COMP2005 Assessment 2: Report

Assessment Materials

D2 GitHub Repository: https://github.com/Plymouth-University/comp2005-

assessment2-corey-richardson

D3 YouTube Link:

Introduction

Unit and Integration Testing for Part A

// An explanation of how to run the unit and integration tests, and continuous integration (in code environment).

Each layer of the application was tests according to its role in the application architecture. Classes and service methods were tested using Unit Tests to verify their behaviour in isolation from the API returns. Services were tested using mocked dependencies and returns to ensure that the implementations matched expected business logic. Controllers were tested using Integration Tests to verify the API's behaviour.

Test File	Layer Tested	Test Types
AdmissionControllerTest.java	Controller	Integration Tests
AdmissionServiceTest.java	Service	Unit Tests
AdmissionTest.java	Class	Unit Tests
AllocationTest.java	Class	Unit Tests
ApiHelperTest.java	Service	Unit Tests
Comp2005ApiApplicationTests.java	Application Context	Smoke Test
EmployeeTest.java	Class	Unit Tests
PatientControllerTest.java	Controller	Integration Tests
PatientServiceTest.java	Service	Unit Tests
PatientTest.java	Class	Unit Tests

The following tables list each test case and explain their purpose in testing the application.

AdmissionControllerTest.java		
Layer Tested	Controller	
Test Types	Integration Tests	
returnsExpectedMonth		Checks that the controller returns the expected month string when admissions exist and are returned by the Service layer.
returnsEmptyWhenNoAdmissi	ons	Ensures that the controller returns a fallback message that is passed by the Service layer if no admissions exist.
handlesErrorsGracefully		Checks that the controller returns a fallback message that is passed by the Service layer if it encounters an error or exception.

AdmissionServiceTest.java		
Layer Tested	Service	
Test Types	Unit Tests	
MonthWithMostAdmissionsTests		stAdmissionsTests
returnsExpectedMonth returnsEmptyWhenNo		Checks that the service method returns the correct datestring/month when admissions are successfully fetched, in form 'YYYY-MM'. Checks the service returns a fallback message if it fetches no admissions; loudly fails.
handlesErrorsGracefu	ly	Checks that the service returns an expected fallback message if the API fails to fetch any admissions.

	Admissio	nTest.java
Layer Tested	Class	
Test Types	Unit Tests	
testParameterlessNotNull		Tests that the parameterless constructor
		creates an object.
testConstructedNotNull		Tests that the constructor with parameters
		creates an object.
testSetAndGetId		Tests for GETTER and SETTER methods.
testSetAndGetPatientId		
testSetAndGetAdmissionDate		
testSetAndGetDischargeDate		
constructedAdmissionTest		Test that the constructor with parameters
		creates an object and assigns expected
		values to the attributes.

	Allocation	onTest.java
Layer Tested	Class	
Test Types	Unit Tests	
testParameterlessNotNull		Tests that the parameterless constructor creates an object.
testConstructedNotNull		Tests that the constructor with parameters creates an object.
testSetAndGetId		Tests for GETTER and SETTER methods.
testSetAndGetAdmissionId		
testSetAndGetEmployeeId		
testSetAndGetStartTime		
testSetAndGetEndTime		
constructedAllocationTest		Test that the constructor with parameters creates an object and assigns expected values to the attributes.

ApiHelperTest.java		
Layer Tested	Service	
Test Types	Unit Tests	
	HandleRe	questTests
propagateExceptionWhenNot404Error		Checks that if the API call results in a HTTP error other than 404 NOT FOUND, the exception is propagated and the application fails loudly.
	Admiss	ionTests
getAllAdmissions_returnsAdm	ssions	Checks that the method returns a list of Admission objects when the API responds with data.
getAdmissionByld_returnsAdm	ission	Checks that the method returns a single Admission object when the ID exists.
getAdmissionByld_handles404		Checks that the method returns null rather than throws an exception when an object is not found; 404 NOT FOUND.
	Allocat	ionTests
getAllAllocations_returnsAlloc	ations	Checks that the method returns a list of Allocation objects when the API responds with data.
getAllocationById_returnsAlloc	ation	Checks that the method returns a single Allocation object when the ID exists.
getAllocationById_handles404		Checks that the method returns null rather than throws an exception when an object is not found; 404 NOT FOUND.
	Employ	/eeTests
getAllEmployees_returnsEmplo	oyees	Checks that the method returns a list of Employee objects when the API responds with data.
getEmployeeById_returnsEmp	oyee	Checks that the method returns a single Employee object when the ID exists.
getAllocationById_handles404		Checks that the method returns null rather than throws an exception when an object is not found; 404 NOT FOUND.
PatientTests Patient Tests		
getAllPatients_returnsPatients		Checks that the method returns a list of Patient objects when the API responds with data.
getPatientById_returnsPatient		Checks that the method returns a single Patient object when the ID exists.
getPatientById_handles404		Checks that the method returns null rather than throws an exception when an object is not found; 404 NOT FOUND.

