

Boston College Eagles

ACC TEAM Style Card

Most Similar Teams

| # | Team | Similarity |
|---|--------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Creighton Bluejays | 0.738 (73.8%) |
| 2 | Villanova Wildcats | 0.712 (71.2%) |
| 3 | San Francisco Dons | 0.690 (69.0%) |

Category Overview (avg Z within pool)

| Category | Avg Z |
|------------------------|-------|
| Pace & Timing | +0.29 |
| Offensive Events | +0.18 |
| Offensive Shot Profile | +0.03 |
| Defensive Shot Profile | -0.02 |

Z-scores describe style/volume (not “good/bad”).

California Golden Bears

ACC TEAM Style Card

Most Similar Teams

| # | Team | Similarity |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Rice Owls | 0.618 (61.8%) |
| 2 | Sacramento State Hornets | 0.567 (56.7%) |
| 3 | Southern Indiana Screaming Eagles | 0.533 (53.3%) |

Category Overview (avg Z within pool)

| Category | Avg Z |
|------------------------|-------|
| Pace & Timing | -0.28 |
| Offensive Events | -0.09 |
| Offensive Shot Profile | +0.20 |
| Defensive Shot Profile | +0.40 |

Z-scores describe style/volume (not “good/bad”).

Clemson Tigers

ACC TEAM Style Card

Most Similar Teams

| # | Team | Similarity |
|---|---------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Duke Blue Devils | 0.768 (76.8%) |
| 2 | High Point Panthers | 0.666 (66.6%) |
| 3 | Kentucky Wildcats | 0.659 (65.9%) |

Category Overview (avg Z within pool)

| Category | Avg Z |
|------------------------|-------|
| Pace & Timing | -0.21 |
| Offensive Events | +0.16 |
| Offensive Shot Profile | -0.16 |
| Defensive Shot Profile | -0.08 |

Z-scores describe style/volume (not “good/bad”).

Duke Blue Devils

ACC TEAM Style Card

Most Similar Teams

| # | Team | Similarity |
|---|---------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Clemson Tigers | 0.768 (76.8%) |
| 2 | UT Martin Skyhawks | 0.766 (76.6%) |
| 3 | Michigan Wolverines | 0.765 (76.5%) |

Category Overview (avg Z within pool)

| Category | Avg Z |
|------------------------|-------|
| Pace & Timing | -0.35 |
| Offensive Events | +0.41 |
| Offensive Shot Profile | -0.02 |
| Defensive Shot Profile | -0.29 |

Z-scores describe style/volume (not “good/bad”).

Florida State Seminoles

ACC TEAM Style Card

Most Similar Teams

| # | Team | Similarity |
|---|-------------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Radford Highlanders | 0.849 (84.9%) |
| 2 | Northern Kentucky Norse | 0.819 (81.9%) |
| 3 | Texas A&M Aggies | 0.779 (77.9%) |

Category Overview (avg Z within pool)

| Category | Avg Z |
|------------------------|-------|
| Pace & Timing | -0.52 |
| Offensive Events | -0.11 |
| Offensive Shot Profile | +0.34 |
| Defensive Shot Profile | +0.23 |

Z-scores describe style/volume (not “good/bad”).

Georgia Tech Yellow Jackets

ACC TEAM Style Card

Most Similar Teams

| # | Team | Similarity |
|---|------------------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Western Kentucky Hilltoppers | 0.652 (65.2%) |
| 2 | Saint Mary's Gaels | 0.616 (61.6%) |
| 3 | Arizona Wildcats | 0.550 (55.0%) |

Category Overview (avg Z within pool)

| Category | Avg Z |
|------------------------|-------|
| Pace & Timing | +0.10 |
| Offensive Events | +0.19 |
| Offensive Shot Profile | -0.11 |
| Defensive Shot Profile | -0.09 |

Z-scores describe style/volume (not “good/bad”).

