

Cooper Johnston

Compendium of Lecture Notes and Exercises in Introductory Abstract Algebra

Adopted from lectures, notes, and exercises by

Hugues Verdone and Philippe Moustrou

for the course MAT-2300, Algebra 1 at
UiT – The Arctic University of Norway
Department of Mathematics and Statistics

Contents

0	Foundations	5
0.1	Prerequisites, conventions, and notation	5
0.2	Sets and relations	5
0.3	Examples of proofs	6
	Solved exercises	7
1	Groups and Subgroups	9
1.1	Groups	9
1.2	Subgroups	10
1.3	Cosets	13
1.4	Normal subgroups	14
	Solved exercises	15
2	Relations Between Groups	21
2.1	Group homomorphisms	21
2.2	Permutation groups	23
2.3	Finitely generated abelian groups	27
2.4	Group action on a set	27
	Solved exercises	27
3	Rings and Fields	29
3.1	Rings	29
3.2	Ideals	33
3.3	Arithmetic in integral domains	33
3.4	Polynomials	33
	Solved exercises	33

Chapter 0

Foundations

0.1 Prerequisites, conventions, and notation

We will assume the reader is familiar with the concept of a set, set-builder notation, and basic set operations. By convention, the set of natural numbers \mathbb{N} will be taken to start from 1.

0.2 Sets and relations

Definition 0.2.1. For two sets A and B , any subset of $A \times B$ is called a **relation**, and for all (a, b) in this relation, we say a is **related to** b , denoted, for example, by $a \sim b$.

Definition 0.2.2. A relation $a \sim b$ is called an **equivalence relation** if it is

- (1) reflexive: for every a , we have $a \sim a$;
- (2) symmetric: for every a, b such that $a \sim b$, we have $b \sim a$; and
- (3) transitive: for every a, b, c such that $a \sim b$ and $b \sim c$, we have $a \sim c$.

Definition 0.2.3. The set $[a] = \{b \mid a \sim b\}$ is called the **equivalence class** of a .

Theorem 0.2.4. Let \sim be an equivalence relation on a set X . Then, the equivalence classes are disjoint and form a partition of X .

Proof. Let $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and consider the equivalence classes $[x_1]$ and $[x_2]$. Suppose they are not disjoint. Then, there exists a y such that $y \in [x_1] \cap [x_2]$, so $x_1 \sim y$ and $x_2 \sim y$. By the symmetric property, $x_1 \sim y$ and $y \sim x_2$, so by the transitive property, $x_1 \sim x_2$.

Now let $x \in [x_1]$. Then, $x_1 \sim x$, and since $x_1 \sim x_2$, we have $x_2 \sim x$, so $x \in [x_2]$. Thus, $[x_1] \subseteq [x_2]$, and similarly, $[x_2] \subseteq [x_1]$, so $[x_1] = [x_2]$. ■

0.3 Examples of proofs

Claim 0.3.1 (For a direct proof). The product of two odd numbers is odd.

Proof. Let a and b be odd. Then, $a = 2n + 1$ and $b = 2k + 1$ for some $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, so we have

$$ab = (2n + 1)(2k + 1) = 4nk + 2n + 2k + 1 = 2(2nk + n + k) + 1$$

which is odd since $2nk + n + k \in \mathbb{Z}$. ■

Claim 0.3.2 (For a proof by contraposition). Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If n^2 is odd, then n is odd.

Proof. Suppose n is even. Then, $n = 2k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, so

$$n^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2 = 2(2k^2)$$

which is even since $2k^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, if n^2 is odd, then n is odd. ■

Claim 0.3.3 (For a proof by contradiction). Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. If p is prime, then $\sqrt{p} \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. Suppose $\sqrt{p} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then, there exist some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b \neq 0$ such that $\sqrt{p} = a/b$. Without loss of generality, assume $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. We see

$$p = \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^2 = \frac{a^2}{b^2} \iff pb^2 = a^2 \implies p \mid a^2,$$

and since p is prime, we see $p \mid a$. There must then exist some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a = np$, so

$$pb^2 = a^2 = (np)^2 = n^2p^2 \iff b^2 = n^2p \implies p \mid b^2 \iff p \mid b.$$

Thus, p divides both a and b , but this is a contradiction since $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. Hence, $\sqrt{p} \notin \mathbb{Q}$. ■

Claim 0.3.4 (For a proof by induction). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n \geq 5$, then $n! \geq 2^n$.

Proof. For our base step, note $5! = 120$ and $2^5 = 32$, so $5! \geq 2^5$.

As our inductive hypothesis, assume $k! \geq 2^k$ for some $k \geq 5$. Then,

$$(k + 1)k! \geq (k + 1)2^k \geq 6 \cdot 2^k \geq 2 \cdot 2^k = 2^{k+1} \implies (k + 1)! \geq 2^{k+1}.$$

Hence, $n! \geq 2^n$ for all $n \geq 5$. ■

Note that this does not address the fact that $4! \geq 2^4$.

Solved exercises

For each of the following, find $A \cap B$, $A \cup B$, $A \setminus B$, $B \setminus A$, $A \times B$, and $B \times A$.

Exercise 0.1. Let $A = \{-1, 1\}$ and $B = \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Solution. We have

$$\begin{aligned} A \cap B &= \{1\}, \\ A \cup B &= \{-1, 1, 2, 3\}, \\ A \setminus B &= \{-1\}, \\ B \setminus A &= \{2, 3\}, \\ A \times B &= \{(-1, 1), (-1, 2), (-1, 3), (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3)\}, \\ B \times A &= \{(1, -1), (1, 1), (2, -1), (2, 1), (3, -1), (3, 1)\}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Exercise 0.2. Let $A = [-1, 1]$ and $B = (0, 3]$.

Solution. We have

$$\begin{aligned} A \cap B &= (0, 1], \\ A \cup B &= [-1, 3], \\ A \setminus B &= [-1, 0], \\ B \setminus A &= (1, 3], \\ A \times B &= \{(a, b) \mid a \in [-1, 1], b \in (0, 3]\}, \\ B \times A &= \{(b, a) \mid b \in (0, 3], a \in [-1, 1]\}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Exercise 0.3. Let $A = (1, 3)$ and $B = [0, \infty)$.

Solution. We have

$$\begin{aligned} A \cap B &= (1, 3), \\ A \cup B &= [0, \infty), \\ A \setminus B &= \emptyset, \\ B \setminus A &= [0, 1] \cup [3, \infty), \\ A \times B &= \{(a, b) \mid a \in (1, 3), b \in [0, \infty)\}, \\ B \times A &= \{(b, a) \mid b \in [0, \infty), a \in (1, 3)\}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ where a and b are coprime. Prove the following.

Exercise 0.4. If $a \mid bc$, then $a \mid c$.

Solution. Suppose $a \mid bc$. Then, there exists some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $na = bc$, so $b \mid na$. Now suppose n is not a multiple of b . Then, a and b must share a common factor greater than 1, but a and b are coprime, so this is impossible. Therefore, n must be a multiple of b ; that is, there exists some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n = kb$, so

$$na = bc \iff \frac{n}{b}a = c \iff \frac{bk}{b}a = c \iff ka = c \implies a \mid c. \quad \square$$

Exercise 0.5. If $a \mid c$ and $b \mid c$, then $ab \mid c$.

