

Gaussian beam optics

Corinna Elena Wegner, Garen Gregorian

June 8, 2022

Contents

1	Einleitung	3
1.1	Dies ist eine Überschrift zweiten Ranges	3
2	Measuring the power of the laser	3
3	Coupling the optical fibre cables	3
4	Measuring the beam profile	4
5	Optical resonator	8

1 Einleitung

1.1 Dies ist eine Überschrift zweiten Ranges

in diesem Versuch soll das Intensitätsprofil von Gaußschen Strahlen vermessen und die Auswirkungen von optischen Elementen untersucht werden

Genereller Versuchsaufbau mit Skizze

2 Measuring the power of the laser

In the first part we measured the power of the laser itself. First, we put a photodiode with internal resistance ??? after the first passive reflector and measured the voltage. We measured $U = (1.2 \pm 0.1)V$ using the ??? photodiode. Then we turned the laser off and measured again at the same position to eliminate the background light (the windows were covered by curtains). We measured $U_b = (1.2 \pm 0.1)mV$ using the ??? photodiode. (This is not enough, and explain if we used different diodes)

Calculating the power from the voltage When the beam hits the diode, the multimeter detects a voltage U . For photodiodes, the relation between the power and light current ist given by

$$P = \frac{hfI}{e\eta} \quad (1)$$

Here, $h = 6.62607015 \cdot 10^{-34}Js$ is the planck constant, $e = 1.602176634 \cdot 10^{-19}C$ the charge of an electron, I is the current and $\eta = 0.75$ the quantum efficiency of the photodiode. We can replace the frequency f in the formula by the corresponding wavelength $\lambda = 632.8nm$ of the laser using $c = \lambda f$, with the vacuum speed of light $c = 299792458 \frac{m}{s}$. Using ohm's law $U = R \cdot I$ we eliminate the current I and obtain

$$P = \frac{hcU}{\lambda R e \eta} \quad (2)$$

Wie Formeln nummerieren? Quellenverzeichnis! <https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quantenausbeute>

The resistance R can be read from the photodiode. In the experiment we used two diodes, mainly diode 1 with $R = 10k\Omega$. Diode 2 has $R = 100k\Omega$.

Fehlerquellen: Lichtstrahl hat Diode nicht perfekt fokussiert, verluste?

3 Coupling the optical fibre cables

After determining the power of the laser we coupled the fibre optic cables into the coupler in the optical path. First, we coupled the multimode cable and

adjusted the optical elements such that the conduction was optimized. By varying the angles a little we tried to see different modes on a piece of paper, put behind the cable. Unlike our expectations we could not identify them, instead the dot on the paper disappeared, because too few light was conducted through the cable. We could however see on the paper a dot with a small dark hole in its center, just like the shape of a donut mode. It is also possible that the small hole was a dust crumb on the lens whatsoever. Unfortunately it was not possible to take a picture of the dot which shows more than a diffuse point, because the mobile phone cameras could not work with the light conditions.

Now we measured the voltage from the photodiode behind the cables. Therefore we focused the beam into the photodiode, using another lens. For the multimode cable we measured a maximum voltage of $U = 209mV$, but the value fluctuated a lot (about 20mV just from touching the table). After coupling the single mode cable we measured $U = (106 \pm 5)mV$. This is less than for the multimode cable, which makes sense because there is only one mode lead through the single mode cable. With the resistance of the used photodiode, $R = 10k\Omega$, we can calculate the percentage of the power lead through the cable:

Fehlerquellen: -kabel Beschädigt: keine perfekte Leitung durch kabel, Fehler aus TV1

4 Measuring the beam profile

In this experiment we measured the intensity of the laser light that is emitted by the fibre. We cut off some of the beam with a razor blade to see how much voltage is still measured by the photodiode. Thereby we obtained a profile of the beam cross section. After that we put a lens ($f = 100mm$) behind the fibre end so that the beam was focused at the focal point. We measured the profile of the beam at different positions between the two lenses (the second lens ($f = 50mm$) focuses the beam into the photodiode). Near the focal point of the first lens, where the waist of the gaussian beam lies, we measured three times. To eliminate influences from ambient light, we normalized the voltage signal with the other photodiode.

The total power the photodiode is detecting depends on the position of the razor blade x and is given by:


$$P(x) = \int_x^\infty dx' I_0 e^{-\frac{2(x'-x_0)^2}{\omega^2}}. \quad (3)$$

Beantworten: Welches Brechungsindexprofil müßte eine Glasfaser aufweisen, damit die Faser eine ideale Gauß-Mode führt?

