

# Generality & Existence IV

## Modality & Identity

*Greg Restall*



THE UNIVERSITY OF  
MELBOURNE

ARCHÉ, ST ANDREWS · 3 DECEMBER 2015

To analyse the *quantifiers*

To analyse the *quantifiers*  
(including their interactions with *modals*)

To analyse the *quantifiers*  
(including their interactions with *modals*)  
using the tools of *proof theory*

To analyse the *quantifiers*  
(including their interactions with *modals*)  
using the tools of *proof theory*  
in order to better understand  
*quantification, existence and identity.*

Understanding the interaction  
between modality and identity.

# Today's Plan

---

Hypersequents & Defining Rules

Identity Rules

Subjunctive Alternatives

Indicative Alternatives

The Status of Worlds Semantics

# HYPERSEQUENTS & DEFINING RULES



## Subjunctive Alternatives and $\Box$

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ \Delta \mid \Box A]}{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ \Box A, \Delta]} [\Box Df]$$

# Indicative Alternatives and $[e]$

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ \Delta \parallel \succ_{@} A]}{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ [e]A, \Delta]} \text{ } [[e]Df]$$

## Actual zones and @

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma, A \succ_{@} \Delta \mid \Gamma' \succ \Delta']}{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ_{@} \Delta \mid \Gamma', @A \succ \Delta']} \text{[@Df]}$$

## Two Dimensional Hypersequents

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X_1^1 \succ_{@} Y_1^1 & | & X_2^1 \succ Y_2^1 & | & \cdots & | & X_{m_1}^1 \succ Y_{m_1}^1 & || \\ X_1^2 \succ_{@} Y_1^2 & | & X_2^2 \succ Y_2^2 & | & \cdots & | & X_{m_2}^2 \succ Y_{m_2}^2 & || \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & & & \vdots & \\ X_1^n \succ_{@} Y_1^n & | & X_2^n \succ Y_2^n & | & \cdots & | & X_{m_n}^n \succ Y_{m_n}^n & \end{array}$$

Think of these as *scorecards*, keeping track of assertions and denials.

# Free Quantification

$$\frac{\frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma, n \succ A(n), \Delta]}{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma, n \succ A(n), \Delta]}}{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ (\forall x)A(x), \Delta]} [\forall Df] \qquad \frac{\frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma, n, A(n) \succ \Delta]}{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma, n, A(n) \succ \Delta]}}{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma, (\exists x)A(x) \succ \Delta]} [\exists Df]$$

# Free Quantification

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma, n \succ A(n), \Delta]}{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ (\forall x)A(x), \Delta]} [\forall Df]$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma, n, A(n) \succ \Delta]}{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma, (\exists x)A(x) \succ \Delta]} [\exists Df]$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[t, \Gamma \succ \Delta]}{\mathcal{H}[t\downarrow, \Gamma \succ \Delta]} [\downarrow Df]$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[t_i, \Gamma \succ \Delta]}{\mathcal{H}[Ft_1 \cdots t_n, \Gamma \succ \Delta]} [FL]$$

# IDENTITY RULES

# Identity Rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \succ A(s), \Delta \quad \Gamma, A(t) \succ \Delta}{s = t, \Gamma \succ \Delta} [=L]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \succ A(s), \Delta}{s = t, \Gamma \succ A(t), \Delta} [=L_r^f] \quad \frac{\Gamma, Fa \succ Fb, \Delta}{\Gamma \succ a = b, \Delta} [=R]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \succ Fs, \Delta}{s = t, \Gamma \succ Ft, \Delta} [=L_r^p] \quad \frac{Fs, \Gamma \succ \Delta}{s = t, Ft, \Gamma \succ \Delta} [=L_l^p]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, Fa \succ Fb, \Delta}{\Gamma \succ a = b, \Delta} [=Df] \quad \frac{\Gamma \succ \Delta}{\Gamma|_{A(x)}^{Fx} \succ \Delta|_{A(x)}^{Fx}} [Spec_{A(x)}^{Fx}]$$



