

Project Proposal

Non-equilibrium dynamics and thermalisation in simple quantum systems

To understand and model the behaviour of a simplified quantum system as it thermalises. We will investigate the behaviour of a spin-1/2 system using a computer simulation. The Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH) suggests that for a system prepared in some initial state where the expectation value of an observable \hat{O} is far from that given by the microcanonical ensemble of this system, the expectation value of \hat{O} will ultimately evolve in time to its value predicted by a microcanonical ensemble, without the invocation of any random processes. We shall simulate non-equilibrium quantum systems and hope to demonstrate this process.

States

You can have a spin-1/2 system in the S_z basis, with up and down eigenstates going as

$$S_z = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

With eigenstates

$$up = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, down = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

IF you add another spin state, you gain the combined systems:

$$|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The combined S^{tot} is given by the operation of $S_{z1} + S_{z2}$ only on the correct part of the state - so S_{z1} sees the first spin, and S_{z2} the second.

$$S^{tot} |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle = (S_{z1} + S_{z2})(|\uparrow\rangle_1 + |\uparrow\rangle_2) = S_{z1} |\uparrow\rangle_1 + 0 + S_{z2} |\uparrow\rangle_2 + 0 = \frac{\hbar}{2} |\uparrow\rangle_1 + \frac{\hbar}{2} |\uparrow\rangle_2 = \hbar |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$$

And the matrix form of the operator S^{tot} is given by

$$S^{tot} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle \uparrow\uparrow | S^{tot} | \uparrow\uparrow \rangle & \langle \uparrow\uparrow | S^{tot} | \uparrow\downarrow \rangle & \langle \uparrow\uparrow | S^{tot} | \downarrow\uparrow \rangle & \langle \uparrow\uparrow | S^{tot} | \downarrow\downarrow \rangle \\ \langle \uparrow\downarrow | S^{tot} | \uparrow\uparrow \rangle & \langle \uparrow\downarrow | S^{tot} | \uparrow\downarrow \rangle & \langle \uparrow\downarrow | S^{tot} | \downarrow\uparrow \rangle & \langle \uparrow\downarrow | S^{tot} | \downarrow\downarrow \rangle \\ \langle \downarrow\uparrow | S^{tot} | \uparrow\uparrow \rangle & \langle \downarrow\uparrow | S^{tot} | \uparrow\downarrow \rangle & \langle \downarrow\uparrow | S^{tot} | \downarrow\uparrow \rangle & \langle \downarrow\uparrow | S^{tot} | \downarrow\downarrow \rangle \\ \langle \downarrow\downarrow | S^{tot} | \uparrow\uparrow \rangle & \langle \downarrow\downarrow | S^{tot} | \uparrow\downarrow \rangle & \langle \downarrow\downarrow | S^{tot} | \downarrow\uparrow \rangle & \langle \downarrow\downarrow | S^{tot} | \downarrow\downarrow \rangle \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

This matrix can be found by a summation over the Kronecker products of the basis matrices and the Identity matrix.

```
ArrayFlatten[
  Outer[Times, PauliMatrix[3], IdentityMatrix[2]] +
  Outer[Times, IdentityMatrix[2], PauliMatrix[3]]
] // MatrixForm
```

The hamilton of this system can be formed using the Kronecker product of the individual basis elements. This is implimented in Mathematica as `Outer[Times, Sz, Sz]`, and a custom impl was used in C. This matrix is diagonal, and as such the eigenvalues are the diagonal elements and the eigenvectors are just unit vectors.

$$H^{tot} = S_z \otimes S_z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The Eigensystem of this is given by

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc} -\frac{1}{4}(3\hbar^2) & \frac{\hbar^2}{4} & \frac{\hbar^2}{4} & \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \\ \{0, -1, 1, 0\} & \{0, 0, 0, 1\} & \{0, 1, 1, 0\} & \{1, 0, 0, 0\} \end{array} \right)$$

The Hamiltonion for a state mesured in a inconsistant basis, for example $S_{z2} \otimes S_{y1}$, is not diagonal.

