$ .

An aerial photograph of a lush green valley. The landscape is characterized by numerous winding white lines, likely representing roads or paths, which follow the contours of the terrain. Small clusters of buildings, possibly farmhouses or settlements, are scattered throughout the valley floor. The overall scene is one of a rural, agricultural area.

PERMUTATIONS

## $\wedge L/\neg R$ Permutation

$$\frac{\pi(x, y, z)}{\Sigma, x : A, y : B, z : C \succ \Delta} \quad \wedge L$$
$$\frac{\pi(\lambda v, \lambda v, z)}{\Sigma, v : A \wedge B, z : C \succ \Delta} \quad \neg R$$
$$\Sigma, v : A \wedge B \succ w : \neg C, \Delta$$

$$\frac{\pi(x, y, z)}{\Sigma, x : A, y : B, z : C \succ \Delta} \quad \neg R$$
$$\frac{\pi(x, y, \dot{\neg} w)}{\Sigma, x : A, y : B \succ w : \neg C, \Delta} \quad \wedge L$$
$$\Sigma, v : A \wedge B \succ w : \neg C, \Delta$$

## $\wedge L / \neg R$ Permutation

$$\frac{\pi(x, y, z)}{\Sigma, x : A, y : B, z : C \succ \Delta} \quad \wedge L$$
$$\frac{\pi(\lambda v, \lambda v, z)}{\Sigma, v : A \wedge B, z : C \succ \Delta} \quad \neg R$$
$$\Sigma, v : A \wedge B \succ w : \neg C, \Delta$$

$$\frac{\pi(x, y, z)}{\Sigma, x : A, y : B, z : C \succ \Delta} \quad \neg R$$
$$\frac{\pi(x, y, \dot{\neg} w)}{\Sigma, x : A, y : B \succ w : \neg C, \Delta} \quad \wedge L$$
$$\Sigma, v : A \wedge B \succ w : \neg C, \Delta$$

## $\alpha/\alpha$ Permutations

$$\frac{\frac{\pi\{a_1\}\{a_2\}\{a'_1\}\{a'_2\}}{\mathfrak{S}\{a_1 : \alpha_1\}\{a_2 : \alpha_2\}\{a'_1 : \alpha'_1\}\{a'_2 : \alpha'_2\}}}{\frac{\pi\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{a'_1\}\{a'_2\}}{\mathfrak{S}\{a : \alpha\}\{a'_1 : \alpha'_1\}\{a'_2 : \alpha'_2\}}} \alpha$$
$$\frac{\pi\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}'a'\}\{\dot{\alpha}'a'\}}{\mathfrak{S}\{a : \alpha\}\{a' : \alpha'\}} \alpha'$$

## $\alpha/\alpha$ Permutations

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi\{\alpha_1\}\{\alpha_2\}\{\alpha'_1\}\{\alpha'_2\} \\ \mathfrak{S}\{\textcolor{red}{a}_1 : \alpha_1\}\{\textcolor{red}{a}_2 : \alpha_2\}\{\textcolor{red}{a}'_1 : \alpha'_1\}\{\textcolor{red}{a}'_2 : \alpha'_2\} \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \pi\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\alpha'_1\}\{\alpha'_2\} \\ \mathfrak{S}\{\textcolor{red}{a} : \alpha\}\{\textcolor{red}{a}'_1 : \alpha'_1\}\{\textcolor{red}{a}'_2 : \alpha'_2\} \end{array}} \alpha$$
$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}'a'\}\{\dot{\alpha}'a'\} \\ \mathfrak{S}\{\textcolor{red}{a} : \alpha\}\{\textcolor{red}{a}' : \alpha'\} \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \pi\{\alpha_1\}\{\alpha_2\}\{\alpha'_1\}\{\alpha'_2\} \\ \mathfrak{S}\{\textcolor{red}{a}_1 : \alpha_1\}\{\textcolor{red}{a}_2 : \alpha_2\}\{\textcolor{red}{a}'_1 : \alpha'_1\}\{\textcolor{red}{a}'_2 : \alpha'_2\} \end{array}} \alpha'$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi\{\alpha_1\}\{\alpha_2\}\{\alpha'_1\}\{\alpha'_2\} \\ \mathfrak{S}\{\textcolor{red}{a}_1 : \alpha_1\}\{\textcolor{red}{a}_2 : \alpha_2\}\{\textcolor{red}{a}'_1 : \alpha'_1\}\{\textcolor{red}{a}'_2 : \alpha'_2\} \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \pi\{\alpha_1\}\{\alpha_2\}\{\dot{\alpha}'a'\}\{\dot{\alpha}'a'\} \\ \mathfrak{S}\{\textcolor{red}{a}_1 : \alpha_1\}\{\textcolor{red}{a}_2 : \alpha_2\}\{\textcolor{red}{a}' : \alpha'\} \end{array}} \alpha'$$
$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}'a'\}\{\dot{\alpha}'a'\} \\ \mathfrak{S}\{\textcolor{red}{a} : \alpha\}\{\textcolor{red}{a}' : \alpha'\} \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \pi\{\alpha_1\}\{\alpha_2\}\{\alpha'_1\}\{\alpha'_2\} \\ \mathfrak{S}\{\textcolor{red}{a}_1 : \alpha_1\}\{\textcolor{red}{a}_2 : \alpha_2\}\{\textcolor{red}{a}'_1 : \alpha'_1\}\{\textcolor{red}{a}'_2 : \alpha'_2\} \end{array}} \alpha$$