Louisville Cardinals

ACC TEAM Style Card

Most Similar Teams

| # | Team | Similarity |
|---|-------------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Alabama Crimson Tide | 0.787 (78.7%) |
| 2 | Radford Highlanders | 0.776 (77.6%) |
| 3 | Florida State Seminoles | 0.750 (75.0%) |

Category Overview (avg Z within pool)

| Category | Avg Z |
|------------------------|-------|
| Pace & Timing | -0.30 |
| Offensive Events | +0.29 |
| Offensive Shot Profile | +0.28 |
| Defensive Shot Profile | +0.25 |

Z-scores describe style/volume (not “good/bad”).

Miami Hurricanes

ACC TEAM Style Card

Most Similar Teams

| # | Team | Similarity |
|---|-----------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Bowling Green Falcons | 0.788 (78.8%) |
| 2 | Southern Jaguars | 0.778 (77.8%) |
| 3 | Missouri Tigers | 0.764 (76.4%) |

Category Overview (avg Z within pool)

| Category | Avg Z |
|------------------------|-------|
| Pace & Timing | -0.43 |
| Offensive Events | +0.03 |
| Offensive Shot Profile | -0.40 |
| Defensive Shot Profile | +0.13 |

Z-scores describe style/volume (not “good/bad”).

NC State Wolfpack

ACC TEAM Style Card

Most Similar Teams

| # | Team | Similarity |
|---|------------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Central Arkansas Bears | 0.710 (71.0%) |
| 2 | Vermont Catamounts | 0.686 (68.6%) |
| 3 | New Mexico Lobos | 0.615 (61.5%) |

Category Overview (avg Z within pool)

| Category | Avg Z |
|------------------------|-------|
| Pace & Timing | +0.77 |
| Offensive Events | +0.01 |
| Offensive Shot Profile | +0.21 |
| Defensive Shot Profile | -0.31 |

Z-scores describe style/volume (not “good/bad”).

North Carolina Tar Heels

ACC TEAM Style Card

Most Similar Teams

| # | Team | Similarity |
|---|----------------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Illinois Fighting Illini | 0.714 (71.4%) |
| 2 | Mississippi State Bulldogs | 0.710 (71.0%) |
| 3 | Michigan Wolverines | 0.709 (70.9%) |

Category Overview (avg Z within pool)

| Category | Avg Z |
|------------------------|-------|
| Pace & Timing | -0.11 |
| Offensive Events | +0.15 |
| Offensive Shot Profile | -0.06 |
| Defensive Shot Profile | -0.34 |

Z-scores describe style/volume (not “good/bad”).

Notre Dame Fighting Irish

ACC TEAM Style Card

Most Similar Teams

| # | Team | Similarity |
|---|------------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Vermont Catamounts | 0.727 (72.7%) |
| 2 | Purdue Boilermakers | 0.706 (70.6%) |
| 3 | Evansville Purple Aces | 0.675 (67.5%) |

Category Overview (avg Z within pool)

| Category | Avg Z |
|------------------------|-------|
| Pace & Timing | +0.34 |
| Offensive Events | +0.27 |
| Offensive Shot Profile | +0.07 |
| Defensive Shot Profile | -0.11 |

Z-scores describe style/volume (not "good/bad").

Pittsburgh Panthers

ACC TEAM Style Card

Most Similar Teams

| # | Team | Similarity |
|---|------------------------|---------------|
| 1 | The Citadel Bulldogs | 0.720 (72.0%) |
| 2 | Charlotte 49ers | 0.683 (68.3%) |
| 3 | Saint Peter's Peacocks | 0.642 (64.2%) |

Category Overview (avg Z within pool)

| Category | Avg Z |
|------------------------|-------|
| Pace & Timing | +0.31 |
| Offensive Events | +0.26 |
| Offensive Shot Profile | +0.05 |
| Defensive Shot Profile | +0.03 |

Z-scores describe style/volume (not “good/bad”).

