



Water Resources Research

Supporting information for

Bathymetry data from thousands of lakes show that lake depth prediction is confounded by difficulty modeling inflake slope

J. Stachelek¹, P. Hanly¹, and P.A. Soranno¹

¹Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Michigan State University, 480 Wilson Rd., East Lansing, Michigan 48824 USA

Contents of this file

Figure S1 Map of study lakes

Figure S2 Comparison between reported depth and depth estimated from bathymetry surfaces

Figure S3 Lake characteristics by categorical variables

Figure S4 Hypsography classification by state




Figure S1: Map of study lakes showing A) lake maximum depth measurements, B) cross-section shape class, and C) reservoir classification.




Figure S2: Comparison between reported depth and depth estimated from bathymetry surfaces by US State where reported depths come from the LAGOSUS-Depth product (citation). For this figure, no reported depth values originated from the same source as its corresponding bathymetry-derived value.




Figure S3: Lake characteristics by categorical variables.




Figure S4: Hypsography classification by state. Numbers on panel labels indicate the percentage of lakes in each state with a convex versus a concave cross-section shape.