## $\wedge R/\neg R$ Permutations

$$\frac{\frac{\pi_1(z)[x] \quad \pi_2(z)[y]}{\Sigma_1, z : C \succ x : A, \Delta_1 \quad \Sigma_2, z : C \succ y : B, \Delta_2} \wedge R}{\frac{\pi_1(z)[\lambda v] \quad \pi_2(z)[\lambda v]}{\Sigma_{1,2}, z : C \succ v : A \wedge B, \Delta_{1,2}} \neg R}$$
$$\frac{\pi_1(\neg w)[\lambda v] \quad \pi_2(\neg w)[\lambda v]}{\Sigma_{1,2} \succ w : \neg C, v : A \wedge B, \Delta_{1,2}}$$

## $\wedge R/\neg R$ Permutations

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1(z)[x] \\ \Sigma_1, z : C \succ x : A, \Delta_1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \pi_2(z)[y] \\ \Sigma_2, z : C \succ y : B, \Delta_2 \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1(z)[\lambda v] \quad \pi_2(z)[\lambda v] \\ \Sigma_{1,2}, z : C \succ v : A \wedge B, \Delta_{1,2} \end{array}} \wedge R$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1(\neg w)[\lambda v] \quad \pi_2(\neg w)[\lambda v] \\ \Sigma_{1,2} \succ w : \neg C, v : A \wedge B, \Delta_{1,2} \end{array}}{\pi_1(\neg w)[\lambda v] \quad \pi_2(\neg w)[\lambda v]} \neg R$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1(z)[x] \\ \Sigma_1, z : C \succ x : A, \Delta_1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \pi_2(z)[y] \\ \Sigma_2, z : C \succ y : B, \Delta_2 \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1(\neg w)[x] \\ \pi_2(\neg w)[y] \end{array}} \neg R$$

$$\frac{\Sigma_1 \succ w : \neg C, x : A, \Delta_1 \quad \Sigma_2 \succ w : \neg C, y : B, \Delta_2}{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1(\neg w)[\lambda v] \quad \pi_2(\neg w)[\lambda v] \\ \Sigma_{1,2} \succ w : \neg C, v : A \wedge B, \Delta_{1,2} \end{array}} \wedge R$$

## $\alpha/\beta$ Permutations

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\mathfrak{S}_1\{a_1:\alpha_1\}\{a_2:\alpha_2\}\{b_1:\beta_1\} \quad \mathfrak{S}_2\{a_1:\alpha_1\}\{a_2:\alpha_1\}\{b_2:\beta_2\}}{\pi_1\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{b_1\} \quad \pi_2\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{b_2\}}}{\mathfrak{S}_1\{a:\alpha\}\{b_1:\beta_1\} \quad \mathfrak{S}_2\{a:\alpha\}\{b_2:\beta_2\}}}{\pi_1\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\beta}b\} \quad \pi_2\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\beta}b\}}_{\alpha} \quad \beta}$$
$$\mathfrak{S}_{1,2}\{a:\alpha\}\{b:\beta\}$$

# $\alpha/\beta$ Permutations

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi_1\{a_1\}\{a_2\}\{b_1\}}{\mathfrak{S}_1\{a_1 : \alpha_1\}\{a_2 : \alpha_2\}\{b_1 : \beta_1\}}}{\pi_1\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{b_1\}}} {\mathfrak{S}_1\{a : \alpha\}\{b_1 : \beta_1\}} \quad \alpha \quad \frac{\frac{\pi_2\{a_1\}\{a_2\}\{b_2\}}{\mathfrak{S}_2\{a_1 : \alpha_1\}\{a_2 : \alpha_1\}\{b_2 : \beta_2\}}}{\pi_2\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{b_2\}}} {\mathfrak{S}_2\{a : \alpha\}\{b_2 : \beta_2\}} \quad \alpha$$

$$\frac{\pi_1\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\beta}b\} \quad \pi_2\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\beta}b\}}{\mathfrak{S}_{1,2}\{a : \alpha\}\{b : \beta\}} \quad \beta$$

