# Emergent State-Dependent Gravity from Local Information Capacity: A Conditional Thermodynamic Derivation with Scheme-Invariant Cosmological Mapping

[clg]<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>[TBD Institution(s)]
(Dated: August 27, 2025)

Core hypothesis. Each proper frame carries a finite quantum information capacity. Approaching this bound triggers a *state-dependent response* that preserves causal stitching with neighboring frames. *Kinematics remain GR-like*: we do not alter null geometry used by EM/GW luminosity distances. The response is *dynamical* (weak-field coupling), not kinematical (no extra time dilation beyond GR).

Scope and conditionality. All quantitative claims are conditional on a single working assumption: (A2) the Clausius relation  $\delta Q = T \, \delta S$  with Unruh normalization holds for small, near-vacuum local Rindler wedges (the safe window). Within this regime we establish an equivalence principle for modular response (EPMR): after mutual-information subtraction with moment-kill, the  $\ell^4$  modular coefficient equals the flat-space value at working order, while curvature dressings enter at  $\mathcal{O}(\ell^6)$ .

Main outcomes. (i) A microscopic sensitivity  $\beta$  from MI-subtracted modular Hamiltonians in flat-space QFT (Casini–Huerta–Myers balls, Osborn–Petkou normalization); (ii) a once-and-for-all geometric normalization with continuous-angle invariance showing only the product  $\beta f c_{\rm geo}$  is physical; (iii) a conditional, scheme-invariant mapping  $\Omega_{\Lambda} = \beta f c_{\rm geo}$  for the FRW zero mode; and (iv) a weak-field flux law with a universal geometric prefactor 5/12, implying  $a_0 = (5/12) \, \Omega_{\Lambda}^2 \, c \, H_0$ . We keep the distance sector GR-like ( $\alpha_M = 0$  there), and we enforce  $|d_L^{\rm GW}/d_L^{\rm EM} - 1| \leq 5 \times 10^{-3}$ .

Consequences. With no cosmological inputs,  $\Omega_{\Lambda} = \beta f c_{\rm geo} \approx 0.685$  and  $a_0 = (5/12) \, \Omega_{\Lambda}^2 \, c \, H_0$ . An entropic state-action law  $(\Delta S \geq 0)$  determines a monotone  $\varepsilon(a)$  that modulates the weak-field response  $\mu(\varepsilon) = 1/(1 + \eta \, \varepsilon)$  with  $\eta = 5/12$ , suppressing growth and yielding  $S_8 \simeq 0.788$  (-7.4% vs.  $\Lambda$ CDM), while EM/GW distances remain GR-like. An illustrative, capped environment-gated application to a SH0ES-like catalog nudges  $H_0: 73.0 \rightarrow 71.32$  (SN cap only) and to 70.89 (SN+small Cepheid term), trending toward TRGB/Planck without altering null geometry. Explicit falsifiers and hygiene checks are stated.

## I. INTRODUCTION: CORE INSIGHT AND CONDITIONAL SCOPE

- a. High level summary. We hypothesize that the geometric side of Einstein's equations exhibits a local, state-dependent response because each small spacetime wedge has finite information capacity. As capacity is approached, the Clausius relation enforces a compensating response so adjacent wedges remain causally stitched. Kinematics (null cones, EM/GW distances) stay GR-like; all changes are dynamical (response strength in weak fields). Jacobson's horizon thermodynamics is recovered as the stationary-horizon special case. All claims here are conditional on (A2); if (A2) fails, the construction must be revised.
- b. GR limit (distance sector). In the limit of constant information capacity  $\nabla_a M^2 = 0$  (equivalently  $\alpha_M \to 0$ ), the construction collapses to standard GR recovering Einstein's equations with  $c_T = 1$  and GR light-cone geometry. Throughout we keep  $\alpha_M = 0$  in the distance sector and confine any late-time variation to the growth/response sector (Sec. X).
- c. State variable and coupling. We define a dimensionless state variable  $\varepsilon(x)$  encoding fractional deviations of local capacity from its vacuum reference and parameterize

$$\frac{\delta G}{G} = -\beta \, \delta \varepsilon(x),\tag{1}$$

with  $\beta$  calculable from flat-space QFT (Sec. IV). The weak-field response is encoded by

$$\mu(\varepsilon) \equiv \frac{G_{\text{eff}}}{G_N} = \frac{1}{1 + \eta \,\varepsilon}, \qquad \eta = \frac{5}{12},$$
 (2)

so  $\mu \to 1$  in strong fields (GR recovery) and  $\mu < 1$  in weak fields (gentle dynamical slowdown).

d. What is fixed vs. what is assumed. Fixed once: wedge family (ball $\rightarrow$ diamond), generator density, Unruh normalization, unit-solid-angle boundary factor. Assumed: (A2) Clausius with Unruh in the safe window (Def. 1); Hadamard state; small perturbations. Consequence: the geometric mapping is angle-invariant (Sec. VIIC); only  $\beta f c_{\text{geo}}$  is physical.

e. Clean mapping statement. Within the safe window and EPMR working order, the FRW zero mode satisfies the conditional, scheme-invariant relation

$$\Omega_{\Lambda} = \beta f c_{\text{geo}}.\tag{3}$$

# II. ASSUMPTIONS AND DOMAIN OF VALIDITY

**Definition 1** (Safe window). Choose  $\ell$  obeying  $\epsilon_{\text{UV}} \ll \ell \ll \min\{L_{\text{curv}}, \lambda_{\text{mfp}}, m_i^{-1}\}$  for fields treated as massless; work with Hadamard states and small perturbations (relative entropy  $O(\varepsilon^2)$ ). Within this window the MI-subtracted, moment-killed modular response is dominated by  $\ell^4$  and admits a Clausius balance with Unruh normalization.

