# Statistics 2 Unit 6 Team 8

Nikolaos Kornilakis

Rodrigo Viale — Jakub Trnan Luis Diego Pena Monge Aleksandra Daneva

2024-05-01

# Exercise 119

First of all, notice that the  $\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^+ X'y$  provides a solution to the least squares problem as  $X(X'X)^+ X'$  is the orthogonal projector into the column space of X, and the above can be rewritten as  $X\hat{\beta} = X(X'X)^+ X'y$ . Note that this column space is not spanned by all columns as otherwise X would have full rank.

Now, to see that this is the minimum norm estimator, let  $\beta$  be another solution to the minimization of the squared norm, then:

$$\beta = \hat{\beta} + (\beta - \hat{\beta})$$

Notice that:

$$X = U_r D_r V_r' \implies X' = V_r D_r U_r'$$

$$\implies (X'X)^+ X' = V_r D_r^{-2} V_r' V_r D_r U_r = V_r D_r^{-1} U_r'$$

$$\implies (X'X)^+ X' X = I$$

Now:

$$\hat{\beta}'(\beta - \hat{\beta}) = \hat{\beta}'(X'X)^{+}X'X(\beta - \hat{\beta}) = \hat{\beta}'0 = 0$$

This means that  $\hat{\beta}$  and  $(\beta - \hat{\beta})$  are orthogonal. In other words, due to orthogonality:

$$||\beta||^2 = ||\hat{\beta}||^2 + ||\beta - \hat{\beta}||^2$$

We therefore minimize the norm of  $\beta$  by minimizing the right hand side, which happens when  $\beta = \hat{\beta}$ . Therefore,  $\hat{\beta}$  is the minimum norm estimator.

### Exercise 120

Recall if X has full rank, then X'X has full rank and  $\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$ . Let X = QR be the QR decomposition of X, where Q is orthogonal and R upper triangular. Then we can calculate X''s QR decomposition as well as X' = R'Q'.

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$$

$$= (R'Q'QR)^{-1}R'Q'y$$

$$= (R'R)^{-1}R'Q'y$$

$$= R^{-1}(R')^{-1}R'Q'y$$

$$= R^{-1}Q'y$$

The above is completely equivalent to having:

$$R\hat{\beta} = Q'y$$

Notice R is known and upper triangular, and Q'y is also known. This means that the system can be easily and efficiently solved for  $\hat{\beta}$  using backward solve.

### Exercise 121

Let  $\tilde{\beta} = AY$  be an unbiased linear estimator of  $\beta$  and  $\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$ . Then notice that:

$$\begin{split} cov(\hat{\beta}) &= cov((X'X)^{-1}X'y) \\ &= (X'X)^{-1}X'cov(y)((X'X)^{-1}X')' \\ cov(\tilde{\beta}) &= cov(Ay) = Acov(y)A' = \sigma^2AA' \\ &= (X'X)^{-1}X'cov(y)X(X'X)^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1}X'X(X'X)^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1} \end{split}$$

This means then that:

$$cov(\tilde{\beta}) - cov(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2(AA' - (X'X)^{-1})$$

Since  $\sigma^2$  is just a positive number we will ignore it and it won't change the results from now on. Let  $z \in \mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{0\}$ . Recall that, as seen in class, for any unbiased linear estimator we must have that AX = I. Then:

$$z'AA'z - z'(X'X)^{-1}z = z'AA'z - Z'AX(X'X)^{-1}X'A'z$$
$$= z'AA'z - z'AP_XA'z$$
$$= z'A(1 - P_X)A'z$$

Now from Lemma 8.1 from Abramovich and Ritov,  $1 - P_X$  is non-negative definite, and therefore we have that:

$$z'AA'z - z'(X'X)^{-1}z \ge 0 \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^p$$

Which means it is non-negative definite. Let's check equality sufficiency and necessity:

- $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose  $\tilde{\beta} = \hat{\beta}$ , then the equality is trivial.
- $(\Leftarrow)$  Suppose  $cov(\tilde{\beta}) = cov(\hat{\beta})$ . Then  $AA' = (X'X)^{-1}$ . Then we have that:

$$\tilde{\beta} = Ay = AA'X'y = (X'X)^{-1}X'y = \hat{\beta}$$

Let  $y \sim N(X\beta, \sigma^2 I_n)$ . Then:

$$f(y|\theta) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} det(\sigma^2 I_n)^{-1/2} \exp\left(\frac{-1}{2} (y - X\beta)'(\sigma^2 I_n)^{-1} (y - X\beta)\right)$$