Solution. Suppose $a \mid c$ and $b \mid c$. Then, c is a multiple of a , and c is a multiple of b . Let $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n$ be the prime factorization of a , and let $q_1 q_2 \cdots q_k$ be the prime factorization of b . Since a and b are coprime, we see $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\} \cap \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k\} = \emptyset$, so the prime factorization of c must include all of the p_i s and all of the q_i s. Therefore, c is a multiple of $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n q_1 q_2 \cdots q_k = ab$, so $ab \mid c$. \square

Chapter 1

Groups and Subgroups

1.1 Groups

Definition 1.1.1. Let S be a set. A mapping

$$\begin{aligned}\odot : S \times S &\rightarrow S \\ (x, y) &\mapsto x \odot y\end{aligned}$$

is called a **law of composition** on S .

Note that S is necessarily closed under the operation defined by such a law. Examples include addition of natural numbers and multiplication of $n \times n$ matrices. Subtraction of natural numbers, however, is not closed and therefore not a law of composition.

Definition 1.1.2. A law of composition \odot on S is called **associative** if for every $x, y, z \in S$, we have $(x \odot y) \odot z = x \odot (y \odot z)$. The law \odot is called **commutative** if for every $x, y \in S$, we have $x \odot y = y \odot x$.

Definition 1.1.3. Let G be a set and \odot be a law of composition on G . A pair (G, \odot) is called a **group** if

- (1) \odot is associative;
- (2) there exists a **neutral element** $e \in G$ such that for every $g \in G$, we have

$$g \odot e = e \odot g = g;$$

and

- (3) for every $g \in G$, there exists an **inverse element** $g^{-1} \in G$ such that

$$g \odot g^{-1} = g^{-1} \odot g = e.$$

A group whose law is commutative is called **commutative** or **abelian**.

We will typically refer to a group by its set and denote compositions of its elements using multiplicative notation ab if commutativity is not assumed, or additive notation $a + b$ if commutativity is assumed; in the latter case, the inverse of a is denoted $-a$.

Proposition 1.1.4. The neutral element of a group is unique.

Proof. Let G be a group, and let $e_1, e_2 \in G$ such that for every $g \in G$, we have

$$e_1g = ge_1 = g \quad \text{and} \quad e_2g = ge_2 = g.$$

Then, $e_1e_2 = e_1$ and $e_1e_2 = e_2$, so $e_1 = e_2$. ■

Proposition 1.1.5. Let G be a group. For every $g \in G$, its inverse element g^{-1} is unique.

Proof. Let $g \in G$. Suppose h_1 and h_2 are both inverses of g . Then,

$$gh_1 = h_1g = e \quad \text{and} \quad gh_2 = h_2g = e,$$

so

$$h_1 = h_1e = h_1(gh_2) = (h_1g)h_2 = eh_2 = h_2.$$

Hence, the inverse of g is unique. ■

Proposition 1.1.6. Let G be a group, and let $g, h, i \in G$. Then,

- (1) $(g^{-1})^{-1} = g$;
- (2) $(gh)^{-1} = h^{-1}g^{-1}$;
- (3) the equations $gx = h$ and $xg = h$ have unique solutions $x \in G$; and
- (4) if $gi = hi$ or $ig = ih$, then $g = h$.

These can be proven with straightforward computations.

1.2 Subgroups

Definition 1.2.1. Let (G, \odot) be a group, and let $H \subseteq G$. If $(H, \odot|_{H \times H})$ is a group, it is called a **subgroup** of G .

Theorem 1.2.2. Let G be a group, and let $H \subseteq G$, $H \neq \emptyset$. Then, H is a subgroup of G if and only if for every $h_1, h_2 \in H$, we have $h_1h_2^{-1} \in H$.

Proof. ■

We will use the notation $n\mathbb{Z} = \{n \cdot k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ where \cdot is standard multiplication.

Proposition 1.2.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, $(n\mathbb{Z}, +)$ is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$.

Do this
proof!

Proof. We see $0 \in n\mathbb{Z}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, so $n\mathbb{Z} \neq \emptyset$.

Let $a, b \in n\mathbb{Z}$. Then, $a = kn$ and $b = ln$ for some $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, so we have

$$a + (-b) = a - b = kn - ln = (k - l)n = n(k - l) \in n\mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence, by Theorem 1.2.2, $(n\mathbb{Z}, +)$ is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$. ■

Proposition 1.2.4. Every subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ is of the form $(n\mathbb{Z}, +)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let H be a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$. If $H = \{0\}$, then $H = 0\mathbb{Z}$. Otherwise, let $k \in H$, $k \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, take k to be positive. Now let $S = H \cap \mathbb{Z}^+$. Since $k \in S$, we see $S \neq \emptyset$, so S has a minimal element, say n .

Since $n \in H$, we see $n\mathbb{Z} \subseteq H$. Additionally, rewriting k in terms of its Euclidean division by n as $k = ln + r$ where $l, r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $0 \leq r < n$, we see $r = 0$ since n is minimal. Thus, $k = ln \in n\mathbb{Z}$, so $H \subseteq n\mathbb{Z}$. Hence, $H = n\mathbb{Z}$. ■

Proposition 1.2.5. Let G be a group, and let $S \subseteq G$. Then, there exists a unique subgroup H of G such that

- (1) $S \subseteq H$ and
- (2) if H' is a subgroup of G and $S \subseteq H'$, then H is a subgroup of H' .

Proof A. Let X be the set of all subgroups of G that contain S . Since $G \in X$, we see $X \neq \emptyset$. Now let $H = \bigcup_{J \in X} J$. Then, $S \subseteq H$. Finally, let $x, y \in H$. Then, $x, y \in J$ for all $J \in X$, and since each J is a subgroup of G , we have $xy^{-1} \in J$ for all $J \in X$. Thus,

$$xy^{-1} \in \bigcup_{J \in X} J = H,$$

so, by Theorem 1.2.2, H is a subgroup of G .

Now suppose there exist two subgroups H_1, H_2 satisfying (1) and (2). Then, $S \subseteq H_1$ and $S \subseteq H_2$. Since H_2 is a subgroup of G containing S , by (2) we have $H_1 \subseteq H_2$; likewise, $H_2 \subseteq H_1$, so $H_1 = H_2$. Hence, H is unique. ■

Alternatively, we can use a constructive proof:

Proof B. Let $H = \{g_1^{\pm 1} g_2^{\pm 1} \cdots g_k^{\pm 1} \mid g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k \in S\}$. Then, $S \subseteq H$. Further, let $x, y \in H$. Then, $x = g_1^{\pm 1} g_2^{\pm 1} \cdots g_n^{\pm 1}$ and $y = h_1^{\pm 1} h_2^{\pm 1} \cdots h_m^{\pm 1}$ for some $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m \in S$, so

$$\begin{aligned} xy^{-1} &= g_1^{\pm 1} g_2^{\pm 1} \cdots g_n^{\pm 1} (h_1^{\pm 1} h_2^{\pm 1} \cdots h_m^{\pm 1})^{-1} \\ &= g_1^{\pm 1} g_2^{\pm 1} \cdots g_n^{\pm 1} (h_m^{\pm 1})^{-1} \cdots (h_2^{\pm 1})^{-1} (h_1^{\pm 1})^{-1} \\ &= g_1^{\pm 1} g_2^{\pm 1} \cdots g_n^{\pm 1} h_m^{\mp 1} \cdots h_2^{\mp 1} h_1^{\mp 1} \in H. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, H is a subgroup of G . Uniqueness can be shown in the same way as in Proof A. ■

Definition 1.2.6. The subgroup H from Proposition 1.2.5 is called the subgroup **generated by** S , denoted $\langle S \rangle$. This is, in other words, the smallest subgroup of G that contains S . When $\langle S \rangle = G$ for some group G , we say S **generates** G . When this S is finite, we say G is **finitely generated**.

