

Session 5

Boolean and Reified Constraints, Optimisation

Daniel Diaz
Salvador Abreu

Boolean reasoning

BoolVar: a constraint variable with domain = $\{0,1\}$ (0=false, 1=true).

E.g.: `BoolVar b = model.boolVar("maybe");`

A **BoolVar** special-case (inheritance) of **IntVar**, and can be used in all constraints expecting an **IntVar** (e.g. a boolean can be part of an arithmetic constraint).

Boolean specific constraints:

`or(BoolVar... b)` enforce: at least one $b[i]$ must be true (=1)

`and(BoolVar... b)` enforce: all $b[i]$ must be true

View:

`BoolVar boolNotView(BoolVar b)`

Returns a `Boolvar` $= \neg b$.

Reification

Reification allows the user to reason on the issue of a constraint (satisfied, not satisfied).

Mechanism: “capture” the truth value of a constraint c in a boolean variable b . This can be seen as a logical equivalence :

$$c \Leftrightarrow b$$

Propagation is as follows:

- When c is detected as true (*entailed*) by the store, set $b = 1$
- When c is detected as false (*disentailed*) by the store, set $b = 0$
- When b is set to 1, c is posted (enforce c)
- When b is set to 0, $\neg c$ is posted (enforce the negation of c)

NB: while the truthness of c cannot be determined, b is not set.

Half Reification

Only impose a logical implication

$$b \Rightarrow c$$

Propagation is as follows:

- When b is set to 1, c is posted (enforce c)
- When c is detected as false (*disentailed*) by the store, set $b = 0$

Remark: (full) reification corresponds to 2 half reifications:

$$b \Leftrightarrow c \quad \equiv \quad b \Rightarrow c \text{ and } \neg b \Rightarrow \neg c$$

Reification in Choco

Choco: a constraint c can be reified with a variable b . Use:

`b = c.reify()` return a new fresh boolean variable
NB: a single variable is associated to a
given constraint c .

or

`b = model.boolVar()` create a new boolean a variable b
`c.reifyWith(b)` and associate it to the constraint c .

or

`model.reification(b,c)` similar use

NB: a reified constraint can be unsatisfied \Rightarrow **don't post reified constraints.**

Reification : shorthands

reifyXeqC(IntVar x, int c, boolVar b)
posts a constraint that expresses : $(x = c) \Leftrightarrow b$

reifyXeqY(IntVar x, IntVar y, boolVar b)
post a constraint that expresses : $(x = y) \Leftrightarrow b$

reifyXneC(IntVar x, int c, boolVar b)
post a constraint that expresses : $(x \neq c) \Leftrightarrow b$

reifyXneY(IntVar x, IntVar y, boolVar b)
post a constraint that expresses : $(x \neq y) \Leftrightarrow b$

reifyXinS(IntVar x, IntIterableRangeSet s, BoolVar b)
post a constraint that expresses : $(x \in s) \Leftrightarrow b$

Reification : shorthands

reifyXgtC(IntVar x, int c, boolVar b)
post a constraint that expresses : $(x > c) \Leftrightarrow b$

reifyXltC(IntVar x, int c, boolVar b)
post a constraint that expresses : $(x < c) \Leftrightarrow b$

reifyXltY(IntVar x, IntVar y, boolVar b)
post a constraint that expresses : $(x < y) \Leftrightarrow b$

reifyXltYC(IntVar x, IntVar y, int c, boolVar b)
post a constraint that expresses : $(x < y + c) \Leftrightarrow b$

reifyXleY(IntVar x, IntVar y, boolVar b)
post a constraint that expresses : $(x \leq y) \Leftrightarrow b$

Reification: shorthands

`ifOnlyIf(Constraint c1, Constraint c2)`

posts the constraint : $c1$ is satisfied $\Leftrightarrow c2$ is satisfied

`ifThen(Constraint c1, Constraint c2)`

`ifThen(BoolVar b, Constraint c2)`

posts the constraint: $c1 \Rightarrow c2$ is satisfied

$c1$ can be a bool variable b enforcing $b \Rightarrow c2$ (half-reification)

`ifThenElse(Constraint c1, Constraint c2, Constraint c3)`

`ifThenElse(BoolVar b, Constraint c2, Constraint c3)`

posts the constraints: if $c1 \Rightarrow c2$, if not($c1$) $\Rightarrow c3$

$c1$ can be a bool variable b

X5.1 - Exercise

Use reified constraints to express a timetabling problem, where students must:

- Have 30 hours of classes in a week
- A weekday has 10 1-hour slots, 5 in the morning and 5 in the afternoon
- If there are more than 2 hours in the morning on one day, then there must be at most 2 hours in the afternoon, and conversely

Optimisation

Recall: in an optimisation problem, we want to find a solution which minimizes or maximizes a given *objective function* called \mathcal{Z} .

2 simple methods (suppose a minimization problem) :

1. Enumerate all solutions, record the best one according to \mathcal{Z} .
Simple but can be very inefficient as it requires all solutions to be computed.
2. Post a constraint $X = \mathcal{Z}$ (where X is a new brand variable).
Enumerate X , from its LB to UB, before all other variables: the first found solution is optimal.
Simple but can be very inefficient if constraining X (i.e. \mathcal{Z}) has not much influence on the domains of other variables.

Optimisation

A more complex method (similar to Branch&Bound):

1. compute a solution (i.e. enumerate variables)
2. Record V : the value of the cost function \mathcal{Z}
3. Undo the assignments (backtracking)
4. “On the fly,” add the constraint $\mathcal{Z} < V$ (in case of minimization)
5. Loop to 1

Stop when no more solution (last recorded value V is the optimum).

At step 5, we hope that this added constraint will be efficient and will prune the search space...

In any case, it is necessary to reach an over-constrained problem to stop \Rightarrow **can also be long**.

Optimisation in Choco

B&B in Choco:

- The function \mathcal{Z} to optimise must be a variable X (post a constraint $X = \mathcal{Z}$ in order to expose it, if necessary).
- The user has to manage the overall loop over the solutions.
- Code as follows:

```
model.setObjectives(Model.MINIMIZE, X); // or Model.MAXIMIZE
while(solver.solve()) {
    // an improved solution was found - record it to remember!
}
// the last recorded solution is the optimum
```

X5.2 - Exercise: Logistics (*)

In a weighted undirected graph, given an initial node (E) and a set of destination nodes (D_i), find a subgraph that includes paths from E to all D_i . Simplifying assumption: D_i is a singleton set (i.e. only one element).

Identify the subgraph that has minimal cost (sum of the weights) while covering E and all D_i .

Example data:

	Graph (N-N:C)	Start-{End}	Cost
1	1-2:4, 1-3:2, 2-3:5, 2-4:10, 3-5:3, 4-5:4, 4-6:11	1-{6}	20
2	1-2:4, 1-3:2, 2-3:5, 2-4:10, 3-5:3, 4-5:4, 4-6:11	1- 5 ,6}	20
3	1-2:6, 1-3:1, 1-4:5, 2-3:5, 2-5:3, 3-4:5, 3-5:6, 3-6:4, 4-6:2	1- 5 ,6}	11

For case (3) the output should be {1,3,5,6}:11