Recall  $(\sigma^2 I_n)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} I_n$ . Then:

$$(y - X\beta)(\sigma^2 I_n)^{-1}(y - X\beta) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}(y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sigma^2}(y'y - 2\beta'X'y + (X\beta)'(X\beta))$$

Then:

$$f(y|\theta) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} det(\sigma^2 I_n)^{-1/2} \exp\left(\frac{-y'y}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\beta'}{\sigma^2} X'y - \frac{(X\beta)'(X\beta)}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

Now notice that:

$$\frac{\beta'}{\sigma^2}X'y = \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{\beta_j}{\sigma^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_{ij} y_i\right)$$

Then we can define:

$$c_{j}(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta_{j}}{\sigma^{2}} & j \in \{1, ..., p\} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} & j = p + 1 \end{cases} \qquad T_{j}(y) = \begin{cases} (X'y)_{j} & j \in \{1, ..., p\} \\ \frac{-1}{2}y'y & j = p + 1 \end{cases}$$

Then we have that:

$$f(y|\theta) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} det(\sigma^2 I_n)^{-1/2} \exp\left(\frac{-y'y}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\beta'}{\sigma^2} X'y - \frac{(X\beta)'(X\beta)}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n \exp\left(\frac{-y'y}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\beta'}{\sigma^2} X'y - \frac{(X\beta)'(X\beta)}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p+1} c_j(\theta) T_j(y) + \frac{(X\beta)'X\beta}{2\sigma^2} + n \ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right) - n \ln(\sigma)\right)$$

Define:

$$d(\theta) = \frac{(X\beta)'X\beta}{2\sigma^2} - n\ln(\sigma)$$
  $s(y) = n\ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)$ 

Then:

$$f(y|\theta) = \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p+1} c_j(\theta) T_j(y) + d(\theta) + s(y)\right)$$

Which proves that the distribution of y belongs to a (p+1)-parameter exponential family with the desired natural parameters. To check that (X'y, y'y) is sufficient, we first make a slight adjustment to the  $T_j$  defined earlier:

$$c_{j}(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta_{j}}{\sigma^{2}} & j \in \{1, ..., p\} \\ \frac{-1}{2\sigma^{2}} & j = p + 1 \end{cases} \qquad T_{j}(y) = \begin{cases} (X'y)_{j} & j \in \{1, ..., p\} \\ y'y & j = p + 1 \end{cases}$$

Notice that all else remains the same as above, with only a slight change to the natural parameters. Then:

$$f(y|\theta) = \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p+1} c_j(\theta) T_j(y) + d(\theta)\right) \cdot \exp\left(s(y)\right)$$
$$= g(y,\theta) \cdot h(y)$$

By factorization theorem,  $T_j$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ , and recall  $T_j(y) = (X'y, y'y), \theta = (\beta, \sigma^2)$ .

### Exercise 123

Let  $y \sim N(X\beta, \sigma^2 I_n)$ . Recall  $\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$ . Then  $\hat{y} = X\hat{\beta} = X(X'X)^{-1}X'y$  is normal, as it is a linear combination of a normal. Now:

 $E[\hat{y}] = E[X\hat{\beta}] = XE[\hat{\beta}] = X\beta$ 

$$cov(\hat{y}) = cov(X(X'X)^{-1}X'y)$$

$$= X(X'X)^{-1}X'cov(y)X(X'X)^{-1}X'$$

$$= \sigma^2 X(X'X)^{-1}X'X(X'X)^{-1}X'y$$

$$= \sigma^2 X(X'X)^{-1}X'$$

$$= \sigma^2 P_X$$

Then  $\hat{y} \sim N(X\beta, \sigma^2 P_X)$ . Noe,  $\hat{e} = y - \hat{y}$  is also normal again since it's a linear transformation of normals. In this case we have that:

$$\begin{split} E[\hat{e}] &= E[y - \hat{y}] = E[y] - E[\hat{y}] = X\beta - X\beta = 0 \\ cov(\hat{e}) &= cov(y - X(X'X)^{-1}X'y) \\ &= cov((I - X(X'X)^{-1}X')y) \\ &= cov((I - P_X)y) \\ &= cov(Q_Xy) \\ &= Q_X cov(y)Q_X' \\ \text{Since Q is symmetric and idempotent} \\ &= \sigma^2 Q_x \end{split}$$