Definition 1.2.7. A group generated by one element, say x , is called a **cyclic group**, denoted $\langle x \rangle$.

We will use the notation x^n to denote an element x of a group composed with itself n times.

Proposition 1.2.8. Let G be a group, and let $g \in G$. Then,

- (1) $\langle g \rangle = \langle \{g\} \rangle = \{g^m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$;
- (2) $\langle g \rangle$ is infinite if and only if there does not exist an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g^m = e$; and
- (3) if $\langle g \rangle$ is finite, then $|\langle g \rangle| = \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid g^m = e\}$.

Proof.

- (1) Since $\langle g \rangle$ is a group, it must contain all compositions of g with itself, i.e. g^m for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, as well as its inverse g^{-1} and the inverses of those compositions, so at the minimum, $\langle g \rangle$ contains $\{g^m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, which is a subgroup of G . Hence, $\langle g \rangle = \{g^m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.
- (2) Suppose $\langle g \rangle$ is finite. Equivalently, there exist some $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \neq k$ such that $g^n = g^k$; without loss of generality, take $n > k$. We see

$$g^n = g^k \iff g^n g^{-k} = g^k g^{-k} \iff g^{n-k} = e,$$

i.e. there exists an $m = n - k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g^m = e$. Hence, $\langle g \rangle$ is infinite if and only if such an m does not exist.

- (3) From the proof for (2), it follows that if $\langle g \rangle$ is finite, then the set $\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid g^m = e\}$ is nonempty and therefore has a least element, say n . We see $\{e, g, g^2, \dots, g^{n-1}\} \subseteq \langle g \rangle$. Let $g^k \in \langle g \rangle$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can rewrite k in terms of its Euclidean division by n as $k = nq + r$ for some $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq r < n$, giving us

$$g^k = g^{nq+r} = (g^n)^q g^r = e^q g^r = g^r \in \{e, g, g^2, \dots, g^{n-1}\},$$

so $\langle g \rangle \subseteq \{e, g, g^2, \dots, g^{n-1}\}$. Hence, $\langle g \rangle = \{e, g, g^2, \dots, g^{n-1}\}$, so $|\langle g \rangle| = n$. ■

Definition 1.2.9. Let x be some element in a group. Then, $|\langle x \rangle|$ is called the **order** of x , denoted $\text{ord}(x)$.

1.3 Cosets

Definition 1.3.1. Let G be a group and H be a subgroup of G , and let $g \in G$. Then, the set

$$gH = \{gh \mid h \in H\}$$

is called the **left coset** of H associated with g , and the set

$$Hg = \{hg \mid h \in H\}$$

is called the **right coset** of H associated with g .

Theorem 1.3.2. Let G be a group and H be a subgroup of G , and let $x, y \in G$. Then, the relations \sim_l and \sim_r on G such that

$$x \sim_l y \iff x^{-1}y \in H \quad \text{and} \quad x \sim_r y \iff xy^{-1} \in H$$

are equivalence relations.

Proof. By Definition 0.2.2, we have three criteria for \sim_l to be an equivalence relation:

- (1) We see $x^{-1}x = e \in H$, so $x \sim_l x$ (reflexive).
- (2) Suppose $x \sim_l y$. Then, $x^{-1}y \in H$, so $(x^{-1}y)^{-1} = y^{-1}x \in H$; therefore, $y \sim_l x$ (symmetric).
- (3) Let $z \in G$. Suppose $x \sim_l y$ and $y \sim_l z$. Then, $x^{-1}y, y^{-1}z \in H$, so

$$(x^{-1}y)(y^{-1}z) = x^{-1}(yy^{-1})z = x^{-1}z \in H;$$

therefore, $x \sim_l z$ (transitive).

Thus, \sim_l is an equivalence relation. The same for \sim_r can be proven similarly. ■

Corollary 1.3.3 (Alternative definition of the left and right cosets). Let G be a group and H be a subgroup of G , and take \sim_l and \sim_r as defined in Theorem 1.3.2. Then, the left cosets of H in G are the equivalence classes of \sim_l , and the right cosets are the equivalence classes of \sim_r .

Corollary 1.3.4. Let G be a group and H be a subgroup of G . The left cosets of H in G form a partition of G . The same applies for the right cosets.

We will use the notation G/H to denote the set of left cosets of H in G and $H \backslash G$ to denote the set of right cosets.

Proposition 1.3.5. Let G be a group and H be a subgroup of G . Then, there exists a bijection between G/H and $H \backslash G$. It follows that the number of left and right cosets are the same when finite.

Proof. _____

Do this proof!

Definition 1.3.6. Let G be a group and H be a subgroup of G . The cardinality of G/H is called the **index** of H in G , denoted $[G : H]$.

Proposition 1.3.7. Let G be a group and H be a subgroup of G . Then, there exists a bijection between any two cosets of H in G . It follows that if H is finite, then all the cosets are finite and have the same cardinality.

Proof. Let $g \in G$, and let

$$\begin{aligned} f_g : H &\rightarrow gH \\ h &\mapsto gh \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of gH , the mapping f_g is well-defined and surjective. Let $h, h' \in H$ such that $gh = gh'$. Then, by Proposition 1.1.6, we see $h = h'$, so f_g is injective. Hence, f_g is a bijection, so $|H| = |gH|$ when finite. ■

Theorem 1.3.8 (Lagrange's theorem). Let G be a finite group and H be a subgroup of G . Then, the order of every subgroup of H divides the order of G .

Proof. By Corollary 1.3.4, we see G is the union of the left cosets, which are necessarily disjoint, so $|G|$ is the sum of the cardinalities of the cosets. By Proposition 1.3.7, the cardinalities of the cosets are the same and equal to $|H|$, so

$$|G| = [G : H]|H|. \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary 1.3.9. Let G be a group and H, K be subgroups of G where $K \subseteq H$. Then,

$$[G : K] = [G : H][H : K].$$

Corollary 1.3.10. Let G be a finite group, and let $g \in G$. Then, $\text{ord}(g)$ divides $|G|$. It follows that $g^{|G|} = e$.

Corollary 1.3.11. Let G be a group of prime order. Then, G is cyclic; in other words, $G = \langle g \rangle$ for all $g \in G \setminus \{e\}$.

1.4 Normal subgroups

Definition 1.4.1. Let G be a finite group and H be a subgroup of G . If for every $g \in G$, we have $gH = Hg$, i.e. the left and right cosets are the same, then H is called a **normal subgroup** of G .

Proposition 1.4.2. Let G be a finite group and H be a subgroup of G . Then, H is a normal subgroup of G if and only if for every $g \in G$ and $h \in H$, we have $ghg^{-1} \in H$.

