**High Performance Computing**

**Homework #5**

**Due: Tuesday March 17 2015 by 11:59 PM (Noon)**

**Email-based help Cutoff: 5:00 PM on Mon, March 16 2015**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name:** |  |

## Experimental Platform

## The experiments documented in this report were conducted on the following platform:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Component | Details |
| CPU Model |  |
| CPU/Core Speed |  |
| Main Memory (RAM) size |  |
| Operating system used |  |
| Interconnect type & speed (if applicable) |  |
| Was machine dedicated to task (yes/no) |  |
| Name and version of C compiler (if used) |  |
| Name and version of Java compiler (if used) |  |
| Name and version of other non-standard software tools & components (if used) |  |

## Java Runtime Observations

Document the statistics collated from your experiments conducted as instructed for Part 1in the table below. In Table 1 report just mean and 95% CI values (not the five raw timing values) for the Java version of matrix multiplication.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **MATRIX\_SIZE** | **Samples** | **Java Execution Time**  **(*Avg*±*CI* sec)** | **Peak Memory (KB)** |
| 500 | 5 |  |  |
| 750 | 5 |  |  |
| 1000 | 5 |  |  |
| 1500 | 5 |  |  |
| 2000 | 5 |  |  |
| 2500 | 5 |  |  |
| 3000 | 5 |  |  |

Table 1: Execution timings for simple matrix multiplication implemented in Java. The average execution times and 95% confidence intervals (CI) have been computed from five independent runs of the test program MatMul.java.

## C++ Runtime Observations

Document the statistics collated from your experiments conducted as instructed for Part 1in the table below. In Table 2 report just mean and 95% CI values (not the five raw timing values) for the C/C++ version of matrix multiplication.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **MATRIX\_SIZE** | **Samples** | **C++ Execution Time**  **(*Avg*±*CI* sec)** | **Peak Memory (KB)** |
| 500 | 5 |  |  |
| 750 | 5 |  |  |
| 1000 | 5 |  |  |
| 1500 | 5 |  |  |
| 2000 | 5 |  |  |
| 2500 | 5 |  |  |
| 3000 | 5 |  |  |

Table 2: Execution timings for simple matrix multiplication implemented in C. The average execution times and 95% confidence intervals (CI) have been computed from five independent runs of the test program MatMul.c.

Using the data in the column titled Execution Time plot the graph comparing the Java and C/C++ version of matrix multiplication using Excel and copy-paste the chart into this report replacing the chart already shown. You may use the chart below as a graphical template for developing your chart.

Figure 1: Plot comparing execution timings of matrix multiplication implemented in Java and C++

Using the data from the above chart indicate the following information for the C++ and Java runtimes:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Time complexity for Java version:  (This is the equation for the trend line) |  |
|  |  |
| Time complexity for C++ version:  (This is the equation for the trend line) |  |

## Inferences & Discussions

Now, using the data from tables along with the graphs document your inferences contrasting matrix multiplication implemented in C++ and Java using the evidence gathered through the experiments (use as much space as you need). Compare and contrast on the performance trends and memory usage in the two languages. Compare and contrast source code differences between the source codes in the two languages In addition comment on which programming language would be more suitable for energy-efficient computing for mobile devices (smartphones, tablets, laptops) and discuss their advantages. Here is a classic one that I get almost every year (so this year you cannot use it in your discussions, sorry) – “*Energy efficient devices let students watch way more NetFlix/Hulu while lurking on Facebook/Twitter and hurt their grades! Consequently Python the slowest of the lot would be best for Miami students who belong to the Slytherin and are excellent at Parseltongue (or as we call it Python) even though Dr. Rao tries to convert students to the good side in the hopes of helping them get better jobs*.” However, you are welcome to be sarcastic, but please enclose sarcastic comments between <sarcasm> </sarcasm> tags.

|  |
| --- |
|  |