# Equivalences

$$\frac{\Gamma, Fa \succ Fb, \Delta}{\Gamma \succ a = b, \Delta} [=Df] \quad \frac{\Gamma \succ \Delta}{\Gamma|_{A(x)}^{Fx} \succ \Delta|_{A(x)}^{Fx}} [Spec_{A(x)}^{Fx}]$$

$$\mathcal{L}[=Df, Spec, Cut]$$

# Equivalences

$$\frac{\Gamma \succ A(s), \Delta \quad \Gamma, A(t) \succ \Delta}{s = t, \Gamma \succ \Delta} [=L]$$

$$\mathcal{L}[=Df, Spec, Cut] = \mathcal{L}[=L/R, Cut]$$

# Equivalences

$$\frac{\Gamma \succ A(s), \Delta}{s = t, \Gamma \succ A(t), \Delta} [=L_r^f]$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}[=Df, Spec, Cut] &= \mathcal{L}[=L/R, Cut] \\ &= \mathcal{L}[=L_r^f/R, Cut]\end{aligned}$$

# Equivalences

$$\frac{\Gamma \succ Fs, \Delta}{s = t, \Gamma \succ Ft, \Delta} [=L_r^p] \quad \frac{Fs, \Gamma \succ \Delta}{s = t, Ft, \Gamma \succ \Delta} [=L_l^p] \quad \frac{\Gamma, Fa \succ Fb, \Delta}{\Gamma \succ a = b, \Delta} [=R]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}[=Df, Spec, Cut] &= \mathcal{L}[=L/R, Cut] \\ &= \mathcal{L}[=L_r^f/R, Cut] \\ &= \mathcal{L}[=L_r^p/L_l^p/R, Cut] \end{aligned}$$

# Equivalences

$$\frac{\Gamma \succ Fs, \Delta}{s = t, \Gamma \succ Ft, \Delta} [=L_r^p] \quad \frac{Fs, \Gamma \succ \Delta}{s = t, Ft, \Gamma \succ \Delta} [=L_l^p] \quad \frac{\Gamma, Fa \succ Fb, \Delta}{\Gamma \succ a = b, \Delta} [=R]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}[=Df, Spec, Cut] &= \mathcal{L}[=L/R, Cut] \\ &= \mathcal{L}[=L_r^f/R, Cut] \\ &= \mathcal{L}[=L_r^p/L_l^p/R, Cut] \\ &= \mathcal{L}[=L_r^p/L_l^p/R] \end{aligned}$$

# Equivalences

$$\frac{\Gamma \succ Fs, \Delta}{s = t, \Gamma \succ Ft, \Delta} [=L_r^p] \quad \frac{Fs, \Gamma \succ \Delta}{s = t, Ft, \Gamma \succ \Delta} [=L_l^p] \quad \frac{\Gamma, Fa \succ Fb, \Delta}{\Gamma \succ a = b, \Delta} [=R]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}[=Df, Spec, Cut] &= \mathcal{L}[=L/R, Cut] \\ &= \mathcal{L}[=L_r^f/R, Cut] \\ &= \mathcal{L}[=L_r^p/L_l^p/R, Cut] \\ &= \mathcal{L}[=L_r^p/L_l^p/R] \end{aligned}$$

Each system gives you classical first-order predicate logic with identity.

## Decomposing [=L']: conjunctions

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \succ A(s) \wedge B(s), \Delta}{\Gamma \succ A(s), \Delta} [\wedge E] \quad \frac{\Gamma \succ A(s) \wedge B(s), \Delta}{\Gamma \succ B(s), \Delta} [\wedge E]}{\frac{s = t, \Gamma \succ A(t), \Delta \quad s = t, \Gamma \succ B(t), \Delta}{s = t, \Gamma \succ A(t) \wedge B(t), \Delta} [\wedge R]} [=L']$$

(Where the  $[\wedge E]$  is given by a *Cut* on  $A(t) \wedge B(t) \succ A(t)$ , or  $A(t) \wedge B(t) \succ B(t)$ .)

## Decomposing [=L']: conjunctions

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma \succ A(s) \wedge B(s), \Delta}{\Gamma \succ A(s), \Delta} [\wedge E]}{s = t, \Gamma \succ A(t), \Delta} [=L']}{s = t, \Gamma \succ A(t) \wedge B(t), \Delta} [\wedge R]$$
$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma \succ A(s) \wedge B(s), \Delta}{\Gamma \succ B(s), \Delta} [\wedge E]}{s = t, \Gamma \succ B(t), \Delta} [=L']}{s = t, \Gamma \succ A(t) \wedge B(t), \Delta} [\wedge R]$$

(Where the  $[\wedge E]$  is given by a *Cut* on  $A(t) \wedge B(t) \succ A(t)$ , or  $A(t) \wedge B(t) \succ B(t)$ .)

$[=L']$  on *conjunctions* is given by  $[=L']$  on its *conjuncts*.



