

# CODE REVIEW EVALUATION FORM

JavaScript & Express.js | Undergraduate Programming Course

## 1. SUBMISSION INFORMATION

|                |  |              |                   |
|----------------|--|--------------|-------------------|
| Course:        | ICS 385                                | Section:     |                   |
| Instructor:    | Debasis Bhattacharya                   | Semester:    |                   |
|                |  |              |                   |
| Student Name:  | Coralia Montalvo                       | Student ID:  |                   |
| Project Title: | Secrets Project - Security Code Review | Date:        | 2/15/2026         |
| Reviewer:      |  | Review Type: | Peer / Instructor |

## 2. CODE SUBMISSION DETAILS

|                 |   |                |  |
|-----------------|---|----------------|--|
| Repository URL: | <a href="https://github.com/coraliam-dev/ICS385-coraliam-dev-bio.git">https://github.com/coraliam-dev/ICS385-coraliam-dev-bio.git</a> |                |  |
| Branch:         |   | Commit Hash:   |  |
| Files Reviewed: |   | Lines of Code: |  |

## 3. CODE OVERVIEW & PURPOSE

Briefly describe the purpose of the submitted code, its main functionality, the Express.js routes implemented, and any middleware or external packages used.

**Summary:** The submitted code is a web server called Secrets designed to protect this secret behind a password. When a user submits the password "ILoveProgramming" they are granted access to a secret page. If the password is wrong, they are redirected to the login page. This shows basic password checking and routing in a Node.js/Express web application. Body parsers are used as middleware to parse form data from POST requests.

## 4. EVALUATION CRITERIA

Rate each criterion on the scale provided. Use the descriptors as guidance. A score of 4 = Excellent, 3 = Proficient, 2 = Developing, 1 = Beginning, 0 = Not Attempted.

|                                  |  |  |     |
|----------------------------------|--|--|-----|
|                                  |  |  |     |
| Code Correctness & Functionality | Application runs without errors; all Express routes return expected responses; edge cases handled. |  | 20% |

|                                  |   |  |     |
|----------------------------------|---|--|-----|
|                                  |   |  |     |
| Code Structure & Organization    | Logical file/folder structure (e.g., routes/, controllers/, models/); separation of concerns; modular design.         |  | 15% |
| Naming Conventions & Readability | Variables, functions, and routes use clear, descriptive names following camelCase conventions; consistent formatting. |  | 10% |
| Express.js Best Practices        | Proper use of Router, middleware chaining, error-handling middleware, appropriate HTTP methods and status codes.      |  | 15% |
| Error Handling & Validation      | Input validation present; try/catch or .catch() used; meaningful error messages returned to client.                   |  | 10% |
| Comments & Documentation         | Inline comments explain non-obvious logic; README or header comments describe setup, dependencies, and usage.         |  | 10% |
| Security Considerations          | No hardcoded secrets; use of environment variables; input sanitization; helmet or CORS configured if applicable.      |  | 10% |
| Testing & Reliability            | At least basic test cases provided (e.g., using Jest or Supertest); tests cover primary routes and edge cases.        |  | 10% |

|                       |              |             |         |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| Total Weighted Score: | _____ / 4.00 | Percentage: | _____ % |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|---------|

## 5. DETAILED FINDINGS — CODE-LEVEL OBSERVATIONS

Document specific issues, bugs, or noteworthy patterns found during the review. Reference file names and line numbers where applicable.

| 1 | <a href="#">index.js</a><br>Line 21 | <b>High</b> / Med / Low | Security           | The secret is stored as a plaintext in the source code                 |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--|
| 2 | <a href="#">index.js</a><br>Line 21 | <b>High</b> / Med / Low | Security           | The Password "ILoveProgramming" is hardcoded in the POST route.        |
| 3 |                                     | <b>High</b> / Med / Low | Transport Security | Without HTTPS all data can be intercepted by attackers on the network. |
| 4 |                                     | High / Med / Low        |                    |  |
| 5 |                                     | High / Med / Low        |                    |  |
| 6 |                                     | High / Med / Low        |                    |  |
| 7 |                                     | High / Med / Low        |                    |  |
| 8 |                                     | High / Med / Low        |                    |  |

