

Author	d	Standard Error	g	95% CI	weight
Gylfason et al. (2021)	−0.33	0.2601	−0.33	[−0.84; 0.18]	0.9%
Craker et al. (2016)	−0.02	0.1184	−0.02	[−0.26; 0.21]	0.9%
Craker et al. (2016)	0.00	0.1184	0.00	[−0.23; 0.23]	0.9%
Bogolyubova et al. (2018)	0.02	0.0294	0.02	[−0.03; 0.08]	0.9%
Bogolyubova et al. (2018)	0.02	0.0294	0.02	[−0.03; 0.08]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	0.07	0.1014	0.07	[−0.13; 0.27]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	0.07	0.1014	0.07	[−0.13; 0.27]	0.9%
Pabian et al. (2019)	0.08	0.1262	0.08	[−0.17; 0.33]	0.9%
Goodboy et al. (2015)	0.10	0.1363	0.10	[−0.17; 0.37]	0.9%
Kurek et al. (2019)	0.10	0.0374	0.10	[0.03; 0.17]	0.9%
March et al. (2017)	0.13	0.1167	0.13	[−0.10; 0.36]	0.9%
March et al. (2017)	0.13	0.1167	0.13	[−0.10; 0.36]	0.9%
Goodboy et al. (2015)	0.14	0.1363	0.14	[−0.13; 0.41]	0.9%
Craker et al. (2016)	0.14	0.1185	0.14	[−0.09; 0.37]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	0.15	0.1014	0.15	[−0.05; 0.35]	0.9%
Craker et al. (2016)	0.16	0.1185	0.16	[−0.07; 0.40]	0.9%
Brown et al. (2019)	0.17	0.0554	0.17	[0.06; 0.28]	0.9%
Goodboy et al. (2015)	0.18	0.1365	0.18	[−0.09; 0.45]	0.9%
Gylfason et al. (2021)	0.19	0.2595	0.19	[−0.32; 0.70]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	0.20	0.1015	0.20	[0.00; 0.40]	0.9%
Pabian et al. (2019)	0.20	0.1265	0.20	[−0.05; 0.45]	0.9%
Gylfason et al. (2021)	0.21	0.0857	0.21	[0.04; 0.38]	0.9%
March et al. (2017)	0.22	0.0531	0.22	[0.12; 0.33]	0.9%
Baughman et al. (2012)	0.22	0.0391	0.22	[0.14; 0.30]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	0.22	0.1015	0.22	[0.02; 0.42]	0.9%
Goodboy et al. (2015)	0.24	0.1367	0.24	[−0.03; 0.51]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	0.24	0.0414	0.24	[0.16; 0.32]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	0.24	0.0414	0.24	[0.16; 0.32]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	0.25	0.1016	0.25	[0.05; 0.45]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	0.27	0.1016	0.27	[0.07; 0.47]	0.9%
Pabian et al. (2019)	0.33	0.1270	0.33	[0.08; 0.58]	0.9%
Hand et al. (2021)	0.33	0.0905	0.33	[0.15; 0.51]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	0.34	0.0414	0.34	[0.26; 0.43]	0.9%
Kurek et al. (2019)	0.34	0.0374	0.34	[0.27; 0.42]	0.9%
Brown et al. (2019)	0.35	0.0717	0.35	[0.21; 0.49]	0.9%
March et al. (2017)	0.36	0.1174	0.36	[0.13; 0.59]	0.9%
Brown et al. (2019)	0.36	0.0717	0.36	[0.22; 0.50]	0.9%
Brown et al. (2019)	0.36	0.0557	0.36	[0.25; 0.47]	0.9%
Buckels et al. (2019)	0.37	0.0576	0.37	[0.25; 0.48]	0.9%
March et al. (2017)	0.38	0.1175	0.38	[0.15; 0.61]	0.9%
March et al. (2017)	0.41	0.0531	0.41	[0.30; 0.51]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	0.41	0.0414	0.41	[0.33; 0.49]	0.9%
Baughman et al. (2012)	0.41	0.0391	0.41	[0.33; 0.48]	0.9%
Baughman et al. (2012)	0.41	0.0391	0.41	[0.33; 0.48]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	0.43	0.0414	0.43	[0.35; 0.51]	0.9%
Hand et al. (2021)	0.43	0.0905	0.43	[0.25; 0.61]	0.9%
Baughman et al. (2012)	0.43	0.0391	0.43	[0.35; 0.51]	0.9%
Baughman et al. (2012)	0.45	0.0391	0.45	[0.37; 0.53]	0.9%
Baughman et al. (2012)	0.45	0.0391	0.45	[0.37; 0.53]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	0.47	0.0414	0.47	[0.39; 0.55]	0.9%
Pabian et al. (2015)	0.49	0.1262	0.49	[0.24; 0.73]	0.9%
March et al. (2017)	0.52	0.0531	0.52	[0.41; 0.62]	0.9%
Brown et al. (2019)	0.52	0.0723	0.52	[0.38; 0.66]	0.9%
Hand et al. (2021)	0.52	0.0905	0.52	[0.34; 0.70]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	0.53	0.1025	0.53	[0.32; 0.73]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	0.53	0.1025	0.53	[0.32; 0.73]	0.9%
