**High Performance Computing**

**Homework #3: Part B**

**Due: Saturday February 24 2015 by 11:59 PM (Midnight)**

**Email-based help Cutoff: 5:00 PM on Mon, Feb 23 2015**

Maximum Points For This Part: 10

|  |
| --- |
| **Objective** |
| The objective of this part of the homework is to develop a simple benchmark C++ program to compare the performance of using methods vs. lambdas in algorithms. |

## Background:

In C++ many standard algorithms such as: std::sort, std::count\_if accept predicates to which you may pass methods or specify lambdas. Recollect that in computer science, a “predicate” is a mathematical function that takes zero or more parameters and returns a Boolean value (i.e., true or false). Predicates that accept one parameter are called unary predicates while predicates that accept two parameters are called binary predicates.

## Scientific question:

Is there a performance difference between using a function versus supplying a lambda for a predicate?

## Hypothesis:

Using a lambda would be faster as lambdas can be effectively inlined (thereby avoiding overhead of a function call) and the compiler can better optimize lambda.

## Instructions:

This homework requires you to design an experimental benchmark to test the aforementioned hypothesis and conduct experiments to accept/reject the hypothesis. Complete the report (in this document), save it as a PDF file, and upload it to Niihka.

Here are a few tips to help design your benchmark:

1. Working with your std::sort algorithm would be effective (because of its time complexity arising from the number of times the predicate is used for comparisons)
2. Ensure your benchmark runs for about 10 seconds with optimizations (-O3).
3. Don’t overcomplicate the benchmark. A few lines of code can be more useful than 100s of lines of code.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name:** |  |

## Experimental Platform

## The experiments documented in this report were conducted on the following platform:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Component | Details |
| CPU Model |  |
| CPU/Core Speed |  |
| Main Memory (RAM) size |  |
| Operating system used |  |
| Interconnect type & speed (if applicable) | n/a |
| Was machine dedicated to task (yes/no) |  |
| Name and version of C++ compiler (if used) |  |
| Name and version of Java compiler (if used) |  |
| Name and version of other non-standard software tools & components (if used) |  |

## Benchmark Design

|  |
| --- |
| Instead of this text, briefly (4 to 5 sentences) describe the functionality of the benchmark program you have developed, with specific focus on how it helps to test the hypothesis. |

## Experiments and Observations

Briefly describe how the experiments were conducted (indicate commands used and details on the jobs that were used to conduct the experiments)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Observations:**

Document the statistics collated from your experiments conducted (you may use tables from previous reports or create your own):

## Results and Conclusions

Using the above data develop a report (about 10 sentences) discussing the performance aspects and conclude if you accept or reject the hypothesis.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

## Submission

Once you have completed your report upload the following onto Niihka:

1. This report document (duly filled) and saved as a PDF file with the naming convention muid\_Homework3\_PartB.pdf.
2. The benchmark program that you have developed named with the convention muid \_Homework3\_PartB.cpp.