SMU Mustangs

ACC TEAM Style Card

Most Similar Teams

| # | Team | Similarity |
|---|------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Gonzaga Bulldogs | 0.828 (82.8%) |
| 2 | Southern Jaguars | 0.719 (71.9%) |
| 3 | Arizona Wildcats | 0.696 (69.6%) |

Category Overview (avg Z within pool)

| Category | Avg Z |
|------------------------|-------|
| Pace & Timing | -0.62 |
| Offensive Events | -0.18 |
| Offensive Shot Profile | -0.18 |
| Defensive Shot Profile | +0.17 |

Z-scores describe style/volume (not “good/bad”).

Stanford Cardinal

ACC TEAM Style Card

Most Similar Teams

| # | Team | Similarity |
|---|----------------------|---------------|
| 1 | St. John's Red Storm | 0.780 (78.0%) |
| 2 | Florida Gators | 0.633 (63.3%) |
| 3 | Butler Bulldogs | 0.627 (62.7%) |

Category Overview (avg Z within pool)

| Category | Avg Z |
|------------------------|-------|
| Pace & Timing | -0.26 |
| Offensive Events | -0.20 |
| Offensive Shot Profile | -0.14 |
| Defensive Shot Profile | -0.40 |

Z-scores describe style/volume (not “good/bad”).

Syracuse Orange

ACC TEAM Style Card

Most Similar Teams

| # | Team | Similarity |
|---|------------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Wichita State Shockers | 0.725 (72.5%) |
| 2 | Vanderbilt Commodores | 0.668 (66.8%) |
| 3 | Saint Joseph's Hawks | 0.615 (61.5%) |

Category Overview (avg Z within pool)

| Category | Avg Z |
|------------------------|-------|
| Pace & Timing | +0.22 |
| Offensive Events | -0.16 |
| Offensive Shot Profile | -0.38 |
| Defensive Shot Profile | -0.37 |

Z-scores describe style/volume (not “good/bad”).

Virginia Cavaliers

ACC TEAM Style Card

Most Similar Teams

| # | Team | Similarity |
|---|----------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Indiana Hoosiers | 0.638 (63.8%) |
| 2 | Florida Gators | 0.622 (62.2%) |
| 3 | Alabama Crimson Tide | 0.602 (60.2%) |

Category Overview (avg Z within pool)

| Category | Avg Z |
|------------------------|-------|
| Pace & Timing | -0.22 |
| Offensive Events | +0.56 |
| Offensive Shot Profile | -0.03 |
| Defensive Shot Profile | -0.19 |

Z-scores describe style/volume (not “good/bad”).

Virginia Tech Hokies

ACC TEAM Style Card

Most Similar Teams

| # | Team | Similarity |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Ohio Bobcats | 0.599 (59.9%) |
| 2 | South Carolina Upstate Spartans | 0.559 (55.9%) |
| 3 | North Dakota State Bison | 0.532 (53.2%) |

Category Overview (avg Z within pool)

| Category | Avg Z |
|------------------------|-------|
| Pace & Timing | +0.19 |
| Offensive Events | -0.39 |
| Offensive Shot Profile | -0.11 |
| Defensive Shot Profile | -0.10 |

Z-scores describe style/volume (not “good/bad”).

Wake Forest Demon Deacons

ACC TEAM Style Card

Most Similar Teams

| # | Team | Similarity |
|---|---------------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Kent State Golden Flashes | 0.869 (86.9%) |
| 2 | Florida State Seminoles | 0.776 (77.6%) |
| 3 | Northern Kentucky Norse | 0.762 (76.2%) |

Category Overview (avg Z within pool)

| Category | Avg Z |
|------------------------|-------|
| Pace & Timing | -0.68 |
| Offensive Events | -0.15 |
| Offensive Shot Profile | +0.21 |
| Defensive Shot Profile | +0.01 |

Z-scores describe style/volume (not “good/bad”).