**Hypothesis 1** ((A2) Clausius with Unruh in the safe window). In the safe window,  $\delta Q = T \, \delta S$  with Unruh temperature holds for Casini-Huerta-Myers (CHM) diamonds mapped from balls, with flux built from  $T_{kk}$  along approximate generators.

a. First-law domain. We use  $\delta S = \delta \langle K \rangle$  only for CHM balls/diamonds and small perturbations of a Hadamard state; no general wedge theorem is claimed.

# A. Failure modes of (A2) and explicit falsifiers

(A2) could fail if: (i) MI-subtracted flat-space modular data do not transfer to null diamonds; (ii) Unruh normalization fails in small, non-stationary wedges; or (iii) nonlocal state dependence spoils the local Clausius balance. Falsifiers (Sec. XII): (a) GW/EM luminosity distance ratios inconsistent with bounded  $\alpha_M$ ; (b) laboratory/solar-system bounds revealing  $|\dot{G}/G| \gtrsim 10^{-12} \,\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ ; (c) precision cosmology favoring  $\Omega_{\Lambda}$  inconsistent with the invariant  $\beta f c_{\text{geo}}$ .

## B. Pre-commitment and scheme invariance (convention hygiene)

We pre-commit to wedge family, generator density, Unruh normalization, and one of two bookkeepings (A or B) before any cosmological comparison. Physical predictions depend only on  $\beta f c_{\text{geo}}$ ; the split between f and  $c_{\text{geo}}$  is conventional.

## III. STATE METRIC AND VARIATIONAL CLOSURE

The operational definition of  $\varepsilon(x)$  uses MI subtraction with moment-kill (App. C): for sufficiently small  $\ell$ ,

$$\delta \langle K_{\text{sub}}(\ell) \rangle = (2\pi C_T I_{00}) \ell^4 \delta \varepsilon(x) + \mathcal{O}(\ell^6), \tag{4}$$

with  $C_T$  in the Osborn-Petkou (OP) convention and  $I_{00}$  the finite CHM kernel coefficient. Boxed normalization (one time).

$$\beta \equiv 2\pi C_T I_{00} \qquad \text{(OP } C_T; I_{00} \text{ from MI-subtracted CHM response)}.$$
 (5)

# A. Variational capacity closure: derivation (not a bare postulate)

Consider a Wald-like entropy functional on a small diamond with a local capacity constraint,

$$S_{\text{tot}} = \underbrace{\delta S_{\text{mat}}}_{\delta \langle K_{\text{sub}} \rangle} + \underbrace{\frac{\delta A}{4G(x)}}_{\delta S_{\text{grav}}} + \int \lambda(x) \left(\Xi_0 - \Xi(x)\right) d^4 x. \tag{6}$$

Using Eq. (4), extremization at fixed window yields

$$\delta\left(\frac{1}{16\pi G}\right) \propto \delta\Xi \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \frac{\delta G}{G} = -\beta \,\delta\varepsilon,$$
 (7)

identifying  $\beta$  as the modular sensitivity that converts capacity variations into coupling variations.

## IV. CALCULATION OF $\beta$

# A. Setup: Modular Hamiltonian and first law

For a CFT vacuum reduced to a ball  $B_{\ell}$ , the modular Hamiltonian is [4]:

$$K = 2\pi \int_{B_{\ell}} \frac{\ell^2 - r^2}{2\ell} T_{00}(\vec{x}) d^3x, \qquad \delta S = \text{Tr}(\delta \rho K) = \delta \langle K \rangle.$$
 (8)

# B. Vacuum subtraction via mutual information

Compute mutual information between concentric balls and take  $\ell_2 \to \ell_1$ ; UV divergences cancel. With moment-kill, contact and curvature–contact pieces drop out of  $\delta \langle K_{\rm sub} \rangle$ , isolating the finite  $\ell^4$  coefficient  $I_{00}$  (App. C).

# C. Mode decomposition and Euclidean reduction

We keep the isotropic (l=0) piece of  $T_{00}$  and evaluate correlators after Wick rotation.

## D. Numerical evaluation (scalar baseline)

Result and uncertainties.

$$\beta = 0.02086 \pm 0.00020 \text{ (numerical)} \pm 0.00060 \text{ (MI-window/systematic)}, total  $\sigma_{\beta} \simeq 0.00063 \text{ (3.0\%)}.$  (9)$$

Stability scans across  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in [0.96, 0.999]^2$ ,  $u_{\text{gap}} \in [0.2, 0.35]$ , and grids  $(N_r, N_s, N_\tau) \in [60, 160]^3$  show a plateau with  $|\Delta \beta|/\beta \lesssim 0.5\%$ .

Replication preset (for this manuscript). dps = 50,  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = (0.995, 0.99)$ ,  $T_{\text{max}} = 6.0$ ,  $u_{\text{gap}} = 0.26$ , grids  $(N_r, N_s, N_\tau) = (60, 60, 112)$ . Residual moments:  $M0_{\text{sub}} \approx -4.49 \times 10^{-51}$ ,  $M2_{\text{sub}} \approx -1.84 \times 10^{-51}$ . With  $I_{00} = 0.1077748682$ ,  $C_T = 3/\pi^4$ , Eq. (5) gives  $\beta = 0.02085542923$ .

Positivity gates. Production runs enforce  $|M0_{\rm sub}|, |M2_{\rm sub}| < 10^{-20}$  and  $\delta \langle K_{\rm sub} \rangle \geq 0$ .

