

1. $\forall x, y \in M$.

By the definition of M , $f(x) = f(y)$.

Because S is a convex set, $\forall \theta \in [0,1]$, $\theta x + \bar{\theta}y \in S = \text{dom } f$.

Because f is convex, $f(\theta x + \bar{\theta}y) \leq \theta f(x) + \bar{\theta}f(y) = f(x)$.

By the definition of M , $f(x)$ is the global minima of f .

Thus, $f(\theta x + \bar{\theta}y) \geq f(x)$.

So $f(\theta x + \bar{\theta}y) = f(x)$.

Namely, $\theta x + \bar{\theta}y \in M$. M is a convex set.

2. Suppose that $\exists \alpha \in [0, \theta_0]$ such that $f(\alpha x + \bar{\alpha}y) < \alpha f(x) + \bar{\alpha}f(y)$.

Apparently, there exists $\beta \in [0,1]$, such that $\theta_0 x + \bar{\theta}_0 y = \beta(\alpha x + \bar{\alpha}y) + \bar{\beta}y$.

Because f is a convex function, $f(\theta_0 x + \bar{\theta}_0 y) \leq \beta f(\alpha x + \bar{\alpha}y) + \bar{\beta}f(y)$.

But $f(\theta_0 x + \bar{\theta}_0 y) = \theta_0 f(x) + \bar{\theta}_0 f(y) > \beta f(\alpha x + \bar{\alpha}y) + \bar{\beta}f(y)$.

It's a contradiction.

So the supposition is false. There doesn't exist such α .

Namely, the conclusion holds for all $\theta \in [0,1]$.

3. (a) convex

(b) convex

(c) neither

(d) neither

(e) convex, when $\alpha = 1$

Neither, when $\alpha < 1$

4. $\forall x_i, y_i, i = 1, 2$ and $\theta \in [0,1]$.

Because f_1 and f_2 are strictly convex,

$f_1(\theta x_1 + \bar{\theta}x_2) < \theta f_1(x_1) + \bar{\theta}f_1(x_2)$ and $f_2(\theta y_1 + \bar{\theta}y_2) < \theta f_2(y_1) + \bar{\theta}f_2(y_2)$.

So $f_1(\theta x_1 + \bar{\theta}x_2) + f_2(\theta y_1 + \bar{\theta}y_2) < \theta f_1(x_1) + \bar{\theta}f_1(x_2) + \theta f_2(y_1) + \bar{\theta}f_2(y_2)$

Namely, $f(\theta x_1 + \bar{\theta}x_2, \theta y_1 + \bar{\theta}y_2) < \theta f(x_1, y_1) + \bar{\theta}f(x_2, y_2)$.

So, f is convex.

Specially, $H(x_1^2 + x_2^4) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 12x_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$ is a definite matrix.

So $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^4$ is strictly convex.

5. \leftarrow :

$\forall x < y$ and $\theta \in [0,1]$.

$\theta[f(x) - f(\theta x + \bar{\theta}y)] = \theta \nabla f(\delta_1)(\theta x + \bar{\theta}y - x) \dots *1$, where $\delta_1 \in [x, \theta x + \bar{\theta}y]$

And $\bar{\theta}[f(\theta x + \bar{\theta}y) - f(y)] = \bar{\theta} \nabla f(\delta_2)(y - \theta x - \bar{\theta}y) \dots *2$, where $\delta_2 \in [\theta x + \bar{\theta}y, y]$.

Let $*1 - *2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \theta f(x) + \bar{\theta}f(y) - f(\theta x + \bar{\theta}y) &= \theta \nabla f(\delta_1)(\theta x + \bar{\theta}y - x) - \bar{\theta} \nabla f(\delta_2)(\theta x + \bar{\theta}y - y) \\ &= 2\theta \bar{\theta} < (\nabla f(\delta_1) - \nabla f(\delta_2)), (x - y) > \end{aligned}$$

Because $< (\nabla f(\delta_1) - \nabla f(\delta_2)), (\delta_1 - \delta_2) > \geq 0$, $< (\nabla f(\delta_1) - \nabla f(\delta_2)), (x - y) > \geq 0$.

Thus, $\theta f(x) + \bar{\theta}f(y) - f(\theta x + \bar{\theta}y) \geq 0$. f is convex.

\rightarrow :

The above process is reversible.