$$\frac{\frac{\pi_1\{a_1\}\{a_2\}\{b_1\}}{\mathfrak{S}_1\{a_1 : \alpha_1\}\{a_2 : \alpha_2\}\{b_1 : \beta_1\}}}{\pi_1\{a_1\}\{a_2\}\{\dot{\beta}b\}} \quad \frac{\pi_2\{a_1\}\{a_2\}\{b_2\}}{\mathfrak{S}_2\{a_1 : \alpha_1\}\{a_2 : \alpha_1\}\{b_2 : \beta_2\}} \quad \beta$$

$$\frac{\pi_1\{a_1\}\{a_2\}\{\dot{\beta}b\} \quad \pi_2\{a_1\}\{a_2\}\{\dot{\beta}b\}}{\mathfrak{S}_{1,2}\{a_1 : \alpha_1\}\{a_2 : \alpha_2\}\{b : \beta\}} \quad \alpha$$

$$\frac{\pi_1\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\beta}b\} \quad \pi_2\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\beta}b\}}{\mathfrak{S}_{1,2}\{a : \alpha\}\{b : \beta\}} \quad \alpha$$

# $\beta/\beta$ Permutations

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1\{b_1\}\{b'_1\} & & \pi_2\{b_2\}\{b'_1\} & & \pi_3\{b_1\}\{b'_2\} & & \pi_4\{b_2\}\{b'_2\} \\ \mathfrak{S}_1\{b_1:\beta_1\}\{b'_1:\beta'_1\} & \mathfrak{S}_2\{b_2:\beta_2\}\{b'_1:\beta'_1\} & & \mathfrak{S}_3\{b_1:\beta_1\}\{b'_2:\beta'_2\} & & \mathfrak{S}_4\{b_2:\beta_2\}\{b'_2:\beta'_2\} \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{b'_1\} & \pi_2\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{b'_1\} & & & \pi_3\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{b'_2\} & \pi_2\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{b'_2\} \\ \mathfrak{S}_{1,2}\{b:\beta\}\{b'_1:\beta'_1\} & & & & \mathfrak{S}_{3,4}\{b:\beta\}\{b'_2:\beta'_2\} & \\ \hline \pi_1\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{\dot{\beta}' b'\} & \pi_2\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{\dot{\beta}' b'\} & \pi_3\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{\dot{\beta}' b'\} & \pi_4\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{\dot{\beta}' b'\} & & \end{array}} \quad \beta \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \mathfrak{S}_{1-4}\{b:\beta\}\{b':\beta'\} \end{array}}{\beta'}$$

# $\beta/\beta$ Permutations

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\pi_1\{b_1\}\{b'_1\} \quad \pi_2\{b_2\}\{b'_2\} \quad \pi_3\{b_1\}\{b'_2\} \quad \pi_4\{b_2\}\{b'_2\}}{\mathfrak{S}_1\{b_1:\beta_1\}\{b'_1:\beta'_1\} \quad \mathfrak{S}_2\{b_2:\beta_2\}\{b'_1:\beta'_1\} \quad \mathfrak{S}_3\{b_1:\beta_1\}\{b'_2:\beta'_2\} \quad \mathfrak{S}_4\{b_2:\beta_2\}\{b'_2:\beta'_2\}} \quad \beta \\
 \hline
 \pi_1\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{b'_1\} \quad \pi_2\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{b'_1\} \quad \pi_3\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{b'_2\} \quad \pi_2\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{b'_2\} \\
 \mathfrak{S}_{1,2}\{b:\beta\}\{b'_1:\beta'_1\} \quad \mathfrak{S}_{3,4}\{b:\beta\}\{b'_2:\beta'_2\} \\
 \hline
 \pi_1\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{\dot{\beta}' b'\} \quad \pi_2\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{\dot{\beta}' b'\} \quad \pi_3\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{\dot{\beta}' b'\} \quad \pi_4\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{\dot{\beta}' b'\} \\
 \mathfrak{S}_{1-4}\{b:\beta\}\{b':\beta'\}
 \end{array} \quad \beta'$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\pi_1\{b_1\}\{b'_1\} \quad \pi_3\{b_1\}\{b'_2\} \quad \pi_2\{b_2\}\{b'_1\} \quad \pi_4\{b_2\}\{b'_2\}}{\mathfrak{S}_1\{b_1:\beta_1\}\{b'_1:\beta'_1\} \quad \mathfrak{S}_3\{b_1:\beta_1\}\{b'_2:\beta'_2\} \quad \mathfrak{S}_2\{b_2:\beta_2\}\{b'_1:\beta'_1\} \quad \mathfrak{S}_4\{b_2:\beta_2\}\{b'_2:\beta'_2\}} \quad \beta' \\
 \hline
 \pi_1\{b_1\}\{\dot{\beta}' b'\} \quad \pi_3\{b_1\}\{\dot{\beta}' b'\} \quad \pi_3\{b_2\}\{\dot{\beta}' b'\} \quad \pi_2\{b_2\}\{\dot{\beta}' b'\} \\
 \mathfrak{S}_{1,3}\{b_1:\beta_1\}\{b':\beta'\} \quad \mathfrak{S}_{2,4}\{b_2:\beta_2\}\{b':\beta'\} \\
 \hline
 \pi_1\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{\dot{\beta}' b'\} \quad \pi_2\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{\dot{\beta}' b'\} \quad \pi_3\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{\dot{\beta}' b'\} \quad \pi_4\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{\dot{\beta}' b'\} \\
 \mathfrak{S}_{1-4}\{b:\beta\}\{b':\beta'\}
 \end{array} \quad \beta$$