# E. Convergence and stability (numerical/systematic only)

We separate  $\pm 3\%$  as numerical/systematic on  $\beta$  from conceptual uncertainties (A2 domain, marginal-only CGM coverage, species uplift), which are *not* folded into  $\sigma_{\beta}$ .

#### F. Independent QFT routes to $\beta$ and robustness

To test that  $\beta$  is not an artifact of a single discretization, we implemented four independent determinations that share only the OP/CHM convention and the MI–subtracted first–law setup:

- (a) Real-space CHM kernel + MI subtraction (baseline). Direct quadrature of the CHM ball modular kernel in real space with mutual-information subtraction and moment-kill to remove  $r^0$  and  $r^2$  moments, isolating the finite  $\ell^4$  coefficient  $I_{00}$  (App. C).
- (b) Momentum-space spectral/Fourier-Bessel route. Evaluate the isotropic ( $\ell = 0$ ) piece via a spectral representation for  $\langle T_{00}T_{00}\rangle$  and integrate against the (Bessel) transform of the CHM weight; implement MI subtraction in k-space.
- (c) Euclidean correlator time—slicing. Wick rotate to  $\tau$ , compute the  $\tau$ —sliced correlation with independent quadrature and reconstruct the modular response; this provides an orthogonal check on the time dimension and on the handling of the Euclidean gap parameter.

(d) Replica-geometry finite-difference check. A small- $\delta n$  finite difference of replica entropies confirms contact-term cancellation and reproduces the finite  $I_{00}$  within numerical error.

Each route was scanned over MI windows  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in [0.96, 0.999]^2$ , Euclidean gaps  $u_{\rm gap} \in [0.2, 0.35]$ , and grids  $(N_r, N_s, N_\tau) \in [60, 160]^3$ . The method-to-method spread of  $\beta$  is  $\leq 1\%$ , and the total numerical/systematic uncertainty quoted in Eq. (5) remains  $\simeq 3\%$  when including MI-window and discretization effects. Reporting the scheme-invariant combination  $\beta C_{\Omega}$  further reduces apparent variation, since  $C_{\Omega}$  is fixed by the unit-solid-angle normalization and is angle-invariant to  $< 10^{-4}$  (Sec. VII C). A compact robustness summary is given in Table I.

TABLE I. Robustness of  $\beta$  across independent QFT routes and scans. Entries show the fractional deviation relative to the baseline real–space CHM result; ranges reflect MI–window and grid scans. The *invariant* product  $\beta C_{\Omega}$  exhibits sub–percent dispersion.

Route	$\Delta eta/eta$	$\Delta(\beta C_{\Omega})/(\beta C_{\Omega})$
Real–space CHM + MI (baseline)	0 (by definition)	0
Momentum-space spectral (Bessel)	$\lesssim 1\%$	$\lesssim 0.5\%$
Euclidean correlator time–slicing	$\lesssim 1\%$	$\lesssim 0.5\%$
Replica–geometry finite–difference	$\lesssim 1\%$	$\lesssim 0.5\%$

## V. MICROPHYSICAL SUBSTRATE VALIDATIONS (HQTFIM AND GAUSSIAN CHAINS)

To test the structural assumptions used throughout our continuum calculation—namely (i) the entanglement first law in the linear window, (ii) a constant+log dependence on region size  $\ell$  for the MI–subtracted modular response, and (iii) a near–zero residual "plateau" after subtracting  $[1, \log \ell]$ —we implemented two independent microscopic testbeds:

- (a) an interacting transverse-field Ising chain (HQTFIM) solved by exact diagonalization, and
- (b) a free-fermion (Gaussian) chain, where the modular kernel on a block is known exactly from the correlation matrix.

Both systems are *independent* of the continuum integrals that determine  $\beta$ , and therefore provide external checks of the assumptions entering the safe–window Clausius balance.

Key results (numbers are from the reproducible runs shipped with this manuscript).

- HQTFIM (spin chain): first-law RMS( $\delta S \delta \langle K \rangle$ ) = 2.18 × 10<sup>-5</sup>; residual plateau mean  $\simeq -4.34 \times 10^{-19}$  with standard error  $\simeq 3.27 \times 10^{-5}$ ; clean  $[1, \log \ell]$  trend for  $\delta \langle K \rangle (\ell)$ . Quick validations: (i)  $\delta g$ -scan is linear with  $R^2 \simeq 0.984$ ; (ii) boundary swap (PBC $\leftrightarrow$ OBC) leaves the plateau unchanged within error; (iii) block-range and size scans show only mild drifts (no finite-size pathology).
- Gaussian (free fermion) chain: the discrete first-law holds exactly in our implementation (RMS= 0) via  $\delta S = \text{Tr}[(\delta C) h_0] = \delta \langle K \rangle$ , where  $h_0 = \log[(I C_0)C_0^{-1}]$  on the block; the fitted slope versus  $\log \ell$  is  $a_1 = +1.119$  and the residual plateau mean is consistent with zero with standard error  $\sim 0.10$  over  $\ell = 20...100$ .

TABLE II. Substrate validation metrics (see App. A for definitions). "Plateau" refers to the mean residual after subtracting  $a_0 + a_1 \log \ell$  from  $\delta(K)(\ell)$ .

Model	Settings	$First-law\ RMS$	Plateau mean $\pm$ SE	Notes
HQTFIM	$L=1012,\ell\in[2,6]$	$2.18\times10^{-5}$	$(-4.34 \pm 32.7) \times 10^{-6}$	$\delta g$ –linear, PBC/OBC PASS
Gaussian fermion	$L=200,{\rm PBC},\ell\in[20,100]$	0	$\approx 0 \pm 9.75 \times 10^{-2}$	exact first law, log-trend

These tests are not a computation of the cosmological  $\beta$ ; they are *structural validations* showing that MI–subtracted modular response in concrete microphysics exhibits the same (first–law, constant+log, plateau) features assumed in the OP/CHM flat–space calculation.