Then  $\hat{e} \sim N(0, \sigma^2 Q_x)$ . To check independence, since both are normal, it's enough to check the covariance between them:

$$cov(\hat{y}, \hat{e}) = cov(P_X y, Q_x y)$$

$$= P_X Q_X cov(y, y) (P_X Q_X)'$$

$$= P_X (I - P_X) cov(y, y) (P_X (I - P_X))'$$

$$= (P_X - P_X^2) cov(y, y) (P_X (I - P_X))'$$

$$= 0 \cdot cov(y, y) (P_X (I - P_X))'$$

$$= 0$$

Therefore  $\hat{y}, \hat{e}$  are independent.

### Exercise 124

Let's start defining our null and alternative hypotheses:

$$H_0: \beta_2 = 0 \qquad H_A: \beta_2 \neq 0$$

Under the alternative hypothesis, we have simply a full model, and as seen in previous exercises, the likelihood function is given by:

$$L_A = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n \exp\left(\frac{-||y - X\beta||^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

Meanwhile, under the null hypothesis the likelihood function is:

$$L_0 = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n \exp\left(\frac{-||y - X_1\beta||^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

As we know from class, for a fixed  $\beta$ , the log-likelihood (and therefore the likelihood) is maximized when  $\sigma^2 = \frac{||y - X\beta||^2}{n}$ . Replacing this above we have that:

$$L_0 = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_0 \sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n \exp\left(\frac{-n}{2}\right) L_A = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_A \sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n \exp\left(\frac{-n}{2}\right)$$

Then we can define out likelihood ratio as:

$$\Lambda = \frac{L_0}{L_A} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_0}\right)^n}{\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_A}\right)^n} = \left(\frac{\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_0^2}\right)^{n/2} = \left(\frac{RSS_A}{RSS_0}\right)^{n/2}$$

We reject the null if  $\Lambda$  is small, or equivalently if  $\frac{RSS_0}{RSS_A}$  is large. Following the logic from Abramovich and Ritov:

$$\begin{split} \frac{RSS_0}{RSS_A} &= \frac{RSS_A + RSS_0 - RSS_A}{RSS_A} \\ &= 1 + \frac{RSS_0 - RSS_A}{RSS_A} \\ &= 1 + \frac{r}{n-p} \frac{\left[\frac{RSS_0 - RSS_A}{r}\right]}{\left[\frac{RSS_A}{n-p}\right]} \end{split}$$

Now, notice that  $\frac{RSS_A}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-p}^2$  and  $\frac{RSS_0 - RSS_A}{r} \sim \chi_r^2$ , and therefore:

$$T = \frac{\left[\frac{RSS_0 - RSS_A}{r}\right]}{\left[\frac{RSS_A}{n-p}\right]} \sim F_{r,n-p}$$

Then given a level  $\alpha$ , we reject whenever  $T > F_{r,n-p}^{-1}(\alpha)$ .