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose H is a normal subgroup of G . Then, for all $g \in G$, we have $gH = Hg$, so for all $h \in H$, we have $gh \in Hg$. This means there exists some $k \in H$ such that $gh = kg$, so

$$ghg^{-1} = kgg^{-1} = k \in H.$$

(\Leftarrow) Let $x \in gH$, and suppose for every $g \in G$ and $h \in H$, we have $ghg^{-1} \in H$. Then, there exists some $h \in H$ such that

$$x = gh = gh(g^{-1}g) = (ghg^{-1})g \in Hg,$$

so $Hg \subseteq gH$. Similarly, it can be shown that $gH \subseteq Hg$; hence, $gH = Hg$. ■

Theorem 1.4.3. Let (G, \odot) be a group and H be a normal subgroup of G . Then, G/H can be given a group structure with the composition law

$$\begin{aligned} \odot : G/H \times G/H &\rightarrow G/H \\ (xH, yH) &\mapsto (x \odot y)H \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since H is a normal subgroup, \odot is well-defined. Associativity and inverses follow from \odot . Since $H = e_G H$, we have, for all $gH \in G/H$,

$$H \odot gH = e_G H \odot gH = (e_G \odot g)H = gH,$$

and, similarly, $gH \odot H = gH$, so we have the neutral element H . Hence, $(G/H, \odot)$ is a group. ■

Solved exercises

Determine whether the following are groups, and show why or why not.

Exercise 1.1. Consider $(\{1, 0, -1\}, +)$ where $+$ is standard addition.

Solution. Notice $1 + 1 = 2 \notin \{1, 0, -1\}$, so $(\{1, 0, -1\}, +)$ is not a group. □

Exercise 1.2. Consider (\mathbb{R}, \odot) where \odot is defined such that for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $x \odot y = xy + (x^2 - 1)(y^2 - 1)$.

Solution. Notice

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \odot (3 \odot 4) &= 2 \odot ((3)(4) + (3^2 - 1)(4^2 - 1)) = 2 \odot 132 \\ &= (2)(132) + (2^2 - 1)(132^2 - 1) = 52\,533 \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} (2 \odot 3) \odot 4 &= ((2)(3) + (2^2 - 1)(3^2 - 1)) \odot 4 = 30 \odot 4 \\ &= (30)(4) + (30^2 - 1)(4^2 - 1) = 13\,605, \end{aligned}$$

so \odot is not associative. Hence, (\mathbb{R}, \odot) is not a group. □

Exercise 1.3. Consider (\mathbb{R}^+, \odot) where \odot is defined such that for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we have $x \odot y = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$.

Solution. Notice that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$x \odot 0 = \sqrt{x^2 + 0^2} = \sqrt{x^2} = x,$$

so 0 is the neutral element under \odot ; however, $0 \notin \mathbb{R}^+$, so (\mathbb{R}^+, \odot) is not a group. \square

Exercise 1.4. Consider $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\}, \odot)$ where \odot is defined such that for $x, y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\}$, we have $x \odot y = x + y + xy$.

Solution. Suppose there exists a pair (x, y) such that $x \odot y = -1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} x + y + xy &= -1 \\ y(1 + x) &= -1 - x \\ y &= -\frac{1 + x}{1 + x} \\ y &= -1 \end{aligned}$$

so such a pair cannot be in $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\}) \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\})$; thus, \odot is a law of composition on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\}$. We also see

$$\begin{aligned} (x \odot y) \odot z &= (x + y + xy) \odot z = (x + y + xy) + z + (x + y + xy)z \\ &= x + y + xy + z + xz + yz + xyz \\ &= x + (y + z + yz) + x(y + z + yz) = x \odot (y + z + yz) \\ &= x \odot (y \odot z) \end{aligned}$$

so \odot is associative. Finally, notice that for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\}$, we have

$$x \odot 0 = x + 0 + x(0) = x$$

(neutral element), and

$$\begin{aligned} x \odot -\frac{x}{1+x} &= x - \frac{x}{1+x} + x \left(-\frac{x}{1+x} \right) = x - \frac{x}{1+x} - \frac{x^2}{1+x} \\ &= \frac{x(1+x) - x - x^2}{1+x} = \frac{x^2 - x^2}{1+x} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(inverse). Hence, $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\}, \odot)$ is a group. \square

Exercise 1.5. Consider (\mathcal{C}, \cdot) where $\mathcal{C} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$ and \cdot is standard multiplication.

Solution. Since \mathcal{C} is the unit circle, we can uniquely represent each $z \in \mathcal{C}$ in polar form as $z = e^{i\theta}$ for some $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$, and we know $e^{i\theta} \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2} \in \mathcal{C}$. Then,

$$e^{i\theta_1} \cdot e^{i\theta_2} = e^{i\theta_1 + i\theta_2} = e^{i(\theta_1 + \theta_2)} \in \mathcal{C}$$

so standard multiplication is a law of composition on \mathcal{C} , and we know standard multiplication is associative. The neutral element under standard multiplication is $1 = e^{i(0)} \in \mathcal{C}$. Finally, notice that for all $e^{i\theta} \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$e^{i\theta} \cdot e^{i(-\theta)} = e^{i\theta - i\theta} = e^0 = 1$$

(inverse). Hence, (\mathcal{C}, \cdot) is a group. \square

Exercise 1.6. Consider $(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}), \cdot)$ where $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of all $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{R} with determinant 1 and \cdot is standard matrix multiplication.

Solution. Let $A, B \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. Then,

$$\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B) = (1)(1) = 1$$

so $AB \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. Thus, standard matrix multiplication is a law of composition on $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, and we know standard matrix multiplication is associative. The neutral element under standard matrix multiplication is I_n and $\det(I_n) = 1$, so $I_n \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. Finally, taking A^{-1} as the standard matrix inverse, we see

$$\det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(A)} = \frac{1}{1} = 1$$

so $A^{-1} \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, $(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}), \cdot)$ is a group. \square

Exercise 1.7. Consider (Q, \cdot) where $Q = \{\pm I_2, \pm I, \pm J, \pm K\}$,

$$I_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad I = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad K = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix},$$

and \cdot is standard matrix multiplication.

Solution. For I_2, I, J , and K , we have the composition table

\cdot	I_2	I	J	K
I_2	I_2	I	J	K
I	I	$-I_2$	K	$-J$
J	J	$-K$	$-I_2$	I
K	K	J	$-I$	$-I_2$

and we know for any matrices A and B ,

$$(-A)B = A(-B) = -AB \quad \text{and} \quad (-A)(-B) = AB$$

so standard matrix multiplication is a law of composition on Q . We also know standard matrix multiplication is associative. The neutral element under standard matrix multiplication of 2×2 matrices is $I_2 \in Q$. Finally, from the composition table, we have the inverses

$$I_2^{-1} = I_2 \quad I^{-1} = -I \quad J^{-1} = -J \quad K^{-1} = -K$$

and from these we see

$$(-I_2)^{-1} = -I_2 \quad (-I)^{-1} = I \quad (-J)^{-1} = J \quad (-K)^{-1} = K.$$

Hence, (Q, \cdot) is a group. \square

Exercise 1.8. Consider (H, \cdot) where H is the set of upper triangular 3×3 matrices over \mathbb{R} whose diagonal entries are all 1 and \cdot is standard matrix multiplication.