# Duplicating Rule Instances

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi_1(z)[x]}{\Sigma_1, z : C \succ x : A, \Delta_1} \neg R \quad \frac{\pi_2(z)[y]}{\Sigma_2, z : C \succ y : B, \Delta_2} \neg R}{\pi_1(\dot{\neg}w)[x] \quad \pi_2(\dot{\neg}w)[y]} \wedge R}{\Sigma_1 \succ w : \neg C, x : A, \Delta_1 \quad \Sigma_2 \succ w : \neg C, y : B, \Delta_2}$$
$$\frac{\pi_1(\dot{\neg}w)[\lambda v] \quad \pi_2(\dot{\neg}w)[\lambda v]}{\Sigma_{1,2} \succ w : \neg C, v : A \wedge B, \Delta_{1,2}}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\pi_1(z)[x]}{\Sigma_1, z : C \succ x : A, \Delta_1} \neg R \quad \frac{\pi_2(z)[y]}{\Sigma_2, z : C \succ y : B, \Delta_2} \neg R}{\pi_1(\dot{\neg}w)[x] \quad \pi_2(z)[\lambda v]} \wedge R$$
$$\frac{\pi_1(\dot{\neg}w)[\lambda v] \quad \pi_2(z)[\lambda v]}{\Sigma_{1,2}, z : C \succ w : \neg C, v : A \wedge B, \Delta_{1,2} \quad \neg R}$$
$$\frac{\pi_1(\dot{\neg}w)[\lambda v] \quad \pi_2(\dot{\neg}w)[y]}{\Sigma_{1,2} \succ w : \neg C, v : A \wedge B, \Delta_{1,2}}$$

## $\wedge L/\wedge L$ Contraction/Expansion

$$\frac{\pi(x, y, x', y')}{\Sigma, x : A, y : B, x' : A, y' : B \succ \Delta} \wedge L$$
$$\frac{\pi(\lambda z, \lambda z, x', y')}{\Sigma, z : A \wedge B, x' : A, y' : B \succ \Delta} \wedge L$$
$$\Sigma, z : A \wedge B \succ \Delta$$

## $\wedge L/\wedge L$ Contraction/Expansion

$$\frac{\frac{\pi(x, y, x', y')}{\Sigma, \mathbf{x}: A, \mathbf{y}: B, \mathbf{x}': A, \mathbf{y}': B \succ \Delta} \wedge L}{\frac{\pi(\lambda z, \lambda z, x', y')}{\Sigma, \mathbf{z}: A \wedge B, \mathbf{x}': A, \mathbf{y}': B \succ \Delta} \wedge L}$$
$$\Sigma, \mathbf{z}: A \wedge B \succ \Delta$$

$$\frac{\frac{\pi(x, y, x, y)}{\Sigma, \mathbf{x}: A, \mathbf{y}: B \succ \Delta} \wedge L}{\frac{\pi(\lambda z, \lambda z, \lambda z, \lambda z)}{\Sigma, \mathbf{z}: A \wedge B \succ \Delta} \wedge L}$$

## $\alpha/\alpha$ Contraction/Expansion

$$\frac{\frac{\pi\{a_1\}\{a_2\}\{a'_1\}\{a'_2\}}{\mathfrak{S}\{a_1 : \alpha_1\}\{a_2 : \alpha_2\}\{a'_1 : \alpha'_1\}\{a'_2 : \alpha'_2\}}}{\frac{\pi\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{a'_1\}\{a'_2\}}{\mathfrak{S}\{a : \alpha\}\{a'_1 : \alpha'_1\}\{a'_2 : \alpha'_2\}}} \alpha$$
$$\frac{\pi\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}}{\mathfrak{S}\{a : \alpha\}} \alpha$$