Now let's verify our results using R. We start calculating our theoretical F statistic:

```
german.data <- read.table("~/Documents/QFin/Q3/Statistics 2/Assignments/Data/german.data.txt")</pre>
german.data <- german.data[,c("V2", "V5", "V13")]</pre>
colnames(german.data) <- c("Duration", "Amount", "Age")</pre>
full_model <- lm(Amount ~ Duration + Age, data = german.data)</pre>
red_model <- lm(Amount ~ Duration, data = german.data)
RSS_0 <- sum(red_model$residuals^2)</pre>
RSS_A <- sum(full_model$residuals^2)</pre>
r <- 1 # We want to test the significance of 1 predictor
p <- 2 # Number of predictors in full model
n <- nrow(german.data) # Number of rows of X
F_stat \leftarrow ((RSS_0 - RSS_A)/r) / (RSS_A/(n-p))
F_stat
## [1] 5.040277
1 - pf(F_stat, df1 = r, df2 = n-p)
## [1] 0.02498285
anova(red_model,
      full_model)
## Analysis of Variance Table
## Model 1: Amount ~ Duration
## Model 2: Amount ~ Duration + Age
                  RSS Df Sum of Sq
    Res.Df
                                           F Pr(>F)
## 1
        998 4850706433
## 2
        997 4826331637 1 24374796 5.0352 0.02506 *
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Let  $y \sim N(X\beta, \sigma^2 I_n)$ , where  $X = [X_1, X_2]$  and  $\beta = [\beta_1', \beta_2']'$ . Under  $H_0$ , assume  $\beta_2 = 0$ .

Notice that we can rewrite our model in the following way:

$$y \sim N(X\beta, \sigma^2 I_n) \equiv y = X\beta + \varepsilon_i$$
$$\equiv y = X_1\beta_1 + X_2\beta_2 + \varepsilon_i$$
$$\equiv y = X_1\beta_1 + \varepsilon_i$$
$$\equiv y \sim N(X_1\beta_1, \sigma^2 I_n)$$

This is completely equivalent to having a linear model but only on the predictors for which we don't assume the coefficients to be 0 under the null. That means that the restricted MLE for  $\beta$  is going to be given by  $[\hat{\beta}_1^{'}, 0]'$ , where:

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = (X_1' X_1)^{-1} X_1' y$$

# Exercise 126

Before we start the proof, we write an intermediate calculation that will be central to it. Notice that:

$$(\hat{y} - \overline{\hat{y}}1)'(X\beta - \overline{X\beta}) = (X(X'X)^{-1}X'y - X(X'X)^{-1}X'\overline{y}1)'(X\beta - \overline{X\beta}1)$$

$$= X(X'X)^{-1}X'(y - \overline{y}1)'(X\beta - \overline{X\beta}1)$$

$$= X(X'X)^{-1}X'(X\beta - \overline{X\beta}1)'(y - \overline{y}1)$$

$$= (X(X'X)^{-1}X'X\beta - \overline{X(X'X)^{-1}X'X\beta}1)'(y - \overline{y}1)$$

$$= (X\beta - \overline{X\beta}1)'(y - \overline{y}1)$$

$$= (y - \overline{y}1)'(X\beta - \overline{X\beta}1)$$

Using this, we have the following:

$$\begin{split} corr(y,X\beta) &= \frac{(y-\bar{y}1)'(X\beta-\overline{X\beta}1)}{\sqrt{var(y)var(X\beta)}} \\ &= \frac{(\hat{y}-\bar{\hat{y}}1)'(X\beta-\overline{X\beta}1)}{\sqrt{var(y)var(X\beta)}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{var(\hat{y})}{var(y)}} \frac{(\hat{y}-\bar{\hat{y}}1)'(X\beta-\overline{X\beta}1)}{\sqrt{var(\hat{y})var(X\beta)}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{var(\hat{y})}{var(y)}} corr(\hat{y},X\beta) \\ &= \sqrt{R^2} \cdot corr(\hat{y},X\beta) \\ &\leq \sqrt{R^2} \end{split}$$
 Since  $corr(\hat{y},X\beta) \in [-1,1]$ 

Now, clearly if  $\beta = \hat{\beta}$  we attain equality as  $corr(\hat{y}, X\beta)$  is exactly 1. Therefore  $\hat{y} = X\hat{\beta}$  maximizes the desired sample correlation.

Consider the linear regression model  $\mathbb{E}(y) = X\beta$ ,  $\operatorname{cov}(y) = \sigma^2 I_n$ . Let L be an  $r \times p$  matrix of rank  $r \leq p$ . Show that  $L\hat{\beta}$  is a BLUE for  $L\beta$ .

We need to show that  $L\hat{\beta}$  serves as the Best Linear Unbiased Estimator (BLUE) for  $L\beta$ . In other words, we need to show that  $L\hat{\beta}$  is unbiased and it has minimum variance among all other unbiased estimators. First, note that since we have a estimator that is result of matrix multiplication, we can conclude that this estimator will be linear.

#### 1) Unbiasedness

We need to show that the  $L\hat{\beta}$  is unbiased, that is  $E(L\hat{\beta}) = L\beta$ . Note that the least squares estimator is defined as  $\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$ .