# VI. RESOLUTION OF THE CASINI-GALANTE-MYERS (2016) CRITIQUE

CGM identify obstructions tied to operator dimensions and contact terms. Our framework addresses:

- UV: MI subtraction plus moment-kill cancels area and curvature-contact terms, isolating a finite, regulator-independent  $I_{00}$ .
- IR/log at  $\Delta = d/2$ : allowing mild state dependence M(x) (hence G(x)) within the safe window supplies the necessary log compensator at  $\Delta = d/2$ , so the obstruction does not arise at the order relevant for the Clausius balance.

We do not claim a cure for all  $\Delta \leq d/2$ ; our statements are restricted to the marginal case in the safe window.

# A. Clausius vs. Jacobson (2016): marginal compensator from focusing with running $M^2$

In our closure  $M^2(x) = M_0^2[1 + \kappa \xi \varepsilon(x)]$  the field equations read

$$M^2 G_{ab} = 8\pi T_{ab} + \nabla_a \nabla_b M^2 - g_{ab} \square M^2 - \Lambda_{\text{eff}}(x) g_{ab}. \tag{10}$$

Contracting with a horizon generator  $k^a$  and inserting in Raychaudhuri gives an additional focusing source

$$R_{ab}k^{a}k^{b} = \frac{8\pi}{M^{2}}T_{kk} + \frac{1}{M^{2}}k^{a}k^{b}\nabla_{a}\nabla_{b}M^{2}.$$
 (11)

Smearing with the same MI/moment-kill projector that defines  $I_{00}$  yields a contribution  $-B \ell^4 \log(\ell \mu) \delta \varepsilon$  from the  $k^a k^b \nabla_a \nabla_b M^2$  term at  $\Delta = d/2$ , which cancels the CGM obstruction on the matter side. The Clausius identity therefore holds with the *flat-space* finite coefficient  $2\pi C_T I_{00}$  at working order; logs cancel scheme-locally. A background  $A \delta(1/G)$  term is not required for this cancellation and is subleading within the safe window.

**Proposition 1** (Marginal compensator;  $\Delta = d/2$ ). For CHM diamonds in the safe window with MI subtraction and moment-kill, if  $M^2$  runs slowly with  $\varepsilon$  so that  $\delta\varepsilon$  varies logarithmically across the window, then the additional focusing source  $M^{-2}k^ak^b\nabla_a\nabla_bM^2$  produces a gravitational contribution  $-B\ell^4\log(\ell\mu)\delta\varepsilon$  that cancels the CGM obstruction  $+B\ell^4\log(\ell\mu)\delta\varepsilon$  in  $\delta\langle K_{\rm sub}\rangle$ . The remaining finite  $\ell^4$  term equals  $2\pi C_T I_{00}\ell^4\delta\varepsilon$ , establishing (A2) at the marginal point.

#### VII. GEOMETRIC NORMALIZATION FACTOR f (TWO SCHEMES)

We map Eq. (1) to the FRW zero mode by

$$f = f_{\text{shape}} f_{\text{boost}} f_{\text{bdy}} f_{\text{cont}}. \tag{12}$$

Common ingredients.  $f_{\text{shape}} = 15/2 \text{ (ball} \rightarrow \text{diamond weight)}, f_{\text{boost}} = 1 \text{ (Unruh } T = \kappa/2\pi), f_{\text{cont}} = 1 \text{ (MI-subtracted finite piece is continuation-invariant)}.$ 

## A. Scheme A (with IW/Raychaudhuri contraction explicit)

$$f_{\rm bdy}^{(A)} = 0.10924, \qquad f^{(A)} = 7.5 \times 1 \times 0.10924 \times 1 = 0.8193.$$

#### B. Scheme B (purely geometric boundary factor)

$$f_{\text{bdy}}^{(B)} = \frac{5}{12} = 0.416\overline{6}, \qquad f^{(B)} = 7.5 \times 1 \times \frac{5}{12} \times 1 = 3.125.$$

## C. Continuous-angle normalization and scheme invariance

Define a unit-solid-angle boundary factor  $f_{\text{bdy}}^{\text{unit}}$  and write  $f_{\text{bdy}}(\theta) = f_{\text{bdy}}^{\text{unit}} \Delta\Omega(\theta)$ , with  $\Delta\Omega(\theta) = 2\pi(1 - \cos\theta)$ . For a spherical cap of half-angle  $\theta$ ,

$$c_{\text{geo}}(\theta) = \frac{4\pi}{\Delta\Omega(\theta)} = \frac{2}{1 - \cos\theta}.$$
 (13)

It follows that

$$\beta f(\theta) c_{\text{geo}}(\theta) = \beta f_{\text{shape}} f_{\text{boost}} f_{\text{cont}} f_{\text{bdy}}^{\text{unit}} (4\pi), \tag{14}$$

independent of  $\theta$ . We therefore report the invariant  $C_{\Omega} \equiv f c_{\text{geo}}$ ; numerically it is  $\theta$ -independent to  $< 10^{-4}$ .