## But for *negation*...

$$\frac{\Gamma \succ \neg A(s), \Delta}{\Gamma, A(s) \succ \Delta} [\neg Df]$$
$$\frac{}{s = t, A(t), \Gamma \succ \Delta} [=L' \text{ on the wrong side!}]$$
$$\frac{s = t, A(t), \Gamma \succ \Delta}{s = t, \Gamma \succ \neg A(t), \Delta} [\neg Df]$$

# SUBJUNCTIVE ALTERNATIVES

# The Defining Rule for Identity in Hypersequents

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma, Fa \succ Fb, \Delta]}{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ a = b, \Delta]} [=Df]$$

# The Defining Rule for Identity in Hypersequents

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma, Fa \succ Fb, \Delta]}{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ a = b, \Delta]} [=Df]$$

How general is the F in [=Df]?

# The Defining Rule for Identity in Hypersequents

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma, Fa \succ Fb, \Delta]}{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ a = b, \Delta]} [=Df]$$

How general is the F in [=Df]?

$$\frac{\Gamma \succ \Delta}{\Gamma|_{A(x)}^{Fx} \succ \Delta|_{A(x)}^{Fx}} [Spec_{A(x)}^{Fx}]$$

Let's allow  $A(x)$  to contain  $\Box$  and  $\Diamond$ .

# The Defining Rule for Identity in Hypersequents

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma, Fa \succ Fb, \Delta]}{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ a = b, \Delta]} [=Df]$$

How general is the F in [=Df]?

$$\frac{\Gamma \succ \Delta}{\Gamma|_{A(x)}^{Fx} \succ \Delta|_{A(x)}^{Fx}} [Spec_{A(x)}^{Fx}]$$

Let's allow  $A(x)$  to contain  $\Box$  and  $\Diamond$ .

Call this [*Modal Spec*].

$$[=L^{\Box}]$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ A(s), \Delta]}{\mathcal{H}[s = t, \Gamma \succ A(t), \Delta]} [=L^{\Box}]$$

(Where  $A(x)$  can contain  $\Box$ .)

## Decomposing $[=L^\Box]$ with $[Modal\ Spec]$ : necessities

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[s = t, \Gamma \succ \Box A(s), \Delta]}{\mathcal{H}[s = t, \Gamma \succ \Delta \mid \succ A(s)]} [\Box Df]$$
$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[s = t, \Gamma \succ \Delta \mid \succ A(s)]}{\mathcal{H}[s = t, \Gamma \succ \Delta \mid \succ A(t)]} [=L']$$
$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[s = t, \Gamma \succ \Delta \mid \succ A(t)]}{\mathcal{H}[s = t, \Gamma \succ \Box A(t), \Delta]} [\Box Df]$$



# Identity across Subjunctive Alternatives

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ \Delta \mid \Gamma' \succ Fs, \Delta']}{\mathcal{H}[s = t, \Gamma \succ \Delta \mid \Gamma' \succ Ft, \Delta']} [=L_{|r}^p]$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ \Delta \mid \Gamma', Fs \succ \Delta']}{\mathcal{H}[s = t, \Gamma \succ \Delta \mid \Gamma', Ft \succ \Delta']} [=L_{|l}^p]$$

# Identity across Subjunctive Alternatives

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ \Delta \mid \Gamma' \succ Fs, \Delta']}{\mathcal{H}[s = t, \Gamma \succ \Delta \mid \Gamma' \succ Ft, \Delta']} [=L_{|r}^p]$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ \Delta \mid \Gamma', Fs \succ \Delta']}{\mathcal{H}[s = t, \Gamma \succ \Delta \mid \Gamma', Ft \succ \Delta']} [=L_{|l}^p]$$

This makes sense in planning contexts.

# Equivalences

$$\mathcal{L}[=Df, \textit{Modal Spec}, \textit{Cut}] = \mathcal{L}[=L^{\square}/R, \textit{Cut}] = \mathcal{L}[=L^p_{|l,r}/R, \textit{Cut}]$$

# Equivalences

$$\mathcal{L}[=Df, Modal Spec, Cut] = \mathcal{L}[=L^{\square}/R, Cut] = \mathcal{L}[=L^p_{l,r}/R, Cut]$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\succ \quad | \quad Fs \succ Fs}{\succ \quad | \quad \succ Fs \supset Fs} [\supset Df]}{\succ \Box(Fs \supset Fs)} [\Box Df]}{s = t \succ \Box(Fs \supset Ft)} [=L^{\square}]$$