Buckels et al. (2019)	0.54	0.0576	0.54	[0.42; 0.65]	0.9%
Kurek et al. (2019)	0.54	0.0374	0.54	[0.46; 0.61]	0.9%
Hand et al. (2021)	0.55	0.0905	0.55	[0.37; 0.72]	0.9%
Goodboy et al. (2015)	0.56	0.1388	0.56	[0.28; 0.83]	0.9%
Pabian et al. (2019)	0.56	0.1286	0.56	[0.31; 0.82]	0.9%
Gylfason et al. (2021)	0.58	0.0363	0.58	[0.51; 0.65]	0.9%
Gylfason et al. (2021)	0.59	0.0857	0.59	[0.42; 0.76]	0.9%
Brown et al. (2019)	0.60	0.0565	0.60	[0.49; 0.71]	0.9%
Brown et al. (2019)	0.61	0.0728	0.61	[0.46; 0.75]	0.9%
Goodboy et al. (2015)	0.62	0.1395	0.62	[0.35; 0.90]	0.9%
Kircaburun et al. (2021)	0.63	0.0414	0.63	[0.55; 0.71]	0.9%
Gylfason et al. (2021)	0.63	0.0363	0.63	[0.56; 0.70]	0.9%
Brown et al. (2019)	0.63	0.0566	0.63	[0.52; 0.74]	0.9%
Buckels et al. (2019)	0.65	0.0576	0.65	[0.54; 0.76]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	0.66	0.1031	0.66	[0.46; 0.86]	0.9%
Buckels et al. (2019)	0.67	0.0576	0.67	[0.56; 0.79]	0.9%
March et al. (2017)	0.67	0.0531	0.67	[0.57; 0.78]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	0.70	0.0414	0.70	[0.62; 0.78]	0.9%
Baughman et al. (2012)	0.70	0.0391	0.70	[0.62; 0.78]	0.9%
Baughman et al. (2012)	0.72	0.0391	0.72	[0.65; 0.80]	0.9%
Gylfason et al. (2021)	0.74	0.2632	0.74	[0.23; 1.26]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	0.75	0.0414	0.75	[0.67; 0.83]	0.9%
Baughman et al. (2012)	0.75	0.0391	0.75	[0.67; 0.82]	0.9%
Baughman et al. (2012)	0.75	0.0391	0.75	[0.67; 0.82]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	0.77	0.0414	0.77	[0.69; 0.85]	0.9%
Gylfason et al. (2021)	0.78	0.0857	0.78	[0.62; 0.95]	0.9%
Pabian et al. (2015)	0.79	0.1290	0.79	[0.54; 1.05]	0.9%
Gylfason et al. (2021)	0.82	0.0363	0.82	[0.75; 0.89]	0.9%
Gylfason et al. (2021)	0.85	0.0363	0.85	[0.78; 0.92]	0.9%
Kircaburun et al. (2021)	0.90	0.0414	0.90	[0.82; 0.98]	0.9%
Baughman et al. (2012)	0.90	0.0391	0.90	[0.82; 0.97]	0.9%
Gylfason et al. (2021)	0.90	0.0363	0.90	[0.83; 0.97]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	0.92	0.0414	0.92	[0.84; 1.01]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	0.92	0.0414	0.92	[0.84; 1.01]	0.9%
Gylfason et al. (2021)	0.92	0.0363	0.92	[0.85; 1.00]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	0.94	0.1050	0.94	[0.74; 1.15]	0.9%
Buckels et al. (2019)	0.95	0.0674	0.95	[0.82; 1.08]	0.9%
Kircaburun et al. (2021)	0.95	0.0414	0.95	[0.87; 1.03]	0.9%
Buckels et al. (2019)	0.98	0.0674	0.98	[0.84; 1.11]	0.9%
Gylfason et al. (2021)	1.00	0.0857	1.00	[0.83; 1.17]	0.9%
Kircaburun et al. (2021)	1.03	0.0414	1.03	[0.95; 1.12]	0.9%
Gylfason et al. (2021)	1.04	0.0363	1.04	[0.96; 1.11]	0.9%
Gylfason et al. (2021)	1.06	0.0363	1.06	[0.99; 1.14]	0.9%
Duncan et al. (2019)	1.12	0.0414	1.12	[1.04; 1.20]	0.9%
Baughman et al. (2012)	1.12	0.0391	1.12	[1.05; 1.20]	0.9%
Gylfason et al. (2021)	1.14	0.2684	1.14	[0.61; 1.66]	0.9%
Baughman et al. (2012)	1.18	0.0391	1.18	[1.11; 1.26]	0.9%
Baughman et al. (2012)	1.25	0.0391	1.25	[1.17; 1.33]	0.9%
Baughman et al. (2012)	1.32	0.0391	1.32	[1.24; 1.39]	0.9%
Buckels et al. (2019)	1.35	0.0576	1.35	[1.24; 1.46]	0.9%
Buckels et al. (2019)	1.46	0.0576	1.46	[1.34; 1.57]	0.9%
Pabian et al. (2015)	1.54	0.1408	1.54	[1.27; 1.82]	0.9%
Buckels et al. (2019)	1.62	0.0576	1.62	[1.51; 1.73]	0.9%
Bogolyubova et al. (2018)	127372144.09	1098484.8451	127372144.09	[125219153.36; 129525134.82]	0.9%
Overall effect			**1148465.04**	**[−1102652.77; 3399582.85]**	**100.0%**
Prediction interval				**[−22836392.86; 25133322.94]**	

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 99\%$ [99%; 99%], $\tau^2 = 145097653281443.3750$, $p = 0$