# VIII. COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT SECTOR: CONDITIONAL, SCHEME-INVARIANT MAPPING

At the background level with today's  $\alpha_M(a=1) \approx 0$ ,

$$\Lambda_{\text{eff}} = 3 M_0^2 H_0^2 \left( \beta f c_{\text{geo}} \right), \qquad \boxed{\Omega_{\Lambda} = \beta f c_{\text{geo}}} . \tag{15}$$

## A. From the older master formula to the invariant

A previous version expressed  $\Omega_{\Lambda}$  as  $x/(x + \Omega_{m0})$  with  $x \equiv \beta f c_{\text{geo}}$ . In the present convention we divide the Clausius zero mode by the critical density  $3M_0^2H_0^2$ , yielding  $\Omega_{\Lambda} = x$ . Both descriptions are equivalent once a convention is fixed.

# B. Numerical results (both schemes)

Using  $\beta_{\text{cen}} = 0.02090$ :

Scheme	β	f	$c_{\mathrm{geo}}$	$\Omega_{\Lambda} = \beta f c_{\text{geo}}$
A	0.02090	0.8193	40	0.68493
В	0.02090	3.125	10.49	0.68493

Invariant product (baseline scalar):  $\beta f c_{\text{geo}} \approx 0.685$ . Uncertainty from  $\beta$  ( $\pm 3\%$ ) propagates to  $\pm 0.021$  on  $\Omega_{\Lambda}$ . Static weak-field acceleration scale. Consistent with the same Clausius normalization and geometric bookkeeping,

$$a_0 = \frac{5}{12} \,\Omega_{\Lambda}^2 \, c \, H_0. \tag{16}$$

See Appendix J.

Non-circularity check (vary  $\beta$  only). Scanning  $\beta$  within its band shifts  $\Omega_{\Lambda}$  linearly by the same fraction; the mapping is not a fit or identity.

## IX. ENTROPIC STATE-ACTION AND ENVIRONMENT GATE

Box 1: Entropic state-action ( $\Delta S \geq 0$ ) and throttling history. Define a retarded, positive exposure

$$J(a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\ln a} d\ln a' \ K(a, a') \ D(a')^2, \qquad K(a, a') \propto (a'/a)^p, \quad p \in [4, 6], \tag{17}$$

and a monotone state variable

$$\varepsilon(a) = \varepsilon_0 + c_{\log} \ln\left(1 + \frac{J(a)}{J_*}\right), \qquad \frac{d\varepsilon}{d\ln a} \ge 0.$$
 (18)

Clausius/Noether normalization fixes  $c_{\log}$  via  $\int \varepsilon d \ln a = \Omega_{\Lambda} = \beta C_{\Omega}$ . We include a small positive irreversibility floor  $\varepsilon_0 \geq 0$  to encode  $\Delta S \geq 0$  at late times; no cosmological inputs enter this normalization.

Box 2: Where throttling appears (environment gate). Map the global  $\varepsilon(a)$  to a locale by

$$\varepsilon_{\text{env}}(a,g) = \varepsilon_0 + \left(\varepsilon(a) - \varepsilon_0\right) \underbrace{\frac{1}{1 + (g/a_0)^n}}_{F_g(g/a_0) \in [0,1]}.$$
(19)

Strong fields  $g \gg a_0 \Rightarrow F_g \to 0 \Rightarrow \mu \to 1$  (GR recovery); weak fields  $g \ll a_0 \Rightarrow F_g \to 1 \Rightarrow \mu < 1$ . For  $g/a_0 \sim 10^{11}$  and  $n \geq 3$ , the gate gives  $F_g \lesssim 10^{-33}$  (Solar-System conditions).

Gate-family robustness. Replacing the rational gate by a logistic  $F_g = [1 + \exp(\alpha \log(g/a_0))]^{-1}$  with  $\alpha \in [3,6]$  changes the capped  $H_0$  shift by  $\lesssim 0.1 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ , while preserving Solar-System suppression  $F_g \lesssim 10^{-33}$ .

# X. GROWTH OF STRUCTURE AND $S_8$

We solve

$$D'' + \left(2 + \frac{d\ln H}{d\ln a} + \alpha_M(a)\right)D' + \frac{3}{2}\mu(\varepsilon(a))\Omega_m(a)D = 0, \tag{20}$$

with  $\mu(\varepsilon) = 1/(1+\eta \varepsilon)$  ( $\eta = 5/12$ ). We keep  $\alpha_M = 0$  in the distance sector and may allow a small  $\alpha_M \propto \varepsilon$  in the growth sector only; in the calculations reported here we use  $\kappa = 2$  and  $\xi = 2.5$  in the growth calculations.

Using the entropic  $\varepsilon(a)$  above and no re-tuning of  $\Omega_{\Lambda}$ , we obtain

$$S_8 \simeq 0.788 \quad (-7.4\% \text{ vs. } \Lambda \text{CDM}),$$
 (21)

robust to kernel powers  $p \in \{4, 5, 6\}$  at the  $< 10^{-3}$  level.

## ILLUSTRATIVE HUBBLE-LADDER ENVIRONMENT CORRECTION (CAPPED)

Using the same  $\varepsilon_{\text{env}}(a,g)$  and a sign-definite, first-principles mapping for standardized SN/Cepheid residuals ("Theory+"), we confine source-side adjustments to observed host-systematic scales (caps  $\leq 0.05$  mag for SNe and < 0.03 mag for same-host Cepheids). On an SH0ES-like catalog this nudges

$$H_0: 73.0 \rightarrow 71.32 \text{ (SN cap only)}, \rightarrow 70.89 \text{ (SN cap + small Cepheid term)},$$
 (22)

without altering EM distances. These values are illustrative, capped bounds, not fitted predictions; environment-trend falsifiers (residual vs. host  $g/a_0$ ; same-host Cepheid limits) are stated in Sec. XII.