Solution. Let $a, b, c, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ 0 & 1 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a+x & b+xc+y \\ 0 & 1 & c+z \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in H$$

so standard matrix multiplication is a law of composition on H , and we know standard matrix multiplication is associative. The neutral element under standard matrix multiplication of 3×3 matrices is $I_3 \in H$. Finally, computing the standard matrix inverse, we see

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ 0 & 1 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -x & xz-y \\ 0 & 1 & -z \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in H.$$

Hence, (H, \cdot) is a group. \square

For each of the following, determine whether H is a subgroup of G , and show why or why not.

Exercise 1.9. Let $G = (\mathbb{R}, +)$ and $H = \{-1, 0, 1\}$.

Solution. Consider $1 + 1 = 2 \notin H$. Hence, H is not a subgroup of G . \square

Exercise 1.10. Let $G = (\mathbb{R}, +)$ and $H = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Solution. The neutral element of G is $0 \notin H$. Hence, H is not a subgroup of G . \square

Exercise 1.11. Let $G = (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$ and $H = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Solution. Let $h_1, h_2 \in H = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then, since $h_1, h_2 \neq 0$, we have

$$h_1 h_2^{-1} = h_1 \cdot \frac{1}{h_2} = \frac{h_1}{h_2} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} = H.$$

Hence, H is a subgroup of G . \square

Exercise 1.12. Let $G = (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$ and $H = \{-1, 1\}$.

Solution. We see

$$(-1)^{-1} = \frac{1}{-1} = -1, \quad 1^{-1} = \frac{1}{1} = 1$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} -1 \cdot (-1)^{-1} &= -1 \cdot -1 = 1 \in H, & -1 \cdot 1^{-1} &= -1 \cdot 1 = -1 \in H, \\ 1 \cdot (-1)^{-1} &= 1 \cdot -1 = -1 \in H, & 1 \cdot 1^{-1} &= 1 \cdot 1 = 1 \in H. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, H is a subgroup of G . \square

Exercise 1.13. Let $G = (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$ and $H = \{e^{i(2\pi k)/n} \mid k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Solution. Let $h_1, h_2 \in H$. Then, $h_1 = e^{i(2\pi k)/n}$ and $h_2 = e^{i(2\pi l)/n}$ for some $k, l \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, so

$$h_2^{-1} = \left(e^{i(2\pi l)/n}\right)^{-1} = e^{-i(2\pi l)/n}$$

and we see

$$h_1 h_2^{-1} = e^{i(2\pi k)/n} \cdot e^{-i(2\pi l)/n} = e^{i(2\pi(k-l))/n}.$$

Let $m = (k-l) \bmod n$. Then,

$$h_1 h_2^{-1} = e^{i(2\pi(k-l))/n} = e^{i(2\pi m)/n} \in H.$$

Hence, H is a subgroup of G . □

Exercise 1.14. Let $G = (\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}), \cdot)$ where $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of all invertible $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{R} , and let $H = (\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}), \cdot)$.

Solution. Let $A, B \in H = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. Then,

$$\det(A) = \det(B) = 1 \neq 0$$

so A^{-1} and B^{-1} exist and

$$\det(B^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(B)} = \frac{1}{1} = 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\det(AB^{-1}) = \det(A) \det(B^{-1}) = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$$

so $AB^{-1} \in H$. Hence, H is a subgroup of G . □

Exercise 1.15. Let G be a group, and let $x \in G$ where x is of order k . Prove that if m is an integer such that $x^m = e_G$, then $k \mid m$.

Solution. Since x is of order k , we have by definition that k is the smallest positive integer such that $x^k = e_G$. Suppose $x^m = e_G$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can rewrite m in terms of its Euclidean division by k as $m = kn + r$ for some $n, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $0 \leq r < k$, giving us

$$x^m = x^{kn+r} = x^{kn} x^r = (x^k)^n x^r = e_G^n x^r = x^r.$$

so $x^r = e_G$. Since $r < k$, then $r = 0$, so $m = nk$. Hence, $k \mid m$. □

Chapter 2

Relations Between Groups

2.1 Group homomorphisms

Definition 2.1.1. Let (G, \odot) and (G', \oslash) be groups. A mapping $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$ is called a **group homomorphism** if for every $x, y \in G$, we have

$$\phi(x \odot y) = \phi(x) \oslash \phi(y).$$

Definition 2.1.2. A group homomorphism is called an **isomorphism** if it is a bijection. A group G is called **isomorphic to** a group G' if there exists an isomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$. We denote this by $G \simeq G'$.

Proposition 2.1.3. Let $\phi : (G, \odot) \rightarrow (G', \oslash)$ be a homomorphism. Then,

- (1) $\phi(e_G) = e_{G'}$; and
- (2) for all $g \in G$, we have $\phi(g^{-1}) = (\phi(g))^{-1}$.

Proof.

- (1) _____
- (2) By definition, $(\phi(g))^{-1}$ is the inverse of $\phi(g)$ in G' . We see

$$\phi(g^{-1}) \oslash \phi(g) = \phi(g^{-1} \odot g) = \phi(e_G) = e'_{G'},$$

so $\phi(g^{-1})$ is also the inverse of $\phi(g)$ in G' . Hence, by uniqueness of the inverse,

$$\phi(g^{-1}) = (\phi(g))^{-1}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Definition 2.1.4. Let $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$ be a homomorphism. The set

$$\text{im}(\phi) = \{\phi(g) \mid g \in G\}$$

is called the **image** of ϕ .

Do this
proof!

Proposition 2.1.5. Let $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$ be a homomorphism. Then, $\text{im}(\phi)$ is a subgroup of G' .

Proof. Let $x, y \in \text{im}(\phi)$. Then, there exist some $u, v \in G$ such that $\phi(u) = x$ and $\phi(v) = y$, so

$$xy^{-1} = \phi(u)(\phi(v))^{-1} = \phi(u) \phi(v^{-1}) = \phi(uv^{-1}).$$

Since $uv^{-1} \in G$, we see $xy^{-1} \in \text{im}(\phi)$. Hence, $\text{im}(\phi)$ is a subgroup of G' . ■

Definition 2.1.6. Let $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$ be a homomorphism. The set

$$\ker(\phi) = \{g \in G \mid \phi(g) = e_{G'}\}$$

is called the **kernel** of ϕ .

Theorem 2.1.7. Let $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$ be a homomorphism. Then, ϕ is injective if and only if $\ker(\phi) = \{e_G\}$.

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose ϕ is injective. Since $\phi(e_G) = e_{G'}$, we know $\{e_G\} \subseteq \ker(\phi)$. Let $x \in \ker(\phi)$. Then, $\phi(x) = e_{G'} = \phi(e_G)$, so since ϕ is injective, $x = e_G$. Hence, $\{e_G\} = \ker(\phi)$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $\ker(\phi) = \{e_G\}$. Let $x, y \in G$ such that $\phi(x) = \phi(y)$. Then,

$$e_{G'} = \phi(x)(\phi(x))^{-1} = \phi(y)(\phi(x))^{-1} = \phi(y) \phi(x^{-1}) = \phi(yx^{-1}).$$

Thus, $yx^{-1} \in \ker(\phi)$, so $yx^{-1} = e_G$, which implies $y = x$. Hence, ϕ is injective. ■

Theorem 2.1.8. Let $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$ be a homomorphism. Then, $\ker(\phi)$ is a normal subgroup of G .