## $\alpha/\alpha$ Contraction/Expansion

$$\frac{\pi\{a_1\}\{a_2\}\{a'_1\}\{a'_2\} \\ \mathfrak{S}\{a_1 : \alpha_1\}\{a_2 : \alpha_2\}\{a'_1 : \alpha'_1\}\{a'_2 : \alpha'_2\}}{\pi\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{a'_1\}\{a'_2\} \\ \mathfrak{S}\{a : \alpha\}\{a'_1 : \alpha'_1\}\{a'_2 : \alpha'_2\}} \quad \alpha$$
$$\frac{\pi\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\} \\ \mathfrak{S}\{a : \alpha\}}{\pi\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}} \quad \alpha$$

$$\frac{\pi\{a_1\}\{a_2\}\{a_1\}\{a_2\} \\ \mathfrak{S}\{a_1 : \alpha_1\}\{a_2 : \alpha_2\}}{\pi\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\}} \quad \alpha$$
$$\mathfrak{S}\{a : \alpha\}$$

## $\wedge R / \wedge R$ Contraction/Expansion?

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1[x, x'] \\ \Sigma_1 \succ \textcolor{red}{x}: A, \textcolor{red}{x}' : A, \Delta_1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \pi_2[x, y'] \\ \Sigma_2 \succ x: A, \textcolor{red}{y}' : B, \Delta_2 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \pi_3[y, x'] \\ \Sigma_3 \succ \textcolor{red}{y}: B, \textcolor{red}{x}' : A, \Delta_3 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \pi_4[y, y'] \\ \Sigma_4 \succ y: B, \textcolor{red}{y}' : B, \Delta_4 \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1[x, \lambda z] \quad \pi_2[x, \lambda z] \\ \Sigma_{1,2} \succ \textcolor{red}{x}: A, \textcolor{red}{z}: A \wedge B, \Delta_{1,2} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \pi_3[y, \lambda z] \quad \pi_4[y, \lambda z] \\ \Sigma_{3,4} \succ \textcolor{red}{y}: B, \textcolor{red}{z}: A \wedge B, \Delta_{3,4} \end{array}}$$
$$\frac{\pi_1[\lambda z, \lambda z] \quad \pi_2[\lambda z, \lambda z] \quad \pi_3[\lambda z, \lambda z] \quad \pi_4[\lambda z, \lambda z]}{\Sigma_{1-4} \succ \textcolor{red}{z}: A \wedge B, \Delta_{1-4}}$$

## $\wedge R / \wedge R$ Contraction/Expansion?

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\pi_1[x, x'] \quad \pi_2[x, y']}{\Sigma_1 \succ x : A, x' : A, \Delta_1 \quad \Sigma_2 \succ x : A, y' : B, \Delta_2} \quad \frac{\pi_3[y, x'] \quad \pi_4[y, y']}{\Sigma_3 \succ y : B, x' : A, \Delta_3 \quad \Sigma_4 \succ y : B, y' : B, \Delta_4} \\
 \hline
 \frac{\pi_1[x, \lambda z] \quad \pi_2[x, \lambda z]}{\Sigma_{1,2} \succ x : A, z : A \wedge B, \Delta_{1,2}} \quad \frac{\pi_3[y, \lambda z] \quad \pi_4[y, \lambda z]}{\Sigma_{3,4} \succ y : B, z : A \wedge B, \Delta_{3,4}} \\
 \hline
 \pi_1[\lambda z, \lambda z] \quad \pi_2[\lambda z, \lambda z] \quad \pi_3[\lambda z, \lambda z] \quad \pi_4[\lambda z, \lambda z] \\
 \Sigma_{1-4} \succ z : A \wedge B, \Delta_{1-4}
 \end{array}$$

Collapsing this into one step removes the  $\pi_2$  and  $\pi_3$  connections.

$$\frac{\pi_1[x, x] \quad \pi_4[y, y]}{\Sigma_1 \succ x : A, \Delta_1 \quad \Sigma_4 \succ y : B, \Delta_4} \\
 \hline
 \frac{\pi_1[\lambda z, \lambda z] \quad \pi_4[\lambda z, \lambda z]}{\Sigma_{1,4} \succ z : A \wedge B, \Delta_{1,4}}$$

## $\beta/\beta$ Contraction/Expansion

We can contract stacked occurrences of the same  $\beta$  rule into this triangle.

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1\{b_1\}\{b'_1\} & & \pi_2\{b_2\}\{b'_2\} & & \pi_3\{b_1\}\{b'_2\} & & \pi_4\{b_2\}\{b'_2\} \\ \mathfrak{S}_1\{b_1:\beta_1\}\{b'_1:\beta_1\} & \mathfrak{S}_2\{b_2:\beta_2\}\{b'_1:\beta_1\} & & \mathfrak{S}_3\{b_1:\beta_1\}\{b'_2:\beta_2\} & & \mathfrak{S}_4\{b_2:\beta_2\}\{b'_2:\beta_2\} \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{b'_1\} & \pi_2\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{b'_1\} & & \pi_3\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{b'_2\} & \pi_2\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{b'_2\} \\ \mathfrak{S}_{1,2}\{b:\beta\}\{b'_1:\beta_1\} & & & \mathfrak{S}_{3,4}\{b:\beta\}\{b'_2:\beta_2\} & \end{array}} \quad \beta \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{\dot{\beta} b\} & \pi_2\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{\dot{\beta} b\} & \pi_3\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{\dot{\beta} b\} & \pi_4\{\dot{\beta} b\}\{\dot{\beta} b\} \\ & & & \mathfrak{S}_{1-4}\{b:\beta\} \end{array}}{\beta}$$

## There's one more permutation...

I'll introduce that later.