## 6. EXPRESS.JS & JAVASCRIPT CHECKLIST

Check each item that applies to the submitted code. Mark Y (Yes), N (No), or N/A.

|              |  |   |
|--------------|--|---|
|              |  |   |
| Server Setup | Server listens on a configurable port (e.g., process.env.PORT)         |   |
| Server Setup | Entry point file is clearly identified (e.g., app.js or server.js)     | Y |
| Routing      | Routes are organized using express.Router()                            | N |
| Routing      | RESTful conventions followed (GET, POST, PUT/PATCH, DELETE)            |   |
| Routing      | Route parameters and query strings used correctly                      |   |
| Middleware   | Body-parser or express.json() configured for request parsing           |   |
| Middleware   | Custom middleware is reusable and well-documented                      |   |
| Middleware   | Error-handling middleware defined with (err, req, res, next) signature |   |
| Async/Await  | Promises and async/await used correctly (no unhandled rejections)      |   |

|              |   |  |
|--------------|---|--|
| Async/Await  | Callback patterns avoided in favor of modern async patterns |  |
| Dependencies | package.json lists all dependencies; no unused packages     |  |
| Dependencies | node_modules excluded via .gitignore                        |  |

|          |   |   |
|----------|---|---|
|          |   |   |
| Security | Environment variables managed via .env / dotenv | N |
| Security | No sensitive data committed to version control  | N |

## 7. QUALITATIVE FEEDBACK

### Strengths — What does this submission do well?

: The application is successful opening up a web server.

It uses middleware to capture user input and handles routing between the login and secret pages.

Code is pretty easy to read.

### Areas for Improvement — What should the student focus on next?

: Store passwords more securely. Use a secret setting so others

cannot see.

Make sure the website used HTTPS so no one can listen in and

hack/steal.

**Show a message if the password was incorrect.**

### Suggested Learning Resources

## 8. OVERALL ASSESSMENT

| A / Excellent  | 90–100%   | Code is well-structured, fully functional, secure, and demonstrates mastery of Express.js concepts.      |
|----------------|-----------|--|
| B / Proficient | 80–89%    | Code works correctly with minor issues; good organization and documentation; some improvements possible. |
| C / Developing | 70–79%    | Code runs but has notable gaps in structure, error handling, or best practices; needs revision.          |
| D / Beginning  | 60–69%    | Significant issues with functionality, structure, or documentation; substantial rework required.         |
| F / Incomplete | Below 60% | Code does not compile/run or is largely incomplete; fundamental concepts not demonstrated.               |

|                       |  |                |             |
|-----------------------|--|----------------|-------------|
| Final Grade Assigned: |  | Numeric Score: | _____ / 100 |
|-----------------------|--|----------------|-------------|

## 9. REQUIRED REVISIONS & ACTION ITEMS

List any mandatory changes the student must complete before resubmission.

|   |                              |                         |  |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
|   |                              |                         |  |
| 1 | Remove Hardcoded Credentials | <u>High</u> / Med / Low |  |
| 2 |                              | High / Med / Low        |  |
| 3 |                              | High / Med / Low        |  |
| 4 |                              | High / Med / Low        |  |

## 10. ACADEMIC INTEGRITY ACKNOWLEDGMENT

By signing below, the reviewer confirms that this evaluation was conducted fairly and objectively. The student acknowledges receipt of this feedback and understands the revisions required.

|                       |                  |       |            |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------|------------|
| Reviewer Signature:   | Coralia Montalvo | Date: | 02/15/2026 |
| Student Signature:    |                  | Date: |            |
| Instructor Signature: |                  | Date: |            |