Proof. ■

Theorem 2.1.9. Let G be a group and H be a subgroup of G . Then, H is a normal subgroup of G if and only if there exists a surjective homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$ for some group G' such that $H = \ker(\phi)$.

Proof. ■

Theorem 2.1.10. Let $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$ be an isomorphism. Then, ϕ^{-1} is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let \odot denote the law of composition for group G and \oslash denote the law for G' , let $f = \phi^{-1}$, and let $x, y \in G'$. f is clearly well-defined, and we see

$$\phi(f(x) \odot f(y)) = \phi(f(x)) \oslash \phi(f(y)) = x \oslash y = \phi(f(x \oslash y)).$$

Since ϕ is injective, this implies $f(x) \odot f(y) = f(x \oslash y)$, so f is a homomorphism. Injectivity and surjectivity can be easily verified. Hence, f is an isomorphism. ■

Do this
proof!

Do this
proof!

Theorem 2.1.11 (Fundamental theorem on homomorphisms). Let $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$ be a homomorphism. Then, the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : G/\ker(\phi) &\rightarrow \text{im}(\phi) \\ g\ker(\phi) &\mapsto \phi(g) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. We have four criteria for ψ to be an isomorphism:

- (1) Let g, h be such that $g\ker(\phi) = h\ker(\phi)$. Then, $h^{-1}g \in \ker(\phi)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(h^{-1}g) &= e_{G'} \\ (\phi(h))^{-1}\phi(g) &= e_{G'} \\ \phi(g) &= \phi(h). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, ψ is well-defined.

- (2) Let $g\ker(\phi), h\ker(\phi) \in G/\ker(\phi)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(g\ker(\phi) h\ker(\phi)) &= \psi((gh)\ker(\phi)) = \phi(gh) = \phi(g)\phi(h) \\ &= \psi(g\ker(\phi))\psi(h\ker(\phi)), \end{aligned}$$

so ψ is a homomorphism.

- (3) Let $g\ker(\phi) \in \ker(\psi)$. Then, $\psi(g\ker(\phi)) = e_{G'}$, so $g \in \ker(\phi)$, which implies $g\ker(\phi) = \ker(\phi)$. Thus, by Theorem 2.1.7, ψ is injective.

- (4) ψ is surjective by construction since it maps to $\text{im}(\phi)$. ■

This theorem is also known as the first isomorphism theorem.

2.2 Permutation groups

Proposition 2.2.1. Let X be a set, and let $\mathcal{S}(X)$ be the set of all bijections from X to X . Then, $(\mathcal{S}(X), \circ)$, where \circ is composition of mappings, is a group.

Proof. ■

Do this proof!

Definition 2.2.2. Take $\mathcal{S}(X)$ as defined in Proposition 2.2.1 for some set X . A subgroup of $\mathcal{S}(X)$ is called a **permutation group**. Any mapping in such a group is called a **permutation**.

The neutral element of a permutation group is naturally the identity mapping, which we will denote id .

Definition 2.2.3. Let $A = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\mathcal{S}_n = \mathcal{S}(A)$ is called the **symmetric group** on n elements.

More generally, \mathcal{S}_n can be used to describe the group of permutations of any finite set. Since any finite set is isomorphic to a subset of \mathbb{N} , we can apply this definition by assigning a label in A to each element. The results we will show for \mathcal{S}_n therefore apply with this generalization as well.

Note that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $|\mathcal{S}_n| = n!$. This may be familiar if you recall the notion of a permutation of a set as a rearrangement of its elements. Consider the following permutation $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_5$:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\mapsto 3 \\ 2 &\mapsto 2 \\ 3 &\mapsto 5 \\ 4 &\mapsto 4 \\ 5 &\mapsto 1. \end{aligned}$$

We will represent it with the notation

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 2 & 5 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition 2.2.4. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$. The set

$$\text{supp}(\sigma) = \{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \mid \sigma(i) \neq i\}$$

is called the **support** of σ .

Proposition 2.2.5. Let $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_n$. If $\text{supp}(\sigma) \cap \text{supp}(\tau) = \emptyset$, then $\sigma \circ \tau = \tau \circ \sigma$.

Proof. Let $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We have three cases:

- (1) Suppose $i \notin \text{supp}(\sigma) \cup \text{supp}(\tau)$. Then, $\sigma(i) = \tau(i) = i$, so

$$(\sigma \circ \tau)(i) = \sigma(\tau(i)) = \sigma(i) = i = \tau(i) = \tau(\sigma(i)) = (\tau \circ \sigma)(i).$$

- (2) Suppose $i \in \text{supp}(\sigma)$. Then, $i \notin \text{supp}(\tau)$, so

$$(\sigma \circ \tau)(i) = \sigma(\tau(i)) = \sigma(i),$$

and since $i \in \text{supp}(\sigma)$, we have $\sigma(i) \in \text{supp}(\sigma)$, so $\sigma(i) \notin \text{supp}(\tau)$. Thus,

$$(\tau \circ \sigma)(i) = \tau(\sigma(i)) = \sigma(i) = (\sigma \circ \tau)(i).$$

- (3) If $i \in \text{supp}(\tau)$, the proof can be done in the same way as in the above case.

Hence, $\sigma \circ \tau = \tau \circ \sigma$. ■

Theorem 2.2.6 (Cayley's theorem). Every group is isomorphic to a permutation group.

Proof. ■

Do this
proof!

Cycles

Definition 2.2.7. An element $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$ is called a **cycle** if there exists some $x \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $\text{supp}(\sigma) = \{\sigma^i(x) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let $l = |\text{supp}(\sigma)|$. We denote the cycle

$$(x, \sigma(x), \dots, \sigma^{l-1}(x))$$

where l is called its **length**. A cycle of length 2 is called a **transposition**.

Proposition 2.2.8. Let σ be a cycle of length l . Then, $\text{ord}(\sigma) = l$.

This follows by construction.

Proposition 2.2.9. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$, and let $A = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then, the relation \sim on A defined such that for all $a, b \in A$,

$$a \sim b \iff \text{there exists some } k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } b = \sigma^k(a)$$

is an equivalence relation.

Proof. We have three criteria for an equivalence relation:

- (1) Since $a = \sigma^0(a)$, we have $a \sim a$ (reflexive).
- (2) Suppose $a \sim b$. Then, $b = \sigma^k(a)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, so $a = \sigma^{-k}(b)$. Thus, $b \sim a$ (symmetric).
- (3) Let $c \in A$. Suppose $a \sim b$ and $b \sim c$. Then, $b = \sigma^k(a)$ and $c = \sigma^m(b)$ for some $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, so $c = \sigma^m(\sigma^k(a)) = \sigma^{m+k}(a)$. Thus, $a \sim c$ (transitive). ■

Corollary 2.2.10 (Alternative definition of a cycle). Take \sim as defined in Proposition 2.2.9 for some $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$. Then, σ is a cycle if and only if \sim has at most one equivalence class containing more than one element.

Theorem 2.2.11. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$. Then, there exist some unique cycles $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_k$ with disjoint supports such that $\sigma = \tau_1 \circ \tau_2 \circ \dots \circ \tau_k$. In other words, every permutation of a finite set can be decomposed as the product of unique cycles with disjoint supports.