(It's more complicated, and I'm not satisfied that I've got the best formulation.)

## Soundness of permutations

If  $\delta_1 \approx \delta_2$  then  $\pi(\delta_1) = \pi(\delta_2)$

## Completeness of permutations

If  $\pi(\delta_1) = \pi(\delta_2)$  then  $\delta_1 \approx \delta_2$

## Strategy for Completeness

- ▶ Start with  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  where  $\pi(\delta_1) = \pi(\delta_2)$

## Strategy for Completeness

- ▶ Start with  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  where  $\pi(\delta_1) = \pi(\delta_2)$
- ▶ If they're both axioms, we're done.

## Strategy for Completeness

- ▶ Start with  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  where  $\pi(\delta_1) = \pi(\delta_2)$
- ▶ If they're both axioms, we're done.
- ▶ If they're not, then look at the last rule application in  $\delta_1$ .

## Strategy for Completeness

- ▶ Start with  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  where  $\pi(\delta_1) = \pi(\delta_2)$
- ▶ If they're both axioms, we're done.
- ▶ If they're not, then look at the last rule application in  $\delta_1$ .
  - ▶ Permute the *other* instances of that rule application in  $\delta_1$  to the bottom, where they collapse into one instance ( $\alpha$ )—or a triangle ( $\beta$ ).

## Strategy for Completeness

- ▶ Start with  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  where  $\pi(\delta_1) = \pi(\delta_2)$
- ▶ If they're both axioms, we're done.
- ▶ If they're not, then look at the last rule application in  $\delta_1$ .
  - ▶ Permute the *other* instances of that rule application in  $\delta_1$  to the bottom, where they collapse into one instance ( $\alpha$ )—or a triangle ( $\beta$ ).
  - ▶ Do the same, with the corresponding instances in  $\delta_2$ .

## Strategy for Completeness

- ▶ Start with  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  where  $\pi(\delta_1) = \pi(\delta_2)$
- ▶ If they're both axioms, we're done.
- ▶ If they're not, then look at the last rule application in  $\delta_1$ .
  - ▶ Permute the *other* instances of that rule application in  $\delta_1$  to the bottom, where they collapse into one instance ( $\alpha$ )—or a triangle ( $\beta$ ).
  - ▶ Do the same, with the corresponding instances in  $\delta_2$ .
  - ▶ Chop off those last rules in both derivations.

## Strategy for Completeness

- ▶ Start with  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  where  $\pi(\delta_1) = \pi(\delta_2)$
- ▶ If they're both axioms, we're done.
- ▶ If they're not, then look at the last rule application in  $\delta_1$ .
  - ▶ Permute the *other* instances of that rule application in  $\delta_1$  to the bottom, where they collapse into one instance ( $\alpha$ )—or a triangle ( $\beta$ ).
  - ▶ Do the same, with the corresponding instances in  $\delta_2$ .
  - ▶ Chop off those last rules in both derivations.
  - ▶ The premises are the same, with the same proof terms [*Caveat*].

## Strategy for Completeness

- ▶ Start with  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  where  $\pi(\delta_1) = \pi(\delta_2)$
- ▶ If they're both axioms, we're done.
- ▶ If they're not, then look at the last rule application in  $\delta_1$ .
  - ▶ Permute the *other* instances of that rule application in  $\delta_1$  to the bottom, where they collapse into one instance ( $\alpha$ )—or a triangle ( $\beta$ ).
  - ▶ Do the same, with the corresponding instances in  $\delta_2$ .
  - ▶ Chop off those last rules in both derivations.
  - ▶ The premises are the same, with the same proof terms [*Caveat*].
- ▶ Rinse and repeat.