Comp2005ApiApplication.java			
Layer Tested Application Context			
Test Types	Smoke Test	Smoke Test	
contextLoads		Ensures that the Spring Boot application	
		context starts up correctly.	

	Employe	eTest.java
Layer Tested	Class	
Test Types	Unit Tests	
testParameterlessNotNull		Tests that the parameterless constructor
		creates an object.
testConstructedNotNull		Tests that the constructor with parameters
		creates an object.
testSetAndGetId		Tests for GETTER and SETTER methods.
testSetAndGetFirstName		
testSetAndGetLastName		
constructedEmployeeTest		Test that the constructor with parameters
		creates an object and assigns expected
		values to the attributes.

PatientControllerTest.java		
Layer Tested	Controller	
Test Types	Integration Tests	
	NeverAdm	nittedTests
returnsExpectedPatients		Checks that when the service returns a list of patients, the controller successfully propagates this list.
returnsEmptyListWhenNoPation	ents	Checks that if the services returns an empty list, the controller layer does so too to ensure no null unexpected behaviour.
handleServiceFailure		Simulates a service failure and ensures that the controller layer handles it gracefully by returning an empty list rather than an exception.
Re	admittedWithi	nSevenDaysTests
returnsExpected		Checks that when the service returns a list of patients, the controller successfully propagates this list.
returnsEmptyListWhenNoPation	ents	Checks that if the services returns an empty list, the controller layer does so too to ensure no null unexpected behaviour.
handleServiceFailure		Simulates a service failure and ensures that the controller layer handles it gracefully by returning an empty list rather than an exception.
	Multiples	StaffTests
returnsExpected	-	Checks that when the service returns a list of patients, the controller successfully propagates this list.
returnsExpectedDifferentAdmi	ssions	Checks that if a patient has multiple admissions with different Employees allocated the method still returns the expected response.
returnsEmptyWhenNoMultiple	s	Checks that if the services returns an empty list, the controller layer does so too to ensure no null unexpected behaviour.

handleServiceFailure	Simulates a service failure and ensures that
	the controller layer handles it gracefully by
	returning an empty list rather than an
	exception.

PatientServiceTest.java			
Layer Tested	Service		
Test Types	Unit Tests		
	NeverAdm	nittedTests	
returnsExpected			
returnsAllWhenNoAdmissions			
returnsEmptyWhenNoPatients	1		
handlesErrorsGracefully			
Re	ReadmittedWithinSevenDaysTests		
returnsExpected			
returnsEmptyWhenNoPatients			
handlesErrorsGracefully			
MultipleStaffTests			
returnsExpected			
returnsExpectedDifferentAdmissions			
returnsEmptyWhenNoMultiples			
handlesErrorsGracefully			

	Patient	Test.java
Layer Tested	Class	
Test Types	Unit Tests	
testParameterlessNotNull		Tests that the parameterless constructor
		creates an object.
testConstructedNotNull		Tests that the constructor with parameters
		creates an object.
testSetAndGetId		Tests for GETTER and SETTER methods.
testSetAndGetFirstName		
testSetAndGetLastName		
testSetAndGetNhsNumber		
constructedPatientTest		Test that the constructor with parameters
		creates an object and assigns expected
		values to the attributes.