Measuring the cross section profile without focusing the beam To calculate the beam radius $\omega(z)$ we fitted the data of our measurement a (see

annex) **Anhang hinzufügen** to the power integral. In order to do this we calculated the power from the voltage using eq.

Formelnummerierung



The first two measurements of the series with $Distance_{razorblade-fibreend} = 8.3cm$ seemed to fall out of line. When doing the fit, the curve (red dashed) also looked inappropriate. Presumably we measured these points too early, namely not at the point right before the power falls off (i.e. the maximum). Therefore we decided to leave them out of the fitting, which lead to a much better result (see figure). **which figure number?** The resulting parameters from the fitting are:

For $Distance_{razorblade-fibreend} = 11.0cm$ the waist is negative, which is impossible and therefore we left this value out in the calculation of the average waist. Since this measurement series was taken at the furthest point from the beam origin, we assume that generally the influence of error sources are much higher than for measurements taken close to the beam origin.

include parameters of csv datei a;Interpretation! Ziel war, ω zu bestimmen.;alle zahlenwerte;messungenauigkeiten;Fehlerquelle: streuendes licht; Am Ende Prüfen ob im Pythoncode die richtigen formeln benutzt wurden, outputs von pythoncode einfügen und diskutieren

Measuring the cross section profile with lens ($f = 100mm$) We measured the beam profile using the razor blade technique at seven distances from the lens. Three measurements were taken near the focal point because here we expected the waist ω_0 , i.e. the minimum of the beam radius $\omega(z)$. They are

related by the equation:

$$\omega(z) = \omega_0 \sqrt{1 + \frac{z^2}{z_R^2}}, \quad (4)$$

The razorblade positions z from which we measured the beam profile are $-(7.0 \pm 0.2)cm$, $-(4.0 \pm 0.2)cm$, $-(1.0 \pm 0.2)cm$, $(0.0 \pm 0.2)cm$, $(1.0 \pm 0.2)cm$, $(4.3 \pm 0.2)cm$ and $(7.0 \pm 0.2)cm$, where $z = 0$ is the focal point. For better clearness we plotted the data near focal point in an extra plot. The plots show the calculated powers (eq.) from the measuring data along with the corresponding Fit to the gaussian integral (eq.). From the fit we obtained I_0 and $\omega(z)$.



formelnummerierung und Daten aus pythoncode einfügen The value $\omega(7cm) = \dots$ is negative and therefore illogical. Therefore we left it out from further calculations. Again this measurement series was taken from the furthest point from the fibre end, so we assume generally higher influence from error sources of any kind


We fitted the values $\omega(z)$ to eq. $\omega(z) = \omega_0 \sqrt{1 + \frac{z^2}{z_R^2}}$ and eventually obtained the waist ω_0 and rayleigh length z_R as fit parameters: **include results** where

$$z_R = \frac{\pi \omega_0^2 n}{\lambda} \quad (5)$$

is the rayleigh length, at which the beam radius is $\sqrt{2}\omega_0$. In our case, $n = 1$ is the refraction index of the medium (air) and the wavelength of the laser is $\lambda = 632.8nm$.

Again, we fitted the measurement series for each razor-lens-distance z to the power integral and obtained the local $\omega(z)$. Then we fitted these together with the corresponding z to eq. **nummerierung**. From that we obtained the waist $\omega_0 = \dots$ The resulting rayleigh length is **zR ...**





Wie müssen Sie eine plankonvexe Linse in diesem Fall orientieren, wenn Sie den Einfluß von Linsenfehlern möglichst gering halten wollen?

Beschreibung Plot: Messdaten: Messungenauigkeiten Ursachen: -At the focal point the multimeter display showed strong fluctuations

5 Optical resonator

In the last part we constructed an optical resonator and detected the periodic signal of the beam that went through it with an oscilloscope. To do this we focused the beam on a lens 1 ($f = 100\text{mm}$). Then we focused that on the movable reflector 1 with radius $r = 50\text{mm}$. In a distance $L = r$ (confocal arrangement) behind it we then positioned reflector 2 (same radius). The distance between lens 1 and reflector 1 is at first 50mm , so that the focal point of lens 1 lies exactly in the center of the optical resonator. At last we focused the beam into the center of a lens 2 and then into the photodiode with $R = 100k\Omega$ (such that the signal is stronger), which we connected to the oscilloscope.

The measured signal looks like this: Bild einfügen, auch eine Skizze vom Versuchsaufbau

Determining the transmission of the two reflectors