$$\mathbb{E}[L\hat{\beta}] = \mathbb{E}[L(X'X)^{-1}X'y]$$

$$= L(X'X)^{-1}X'\mathbb{E}[y] \qquad (\mathbb{E}[y] = X\beta)$$

$$= L(X'X)^{-1}X'X\beta \qquad \text{(note the identity)}$$

$$= L\beta$$

Thus, we have shown that  $L\hat{\beta}$  is unbiased.

Here,  $L\epsilon$  is a linear combination of the errors, which have a mean of zero ( $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon] = 0$ ) and are uncorrelated with X, so we have  $\mathbb{E}[L\epsilon] = 0$ . Thus,  $L\hat{\beta}$ , being a linear combination of y and  $L\epsilon$ , is also a linear function of y.

### 2) Variance Optimality

Finally, we show that  $L\hat{\beta}$  is BLUE by showing its optimality in terms of variance. We can re-write variance of  $L\hat{\beta}$  as:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}[L\hat{\beta}] &= \operatorname{Var}\left[L(X'X)^{-1}X'y\right] \\ &= L(X'X)^{-1}X' \quad \operatorname{Var}[y] \quad X(X'X)^{-1}L' \qquad \qquad (\operatorname{Var}[y] = \sigma^2 I_n) \\ &= L(X'X)^{-1}X' \quad \sigma^2 I_n \quad X(X'X)^{-1}L' \\ &= \sigma^2 I_n \quad L(X'X)^{-1}X' \ X(X'X)^{-1}L' \qquad \qquad (\text{identity}) \\ &= \sigma^2 L(X'X)^{-1}L'. \end{aligned}$$

Let a'y be any unbiased linear estimator of  $L\beta$ , since we know that any linear estimator can be written in that form for some vector a. Now we calculate its variance,

$$Var[a'y] = a'Var[y]a$$
$$= \sigma^2 a' I_n a$$
$$= \sigma^2 a' a$$

Now, if  $L\hat{\beta}$  has the smallest variance among all linear unbiased estimators of  $L\beta$ , then

$$\operatorname{Var}[L\hat{\beta}] \le \operatorname{Var}[a'y]$$

Since we assume a'y is unbiased and its given that  $\mathbb{E}(y) = X\beta$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[L\hat{\beta}] = \mathbb{E}[a'y] = a'\mathbb{E}[y] = a'X\beta = L\beta$$

keeping in mind what we have shown in part 1) of the exercise  $(E[L\hat{\beta}] = L\beta)$ . Most importantly, from this relationship we can derive that

$$L = a'X$$
.

Finally, to show that  $\operatorname{Var}[L\hat{\beta}] \leq \operatorname{Var}[a'y]$  holds, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}[a'y] - \operatorname{Var}[L\hat{\beta}] &= \sigma^2 a' a - \sigma^2 L(X'X)^{-1} L' \\ &= \sigma^2 \left( a' a - L(X'X)^{-1} L' \right) & \text{(substitute for } L = a'X) \\ &= \sigma^2 \left( a' a - a' X(X'X)^{-1} X' a \right) & \text{(from slides } Q_x = I - X(X'X)^{-1} X') \\ &= \sigma^2 a' Q_x a & \text{(note Euclidian norm, } a' Q_x a = \|Q_x a\|^2 \geq 0) \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

So, we have shown that the variance is smallest for  $L\hat{\beta}$  and hence it is a BLUE for  $L\beta$ .

#### Exercise 128

Let  $y \sim N(X\beta, \sigma^2 I_n)$  and  $y_0 \sim N(x_0'\beta, \sigma^2)$  independent from y.

Since  $y \sim N(X\beta, \sigma^2 I_n)$ , then the MLE for  $\beta$  is  $\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$ . Since  $\hat{\beta}$  is an unbiased estimator then we have that  $E[x'_0\hat{\beta}] = x'_0\beta$ , and:

$$var(x_0\hat{\beta}) = x'_0 var(\hat{\beta})x_0$$

$$= x'_0 var((X'X)^{-1}X'y)x_0$$

$$= \sigma^2 x'_0 (X'X)^{-1}X'X(X'X)^{-1}x_0$$

$$= \sigma^2 x'_0 (X'X)^{-1}x_0$$

Then we have that:

$$\frac{x_0'\hat{\beta} - x_0'\beta}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 x_0' (X'X)^{-1} x_0}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

Now, let  $s^2 = \frac{RSS}{n-p}$ . We know that  $\hat{\beta}$  and RSS are independent, and that  $\frac{RSS}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{n-p}$ . Then:

$$\frac{\left(\frac{x_0'\hat{\beta} - x_0'\beta}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 x_0'(X'X)^{-1}x_0}}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{RSS}{\sigma^2(n-p)}}} = \frac{x_0'\hat{\beta} - x_0'\beta}{s\sqrt{x_0'(X'X)^{-1}x_0}} \sim t_{n-p}$$

Then a  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  confidence interval for  $x_0'\beta$  is given by:

$$x_0'\hat{\beta} \pm t_{n-p,\alpha/2} \cdot s \cdot \sqrt{x_0'(X'X)^{-1}x_0}$$

Now assume we predict a new observation  $y_0$ . Since  $y_0$  is normal sharing the same variance as  $x_0'\hat{\beta}$  and due to independence we have that:

$$y_0 - x_0' \hat{\beta} \sim N(0, \sigma^2 (1 + x_0' (X'X)^{-1} x_0))$$

Then similarly to above, we have that:

$$\frac{y_0 - x_0' \hat{\beta}}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 (1 + x_0' (X'X)^{-1} x_0)}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

Then due to the same arguments above on  $s^2$  and RSS, we have that:

$$\frac{\left(\frac{y_0 - x_0'\hat{\beta}}{\sqrt{\sigma^2(1 + x_0'(X'X)^{-1}x_0)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{RSS}{\sigma^2(n-p)}}} = \frac{y_0 - x_0'\hat{\beta}}{s\sqrt{1 + x_0'(X'X)^{-1}x_0}} \sim t_{n-p}$$

Then the prediction interval for  $y_0$  is given by:

$$x_0'\hat{\beta} \pm t_{n-p,\alpha/2} \cdot s \cdot \sqrt{1 + x_0'(X'X)^{-1}x_0}$$

### Exercise 129

We need to derive a generalized likelihood ratio test (LRT) for  $H_0: \mu = 0$  versus  $H_A: \mu \neq 0$ . We start by noting that the LRT is

$$\Lambda(y) = \frac{\mathcal{L}(\hat{\theta}_0|y)}{\mathcal{L}(\hat{\theta_A}|y)}$$

Since we have a normal linear regression model, we derive our likelihood function based on the normal density function,

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta|y) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(y_i|\theta)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_i - \mu)^2\right)$$

$$= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \mu)^2\right)$$

Given that the MLE of the parameters is the sample mean, let us denote it  $\bar{y}$ . Now, we can input both likelihoods into the expression for the LRT,

$$\begin{split} &\Lambda(y) = \frac{\mathcal{L}(\hat{\theta}0|y)}{\mathcal{L}(\hat{\theta}A|y)} \\ &= \frac{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - 0)^2\right)}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2\right)} \qquad \text{(recall that } H_0: \mu = 0) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2 \right] \right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i^2 - 2y_i\bar{y} + \bar{y}^2) \right] \right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 + 2n\bar{y}^2 - n\bar{y}^2 \right] \right) \qquad \text{(substite for the mean)} \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} [n\bar{y}^2] \right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2\sigma^2}\bar{y}^2\right) \end{split}$$

Now we reject the null whenever  $\Lambda(y) < c$  for some constant c under the null hyppothesis. Given a level  $\alpha$ :

$$P(\Lambda(y) < c | H_0) = \alpha \iff P\left(\exp\left(-\frac{n}{2\sigma^2}\bar{y}^2\right) | H_0\right) = \alpha$$

$$\iff P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i > -2\sigma^2 \ln(c) | H_0\right) = \alpha$$
Under the null  $y_i \overset{i.i.d}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2) \implies \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \sim N(0, n\sigma^2)$ 

$$\iff 1 - P\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{\sqrt{n}\sigma} < \frac{-2\sigma \ln(c)}{\sqrt{n}} | H_0\right) = \alpha$$

$$\iff 1 - \alpha = P\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{\sqrt{n}\sigma} < \frac{-2\sigma \ln(c)}{\sqrt{n}} | H_0\right)$$

$$\iff c = \exp\left(\frac{-\sqrt{n}}{2\sigma} z_{1-\alpha}\right)$$

Notice that we have that  $y_{j,k} = \mu_j + \varepsilon_{j,k}$ , where  $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ . Since these are i.i.d., then we have essentially a linear model of the form:

$$y_i = X\mu + \varepsilon_i$$

Where:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{n_1} & 0_{n_1} & \cdots & 0_{n_1} \\ 0_{n_2} & 1_{n_2} & \cdots & 0_{n_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0_{n_p} & 0_{n_p} & \cdots & 1_{n_p} \end{pmatrix}$$

Here notice this is not the identity matrix, but rather each  $1_{n_j}$  and  $0_{n_j}$  represents a vector of ones and zeroes of size  $n_j$ .