## Same instances of rule applications?

In a derivation  $\delta$  with term  $\pi$ , annotate steps with the *node* in  $\pi$  introduced.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{x : p \succ x : p}{x : p, y : p \wedge q \succ z : p} \quad \frac{z : p \succ z : p}{\lambda y \rightarrow z}}{x : p, y : p \wedge q \succ w : p \wedge p} \quad \frac{x : p \succ x : p}{\lambda w \rightarrow \lambda w}}{x : p, y : p \wedge q \succ v : p \supset (p \wedge p)} \quad \text{u}$$

$$\succ u : (p \wedge q) \supset (p \supset (p \wedge p))$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{x : p \succ x : p}{x : p, z : p \succ w : p \wedge p} \quad \frac{z : p \succ z : p}{\lambda w \rightarrow \lambda w}}{x : p, z : p \succ w : p \wedge p} \quad \frac{z : p \succ z : p}{\lambda v \rightarrow \lambda v}}{x : p, z : p \succ v : p \supset (p \wedge p)} \quad \text{u}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{z : p \succ z : p}{\lambda v \rightarrow \lambda v} \quad \frac{z : p \succ z : p}{\lambda w \rightarrow \lambda w}}{\lambda v \rightarrow \lambda w \rightarrow \lambda v} \quad \frac{v : p \wedge q \succ v : p \supset (p \wedge p)}{v : p \wedge q \succ v : p \supset (p \wedge p)}}{v : p \wedge q \succ v : p \supset (p \wedge p)} \quad \text{u}$$

$$\succ u : (p \wedge q) \supset (p \supset (p \wedge p))$$

This allows identification of steps inside the *same* derivation

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} x : p \succ x : p \quad x : p \succ x : p \\ \hline x : p \succ z : p \wedge p \end{array}}{z} \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} x : p \succ x : p \quad x : p \succ x : p \\ \hline x : p \succ z : p \wedge p \end{array}}{z}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \forall y \succ \lambda z \quad \forall y \succ \lambda z \\ \hline y : p \vee p \succ z : p \wedge p \end{array}}{y}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} x : p \succ x : p \quad x : p \succ x : p \\ \hline \forall y \succ x \quad \forall y \succ x \end{array}}{y} \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} x : p \succ x : p \quad x : p \succ x : p \\ \hline \forall y \succ x \quad \forall y \succ x \end{array}}{y}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \forall y \succ \lambda z \quad \forall y \succ \lambda z \quad \forall y \succ \lambda z \quad \forall y \succ \lambda z \\ \hline y : p \vee p \succ z : p \wedge p \end{array}}{z}$$

## Deleting an $\alpha$ rule

$$\frac{\pi\{a_1\}\{a_2\} \\ \mathfrak{S}\{a_1 : \alpha_1\}\{a_2 : \alpha_2\}}{\pi\{\dot{\alpha}a\}\{\dot{\alpha}a\} \\ \mathfrak{S}\{a : \alpha\}}$$

## Deleting a $\beta$ rule — where do you split?

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1\{b_1\} & \pi_2\{b_2\} \\ \mathfrak{S}_1\{b_1 : \beta_1\} & \mathfrak{S}_2\{b_2 : \beta_2\} \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1\{\dot{\beta}b\} \quad \pi_2\{\dot{\beta}b\} \\ \mathfrak{S}_{1,2}\{b : \beta\} \end{array}}$$

## Deleting a $\beta$ rule — where do you split?

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1\{b_1\} & \pi_2\{b_2\} \\ \mathfrak{S}_1\{b_1 : \beta_1\} & \mathfrak{S}_2\{b_2 : \beta_2\} \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1\{\dot{\beta}b\} \quad \pi_2\{\dot{\beta}b\} \\ \mathfrak{S}_{1,2}\{b : \beta\} \end{array}}$$

For example ...

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1[x] \quad \pi_2[y] \\ \Sigma_1 \succ x : A, \Delta_1 \quad \Sigma_2 \succ y : B, \Delta_2 \end{array}}{\pi_1[\lambda z] \quad \pi_2[\lambda z]}$$
$$\Sigma_{1,2} \succ z : A \wedge B, \Delta_{1,2}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi_3[x] \quad \pi_4[y] \\ \Sigma_3 \succ x : A, \Delta_3 \quad \Sigma_4 \succ y : B, \Delta_4 \end{array}}{\pi_3[\lambda z] \quad \pi_4[\lambda z]}$$
$$\Sigma_{3,4} \succ z : A \wedge B, \Delta_{3,4}$$

# Be Lazy

$$\frac{\pi_1[x] \quad \pi_2[y]}{\Sigma_1 \succ \textcolor{red}{x} : A, \Delta_1 \quad \Sigma_2 \succ \textcolor{red}{y} : B, \Delta_2}$$
$$\frac{}{\pi_1[\lambda z] \quad \pi_2[\lambda z]}$$
$$\Sigma_{1,2} \succ \textcolor{red}{z} : A \wedge B, \Delta_{1,2}$$

$$\frac{\pi[x, -] \quad \pi[-, y]}{\Sigma \succ \textcolor{red}{x} : A, \Delta \quad \Sigma \succ \textcolor{red}{y} : B, \Delta}$$
$$\frac{}{\pi[\lambda z, \lambda z]}$$
$$\Sigma \succ \textcolor{red}{z} : A \wedge B, \Delta$$

