
From: Weber, Nathaniel (CFPB) </O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=AFC92B89DDA54B18AFDA5A0CE17E3B80-WEBER, NATH>
To: Zywicki, Todd (Detailee)
CC: Cameron, Matt (CFPB)
Sent: 2/13/2020 5:08:02 PM
Subject: Public and intergovernmental outreach planning
Attachments: Outreach Planning Options_02112020.pptx; Suggested agenda for March 10-13.docx

Hi Todd,

Apologies for bombarding you with the security emails earlier, but we're making sure the team can continue accomplishing their work without interruption. Back to work matters...

As you recall the Taskforce Members discussed a variety of public and intergovernmental outreach options. Matt cleared those options with the Front Office and briefly shared their feedback with you via phone on Tuesday. I went ahead and pulled together everything that we've discussed in the attached document. Based on the attached outline, I wanted to share a few notes and action items.

1. RFI:

- a. Jean is on point to pull together the initial draft of the RFI by 2/21, and I'll work with her on technically drafting the RFI (and usher the document from clearance to it being posted publicly).
- b. You may want to check-in to review the questions or ensure all members have contributed before the 2/21 deadline.

2. Roundtable:

- a. The roundtable will be 1-2 hours; given competing Bureau events, it appears this will be held in the afternoon of March 10
- b. In order to ensure there is time for all attendees to participate and for the TF to ask follow up questions, we would like the TF to focus the round table discussions on 2-4 topics (for example, mortgages, deposits, and other; or privacy, disclosure, FinTech, and inclusion; or any other combination of targeted or general feedback).
- c. Focusing the discussion on 2-4 topics will also allow our partners in EA target the right consumer and industry groups to invite.
- d. The conversation can follow the questions posed in the RFI or not (please note that the Advisory Committee listening sessions will follow the RFI questions more closely).
- e. Could you identify a POC on the Taskforce to select the topics (I'd recommend Jean since she is leading the RFI, but this could be you or anyone else of course)?
- f. We are requesting topics by 2/19 so we can send invites and make preparations.

3. Individual Meetings:

- a. This item is on hold until we can prepare a suggested list. We anticipate refocusing on this topic on March 16, after the roundtable and advisory meetings.

4. Advisory Committee Meetings:

- a. The attached Word document is a working agenda for the TF meetings from March 10-13. You'll notice there is quite a good deal of unplanned time. We can arrange for meetings or simply reserve that time for the TF to spend time together working through things like further refining the report Table of Contents, developing deadlines for aspects of the report, or really anything you see fit.
- b. I'll develop discussion outlines and presentation slides that you will give on behalf the Taskforce to frame the discussion during these public engagements. Those will be shared before they enter Bureau clearance (date TBD).

5. State Interactions:

- a. Before we begin engaging with our intergovernmental team to get on the agendas with NAAG and CSBS, the TF should be prepared with an idea of questions or talking points for the calls.
- b. Current thinking, unless you disagree, is to have one representative from the Taskforce speak on the call (others would obviously be invited to listen).
- c. We recommend that you are the representative on the call as Taskforce Chair.
- d. We will begin developing a list of questions or talking points after the March meetings.

6. Federal intergovernmental meetings:

- a. No actions at this time given everything else that is in motion (and the obvious need to continue scoping down what will be included in the report), but you may want to begin tracking (or have us track) what input the group may seek from the other regulators.

As always, I'm happy to setup a call to discuss. I'm also happy to send all of this or some parts to the entire Taskforce for review.

Best,

Nat

Nat Weber

Taskforce on Federal Consumer Financial Law

Office: Redacted | Mobile: Redacted

Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection

consumerfinance.gov

Confidentiality Notice: If you received this email by mistake, you should notify the sender of the mistake and delete the e-mail and any attachments. An inadvertent disclosure is not intended to waive any privileges.