A good primer is <http://electron6.phys.utk.edu/qm1/modules/m10/twospin.htm>.

To find S^2 , the total spin including interactions, you need to find $S_1 \cdot S_2$. S is defined in the full 4 element basis.

$$S_1 \cdot S_2 = S_{1x} \cdot S_{2x} + S_{1y} \cdot S_{2y} + S_{1z} \cdot S_{2z} = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

BLAS and LAPACK on the Xeon Phi

Intel provides the MKL - a collection of numerical routines that are optimised for use on intel processors. A kronecker product is not part of standard BLAS/LAPACK so I used the following C code.

```
void Kronecker_Product_complex(complex *C, complex *A, int nrows, int ncols,
                               complex *B, int mrows, int mcols)
{
    int ccols, i, j, k, l;
    int block_increment;
    complex *pB;
    complex *pC, *p_C;

    ccols = ncols * mcols;
    block_increment = mrows * ccols;
    for (i = 0; i < nrows; C += block_increment, i++)
        for (p_C = C, j = 0; j < ncols; p_C += mcols, A++, j++)
            for (pC = p_C, pB = B, k = 0; k < mrows; pC += ccols, k++)
                for (l = 0; l < mcols; pB++, l++) *(pC+l) = *A * *pB;
}
```

Meeting 2

Density Matrix

$$\hat{\rho}(t) = |\psi(t)\rangle \langle \psi(t)| \quad \text{Defn of density matrix}$$

$$\hat{\rho}_{i,j}^{\text{reduced}}(t) = \sum_n |i\rangle_S \langle n|_B \hat{\rho} \langle n|_B \langle j|_S \quad \text{Defn of the reduced density matrix}$$

The reduced density matrix, by the ETH, goes diagonal as the bath becomes sufficiently complex.

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_n C_n \exp^{-\frac{iE_n t}{\hbar}} |A_n\rangle$$

Appendix

Mathematica code for $S_1 \cdot S_2$.

```
Subscript[S, 1 z] =
  ArrayFlatten[
    Outer[Times, \[HBar]/2 PauliMatrix[3],
      IdentityMatrix[2]]]; Subscript[S, 2 z] =
  ArrayFlatten[
    Outer[Times, IdentityMatrix[2], \[HBar]/2 PauliMatrix[3]]];
Subscript[S, 1 y] =
  ArrayFlatten[
    Outer[Times, \[HBar]/2 PauliMatrix[2],
      IdentityMatrix[2]]]; Subscript[S, 2 y] =
  ArrayFlatten[
    Outer[Times, IdentityMatrix[2], \[HBar]/2 PauliMatrix[2]]];
Subscript[S, 1 x] =
  ArrayFlatten[
    Outer[Times, \[HBar]/2 PauliMatrix[1],
      IdentityMatrix[2]]]; Subscript[S, 2 x] =
  ArrayFlatten[
    Outer[Times, IdentityMatrix[2], \[HBar]/2 PauliMatrix[1]]];
ArrayFlatten[Subscript[S, 1 z] + Subscript[S, 2 z]] // MatrixForm;
ArrayFlatten[Subscript[S, 1 y] + Subscript[S, 2 y]] // MatrixForm;
ArrayFlatten[Subscript[S, 1 z] + Subscript[S, 2 z]] // MatrixForm;
Subscript[S, 1 y].Subscript[S, 2 y] // MatrixForm
ArrayFlatten[
  ArrayFlatten[
    Subscript[S, 1 x].Subscript[S, 2 x] +
    Subscript[S, 1 y].Subscript[S, 2 y] +
    Subscript[S, 1 z].Subscript[S, 2 z]]] // MatrixForm
```

First meeting results

H^{tot} in each basis

$$H_x^{tot} = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, H_y^{tot} = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, H_z^{tot} = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$