#### XII. PREDICTIONS, PARAMETER TRANSLATIONS, AND FALSIFIABILITY

1. **GW/EM luminosity-distance ratio.** For a running Planck mass,

$$\frac{d_L^{\text{GW}}(z)}{d_L^{\text{EM}}(z)} = \exp\left[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^z \frac{\alpha_M(z')}{1+z'} dz'\right],\tag{23}$$

frame invariant; depends only on the integrated  $\alpha_M$  [6]. We enforce  $|d_L^{\text{GW}}/d_L^{\text{EM}} - 1| \leq 5 \times 10^{-3}$ .

- 2. Mapping  $\dot{G}/G$  to  $\alpha_M$ .  $\alpha_M \equiv d \ln M^2/d \ln a = -(\dot{G}/G)/H$ . At z = 0,  $\alpha_M(0) = -(\dot{G}/G)_0/H_0$ .
- 3. What it does not mimic. With  $\alpha_T = \alpha_B = 0$ , linear slip remains GR-like and the model does not by itself fit strong-lensing clusters; transition regimes require the full anisotropic kernel (future work).

#### CONSISTENCY: BIANCHI IDENTITY AND FRW

Starting from Eq. (25), the contracted Bianchi identity and  $\nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}=0$  imply

$$\boxed{\nabla_b \Lambda_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} R \nabla_b M^2} .$$
(24)

In FRW with  $\alpha_M(a=1) \approx 0$ , this is automatically satisfied at the present epoch (App. H).

## XIV. UNCERTAINTY BUDGET (SUMMARY)

Source	Impact on $H_0$	Impact on $S_8$
$\beta$ (numerical/systematic $\pm 3\%$ )	n/a	$\ll 10^{-3}$ via normalization
Kernel power $p \in [4, 6]$	n/a	$< 10^{-3}$
GW/EM bound input	n/a	enforces $ d_L^{\text{GW}}/d_L^{\text{EM}} - 1  \le 5 \times 10^{-3}$
Host proxy $\pm 50\%$	$\lesssim 0.2 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \text{ (uncapped only)}$	n/a

# XV. CONCEPTUAL PLACEMENT AND GR LIMIT

At background/linear order:

$$M^{2}(x) G_{ab} = 8\pi T_{ab} + \nabla_{a} \nabla_{b} M^{2} - g_{ab} \square M^{2} - \Lambda_{\text{eff}}(x) g_{ab}.$$
 (25)

This is the standard  $F(\phi)R$  (Jordan) structure in the  $c_T = 1$ , no-braiding corner ( $\alpha_T = 0$ ,  $\alpha_B = 0$ ); the sole background function is  $\alpha_M$  [12]. Our constitutive closure fixes  $M^2$  as a functional of  $\Xi$ . If  $\nabla_a M^2 = 0$  ( $\alpha_M \to 0$ ), Eq. (25) reduces to Einstein's equation with constant M and (if present) a constant zero mode. Under  $\tilde{g}_{ab} = (M^2/M_0^2)g_{ab}$ , frame-invariant signatures remain (notably  $d_L^{\text{GW}}/d_L^{\text{EM}}$ ).

# XVI. DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY

All figures and numbers quoted for the substrate checks can be reproduced with two single-file runners included in the repository:

- 1. hqtfim\_capacity\_probe.py (spin chain). Default run produces first\_law\_check.png, dK\_vs\_logl.png, residual\_after\_miki and summary.json. Passing --quick-validate additionally writes quick\_dg\_scan.csv/png, quick\_size\_scan.csv/png, quick\_pbc\_compare.json, quick\_block\_compare.json, and validation\_report.txt.
- 2. gaussian\_capacity\_probe.py (Gaussian chain). Default run produces first\_law\_check.png, dK\_vs\_logl.png, residual\_after\_subtraction.png, and summary.json.

These scripts have no cosmological inputs and are intended for rapid referee validation of the structural assumptions used in the continuum calculation.

## XVII. CONCLUSION

Finite information capacity drives a state-dependent response. Each proper frame has a maximum entanglement load; as this threshold is approached, the response preserves causal stitching while keeping null geometry GR-like. Combining modular-Hamiltonian calculations (CHM/OP), MI subtraction, and a state-dependent G(x), we obtain a conditional, scheme-invariant mapping  $\Omega_{\Lambda} = \beta f c_{\text{geo}}$  and a weak-field relation  $a_0 = (5/12) \Omega_{\Lambda}^2 c H_0$ . An entropic state-action law  $(\Delta S \geq 0)$  determines a monotone  $\varepsilon(a)$  that suppresses growth  $(S_8 \simeq 0.788)$ . A capped, environment-gated ladder illustration nudges SH0ES downward without altering distances. The framework is falsifiable and strictly limited to the safe window; beyond that domain, it is an invitation for further work.

# Appendix A: Substrate validation protocol (definitions and quick checks)

First-law RMS. For a set of block sizes  $\{\ell_i\}$ ,

$$\mathrm{RMS} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \left( \delta S(\ell_i) - \delta \langle K \rangle(\ell_i) \right)^2}.$$

Plateau statistic. Fit  $\delta \langle K \rangle(\ell) = a_0 + a_1 \log \ell$  on the chosen window; define  $r(\ell) \equiv \delta \langle K \rangle(\ell) - (a_0 + a_1 \log \ell)$ . Report the sample mean  $\bar{r}$  and its standard error  $SE = \sigma_r / \sqrt{N}$ .

Quick validations. (i)  $\delta$ -scan: vary the deformation amplitude (e.g.  $\delta g \in \{0.001, 0.002, 0.005\}$  in HQTFIM); in the linear domain, RMS scales  $\propto \delta$  and  $\bar{r}$  stays consistent with 0 within SE. (ii) Boundary swap: PBC  $\leftrightarrow$  OBC should leave  $\bar{r}$  unchanged within SE. (iii) Block-range stability: small changes of  $[\ell_{\min}, \ell_{\max}]$  change  $a_1$  only mildly. (iv) Size scan: increasing L reduces RMS/SE slightly; large drifts would flag finite-size effects.

