Message #1: The Taskforce encourages the Bureau that maximizing financial inclusion & reducing consumer harm should be the foundation of its work. The report provides a roadmap for helping accomplish these goals.

Questions:

- 1. Jean: The Taskforce has several recommendations about modernizing Regulation B. How are your proposed changes going to protect consumers, and how can the Bureau and other regulators do a better job of defending the rights of marginalized groups of people broadly?
- 2. Howard: You wrote about the need for updated privacy regulations and the future of consumer data. How do your recommendations on privacy and security prevent consumer harm?
- 3. The Taskforce is recommending changes to the Bureau's supervision exam structure. What are these changes, and why are they important to ensuring consumer protection?
- 4. How does the emphasis on Cost-Benefit Analysis protect consumers, and how does the Taskforce propose the Bureau quantify the unquantifiable for the purposes of comparison?
- 5. What existing laws or regulations hamper the goals of financial inclusion and access, and how do your recommendations address them?

Message #2: The Taskforce uses history and analytical studies to prove that competition and innovation are core to consumer protection.

Questions:

- 1. Bill: You wrote a chapter discussing the role that free and fair competition plays in ensuring consumer access and protection. Can you explain how competition works for consumers, and why this should be a focus for the Bureau?
- 2. How does a focus on competition help consumers in markets where consumers do not pick their service providers, such as loan servicers or debt collectors?
- 3. What are the pros and cons of a principles-based regulatory regime vs. a prescriptive regulatory regime, and why does that matter for innovation and consumer protection?
- 4. What consumer financial innovations are most interesting from a financial law perspective, and how do your recommendations address gaps in how they are treated?
- 5. Why is FinTech Chartering a benefit to consumers and why does the Bureau have a role?

General questions that could preempt potential criticisms:

- 1. What role does the Taskforce's work play in navigating consumer financial law after the COVID-19 pandemic, and why did the group continue to meet through the pandemic?
- 2. Why is the Taskforce focusing on modernization of federal consumer financial law? How is the current structure of the law failing to protect consumers and promote access to credit?
- 3. In some areas of the report you laud states as laboratories of democracy and in others you advocate for federal preemption of state law. How do you square these competing claims?
- 4. How should this report be read and used, and by whom?

Potential other message: Over the last 50 years legislation, rules, and regulation have just piled up in a piecemeal fashion, but it is still very much a paper-based, disclosure and consent-type model. Given the technical revolution that has and continues to occur, it is essential to take a look at where we are and how we should be reshaping the regulatory ecosystem to deal with the internet and electronic disclosures on people's phones.