# Equivalences (cont.)

$$\mathcal{L}[=Df, Modal Spec, Cut] = \mathcal{L}[=L^{\Box}/R, Cut] = \mathcal{L}[=L^p_{|l,r}/R, Cut]$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{s = t \succ \Box(Fs \supset Ft)}{\mathcal{H}[\Box(Fs \supset Ft), \Gamma \succ \Delta \mid \Gamma' \succ Ft, \Delta']} [\Box L]}{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ \Delta \mid \Gamma', Fs \supset Ft \succ Ft, \Delta']} [\supset L]}{\mathcal{H}[s = t, \Gamma \succ \Delta \mid \Gamma' \succ Ft, \Delta']} [Cut]$$

# Fully Refined Positions with Identity

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[a = b, \Gamma \succ \Delta \mid \Gamma', Fa, Fb \succ \Delta']}{\mathcal{H}[a = b, \Gamma \succ \Delta \mid \Gamma', Fb \succ \Delta']} [=L_{|l}^p]$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[a = b, \Gamma \succ \Delta \mid \Gamma' \succ Fa, Fb, \Delta']}{\mathcal{H}[a = b, \Gamma \succ \Delta \mid \Gamma' \succ Fb, \Delta']} [=L_{|r}^p]$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma, Fa \succ a = b, Fb, \Delta]}{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ a = b, \Delta]} [=R^*, F_{\text{new}}]$$

# Free Quantification and Contingent Existence

$$\text{NON-COMMITAL: } \frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma, Fs \succ Ft, \Delta]}{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ s =_n t, \Delta]} [=_n Df]$$

# Free Quantification and Contingent Existence

$$\text{NON-COMMITTAL: } \frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma, Fs \succ Ft, \Delta]}{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ s =_n t, \Delta]} [=_n Df]$$

$$\text{COMMITTAL: } \frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ s, \Delta] \quad \mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ t, \Delta] \quad \mathcal{H}[\Gamma, Fs \succ Ft, \Delta]}{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ s =_c t, \Delta]} [=_c Df]$$

We'll work with non-committal identity for the rest of this talk.  
(Committal identity  $s =_c t$  is definable as  $(s =_n t) \wedge s \downarrow \wedge t \downarrow$ .)



# Essential Properties

$$F^{\Box}t =_{\text{df}} \Box(t \downarrow \supset Ft)$$

# Fully Refined Positions with Contingent Existence

$$[(\exists y)\Box(\forall x)(F^\Box x \equiv x = y) : \Box(\exists x)F^\Box x]$$

# INDICATIVE ALTERNATIVES

# Identity across Indicative Alternatives?

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ \Delta \parallel \Gamma' \succ_{@} Fs, \Delta']}{\mathcal{H}[s = t, \Gamma \succ \Delta \parallel \Gamma' \succ_{@} Ft, \Delta']} [=L_{||r}^p]$$

This makes *less* sense for identity.

# Disagreeing over Identities

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ \Delta \parallel \Gamma' \succ_{@} Fs, \Delta']}{\mathcal{H}[s = t, \Gamma \succ \Delta \parallel \Gamma' \succ_{@} Ft, \Delta']} [=L_{\parallel r}^p]$$

# Disagreeing over Identities

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ \Delta \parallel \Gamma' \succ_{@} Fs, \Delta']}{\mathcal{H}[s = t, \Gamma \succ \Delta \parallel \Gamma' \succ_{@} Ft, \Delta']} [=L_{||r}^p]$$

$$\frac{\frac{\succ_{@} \parallel Fa \succ_{@} Fa}{a = b \succ_{@} \parallel Fa \succ_{@} Fb} [=L_{||r}^p]}{a = b \succ_{@} \parallel \succ_{@} a = b} [=L]$$

# Disagreeing over Identities

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ \Delta \parallel \Gamma' \succ_{@} Fs, \Delta']}{\mathcal{H}[s = t, \Gamma \succ \Delta \parallel \Gamma' \succ_{@} Ft, \Delta']} [=L_{||r}^p]$$

$$\frac{\frac{\succ_{@} \parallel Fa \succ_{@} Fa}{a = b \succ_{@} \parallel Fa \succ_{@} Fb} [=L_{||r}^p]}{a = b \succ_{@} \parallel \succ_{@} a = b} [=L]$$

If we admit  $[=L_{||r}^p]$ , we rule out coherent disagreement over identities.