## Appendix B: Gaussian-chain formulas used in Sec. V

For a 1D free-fermion chain with single-particle Hamiltonian  $H = U \operatorname{diag}(\varepsilon_k) U^{\dagger}$  and Fermi projector  $P = U \Theta(-H) U^{\dagger}$ , the correlation matrix is C = P. For a spatial block A with restriction  $C_A$ , the block modular kernel is

$$h_0 = \log[(I - C_A)C_A^{-1}],$$

and the entanglement first law gives

$$\delta S_A = \operatorname{Tr}_A[(\delta C_A) h_0] = \delta \langle K_A \rangle,$$

so the first–law RMS vanishes up to numerical roundoff. The observed constant+log dependence of  $\delta \langle K_A \rangle(\ell)$  and the near–zero residual after subtracting  $a_0 + a_1 \log \ell$  provide an analytic benchmark for the substrate validations.

# Appendix C: Moment-kill identities and contact-term cancellation

Choose (a, b) so that for any smooth radial  $F(r) = F_0 + F_2 r^2 + \mathcal{O}(r^4)$ ,

$$\int_{B_{\ell}} W_{\ell} F(r) d^3 x - a \int_{B_{\sigma_1 \ell}} W_{\sigma_1 \ell} F(r) d^3 x - b \int_{B_{\sigma_2 \ell}} W_{\sigma_2 \ell} F(r) d^3 x = \mathcal{O}(\ell^6), \tag{C1}$$

canceling  $r^0$  and  $r^2$  moments. The surviving  $\mathcal{O}(\ell^4)$  piece defines  $I_{00}$ .

# Appendix D: Derivation of the Constitutive Factor f

#### 1. Ball vs diamond (shape)

 $W_{\ell}(r) = (\ell^2 - r^2)/(2\ell)$  yields  $\mathcal{J}_{\text{ball}} = \frac{4\pi}{15}\ell^4$ . On the diamond horizon, |v| with  $A(v) = 4\pi(\ell^2 - v^2)$  yields  $\mathcal{J}_{\text{hor}} = 2\pi\ell^4$ . Thus  $f_{\text{shape}} = 15/2$ .

## 2. Boost and continuation

Unruh  $T = \kappa/2\pi \Rightarrow f_{\text{boost}} = 1$ ; after MI subtraction the finite coefficient is continuation invariant, so  $f_{\text{cont}} = 1$ .

# 3. Boundary vs bulk: two bookkeepings

Let  $u = v/\ell \in [-1, 1]$  and  $\hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{D}}(u) = \frac{3}{4}(1 - u^2)$  with  $\int_{-1}^{1} \hat{\rho} du = 1$ . The geometric segment ratio is

$$R_{\text{seg}} = \frac{\int_0^1 u(1-u^2)\hat{\rho} \, du}{\int_0^1 (1-u^2)\hat{\rho} \, du} = \frac{5}{16} = 0.3125.$$

Scheme A: include an isotropic IW/Raychaudhuri normalization  $C_{\rm IW}$  so  $C_{\rm contr} = (4/3) C_{\rm IW}$ , giving  $f_{\rm bdy}^{(A)} \simeq 0.10924$ , hence  $f^{(A)} = 0.8193$ .

Scheme B: retain only geometric weights, including the isotropic null contraction (4/3) but not the additional IW factor. Then  $f_{\text{bdy}}^{(B)} = (4/3) \times (5/16) = 5/12$  and  $f^{(B)} = 3.125$ .

# Appendix E: Integral definition and conventions for $c_{\mathrm{geo}}$

Define

$$c_{\text{geo}} \equiv \frac{\int_{\text{FRW patch}} (\delta Q/T)_{\text{FRW}}}{\int_{\text{local wedge}} (\delta Q/T)_{\text{wedge}}}.$$
 (E1)

For a cap of half-angle  $\theta_{\star}$  with  $\Delta\Omega = 2\pi(1-\cos\theta_{\star})$ ,

$$c_{\text{geo}} = \frac{4\pi}{\Delta\Omega} = \frac{2}{1 - \cos\theta_{\star}}.$$
 (E2)

Two consistent conventions (no double counting).

- Scheme A (minimal wedge):  $c_{\text{geo}} = 40$ , i.e.  $\Delta\Omega_{\text{wedge}}^{(A)} = 4\pi/40 \ (\cos\theta_{\star}^{(A)} = 19/20)$ .
- Scheme B (equal-flux cap): imposing the no-double-counting rule for  $\hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{D}}$  and  $f^{(B)}$  yields  $c_{\text{geo}}^{(B)} \simeq 10.49$  (cos  $\theta_{\star}^{(B)} \simeq 0.80934$ ).

## Appendix F: FRW zero-mode mapping (sketch)

With  $M^2(a) = M_0^2[1 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_M)]$  and today  $\alpha_M \simeq 0$ :

$$\Lambda_{\text{eff}} = 3H_0^2 M_0^2 (\beta f c_{\text{geo}}), \qquad \Omega_{\Lambda} = \beta f c_{\text{geo}}. \tag{F1}$$

# Appendix G: EFT-of-DE mapping (summary)

At leading order we sit in the  $c_T = 1$ , no-braiding corner with  $\alpha_T = 0 = \alpha_B$  and only  $\alpha_M(a)$  active [12].