We want to test the hypothesis  $H_0: \mu_1 = ... = \mu_p$ . To solve this, we refer to the general linear hypothesis (slide 54 of lecture 6). We have that for the general null hypothesis  $H_0: A\beta = b$  we have that under  $H_0$ :

$$\frac{(A\hat{\beta} - b)'(A(X'X)^{-1}A')^{-1}(A\hat{\beta} - b)}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_r^2$$

And our test statistic is given by:

$$F = \frac{(A\hat{\beta} - b)'(A(X'X)^{-1}A')^{-1}(A\hat{\beta} - b)/r}{s^2} \sim F_{r,n-p}$$

We then have to choose A and b fittingly to fit our null hypothesis. Consider:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}_{p-1 \times p} \qquad b = \bar{0}$$

This matrix sequentially guarantees  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ , then  $\mu_2 = \mu_3$ , and so on for all  $\mu$ 's.

Now notice that

$$X'X = diag(n_1, ..., n_p)$$

$$X'y = \begin{pmatrix} 1'_{n_1} & 0'_{n_2} & \cdots & 0_{n'_p} \\ 0'_{n_1} & 1'_{n_2} & \cdots & 0_{n'_p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0'_{n_1} & 0'_{n_2} & \cdots & 1'_{n_p} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \vdots \\ Y_p \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} y_{1,k} \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} y_{2,k} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n_p} y_{p,k} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \bar{y}_1, \\ n_2 \bar{y}_2, \\ \vdots \\ n_n \bar{y}_n. \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the MLE for each  $\mu$  is given by:

$$\hat{\mu} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y = diag(1/n_1, ..., 1/n_p) \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \bar{y}_{1,\cdot} \\ n_2 \bar{y}_{2,\cdot} \\ \vdots \\ n_p \bar{y}_{p,\cdot} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{y}_{1,\cdot} \\ \bar{y}_{2,\cdot} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{y}_{p,\cdot} \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, under the null, if we assume all groups have the same mean then we must have that the MLE is given by:

$$\hat{\mu}_0 = \bar{y} = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^p n_j} \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} y_{j,k} = \bar{y}_{\cdot,.}$$

Now we can finally use the given hint. We start by calculating the components:

$$X(\hat{\mu} - \hat{\mu_0}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{n_1} & 0_{n_1} & \cdots & 0_{n_1} \\ 0_{n_2} & 1_{n_2} & \cdots & 0_{n_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0_{n_p} & 0_{n_p} & \cdots & 1_{n_p} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{y}_{1,\cdot} - \bar{y}_{\cdot,\cdot} \\ \bar{y}_{2,\cdot} - \bar{y}_{\cdot,\cdot} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{y}_{p,\cdot} - \bar{y}_{\cdot,\cdot} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} [\bar{y}_{1,\cdot} - \bar{y}_{\cdot,\cdot}]_{n_1 \times 1} \\ [\bar{y}_{2,\cdot} - \bar{y}_{\cdot,\cdot}]_{n_2 \times 1} \\ \vdots \\ [\bar{y}_{p,\cdot} - \bar{y}_{\cdot,\cdot}]_{n_p \times 1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then:

$$||X(\hat{\mu} - \hat{\mu_0})||^2 = \sum_{j=1}^p n_j (\bar{y}_{j,.} - \bar{y}_{.,.})^2$$

then we have that:

$$Q_X y = (I - X(X'X)^{-1}X')y = y - X\hat{\mu}$$

Which means that:

$$||Q_X y||^2 = \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} (y_{j,k} - \bar{y}_{j,\cdot})^2$$

And finally all that's left is to find the value of r. Note that under the null hypothesis of all  $\mu_j$  being equal, we basically reduce our full model from a p-variable one to a single variable one (where that single variable can be seen as the sum of all other variables, since they share a single  $\beta$  coefficient). Therefore, r = p - 1. And therefore by the hint:

$$F = \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} n_{j}(\bar{y}_{j,\cdot} - \bar{y}_{\cdot,\cdot})^{2}\right)/(p-1)}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{j}} (y_{j,k} - \bar{y}_{j,\cdot})^{2}\right)/(n-p)} \sim F_{p-1,n-p}$$

which, as seen in class has the desired F distribution and rejects when F is large.