# Fully Refined Positions with 2D Sequents

$$F^{[e]}t =_{\text{df}} [e](t \downarrow \supset Ft)$$



# Fully Refined Positions with 2D Sequents

$$F^{[e]}t =_{\text{df}} [e](t \downarrow \supset Ft)$$

$$[a, F^{[e]}a : F^{\Box}a]$$

# Fully Refined Positions with 2D Sequents

$$F^{[e]}t =_{\text{df}} [e](t \downarrow \supset Ft)$$

$$[a, F^{[e]}a : F^{\Box}a]$$

$$[a, F^{\Box}a : F^{[e]}a]$$

# How Intensional is Quantification?

$$[(\exists x)(a = x \wedge \neg[e](a = x)) : ]_@$$

## How Intensional is Quantification?

$$[(\exists x)(a = x \wedge \neg[e](a = x)) : ]_@$$

$$[a, (a = b \wedge \neg[e](a = b)) : ]_@$$

# How Intensional is Quantification?

$$[(\exists x)(a = x \wedge \neg[e](a = x)) : ]_{@}$$

$$[a, (a = b \wedge \neg[e](a = b)) : ]_{@}$$

$$[a, a = b, \neg[e](a = b) : ]_{@}$$

# How Intensional is Quantification?

$$[(\exists x)(a = x \wedge \neg[e](a = x)) : ]_{@}$$

$$[a, (a = b \wedge \neg[e](a = b)) : ]_{@}$$

$$[a, a = b, \neg[e](a = b) : ]_{@}$$

$$[a, a = b : [e](a = b)]_{@}$$

# How Intensional is Quantification?

$$[(\exists x)(a = x \wedge \neg[e](a = x)) : ]_{@}$$

$$[a, (a = b \wedge \neg[e](a = b)) : ]_{@}$$

$$[a, a = b, \neg[e](a = b) : ]_{@}$$

$$[a, a = b : [e](a = b)]_{@}$$

$$[a, a = b : ]_{@} \parallel [ : a = b]_{@}$$

# How Intensional is Quantification?

$$[(\exists x)(a = x \wedge \neg[e](a = x)) : ]_{@}$$

$$[a, (a = b \wedge \neg[e](a = b)) : ]_{@}$$

$$[a, a = b, \neg[e](a = b) : ]_{@}$$

$$[a, a = b : [e](a = b)]_{@}$$

$$[a, a = b : ]_{@} \parallel [ : a = b]_{@}$$

$$[a, a = b : ]_{@} \parallel [Fa : a = b, Fb]_{@}$$



# Intensional Identity

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[\Gamma \succ \Delta \parallel \Gamma' \succ_{@} Fs, \Delta']}{\mathcal{H}[s \equiv t, \Gamma \succ \Delta \parallel \Gamma' \succ_{@} Ft, \Delta']} [\equiv L_{\parallel r}^p]$$

# THE STATUS OF WORLDS SEMANTICS

# What are worlds?

---

**WORLDS IN A MODEL:** Components of fully refined positions

# What are worlds?

**WORLDS IN A MODEL:** Components of fully refined positions

**WORLDS:** Components of fully refined positions starting from the *truth*.

# What are worlds? and *possibilia*?

WORLDS IN A MODEL: Components of fully refined positions

WORLDS: Components of fully refined positions starting from the *truth*.

POSSIBILIA IN A MODEL: Terms occurring positively  
in some component in a fully refined position, identified by =.

# What are worlds? and *possibilia*?

WORLDS IN A MODEL: Components of fully refined positions

WORLDS: Components of fully refined positions starting from the *truth*.

POSSIBILIA IN A MODEL: Terms occurring positively in some component in a fully refined position, identified by  $=$ .

POSSIBILIA: Terms occurring positively in some subjunctive alternative component of the starting location in a fully refined position starting from the *truth*, identified by  $=$ .

# What are worlds? and *possibilia*?

WORLDS IN A MODEL: Components of fully refined positions

WORLDS: Components of fully refined positions starting from the *truth*.

POSSIBILIA IN A MODEL: Terms occurring positively in some component in a fully refined position, identified by  $=$ .

POSSIBILIA: Terms occurring positively in some subjunctive alternative component of the starting location in a fully refined position starting from the *truth*, identified by  $=$ .

INDIVIDUAL CONCEPTS: Terms occurring in a fully refined position starting from the *truth*, identified by  $\equiv$ .

# THANK YOU!

[http://consequently.org/presentation/2015/  
general-ity-and-existence-4-arche](http://consequently.org/presentation/2015/general-ity-and-existence-4-arche)

@consequently on Twitter