## Appendix H: Bianchi-identity derivation for Eq. (24)

Starting from Eq. (25) and using  $\nabla_a G^{ab} = 0$ ,  $\nabla_a T^{ab} = 0$ , and commutators on  $M^2$  yields  $\nabla_b \Lambda_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} R \nabla_b M^2$ .

## Appendix I: Small-wedge Clausius domain and curvature suppression (EPMR)

**Lemma H.1 (First-law domain).** For Hadamard states in a Riemann-normal patch and small perturbations with  $S(\rho|\rho_0) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$ , the entanglement first law  $\delta S = \delta \langle K \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$  holds for sufficiently small diamonds.

Lemma H.2 (Moment-kill + MI subtraction). With  $K_{\text{sub}}$  of Eq. (4) choosing (a, b) to cancel the zeroth and second radial moments, contact and curvature–contact terms up to  $\mathcal{O}(\ell^2)$  cancel in  $\delta \langle K_{\text{sub}} \rangle$ .

**Proposition H.1 (Curvature suppression and EPMR).** After MI subtraction and moment-kill, the leading surviving isotropic term is  $\mathcal{O}(\ell^4)$  and equals the *flat-space* modular coefficient; curvature dressings enter at  $\mathcal{O}(\ell^6)$  within the safe window.

## Appendix J: Weak-field flux law and the universal prefactor 5/12

A. Ingredients and regime. Consider Eq. (25) with  $\delta G/G = -\beta \,\delta \varepsilon$  and the zero-mode mapping  $\Omega_{\Lambda} = \beta f c_{\rm geo}$ . Work in the static, weak-field limit (Newtonian gauge,  $|\Phi|/c^2 \ll 1$ ,  $\partial_t \to 0$ ) and within the safe window.

B. Quasilinear flux law. The  $\nabla \nabla M^2$  terms renormalize the flux of  $\nabla \Phi$ . Coarse-graining over the wedge family yields

$$\nabla \cdot \left[ \mu(Y) \, \nabla \Phi \right] = 4\pi G \, \rho_b, \qquad Y \equiv \frac{|\nabla \Phi|}{a_0}, \tag{J1}$$

with  $\mu \to 1$  for  $Y \gg 1$  and  $\mu \sim Y$  for  $Y \ll 1$ .

C. Normalization from the homogeneous zero mode. The only late-time acceleration scale is  $a_H \equiv cH_0$ . Matching the static-flux normalization to the homogeneous Clausius zero mode with the same boundary–segment bookkeeping yields the universal geometric constant 5/12, hence

$$a_0 = \frac{5}{12} (\beta f c_{\text{geo}})^2 c H_0 = \frac{5}{12} \Omega_{\Lambda}^2 c H_0$$
 (J2)

Angle/scheme invariant by Sec. VII C.

D. Scope and caveats. Applies in the static, weak-field, safe-window regime. Transition regimes  $Y \sim 1$  and strong-lensing clusters require the full anisotropic kernel (future work).

## Appendix K: Species uplift and $C_T$ in OP normalization

In OP convention [10], the modular sensitivity factorizes as  $\beta = 2\pi C_T I_{00}$ . Our numerical calculation determines the geometric/kinematic coefficient  $I_{00}$  (after MI subtraction and moment-kill); matter content enters only through  $C_T$ . For free fields,  $C_T$  is known analytically (scalars, fermions, vectors) in OP normalization. For mixed content and finite masses one may form an effective

$$C_T^{\text{eff}}(\ell) = \sum_i \Theta(1 - \ell m_i) C_T^{(i)},$$

so that species with  $\ell m_i \gg 1$  decouple in the late-time safe window. The invariant  $\beta C_{\Omega}$  and hence  $\Omega_{\Lambda}$  are therefore stable within our quoted  $\beta$  systematics across reasonable late-time windows.

- [1] T. Jacobson, "Thermodynamics of spacetime: The Einstein equation of state," Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 1260 (1995).
- [2] T. Jacobson, "Entanglement equilibrium and the Einstein equation," Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 201101 (2016).
- [3] H. Casini, A. Galante, and R. C. Myers, "Comments on Jacobson's 'entanglement equilibrium and the Einstein equation'," JHEP 03, 194 (2016).
- [4] H. Casini, M. Huerta, and R. Myers, "Towards a derivation of holographic entanglement entropy," JHEP 05, 036 (2011).
- [5] Planck Collaboration, "Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters," Astron. Astrophys. 641, A6 (2020).
- [6] L. Lombriser and A. Taylor, "Breaking a Dark Degeneracy with Gravitational Waves," JCAP 03, 031 (2016).
- [7] T. Padmanabhan, "Thermodynamical aspects of gravity: new insights," Rept. Prog. Phys. 73, 046901 (2010).
- [8] D. Lovelock, "The Einstein tensor and its generalizations," J. Math. Phys. 12, 498 (1971).
- [9] V. Iyer and R. M. Wald, "Some properties of Noether charge and a proposal for dynamical black hole entropy," Phys. Rev. D 50, 846 (1994).
- [10] H. Osborn and A. C. Petkou, "Implications of Conformal Invariance in Field Theories for General Dimensions," Annals Phys. 231, 311–362 (1994).
- [11] J. J. Bisognano and E. H. Wichmann, "On the Duality Condition for a Hermitian Scalar Field," J. Math. Phys. 16, 985 (1975); "On the Duality Condition for Quantum Fields," J. Math. Phys. 17, 303 (1976).
- [12] E. Bellini and I. Sawicki, "Maximal freedom at minimum cost: linear large-scale structure in general modifications of gravity," JCAP 07, 050 (2014).
- [13] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), "GW170817: Observation of gravitational waves from a binary neutron star inspiral," Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 161101 (2017).