Proof. Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k be the equivalence classes of \sim , and let $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_k$ be the cycles defined such that

$$\tau_i(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma(x), & x \in A_i \\ x, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We see $\sigma = \tau_1 \circ \tau_2 \circ \dots \circ \tau_k$, and since A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k are necessarily disjoint, $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_k$ have disjoint supports. ■

Definition 2.2.12. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$ with decomposition $\sigma = \tau_1 \circ \tau_2 \circ \dots \circ \tau_k$ as given by Theorem 2.2.11. Let l_1, l_2, \dots, l_k denote the lengths of $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_k$, respectively, where $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots \geq l_k$. The sequence (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_k) is called the **type** of σ .

Proposition 2.2.13. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$ with type (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_k) . Then,

$$\text{ord}(\sigma) = \text{lcm}\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_k\}.$$

Proof. We can decompose σ into cycles as $\sigma = \tau_1 \circ \tau_2 \circ \cdots \circ \tau_k$ where $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_k$ have length l_1, l_2, \dots, l_k , respectively. Since the τ_i s have disjoint supports, they commute, so for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\sigma^m = \tau_1^m \circ \tau_2^m \circ \cdots \circ \tau_k^m.$$

Since $\text{ord}(\tau_i) = l_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, we see that if $\sigma^m = \text{id}$, then m is a multiple of each of the l_i s. Hence, by definition, $\text{ord}(\sigma)$ is the lowest such m . ■

Transpositions and alternating groups

Corollary 2.2.14 (to Theorem 2.2.11). Every permutation in \mathcal{S}_n can be decomposed as the product of transpositions.

Proposition 2.2.15. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$. Either all transposition decompositions of σ are the product of an even number of transpositions, or all of them are the product of an odd number of transpositions.

Proof. Consider the group $\mathcal{S}_{I,n}$ of permutations of the rows of the $n \times n$ identity matrix I_n . As remarked following Definition 2.2.3, $\mathcal{S}_{I,n} \simeq \mathcal{S}_n$. We know $\det(I_n) = 1$, and transposing any two rows of a square matrix changes the sign of its determinant.

Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{I,n}$, and let $A = \sigma(I_n)$. Suppose σ can be decomposed as an even number of transpositions. Then, $\det(A) = 1$. Now suppose σ can also be decomposed as an odd number of transpositions. Then, $\det(A) = -1$, a contradiction. Hence, no $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{I,n}$ can be decomposed into the product of both an even number and an odd number of transpositions. ■

Definition 2.2.16. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$, and let k be the number of transpositions in some transposition decomposition of σ . The number $\epsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^k$ is called the **signature** of σ . The permutation σ is called **even** if k is even or **odd** if k is odd.

Proposition 2.2.17. Let $\mathcal{A}_n = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n \mid \epsilon(\sigma) = 1\}$. Then, \mathcal{A}_n is a normal subgroup of \mathcal{S}_n .

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$. For some $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, α can be decomposed as the product of some number $2k$ of transpositions and σ can be decomposed as the product of some number m of transpositions, so there exists a decomposition of $\sigma \circ \alpha \circ \sigma^{-1}$ into some number $m + 2k + m = 2(m + k)$ of transpositions. Since $2(m + k)$ is even, $\sigma \circ \alpha \circ \sigma^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}_n$. Hence, by Theorem 1.4.2, \mathcal{A}_n is a normal subgroup of \mathcal{S}_n . ■

We can alternatively show that the mapping

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \epsilon : & (\mathcal{S}_n, \circ) & \rightarrow & (\{-1, 1\}, \cdot) \\ & \sigma & \mapsto & \epsilon(\sigma) \end{array}$$

is a group homomorphism and that $\mathcal{A}_n = \ker(\epsilon)$. By Theorem 2.1.8, this implies \mathcal{A}_n is a normal subgroup of \mathcal{S}_n .

Definition 2.2.18. \mathcal{A}_n as defined in Proposition 2.2.17 is called the **alternating group** on n elements.

2.3 Finitely generated abelian groups

Recall the Cartesian product of two sets A and B :

$$A \times B = \{(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

We can extend this idea to groups.

Proposition 2.3.1. Let G_1 and G_2 be two groups. The set $G_1 \times G_2$ together with the law of composition

$$\begin{aligned} \odot : (G_1 \times G_2) \times (G_1 \times G_2) &\rightarrow G_1 \times G_2 \\ ((a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2)) &\mapsto (a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2) \end{aligned}$$

is a group.

Proof. We have three criteria for $(G_1 \times G_2, \odot)$ to be a group:

- (1) Let $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2), (c_1, c_2) \in G_1 \times G_2$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} ((a_1, a_2) \odot (b_1, b_2)) \odot (c_1, c_2) &= (a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2) \odot (c_1, c_2) = ((a_1 b_1) c_1, (a_2 b_2) c_2) \\ &= (a_1 (b_1 c_1), a_2 (b_2 c_2)) = (a_1, a_2) \odot (b_1 c_1, b_2 c_2) \\ &= (a_1, a_2) \odot ((b_1, b_2) \odot (c_1, c_2)), \end{aligned}$$

so \odot is associative.

- (2) Let e_1 be the neutral element of G_1 and e_2 be the neutral element of G_2 . Naturally, the neutral element of $G_1 \times G_2$ is then (e_1, e_2) :

$$(a_1, a_2) \odot (e_1, e_2) = (a_1 e_1, a_2 e_2) = (a_1, a_2).$$

- (3) Naturally, the inverse of (a_1, a_2) is (a_1^{-1}, a_2^{-1}) :

$$(a_1, a_2) \odot (a_1^{-1}, a_2^{-1}) = (a_1 a_1^{-1}, a_2 a_2^{-1}) = (e_1, e_2). \quad \blacksquare$$

Definition 2.3.2. The group $(G_1 \times G_2, \odot)$ from Proposition 2.3.1 is called the **direct product** of G_1 and G_2 . In general, for a family of groups $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ for some non-empty (possibly infinite) index set I , we have the direct product

$$\prod_{i \in I} G_i = \{(g_i)_{i \in I} \mid g_i \in G_i \text{ for all } i \in I\}$$

where $(g_i)_{i \in I}$ denotes the sequence of g_i s as a tuple.

2.4 Group action on a set

Solved exercises

Chapter 3

Rings and Fields

3.1 Rings

Definition 3.1.1. Let R be a set, and let $+$ and \cdot be two laws of composition on R . The triple $(R, +, \cdot)$ is called a **ring** if

- (1) $(R, +)$ is an abelian group;
- (2) \cdot is associative; and
- (3) \cdot is distributive over $+$, i.e. for all $x, y, z \in R$, we have

$$(x + y) \cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z \quad \text{and} \quad z \cdot (x + y) = z \cdot x + z \cdot y.$$

Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring, and let $a, b \in R$. For the neutral element of R under $+$, we will use the notation 0 or 0_R ; for the inverse of a under $+$, we will use the notation $-a$; and for the composition $a \cdot b$, we will use the notation ab . We will also assume the conventional order of operations, i.e. that \cdot comes before $+$.

Proposition 3.1.2. Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring. Then,

- (1) for all $a \in R$, we have $a(0) = 0a = 0$; and
- (2) for all $a, b \in R$, we have

$$a(-b) = (-a)b = -(ab) \quad \text{and} \quad (-a)(-b) = ab.$$

Proof.