Tests were implemented using the Junit framework. Test classes often contained '@Nested' sub-classes, each focusing on a different portion of a service, to ensure that the test suites remained readable. '@BeforeEach' decorators were used to control test set-up and configurations, and '@Test' is used to decorate test methods. JUnit uses these decorations to manage the discovery, lifecycles and execution of the tests.

Figure 1: ApiHelper::handleRequest() Utility Function

```
@SpringBootTest
class ApiHelperTest

| General RestTemplate restTemplate;
| General RestTemplate Rest
```

Figure 2: Example of a Test Class, Sub-class and Method,
ApiHelperTest::HandleRequestTests::propagateExceptionWhenNot404Error()

Mock objects were used to isolate units of code using a top-down manner to emulate the behaviour of dependent methods and API calls. By replacing dependencies with mocks, tests can concentrate on the logic of the method they are designed to tests, rather than external factors.

The 'Mockito' library was largely used to create mock instances of service class methods, which were subsequently injected into the controller layer using '@Mock' and '@InjectMock' decorators. Method returns were controlled using 'when(<method>).thenReturn(<data>)' logic to ensure consistency in the behaviour of dependencies during test runs.

Use of the Test Driven Development Approach

To assist with the implementation of F2, F3 and F4 for Part A of the task, I used a Test Driven Development (TDD) approach, where I wrote failing tests for each method before implementing the methods. The TDD workflow consists of 3 stages:

- Red: Write a failing test.
- Green: Write code to make the test pass.
- Refactor: Refactor and tidy the code, without changing its behaviour.

This approach helped me to ensure that the behaviour of methods and return values matched the expected behaviour. This approach shifts the focus from writing code that "works" to writing code the "works correctly".

The use of this approach is evidenced by commits `11a2b7e`, `b8812a0` and `8cc565b`.

Metrics and Code Coverage




Figure 3: Code Coverage Metrics from IntelliJ IDE

Test Report: part-a-web-service-api/htmlReport/index.html

A large proportion of the application has been covered by the unit and integration tests. This should result in better-tested and more robust code, reducing the number of bugs likely to be found in a non-development environment. There are some minor gaps in coverage, primarily to do with the 'Comp2005ApiApplication.java' file, which only contains the main function which acts as an entry point to the application; even then, this is covered by a 'contextLoad' test which confirms that the application successfully begins. There is also a gap in coverage on the two service files, relating to catching exceptions and date parsing errors: branch coverage.

Usability Testing for Part B

System Testing

Tools and Practices

GitHub Actions, CI/CD

I set up a GitHub Actions workflow to automatically run the full test suite on every push to the main branch of the GitHub repository.

This workflow is defined in .github\workflows\run-tests.yaml.

```
name: Run Tests

name: Run Tests

non:

push:

branches:

main

pull_request:

push:

steps:

name: Checkout code
uses: actions/checkout@v4

name: Set up JDK 17

uses: actions/setup-java@v4

with:

java-version: '17'

distribution: 'temurin'

name: Run tests with Gradle
run: ./gradlew clean test --info
working-directory: ./part-a-web-service-api
```

Figure 4: GitHub Actions Workflow

T

he workflow ensures that it is testing the latest pushed code before setting up a Java 17 environment and ensuring that the Gradle Wrapper file is executable. It then runs the test suite

and reports the results on the Actions section of the GitHub repository; test failures are also notified by email.

The automated workflow ensures that tests are executed regularly on changes in an independent and consistent environment, identifying integration, build and regression errors early in the change process.





Figure 5: GitHub Actions Page

https://github.com/Plymouth-University/comp2005-assessment2-corey-richardson/actions

To test the workflow I had set up, I used the 'GitHub Local Actions' extension for VS Code to run the workflow locally before pushing new code. This extension utilises the Docker Engine to create containerised environments like those used by the GitHub action runners, and 'nektons/act' to locally run the workflow.

This local testing helped me to reduce the development time for the CI/CD pipeline and ensured that the workflow would work as intended before integrating it into the main GitHub repository.






Figure 6: GitHub Local Actions Workflow

GitHub Local Actions for VS Code (Sanjula Ganepola) https://marketplace.visualstudio.com/items?itemName=SanjulaGanepola.github-local-actions

Evaluation