# Be Lazy

$$\frac{\pi_1[x] \quad \pi_2[y]}{\Sigma_1 \succ \textcolor{red}{x} : A, \Delta_1 \quad \Sigma_2 \succ \textcolor{red}{y} : B, \Delta_2}$$

$$\frac{\pi[x, -] \quad \pi[-, y]}{\Sigma \succ \textcolor{red}{x} : A, \Delta \quad \Sigma \succ \textcolor{red}{y} : B, \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\pi_1[x] \ \pi_2[-] \quad \pi_1[-] \ \pi_2[y]}{\Sigma \succ \textcolor{red}{x} : A, \Delta \quad \Sigma \succ \textcolor{red}{y} : B, \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\pi_1[\lambda z] \ \pi_2[\lambda z]}{\Sigma \succ \textcolor{red}{z} : A \wedge B, \Delta}$$

# The last permutation—horizontal copying of *prooffragments*

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1 \\ \Sigma_1 \succ \Delta_1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \pi_2[y] \\ \Sigma_2 \succ \textcolor{red}{y} : A, \Delta_2 \end{array}}{\Sigma \succ \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi_1 \quad \pi_2[-] \\ \Sigma_{1,2} \succ \Delta_{1,2} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \pi_2[y] \\ \Sigma_2 \succ \textcolor{red}{y} : A, \Delta_2 \end{array}}{\Sigma \succ \Delta}$$

## Example of weakening in a proof fragment

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \textcolor{red}{y \rightsquigarrow y} \\ \textcolor{red}{y : A \succ y : A} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \textcolor{red}{z \rightsquigarrow z} \\ \textcolor{red}{z : B \succ z : B} \end{array}}{\textcolor{red}{\vee x \rightsquigarrow y \ \vee x \rightsquigarrow z}} \quad \textcolor{black}{\vee L}$$
$$\textcolor{red}{x : A \vee B \succ y : A, z : B}$$

## Example of weakening in a proof fragment

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \textcolor{red}{y \rightsquigarrow y} \\ \textcolor{red}{y : A \succ y : A} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \textcolor{red}{z \rightsquigarrow z} \\ \textcolor{red}{z : B \succ z : B} \end{array}}{\textcolor{red}{\vee x \rightsquigarrow y \vee x \rightsquigarrow z}} \quad \textcolor{black}{\vee L}$$
$$\textcolor{red}{x : A \vee B \succ y : A, z : B}$$

Delete  $y$  to get  $\textcolor{red}{\vee x \rightsquigarrow z}$ , for the (invalid) sequent  $x : A \vee B \succ z : B$ .

## Example of weakening in a proof fragment

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \textcolor{red}{y \rightsquigarrow y} \\ \textcolor{red}{y : A \succ y : A} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \textcolor{red}{z \rightsquigarrow z} \\ \textcolor{red}{z : B \succ z : B} \end{array}}{\textcolor{red}{\vee x \rightsquigarrow y \vee x \rightsquigarrow z}} \quad \textcolor{brown}{\vee L}$$
$$\textcolor{red}{x : A \vee B \succ y : A, z : B}$$

Delete  $y$  to get  $\textcolor{red}{\vee x \rightsquigarrow z}$ , for the (invalid) sequent  $x : A \vee B \succ z : B$ .

$$\Sigma \stackrel{\pi}{\succ} \Delta$$

## Example of weakening in a proof fragment

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} y \rightsquigarrow y \\ y : A \succ y : A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} z \rightsquigarrow z \\ z : B \succ z : B \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \vee x \rightsquigarrow y \quad \vee x \rightsquigarrow z \\ x : A \vee B \succ y : A, z : B \end{array}} \vee L$$

Delete  $y$  to get  $\vee x \rightsquigarrow z$ , for the (invalid) sequent  $x : A \vee B \succ z : B$ .

$$\Sigma \succ \Delta \quad \text{becomes} \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} \pi \\ \Sigma, y : A \succ \Delta \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} z \rightsquigarrow z \\ z : B \succ z : B \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \pi \quad \vee x \rightsquigarrow z \\ \Sigma, x : A \vee B \succ z : B, \Delta \end{array}} \vee L$$

A scenic view of Bryce Canyon National Park, featuring a vast landscape of red rock hoodoos and green pine trees under a clear blue sky. A paved trail winds through the foreground on the left, leading towards a group of people standing on a rocky outcrop. The terrain is rugged and layered, with deep canyons and high plateaus.

# FURTHER WORK

## To Do List

- ▶ Apply these terms to other kinds of proofs (Fitch, Lemmon, tableaux, Hilbert, resolution...)
- ▶ *Categories* (The class of *single input, single output* terms with composition by defined by *Cut + reduction* is a category. What are its properties?)
- ▶ Extend beyond propositional logic.

# THANK YOU!

[http://consequently.org/presentation/2017/  
proof-terms-invariants-amsterdam](http://consequently.org/presentation/2017/proof-terms-invariants-amsterdam)

@consequently on Twitter