- (1) By the distributive property, we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} a(0) = a(0 + 0) & 0a = (0 + 0)a \\ a(0) = a(0) + a(0) & 0a = 0a + 0a \\ a(0) - (a(0)) = a(0) + a(0) - (a(0)) & 0a - (0a) = 0a + 0a - (0a) \\ 0 = a(0) & 0 = 0a. \end{array}$$

- (2) Using the distributive property again, we have

$$a(-b) + (ab) = a(-b + b) = a0 = 0,$$

so $a(-b) = -(ab)$. Similarly, we can show $(-a)b = (-ab)$. By substitution, we then see

$$(-a)(-b) - (ab) = (-a)(-b) + a(-b) = (-a + a)(-b) = 0(-b) = 0,$$

so $(-a)(-b) = ab$. ■

Definition 3.1.3. A ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ is called

- (1) **commutative** if \cdot is commutative;
- (2) a **ring with identity** if there exists some $u \in R$ such that for every $a \in R$, we have $au = ua = a$; or
- (3) an **integral domain** if it is a commutative ring with identity and for all $a, b \in R$, if $ab = 0$, then $a = 0$ or $b = 0$.

As with groups, we will also typically denote a ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ simply by its set R . We will also denote the element $u \in R$ from Definition 3.1.3 by 1 or 1_R .

Proposition 3.1.4. Let R be a ring with identity. Then,

- (1) the element 1_R is unique; and
- (2) if there exist $b, c \in R$ such that $ab = ca = 1_R$ for some $a \in R$, then $b = c$.

Proof.

- (1) Suppose there exist $u, v \in R$ such that for every $a \in R$, we have $au = ua = a$ and $av = va = a$. Then, in particular, $u = uv = v$.
- (2) By the associative property, we see

$$b = 1_R b = (ca)b = c(ab) = c(1_R) = c. \quad \blacksquare$$

Definition 3.1.5. Let R be a commutative ring with identity. An element $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ is called a **zero divisor** if there exists some $b \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that $a \cdot b = 0$.

Proposition 3.1.6. Let R be a commutative ring with identity. Then, the following are equivalent:

- (1) R has no zero divisors;
- (2) R is an integral domain;
- (3) for every $a, b, c \in R$ where $a \neq 0$, if $ab = ac$, then $b = c$.

Proof. Clearly, R is an integral domain if and only if R has no zero divisors. Now, let $a, b, c \in R$ where $a \neq 0$ and suppose

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= ac \\ ab - ac &= 0 \\ a(b - c) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a \neq 0$, we see by definition R is an integral domain if and only if this implies $b - c = 0$ or, equivalently, $b = c$. ■

Definition 3.1.7. Let R be a ring with identity. An element $a \in R$ is called a **unit** if there exists some $b \in R$ such that $ab = ba = 1$. The set of units of R is denoted R^* .

Proposition 3.1.8. Let R be a ring with identity. Then, (R^*, \cdot) is a group.

Proof. We have three criteria for (R^*, \cdot) to be a group:

- (1) Let $a, x \in R^*$. Then, there exist some $b, y \in R$ such that

$$ab = ba = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad xy = yx = 1,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} (ax)(yb) &= a(xy)b = a(1)b = ab = 1, \\ (yb)(ax) &= y(ba)x = y(1)x = yx = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, \cdot is a law of composition on R^* , and we know \cdot is associative.

- (2) For every $a \in R^*$, we have $1a = a(1) = a$, so 1 is the neutral element.

- (3) By construction, b is then the inverse of a . ■

Proposition 3.1.9. Let R be a ring with identity. Every unit of R is not a zero divisor.

Proof. Let $a \in R^*$. Then, there exists some $b \in R$ such that $ab = ba = 1$. Suppose a is a zero divisor. Then, there exists some $c \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that $ac = ca = 0$, so

$$(ab)c = (ba)c = b(ac) = b(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (ab)c = 1c = c,$$

which implies $c = 0$, a contradiction. Hence, a cannot be a zero divisor. ■

Definition 3.1.10. A ring R is called a **field** if it is a commutative ring with identity and all its nonzero elements are units, i.e. $R \setminus \{0\} = R^*$.

Theorem 3.1.11. Any finite integral domain is a field.

Proof. Let R be a finite integral domain, and let $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$. Consider the mapping

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f : & R & \rightarrow R \\ & x & \mapsto ax \end{array}.$$

Let $x, x' \in R$ such that $ax = ax'$. Since R is an integral domain, left cancellation implies $x = x'$, so f is injective. Further, since f is an injective map between finite sets of the same cardinality, f is also surjective, so there exists a $b \in R$ such that $ab = 1 \in R$, and since an integral domain is necessarily commutative, we also have $ba = 1$. Hence, a is a unit, so $R \setminus \{0\} = R^*$. ■

Definition 3.1.12. Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring, and let $S \subseteq R$. The triple $(S, +, \cdot)$ is called a **subring** of R if it itself is a ring.

Theorem 3.1.13. Let R be a ring, and let $S \subseteq R$, $S \neq \emptyset$. Then, S is a subring of R if and only if for every $a, b \in S$, we have $a - b \in S$ and $ab \in S$.

Proof. ■

Definition 3.1.14. Let R be a ring with identity, and let

$$K = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \underbrace{1 + \cdots + 1}_{n \text{ times}} = 0\}.$$

The number

$$\text{char}(R) = \begin{cases} 0, & K = \emptyset \\ \min(K), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is called the **characteristic** of R .

Proposition 3.1.15. The characteristic of an integral domain is either 0 or prime.

Proof. ■

Homomorphisms of rings

Definition 3.1.16. Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ and (S, \oplus, \odot) be two rings. A mapping $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ is called a **homomorphism of rings** if for all $x, y \in R$, we have

$$\phi(x + y) = \phi(x) \oplus \phi(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(x \cdot y) = \phi(x) \odot \phi(y).$$

A homomorphism of rings that is a bijection is called an **isomorphism**.

Proposition 3.1.17. Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ and (S, \oplus, \odot) be two rings. If there exists a homomorphism of rings $\phi : R \rightarrow S$, then there exists a group homomorphism $\psi : (R, +) \rightarrow (S, \oplus)$.

Proof. ■

Proposition 3.1.18. Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of rings. Then, ϕ is an isomorphism if and only if there exists a unique isomorphism $\rho : S \rightarrow R$ such that $\rho \circ \phi = \text{id}_R$ and $\phi \circ \rho = \text{id}_S$.

Proof. ■

Definition 3.1.19. Let ϕ be a homomorphism of rings. The image and kernel of the underlying group homomorphism ψ from Proposition 3.1.17 are called the **image** and **kernel** of ϕ .

Proposition 3.1.20. Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of rings. Then,

- (1) $\text{im}(\phi)$ is a subring of S ;
- (2) $\ker(\phi)$ is a subring of R ;
- (3) ϕ is injective if and only if $\ker(\phi) = \{0_R\}$;
- (4) ϕ is surjective if and only if $\text{im}(\phi) = S$; and
- (5) for every $x \in R$ and $y \in \ker(\phi)$, we have $xy \in \ker(\phi)$.

Proof.



Do this
proof!

3.2 Ideals

3.3 Arithmetic in integral domains

3.4 Polynomials

Solved exercises